

UDK 512.815.1+512.815.6+512.816.1+512.816.2

MSC 14L24+22C05

O. G. Styrť

# The existence of a semialgebraic continuous factorization map for some compact linear groups

It is proved that each of compact linear groups of one special type admits a semialgebraic continuous factorization map onto a real vector space.

**Key words:** Lie group, factorization map of an action, semialgebraic map.

## § 1. Introduction

In this paper, we prove that each of compact linear groups of one certain type admits a semialgebraic continuous factorization map onto a real vector space. This problem arose from the question when the topological quotient of a compact linear group is homeomorphic to a vector space that was researched in [1, 2, 3, 4, 5].

For convenience, denote by  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}}$  (resp. by  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}}$ ) the class of all finite-dimensional Euclidean (resp. Hermitian) spaces. Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be one of the fields  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$ . We will write  $\mathbb{F}^k$  ( $k \geq 0$ ) for the space  $\mathbb{F}^k \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{F}}$  whose standard basis is orthonormal. For any spaces  $W_1, W_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{F}}$ , we will write  $W_1 \oplus W_2$  for their *orthogonal* direct sum  $W_1 \oplus W_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{F}}$  (unless they are linearly independent subspaces of the same space). For any space  $W \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{F}}$ , denote by  $S(W)$  the real subspace  $\{A \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}}(W) : A = A^*\} \subset \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}}(W)$ , by  $\mathbf{O}(W)$  the compact Lie group  $\{C \in \mathbf{GL}_{\mathbb{F}}(W) : CC^* = E\} \subset \mathbf{GL}_{\mathbb{F}}(W)$ , and by  $\mathbf{SO}(W)$  its compact subgroup  $\mathbf{O}(W) \cap \mathbf{SL}_{\mathbb{F}}(W)$ .

The following theorem is the main result of the paper and will be proved in §3.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Consider a number  $k \in \{1, 2\}$ , a space  $W \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{F}}$  such that  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} W \geq 2-k$ , and the representation*

$$\mathbf{O}(W) : (S(W)/(\mathbb{R}E)) \oplus \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{F}^k, W), C : (A + \mathbb{R}E, B) \rightarrow (CAC^{-1} + \mathbb{R}E, CB). \quad (1.1)$$

- 1) If  $k = 1$ , then there exist a real vector space  $V$  and, in terms of the representation  $\mathbf{O}(W): V \oplus \mathbb{F}$ ,  $C: (v, \lambda) \rightarrow (v, \det_{\mathbb{F}} C \cdot \lambda)$ , a semialgebraic continuous  $(\mathbf{O}(W))$ -equivariant surjective map  $(S(W)/(\mathbb{R}E)) \oplus \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{F}^k, W) \rightarrow V \oplus \mathbb{F}$  whose fibres coincide with the  $(\mathbf{SO}(W))$ -orbits.
- 2) If  $k = 2$ , then there exist a real vector space  $V$  and a semialgebraic continuous surjective map  $(S(W)/(\mathbb{R}E)) \oplus \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{F}^k, W) \rightarrow V$  whose fibres coincide with the  $(\mathbf{O}(W))$ -orbits.

## § 2. Auxiliary facts

Consider a space  $W \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{F}}$  and the subsets  $S_+(W) := \{A \in S(W) : A \geq 0\} \subset S(W)$  and  $S_0(W) := (S_+(W)) \setminus (\mathbf{GL}_{\mathbb{F}}(W)) \subset S_+(W) \subset S(W)$ .

Set  $M(W) := \{(A, \lambda) \in S_+(W) \times \mathbb{F} : \det_{\mathbb{F}} A = |\lambda|^2\} \subset S(W) \oplus \mathbb{F}$ .

The following statement is well-known.

