

REFINED EIGENVALUE BOUNDS ON THE DIRICHLET FRACTIONAL LAPLACIAN

SELMA YILDIRIM YOLCU AND TÜRKAY YOLCU

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this article is to establish new lower bounds for the sums of powers of eigenvalues of the Dirichlet fractional Laplacian operator $(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}|_{\Omega}$ restricted to a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with $d = 2, 1 \leq \alpha \leq 2$ and $d \geq 3, 0 < \alpha \leq 2$. Our main result yields a sharper lower bound, in the sense of Weyl asymptotics, for the Berezin-Li-Yau type inequality improving the previous result in [36]. Furthermore, we give a result improving the bounds for analogous elliptic operators in [19].

1. INTRODUCTION

Fractional Laplacian operators are usually considered as the prototype of the non-local operators [11] and have recently garnered much attention in many applications in mathematics and physics. Related problems usually lie at the interface of probability, stochastic processes, partial differential equations and spectral theory such as [5, 11, 12]. For some applications, we refer the reader to graphene models [15], obstacle problems [29], non-local minimal surfaces [9].

In this article, we study eigenvalues of the fractional Laplacian operator $(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} \phi_j &= \lambda_j^{(\alpha)} \phi_j \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ \phi_j &= 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Omega \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where Ω is a bounded connected domain with smooth boundary in \mathbb{R}^d , for either $d = 2, 1 \leq \alpha \leq 2$ or $d \geq 3$ and $0 < \alpha \leq 2$. Since Ω is bounded, the spectrum of the fractional Laplacian is discrete and eigenvalues $\{\lambda_j^{(\alpha)}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ (including multiplicities) can be sorted in an increasing order.

Unlike Laplacian, fractional Laplacian is a non-local operator such that for suitable test functions, including all functions in $u \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, it is defined as

$$(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} u(x) = \mathcal{A}_{d,\alpha} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\{|y|>\epsilon\}} \frac{u(x+y) - u(x)}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} dy, \tag{2}$$

where $\mathcal{A}_{d,\alpha}$ is a well-known positive normalizing constant. From a probabilistic point of view, $(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$ can be considered as the infinitesimal generator of the semi-group of the symmetric α -stable process, denoted by X_t , with the characteristic function

$$e^{-t|\mu|^\alpha} = E(e^{i\mu \cdot X_t}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\mu \cdot y} p_t^{(\alpha)}(y) dy, \quad t > 0, \quad \mu \in \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{3}$$

Date: March 1, 2022.

Key words and phrases. fractional Laplacian, stable processes, Berezin-Li-Yau, eigenvalue, inequality.

where $p_t^{(\alpha)}(x, y) = p_t^{(\alpha)}(x - y)$ is called the transition density of the stable process (or the heat kernel of the fractional Laplacian). While explicit formulae for the transition density of symmetric α -stable processes are only available for the Cauchy process ($\alpha = 1$) and the Brownian motion ($\alpha = 2$), these processes share many of the basic properties of the Brownian motion. Another process of importance is the Holtsmark distribution ($\alpha = 3/2$) which is used to model gravitational fields of stars (See e.g., [38]). Stable processes do not have continuous paths which is related to non-locality of the fractional Laplacian operator [5, 8].

When fractional Laplacians involved, some of the known methods fail because of the fractional power and non-locality of such operators. This drawback can be evaded by using the Fourier transform definition. Recall that the Fourier transform and its inverse are defined as

$$\mathcal{F}[u](\mu) = \hat{u}(\mu) = c_d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-i\mu \cdot z} u(z) dz, \quad \mathcal{F}^{-1}[u](z) = c_d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\mu \cdot z} u(\mu) d\mu,$$

where $c_d = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}}$ is the normalizing constant. Interestingly, the fractional Laplacian operator on $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ can be defined as a pseudo-differential operator as

$$(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}|_{\Omega} u = \mathcal{F}^{-1} [|\mu|^\alpha \mathcal{F}[u]], \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 2, \quad u \in H_0^{\alpha/2}(\Omega). \quad (4)$$

Here, $H_0^{\alpha/2}(\Omega)$ denotes the Sobolev space of order $\alpha/2$. When $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$, one can look at Proposition 3.3. [13] for the proof of the equivalence between the definitions in (2) and (4).

There is an extensive literature devoted to the inequalities involving the eigenvalues of the Dirichlet Laplacian operator, which can be regarded as the fractional Laplacian when $\alpha = 2$. One may consult the articles [2, 3, 4, 14, 17, 20, 24, 25, 30] and references therein for a through literature review. It is worth pausing here for a moment to consider the Dirichlet Laplacian results relevant to our main result. The first such result is the Li-Yau inequality that provides a lower bound for the sums of eigenvalues, sharp in the sense of Weyl asymptotics [26]. The authors generalized this result in [33] by obtaining the following Li-Yau type inequality for the eigenvalues of the Dirichlet fractional Laplacian operator:

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j^{(\alpha)} \geq (4\pi)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{d}{\alpha + d} \left(\frac{\Gamma(1 + \frac{d}{2})}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{d}} k^{1 + \frac{\alpha}{d}}, \quad (5)$$

where $|\Omega|$ represents the volume of Ω and $\Gamma(x)$ denotes the Gamma function $\Gamma(x) = \int_0^\infty t^{x-1} e^{-t} dt$ for $x > 0$. One may also look at [16] for a Li-Yau type inequality involving the eigenvalues of the (massless) Klein-Gordon square root operators $(-\Delta)^{1/2}|_{\Omega}$, (i.e., the case $\alpha = 1$). To look at this inequality from a different perspective, one may take the Legendre transform of the following result by Laptev [23] and obtain (5):

$$\sum_j (z - \lambda_j^{(\alpha)})_+ \leq (4\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + d} \frac{|\Omega|}{\Gamma(1 + \frac{d}{2})} z^{1 + \frac{d}{\alpha}}. \quad (6)$$

When we set $\alpha = 2$ in (6), we recover an earlier result by Berezin [6], which supplies the Li-Yau inequality after an application of the Legendre transform. Thus, in what follows, we call (5) as the Berezin-Li-Yau inequality.

