

ON A NONCOMMUTATIVE ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY

PIERRE DOLBEAULT

Sorbonne Universités,

UPMC Univ. Paris 06,

Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu - Paris Rive Gauche UMR7586,

4, place Jussieu 75005 Paris, France

E-mail: pierre.dolbeault@upmc.fr

Abstract. Several sets of quaternionic functions are described and studied with respect to hyperholomorphy, addition and (non commutative) multiplication, on open sets of $\mathbb{H}$, then Hamilton 4-manifolds analogous to Riemann surfaces, for $\mathbb{H}$ instead of $\mathbb{C}$, are defined, and so begin to describe a class of four dimensional manifolds.

Contents

1. Introduction	1
2. Quaternions. $\mathbb{H}$ -valued functions. Hyperholomorphic functions	2
3. Almost everywhere hyperholomorphic functions whose inverses are almost everywhere hyperholomorphic	4
4. On the spaces of hypermeromorphic functions	7
5. Globalisation. Hamilton 4-manifold	8
6. Hamilton 4-manifold of a hypermeromorphic function	10
7. The Hamilton 4-manifold Y of F when $X = \mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^1$	13

1. Introduction. We first recall the definition of the field $\mathbb{H}$ of quaternions using pairs of complex numbers and a modified Cauchy-Fueter operator (section 2) that have been introduced by C. Colombo and al., [CLSSS07]. We will only use right multiplication. We will consider C^∞ $\mathbb{H}$ -valued quaternionic functions defined on an open set U of $\mathbb{H}$ whose behavior mimics the behavior of holomorphic functions on an open set of $\mathbb{C}$. If such a function does not vanish identically, it has an (algebraic) inverse. Finally we describe properties of Hyperholomorphic functions with respect to addition and multiplication.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 30G35; Secondary 30D30.

Key words and phrases: quaternions, holomorphic, hypermeromorphic functions, Hamilton 4-manifolds.

In section 3, we characterize the quaternionic functions which are, almost everywhere, hyperholomorphic and whose inverses are hyperholomorphic almost everywhere, on U , as the solutions of a system of two non linear PDE. We find non trivial examples of a solution showing that the considered space of functions is significant; we will call these functions Hypermeromorphic.

At the moment, I am unable to get the general solution of the the system of PDE. Same difficulty for subsequent occurring systems of PDE.

In section 4, we describe a subspace of hyperholomorphic and hypermeromorphic functions defined almost everywhere on U , having “good properties for addition and multiplication”; we again obtain systems of non linear PDE.

In section 5 and the following, we consider globalization of the above notions, define Hamilton 4-manifolds analogous to Riemann surfaces, for $\mathbb{H}$ instead of $\mathbb{C}$, and give examples of such manifolds; our ultimate aim is to describe a class of 4-dimensional manifolds.

2. Quaternions. $\mathbb{H}$ -valued functions. Hyperholomorphic functions.

See [CSSL04, CLSS07, D13].

2.1. Quaternions. If $q \in \mathbb{H}$, then $q = z_1 + z_2\mathbf{j}$ where $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. We have $z_1\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{j}\bar{z}_1$, and note $|q| = |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2$.

The conjugate of q is $\bar{q} = \bar{z}_1 - z_2\mathbf{j}$. Let us denote $*$ the (right) multiplication in $\mathbb{H}$, then the right inverse of q is: $q^{-1} = |q|^{-1}\bar{q}$

2.2. Quaternionic functions. Let U be an open set of $\mathbb{H} \cong \mathbb{C}^2$ and $f \in C^\infty(U, \mathbb{H})$, then $f = f_1 + f_2\mathbf{j}$, where $f_1, f_2 \in C^\infty(U, \mathbb{C})$. The complex valued functions f_1, f_2 will be called the *components* of f .

2.3. Definitions. Let U be an open neighborhood of 0 in $\mathbb{H} \cong \mathbb{C}^2$.

(a) From now on, we will consider the quaternionic functions $f = f_1 + f_2\mathbf{j}$ having the following properties:

(i) When f_1 and f_2 are not holomorphic, the set $Z(f_1) \cap Z(f_2)$ is discrete on U ;

(ii) for every $q \in Z(f_1) \cap Z(f_2)$, $J_q^\alpha(\cdot)$ denoting the *jet of order α at q* (see [M66]), let $m_i = \sup_{\alpha_i} J_q^{\alpha_i}(f_i) = 0$; $m_i, i = 1, 2$, is finite.

$m_q = \inf m_i$ is the *order of the zeroe q of f* .

(b) We will also consider the quaternionic functions defined almost everywhere on U (i.e. outside a locally finite set of C^∞ hypersurfaces, namely $Z(f_1), Z(f_2)$).

2.4. Modified Cauchy-Fueter operator $\mathcal{D}$. Hyperholomorphic functions.

See [CLSS07, F39].

For $f \in C^\infty(U, \mathbb{H})$, with $f = f_1 + f_2\mathbf{j}$,

$$\mathcal{D}f(q) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_1} + \mathbf{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_2} \right) f(q).$$

A function $f \in C^\infty(U, \mathbb{H})$ is said *hyperholomorphic* if $\mathcal{D}f = 0$.

Characterization of the hyperholomorphic function f on U :

$$\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \bar{z}_1} - \frac{\partial \bar{f}_2}{\partial z_2} = 0; \quad \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \bar{z}_2} + \frac{\partial \bar{f}_2}{\partial z_1} = 0, \quad \text{on } U. \quad (1)$$

2.5. Several families of meromorphic functions. The conditions f_1 is holomorphic and f_2 is holomorphic are equivalent on U ; the same is true for almost everywhere defined holomorphic functions on U .

By definition, *holomorphic (almost everywhere defined functions of two complex variables on U are such that $f_2 = 0$, and f_1 is (almost everywhere) holomorphic.*

2.5.1. *Consider the almost everywhere defined hyperholomorphic functions on U whose components are real.*

$$f = f_1 + f_2 \mathbf{j}$$

According to a remark of Guy Roos in March 2013, they are almost everywhere holomorphic [R13].

2.5.2. *The above considered almost everywhere holomorphic functions are meromorphic and constitute two $\mathbb{H}$ -commutative algebras A_1, A_2 , with common origin 0. Let $f = a + b\mathbf{i}$, and $g = c + d\mathbf{j}$, with $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ be two almost everywhere defined holomorphic functions i.e. meromorphic functions on U .*

A_1 is the set of the meromorphic functions $f = a + b\mathbf{i}$, and A_2 is the set of meromorphic functions $g = c + d\mathbf{j}$, with $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$

The sums $f + g = a + c + d\mathbf{j} + b\mathbf{i}$ constitute the algebra $A_1 + A_2$ of meromorphic functions.

