

# THE KREIN-VON NEUMANN REALIZATION OF PERTURBED LAPLACIANS ON BOUNDED LIPSCHITZ DOMAINS

JUSSI BEHRNDT, FRITZ GESZTESY, TILL MICHELER, AND MARIUS MITREA

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we study the self-adjoint Krein–von Neumann realization  $A_K$  of the perturbed Laplacian  $-\Delta + V$  in a bounded Lipschitz domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . We provide an explicit and self-contained description of the domain of  $A_K$  in terms of Dirichlet and Neumann boundary traces, and we establish a Weyl asymptotic formula for the eigenvalues of  $A_K$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The main objective of this note is to investigate the self-adjoint Krein–von Neumann realization associated to the differential expression  $-\Delta + V$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$ , where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n > 1$ , is assumed to be a bounded Lipschitz domain and  $V$  is a non-negative bounded potential. In particular, we obtain an explicit description of the domain of  $A_K$  in terms of Dirichlet and Neumann boundary traces, and we prove the Weyl asymptotic formula

$$N(\lambda, A_K) \underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{=} (2\pi)^{-n} v_n |\Omega| \lambda^{n/2} + O(\lambda^{(n-(1/2))/2}). \quad (1.1)$$

Here  $N(\lambda, A_K)$  denotes the number of nonzero eigenvalues of  $A_K$  not exceeding  $\lambda$ ,  $v_n$  is the volume of the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $|\Omega|$  is the ( $n$ -dimensional) Lebesgue measure of  $\Omega$ .

Let us first recall the definition and some properties of the Krein–von Neumann extension in the abstract setting. Let  $S$  be a closed, densely defined, symmetric operator in a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and assume that  $S$  is strictly positive, that is, for some  $c > 0$ ,  $(Sf, f)_{\mathcal{H}} \geq c \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$  for all  $f \in \text{dom}(S)$ . The Krein–von Neumann extension  $S_K$  of  $S$  is then given by

$$S_K f = S^* f, \quad f \in \text{dom}(S_K) = \text{dom}(S) \dot{+} \ker(S^*), \quad (1.2)$$

see the original papers Krein [47] and von Neumann [61]. It follows that  $S_K$  is a nonnegative self-adjoint extension of  $S$  and that for all other nonnegative self-adjoint extensions  $S_{\Theta}$  of  $S$  the operator inequality  $S_K \leq S_{\Theta}$  holds in the sense of quadratic forms. As  $\ker(S_K) = \ker(S^*)$ , it is clear that 0 is an eigenvalue of  $S_K$

---

*Date:* March 3, 2022.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35J25, 35J40, 35P15; Secondary 35P05, 46E35, 47A10, 47F05.

*Key words and phrases.* Lipschitz domains, Krein Laplacian, trace maps, eigenvalues, spectral analysis, Weyl asymptotics.

J. B. gratefully acknowledges financial support by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF), project P 25162-N26.

Work of M. M. was partially supported by the Simons Foundation Grant #281566 and by a University of Missouri Research Leave grant.

(except if  $S$  is self-adjoint, in which case  $S_K = S^* = S$ ). Furthermore, if the self-adjoint Friedrichs extension  $S_F$  of  $S$  has purely discrete spectrum then the same is true for the spectrum of  $S_K$  with the possible exception of the eigenvalue 0, which may have infinite multiplicity. For further developments, extensive references, and a more detailed discussion of the properties of the Krein–von Neumann extension of a symmetric operator we refer the reader to [2, Sect. 109], [3], [4]–[6], [7, Chs. 9, 10], [8]–[13], [14], [15], [16], [17], [19], [27], [28], [31, Sect. 15], [32, Sect. 3.3], [35], [37], [38, Sect. 13.2], [39], [40], [49], [54], [56], [57, Ch. 13], [58], [59], [60], [63], [64], [65], and the references cited therein.

In the concrete case considered in this paper, the symmetric operator  $S$  above is given by the minimal operator  $A_{min}$  associated to the differential expression  $-\Delta + V$  in the Hilbert space  $L^2(\Omega)$ , that is,

$$A_{min} = -\Delta + V, \quad \text{dom}(A_{min}) = \mathring{H}^2(\Omega), \quad (1.3)$$

where  $\mathring{H}^2(\Omega)$  denotes the closure of  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  in the Sobolev space  $H^2(\Omega)$ , and  $0 \leq V \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ . It can be shown that  $A_{min}$  is the closure of the symmetric operator  $-\Delta + V$  defined on  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ . We point out that here  $\Omega$  is a bounded Lipschitz domain and no further regularity assumptions on  $\partial\Omega$  are imposed, thus it is remarkable that the functions in  $\text{dom}(A_{min})$  possess  $H^2$ -regularity. The adjoint  $A_{min}^*$  of  $A_{min}$  coincides with the maximal operator

$$A_{max} = -\Delta + V, \quad \text{dom}(A_{max}) = \{f \in L^2(\Omega) \mid -\Delta f + Vf \in L^2(\Omega)\}, \quad (1.4)$$

where  $\Delta f$  is understood in the sense of distributions. From (1.2) and (1.3) it is clear that the Krein–von Neumann extension  $A_K$  of  $A_{min}$  is then given by

$$A_K = -\Delta + V, \quad \text{dom}(A_K) = \mathring{H}^2(\Omega) \dot{+} \ker(A_{max}). \quad (1.5)$$

In the present situation  $A_{min}$  is a symmetric operator with infinite defect indices and therefore  $\ker(A_{min}^*) = \ker(A_{max})$  is infinite-dimensional. In particular, 0 is an eigenvalue of  $A_K$  with infinite multiplicity, and hence belongs to the essential spectrum. It is also important to note that in general the functions in  $\ker(A_K)$  do not possess any Sobolev regularity, that is,  $\ker(A_K) \not\subset H^s(\Omega)$  for every  $s > 0$ . Moreover, since  $\Omega$  is a bounded set, the Friedrichs extension of  $A_{min}$  (which coincides with the self-adjoint Dirichlet operator associated to  $-\Delta + V$ ) has compact resolvent and hence its spectrum is discrete. The abstract considerations above then yield that with the exception of the eigenvalue 0 the spectrum of  $A_K$  consists of a sequence of positive eigenvalues with finite multiplicity which tends to  $+\infty$ .

The description of the domain of the Krein–von Neumann extension  $A_K$  in (1.5) is not satisfactory for applications involving boundary value problems. Instead, a more explicit description of  $\text{dom}(A_K)$  via boundary conditions seems to be natural and desirable. In the case of a bounded  $C^\infty$ -smooth domain  $\Omega$ , it is known that

$$\text{dom}(A_K) = \{f \in \text{dom}(A_{max}) \mid \gamma_N f + M(0)\gamma_D f = 0\} \quad (1.6)$$

holds, where  $\gamma_D$  and  $\gamma_N$  denote the Dirichlet and Neumann trace operator, respectively, defined on the maximal domain  $\text{dom}(A_{max})$ , and  $M(0)$  is the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map or Weyl–Titchmarsh operator for  $-\Delta + V$ . The description (1.6) goes back to Grubb [36], where certain classes of elliptic differential operators with smooth coefficients are discussed in great detail. Note that in contrast to the Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions the boundary condition in (1.6) is

nonlocal, as it involves  $M(0)$  which, when  $\Omega$  is smooth, is a boundary pseudodifferential operator of order 1. It is essential for the boundary condition (1.6) that both trace operators  $\gamma_D$  and  $\gamma_N$  are defined on  $\text{dom}(A_{max})$ . Even in the case of a smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ , the elements in  $\text{dom}(A_K)$ , in general, do not possess any  $H^s$ -regularity for  $s > 0$ , and hence special attention has to be paid to the definition and the properties of the trace operators. In the smooth setting the classical analysis due to Lions and Magenes [48] ensures that  $\gamma_D : \text{dom}(A_{max}) \rightarrow H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$  and  $\gamma_N : \text{dom}(A_{max}) \rightarrow H^{-3/2}(\partial\Omega)$  are well-defined continuous mappings when  $\text{dom}(A_{max})$  is equipped with the graph norm.