In [27], Melas proved the following improvement to the Berezin-Li-Yau inequality ($\alpha = 2$):

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j^{(2)} \geq 4\pi \frac{d}{2+d} \left(\frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{d}{2})}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{2}{d}} k^{1+\frac{2}{d}} + \frac{1}{24(2+d)} \frac{|\Omega|}{\mathcal{I}(\Omega)} k, \quad (7)$$

where $\mathcal{I}(\Omega)$, the moment of inertia, is defined by

$$\mathcal{I}(\Omega) = \min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\Omega} |z - y|^2 dz.$$

By a translation of the origin and a rotation of axes if necessary, in the sequel, we assume that the origin is the center of mass of Ω and that

$$\mathcal{I}(\Omega) = \int_{\Omega} |z|^2 dz. \quad (8)$$

Melas type bounds and their many variants and extensions have recently attracted a lot of attention, see for instance [18, 21, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37]. In particular, in [33], the authors obtained a refinement of (5), stating that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j^{(\alpha)} &\geq (4\pi)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{d}{\alpha+d} \left(\frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{d}{2})}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{d}} k^{1+\frac{\alpha}{d}} \\ &+ \frac{\alpha}{48(\alpha+d)} \frac{|\Omega|^{1-\frac{\alpha-2}{d}} \Gamma(1+\frac{d}{2})^{\frac{\alpha-2}{d}}}{(4\pi)^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{I}(\Omega)} k^{1+\frac{\alpha-2}{d}}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Remark that $\alpha = 2$ in (9) recovers Melas' bound in [27] for the Dirichlet Laplacian eigenvalues. Unfortunately, it is not easy to take Legendre transform of (9) to find a similar improved Berezin type bound in the case of the fractional Laplacian.

For $0 < \ell \leq 1$, authors also proved in [36] that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\lambda_j^{(\alpha)} \right)^{\ell} &\geq (4\pi)^{\frac{\alpha\ell}{2}} \frac{d}{\alpha\ell+d} \left(\frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{d}{2})}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{\alpha\ell}{d}} k^{1+\frac{\alpha\ell}{d}} \\ &+ \frac{\alpha\ell}{16(\alpha\ell+d)} \frac{|\Omega|^{1-\frac{\alpha\ell-2}{d}} \Gamma(1+\frac{d}{2})^{\frac{\alpha\ell-2}{d}}}{(4\pi)^{1-\frac{\alpha\ell}{2}} \mathcal{I}(\Omega)} k^{1+\frac{\alpha\ell-2}{d}} \\ &- \frac{\alpha\ell}{640(\alpha\ell+d)} \frac{|\Omega|^{2-\frac{\alpha\ell-4}{d}} \Gamma(1+\frac{d}{2})^{\frac{\alpha\ell-4}{d}}}{(4\pi)^{2-\frac{\alpha\ell}{2}} \mathcal{I}(\Omega)^2} k^{1+\frac{\alpha\ell-4}{d}}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

The main purpose of this article is to obtain analogous but sharper bounds with Dirichlet Laplacian replaced by Dirichlet fractional Laplacian. The first step, inspired by results in [31, 32, 33, 36, 37], is establishing the following result:

Proposition 1. *For $k \geq 1$, and either $1 \leq \alpha \leq 2$ and $d = 2$ or $0 < \alpha \leq 2$ and $d \geq 3$, the eigenvalues $\{\lambda_j^{(\alpha)}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ of the fractional Laplacian operator (1) defined on*

$\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j^{(\alpha)} &\geq (4\pi)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{d}{\alpha + d} \left(\frac{\Gamma(1 + \frac{d}{2})}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{d}} k^{1 + \frac{\alpha}{d}} \\
&+ \frac{\alpha}{2(\alpha + d)} \frac{|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\alpha-1}{d}} \Gamma(1 + \frac{d}{2})^{\frac{\alpha-1}{d}}}{(4\pi)^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{I}(\Omega)^{\frac{1}{2}}} k^{1 + \frac{\alpha-1}{d}} \\
&- \frac{5\alpha}{16(\alpha + d)} \frac{|\Omega|^{1 - \frac{\alpha-2}{d}} \Gamma(1 + \frac{d}{2})^{\frac{\alpha-2}{d}}}{(4\pi)^{1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{I}(\Omega)} k^{1 + \frac{\alpha-2}{d}} \\
&+ \frac{\alpha}{16(\alpha + d)} \frac{|\Omega|^{\frac{3}{2} - \frac{\alpha-3}{d}} \Gamma(1 + \frac{d}{2})^{\frac{\alpha-3}{d}}}{(4\pi)^{\frac{3}{2} - \frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{I}(\Omega)^{\frac{3}{2}}} k^{1 + \frac{\alpha-3}{d}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Note that the constants in the leading terms on the right side of (11), which is a fractional version of Weyl's law, are optimal due to a classical result of Blumenthal and Gettoor [7]. While the constant in the second term in (11) is still sub-optimal, the estimate in (11) is substantially stronger than previous known results in [33, 36, 37]. In addition, we recover the lower bounds in the case of the Dirichlet Laplacian [32] when we set $\alpha = 2$ in (11).

