

# THE ZARISKI-LIPMAN CONJECTURE FOR LOG CANONICAL SPACES

STEFAN HEUVER

ABSTRACT. In this paper we give an elementary proof of the Zariski-Lipman conjecture for log canonical spaces.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. The 2-dimensional case	1
3. The $n$ -dimensional case	2
References	4

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Zariski-Lipman conjecture claims that an  $n$ -dimensional complex variety with locally free tangent sheaf of rank  $n$  is smooth. Although not proven in general, this conjecture holds in special cases (see [Dru13]). In 2013 Druel has proven this conjecture for log canonical spaces by using foliations and the Camacho-Sad formula. In 2014 Graf-Kovács obtained the result of Druel by strengthening the extension theorem (see [GKKP11, Theorem 1.5]) for 1-forms on log canonical pairs (see [GK14]). For more information on log canonical spaces, see [Rei87] or [KM98]. The goal of this paper is to give a more elementary proof for log canonical surfaces in the style of [GKK10] and to conclude the Zariski-Lipman conjecture for log canonical spaces by using the reduction technique of Druel (see [Dru13, Theorem 5.2]).

**Theorem 1.1** (The Zariski-Lipman conjecture for log canonical spaces). *Let  $X$  be a log canonical variety of dimension  $n$  such that the tangent sheaf  $\mathcal{T}_X$  is locally free of rank  $n$ . Then  $X$  is smooth.*

To prove the 2-dimensional case, we will use an argument of [vSS85]. The idea is that under the given properties, a smooth 1-form on the variety will extend to a smooth 1-form on the resolution, which leads to a contraction, unless the variety has already been smooth. After this we will reduce the  $n$ -dimensional case to the surface case by using hyperplane sections.

**Conventions and basics.** In this paper a variety is a integral, separated scheme of finite type over an algebraic closed field. Varieties are also assumed to be reduced and irreducible. In [Lip65] Lipman has proven that his conjecture fails to be true if  $X$  is a variety over a field with positive characteristic and that a variety with locally free tangent sheaf is necessarily normal. That is why we will work over the field of complex numbers and assume that  $X$  is normal. The sheaf  $\Omega_X^1$  of Kähler differentials behaves badly near a singular point. Therefore it is more useful to work with the reflexive hull  $\Omega_X^{[1]}$ , which is the double dual of  $\Omega_X^1$  (see [Rei87, (1.5),(1.7)]). For the definition of logarithmic differential forms and logarithmic vector fields we recommend [Sai80] and [EV92].

**Acknowledgement.** The author would like to thank Daniel Greb for interesting discussions on this topic and great help with the master's thesis this paper is based on.

## 2. THE 2-DIMENSIONAL CASE

To prove the Zariski-Lipman conjecture for log canonical surfaces, we use the minimal resolution  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  and show that a reflexive 1-form on  $X$  lifts to a regular 1-form on  $Y$  under  $\pi$ . An example of [Rei87, (1.8), (1.9)] shows that this is wrong if  $X$  is not log canonical. In general a reflexive 1-form

only lifts to a 1-form that is regular outside the exceptional locus. We will have to show that it extends regular over the exceptional locus.

**Proposition 2.1** (Logarithmic extension). *Let  $X$  be a log canonical surface with locally free tangent sheaf  $\mathcal{T}_X$  of rank 2. Let  $\omega \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^{[1]})$  be a reflexive 1-form,  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  the minimal resolution and  $E$  the largest reduced divisor included in  $\text{excep}(\pi)$ . Then  $\omega$  extends to a logarithmic 1-form  $\tilde{\omega} := \pi^*\omega \in H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^1(\log E))$  on  $Y$ .*

*Proof.* (cf. [GKK10, Proposition 6.1]) Let  $\omega \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^{[1]})$ . Since  $\mathcal{T}_X$  is locally free of rank 2 we can assume without loss of generality that  $\mathcal{O}_X(\mathcal{K}_X) \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ . Since  $\Omega_X^{[1]}$  is the dual of  $\mathcal{T}_X$  there exists a unique vector field  $\xi \in H^0(X, \mathcal{T}_X)$  corresponding to  $\omega$  via the perfect pairing

$$\Omega_X^{[1]} \times \Omega_X^{[1]} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(\mathcal{K}_X) \cong \mathcal{O}_X.$$