More generally, $A_{\alpha, \beta} = \alpha A_1 + \beta A_2$, with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ is an algebra of meromorphic functions on U .

$$A_{\alpha, \beta} = \sum_{a, b, c, d, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}} \alpha(a + b\mathbf{i}) + \beta(c + d\mathbf{j})$$

2.5.3. *We now begin to introduce multiplication for hyperholomorphic functions, addition and scalar multiplication being obvious.*

2.6. Multiplication of almost everywhere defined hyperholomorphic functions.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let f', f'' be two almost everywhere defined hyperholomorphic functions. Then, their product $f' * f''$ satisfies:*

$$\mathcal{D}(f' * f'') = \mathcal{D}f' * \mathbf{j}f'' + (f'(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_1}) + \bar{f}'\mathbf{j}\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_2})f''$$

Proof. $f' = f'_1 + f'_2\mathbf{j}$, $f'' = f''_1 + f''_2\mathbf{j}$ be two hyperholomorphic functions.

$$\text{We have: } f' * f'' = (f'_1 + f'_2\mathbf{j})(f''_1 + f''_2\mathbf{j}) = f'_1f''_1 - f'_2\bar{f}''_2 + (f'_1f''_2 + f'_2\bar{f}''_1)\mathbf{j}$$

Compute

$$\frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_1} + \mathbf{j}\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_2})(f'_1f''_1 - f'_2\bar{f}''_2 + (f'_1f''_2 + f'_2\bar{f}''_1)\mathbf{j})$$

By derivation of the first factors of the sum $f' * f''$, we get the first term:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial f'_1}{\partial \bar{z}_1} + \mathbf{j}\frac{\partial f'_1}{\partial \bar{z}_2})(f''_1 + f''_2\mathbf{j}) + \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial f'_2}{\partial \bar{z}_1} + \mathbf{j}\frac{\partial f'_2}{\partial \bar{z}_2})\mathbf{j}(\bar{f}''_2 - \bar{f}''_1\mathbf{j}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial f'_1}{\partial \bar{z}_1} + \mathbf{j}\frac{\partial f'_1}{\partial \bar{z}_2})(f''_1 + f''_2\mathbf{j}) + \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial f'_2\mathbf{j}}{\partial \bar{z}_1} + \mathbf{j}\frac{\partial f'_2\mathbf{j}}{\partial \bar{z}_2})\mathbf{j}(f''_2\mathbf{j} + f''_1) = \mathcal{D}f' * \mathbf{j}f'' \end{aligned}$$

By derivation in

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_1} + \mathbf{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_2} \right) (f'_1 f''_1 + f'_2 \mathbf{j} f''_2 \mathbf{j} + (f'_1 f''_2 \mathbf{j} + f'_2 \mathbf{j} f''_1))$$

of the second factors of the sum $f' * f''$, we get the second term (up to factor $\frac{1}{2}$):

$$\begin{aligned} & f'_1 \frac{\partial f''_1}{\partial \bar{z}_1} + \bar{f}'_1 \mathbf{j} \frac{\partial f''_1}{\partial \bar{z}_2} + f'_1 \frac{\partial f''_2}{\partial \bar{z}_1} \mathbf{j} + \bar{f}'_1 \mathbf{j} \frac{\partial f''_2}{\partial \bar{z}_2} \mathbf{j} \\ & \quad + f'_2 \mathbf{j} \frac{\partial f''_2}{\partial \bar{z}_1} \mathbf{j} + \bar{f}'_2 \mathbf{j} \frac{\partial f''_2}{\partial \bar{z}_2} + f'_2 \mathbf{j} \frac{\partial f''_1}{\partial \bar{z}_1} + \bar{f}'_2 \mathbf{j} \mathbf{j} \frac{\partial f''_1}{\partial \bar{z}_2} \\ & = (f'_1 + f'_2 \mathbf{j}) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_1} \right) (f''_1 + f''_2 \mathbf{j}) + (\bar{f}'_1 + \bar{f}'_2 \mathbf{j}) \mathbf{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_2} (f''_1 + f''_2 \mathbf{j}) \\ & = ((f'_1 + f'_2 \mathbf{j}) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_1} \right) + (\bar{f}'_1 + \bar{f}'_2 \mathbf{j}) \mathbf{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_2}) (f''_1 + f''_2 \mathbf{j}) \\ & \quad = (f' \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_1} \right) + \bar{f}' \mathbf{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_2}) f''. \end{aligned}$$

■

3. Almost everywhere hyperholomorphic functions whose inverses are almost everywhere hyperholomorphic.

3.1. Definitions. We call *inverse* of a quaternionic function $f : q \mapsto f(q)$, the function defined almost everywhere on U : $q \mapsto f(q)^{-1}$; then: $f^{-1} = |f|^{-1} \bar{f}$, where $\bar{f}$ is the (quaternionic) conjugate of f , then: $f^{-1} = |f|^{-1} (\bar{f}_1 - f_2 \mathbf{j})$.

Behavior of f^{-1} at $q \in Z(f)$. Let $n_1 = \sup J_q^\alpha(|f| \bar{f}_1^{-1})$; $n_2 = \sup J_q^\alpha(|f| \bar{f}_2^{-1})$.

Define : $n_q = \sup n_i$, $i = 1, 2$ as the *order of the pole* q of f^{-1} .