In [12], Chen and Song obtained that

$$\lambda_j^{(\alpha\ell)} \leq \left(\lambda_j^{(\alpha)} \right)^\ell \tag{12}$$

for each j and any constant $\ell \in (0, 1]$. Thus, Proposition 1 along with an application of (12) leads to our principal result:

Theorem 1. *For $k \geq 1$, $0 < \ell \leq 1$ and either $1 \leq \alpha \leq 2$ and $d = 2$ or $0 < \alpha \leq 2$ and $d \geq 3$, the eigenvalues $\{\lambda_j^{(\alpha)}\}_{j=1}^\infty$ of the fractional Laplacian operator (1) defined on $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^k \left(\lambda_j^{(\alpha)} \right)^\ell &\geq (4\pi)^{\frac{\alpha\ell}{2}} \frac{d}{\alpha\ell + d} \left(\frac{\Gamma(1 + \frac{d}{2})}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{\alpha\ell}{d}} k^{1 + \frac{\alpha\ell}{d}} \\
&+ \frac{\alpha\ell}{2(\alpha\ell + d)} \frac{|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\alpha\ell-1}{d}} \Gamma(1 + \frac{d}{2})^{\frac{\alpha\ell-1}{d}}}{(4\pi)^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\alpha\ell}{2}} \mathcal{I}(\Omega)^{\frac{1}{2}}} k^{1 + \frac{\alpha\ell-1}{d}} \\
&- \frac{5\alpha\ell}{16(\alpha\ell + d)} \frac{|\Omega|^{1 - \frac{\alpha\ell-2}{d}} \Gamma(1 + \frac{d}{2})^{\frac{\alpha\ell-2}{d}}}{(4\pi)^{1 - \frac{\alpha\ell}{2}} \mathcal{I}(\Omega)} k^{1 + \frac{\alpha\ell-2}{d}} \\
&+ \frac{\alpha\ell}{16(\alpha\ell + d)} \frac{|\Omega|^{\frac{3}{2} - \frac{\alpha\ell-3}{d}} \Gamma(1 + \frac{d}{2})^{\frac{\alpha\ell-3}{d}}}{(4\pi)^{\frac{3}{2} - \frac{\alpha\ell}{2}} \mathcal{I}(\Omega)^{\frac{3}{2}}} k^{1 + \frac{\alpha\ell-3}{d}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

On a side note, the proof of Proposition 1 consists of a very delicate application of Steffensen's type inequalities, which is mainly about the comparison of the integrals on the subsets of interval $[0, \infty)$.

The article is structured as follows: In Section 2, we revisit the relevant facts about the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the fractional Laplacian operator. After providing the proof of an auxilliary lemma that plays a crucial role in proving Proposition 1, we present the proof of our main results in Section 3. Finally, we

end Section 3 with a remark which extends the main result even further for some elliptic operators studied in [19, 28]. Please see Remark 2 for details.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we give an overview of the definitions and tools that are essential to establish the estimates in (11). Even though, these were previously studied in [31, 33, 36, 37], we include them so that the article is self-contained. By using Plancherel's theorem, one can show that the set of Fourier transforms $\{\hat{\phi}_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ of $\{\phi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ forms an orthonormal set in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ since the set of eigenfunctions $\{\phi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is an orthonormal set in $L^2(\Omega)$. To ease the notation, we set

$$\Phi_k(\mu) := \sum_{j=1}^k |\hat{\phi}_j(\mu)|^2 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \sum_{j=1}^k \left| \int_{\Omega} e^{-iz \cdot \mu} \phi_j(z) dz \right|^2 \geq 0. \quad (14)$$

Because the support of ϕ_j is Ω , the integral is taken over Ω instead of \mathbb{R}^d . Interchanging the sum and integral and using $\|\hat{\phi}_j\|_2 = 1$, we derive

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi_k(\mu) d\mu = k. \quad (15)$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j^{(\alpha)} &= \sum_{j=1}^k \langle \phi_j, \lambda_j^{(\alpha)} \phi_j \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^k \langle \phi_j, (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} \phi_j \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^k \langle \phi_j, \mathcal{F}^{-1} [|\mu|^\alpha \mathcal{F}[\phi_j]] \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mu|^\alpha |\hat{\phi}_j(\mu)|^2 d\mu \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mu|^\alpha \Phi_k(\mu) d\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Application of Bessel's inequality gives an upper bound for Φ_k :

$$\Phi_k(\mu) \leq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\Omega} |e^{-iz \cdot \mu}|^2 dz = \frac{|\Omega|}{(2\pi)^d} := \Omega_d. \quad (17)$$

Next, we find an estimate for $|\nabla \Phi_k|$. Note that

$$\sum_{j=1}^k |\nabla \hat{\phi}_j(\mu)|^2 \leq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\Omega} |ize^{-iz \cdot \mu}|^2 dz = \frac{\mathcal{I}(\Omega)}{(2\pi)^d}. \quad (18)$$

In view of Hölder's inequality and utilizing (17) and (18), we arrive at the following uniform bound:

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla \Phi_k(\mu)| &\leq 2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^k |\hat{\phi}_j(\mu)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k |\nabla \hat{\phi}_j(\mu)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq 2(2\pi)^{-d} \sqrt{|\Omega| \mathcal{I}(\Omega)} := \beta. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Let $B_R(z) := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : |y - z| \leq R\}$ designate the ball of radius R centered at z in \mathbb{R}^d and ω_d denotes the volume of d dimensional unit ball $B_1(z)$ in \mathbb{R}^d given by

$$\omega_d = \frac{\pi^{\frac{d}{2}}}{\Gamma(1 + \frac{d}{2})}. \quad (20)$$

Now assume that R is such that $|\Omega| = \omega_d R^d$. That is, $B_R(0)$ is the symmetric rearrangement of Ω . Note that

$$\mathcal{I}(\Omega) \geq \int_{B_R(0)} |z|^2 dz = \frac{d\omega_d}{d+2} R^{d+2} = \frac{d}{d+2} \omega_d^{-\frac{2}{d}} |\Omega|^{\frac{d+2}{d}},$$

roughly giving

$$\beta \geq (2\pi)^{-d} \omega_d^{-\frac{1}{d}} |\Omega|^{\frac{d+1}{d}}. \quad (21)$$

Let $\Phi_k^*(\mu)$ denote the decreasing radial rearrangement of $\Phi_k(\mu)$. There exists a real valued absolutely continuous function $\varphi_k : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \Omega_d]$ such that

$$\Phi_k^*(\mu) = \varphi_k(|\mu|). \quad (22)$$

By using Pólya-Szegő inequality, one can show that

$$0 \leq -\varphi_k'(t) \leq \beta. \quad (23)$$

For more details, see for example [33].

3. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1

Before diving into the proof of the main results, we present the following surprising sharper inequality which will be the main ingredient in the proof of the sharper lower bound in (11). Our method of proof has been previously explored in several articles, for instance [27, 31, 32, 34, 36], with crucial differences.

Lemma 1. *For either $d = 2$ and $1 \leq \alpha \leq 2$ or $3 \leq d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < \alpha \leq 2$, $a > 0$, $b > 0$, we have the following inequality*

$$a^{d+\alpha} \geq \frac{d+\alpha}{d} a^d b^\alpha - \frac{\alpha}{d} b^{d+\alpha} + \frac{\alpha}{d} b^{d+\alpha-3} (2a+b)(a-b)^2. \quad (24)$$

A direct but lengthy proof of this lemma is given in [36]. Here, we shall give a more intuitive and rigorous proof using convexity.

Proof. First, let us show that

$$h(x) := dx^{d+\alpha} - (d+\alpha)x^d + \alpha - \alpha(2x+1)(x-1)^2 \geq 0. \quad (25)$$

for $x \geq 0$, either $d = 2$ and $1 \leq \alpha \leq 2$ or $3 \leq d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < \alpha \leq 2$.

Case 1: Assume that $d \geq 3$ and $0 < \alpha \leq 2$. Observe that h can be written as $h(x) = x^2 g(x)$ where

$$g(x) = dx^{d+\alpha-2} - (d+\alpha)x^{d-2} - 2\alpha x + 3\alpha.$$

Differentiating we get

$$\begin{aligned} g'(x) &= d(d+\alpha-2)x^{d+\alpha-3} - (d+\alpha)(d-2)x^{d-3} - 2\alpha, \\ g''(x) &= x^{d-4} d(d+\alpha-2)(d+\alpha-3) \left(x^\alpha - \frac{(d+\alpha)(d-2)(d-3)}{d(d+\alpha-2)(d+\alpha-3)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $g''(x_{d,\alpha}) = 0$ where

$$x_{d,\alpha} := \left(\frac{(d+\alpha)(d-2)(d-3)}{d(d+\alpha-2)(d+\alpha-3)} \right)^{1/\alpha} < 1.$$

When $x \geq x_{d,\alpha}$, we have $g''(x) \geq 0$, implying that g is convex on $[x_{d,\alpha}, \infty)$. Thus,

$$g(x) \geq g(1) + g'(1)(x-1) = 0,$$

since $g(1) = 0$ and $g'(1) = 0$. That is, $g(x) \geq 0$ on $[x_{d,\alpha}, \infty)$. In particular, $g(x_{d,\alpha}) \geq 0$. On the other hand, when $0 \leq x \leq x_{d,\alpha}$, we have $g''(x) \leq 0$ yielding that g' is decreasing on $[0, x_{d,\alpha}]$. This implies that $g'(x) \leq g'(0) = -2\alpha < 0$, meaning that g is decreasing on $[0, x_{d,\alpha}]$. This leads to $g(x) \geq g(x_{d,\alpha}) \geq 0$ for $x \in [0, x_{d,\alpha}]$. Hence, $g(x) \geq 0$ for $x \in [0, \infty)$. Therefore, we deduce that $h(x) = x^2g(x) \geq 0$ for $x \in [0, \infty)$.




FIGURE 1. Graphs of $g(x)$ for $d \geq 3$ and $d = 2$, respectively.

Case 2: Now, assume that $d = 2$ and $1 \leq \alpha \leq 2$. Then h becomes

$$h(x) = 2x^{2+\alpha} - (2 + \alpha)x^2 + \alpha - \alpha(2x + 1)(x - 1)^2.$$

As before, we can write $h(x) = x^2g(x)$ where $g(x) = 2x^\alpha - 2\alpha x + (2\alpha - 2)$. Note that if $\alpha = 1$, then $g(x) = 0$. Differentiating again, we obtain

$$g'(x) = 2\alpha x^{\alpha-1} - 2\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad g''(x) = 2\alpha(\alpha - 1)x^{\alpha-2}.$$

Notice that for $1 \leq \alpha \leq 2$, $g''(x) \geq 0$, meaning that g is convex. Since $g(1) = 0$ and $g'(1) = 0$, $g(x) \geq g(1) + g'(1)(x - 1) = 0$ implies that $g(x) \geq 0$ and, therefore, $h(x) \geq 0$.

Setting $x = a/b$ in (25), multiplying through by $b^{d+\alpha}/d$ and rearranging the terms, we conclude (24), as desired. \square

Remark 1. When $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $d = 2$, the inequality above fails to hold, therefore, we do not resolve this case in this manuscript.

With Lemma 1 in hand, we are now ready to prove Proposition 1.

Proof. Assume that (15)-(19) hold and $d \geq 2$. Consider the decreasing, absolutely continuous function $\varphi_k : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ defined by (22). We know that $0 \leq -\varphi'_k(t) \leq \beta$ for $t \geq 0$ where $\beta > 0$ is given by (19). Since $\varphi_k(0) > 0$ due to (14) let us first define

$$\Theta_k(a) := \frac{1}{\varphi_k(0)} \varphi_k\left(\frac{\varphi_k(0)}{\beta} a\right). \quad (26)$$

Note that Θ_k is positive, $\Theta_k(0) = 1$ and $0 \leq -\Theta'_k(a) \leq 1$. To simplify the notation, we also set $\theta_k(a) := -\Theta'_k(a)$ for $t \geq 0$. Hence, $0 \leq \theta_k(a) \leq 1$ for $t \geq 0$ and

$$\int_0^\infty \theta_k(a) da = \Theta_k(0) = 1.$$

Now, set

$$\zeta_k = \int_0^\infty a^{d-1} \Theta_k(a) da \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_k = \int_0^\infty a^{\alpha+d-1} \Theta_k(a) da. \quad (27)$$