Let us now turn again to the present situation, where  $\Omega$  is assumed to be a bounded Lipschitz domain. Our first main objective is to extend the description of  $\text{dom}(A_K)$  in (1.6) to the nonsmooth setting. The main difficulty here is to define appropriate trace operators on the domain of the maximal operator. We briefly sketch the strategy from [18], which is mainly based and inspired by abstract extension theory of symmetric operators. For this denote by  $A_D$  and  $A_N$  the self-adjoint realizations of  $-\Delta + V$  corresponding to Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions, respectively. Recall that by [42] and [30] their domains  $\text{dom}(A_D)$  and  $\text{dom}(A_N)$  are both contained in  $H^{3/2}(\Omega)$ . Now consider the boundary spaces

$$\mathcal{G}_D(\partial\Omega) := \{\gamma_D f \mid f \in \text{dom}(A_N)\}, \quad \mathcal{G}_N(\partial\Omega) := \{\gamma_N f \mid f \in \text{dom}(A_D)\}, \quad (1.7)$$

equipped with suitable inner products induced by the Neumann-to-Dirichlet map and Dirichlet-to-Neumann map for  $-\Delta + V - i$ , see Section 3 for the details. It turns out that  $\mathcal{G}_D(\partial\Omega)$  and  $\mathcal{G}_N(\partial\Omega)$  are both Hilbert spaces which are densely embedded in  $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ . It was shown in [18] that the Dirichlet trace operator  $\gamma_D$  and Neumann trace operator  $\gamma_N$  can be extended by continuity to surjective mappings

$$\tilde{\gamma}_D : \text{dom}(A_{max}) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_N(\partial\Omega)^* \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\gamma}_N : \text{dom}(A_{max}) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_D(\partial\Omega)^*, \quad (1.8)$$

where  $\mathcal{G}_D(\partial\Omega)^*$  and  $\mathcal{G}_N(\partial\Omega)^*$  denote the adjoint (i.e., conjugate dual) spaces of  $\mathcal{G}_D(\partial\Omega)$  and  $\mathcal{G}_N(\partial\Omega)$ , respectively. Within the same process also the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map  $M(0)$  of  $-\Delta + V$  (originally defined as a mapping from  $H^1(\partial\Omega)$  to  $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ ) admits an extension to a mapping  $\tilde{M}(0)$  from  $\mathcal{G}_N(\partial\Omega)^*$  to  $\mathcal{G}_D(\partial\Omega)^*$ . With the help of the trace maps  $\tilde{\gamma}_D$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}_N$ , and the extended Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator  $\tilde{M}(0)$  we are then able to extend the description of the domain of the Krein-von Neumann extension for smooth domains in (1.6) to the case of Lipschitz domains. More precisely, we show in Theorem 3.3 that the Krein-von Neumann extension  $A_K$  of  $A_{min}$  is defined on

$$\text{dom}(A_K) = \{f \in \text{dom}(A_{max}) \mid \tilde{\gamma}_N f + \tilde{M}(0)\tilde{\gamma}_D f = 0\}. \quad (1.9)$$

For an exhaustive treatment of boundary trace operators on bounded Lipschitz domains in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and applications to Schrödinger operators we refer to [17].

Our second main objective in this paper is to prove the Weyl asymptotic formula (1.1) for the nonzero eigenvalues of  $A_K$ . We mention that the study of the asymptotic behavior of the spectral distribution function of the Dirichlet Laplacian originates in the work by Weyl (cf. [67], [66], and the references in [68]), and that generalizations of the classical Weyl asymptotic formula were obtained in numerous papers - we refer the reader to [20], [21], [22], [23], [24], [25], [26], [55], [62], and the introduction in [16] for more details. There are relatively few papers available that treat the spectral asymptotics of the Krein Laplacian or the perturbed Krein Laplacian  $A_K$ . Essentially these considerations are inspired by Alonso and Simon

who, at the end of their paper [3] posed the question if the asymptotics of the nonzero eigenvalues of the Krein Laplacian is given by Weyl's formula? In the case where  $\Omega$  is bounded and  $C^\infty$ -smooth, and  $V \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ , this has been shown to be the case three years later by Grubb [37], see also the more recent contributions [51], [52], and [39]. Following the ideas in [37] it was shown in [14] that for so-called quasi-convex domains (a nonsmooth subclass of bounded Lipschitz domains with the key feature that  $\text{dom}(A_D)$  and  $\text{dom}(A_N)$  are both contained in  $H^2(\Omega)$ ) the Krein–von Neumann extension  $A_K$  is spectrally equivalent to the buckling of a clamped plate problem, which in turn can be reformulated with the help of the quadratic forms

$$\mathfrak{a}[f, g] := (A_{\min} f, A_{\min} g)_{L^2(\Omega)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{t}[f, g] := (f, A_{\min} g)_{L^2(\Omega)}, \quad (1.10)$$

defined on  $\text{dom}(A_{\min}) = \dot{H}^2(\Omega)$ . In the Hilbert space  $(\dot{H}^2(\Omega), \mathfrak{a}[\cdot, \cdot])$  the form  $\mathfrak{t}$  can be expressed with the help of a nonnegative compact operator  $T$ , and it follows that

$$\lambda \in \sigma_p(A_K) \setminus \{0\} \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \lambda^{-1} \in \sigma_p(T), \quad (1.11)$$

counting multiplicities. These considerations can be extended from quasi-convex domains to the more general setting of Lipschitz domains, see, for instance, Section 4 and Lemma 4.2. Finally, the main ingredient in the proof of the Weyl asymptotic formula (1.1) for the Krein–von Neumann extension  $A_K$  of  $-\Delta + V$  on a bounded Lipschitz domain  $\Omega$  is then a more general Weyl type asymptotic formula due to Kozlov [45] (see also [44], [46]) which yields the asymptotics of the spectral distribution of function of the compact operator  $T$ , and hence via (1.11) the asymptotics of the spectral distribution function of  $A_K$ . This reasoning in the proof of our second main result Theorem 4.1 is along the lines of [14, 15], where the special case of quasi-convex domains was treated. For perturbed Krein Laplacians this result completes work that started with Grubb more than 30 years ago and demonstrates that the question posed by Alonso and Simon in [3] regarding the validity of the Weyl asymptotic formula continues to have an affirmative answer for bounded Lipschitz domains – the natural end of the line in the development from smooth domains all the way to minimally smooth ones.

## 2. SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS ON BOUNDED LIPSCHITZ DOMAINS

This section is devoted to a study of self-adjoint Schrödinger operators on a nonempty, bounded Lipschitz domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (automatically assumed to be open). We shall make the following general assumption.

