

THREE-DIMENSIONAL PURELY QUASI-MONOMIAL ACTIONS

AKINARI HOSHI AND HIDETAKA KITAYAMA

ABSTRACT. Let G be a finite subgroup of $\text{Aut}_k(K(x_1, \dots, x_n))$ where K/k is a finite field extension and $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is the rational function field with n variables over K . The action of G on $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is called quasi-monomial if it satisfies the following three conditions (i) $\sigma(K) \subset K$ for any $\sigma \in G$; (ii) $K^G = k$ where K^G is the fixed field under the action of G ; (iii) for any $\sigma \in G$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$, $\sigma(x_j) = c_j(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{a_{ij}}$ where $c_j(\sigma) \in K^\times$ and $[a_{i,j}]_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$. A quasi-monomial action is called purely quasi-monomial if $c_j(\sigma) = 1$ for any $\sigma \in G$, any $1 \leq j \leq n$. When $k = K$, a quasi-monomial action is called monomial. The main problem is that, under what situations, $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)^G$ is rational (= purely transcendental) over k . For $n = 1$, the rationality problem was solved by Hoshi, Kang and Kitayama. For $n = 2$, the problem was solved by Hajja when the action is monomial, by Voskresenskii when the action is faithful on K and purely quasi-monomial, which is equivalent to the rationality problem of n -dimensional algebraic k -tori which split over K , and by Hoshi, Kang and Kitayama when the action is purely quasi-monomial. For $n = 3$, the problem was solved by Hajja, Kang, Hoshi and Rikuna when the action is purely monomial, by Hoshi, Kitayama and Yamasaki when the action is monomial except for one case and by Kunyavskii when the action is faithful on K and purely quasi-monomial. In this paper, we determine the rationality when $n = 3$ and the action is purely quasi-monomial except for few cases using a technique of conjugacy classes move. As an application, we will show the rationality of some 5-dimensional purely monomial actions which are decomposable.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Notation	9
3. Preliminaries	11
3.1. Reduction to lower degree	11
3.2. Explicit transcendental bases	11
3.3. Rationality of quadrics and conic bundles	13
3.4. Conjugacy classes move	13
4. Proof of Theorem 1.15	14
4.1. The 3rd crystal system (II)	14
4.2. The 4th crystal system (I), (II)	16
4.3. The 5th crystal system (I)	21
5. Proof of Theorem 1.16	22
5.1. The 7th crystal system (I), (II)	22
5.2. The 7th crystal system (III)	24
6. Proof of Proposition 1.17	28
7. Proof of Theorem 1.18	32
References	33

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 12F20, 13A50, 14E08.

Key words and phrases. Rationality problem, monomial actions, Noether's problem, algebraic tori.

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 24740014, 25400027, 15K17511. Some part of this work was done during the authors visited the National Center for Theoretic Sciences (Taipei Office), whose support is gratefully acknowledged.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let k be a field and K be a finitely generated field extension of k . K is called *k-rational* (or *rational over k*) if K is purely transcendental over k , i.e. K is isomorphic to $k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, the rational function field with n variables over k for some integer n . K is called *stably k-rational* if $K(y_1, \dots, y_m)$ is k -rational for some y_1, \dots, y_m such that y_1, \dots, y_m are algebraically independent over K . When k is an infinite field, K is called *retract k-rational* if K is the quotient field of some integral domain A where $k \subset A \subset K$ satisfying the conditions that there exist a polynomial ring $k[X_1, \dots, X_m]$, some non-zero element $f \in k[X_1, \dots, X_m]$, and k -algebra morphisms $\varphi : A \rightarrow k[X_1, \dots, X_m][1/f]$, $\psi : k[X_1, \dots, X_m][1/f] \rightarrow A$ such that $\psi \circ \varphi = 1_A$. K is called *k-unirational* if $k \subset K \subset k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ for some integer n . It is not difficult to see that “ k -rational” \Rightarrow “stably k -rational” \Rightarrow “retract k -rational” \Rightarrow “ k -unirational”. The reader is referred to the papers [MT86, CTS07, Swa83] for surveys of the various rationality problems, e.g. Noether’s problem. We will restrict ourselves to consider the rationality problem of the fixed field $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)^G$ under the following special kind of actions:

Definition 1.1 ([HKK14, Definition 1.1]). Let G be a finite subgroup of $\text{Aut}_k(K(x_1, \dots, x_n))$.