The minimal resolution  $\pi$  is functorial and we can lift the vector field  $\xi$  to a vector field  $\tilde{\xi} \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{T}_Y(-\log E))$ . As  $X$  is assumed to be log canonical we have  $\mathcal{O}_Y(\mathcal{K}_Y + E) \cong \mathcal{O}_Y(D)$  for some effective divisor  $D$  on  $Y$ . Hence  $\tilde{\xi}$  corresponds to an element  $\tilde{\omega} \in H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^1(\log E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-D))$  via the pairing

$$\Omega_Y^1(\log E) \times \Omega_Y^1(\log E) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(\mathcal{K}_Y + E) \cong \mathcal{O}_Y(D).$$

This yields the extension of  $\omega$ .  $\square$

The following result is a consequence of the negative definiteness of the self-intersection form in  $E$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $X$  be a normal surface and  $\pi$  and  $E$  as in Proposition 2.1. Then the inclusion*

$$H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^1) \hookrightarrow H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^1(\log E))$$

*is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* See [Wah85, Lemma 1.3.b].  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3** (The Zariski-Lipman conjecture for log canonical surfaces). *Let  $X$  be a log canonical surface such that the tangent sheaf  $\mathcal{T}_X$  is locally free of rank 2. Then  $X$  is smooth.*

*Proof.* Let  $\pi$ ,  $Y$ , and  $E$  be as defined above. Using Proposition 2.1 and 2.2 we see that a reflexive 1-form on  $X$  lifts to a regular 1-form on  $Y$  under  $\pi$ . With  $\pi_*(\mathcal{T}_Y(-\log E)) \cong \mathcal{T}_X$  Theorem 2.3 is a consequence of a classical argument presented in [vSS85, (1.6)].  $\square$

### 3. THE N-DIMENSIONAL CASE

In this section  $X$  is an  $n$ -dimensional log canonical variety with locally free tangent sheaf and  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  a functorial resolution (see [Kol07, 3.45]). We will use  $n - 2$  hyperplane sections  $G_1, \dots, G_{n-2} \subset X$  to cut  $X$  down to a surface  $S := X \cap G_1 \cap \dots \cap G_{n-2}$  and show that  $S$  is a log canonical surface with locally free tangent sheaf and therefore already smooth. Using the fact that a singularity of  $X$  necessarily is a singularity of  $S$ , we conclude that  $X$  must have been smooth. For more information on hyperplane sections used in this paper see [GKKP11, 2.E.]. With  $H := \pi^{-1}(G)$  we will denote the preimage of  $G$  under  $\pi$ . Please note the following facts.

**Fact 3.1** (see [GKKP11, 2.E.]). *Let  $X$ ,  $G$ ,  $H$  and  $\pi$  be as defined above and  $E$  be the largest reduced divisor contained in  $\text{excep}(\pi)$  then  $G$  is affine and normal,  $H$  is smooth and  $\pi|_H$  is a functorial resolution and the largest reduced divisor  $E|_H = E \cap H$  contained in  $\text{excep}(\pi|_H)$  is a simple normal crossing (snc) divisor (this uses Bertini's Theorem).*

Define  $T := Y \cap H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_{n-2}$ , then by induction we get

- (1)  $S$  is affine and normal and  $T$  is smooth,
- (2)  $\pi|_T : T \rightarrow S$  is a functorial resolution and the largest reduced divisor  $C := E|_T$  contained in the exceptional locus  $\text{excep}(\pi|_T)$  of  $\pi|_T$  is a snc divisor.

We will now show that  $S$  has the right properties.

**The behavior of the singularities under reduction.** The following lemma gives us a connection between  $\text{Sing}(X)$  and  $\text{Sing}(G)$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $X$  be a normal variety and  $G \subset X$  an effective, ample Cartier divisor. If  $G$  is smooth, then  $\text{Sing}(X) \cap G = \emptyset$  and the singularities of  $X$  are isolated.*

*Proof.* This is a consequence of [Che96, Lemma 1] and the Jacobian criteria.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *The surface  $S$  constructed above is log canonical.*

*Proof.* Since  $X$  is log canonical and normal,  $|\mathcal{G}|$  is a basepoint free system of Cartier divisors and  $G_1 \in |\mathcal{G}|$  is a hyperplane section, Theorem 1.13 in [Rei80] shows that  $G$  is log canonical, too. Using Fact 3.1 we get Lemma 3.3 by induction.  $\square$