**Hypothesis 2.1.** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ , assume that  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a bounded Lipschitz domain, and suppose that  $0 \leq V \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ .*

We consider operator realizations of the differential expression  $-\Delta + V$  in the Hilbert space  $L^2(\Omega)$ . For this we define the *preminimal* realization  $A_p$  of  $-\Delta + V$  by

$$A_p := -\Delta + V, \quad \text{dom}(A_p) := C_0^\infty(\Omega). \quad (2.1)$$

It is clear that  $A_p$  is a densely defined, symmetric operator in  $L^2(\Omega)$ , and hence closable. The *minimal* realization  $A_{\min}$  of  $-\Delta + V$  is defined as the closure of  $A_p$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$ ,

$$A_{\min} := \overline{A_p}. \quad (2.2)$$

It follows that  $A_{min}$  is a densely defined, closed, symmetric operator in  $L^2(\Omega)$ . The *maximal* realization  $A_{max}$  of  $-\Delta + V$  is given by

$$A_{max} := -\Delta + V, \quad \text{dom}(A_{max}) := \{f \in L^2(\Omega) \mid -\Delta f + Vf \in L^2(\Omega)\}, \quad (2.3)$$

where the expression  $\Delta f$ ,  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ , is understood in the sense of distributions.

In the next lemma we collect some properties of the operators  $A_p$ ,  $A_{min}$ , and  $A_{max}$ . The standard  $L^2$ -based Sobolev spaces of order  $s \geq 0$  will be denoted by  $H^s(\Omega)$ ; for the closure of  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  in  $H^s(\Omega)$  we write  $\dot{H}^s(\Omega)$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Assume Hypothesis 2.1 and let  $A_p$ ,  $A_{min}$ , and  $A_{max}$  be as introduced above. Then the following assertions hold:*

(i)  $A_{min}$  and  $A_{max}$  are adjoints of each other, that is,

$$A_{min}^* = A_p^* = A_{max} \quad \text{and} \quad A_{min} = \overline{A_p} = A_{max}^*. \quad (2.4)$$

(ii)  $A_{min}$  is defined on  $\dot{H}^2(\Omega)$ , that is,

$$\text{dom}(A_{min}) = \dot{H}^2(\Omega), \quad (2.5)$$

and the graph norm of  $A_{min}$  and the  $H^2$ -norm are equivalent on  $\text{dom}(A_{min})$ .

(iii)  $A_{min}$  is strictly positive, that is, for some  $C > 0$  we have

$$(A_{min}f, f)_{L^2(\Omega)} \geq C\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2, \quad f \in \dot{H}^2(\Omega). \quad (2.6)$$

(iv)  $A_{min}$  has infinite deficiency indices.

One recalls that the *Friedrichs extension*  $A_F$  of  $A_{min}$  is defined by

$$A_F := -\Delta + V, \quad \text{dom}(A_F) := \{f \in \dot{H}^1(\Omega) \mid \Delta f \in L^2(\Omega)\}. \quad (2.7)$$

It is well-known that  $A_F$  is a strictly positive self-adjoint operator in  $L^2(\Omega)$  with compact resolvent (see, e.g. [29, Sect. VI.1]).

In this note we are particularly interested in the *Krein-von Neumann extension*  $A_K$  of  $A_{min}$ . According to (1.2),  $A_K$  is given by

$$A_K := -\Delta + V, \quad \text{dom}(A_K) := \text{dom}(A_{min}) \dot{+} \ker(A_{max}). \quad (2.8)$$

In the following theorem we briefly collect some well-known properties of the Krein-von Neumann extension  $A_K$  in the present setting. For more details we refer the reader to the celebrated paper [47] by Krein and to [3], [4], [11], [14], [15], [16], [39], and [40] for further developments and references.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Assume Hypothesis 2.1 and let  $A_K$  be the Krein-von Neumann extension of  $A_{min}$ . Then the following assertions hold:*

(i)  $A_K$  is a nonnegative self-adjoint operator in  $L^2(\Omega)$  and  $\sigma(A_K)$  consists of eigenvalues only. The eigenvalue 0 has infinite multiplicity,

$$\dim(\ker(A_K)) = \infty,$$

and the restriction  $A_K|_{(\ker(A_K))^\perp}$  is a strictly positive self-adjoint operator in the Hilbert space  $(\ker(A_K))^\perp$  with compact resolvent.

(ii)  $\text{dom}(A_K) \not\subset H^s(\Omega)$  for every  $s > 0$ .

(iii) A nonnegative self-adjoint operator  $B$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$  is a self-adjoint extension of  $A_{min}$  if and only if for some (and, hence for all)  $\mu < 0$ ,

$$(A_F - \mu)^{-1} \leq (B - \mu)^{-1} \leq (A_K - \mu)^{-1}. \quad (2.9)$$

We also mention that the Friedrich extension  $A_F$  and the Krein–von Neumann extension  $A_K$  are relatively prime (or disjoint), that is,

$$\operatorname{dom}(A_F) \cap \operatorname{dom}(A_K) = \operatorname{dom}(A_{\min}) = \mathring{H}^2(\Omega). \quad (2.10)$$

For later purposes we briefly recall some properties of the Dirichlet and Neumann trace operator and the corresponding self-adjoint Dirichlet and Neumann realizations of  $-\Delta + V$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$ . We consider the space

$$H_{\Delta}^{3/2}(\Omega) := \{f \in H^{3/2}(\Omega) \mid \Delta f \in L^2(\Omega)\}, \quad (2.11)$$

equipped with the inner product

$$(f, g)_{H_{\Delta}^{3/2}(\Omega)} = (f, g)_{H^{3/2}(\Omega)} + (\Delta f, \Delta g)_{L^2(\Omega)}, \quad f, g \in H_{\Delta}^{3/2}(\Omega). \quad (2.12)$$

One recalls that the Dirichlet and Neumann trace operators  $\gamma_D$  and  $\gamma_N$  defined by

$$\gamma_D f := f|_{\partial\Omega} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_N f := \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla f|_{\partial\Omega}, \quad f \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega}), \quad (2.13)$$

admit continuous extensions to operators

$$\gamma_D : H_{\Delta}^{3/2}(\Omega) \rightarrow H^1(\partial\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_N : H_{\Delta}^{3/2}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\partial\Omega). \quad (2.14)$$

Here  $H^1(\partial\Omega)$  denotes the usual  $L^2$ -based Sobolev space of order 1 on  $\partial\Omega$ ; cf. [50, Chapter 3] and [53]. It is important to note that the extensions in (2.14) are both surjective, see [35, Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2].

In the next theorem we collect some properties of the Dirichlet realization  $A_D$  and Neumann realization  $A_N$  of  $-\Delta + V$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$ . We recall that the operators  $A_D$  and  $A_N$  are defined as the unique self-adjoint operators corresponding to the closed nonnegative forms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{a}_D[f, g] &:= (\nabla f, \nabla g)_{(L^2(\Omega))^n} + (Vf, g)_{L^2(\Omega)}, & \operatorname{dom}(\mathfrak{a}_D) &:= \mathring{H}^1(\Omega), \\ \mathfrak{a}_N[f, g] &:= (\nabla f, \nabla g)_{(L^2(\Omega))^n} + (Vf, g)_{L^2(\Omega)}, & \operatorname{dom}(\mathfrak{a}_N) &:= H^1(\Omega). \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

In particular, one has  $A_F = A_D$  by (2.7). In the next theorem we collect some well-known facts about the self-adjoint operators  $A_D$  and  $A_N$ . The  $H^{3/2}$ -regularity of the functions in their domains is remarkable, and a consequence of  $\Omega$  being a bounded Lipschitz domain. We refer the reader to [34, Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 4.8] for more details, see also [41, 42] and [30].