Using (15) we get

$$k = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi_k(\mu) d\mu = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi_k^*(\mu) d\mu = d\omega_d \int_0^\infty a^{d-1} \varphi_k(a) da. \quad (28)$$

Moreover, since the map $\mu \mapsto |\mu|^\alpha$ is radial and increasing, by (16), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j^{(\alpha)} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mu|^\alpha \Phi_k(\mu) d\mu \\ &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mu|^\alpha \Phi_k^*(\mu) d\mu \\ &= d\omega_d \int_0^\infty a^{\alpha+d-1} \varphi_k(a) da. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Substitution of (26) into (27) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_k &= \frac{\beta^d}{\varphi_k(0)^{d+1}} \int_0^\infty a^{d-1} \varphi_k(a) da = \frac{\beta^d k}{d\omega_d \varphi_k(0)^{d+1}}, \\ \eta_k &= \frac{\beta^{\alpha+d}}{\varphi_k(0)^{\alpha+d+1}} \int_0^\infty a^{\alpha+d-1} \varphi_k(a) da \leq \frac{\beta^{\alpha+d} \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j^{(\alpha)}}{d\omega_d \varphi_k(0)^{\alpha+d+1}} \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Observe that application of Fubini's theorem together with

$$\Theta_k(b) = \int_b^\infty \theta_k(a) da$$

leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{t+d} \int_0^\infty a^{t+d} \theta_k(a) da &= \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^a b^{t+d-1} db \right) \theta_k(a) da \\ &= \int_0^\infty b^{t+d-1} \left(\int_b^\infty \theta_k(a) da \right) db \\ &= \int_0^\infty b^{t+d-1} \Theta_k(b) db, \end{aligned}$$

which together with $y = 0$ and $y = \alpha$ respectively yields

$$\int_0^\infty a^d \theta_k(a) da = \zeta_k d \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^\infty a^{\alpha+d} \theta_k(a) da = \eta_k (\alpha + d). \quad (31)$$

Notice that

$$(a^d - 1)(\theta_k(a) - \mathbb{1}_{[0,1]}(a)) \geq 0, \quad a \in [0, \infty). \quad (32)$$

Integrating (32) from 0 to ∞ gives

$$\int_0^\infty a^d \theta_k(a) da \geq \frac{1}{d+1} = \gamma_d(0),$$

where $\gamma_d : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is defined by

$$\gamma_d(x) = \int_x^{x+1} a^d da.$$

Since γ_d is continuous and non-decreasing and $\gamma_d(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, the Intermediate Value Theorem provides us with the existence of $\tau \geq 0$ such that

$$\gamma_d(\tau) = \int_\tau^{\tau+1} a^d da = \int_0^\infty a^d \theta_k(a) da,$$

which, by (31), concludes that

$$\int_{\tau}^{\tau+1} a^d da = d\zeta_k. \quad (33)$$

Now consider the polynomial

$$T(x) = x^{\alpha+d} - \nu_1 x^d + \nu_2 = x^d(x^\alpha - \nu_1) + \nu_2$$

where

$$\nu_1 = \frac{(\tau+1)^{\alpha+d} - \tau^{\alpha+d}}{(\tau+1)^d - \tau^d} > 0, \quad \nu_2 = \frac{(\tau+1)^{\alpha+d} - \tau^{\alpha+d}}{(\tau+1)^d - \tau^d} \tau^d - \tau^{\alpha+d} \geq 0$$

are chosen so that $T(\tau) = 0$ and $T(\tau+1) = 0$ and T remains negative on $(\tau, \tau+1)$ and positive on $[0, \infty) \setminus [\tau, \tau+1]$. It is immediate to observe that

$$T(a) (\mathbb{1}_{[\tau, \tau+1]}(a) - \theta_k(a)) \leq 0 \quad \text{on } [0, \infty). \quad (34)$$

Integration of (34) on $[0, \infty)$ leads to

$$\int_{\tau}^{\tau+1} a^{\alpha+d} da \leq \int_0^{\infty} a^{\alpha+d} \theta_k(a) da - \nu_1 \left(\int_0^{\infty} a^d \theta_k(a) da - \int_{\tau}^{\tau+1} a^d da \right),$$

simplifying to

$$\int_{\tau}^{\tau+1} a^{\alpha+d} da \leq \int_0^{\infty} a^{\alpha+d} \theta_k(a) da. \quad (35)$$

Using (31), we infer that

$$\int_{\tau}^{\tau+1} a^{\alpha+d} da \leq \eta_k(\alpha+d). \quad (36)$$

Observe that

$$d\zeta_k = \int_{\tau}^{\tau+1} a^d da \geq \int_0^1 a^d da = \frac{1}{d+1}. \quad (37)$$

Notice that (24) gives the key inequality in the proof of this lemma. Indeed, integrating (24) in p from τ to $\tau+1$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\tau}^{\tau+1} a^{\alpha+d} da &\geq \frac{\alpha+d}{d} b^\alpha \int_{\tau}^{\tau+1} a^d da - \frac{\alpha}{d} b^{\alpha+d} \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha}{d} b^{\alpha+d-3} \int_{\tau}^{\tau+1} (2a+b)(a-b)^2 da. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Note that [32]