**The tangent sheaf of  $S$  is locally free.** To show that  $\mathcal{T}_S$  is locally free we first need to prove the following Lemma.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $Y$  be a smooth variety of dimension  $n \geq 2$  and  $E \subset Y$  a snc divisor. Let  $H \subset Y$  be a smooth hyperplane such that  $E|_H$  is a snc divisor. Then the sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{H|X}^* \rightarrow \Omega_Y^1(\log E)|_H \rightarrow \Omega_H^1(\log E|_H) \rightarrow 0$$

*is exact.*

*Proof.* Since  $E$  and  $E|_H$  are snc divisors we can use the sequence of [EV92, 2.3 a]. Using the Snake Lemma we then get Lemma 3.4.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $X$  be a log canonical variety of dimension  $n$  with locally free tangent sheaf of rank  $n$ . Then the tangent sheaf  $\mathcal{T}_S$  of the surface  $S$  defined above is locally free of rank 2.*

*Proof.* The following proof is basically the cutting-down procedure of Druel (see the proof of [Dru13, Theorem 5.2]) supplemented with additional steps for the convenience of the reader. For  $n = 2$  the theorem is clear and we can assume that  $n \geq 3$ . Suppose that  $\text{Sing}(X) \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $X$  is normal  $\text{codim}_X(\text{Sing}(X)) \geq 2$  and with [Fle88, p.318] we get that  $\text{codim}_X(\text{Sing}(X)) = 2$ . Replacing  $X$  with an affine open dense subset we may assume that  $X$  is affine,  $\text{Sing}(X)$  is irreducible of codimension 2 and  $\mathcal{T}_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus n}$ .

Let  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  be a functorial resolution and  $E$  the largest reduced divisor contained in  $\text{excep}(\pi)$ . Note that  $E \neq \emptyset$ . We consider the morphism of vector bundles

$$F : \pi^* \mathcal{T}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_Y(-\log E).$$

Since  $\mathcal{T}_X \cong \pi_* \mathcal{T}_Y(-\log E)$  the morphism  $F$  is induced by the evaluation map

$$\pi^*(\pi_* \mathcal{T}_Y(-\log E)) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}_Y(-\log E)$$

and induces an injective map of sheaves

$$\pi^*(\mathcal{O}_X(-\mathcal{K}_X)) \cong \pi^* \det(\mathcal{T}_X) \xrightarrow{\tilde{F}} \det(\mathcal{T}_Y(-\log E)) \cong \mathcal{O}_Y(-\mathcal{K}_Y - E).$$

Using the ramification formula  $K_Y := \pi^* K_X + \sum a_i E_i$  this yields  $a_i \leq -1$ . Since  $X$  is log canonical we get  $a_i = -1$ . Thus  $F$  is an isomorphism. Since  $\mathcal{T}_X$  is free we deduce that  $\mathcal{T}_Y(-\log E)$  is free and that  $\Omega_Y^1(\log E)|_{H_1} \cong \mathcal{O}_{H_1}^{\oplus n}$ .

Let  $G_1 \subset X$  be a general hyperplane section and  $H_1 = \pi^{-1}(G_1) \subset Y$ . By Lemma 3.4 we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{H_1|X}^* \xrightarrow{\Phi} \Omega_Y^1(\log E)|_{H_1} \xrightarrow{\Psi} \Omega_{H_1}^1(\log E|_{H_1}) \rightarrow 0.$$

We want to prove

$$\Omega_{H_1}^1(\log E|_{H_1}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{H_1}^{\oplus \dim(H_1)}.$$

Since  $X$  is affine and  $G_1 \in |\mathcal{G}|$  is an effective Cartier divisor and  $|\mathcal{G}|$  basepoint free, we can define  $G_1$  by a global function  $g$ . Due to this the ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_X(-G_1) = \mathcal{N}_{G_1|X}^*$  is free. Since  $H_1$  is the total transform of  $G_1$  we get  $\mathcal{N}_{H_1|X}^* \cong \pi^* \mathcal{N}_{G_1|X}^* \cong \mathcal{O}_{H_1}$ . Thus we can represent the map

$$\Phi : \mathcal{O}_{H_1} \cong \mathcal{N}_{H_1|X}^* \rightarrow \Omega_Y^1(\log E)|_{H_1} \cong \mathcal{O}_{H_1}^{\oplus n}$$

by regular functions  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  on  $H_1$  and since  $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{H_1}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{G_1}$  by regular functions  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  in  $G_1$  with  $f_i = g_i \circ \pi|_{H_1}$ . If  $g_i|_{G_1 \cap \text{Sing}(X)} = 0$  for all  $i$  then  $\Phi$  would vanish at every point of  $\pi^{-1}(G_1 \cap \text{Sing}(X))$ . Since  $E|_{H_1}$  is snc divisor, the sheaf  $\Omega_Y^1(\log E)|_H$  is locally free, which yields in a contradiction. Take an  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , so that  $g_i|_{G_1 \cap \text{Sing}(X)} \neq 0$  then, by replacing  $X$  with