3.2. Characterisation.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *the function f and its right inverse are hyperholomorphic, when they are defined;*
- (ii) *we have the equations:*

$$(\bar{f}_1 - f_1) \frac{\partial \bar{f}_1}{\partial z_1} - \bar{f}_2 \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial z_1} - f_2 \frac{\partial \bar{f}_1}{\partial \bar{z}_2} = 0$$

$$\bar{f}_2 \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z_1} + \frac{\partial \bar{f}_2}{\partial z_1} (\bar{f}_1 - f_1) - f_2 \frac{\partial \bar{f}_2}{\partial \bar{z}_2} = 0$$

Proof. Let $f = f_1 + f_2 \mathbf{j}$ be a hyperholomorphic function and $g = g_1 + g_2 \mathbf{j} = |f|^{-1} (\bar{f}_1 - f_2 \mathbf{j})$

its inverse; so $g_1 = |f|^{-1}\bar{f}_1$; $g_2 = -|f|^{-1}f_2$, where $|f| = (f_1\bar{f}_1 + f_2\bar{f}_2)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}g(q) &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{z}_1} + \mathbf{j}\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{z}_2}\right)g(q) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial g_1}{\partial\bar{z}_1} - \frac{\partial\bar{g}_2}{\partial z_2}\right)(q) + \mathbf{j}\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial g_1}{\partial\bar{z}_2} + \frac{\partial\bar{g}_2}{\partial z_1}\right)(q) \\ \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial\bar{z}_1} &= |f|^{-1}\frac{\partial\bar{f}_1}{\partial\bar{z}_1} - |f|^{-2}\bar{f}_1\left(\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial\bar{z}_1}\bar{f}_1 + f_1\frac{\partial\bar{f}_1}{\partial\bar{z}_1} + \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial\bar{z}_1}\bar{f}_2 + f_2\frac{\partial\bar{f}_2}{\partial\bar{z}_1}\right) \\ -\frac{\partial\bar{g}_2}{\partial z_2} &= |f|^{-1}\frac{\partial\bar{f}_2}{\partial z_2} - |f|^{-2}\bar{f}_2\left(\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z_2}\bar{f}_1 + f_1\frac{\partial\bar{f}_1}{\partial z_2} + \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial z_2}\bar{f}_2 + f_2\frac{\partial\bar{f}_2}{\partial z_2}\right) \\ \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial\bar{z}_2} &= |f|^{-1}\frac{\partial\bar{f}_1}{\partial\bar{z}_2} - |f|^{-2}\bar{f}_1\left(\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial\bar{z}_2}\bar{f}_1 + f_1\frac{\partial\bar{f}_1}{\partial\bar{z}_2} + \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial\bar{z}_2}\bar{f}_2 + f_2\frac{\partial\bar{f}_2}{\partial\bar{z}_2}\right) \\ \frac{\partial\bar{g}_2}{\partial z_1} &= -|f|^{-1}\frac{\partial\bar{f}_2}{\partial z_1} + |f|^{-2}\bar{f}_2\left(\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z_1}\bar{f}_1 + f_1\frac{\partial\bar{f}_1}{\partial z_1} + \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial z_1}\bar{f}_2 + f_2\frac{\partial\bar{f}_2}{\partial z_1}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$2|f|^2\mathcal{D}g$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= (f_1\bar{f}_1 + f_2\bar{f}_2)\left(\frac{\partial\bar{f}_1}{\partial\bar{z}_1} + \frac{\partial\bar{f}_2}{\partial z_2}\right) - \bar{f}_1f_1\frac{\partial\bar{f}_1}{\partial\bar{z}_1} - \bar{f}_1\bar{f}_1\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial\bar{z}_1} - \bar{f}_1f_2\frac{\partial\bar{f}_2}{\partial\bar{z}_1} - \bar{f}_1\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial\bar{z}_1} \\ &\quad - \bar{f}_1\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z_2} - f_1\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial\bar{f}_1}{\partial z_2} - \bar{f}_2\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial z_2} - f_2\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial\bar{f}_2}{\partial z_2} \\ &\quad + \mathbf{j}\left((f_1\bar{f}_1 + f_2\bar{f}_2)\left(\frac{\partial\bar{f}_1}{\partial\bar{z}_2} - \frac{\partial\bar{f}_2}{\partial z_1}\right) - \bar{f}_1\bar{f}_1\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial\bar{z}_2} - \bar{f}_1f_1\frac{\partial\bar{f}_1}{\partial\bar{z}_2} - \bar{f}_1\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial\bar{z}_2} - \bar{f}_1f_2\frac{\partial\bar{f}_2}{\partial\bar{z}_2}\right. \\ &\quad \left.+ \bar{f}_1\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z_1} + f_1\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial\bar{f}_1}{\partial z_1} + \bar{f}_2\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial z_1} + f_2\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial\bar{f}_2}{\partial z_1}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Use the fact: f is hyperholomorphic:

$$(1) \quad \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial\bar{z}_1} - \frac{\partial\bar{f}_2}{\partial z_2} = 0; \quad \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial\bar{z}_2} + \frac{\partial\bar{f}_2}{\partial z_1} = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2|f|^2\mathcal{D}g &= \\ f_1\bar{f}_1\frac{\partial\bar{f}_2}{\partial z_2} + f_2\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial\bar{f}_1}{\partial\bar{z}_1} - \bar{f}_1\bar{f}_1\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial\bar{z}_1} - \bar{f}_1f_2\frac{\partial\bar{f}_2}{\partial\bar{z}_1} - \bar{f}_1\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z_2} - \bar{f}_2\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial z_2} + \bar{f}_2\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial\bar{z}_1}(f_1 - \bar{f}_1) + \\ + \mathbf{j}\left(+f_2\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial\bar{f}_1}{\partial\bar{z}_2} - \bar{f}_1\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial\bar{z}_2} - \bar{f}_1f_2\frac{\partial\bar{f}_2}{\partial\bar{z}_2} + \bar{f}_1\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial\bar{z}_2}(f_1 - \bar{f}_1) + \bar{f}_1\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z_1} + f_1\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial\bar{f}_1}{\partial z_1} + \bar{f}_2\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial z_1}\right) \end{aligned}$$

f being hyperholomorphic, g hyperholomorphic is equivalent to the system of two equations:

$$\begin{aligned} &+f_1\bar{f}_1\frac{\partial\bar{f}_2}{\partial z_2} + f_2\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial\bar{f}_1}{\partial\bar{z}_1} - \bar{f}_1\bar{f}_1\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial\bar{z}_1} - \bar{f}_1f_2\frac{\partial\bar{f}_2}{\partial\bar{z}_1} - \bar{f}_1\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z_2} - \bar{f}_2\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial z_2} + \bar{f}_2\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial\bar{z}_1}(f_1 - \bar{f}_1) = 0 \\ &+f_2\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial\bar{f}_1}{\partial\bar{z}_2} - \bar{f}_1\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial\bar{z}_2} - \bar{f}_1f_2\frac{\partial\bar{f}_2}{\partial\bar{z}_2} + \bar{f}_1\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial\bar{z}_2}(f_1 - \bar{f}_1) + \bar{f}_1\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z_1} + f_1\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial\bar{f}_1}{\partial z_1} + \bar{f}_2\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial z_1} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