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\tau}^{\tau+1} (a-b)^2 da &\geq \min_{\tau \geq 0, b \geq 1/2} \int_{\tau}^{\tau+1} (a-b)^2 da = \frac{1}{12}. \\ \int_{\tau}^{\tau+1} a(a-b)^2 da &\geq \min_{\tau \geq 0, b \geq 1/2} \int_{\tau}^{\tau+1} a(a-b)^2 da \geq \frac{1}{2}b^2 - \frac{2}{3}b + \frac{1}{4} \end{aligned}$$

and so, we have

$$\int_{\tau}^{\tau+1} (2a+b)(a-b)^2 da \geq b^2 - \frac{5}{4}b + \frac{1}{2} \quad (39)$$

for any $b \geq 1/2$ and $\tau \geq 0$. Since $(\zeta_k d)^{1/d} \geq (d+1)^{-1/d} \geq 3^{-1/2} \geq 1/2$ due to (37), setting $b = (\zeta_k d)^{1/d}$ and using (33) and (39), we deduce that (38) yields to

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_k &\geq \frac{1}{\alpha+d} (\zeta_k d)^{1+\frac{\alpha}{d}} + \frac{\alpha}{d(\alpha+d)} (\zeta_k d)^{1+\frac{\alpha-1}{d}} \\ &\quad - \frac{5\alpha}{4d(\alpha+d)} (\zeta_k d)^{1+\frac{\alpha-2}{d}} + \frac{\alpha}{2d(\alpha+d)} (\zeta_k d)^{1+\frac{\alpha-3}{d}}. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Equations in (30) combined with (28) and (29) turn (40) into

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j^{(\alpha)} &\geq \frac{d}{\alpha+d} \omega_d^{-\frac{\alpha}{d}} \varphi_k(0)^{-\frac{\alpha}{d}} k^{1+\frac{\alpha}{d}} \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha}{(\alpha+d)} \beta^{-1} \omega_d^{-\frac{\alpha-1}{d}} \varphi_k(0)^{1-\frac{\alpha-1}{d}} k^{1+\frac{\alpha-1}{d}} \\ &\quad - \frac{5\alpha}{4(\alpha+d)} \beta^{-2} \omega_d^{-\frac{\alpha-2}{d}} \varphi_k(0)^{2-\frac{\alpha-2}{d}} k^{1+\frac{\alpha-2}{d}} \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha}{2(\alpha+d)} \beta^{-3} \omega_d^{-\frac{\alpha-3}{d}} \varphi_k(0)^{3-\frac{\alpha-3}{d}} k^{1+\frac{\alpha-3}{d}}. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

To finish the proof of Proposition 1 we shall minimize the right side of (41) over $\varphi_k(0)$. To prove (11), we show that the function, which we call $\vartheta(x)$, on the right-hand side of (41) with $x := \varphi_k(0) > 0$ decreases on $(0, \Omega_d]$. By (17) we know that $0 < x \leq \Omega_d$. First, define

$$\vartheta(x) = \vartheta_1(x) + \vartheta_2(x)$$

where

$$\vartheta_1(x) = \frac{d k^{1+\frac{\alpha}{d}}}{(\alpha+d) \omega_d^{\frac{\alpha}{d}}} x^{-\frac{\alpha}{d}} + \frac{\alpha k^{1+\frac{\alpha-1}{d}}}{(\alpha+d) \beta \omega_d^{\frac{\alpha-1}{d}}} x^{1-\frac{\alpha-1}{d}} \quad (42)$$

and

$$\vartheta_2(x) = \frac{\alpha k^{1+\frac{\alpha-3}{d}}}{2(\alpha+d) \beta^3 \omega_d^{\frac{\alpha-3}{d}}} x^{3-\frac{\alpha-3}{d}} - \frac{5\alpha k^{1+\frac{\alpha-2}{d}}}{4(\alpha+d) \beta^2 \omega_d^{\frac{\alpha-2}{d}}} x^{2-\frac{\alpha-2}{d}} \quad (43)$$

Thus, it is enough to show that ϑ_1 and ϑ_2 defined by (42) and (43) are also decreasing on the interval $(0, \Omega_d]$. Differentiating ϑ_1 and ϑ_2 , we observe that $\vartheta_1(x)$ and $\vartheta_2(x)$ are decreasing on the interval $(0, x_1)$ and $(0, x_2)$, respectively, where

$$x_1 = \left(\frac{d \beta k^{\frac{1}{d}}}{2(d-\alpha+1) \omega_d^{\frac{1}{d}}} \right)^{\frac{d}{d+1}}, \quad x_2 = \left(\frac{5(2d+2-\alpha) \beta k^{\frac{1}{d}}}{2(3d+3-\alpha) \omega_d^{\frac{1}{d}}} \right)^{\frac{d}{d+1}}.$$

Hence, we particularly obtain that ϑ is decreasing on $(0, \Omega_d]$ when we have $\Omega_d \leq \min \{x_1, x_2\}$ for any $k \geq 1$. Since $x \mapsto \Gamma(x)$ is increasing for $x \geq 2$ we obtain that

$$\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{d}{2}\right) \geq \Gamma(2) = 1. \quad (44)$$

In view of (44), $\beta \geq (2\pi)^{-d} \omega_d^{-\frac{1}{d}} |\Omega|^{\frac{d+1}{d}}$ and definition of ω_d given in (20) we observe that

$$x_1 \geq \left(\frac{d |\Omega|^{\frac{d+1}{d}} k^{\frac{1}{d}}}{(d-\alpha+1) (2\pi)^d \omega_d^{\frac{2}{d}}} \right)^{\frac{d}{d+1}} \geq \left(\frac{[\Gamma(1 + \frac{d}{2})]^{\frac{2}{d}} |\Omega|^{\frac{d+1}{d}} k^{\frac{1}{d}}}{(2\pi)^{d+1}} \right)^{\frac{d}{d+1}} \geq \Omega_d,$$

as $k \geq 1$ and $d - \alpha + 1 \leq 2d$. Similarly, we obtain that

$$x_2 \geq \left(\frac{5(2d+2-\alpha) |\Omega|^{\frac{d+1}{d}} k^{\frac{1}{d}}}{2(3d+3-\alpha) (2\pi)^d \omega_d^{\frac{2}{d}}} \right)^{\frac{d}{d+1}} \geq \left(\frac{[\Gamma(1+\frac{d}{2})]^{\frac{2}{d}} |\Omega|^{\frac{d+1}{d}} k^{\frac{1}{d}}}{(2\pi)^{d+1}} \right)^{\frac{d}{d+1}} \geq \Omega_d,$$

as $k \geq 1$ and $5(2d+2-\alpha) \geq (3d+3-\alpha)$. In conclusion, we obtain that $\vartheta(x) \geq \vartheta(\Omega_d)$ as ϑ is decreasing on $(0, \Omega_d]$. Substitution of $\beta = 2(2\pi)^{-d} \sqrt{|\Omega| \mathcal{I}(\Omega)}$ given in (19) together with $\varphi_k(0) = \Omega_d$ turns (41) into (11). \square