**Theorem 2.4.** *Assume Hypothesis 2.1 and let  $A_D$  and  $A_N$  be the self-adjoint Dirichlet and Neumann realization of  $-\Delta + V$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$ , respectively. Then the following assertions hold:*

- (i) *The operator  $A_D$  coincides with the Friedrichs extension  $A_F$  and is given by*

$$A_D = -\Delta + V, \quad \operatorname{dom}(A_D) = \{f \in H_{\Delta}^{3/2}(\Omega) \mid \gamma_D f = 0\}. \quad (2.16)$$

*The resolvent of  $A_D$  is compact, and the spectrum of  $A_D$  is purely discrete and contained in  $(0, \infty)$ .*

- (ii) *The operator  $A_N$  is given by*

$$A_N = -\Delta + V, \quad \operatorname{dom}(A_N) = \{f \in H_{\Delta}^{3/2}(\Omega) \mid \gamma_N f = 0\}. \quad (2.17)$$

*The resolvent of  $A_N$  is compact, and the spectrum of  $A_N$  is purely discrete and contained in  $[0, \infty)$ .*

## 3. BOUNDARY CONDITIONS FOR THE KREIN-VON NEUMANN REALIZATION

Our goal in this section is to obtain an explicit description of the domain of the Krein-von Neumann extension  $A_K$  in terms of Dirichlet and Neumann boundary traces. For this we describe an extension procedure of the trace maps  $\gamma_D$  and  $\gamma_N$  in (2.14) onto  $\text{dom}(A_{max})$  from [18]. We recall that for  $\varphi \in H^1(\partial\Omega)$  and  $z \in \rho(A_D)$ , the boundary value problem

$$-\Delta f + Vf = zf, \quad \gamma_D f = \varphi, \quad (3.1)$$

admits a unique solution  $f_z(\varphi) \in H_{\Delta}^{3/2}(\Omega)$ . Making use of this fact and the trace operators (2.14) we define the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator  $M(z)$ ,  $z \in \rho(A_D)$ , as follows:

$$M(z) : L^2(\partial\Omega) \supset H^1(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\partial\Omega), \quad \varphi \mapsto -\gamma_N f_z(\varphi), \quad (3.2)$$

where  $f_z(\varphi) \in H_{\Delta}^{3/2}(\Omega)$  is the unique solution of (3.1). It can be shown that  $M(z)$  is an unbounded operator in  $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ . Moreover, if  $z \in \rho(A_D) \cap \rho(A_N)$  then  $M(z)$  is invertible and the inverse  $M(z)^{-1}$  is a bounded operator defined on  $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ . Considering  $z = i$ , we set

$$\Sigma := \text{Im}(-M(i)^{-1}). \quad (3.3)$$

The imaginary part  $\text{Im} M(i)$  of  $M(i)$  is a densely defined bounded operator in  $L^2(\partial\Omega)$  and hence it admits a bounded closure

$$\Lambda := \overline{\text{Im}(M(i))} \quad (3.4)$$

in  $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ . Both operators  $\Sigma$  and  $\Lambda$  are self-adjoint and invertible with unbounded inverses. Introducing the boundary spaces

$$\mathcal{G}_D(\partial\Omega) := \{\gamma_D f \mid f \in \text{dom}(A_N)\} \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\mathcal{G}_N(\partial\Omega) := \{\gamma_N f \mid f \in \text{dom}(A_D)\}, \quad (3.6)$$

we equip  $\mathcal{G}_D(\partial\Omega)$  and  $\mathcal{G}_N(\partial\Omega)$  with the inner products

$$(\varphi, \psi)_{\mathcal{G}_D(\partial\Omega)} := (\Sigma^{-1/2} \varphi, \Sigma^{-1/2} \psi)_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}, \quad \varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{G}_D(\partial\Omega), \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$(\varphi, \psi)_{\mathcal{G}_N(\partial\Omega)} := (\Lambda^{-1/2} \varphi, \Lambda^{-1/2} \psi)_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}, \quad \varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{G}_N(\partial\Omega), \quad (3.8)$$

respectively. Then  $\mathcal{G}_D(\partial\Omega)$  and  $\mathcal{G}_N(\partial\Omega)$  both become Hilbert spaces which are dense in  $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ . The corresponding adjoint (i.e., conjugate dual) spaces will be denoted by  $\mathcal{G}_D(\partial\Omega)^*$  and  $\mathcal{G}_N(\partial\Omega)^*$ , respectively. The following result can be found in [18, Section 4.1].

**Theorem 3.1.** *Assume Hypothesis 2.1. Then the Dirichlet trace operator  $\gamma_D$  and Neumann trace operator  $\gamma_N$  in (2.14) can be extended by continuity to surjective mappings*

$$\tilde{\gamma}_D : \text{dom}(A_{max}) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_N(\partial\Omega)^* \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\gamma}_N : \text{dom}(A_{max}) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_D(\partial\Omega)^* \quad (3.9)$$

*such that  $\ker(\tilde{\gamma}_D) = \ker(\gamma_D) = \text{dom}(A_D)$  and  $\ker(\tilde{\gamma}_N) = \ker(\gamma_N) = \text{dom}(A_N)$ .*

In a similar manner the boundary value problem (3.1) can be considered for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_N(\partial\Omega)^*$  and the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator  $M(\cdot)$  in (3.2) can be extended. More precisely, the following statement holds.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Assume Hypothesis 2.1 and let  $\tilde{\gamma}_D$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}_N$  be the extended Dirichlet and Neumann trace operator from Theorem 3.1. Then the following are true:*

(i) For  $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_N(\partial\Omega)^*$  and  $z \in \rho(A_D)$  the boundary value problem

$$-\Delta f + Vf = zf, \quad \tilde{\gamma}_D f = \varphi, \quad (3.10)$$

admits a unique solution  $f_z(\varphi) \in \text{dom}(A_{\max})$ .

(ii) For  $z \in \rho(A_D)$  the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator  $M(z)$  in (3.2) admits a continuous extension

$$\widetilde{M}(z) : \mathcal{G}_N(\partial\Omega)^* \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_D(\partial\Omega)^*, \quad \varphi \mapsto -\tilde{\gamma}_N f_z(\varphi), \quad (3.11)$$

where  $f_z(\varphi) \in \text{dom}(A_{\max})$  is the unique solution of (3.10).

Now we are able to state our main result in this section: A description of the domain of the Krein–von Neumann extension  $A_K$  in terms of Dirichlet and Neumann boundary traces. The extended Dirichlet-to-Neumann map at  $z = 0$  will enter as a regularization parameter in the boundary condition. For  $C^\infty$ -smooth domains this result goes back to Grubb [36], where a certain class of elliptic differential operators with smooth coefficients is discussed systematically. For the special case of a so-called quasi-convex domains Theorem 3.3 reduces to [15, Theorem 5.5] and [35, Theorem 13.1]. In an abstract setting the Krein–von Neumann extension appears in a similar form in [18, Example 3.9].