**Statement 2.1.** *The fibres of the map  $\pi: \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}}(W) \rightarrow S(W) \oplus \mathbb{F}$ ,  $X \rightarrow (XX^*, \det_{\mathbb{F}} X)$  coincide with the orbits of the action*

$$\mathbf{SO}(W): \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}}(W), C: X \rightarrow XC^{-1}, \quad (2.1)$$

and  $\pi(\text{End}_{\mathbb{F}}(W)) = M(W) \subset S(W) \oplus \mathbb{F}$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *The map  $S(W) \rightarrow (S(W)/(\mathbb{R}E)) \oplus \mathbb{F}$ ,  $(A, \lambda) \rightarrow (A + \mathbb{R}E, \lambda)$  is a bijection.*

□ See Lemma 5.1 in [3]. ■

**Corollary 2.1.** *The map  $S_0(W) \rightarrow S(W)/(\mathbb{R}E)$ ,  $A \rightarrow A + \mathbb{R}E$  is a bijection.*

**Corollary 2.2.** *The map  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{F}}(W) \rightarrow (S(W)/(\mathbb{R}E)) \oplus \mathbb{F}$ ,  $X \rightarrow (XX^* + \mathbb{R}E, \det_{\mathbb{F}} X)$  is surjective, and its fibres coincide with the orbits of the action (2.1).*

□ Follows from Statement 2.1 and Lemma 2.1. ■

Now consider arbitrary spaces  $W, W_1 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{F}}$  over the field  $\mathbb{F}$ .

**Statement 2.2.** *The fibres of the map  $\pi: \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(W_1, W) \rightarrow S(W)$ ,  $X \rightarrow XX^*$  coincide with the orbits of the action*

$$\mathbf{O}(W_1): \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(W_1, W), C_1: X \rightarrow XC_1^{-1}, \quad (2.2)$$

and  $\pi(\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(W_1, W)) = \{A \in S_+(W) : \text{rk}_{\mathbb{F}} A \leq \dim_{\mathbb{F}} W_1\} \subset S(W)$ . In particular, in the case  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} W_1 = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} W - 1$ , we have  $\pi(\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(W_1, W)) = S_0(W) \subset S(W)$ .

We omit the proof since it is clear.

**Corollary 2.3.** *Suppose that  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} W_1 = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} W - 1$ . Then the map  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(W_1, W) \rightarrow S(W)/(\mathbb{R}E)$ ,  $X \rightarrow XX^* + \mathbb{R}E$  is surjective, and its fibres coincide with the orbits of the action (2.2).*

□ Follows from Statement 2.2 and Corollary 2.1. ■

### § 3. Proof of the main result

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.1.

First of all, let us prove the claim for a pair  $(k, W)$  ( $k \in \{1, 2\}$ ,  $W \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{F}}$ ,  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} W = 2 - k$ ).

If  $k = 2$  and  $W = 0$ , then the space of the representation (1.1) is trivial. If  $k = 1$  and  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} W = 1$ , then the representation (1.1) is isomorphic to the tautological representation of the group  $\mathbf{O}(W)$  and, consequently, to the representation  $\mathbf{O}(W): \mathbb{F}, C: \lambda \rightarrow \det_{\mathbb{F}} C \cdot \lambda$ , and the subgroup  $\mathbf{SO}(W) \subset \mathbf{O}(W)$  is trivial.

This completely proves the claim for a pair  $(k, W)$  ( $k \in \{1, 2\}$ ,  $W \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{F}}$ ,  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} W = 2 - k$ ).

Take an arbitrary pair  $(k, W)$  ( $k \in \{1, 2\}$ ,  $W \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{F}}$ ,  $n := \dim_{\mathbb{F}} W > 2 - k$ ) and assume that the claim of Theorem 1.1 holds for any pair  $(k, W_1)$  ( $W_1 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{F}}$ ,  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} W_1 = n - 1$ ). We will now prove it for the pair  $(k, W)$ .

Since  $n > 2 - k \geq 0$ , there exists a space  $W_1 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{F}}$  such that  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} W_1 = n - 1$ . Consider the embedding  $R: \mathbf{O}(W_1) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{O}(W_1 \oplus \mathbb{F}^k)$ ,  $R(C_1): (x_1, x_2) \rightarrow (C_1 x_1, x_2)$ . The representations

$$\mathbf{O}(W_1): S(W_1 \oplus \mathbb{F}^k), C_1: A \rightarrow R(C_1)AR(C_1^{-1}) \quad (3.1)$$