f_1 and f_2 satisfy, by conjugation of the second equation:

$$+f_2\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z_1} - f_1\bar{f}_1\frac{\partial\bar{f}_1}{\partial z_1} - f_1\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial z_1} + f_2\frac{\partial\bar{f}_2}{\partial z_1}(\bar{f}_1 - f_1) + f_1\bar{f}_1\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial\bar{z}_2} - f_1f_2\frac{\partial\bar{f}_1}{\partial\bar{z}_2} - f_2f_2\frac{\partial\bar{f}_2}{\partial\bar{z}_2} = 0$$

$$+\bar{f}_1\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z_1}+f_1\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial \bar{f}_1}{\partial z_1}+\bar{f}_2\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial z_1}+f_2\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial \bar{f}_1}{\partial \bar{z}_2}-\bar{f}_1\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial \bar{z}_2}-\bar{f}_1f_2\frac{\partial \bar{f}_2}{\partial \bar{z}_2}+\bar{f}_1\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \bar{z}_2}(f_1-\bar{f}_1)=0$$

Using (1), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} &+f_2\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z_1}+f_1(\bar{f}_1-f_1)\frac{\partial \bar{f}_1}{\partial z_1}-f_1\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial z_1}+f_2\frac{\partial \bar{f}_2}{\partial z_1}(\bar{f}_1-f_1)-f_1f_2\frac{\partial \bar{f}_1}{\partial \bar{z}_2}-f_2f_2\frac{\partial \bar{f}_2}{\partial \bar{z}_2}=0 \\ &+\bar{f}_1\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z_1}+(f_1-\bar{f}_1)\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial \bar{f}_1}{\partial z_1}+\bar{f}_2\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial z_1}+\bar{f}_1\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \bar{z}_2}(f_1-\bar{f}_1)+f_2\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial \bar{f}_1}{\partial \bar{z}_2}-\bar{f}_1f_2\frac{\partial \bar{f}_2}{\partial \bar{z}_2}=0 \end{aligned}$$

Assume $f_1 \neq 0, f_2 \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} &\bar{f}_1(f_2\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z_1}+f_1(\bar{f}_1-f_1)\frac{\partial \bar{f}_1}{\partial z_1}-f_1\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial z_1}+f_2\frac{\partial \bar{f}_2}{\partial z_1}(\bar{f}_1-f_1)-f_1f_2\frac{\partial \bar{f}_1}{\partial \bar{z}_2}-f_2f_2\frac{\partial \bar{f}_2}{\partial \bar{z}_2})=0 \\ &-f_2(+\bar{f}_1\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z_1}+(f_1-\bar{f}_1)\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial \bar{f}_1}{\partial z_1}+\bar{f}_2\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial z_1}-\bar{f}_1\frac{\partial \bar{f}_2}{\partial z_1}(f_1-\bar{f}_1)+f_2\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial \bar{f}_1}{\partial \bar{z}_2}-\bar{f}_1f_2\frac{\partial \bar{f}_2}{\partial \bar{z}_2})=0 \end{aligned}$$

By sum:

$$\begin{aligned} &\bar{f}_1(f_1(\bar{f}_1-f_1)\frac{\partial \bar{f}_1}{\partial z_1}-f_1\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial z_1}+f_2\frac{\partial \bar{f}_2}{\partial z_1}(\bar{f}_1-f_1)-f_1f_2\frac{\partial \bar{f}_1}{\partial \bar{z}_2}) \\ &-f_2((f_1-\bar{f}_1)\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial \bar{f}_1}{\partial z_1}+\bar{f}_2\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial z_1}-\bar{f}_1\frac{\partial \bar{f}_2}{\partial z_1}(f_1-\bar{f}_1)+f_2\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial \bar{f}_1}{\partial \bar{z}_2})=0 \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$(\bar{f}_1f_1+f_2\bar{f}_2)((\bar{f}_1-f_1)\frac{\partial \bar{f}_1}{\partial z_1}-\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial z_1}-f_2\frac{\partial \bar{f}_1}{\partial \bar{z}_2})=0$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\bar{f}_2(f_2\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z_1}+f_1(\bar{f}_1-f_1)\frac{\partial \bar{f}_1}{\partial z_1}-f_1\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial z_1}+f_2\frac{\partial \bar{f}_2}{\partial z_1}(\bar{f}_1-f_1)-f_1f_2\frac{\partial \bar{f}_1}{\partial \bar{z}_2}-f_2f_2\frac{\partial \bar{f}_2}{\partial \bar{z}_2})=0 \\ &f_1(+\bar{f}_1\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z_1}+(f_1-\bar{f}_1)\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial \bar{f}_1}{\partial z_1}+\bar{f}_2\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial z_1}-\bar{f}_1\frac{\partial \bar{f}_2}{\partial z_1}(f_1-\bar{f}_1)+f_2\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial \bar{f}_1}{\partial \bar{z}_2}-\bar{f}_1f_2\frac{\partial \bar{f}_2}{\partial \bar{z}_2})=0 \end{aligned}$$

By sum

$$\begin{aligned} &\bar{f}_2(f_2\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z_1}+f_2\frac{\partial \bar{f}_2}{\partial z_1}(\bar{f}_1-f_1)-f_2f_2\frac{\partial \bar{f}_2}{\partial \bar{z}_2}) \\ &+f_1(\bar{f}_1\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z_1}-\bar{f}_1\frac{\partial \bar{f}_2}{\partial z_1}(f_1-\bar{f}_1)-\bar{f}_1f_2\frac{\partial \bar{f}_2}{\partial \bar{z}_2})=0 \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\bar{f}_2\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z_1}+\frac{\partial \bar{f}_2}{\partial z_1}(\bar{f}_1-f_1)-f_2\frac{\partial \bar{f}_2}{\partial \bar{z}_2}=0$$

■

3.3. Definition. We will call *w-hypermeromorphic function* (w- for *weak*) any almost everywhere defined hyperholomorphic function whose right inverse is hyperholomorphic almost everywhere.