**Theorem 3.3.** *Assume Hypothesis 2.1 and let  $\tilde{\gamma}_D$ ,  $\tilde{\gamma}_N$  and  $\widetilde{M}(0)$  be as in Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2. Then the Krein–von Neumann extension  $A_K$  of  $A_{\min}$  is given by*

$$A_K = -\Delta + V, \quad \text{dom}(A_K) = \{f \in \text{dom}(A_{\max}) \mid \tilde{\gamma}_N f + \widetilde{M}(0)\tilde{\gamma}_D f = 0\}. \quad (3.12)$$

*Proof.* We recall that the Krein–von Neumann extension  $A_K$  of  $A_{\min}$  is defined on

$$\text{dom}(A_K) = \text{dom}(A_{\min}) \dot{+} \ker(A_{\max}). \quad (3.13)$$

Thus, from Lemma 2.2(ii) one concludes

$$\text{dom}(A_K) = \mathring{H}^2(\Omega) \dot{+} \ker(A_{\max, \Omega}). \quad (3.14)$$

Next, we show the inclusion

$$\text{dom}(A_K) \subseteq \{f \in \text{dom}(A_{\max}) \mid \tilde{\gamma}_N f + \widetilde{M}(0)\tilde{\gamma}_D f = 0\}. \quad (3.15)$$

Fix  $f \in \text{dom}(A_K)$  and decompose  $f$  in the form  $f = f_{\min} + f_0$ , where  $f_{\min} \in \mathring{H}^2(\Omega)$  and  $f_0 \in \ker(A_{\max})$  (cf. (3.14)). Thus,  $\gamma_D f_{\min} = \tilde{\gamma}_D f_{\min} = 0$  and  $\gamma_N f_{\min} = \tilde{\gamma}_N f_{\min} = 0$ , and hence it follows from Theorem 3.2(ii) that

$$\widetilde{M}(0)\tilde{\gamma}_D f = \widetilde{M}(0)\tilde{\gamma}_D(f_{\min} + f_0) = \widetilde{M}(0)\tilde{\gamma}_D f_0 = -\tilde{\gamma}_N f_0 = -\tilde{\gamma}_N f. \quad (3.16)$$

Thus,  $\tilde{\gamma}_N f + \widetilde{M}(0)\tilde{\gamma}_D f = 0$  and the inclusion (3.15) holds.

Next we verify the opposite inclusion

$$\text{dom}(A_K) \supseteq \{f \in \text{dom}(A_{\max}) \mid \tilde{\gamma}_N f + \widetilde{M}(0)\tilde{\gamma}_D f = 0\}. \quad (3.17)$$

We use the direct sum decomposition

$$\text{dom}(A_{\max}) = \text{dom}(A_D) \dot{+} \ker(A_{\max}), \quad (3.18)$$

which is not difficult to check. Assuming that  $f \in \text{dom}(A_{\max, \Omega})$  satisfies the boundary condition

$$\widetilde{M}(0)\tilde{\gamma}_D f + \tilde{\gamma}_N f = 0, \quad (3.19)$$

according to the decomposition (3.18) we write  $f$  in the form  $f = f_D + f_0$ , where  $f_D \in \text{dom}(A_D)$  and  $f_0 \in \ker(A_{max})$ . Thus,  $\gamma_D f_D = \tilde{\gamma}_D f_D = 0$  by Theorem 3.1 and with the help of Theorem 3.2 (ii) one obtains

$$\widetilde{M}(0)\tilde{\gamma}_D f = \widetilde{M}(0)\tilde{\gamma}_D(f_D + f_0) = \widetilde{M}(0)\tilde{\gamma}_D f_0 = -\tilde{\gamma}_N f_0. \quad (3.20)$$

Taking into account the boundary condition (3.19) one concludes

$$-\tilde{\gamma}_N f = \widetilde{M}(0)\tilde{\gamma}_D f = -\tilde{\gamma}_N f_0, \quad (3.21)$$

and hence

$$0 = \tilde{\gamma}_N(f - f_0) = \tilde{\gamma}_N f_D. \quad (3.22)$$

Together with Theorem 3.1 this implies  $f_D \in \ker(\tilde{\gamma}_N) = \ker(\gamma_N) = \text{dom}(A_N)$ . Thus, one arrives at

$$f_D \in \text{dom}(A_D) \cap \text{dom}(A_N) = \text{dom}(A_{min}) = \mathring{H}^2(\Omega), \quad (3.23)$$

where (2.10) and  $A_D = A_F$  was used (cf. Theorem 2.4 (i)). Summing up, one has

$$f = f_D + f_0 \in \mathring{H}^2(\Omega) \dot{+} \ker(A_{max}) = \text{dom}(A_K), \quad (3.24)$$

which shows (3.17) and completes the proof of Theorem 3.3.  $\square$

#### 4. SPECTRAL ASYMPTOTICS OF THE KREIN-VON NEUMANN EXTENSION

As the main result in this section we derive the following Weyl-type spectral asymptotics for the Krein-von Neumann extension  $A_K$  of  $A_{min}$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *Assume Hypothesis 2.1. Let  $\{\lambda_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (0, \infty)$  be the strictly positive eigenvalues of the Krein-von Neumann extension  $A_K$  enumerated in nondecreasing order counting multiplicity, and let*

$$N(\lambda, A_K) := \#\{j \in \mathbb{N} : 0 < \lambda_j \leq \lambda\}, \quad \lambda > 0, \quad (4.1)$$

*be the eigenvalue distribution function for  $A_K$ . Then the following Weyl asymptotic formula holds,*

$$N(\lambda, A_K) \underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{=} \frac{v_n |\Omega|}{(2\pi)^n} \lambda^{n/2} + O(\lambda^{(n-(1/2))/2}), \quad (4.2)$$

*where  $v_n = \pi^{n/2}/\Gamma((n/2) + 1)$  denotes the (Euclidean) volume of the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (with  $\Gamma(\cdot)$  the classical Gamma function [1, Sect. 6.1]) and  $|\Omega|$  represents the ( $n$ -dimensional) Lebesgue measure of  $\Omega$ .*

The proof of Theorem 4.1 follows along the lines of [14, 15], where the case of quasi-convex domains was investigated. The main ingredients are a general Weyl type asymptotic formula due to Kozlov [45] (see also [44], [46] for related results) and the connection between the eigenvalues of the so-called buckling operator and the positive eigenvalues of the Krein-von Neumann extension  $A_K$  (cf. [15], [16]). We first consider the quadratic forms  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{t}$  on  $\text{dom}(A_{min}) = \mathring{H}^2(\Omega)$  defined by

$$\mathfrak{a}[f, g] := (A_{min} f, A_{min} g)_{L^2(\Omega)}, \quad f, g \in \text{dom}(\mathfrak{a}) := \mathring{H}^2(\Omega), \quad (4.3)$$

$$\mathfrak{t}[f, g] := (f, A_{min} g)_{L^2(\Omega)}, \quad f, g \in \text{dom}(\mathfrak{t}) := \mathring{H}^2(\Omega). \quad (4.4)$$

Since the graph norm of  $A_{min}$  and the  $H^2$ -norm are equivalent on  $\text{dom } A_{min} = \dot{H}^2(\Omega)$  by Lemma 2.2(ii), it follows that  $\mathcal{W} := (\text{dom}(\mathfrak{a}); (\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathcal{W}})$ , where the inner product is defined by

$$(f, g)_{\mathcal{W}} := \mathfrak{a}[f, g] = (A_{min}f, A_{min}g)_{L^2(\Omega)}, \quad f, g \in \text{dom}(\mathfrak{a}), \quad (4.5)$$

is a Hilbert space. One observes that the embedding  $\iota : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$  is compact; this is a consequence of  $\Omega$  being bounded. Next, we consider for fixed  $g \in \mathcal{W}$  the functional

$$\mathcal{W} \ni f \mapsto \mathfrak{t}[\iota f, \iota g], \quad (4.6)$$

which is continuous on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{W}$  and hence can be represented with the help of a bounded operator  $T$  in  $\mathcal{W}$  in the form

$$(f, Tg)_{\mathcal{W}} = \mathfrak{t}[\iota f, \iota g], \quad f, g \in \mathcal{W}. \quad (4.7)$$