and  $\mathbf{O}(W_1): S(W_1) \oplus \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{F}^k, W_1) \oplus S(\mathbb{F}^k)$ ,  $C_1: (A_1, B, A_2) \rightarrow (C_1 A_1 C_1^{-1}, C_1 B, A_2)$  are isomorphic via the  $(\mathbf{O}(W_1))$ -equivariant  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \theta: S(W_1) \oplus \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{F}^k, W_1) \oplus S(\mathbb{F}^k) &\rightarrow S(W_1 \oplus \mathbb{F}^k), \\ \theta(A_1, B, A_2): (x_1, x_2) &\rightarrow (A_1 x_1 + B x_2, B^* x_1 + A_2 x_2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the representation (3.1) is isomorphic to the direct sum of some trivial real representation of the group  $\mathbf{O}(W_1)$  and the representation

$$\mathbf{O}(W_1): (S(W_1)/(\mathbb{R}E)) \oplus \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{F}^k, W_1), C_1: (A_1 + \mathbb{R}E, B) \rightarrow (C_1 A_1 C_1^{-1} + \mathbb{R}E, C_1 B)$$

with trivial fixed point subspace. Thus, there exist a real vector space  $V'$  and an isomorphism  $\varphi: S(W_1 \oplus \mathbb{F}^k)/(\mathbb{R}E) \rightarrow V' \oplus (S(W_1)/(\mathbb{R}E)) \oplus \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{F}^k, W_1)$  of the representations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{O}(W_1): S(W_1 \oplus \mathbb{F}^k)/(\mathbb{R}E), C_1: A + \mathbb{R}E &\rightarrow R(C_1)AR(C_1^{-1}) + \mathbb{R}E; \\ \mathbf{O}(W_1): V' \oplus (S(W_1)/(\mathbb{R}E)) \oplus \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{F}^k, W_1), \\ C_1: (v', A_1 + \mathbb{R}E, B) &\rightarrow (v', C_1 A_1 C_1^{-1} + \mathbb{R}E, C_1 B). \end{aligned}$$

In terms of the representations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{O}(W) \times \mathbf{O}(W_1): \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(W_1 \oplus \mathbb{F}^k, W), (C, C_1): Z &\rightarrow CZR(C_1^{-1}); \\ \mathbf{O}(W) \times \mathbf{O}(W_1): (S(W)/(\mathbb{R}E)) \oplus \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{F}^k, W), \\ (C, C_1): (A + \mathbb{R}E, B) &\rightarrow (CAC^{-1} + \mathbb{R}E, CB); \\ \mathbf{O}(W) \times \mathbf{O}(W_1): V' \oplus (S(W_1)/(\mathbb{R}E)) \oplus \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{F}^k, W_1), \\ (C, C_1): (v', A_1 + \mathbb{R}E, B) &\rightarrow (v', C_1 A_1 C_1^{-1} + \mathbb{R}E, C_1 B), \end{aligned}$$

the maps

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_0: \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(W_1 \oplus \mathbb{F}^k, W) &\rightarrow (S(W)/(\mathbb{R}E)) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{F}^k, W), \\ &Z \rightarrow ((Z|_{W_1})(Z|_{W_1})^* + \mathbb{R}E, Z|_{\mathbb{F}^k}); \\ \psi: \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(W_1 \oplus \mathbb{F}^k, W) &\rightarrow V' \oplus (S(W_1)/(\mathbb{R}E)) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{F}^k, W_1), Z \rightarrow \varphi(Z^*Z + \mathbb{R}E) \end{aligned}$$

are  $(\mathbf{O}(W) \times \mathbf{O}(W_1))$ -equivariant. By Corollary 2.3, the map  $\pi_0$  is surjective, and its fibres coincide with the  $(\mathbf{O}(W_1))$ -orbits.