(1) The action of G on $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is called *quasi-monomial* if it satisfies the following three conditions:

- (i) $\sigma(K) \subset K$ for any $\sigma \in G$;
- (ii) $K^G = k$, where K^G is the fixed field under the action of G ;
- (iii) for any $\sigma \in G$ and any $1 \leq j \leq n$,

$$\sigma(x_j) = c_j(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{a_{ij}}$$

where $c_j(\sigma) \in K^\times$ and $[a_{ij}]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$.

(2) The quasi-monomial action is called *purely quasi-monomial* action if $c_j(\sigma) = 1$ for any $\sigma \in G$ and any $1 \leq j \leq n$ in (iii).

(3) The quasi-monomial action is called *monomial* action if G acts trivially on K , i.e. $k = K$.

(4) The quasi-monomial action is called *purely monomial* action if it is purely quasi-monomial and monomial.

We have the following implications:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{quasi-monomial action} & \Leftarrow & \text{purely quasi-monomial action} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \text{monomial action} & \Leftarrow & \text{purely monomial action.} \end{array}$$

Algebraic torus case.

When $G \simeq \text{Gal}(K/k)$, i.e. G acts faithfully on K , and G acts on $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ by purely quasi-monomial k -automorphisms, the rationality problem of $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)^G$ coincides with that of algebraic k -tori of dimension n which split over K (see [HKK14, Section 1], [Hos14], [HY17]). The rationality problem of algebraic k -tori of dimension two and three was solved by Voskresenskii [Vos67] and Kunyavskii [Kun87] respectively:

Theorem 1.2 (Voskresenskii [Vos67]). *Let k be a field. All the two-dimensional algebraic k -tori are k -rational. In particular, $K(x_1, x_2)^G$ is k -rational if G acts on K faithfully and on $K(x_1, x_2)$ by purely quasi-monomial k -automorphisms.*

Theorem 1.3 (Kunyavskii [Kun87], see also Kang [Kan12, Section 1]). *Let k be a field. All the three-dimensional algebraic k -tori are k -rational except for the 15 cases in the list of [Kun87, Theorem 1]. In particular, $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ is k -rational if G acts on K faithfully and*

on $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ by purely quasi-monomial k -automorphisms except for the 15 cases. For the exceptional 15 cases, they are not k -rational; in fact, they are even not retract k -rational.

The rationality problem for algebraic k -tori depends on the conjugacy class of $G \leq GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$. There exist 13 (resp. 73, 710, 6079) conjugacy classes of finite subgroups in $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ (resp. $GL_3(\mathbb{Z})$, $GL_4(\mathbb{Z})$, $GL_5(\mathbb{Z})$). A stably rational classification of the algebraic k -tori of dimension four and five was given by [HY17].

Theorem 1.4 (Hoshi, Yamasaki [HY17, Theorem 1.9]). *Let K/k be a Galois extension and $G \simeq \text{Gal}(K/k)$ be a finite subgroup of $GL_4(\mathbb{Z})$ which acts on $K(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$ by purely quasi-monomial k -automorphisms.*

- (i) $K(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)^G$ is stably k -rational if and only if G is conjugate to one of the 487 groups which are not in [HY17, Tables 2, 3 and 4].
- (ii) $K(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)^G$ is not stably but retract k -rational if and only if G is conjugate to one of the 7 groups which are given as in [HY17, Table 2].
- (iii) $K(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)^G$ is not retract k -rational if and only if G is conjugate to one of the 216 groups which are given as in [HY17, Tables 3 and 4].

Theorem 1.5 (Hoshi, Yamasaki [HY17, Theorem 1.12]). *Let K/k be a Galois extension and $G \simeq \text{Gal}(K/k)$ be a finite subgroup of $GL_5(\mathbb{Z})$ which acts on $K(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5)$ by purely quasi-monomial k -automorphisms.*

- (i) $K(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5)^G$ is stably k -rational if and only if G is conjugate to one of the 3051 groups which are not in [HY17, Tables 11, 12, 13, 14 and 15].
- (ii) $K(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5)^G$ is not stably but retract k -rational if and only if G is conjugate to one of the 25 groups which are given as in [HY17, Table 11].
- (iii) $K(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5)^G$ is not retract k -rational if and only if G is conjugate to one of the 3003 groups which are given as in [HY17, Tables 12, 13, 14 and 15].

However, we do not know the k -rationality in Theorem 1.4 (i) and Theorem 1.5 (i).

Monomial action case.