The nonnegativity of the form  $\mathfrak{t}$  implies that  $T$  is a self-adjoint and nonnegative operator in  $\mathcal{W}$ . Furthermore, one obtains from (4.4) that

$$(f, Tg)_{\mathcal{W}} = \mathfrak{t}[\iota f, \iota g] = (\iota f, A_{min}\iota g)_{L^2(\Omega)} = (f, \iota^* A_{min}\iota g)_{\mathcal{W}}, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{W}, \quad (4.8)$$

and hence,

$$T = \iota^* A_{min} \iota. \quad (4.9)$$

In particular, since  $A_{min}\iota : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$  is defined on the whole space  $\mathcal{W}$  and is closed as an operator from  $\mathcal{W}$  to  $L^2(\Omega)$ , it follows that  $A_{min}\iota$  is bounded and hence the compactness of  $\iota^* : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$  implies that  $T = \iota^* A_{min} \iota$  is a compact operator in the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{W}$ .

The next useful lemma shows that the eigenvalues of  $T$  are precisely the reciprocals of the nonzero eigenvalues of  $A_K$ . Lemma 4.2 is inspired by the connection of the Krein–von Neumann extension to the buckling of a clamped plate problem (cf. [15, Theorem 6.2] and [14, 16, 37]).

**Lemma 4.2.** *Assume Hypothesis 2.1 and let  $T$  be the nonnegative compact operator in  $\mathcal{W}$  defined by (4.7). Then*

$$\lambda \in \sigma_p(A_K) \setminus \{0\} \text{ if and only if } \lambda^{-1} \in \sigma_p(T), \quad (4.10)$$

*counting multiplicities.*

*Proof.* Assume first that  $\lambda \neq 0$  is an eigenvalue of  $A_K$  and let  $g$  be a corresponding eigenfunction. We decompose  $g$  in the form

$$g = g_{min} + g_0, \quad g_{min} \in \text{dom}(A_{min}), \quad g_0 \in \ker(A_{max}) \quad (4.11)$$

(cf. (2.8)), where  $g_{min} \neq 0$  as  $\lambda \neq 0$ . Then one concludes

$$A_{min}g_{min} = A_K(g_{min} + g_0) = A_K g, \quad (4.12)$$

and hence,

$$A_{min}g_{min} - \lambda g_{min} = A_K g - \lambda g_{min} = \lambda g - \lambda g_{min} = \lambda g_0 \in \ker(A_{max}), \quad (4.13)$$

so that

$$A_{max}A_{min}g_{min} = \lambda A_{max}g_{min} = \lambda A_{min}g_{min}. \quad (4.14)$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned}
(f, \lambda^{-1} g_{\min})_{\mathcal{W}} &= \mathfrak{a}[f, \lambda^{-1} g_{\min}] \\
&= (A_{\min} f, \lambda^{-1} A_{\min} g_{\min})_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
&= (f, \lambda^{-1} A_{\max} A_{\min} g_{\min})_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
&= (f, A_{\min} g_{\min})_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
&= \mathfrak{t}[f, g_{\min}] \\
&= (f, T g_{\min})_{\mathcal{W}}, \quad f \in \mathcal{W},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

where, for simplicity, we have identified elements in  $\mathcal{W}$  with those in  $\text{dom}(\mathfrak{a})$ , and hence omitted the embedding map  $\iota$ . From (4.15) we then conclude

$$T g_{\min} = \frac{1}{\lambda} g_{\min}, \tag{4.16}$$

which shows that  $\lambda^{-1} \in \sigma_p(T)$ .

Conversely, assume that  $h \in \mathcal{W} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\lambda \neq 0$  are such that

$$T h = \frac{1}{\lambda} h \tag{4.17}$$

holds. Then it follows from (4.5) and (4.7) that

$$\mathfrak{a}[f, h] = \mathfrak{a}[f, \lambda T h] = (f, \lambda T h)_{\mathcal{W}} = \mathfrak{t}[f, \lambda h] = (f, \lambda A_{\min} h)_{L^2(\Omega)}, \quad f \in \text{dom}(\mathfrak{a}). \tag{4.18}$$

As a consequence of the first representation theorem for quadratic forms [43, Theorem VI.2.1 (iii), Example VI.2.13], one concludes that  $A_{\max} A_{\min}$  is the representing operator for  $\mathfrak{a}$ , and therefore,

$$h \in \text{dom}(A_{\max} A_{\min}) \text{ and } A_{\max} A_{\min} h = \lambda A_{\min} h. \tag{4.19}$$

In particular,  $h \in \text{dom}(A_{\min})$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{\max}(A_{\min} - \lambda)h &= A_{\max} A_{\min} h - \lambda A_{\max} h \\
&= A_{\max} A_{\min} h - \lambda A_{\min} h \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

Defining

$$g := \frac{1}{\lambda} A_{\min} h = h + \frac{1}{\lambda} (A_{\min} - \lambda)h, \tag{4.21}$$

$h \in \text{dom}(A_{\min})$  and  $(A_{\min} - \lambda)h \in \ker(A_{\max})$  by (4.20), together with (2.8) imply  $g \in \text{dom } A_K$ . Moreover,  $g \neq 0$  since  $A_{\min}$  is positive. Furthermore,

$$A_K g = A_{\max} g = \frac{1}{\lambda} A_{\max} A_{\min} h = A_{\min} h = \lambda g, \tag{4.22}$$

shows that  $\lambda \in \sigma_p(A_K)$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 4.1.* Let  $T$  be the nonnegative compact operator in  $\mathcal{W}$  defined by (4.7). We order the eigenvalues of  $T$  in the form

$$0 \leq \dots \leq \mu_{j+1}(T) \leq \mu_j(T) \leq \dots \leq \mu_1(T), \tag{4.23}$$

listed according to their multiplicity, and set

$$\mathcal{N}(\lambda, T) := \#\{j \in \mathbb{N} : \mu_j(T) \geq \lambda^{-1}\}, \quad \lambda > 0. \tag{4.24}$$

It follows from Lemma 4.2 that

$$\mathcal{N}(\lambda, T) = N(\lambda, A_K), \quad \lambda > 0, \quad (4.25)$$

and hence [45] yields the asymptotic formula,

$$N(\lambda, A_K) = \mathcal{N}(\lambda, T) \underset{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}{=} \omega \lambda^{n/2} + O(\lambda^{(n-(1/2))/2}), \quad (4.26)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \omega &:= \frac{1}{n(2\pi)^n} \int_{\Omega} \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} \left[ \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j^2}{\sum_{j,k=1}^n \xi_j^2 \xi_k^2} \right]^{\frac{n}{2}} d\omega_{n-1}(\xi) \right) d^n x \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n} v_n |\Omega|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

□

For bounds on  $N(\cdot, A_K)$  in the case of  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  open and of finite ( $n$ -dimensional) Lebesgue measure, we refer to [33].