Remark 2. In view of the recent work [19, 28], it is worth noting that one can easily extend this for elliptic operators \mathcal{E}_f defined by a kernel f

$$\mathcal{E}_f u(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\{|y|>\epsilon\}} (u(x+y) - u(x)) f(y) dy, \quad (45)$$

where f satisfies

$$f(y) \geq \sigma \frac{\mathcal{A}_{d,\alpha}}{|y|^{d+\alpha}}, \quad (46)$$

$\mathcal{A}_{d,\alpha}$ is the normalizing constant in the fractional Laplacian definition (2) and $\sigma > 0$. To this end, let us consider the eigenvalue problem defined by

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathcal{E}_f \phi_j &= \lambda_j \phi_j \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ \phi_j &= 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Omega \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

It is shown in [28] that the spectrum of \mathcal{E}_f is also discrete and the eigenvalues $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ (including multiplicities) can be sorted in an increasing order. Also, the set of Fourier transforms $\{\hat{\phi}_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ of $\{\phi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ forms an orthonormal set in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ since the set of eigenfunctions $\{\phi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is an orthonormal set in $L^2(\Omega)$. Note that we use the same notation for eigenvalues and eigenfunctions to illuminate the striking similarities though they might be different for each \mathcal{E}_f . Defining Φ_k as in (14), we obtain (15) immediately. However, (16) needs to be re-written as the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j &= \sum_{j=1}^k \langle \phi_j, \lambda_j \phi_j \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^k \langle \phi_j, -\mathcal{E}_f \phi_j \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varrho_\alpha(\mu) |\hat{\phi}_j(\mu)|^2 d\mu \\ &\geq \sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mu|^\alpha \Phi_k(\mu) d\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

where we used the fact (Proposition 3.3. in [13]) that

$$\varrho_\alpha(\mu) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 - \cos(y \cdot \mu)) f(y) dy \geq \sigma \mathcal{A}_{d,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1 - \cos(y \cdot \mu)}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} dy = \sigma |\mu|^\alpha.$$

Having (48) in hand, (29) changes as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j &\geq \sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mu|^\alpha \Phi_k(\mu) d\mu \\ &\geq \sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mu|^\alpha \Phi_k^*(\mu) d\mu \\ &= \sigma d \omega_d \int_0^\infty a^{\alpha+d-1} \varphi_k(a) da. \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

and proceeding exactly as before using (49) in place of (29), we immediately arrive at the following remarkable estimate:

Corollary 1. For $k \geq 1$, and either $1 \leq \alpha \leq 2$ and $d = 2$ or $0 < \alpha \leq 2$ and $d \geq 3$, the eigenvalues $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ of (47) defined on $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j &\geq (4\pi)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{\sigma d}{\alpha + d} \left(\frac{\Gamma(1 + \frac{d}{2})}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{d}} k^{1 + \frac{\alpha}{d}} \\ &+ \frac{\sigma \alpha}{2(\alpha + d)} \frac{|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\alpha-1}{d}} \Gamma(1 + \frac{d}{2})^{\frac{\alpha-1}{d}}}{(4\pi)^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{I}(\Omega)^{\frac{1}{2}}} k^{1 + \frac{\alpha-1}{d}} \\ &- \frac{5\sigma \alpha}{16(\alpha + d)} \frac{|\Omega|^{1 - \frac{\alpha-2}{d}} \Gamma(1 + \frac{d}{2})^{\frac{\alpha-2}{d}}}{(4\pi)^{1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{I}(\Omega)} k^{1 + \frac{\alpha-2}{d}} \\ &+ \frac{\sigma \alpha}{16(\alpha + d)} \frac{|\Omega|^{\frac{3}{2} - \frac{\alpha-3}{d}} \Gamma(1 + \frac{d}{2})^{\frac{\alpha-3}{d}}}{(4\pi)^{\frac{3}{2} - \frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{I}(\Omega)^{\frac{3}{2}}} k^{1 + \frac{\alpha-3}{d}}. \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

Note that this estimate also improves the main result of [19], which is simply the multiple of the lower bound stated in (9) [33] by σ .