When G acts on K trivially, i.e. $K = k$, the rationality of $k(x_1, x_2)^G$ and $k(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ under the monomial actions of G is known as follows:

Theorem 1.6 (Hajja [Haj87]). *Let k be a field and G be a finite group acting on $k(x_1, x_2)$ by monomial k -automorphisms. Then $k(x_1, x_2)^G$ is k -rational.*

Theorem 1.7 (Hajja, Kang [HK92, HK94], Hoshi, Rikuna [HR08]). *Let k be a field and G be a finite group acting on $k(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ by purely monomial k -automorphisms. Then $k(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ is k -rational.*

Let G be a finite group acting on $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ by quasi-monomial k -automorphisms. There exists a group homomorphism $\rho_{\underline{x}} : G \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ defined by $\rho_{\underline{x}}(\sigma) = [a_{i,j}]_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ for any $\sigma \in G$ where $[a_{i,j}]_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ is given in (iii) of Definition 1.1.

Theorem 1.8 (Hoshi, Kang, Kitayama [HKK14, Proposition 1.12]). *Let k be a field and G be a finite group acting on $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ by quasi-monomial k -automorphisms. Then there is a normal subgroup N of G satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i) $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)^N = K^N(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ where each y_i is of the form $ax_1^{e_1}x_2^{e_2} \cdots x_n^{e_n}$ with $a \in K^\times$ and $e_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ (we may take $a = 1$ if the action is a purely quasi-monomial action);
- (ii) G/N acts on $K^N(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ by quasi-monomial k -automorphisms;
- (iii) $\rho_{\underline{y}} : G/N \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ is an injective group homomorphism.

By Theorem 1.8, we may assume that $\rho_{\underline{x}} : G \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ is injective and thus G may be regarded as a finite subgroup of $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$. The following theorem was already proved by Prokhorov [Pro10] when $k = \mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 1.9 (Hoshi, Kitayama, Yamasaki [HKY11, Yam12]). *Let k be a field with $\text{char } k \neq 2$ and G be a finite subgroup of $GL_3(\mathbb{Z})$ acting on $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ by monomial k -automorphisms. Then $k(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ is k -rational except for the 8 cases contained in [Yam12] and one additional case. For the last exceptional case, $k(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ is also k -rational except for a minor situation. Moreover, there exists $L = k(\sqrt{a})$ with $a \in k^\times$ such that $L(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ is L -rational. In particular, if k is a quadratically closed field, then $k(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ is k -rational.*

Indeed, for the exceptional 8 cases in Theorem 1.9, the necessary and sufficient condition for the k -rationality of $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ was given in terms of k and $c_j(\sigma)$. In particular, if it is not k -rational, then it is not retract k -rational (see [Yam12]). We also note that there exists $\mathbb{C}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)^G$ under the monomial action of G which is not retract \mathbb{C} -rational (see [CHKK10, Example 5.11]).

Quasi-monomial action case.

When we consider general quasi-monomial actions, it turns out that non-unirational fields $K(x)^G$ appear even in the one-dimensional case. Let $(a, b)_k$ (resp. $[a, b)_k$) be the norm residue symbol of degree two over k when $\text{char } k \neq 2$ (resp. $\text{char } k = 2$), see [Dra83, Chapter 11]. For dimension one (resp. two), the rationality problem for quasi-monomial (resp. purely quasi-monomial) actions was solved by Hoshi, Kang and Kitayama [HKK14].

Theorem 1.10 (Hoshi, Kang, Kitayama [HKK14, Proposition 1.13]). *Let k be a field.*

- (1) *Let G be a finite group acting on $K(x)$ by purely quasi-monomial k -automorphisms. Then $K(x)^G$ is k -rational.*
- (2) *Let G be a finite group acting on $K(x)$ by quasi-monomial k -automorphisms. Then $K(x)^G$ is k -rational except for the following case: There is a normal subgroup N of G such that (i) $G/N = \langle \sigma \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_2$, (ii) $K(x)^N = k(\alpha)(y)$ with $\alpha^2 = a \in K^\times$, $\sigma(\alpha) = -\alpha$ (if $\text{char } k \neq 2$), and $\alpha^2 + \alpha = a \in K$, $\sigma(\alpha) = \alpha + 1$ (if $\text{char } k = 2$), (iii) $\sigma \cdot y = b/y$ for some $b \in k^\times$.*

For the exceptional case, $K(x)^G = k(\alpha)(y)^{G/N}$ is k -rational if and only if the norm residue 2-symbol $(a, b)_k = 0$ (if $\text{char } k \neq 2$), and $[a, b)_k = 0$ (if $\text{char } k = 2$).

Moreover, if $K(x)^G$ is not k -rational, then k is an infinite field, the Brauer group $\text{Br}(k)$ is non-trivial, and $K(x)^G$ is not k -unirational.