4. On the spaces of hypermeromorphic functions.

4.1. Sum of two w-hypermeromorphic functions.

PROPOSITION 4.1. *If f and g are two w-hypermeromorphic functions, then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *the sum $h = f + g$ is w-hypermeromorphic;*
- (ii) *h satisfies the following PDE:*

$$-\left(\frac{\partial|h|}{\partial\bar{z}_1} + \mathbf{j}\frac{\partial|h|}{\partial\bar{z}_2}\right)(\bar{h}_1 - h_2\mathbf{j}) + |h|\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{z}_1} + \mathbf{j}\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{z}_2}\right)(\bar{h}_1 - h_2\mathbf{j}) = 0$$

Proof. Explicit the condition:

$$|h|^2\mathcal{D}(h^{-1}) = -\mathcal{D}(|h|)(\bar{h}) + |h|\mathcal{D}(\bar{h}) = 0;$$

with $\bar{h} = \bar{h}_1 - h_2\mathbf{j}$

$$2\mathcal{D}\bar{h} = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{z}_1} + \mathbf{j}\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{z}_2}\right)(\bar{h}_1 - h_2\mathbf{j}) = \frac{\partial\bar{h}_1}{\partial\bar{z}_1} + \frac{\partial\bar{h}_2}{\partial\bar{z}_2} - \left(\frac{\partial h_2}{\partial\bar{z}_1} - \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial\bar{z}_2}\right)\mathbf{j}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}(|h|) &= \mathcal{D}(h_1\bar{h}_1 + h_2\bar{h}_2) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{z}_1} + \mathbf{j}\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{z}_2}\right)(h_1\bar{h}_1 + h_2\bar{h}_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{h}_1\frac{\partial h_1}{\partial\bar{z}_1} + \bar{h}_2\frac{\partial h_2}{\partial\bar{z}_1} + h_1\frac{\partial\bar{h}_1}{\partial\bar{z}_1} + h_2\frac{\partial\bar{h}_2}{\partial\bar{z}_1}\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{h}_1\frac{\partial h_1}{\partial\bar{z}_2} + \bar{h}_2\frac{\partial h_2}{\partial\bar{z}_2} + h_1\frac{\partial\bar{h}_1}{\partial\bar{z}_2} + h_2\frac{\partial\bar{h}_2}{\partial\bar{z}_2}\right)\mathbf{j} = 0.\end{aligned}$$

■

4.2. Product of two w-hypermeromorphic functions.

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Let f, g be two w-hypermeromorphic functions on U , then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *the product $f * g$ is w-hypermeromorphic;*
- (ii) *f and g satisfy the system of PDE:*

$$g_1\left(\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial\bar{z}_1} + \frac{\partial\bar{f}_2}{\partial\bar{z}_2}\right) + (f_1 - \bar{f}_1)\frac{\partial g_1}{\partial\bar{z}_1} + \bar{f}_2\frac{\partial g_1}{\partial\bar{z}_2} - f_2\frac{\partial\bar{g}_2}{\partial\bar{z}_1} = 0$$

$$g_1\left(\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial\bar{z}_2} - \frac{\partial\bar{f}_2}{\partial\bar{z}_1}\right) + (f_1 - \bar{f}_1)\frac{\partial g_1}{\partial\bar{z}_2} - \bar{f}_2\frac{\partial g_1}{\partial\bar{z}_1} - f_2\frac{\partial\bar{g}_2}{\partial\bar{z}_2} = 0$$

Proof. Let $f = f_1 + f_2\mathbf{j}$ and $g = g_1 + g_2\mathbf{j}$ two hypermeromorphic functions and $f * g =$

$f_1g_1 - f_2\bar{g}_2 + (f_1g_2 - f_2\bar{g}_1)\mathbf{j}$ their product, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \bar{z}_1} - \frac{\partial \bar{f}_2}{\partial z_2} = 0; \\
& \frac{\partial(f_1g_1 - f_2\bar{g}_2)}{\partial \bar{z}_1} - \frac{\partial(\bar{f}_1\bar{g}_2 - \bar{f}_2g_1)}{\partial z_2} \\
& = g_1\left(\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \bar{z}_1} + \frac{\partial \bar{f}_2}{\partial z_2}\right) - \bar{g}_2\left(\frac{\partial \bar{f}_1}{\partial z_2} + \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial \bar{z}_1}\right) + f_1\frac{\partial g_1}{\partial \bar{z}_1} - \bar{f}_1\frac{\partial \bar{g}_2}{\partial z_2} + \bar{f}_2\frac{\partial g_1}{\partial z_2} - f_2\frac{\partial \bar{g}_2}{\partial \bar{z}_1} = 0 \\
& g_1\left(\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \bar{z}_1} + \frac{\partial \bar{f}_2}{\partial z_2}\right) + f_1\frac{\partial g_1}{\partial \bar{z}_1} - \bar{f}_1\frac{\partial \bar{g}_2}{\partial z_2} + \bar{f}_2\frac{\partial g_1}{\partial z_2} - f_2\frac{\partial \bar{g}_2}{\partial \bar{z}_1} = 0. \\
& \frac{\partial(f_1g_1 - f_2\bar{g}_2)}{\partial \bar{z}_2} + \frac{\partial(\bar{f}_1\bar{g}_2 - \bar{f}_2g_1)}{\partial z_1} \\
& = g_1\left(\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \bar{z}_2} - \frac{\partial \bar{f}_2}{\partial z_1}\right) + \bar{g}_2\left(\frac{\partial \bar{f}_1}{\partial z_1} - \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial \bar{z}_2}\right) + f_1\frac{\partial g_1}{\partial \bar{z}_2} - \bar{f}_1\frac{\partial \bar{g}_2}{\partial z_1} + \bar{f}_2\frac{\partial g_1}{\partial z_1} - f_2\frac{\partial \bar{g}_2}{\partial \bar{z}_2} = 0 \\
& g_1\left(\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \bar{z}_2} - \frac{\partial \bar{f}_2}{\partial z_1}\right) + f_1\frac{\partial g_1}{\partial \bar{z}_2} + \bar{f}_1\frac{\partial \bar{g}_2}{\partial z_1} - \bar{f}_2\frac{\partial g_1}{\partial z_1} - f_2\frac{\partial \bar{g}_2}{\partial \bar{z}_2} = 0
\end{aligned}$$

■

4.3. Definition. We will call *hypermeromorphic* the w-hypermeromorphic functions whose sum and product are w-hypermeromorphic. Their space is nonempty, since it contains the space of the meromorphic functions.

5. Globalisation. Hamilton 4-manifold.

5.1. The hypermeromorphic functions on a relatively compact open set U of $\mathbb{H}$ play the part of the meromorphic functions on a relatively compact open set U of $\mathbb{C}$. We will call *pseudoholomorphic function on U* , every hypermeromorphic function, without poles on U . We will call *smooth hypermeromorphic function (sha function) on U* , every hypermeromorphic function, without zeroes and poles on U .