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, Dover, New York, 1972.
- [2] N. I. Akhiezer and I. M. Glazman, *Theory of Linear Operators in Hilbert Space, Volume II*, Pitman, Boston, 1981.
- [3] A. Alonso and B. Simon, *The Birman-Krein-Vishik theory of selfadjoint extensions of semi-bounded operators*, J. Operator Th. **4**, 251–270 (1980); Addenda: **6**, 407 (1981).
- [4] T Ando and K. Nishio, *Positive selfadjoint extensions of positive symmetric operators*, Tohoku Math. J. **22**, 65–75 (1970).
- [5] Yu. M. Arlinskii, *On  $m$ -accretive extensions and restrictions*, Meth. Funct. Anal. Top. **4**, 1–26 (1998).
- [6] Yu. M. Arlinskii, *Abstract boundary conditions for maximal sectorial extensions of sectorial operators*, Math. Nachr. **209**, 5–36 (2000).
- [7] Yu. Arlinskii, S. Belyi, and E. Tsekanovskii, *Conservative Realizations of Herglotz–Nevanlinna Functions*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, Vol. 217, Birkhäuser, Springer, Basel, 2011.
- [8] Yu. M. Arlinskii, S. Hassi, Z. Sebestyén, and H. S. V. de Snoo, *On the class of extremal extensions of a nonnegative operator*, in *Recent Advances in Operator Theory and Related Topics*, L. Kérchy, C. Foias, I. Gohberg, and H. Langer (eds.), Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, Vol. 127, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001, pp. 41–81.
- [9] Yu. Arlinskii and Yu. Kovalev, *Operators in divergence form and their Friedrichs and Krein extensions*, Opusc. Math. **31**, 501–517 (2011).
- [10] Yu. M. Arlinskii and E. R. Tsekanovskii, *On the theory of nonnegative selfadjoint extensions of a nonnegative symmetric operator*, Rep. Nat. Acad. Sci. Ukraine **2002**, no. 11, 30–37.
- [11] Yu. M. Arlinskii and E. R. Tsekanovskii, *On von Neumann’s problem in extension theory of nonnegative operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131**, 3143–3154 (2003).
- [12] Yu. M. Arlinskii and E. R. Tsekanovskii, *The von Neumann problem for nonnegative symmetric operators*, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory **51**, 319–356 (2005).
- [13] Yu. Arlinskii and E. Tsekanovskii, *M. Krein’s research on semibounded operators, its contemporary developments, and applications*, in *Modern Analysis and Applications. The Mark Krein Centenary Conference*, Vol. 1, V. Adamyan, Y. M. Berezansky, I. Gohberg, M. L. Gorbachuk, V. Gorbachuk, A. N. Kochubei, H. Langer, and G. Popov (eds.), Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, Vol. 190, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2009, pp. 65–112.
- [14] M. S. Ashbaugh, F. Gesztesy, M. Mitrea, and G. Teschl, *Spectral theory for perturbed Krein Laplacians in nonsmooth domains*, Adv. Math. **223**, 1372–1467 (2010).
- [15] M. S. Ashbaugh, F. Gesztesy, M. Mitrea, R. Shterenberg, and G. Teschl, *The Krein–von Neumann extension and its connection to an abstract buckling problem*, Math. Nachr. **283**, 165–179 (2010).

- [16] M. S. Ashbaugh, F. Gesztesy, M. Mitrea, R. Shterenberg, and G. Teschl, *A survey on the Krein-von Neumann extension, the corresponding abstract buckling problem, and Weyl-type spectral asymptotics for perturbed Krein Laplacians in non smooth domains*, in *Mathematical Physics, Spectral Theory and Stochastic Analysis*, M. Demuth and W. Kirsch (eds.), Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, Vol. 232, Birkhäuser, Springer, Basel, 2013, pp. 1–106.
- [17] J. Behrndt, F. Gesztesy, T. Micheler, and M. Mitrea, *Sharp boundary trace theory and Schrödinger operators on bounded Lipschitz domains*, in preparation.
- [18] J. Behrndt and T. Micheler, *Elliptic differential operators on Lipschitz domains and abstract boundary value problems*, J. Funct. Anal. **267**, 3657–3709 (2014).
- [19] M. S. Birman, *On the theory of self-adjoint extensions of positive definite operators*, Mat. Sbornik **38**, 431–450 (1956) (Russian).
- [20] M. S. Birman and M. Z. Solomyak, *Leading term in the asymptotic spectral formula for “non-smooth” elliptic problems*, Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen **4**, no. 4, 1–13 (1970) (Russian); Engl. transl. in Funct. Anal. Appl. **4**, 265–275 (1970).
- [21] M. S. Birman and M. Z. Solomyak, *On the asymptotic spectrum of “non-smooth” elliptic equations*, Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen **5**, no. 1, 69–70 (1971) (Russian); Engl. transl. in Funct. Anal. Appl. **5**, 56–57 (1971).
- [22] M. S. Birman and M. Z. Solomjak, *Spectral asymptotics of nonsmooth elliptic operators. I*, Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. **27**, 1–52 (1972).
- [23] M. S. Birman and M. Z. Solomjak, *Spectral asymptotics of nonsmooth elliptic operators. I*, Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. **28**, 1–32 (1973).
- [24] M. S. Birman and M. Z. Solomyak, *Asymptotic behavior of the spectrum of differential equations*, Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki, Matematicheskii Analiz., **14**, 5–58 (1977) (Russian); Engl. transl. in J. Soviet Math. **12**, no. 3, 247–283 (1979).
- [25] M. S. Birman and M. Z. Solomjak, *Quantitative Analysis in Sobolev Imbedding Theorems and Applications to Spectral Theory*, AMS Translations, Series 2, Vol. 114, Providence, RI, 1980, pp. 1–132.
- [26] E. B. Davies,  *$L^p$  spectral theory of higher-order elliptic differential operators*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **29**, 513–546 (1997).
- [27] V. A. Derkach and M. M. Malamud, *Generalized resolvents and the boundary value problems for Hermitian operators with gaps*, J. Funct. Anal. **95**, 1–95 (1991).
- [28] V. A. Derkach and M. M. Malamud, *The extension theory of Hermitian operators and the moment problem*, J. Math. Sci. **73**, 141–242 (1995).
- [29] D. E. Edmunds and W. D. Evans, *Spectral Theory and Differential Operators*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1989.
- [30] E. Fabes, O. Mendez, and M. Mitrea, *Boundary layers on Sobolev–Besov spaces and Poisson’s equation for the Laplacian in Lipschitz domains*, J. Funct. Anal. **159**, 323–368 (1998).
- [31] W. G. Faris, *Self-Adjoint Operators*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 433, Springer, Berlin, 1975.
- [32] M. Fukushima, Y. Oshima, and M. Takeda, *Dirichlet Forms and Symmetric Markov Processes*, 2nd revised and extended ed., de Gruyter Studies in Math., Vol. 19, de Gruyter, Berlin, 2011.
- [33] F. Gesztesy, A. Laptev, M. Mitrea, and S. Sukhtaiev, *A bound for the eigenvalue counting function for higher-order Krein Laplacians on open sets*, in *Mathematical Results in Quantum Mechanics, QMath12 Proceedings*, P. Exner, W. König, and H. Neidhardt (eds), World Scientific, Singapore (to appear), arXiv:1403.3731.
- [34] F. Gesztesy and M. Mitrea, *Generalized Robin boundary conditions, Robin-to-Dirichlet maps, and Krein-type resolvent formulas for Schrödinger operators on bounded Lipschitz domains*, in *Perspectives in Partial Differential Equations, Harmonic Analysis and Applications: A Volume in Honor of Vladimir G. Maz’ya’s 70th Birthday*, D. Mitrea and M. Mitrea (eds.), Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, Vol. 79, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008, pp. 105–173.
- [35] F. Gesztesy and M. Mitrea, *A description of all self-adjoint extensions of the Laplacian and Krein-type resolvent formulas on non-smooth domains*, J. Analyse Math. **113**, 53–172 (2011).
- [36] G. Grubb, *A characterization of the non-local boundary value problems associated with an elliptic operator*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa (3), **22**, 425–513 (1968).
- [37] G. Grubb, *Spectral asymptotics for the “soft” selfadjoint extension of a symmetric elliptic differential operator*, J. Operator Th. **10**, 9–20 (1983).