**Case 1).**  $k = 1$ .

Consider the representation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{O}(W) \times \mathbf{O}(W_1): V' \oplus (S(W_1)/(\mathbb{R}E)) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{F}^k, W_1) \oplus \mathbb{F}, \\ (C, C_1): (v', A_1 + \mathbb{R}E, B, \mu) \rightarrow (v', C_1 A_1 C_1^{-1} + \mathbb{R}E, C_1 B, \det_{\mathbb{F}} C \cdot \det_{\mathbb{F}} C_1^{-1} \cdot \mu). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} W_1 = n - 1$ , there exist a real vector space  $V_1$  and, in terms of the representation  $\mathbf{O}(W) \times \mathbf{O}(W_1): V' \oplus V_1 \oplus \mathbb{F}^2$ ,  $(C, C_1): (v', v_1, \lambda, \mu) \rightarrow (v', v_1, \det_{\mathbb{F}} C_1 \cdot \lambda, \det_{\mathbb{F}} C \cdot \det_{\mathbb{F}} C_1^{-1} \cdot \mu)$ , a semialgebraic continuous  $(\mathbf{O}(W) \times \mathbf{O}(W_1))$ -equivariant surjective map

$$\pi_1: V' \oplus (S(W_1)/(\mathbb{R}E)) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{F}^k, W_1) \oplus \mathbb{F} \rightarrow V' \oplus V_1 \oplus \mathbb{F}^2$$

whose fibres coincide with the  $(\mathbf{SO}(W_1))$ -orbits. Since  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}}(W_1 \oplus \mathbb{F}^k) = (n - 1) + k = n = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} W$ , we can identify  $W$  and  $W_1 \oplus \mathbb{F}^k$  as spaces of the class  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{F}}$ . The map

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi}: \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(W_1 \oplus \mathbb{F}^k, W) &\rightarrow V' \oplus (S(W_1)/(\mathbb{R}E)) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{F}^k, W_1) \oplus \mathbb{F}, \\ &Z \rightarrow (\psi(Z), \det_{\mathbb{F}} Z) = (\varphi(Z^*Z + \mathbb{R}E), \det_{\mathbb{F}} Z) \end{aligned}$$

is  $(\mathbf{O}(W) \times \mathbf{O}(W_1))$ -equivariant. Also, by Corollary 2.2, the map  $\tilde{\psi}$  is surjective, and its fibres coincide with the  $(\mathbf{SO}(W))$ -orbits. Hence, the map  $\pi_1 \circ \tilde{\psi}: \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(W_1 \oplus \mathbb{F}^k, W) \rightarrow V' \oplus V_1 \oplus \mathbb{F}^2$  is  $(\mathbf{O}(W) \times \mathbf{O}(W_1))$ -equivariant and surjective, and its fibres coincide with the  $(\mathbf{SO}(W) \times \mathbf{SO}(W_1))$ -orbits. If we consider the representation

$$\mathbf{O}(W) \times \mathbf{O}(W_1): V' \oplus V_1 \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{F}, (C, C_1): (v', v_1, t, \lambda) \rightarrow (v', v_1, t, \det_{\mathbb{F}} C \cdot \lambda),$$

then the map  $\gamma: V' \oplus V_1 \oplus \mathbb{F}^2 \rightarrow V' \oplus V_1 \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{F}$ ,  $(v', v_1, \lambda, \mu) \rightarrow (v', v_1, |\lambda|^2 - |\mu|^2, \lambda\mu)$  is  $(\mathbf{O}(W) \times \mathbf{O}(W_1))$ -equivariant. Denote by  $\mathbb{T}$  the multiplicative group  $\{c \in \mathbb{F}: |c| = 1\}$ . We have  $n > 2 - k = 1$ ,  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} W_1 = n - 1 > 0$ . Consequently,

$$\{\det_{\mathbb{F}} C_1: C_1 \in \mathbf{O}(W_1)\} = \mathbb{T}. \quad (3.2)$$

One can easily see that the map  $\mathbb{F}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{F}$ ,  $(\lambda, \mu) \rightarrow (|\lambda|^2 - |\mu|^2, \lambda\mu)$  is surjective, and its fibres coincide with the orbits of the representation  $\mathbb{T}: \mathbb{F}^2, c: (\lambda, \mu) \rightarrow (c\lambda, c^{-1}\mu)$ . By (3.2), the map  $\gamma$  is surjective, and its fibres coincide with the  $(\mathbf{O}(W_1))$ -orbits. It follows from above

that the map  $\gamma \circ \pi_1 \circ \tilde{\psi}: \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(W_1 \oplus \mathbb{F}^k, W) \rightarrow V' \oplus V_1 \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{F}$  is  $(\mathbf{O}(W) \times \mathbf{O}(W_1))$ -equivariant and surjective, and its fibres coincide with the  $(\mathbf{SO}(W) \times \mathbf{O}(W_1))$ -orbits.