LEMMA 5.1. *The quotient of two pseudoholomorphic functions on U , with the same zeroes and the same orders, is a sha function on U .*

5.2. Manifolds. The sha functions have been defined on open sets of $\mathbb{H} \cong \mathbb{C}^2$. Let X be a 4-dimensional manifold bearing an atlas $\mathcal{A}$ of charts (h_j, U_j) such as the transition functions $h_{i,j} : U_i \cap U_j \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ are sha functions. $X = (X, \mathcal{A})$ will be called an $\mathcal{A}$ -manifold analogous for $\mathbb{H}$ of a Riemann surface for $\mathbb{C}$. I also propose to call an $\mathcal{A}$ -manifold a *Hamilton 4-manifold*.

5.3. Sheaves of pseudoholomorphic, hypermeromorphic functions.

5.3.1. Functions on an $\mathcal{A}$ -manifold $X = (X, \mathcal{A})$. A map $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is called a *pseudoholomorphic function* on X , if it is continuous and satisfies the following condition: for every chart (h, U) of X , $(f|U)h^{-1} : h(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is a pseudoholomorphic. In the same way, a map $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is called a *hypermeromorphic function* on X , if it is continuous and satisfies the following condition: for every chart (h, U) of X , $(f|U)h^{-1} : h(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is a hypermeromorphic.

5.3.2. Examples of Hamilton 4-manifold. The identity map of $\mathbb{H}$ is: $z_1 + z_2\mathbf{j} \mapsto z_1 + z_2\mathbf{j}$.

Ex. 1: $(id_{\mathbb{H}}, \mathbb{H})$ is the unique chart of the atlas defining $\mathbb{H}$ as an $\mathcal{A}$ -manifold. *Proof.* The identity map $(id_{\mathbb{H}} \text{ is } f_1 = z_1, f_2 = z_2 \text{ is pseudoholomorphic.}$

Ex. 2: Every open set V of X bears an induced structure of *Hamilton 4-manifold*.

Ex. 3: *Hamilton hypersphere* $\mathbb{HP}$.

In the space $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H} \setminus \{0\}$, consider the equivalence relation $\rho_1 \mathcal{R} \rho_2$: there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{H}^* = \mathbb{H} \setminus 0$ such that $\rho_2 = \rho_1 \lambda$ (right multiplication by λ). The elements of $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H} \setminus \{0\}$ are the pairs $(q_1, q_2) \neq (0, 0)$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \pi : \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H} \setminus \{0\} &\rightarrow (\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H} \setminus \{0\}) / \mathcal{R} \text{ denoted } \mathbb{HP}. \\ (q_1, q_2) &\mapsto \text{class of } (q_1, q_2) \end{aligned}$$

So, $\mathbb{HP}$ is the set of the quaternionic lines from the origin of $\mathbb{H}^2$.

Consider the pairs $(q_1, q_2) \in \mathbb{H}^2$, with $q_2 \neq 0$ we have: $\pi(q_1, q_2) = \pi(q_1 q_2^{-1}, 1)$; let $\zeta = q_1 q_2^{-1}$, $q_2 \neq 0$; in the same way, consider the pairs $(q_1, q_2) \in \mathbb{H}^2$, with $q_1 \neq 0$ we have: $\pi(q_1, q_2) = \pi(1, q_2 q_1^{-1})$; let $\zeta' = q_2 q_1^{-1}$, $q_1 \neq 0$. The charts ζ, ζ' have for domains U, U' , two open sets of $\mathbb{HP}$, respectively homeomorphic to $\mathbb{H}$ forming an atlas of $\mathbb{HP}$. Remark that U covers the whole of $\mathbb{HP}$ except the point $\pi(q_1, 0)$ denoted ∞ , and that U' covers the whole of $\mathbb{HP}$ except the point $\pi(0, q_2)$ denoted 0 . $U' = \mathbb{HP} \setminus \{0\}$. Over $U \cap U'$, we have: $\zeta \cdot \zeta' = 1$, i.e. $\zeta' = \zeta^{-1}$ and $\zeta = q_1 q_2^{-1}$.

5.3.3. Pseudoholomorphic map or morphism.

Let X and Y be two Hamilton 4-manifolds, a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said pseudoholomorphic if it is continuous and if, for every pair of pseudoholomorphic charts $(h, U), (k, V)$ such that $f(U) \subset V$,

$$k(f|U)h^{-1} : h(U) \rightarrow k(V) \text{ be pseudoholomorphic.}$$

5.3.4. Sheaf of pseudoholomorphic functions. Let U, V be two open sets of X such that $U \subset V$, then, the restrictions to U of the pseudoholomorphic functions on V are pseudoholomorphic on U .

So is defined the *sheaf*, denoted $\mathcal{P}$, of (non commutative rings) of *pseudoholomorphic functions on X* . The pair $(X, \mathcal{P})$ is a *ringed space*.

In the same way, the *sheaf* of non commutative rings, denoted $\mathcal{M}$, of *hypermeromorphic functions is defined on X* .

5.3.5. Hamiltonian Submanifolds. They are submanifolds whose function ring is pseudoholomorphic. We will implicitly use the following fact: If f is a pseudoholomorphic or hypermeromorphic function, the same is true for $a + f$, where a is any fixed quaternion.

The following examples are complex analytic submanifolds.

i) $\mathbb{H}$. Let a be a fixed quaternion, then $a + \mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{H}$ is a *complex line from a embedded in $\mathbb{H}$* .

ii) $\mathbb{HP}$. *Complex projective line imbedded in $\mathbb{HP}$* . Let $i : z_1 \mapsto z_1 + z_2\mathbf{j}$ and $j : \mathbb{CP} \mapsto \mathbb{HP}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \setminus 0 &\rightarrow \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H} \setminus \{0\} \\ i \times i \downarrow &\downarrow \\ (\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \setminus 0) / \mathcal{R}' &\rightarrow (\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H} \setminus \{0\}) / \mathcal{R} \end{aligned}$$

Let $p \in \mathbb{HP}$ be a fixed point. Then, $p + \mathbb{CP}^1$ is a *complex projective line* (or Riemann sphere) *from p , embedded in $\mathbb{HP}$* .

iii) Let S be a compact Riemann surface contained in $\mathbb{H}^p$ as a Hamiltonian submanifold. Then $p + S$ is a *compact Riemann surface from p , embedded in $\mathbb{H}^p$* .