- [38] G. Grubb, *Distributions and Operators*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 252, Springer, New York, 2009.
- [39] G. Grubb, *Krein-like extensions and the lower boundedness problem for elliptic operators*, J. Diff. Eq. **252**, 852–885 (2012).
- [40] S. Hassi, M. Malamud, and H. de Snoo, *On Krein's extension theory of nonnegative operators*, Math. Nachr. **274/275**, 40–73 (2004).
- [41] D. Jerison and C. Kenig, *The Neumann problem in Lipschitz domains*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **4**, 203–207 (1981).
- [42] D. Jerison and C. Kenig, *The inhomogeneous Dirichlet problem in Lipschitz domains*, J. Funct. Anal. **130**, 161–219 (1995).
- [43] T. Kato, *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*, corr. printing of the 2nd ed., Springer, Berlin, 1980.
- [44] V. A. Kozlov, *Estimation of the remainder in a formula for the asymptotic behavior of the spectrum of nonsemibounded elliptic systems*, (Russian) Vestnik Leningrad. Univ. Mat. Mekh. Astronom. **125**, no. 4., 112–113, (1979).
- [45] V. A. Kozlov, *Estimates of the remainder in formulas for the asymptotic behavior of the spectrum for linear operator bundles*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen **17**, no. 2, 80–81 (1983). Engl. transl. in Funct. Anal. Appl. **17**, no. 2, 147–149 (1983).
- [46] V. A. Kozlov, *Remainder estimates in spectral asymptotic formulas for linear operator pencils*, Linear and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations. Spectral Asymptotic Behavior, pp. 34–56, Probl. Mat. Anal. **9**, Leningrad Univ., Leningrad, 1984; Engl. transl. in J. Sov. Math. **35**, 2180–2193 (1986).
- [47] M. G. Krein, *The theory of self-adjoint extensions of semi-bounded Hermitian transformations and its applications. I*, Mat. Sbornik **20**, 431–495 (1947) (Russian).
- [48] J.L. Lions and E. Magenes, *Non-Homogeneous Boundary Value Problems and Applications*, Vol. I, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, 1972.
- [49] M. M. Malamud, *Certain classes of extensions of a lacunary Hermitian operator*, Ukrainian Math. J. **44**, no. 2, 190–204 (1992).
- [50] W. McLean, *Strongly Elliptic Systems and Boundary Integral Equations*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- [51] V. A. Mikhailets, *Distribution of the eigenvalues of finite multiplicity of Neumann extensions of an elliptic operator*, Differentsial'nye Uravneniya **30**, 178–179 (1994) (Russian); Engl. transl. in Diff. Eq. **30**, 167–168 (1994).
- [52] V. A. Mikhailets, *Discrete spectrum of the extreme nonnegative extension of the positive elliptic differential operator*, in *Proceedings of the Ukrainian Mathematical Congress–2001, Section 7, Nonlinear Analysis*, Kyiv, 2006, pp. 80–94.
- [53] I. Mitrea and M. Mitrea, *Multi-Layer Potentials and Boundary Problems for Higher-Order Elliptic Systems in Lipschitz Domains*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 2063, Springer, Berlin, 2013.
- [54] G. Nenciu, *Applications of the Krein resolvent formula to the theory of self-adjoint extensions of positive symmetric operators*, J. Operator Th. **10**, 209–218 (1983).
- [55] Yu. Netrusov and Yu. Safarov, *Weyl asymptotic formula for the Laplacian on domains with rough boundaries*, Comm. Math. Phys. **253**, no. 2, 481–509 (2005).
- [56] V. Prokaj and Z. Sebestyén, *On extremal positive operator extensions*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **62**, 485–491 (1996).
- [57] K. Schmüdgen, *Unbounded Self-Adjoint Operators on Hilbert Space*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 265, Springer, Dordrecht, 2012.
- [58] Z. Sebestyén and E. Sikolya, *On Krein–von Neumann and Friedrichs extensions*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **69**, 323–336 (2003).
- [59] C. F. Skau, *Positive self-adjoint extensions of operators affiliated with a von Neumann algebra*, Math. Scand. **44**, 171–195 (1979).
- [60] O. G. Storozh, *On the hard and soft extensions of a nonnegative operator*, J. Math. Sci. **79**, 1378–1380 (1996).
- [61] J. von Neumann, *Allgemeine Eigenwerttheorie Hermitescher Funktionaloperatoren*, Math. Ann. **102**, 49–131, (1929/1930).
- [62] Yu. Safarov and D. Vassiliev, *The Asymptotic Distribution of Eigenvalues of Partial Differential Operators*, Transl. Math. Monographs, Vol. 155, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.

- [63] E. R. Tsekanovskii, *Non-self-adjoint accretive extensions of positive operators and theorems of Friedrichs–Krein–Phillips*, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **14**, 156–157 (1980).
- [64] E. R. Tsekanovskii, *Friedrichs and Krein extensions of positive operators and holomorphic contraction semigroups*, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **15**, 308–309 (1981).
- [65] E. R. Tsekanovskii, *Accretive extensions and problems on the Stieltjes operator-valued functions realizations*, in *Operator Theory and Complex Analysis*, T. Ando and I. Gohberg (eds.), *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*, Vol. 59, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1992, pp. 328–347.
- [66] H. Weyl, *Über die Abhängigkeit der Eigenschwingungen einer Membran und deren Begrenzung*, *J. reine angew. Math.* **141**, 1–11 (1912).
- [67] H. Weyl, *Das asymptotische Verteilungsgesetz der Eigenwerte linearer partieller Differentialgleichungen (mit einer Anwendung auf die Theorie der Hohlraumstrahlung)*, *Math. Ann.* **71**, 441–479 (1912).
- [68] H. Weyl, *Ramifications, old and new, of the eigenvalue problem*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **56**, 115–139 (1950).

INSTITUT FÜR NUMERISCHE MATHEMATIK, TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT GRAZ, STEYRERGASSE 30,  
8010 GRAZ, AUSTRIA

*E-mail address:* `behrndt@tugraz.at`

*URL:* `http://www.math.tugraz.at/~behrndt/`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI, COLUMBIA, MO 65211, USA

*E-mail address:* `gesztesyf@missouri.edu`

*URL:* `http://www.math.missouri.edu/personnel/faculty/gesztesyf.html`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT BERLIN, 10623 BERLIN, GERMANY

*E-mail address:* `micheler@math.tu-berlin.de`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI, COLUMBIA, MO 65211, USA

*E-mail address:* `mitream@missouri.edu`

*URL:* `http://www.math.missouri.edu/personnel/faculty/mitream.html`