Recall that the map  $\pi_0$  is  $(\mathbf{O}(W) \times \mathbf{O}(W_1))$ -equivariant and surjective, and its fibres coincide with the  $(\mathbf{O}(W_1))$ -orbits. Therefore, there exists an  $(\mathbf{O}(W))$ -equivariant surjective map  $\pi: (S(W)/(\mathbb{R}E)) \oplus \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{F}^k, W) \rightarrow V' \oplus V_1 \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{F}$  satisfying  $\pi \circ \pi_0 \equiv \gamma \circ \pi_1 \circ \tilde{\psi}$  whose fibres coincide with the  $(\mathbf{SO}(W))$ -orbits. Since the maps  $\gamma, \pi_1, \tilde{\psi}, \pi_0$  are semialgebraic, continuous, and surjective, so is the map  $\pi$ .

This completely proves the claim in the case  $k = 1$ .

**Case 2).**  $k = 2$ .

Since  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} W_1 = n - 1$ , there exist a real vector space  $V_1$  and a semialgebraic continuous surjective map  $\pi_1: V' \oplus (S(W_1)/(\mathbb{R}E)) \oplus \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{F}^k, W_1) \rightarrow V' \oplus V_1$  whose fibres coincide with the  $(\mathbf{O}(W_1))$ -orbits. Further,  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}}(W_1 \oplus \mathbb{F}^k) = (n - 1) + k = n + 1 = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} W + 1$ , and, by Corollary 2.3, the map  $\psi$  is surjective, and its fibres coincide with the  $(\mathbf{O}(W))$ -orbits. Since the map  $\psi$  is  $(\mathbf{O}(W) \times \mathbf{O}(W_1))$ -equivariant, the map  $\pi_1 \circ \psi: \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(W_1 \oplus \mathbb{F}^k, W) \rightarrow V' \oplus V_1$  is surjective, and its fibres coincide with the  $(\mathbf{O}(W) \times \mathbf{O}(W_1))$ -orbits. Recall that the map  $\pi_0$  is  $(\mathbf{O}(W) \times \mathbf{O}(W_1))$ -equivariant and surjective, and its fibres coincide with the  $(\mathbf{O}(W_1))$ -orbits. Hence, there exists a surjective map  $\pi: (S(W)/(\mathbb{R}E)) \oplus \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{F}^k, W) \rightarrow V' \oplus V_1$  satisfying  $\pi \circ \pi_0 \equiv \pi_1 \circ \psi$  whose fibres coincide with the  $(\mathbf{O}(W))$ -orbits. Since the maps  $\pi_1, \psi, \pi_0$  are semialgebraic, continuous, and surjective, so is the map  $\pi$ .

This completely proves the claim in the case  $k = 2$ .

Thus, we have completely proved Theorem 1.1 (using mathematical induction on  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} W$  separately for each number  $k \in \{1, 2\}$ ).

## References

- [1] M. A. Mikhailova, *On the quotient space modulo the action of a finite group generated by pseudoreflections*, Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestiya, 1985, vol. 24, 1, 99–119.
- [2] O. G. Styr, *On the orbit space of a compact linear Lie group with commutative connected component*, Tr. Mosk. Mat. O-va, 2009, vol. 70, 235–287 (Russian).
- [3] O. G. Styr, *On the orbit space of a three-dimensional compact linear Lie group*, Izv. RAN, Ser. math., 2011, vol. 75, 4, 165–188 (Russian).
- [4] O. G. Styr, *On the orbit space of an irreducible representation of a special unitary group*, Tr. Mosk. Mat. O-va, 2013, vol. 74, 1, 175–199 (Russian).
- [5] O. G. Styr, *On the orbit spaces of irreducible representations of simple compact Lie groups of types B, C, and D*, J. Algebra, 2014, vol. 415, 137–161.