5.3.6. *A family of complex submanifolds in a Hamilton 4-manifold.* We now use the properties and notions of subsection 2.5.2. They are $a + A_{\alpha,\beta}$ and also for restrictions to an open set U of $\mathbb{H}$.

On a Hamilton 4-manifold X with an atlas $\mathcal{A}$ and every domain of chart U as above, we obtain:

PROPOSITION 5.2. *Let $(X, \mathcal{P})$ be a Hamilton 4-manifold. There exist a family of complex analytic curves $C_{b,\gamma,\delta}$, of X . For every U domain of coordinates in $\mathcal{A}$ let $A_{\gamma,\delta}$. By gluing, we get a complex analytic curve in $(X, \mathcal{P})$ from $b \in X$, and γ, δ are real parameters.*

Proof. Let $b \in X; \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ be given, consider an atlas $\mathcal{A}$ whose domains of charts are either open sets U of X disjoint from $A_{\beta,\gamma}$, or $V_{\beta,\gamma} = U \cup (A_{\beta,\gamma} \cap U)$ where $A_{\beta,\gamma} \cap U$ is connected, not empty. The restrictions of the charts of $\mathcal{A}$ to the $U \cup (A_{\beta,\gamma} \cap U)$ define an atlas of $C_{b,\gamma,\delta}$ as complex analytic subvariety of $(X, \mathcal{P})$, in the following way: assume $b \in V_{\beta,\gamma} \cap A_{\beta,\gamma} \cap U$ and consider the open sets analogous to $V_{\beta,\gamma}$ such that the various $V_{\beta,\gamma}$ be connected. Then the corresponding $A_{\beta,\gamma} \cap U$ constitute a covering of the unique complex analytic curve $C_{b,\gamma,\delta}$. ■

5.3.7. Let C be a complex analytic curve embedded into X and an atlas $\mathcal{A}$ such that every chart of domain U meeting C satisfies: $U \cap C$ is connected

THEOREM 5.3. *The set of complex analytic curves in X is the family $C_{b,\gamma,\delta}$.*

6. Hamilton 4-manifold of a hypermeromorphic function.

6.1. Analytic continuation along a path. [D90, p. 116]

Let X be a Hamilton 4-manifold, $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ a continuous path from a to b , $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}_a$ a germ of pseudoholomorphic function at a .

Let $\tau \in [0, 1]$ and $\varphi_\tau \in \mathcal{P}_{\gamma(\tau)}$, there exists an open neighborhood U_τ of $\gamma(\tau)$ in X and a pseudoholomorphic function $f_\tau \in \mathcal{P}(U_\tau)$ such that $\rho_{\gamma(\tau)}^{U_\tau} f_\tau = \varphi_\tau$. γ being continuous, it exists an open neighborhood W_τ of τ in $[0, 1]$ such that $\gamma(W_\tau) \subset U_\tau$.

6.2. Definition. A germ $\psi \in \mathcal{P}_b$ is said to be *the analytic continuation of φ along γ* if there exists a family $(\varphi_t)_{t \in [0, 1]}$ such that:

- 1) $\varphi_0 = \varphi$ and $\varphi_1 = \psi$.
- 2) for every $\tau \in [0, 1]$, for every $t \in W_\tau$, we have: $\rho_{\gamma(\tau)}^{U_\tau} f_\tau = \varphi_\tau$

THEOREM 6.1. Identity theorem. *Let X be a connected Hamilton 4-manifold and $f_1, f_2 : X \rightarrow Y$ be two morphisms which coincide in the neighborhood of a point $x_0 \in X$, then f_1, f_2 coincide on X .*

Proof as for Riemann surfaces, [D90, ch. 5].

THEOREM 6.2. *Let X be a simply connected Hamilton 4-manifold, $a \in X$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}_a$ be a germ having an analytic continuation along every path from a . Then there exists a unique function $f \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ such that $\rho_a^X f = \varphi$.*

(cf. [D90, ch. 5, 4.1.5])

Let $p : Y \rightarrow X$ be a morphism of two Hamilton 4-manifolds; p is locally bi-pseudoholomorphic, then it defines, for every $y \in Y$, an isomorphism $p_y : \mathcal{P}_{x,p(y)} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{Y,y}$; this defines: $p_* = p_{*y} = (p_y^*)^{-1}$.

6.3. Definition. Let X be a Hamilton 4-manifold, $a \in X$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}_a$. A quadruple (Y, p, f, b) is called an *analytic continuation* of φ if:

- (i) Y is a Hamilton 4-manifold, $p : Y \rightarrow X$ is a morphism;
- (ii) f is a pseudoholomorphic function on Y ;
- (iii) $b \in p^{-1}(a) \subset Y$; $p_*(\rho_b^Y f) = \varphi$.

An analytic continuation is said to be *maximal* if it is solution of the following universal map problem: for every analytic continuation (Z, q, g, c) of φ , there exists a fibered morphism $F : Z \rightarrow Y$ such that $F(c) = b$ and $F^*(f) = g$. Hence

If (Y, p, f, b) is a maximal analytic continuation of φ , it is unique up to an isomorphism. Y is called the *Hamilton 4-manifold* of φ .

THEOREM 6.3. Let X be a Hamilton 4-manifold, $a \in X$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}_a$. Then there exists a maximal analytic continuation of φ .

6.4. Remark. Then, we will say that the above function f is the *unique maximal analytic continuation* of the germ φ . Moreover, the above definitions and results of the section 2 are valid for the sheaf $\mathcal{M}$ of hypermeromorphic functions instead of the sheaf $\mathcal{P}$.

6.5. Main result.

THEOREM 6.4. Let X be a Hamilton 4-manifold and $P(T) = T^n + c_1 T^{n-1} + \dots + c_n \in \mathcal{M}(X)[T]$ be an irreducible polynomial of degree n . Then there exist a Hamilton 4-manifold Y , a ramified pseudoholomorphic covering (cf. [D90, ch. 5] for Riemann surfaces) with n leaves $\Pi : Y \rightarrow X$ and a hypermeromorphic function $F \in \mathcal{M}(Y)$ such that $(\Pi^* P)(F) = 0$.

F is the unique maximal analytic continuation of every hypermeromorphic germ φ of X such that $P(\varphi) = 0$; F is called the *hyperalgebraic function* defined by the polynomial P and Y is the *Hamilton 4-manifold* of F .

Proof at the end of the section.

- 1) X is compact connected.
- 2) Every pseudoholomorphic function on X is constant.
- 3) Every hypermeromorphic function f on X different from ∞ is rational.
- 4) In case $X = \mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}$, in Theorem 6.4, c_j is rational. Indeed, since c_j is hypermeromorphic, from 3), it is rational.