$X \setminus \{g_i = 0\}$ , we can ensure that  $\pi_{|H_1}(x) \neq 0$  for all  $x \in H_1$  and thus assume that  $\Phi$  has full rank 1 in every fiber. We obtain the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{H_1} \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathcal{O}_{H_1}^{\oplus n} \xrightarrow{\Psi} \mathcal{O}_{H_1}^{\oplus(n-1)} \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus  $\Omega_{H_1}^1(\log E_{|H_1}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{H_1}^{\oplus \dim(H_1)}$  and  $E_{H_1} \neq \emptyset$ . By replacing  $X$  with an appropriate open subset we may assume that  $\mathcal{T}_{H_1}(-\log E_{H_1}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{H_1}^{\oplus(n-1)}$ . Let  $G_2, \dots, G_{n-2}$  be general hyperplanes and  $H_i, T, S$  and  $C$  as before, proceeding by induction we see that  $\mathcal{T}_T(-\log C) \cong \mathcal{O}_T^{\oplus 2}$  and thus that  $\mathcal{T}_S$  is locally free of rank 2.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Using the notation of Theorem 3.5 we assume that  $\text{Sing}(X) \neq \emptyset$ . With Lemma 3.2 (by induction) we can deduce that the surface  $S$  constructed above necessarily has an isolated singularity. However  $S$  is a log canonical surface with locally free tangent sheaf and thus smooth by Theorem 2.3. This contradicts the assumption.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [Che96] I. A. CHELTSOV: *Singularities of 3-Dimensional Varieties Admitting an Ample Effective Divisor of Kodaira Dimension Zero*, Mathematical Notes, Vol. 163, no. 4, 1970. UDC 512.774.42
- [Dru13] S. DRUEL: *The Zariski-Lipman conjecture for log canonical spaces*, 2014 in Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society Advance Access, June 9, 2014. 10.1112/blms/bdu040
- [EV92] H. ESNAULT AND E. VIEHWEG: *Lectures on vanishing theorems*, DMV Seminar, vol. 20, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1992. MR1193913 (94a:14017)
- [Fle88] H. FLENNER: *Extendability of differential forms on nonisolated singularities*, Invent. Math. **94** (1988), no. 2.317–326 MR958835 (89j:14001)
- [GK14] P. GRAF AND S. J. KOVÁCS: *An optimal extension theorem for 1-forms and the Zariski-Lipman conjecture*, Doc. Math. **19**, 815–830, 2014.
- [GKK10] D. GREB, S. KEBEKUS AND S. J. KOVÁCS: *Extension theorems for differential forms, and Bogomolov-Sommese vanishing on log canonical varieties*, Compositio Math. **1** 193–219, 2010.
- [GKKP11] D. GREB, S. KEBEKUS, S. J. KOVÁCS AND T. PETERNELL: *Differential forms on log canonical spaces*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **114**, 87–169, 2011.
- [KM98] J. KOLLÁR AND S. MORI: *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 134, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [Kol07] J. KOLLÁR: *Lectures on resolution of singularities*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 166, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2007. MR2289519
- [Lip65] J. LIPMAN: *Free derivation modules on algebraic varieties*, Amer. J. Math. **87** (1965), no. 4.
- [Rei80] M. REID: *Canonical Threefolds*, Géométrie Algébrique Angers, A. Beauville ed., Sijthoff and Noordhoff, 1980.
- [Rei87] M. REID: *Young person's guide to canonical singularities*, Algebraic geometry, Bowdoin, 1985 (Brunswick, Maine, 1985), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 46, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987. MR927963 (89b:14016)
- [Sai80] K. SAITO: *Theory of logarithmic differential forms and logarithmic vector fields*, Journal of the Faculty of Science, the University of Tokyo. Sect. 1 A, Mathematics 27 (2), JAIRO:jfs270202.
- [vSS85] D. VAN STRATEN AND J. STEENBRINK: *Extendability of holomorphic differential forms near isolated hypersurface singularities*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **55** (1985). MR831521 (87j:32025)
- [Wah85] J. M. WAHL: *A characterization of quasi-homogeneous Gorenstein surface singularities*, Compositio Math. **55** (1985), no. 3, 269–288.