REFERENCES

- [1] D R. Adams and J. Xiao, *Morrey spaces in harmonic analysis*, Arkiv För Matematik **50**(2) (2012) 201–230.
- [2] M. Ashbaugh and R. Benguria, *On Rayleigh’s conjecture for the clamped plate and its generalization to three dimensions*, Duke Math. J, **78** (1995) 1–17.
- [3] M. Ashbaugh, R. Benguria and R. Laugesen, *Inequalities for the first eigenvalues of the clamped plate and buckling problems*, General Inequalities 7, International Series of Numerical Mathematics, Vol. 123, C. Bandle, W. N. Everitt, L. Losonczi, and W. Walter, eds., (Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1997) 95–110.
- [4] M. Ashbaugh and R. Laugesen, *Fundamental tones and buckling loads of clamped plates*, Ann. Scuola Norm.-Sci. **23**, 383–402 (1996).
- [5] R. Bañuelos and S. Yildirim Yolcu, *Heat trace of non-local operators*, J. London Math. Soc. **87**(1) (2013) 304–318.
- [6] F. A. Berezin, *Covariant and contravariant symbols of operators*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **36** (1972) 1134–1167.
- [7] R. M. Blumenthal and R. K. Gettoor, *The asymptotic distribution of the eigenvalues for a class of Markov operators*, Pacific J. Math. **9** (1959), 399–408.
- [8] K. Bogdan, T. Byczkowski, T. Kulczycki, M. Ryznar, R. Song and Z. Vondracék, *Potential Analysis of Stable Processes and Its Extensions*, Springer Verlag (2009).
- [9] L. A. Caffarelli, J.-M. Roquejoffre, O. Savin, *Non-local minimal surfaces*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **63** (2010) 1111–1144.
- [10] M. P. do Carmo, Q. Wang and C. Xia, *Inequalities for eigenvalues of elliptic operators in divergence form on Riemannian manifolds*, Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata **189**(4) (2010) 643–660.
- [11] Z.-Q. Chen, P. Kim and R. Song, *Heat kernel estimates for the Dirichlet fractional Laplacian*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. **12** (2010) 1307–1329.
- [12] Z.-Q. Chen and R. Song, *Two-sided eigenvalue estimates for subordinate processes in domains*, Journal of Functional Analysis **226** (2005) 90–113.
- [13] E. Di Nezza, G. Palatucci and Enrico Valdinoci, *Hitchhiker’s guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces*, Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques **136**(5) (2012) 521–573.
- [14] R. L. Frank and L. Geisinger, *Refined semiclassical asymptotics for fractional powers of the Laplace operator*, J. Reine Angew. Math.(Crelles Journal) (2014) DOI: 10.1515/crelle-2013-0120.

- [15] R. El Hajj and F. Méhats, *Analysis of models for quantum transport of electrons in graphene layers*, Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci. **24** (2014) 2287.
- [16] E. M. Harrell II and S. Yildirim Yolcu, *Eigenvalue inequalities for Klein-Gordon operators*, J. Funct. Analysis, **256**(12) (2009) 3977-3995.
- [17] A. Henrot, *Extremum problems for eigenvalues of Elliptic operators*, Frontiers in Mathematics, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, Switzerland (2006)
- [18] A. A. Ilyin, *Lower bounds for the spectrum of the Laplace and Stokes operators*, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems, **28**(1) (2010) 131-146.
- [19] Y-C Kim, *A refinement of the Berezin-Li-Yau type inequality for nonlocal elliptic operators*, arXiv:1406.5407.
- [20] H. Kovařík, S. Vugalter, T. Weidl, *Two-dimensional Berezin-Li-Yau inequalities with a correction term*, Comm. Math. Phys. **287**(3) (2009) 959-981.
- [21] H. Kovařík and T. Weidl, *Improved Berezin-Li-Yau inequalities with magnetic field*, Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh Section A Mathematics (2014) to appear.
- [22] N. S. Landkof, Foundations of modern potential theory, New York: Springer Verlag (1972).
- [23] A. Laptev, *Dirichlet and Neumann eigenvalue problems on domains in Euclidean spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **151** (1997), 531-545.
- [24] A. Laptev, L. Geisinger, T. Weidl, *Geometrical versions of improved Berezin-Li-Yau inequalities*, Journal of Spectral Theory **1** (2011) 87-109.
- [25] A. Laptev and T. Weidl, *Recent results on Lieb-Thirring inequalities*, Journées équations aux dérivées partielles (2000) 1-14.
- [26] P. Li and S.-T. Yau, *On the Schrödinger equation and the eigenvalue problem*, Comm. Math. Phys., **88** (1983) 309-318.
- [27] A. D. Melas, *A lower bound for sums of eigenvalues of the Laplacian*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, **131**(2) (2002) 631-636.
- [28] R. Servadei and E. Valdinoci *Variational methods for non-local operators of elliptic type*, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems - Series A, **33**(5) (2013) 2105-2137.
- [29] L. Silvestre, *Regularity of the obstacle problem for a fractional power of the laplace operator*, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics **60** (2007).
- [30] T. Weidl, *Improved Berezin-Li-Yau inequalities with a remainder term*, Spectral Theory of Differential Operators, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) 225 (2008) 253-263.
- [31] S. Yildirim Yolcu, *An improvement to a Brezin-Li-Yau type inequality*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, **138**(11) (2010) 4059-4066.
- [32] S. Yildirim Yolcu and T. Yolcu, *Multidimensional lower bounds for the eigenvalues of Stokes and Dirichlet Laplacian operators*, Journal of Mathematical Physics, **53**(4) (2012) 043508.
- [33] S. Yildirim Yolcu and T. Yolcu, *Estimates for the sums of eigenvalues of the fractional Laplacian on a bounded domain*, Communications in Contemporary Mathematics, 15(3) (2013) 1250048.
- [34] S. Yildirim Yolcu and T. Yolcu, *Estimates on the eigenvalues of the clamped plate problem*, Journal of Mathematical Physics, **54**(4) (2013) 43515.
- [35] S. Yildirim Yolcu and T. Yolcu, *Eigenvalue Bounds on the Poly-harmonic Operators*, to appear in the Illinois Journal of Mathematics.
- [36] S. Yildirim Yolcu and T. Yolcu, *Sharper estimates on the eigenvalues of Dirichlet fractional Laplacian*, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems - Series A, **35**(5) (2015) 2209-2225.
- [37] T. Yolcu, *Refined bounds for the eigenvalues of the Klein-Gordon operator*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, **141**(12) (2013) 4305-4315.
- [38] V.M. Zolotarev, *One dimensional Stable Distributions*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 65, American Mathematical Society, Providence (1986).

(Selma Yildirim Yolcu) BRADLEY UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PEORIA, IL 61625 USA

E-mail address: syildirimyolcu@bradley.edu

(Türkay Yolcu) BRADLEY UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PEORIA, IL 61625 USA

E-mail address: tyolcu@bradley.edu