6.6. Proof of Theorem 6.4. In the notations of Ex. 3, ζ is a local coordinate on $X = \mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}$.

f has a finite set of poles $p_1, \dots, p_n$. Assume that ∞ is not a pole of f , then $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \mathbb{H}$. Let h_ν the principal part of f at p_ν , then $f - h_\nu = a_\nu$, constant, from 2) and $h_\nu =$

$$\sum_{j=-k_\nu}^{-1} C_{\nu j} (\zeta - p_\nu^j) \text{ is a hypermeromorphic function, where } C_{\nu j} \in \mathbb{H}.$$

6.6.1. Elementary symmetric functions. Let

$$\Pi : Y \rightarrow X$$

be a nonramified pseudoholomorphic covering with n leaves, and f be a hypermeromorphic function on Y . Every point $x \in X$ has an open neighborhood U such that $\Pi^{-1}(U) = \bigcup_{j=1}^n V_j$ where the V_j are disjoint and $\Pi|_{V_j} : V_j \rightarrow U$ is bi-pseudoholomorphic, ($j = 1, \dots, n$); let $\varphi_j : U \rightarrow V_j$ the reverse (i.e. set inverse) of $\Pi|_{V_j}$ and $f_j = \varphi_j^* f = f \cdot \varphi_j$. Then:

$$\Pi_{j=1}^n (T - f_j) = T^n + c_1 T^{n-1} + \dots + c_n;$$

$c_j = (-1)^j s_j(f_1, \dots, f_n)$, where s_j is the j -th elementary symmetric function in n variables. The c_j are hypermeromorphic, locally defined, but glue together into $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ and are called *the elementary symmetric functions of f with respect to Π* .

6.6.2. Remark. The elementary symmetric functions of a hypermeromorphic function on Y are still defined when the covering Π is ramified.

6.6.3.

THEOREM 6.5. *Let Π as in Theorem 6.4, with Y not necessarily connected, $A \subset X$ be a discreet closed subset containing all the critical values of Π , and $B = \Pi^{-1}(A)$.*

Let f be a pseudoholomorphic (resp. hypermeromorphic) function on $Y \setminus B$ and

$$c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathcal{H}(X \setminus A) (\text{resp. } \mathcal{M}(X \setminus A))$$

the elementary symmetric functions of f . Then the following two conditions are equivalent:

- (i) *f has a pseudoholomorphic (resp. hypermeromorphic) extension to Y ;*
- (ii) *for every $j = 1, \dots, n$, c_j has a pseudoholomorphic (resp. hypermeromorphic) extension to X .*

6.6.4. Existence of Y in Theorem 6.4. Let $\Delta \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ be the discriminant of $P(T)$; $P(T)$ being irreducible, $\Delta \neq 0$: then there exists a discrete closed set $A \subset X$ such that, for every $x \in X' = X \setminus A$, $\Delta(x) \neq 0$, and all the functions c_j are pseudoholomorphic.

Let $Y' = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_x, x \in X'; P(\varphi) = 0\} \subset L\mathcal{H}$, etal space defined by the sheaf $\mathcal{H}$, and $\Pi' : Y' \rightarrow X$, ($\varphi \mapsto x$).

It can be shown that, for every $x \in X'$, there exists an open neighborhood U of x in X' and functions $f_j \in \mathcal{H}(U)$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, such that $P(T)|_U = \Pi_{j=1}^n (T - f_j)$; then $\Pi'^{-1}(U) = \bigcup_{j=1}^n [U, f_j]$ where $[U, f_j] = \{f_{jy}, y \in U\}$ is an open set of $L\mathcal{H}$ and $\Pi'|_{[U, f_j]} : [U, f_j] \rightarrow U$ is a homeomorphism; Y' is a Hamilton 2-manifold non necessarily connected, and a pseudoholomorphic, non ramified covering of X' . It can be shown that Π' can be extended into a ramified pseudoholomorphic covering $\Pi : Y \rightarrow X$ of X for which $Y' = \Pi^{-1}(X')$.

The c_j are defined on the whole of X ; from Theorem 6.5, f has an extension $F \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ such that

$$\Pi^*P(F) = F^n + (\Pi^*c_1)F^{n-1} + \dots + \Pi^*c_n = 0.$$

It is easy to prove the connectedness of Y and the unicity of F .

This ends the proof of Theorem 6.4.

7. The Hamilton 4-manifold Y of F when $X = \mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}$.

7.1. Recall the main properties of Y .

Y is of real dimension 4;

Y is connected;

Y is compact;

Y is C^∞ ;

let m be the number of the critical values of Π and q_j these critical values; they define points of Y forming the 0-skeleton of a simplicial complex K carried by the manifold Y . K may be supposed to be C^∞ by parts. Cutting along the 3-faces of K defines a fundamental domain FD of the covering Π . FD is a 4-dim polytope in $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}$ with an even number of 3-faces; gluing together the opposite 3-faces, we get a compact 4-dim polytope with homology of the Hamilton 4-manifold Y .

7.2. Homology of Y . $H^p(Y; \mathbb{Z})$, for $p = 0, \dots, 4$ have to be evaluated, using the critical values q_j , and the Poincaré duality.

References

- [CSSS04] F. Colombo, I. Sabadini, F. Sommen, D.C. Struppa, *Analysis of Dirac Systems and Computational Algebra*, Progress in Math. Physics **39**, Birkhäuser (2004).
- [CLSSS07] F. Colombo, E. Luna-Elizarrarás, I. Sabadini, M.V. Shapiro, D.C. Struppa, *A new characterization of pseudoconvex domains in $\mathbb{C}^2$* , Comptes Rendus Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, 344 (2007), 677-680.
- [D90] P. Dolbeault, *Analyse complexe*, Collection Maîtrise de mathématiques pures, Masson Paris 1990; open access digitalized version available at http://pierre.dolbeault.free.fr/Book/P_Dolbeault_Analyse_Complexe.pdf
- [D13] P. Dolbeault, *On quaternionic functions*, [arXiv:1301.1320](https://arxiv.org/abs/1301.1320).
- [F39] R. Fueter, *Über einen Hartogs'schen Satz*, Comm. Math. Helv. 12 (1939), 75-80.
- [M66] B. Malgrange, *Ideals of differentiable functions*, Oxford Univ. Press (1966).
- [R13] G. Roos, Personnal communication, March 2013.