Let \mathcal{S}_n (resp. $\mathcal{A}_n, \mathcal{D}_n, \mathcal{C}_n$) be the symmetric group (resp. the alternating group, the dihedral group, the cyclic group) of degree n of order $n!$ (resp. $n!/2, 2n, n$).

Theorem 1.11 (Hoshi, Kang, Kitayama [HKK14, Theorem 1.14]). *Let k be a field and G be a finite group acting on $K(x, y)$ by purely quasi-monomial k -automorphisms. Define $N = \{\sigma \in G : \sigma(x) = x, \sigma(y) = y\}$, $H = \{\sigma \in G : \sigma(\alpha) = \alpha \text{ for all } \alpha \in K\}$. Then $K(x, y)^G$ is k -rational except possibly for the following situation: (1) $\text{char } k \neq 2$ and (2) $(G/N, HN/N) \simeq (\mathcal{C}_4, \mathcal{C}_2)$ or $(\mathcal{D}_4, \mathcal{C}_2)$.*

More precisely, in the exceptional situation we may choose $u, v \in k(x, y)$ satisfying that $k(x, y)^{HN/N} = k(u, v)$ (and therefore $K(x, y)^{HN/N} = K(u, v)$) such that

- (i) when $(G/N, HN/N) \simeq (\mathcal{C}_4, \mathcal{C}_2)$, $K^N = k(\sqrt{a})$ for some $a \in k \setminus k^2$, $G/N = \langle \sigma \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_4$, then σ acts on $K^N(u, v)$ by $\sigma : \sqrt{a} \mapsto -\sqrt{a}, u \mapsto \frac{1}{u}, v \mapsto -\frac{1}{v}$; or
- (ii) when $(G/N, HN/N) \simeq (\mathcal{D}_4, \mathcal{C}_2)$, $K^N = k(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b})$ is a biquadratic extension of k with $a, b \in k \setminus k^2$, $G/N = \langle \sigma, \tau \rangle \simeq \mathcal{D}_4$, then σ and τ act on $K^N(u, v)$ by $\sigma : \sqrt{a} \mapsto -\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b} \mapsto \sqrt{b}, u \mapsto \frac{1}{u}, v \mapsto -\frac{1}{v}, \tau : \sqrt{a} \mapsto \sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b} \mapsto -\sqrt{b}, u \mapsto u, v \mapsto -v$.

For Case (i), $K(x, y)^G$ is k -rational if and only if the norm residue 2-symbol $(a, -1)_k = 0$. For Case (ii), $K(x, y)^G$ is k -rational if and only if $(a, -b)_k = 0$.

Moreover, if $K(x, y)^G$ is not k -rational, then k is an infinite field, the Brauer group $\text{Br}(k)$ is non-trivial, and $K(x, y)^G$ is not k -unirational.

The following definition gives an equivalent definition of quasi-monomial actions. This definition follows the approach of Saltman's definition of twisted multiplicative actions [Sal90a, Sal90b], [Kan09, Definition 2.2].

Definition 1.12 ([HKK14, Definition 1.15]). Let G be a finite group. A G -lattice M is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module which is \mathbb{Z} -free as an abelian group, i.e. $M = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathbb{Z} \cdot x_i$ with a $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module structure. Let K/k be a field extension such that G acts on K with $K^G = k$. Consider a short exact sequence of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -modules $\alpha : 1 \rightarrow K^\times \rightarrow M_\alpha \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ where M is a G -lattice and K^\times is regarded as a $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module through the G -action on K . The $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module structure (written multiplicatively) of M_α may be described as follows: For each $x_j \in M$ (where $1 \leq j \leq n$), take a pre-image u_j of x_j . As an abelian group, M_α is the direct product of K^\times and $\langle u_1, \dots, u_n \rangle$. If $\sigma \in G$ and $\sigma \cdot x_j = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_{ij} x_i \in M$, we find that $\sigma \cdot u_j = c_j(\sigma) \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} u_i^{a_{ij}} \in M_\alpha$ for a unique $c_j(\sigma) \in K^\times$ determined by the group extension α .

Using the same idea, once a group extension $\alpha : 1 \rightarrow K^\times \rightarrow M_\alpha \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ is given, we may define a quasi-monomial action of G on the rational function field $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ as follows: If $\sigma \cdot x_j = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_{ij} x_i \in M$, then define $\sigma \cdot x_j = c_j(\sigma) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i^{a_{ij}} \in K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $\sigma \cdot \alpha = \sigma(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in K$ where $\sigma(\alpha)$ is the image of α under σ via the prescribed action of G on K . This quasi-monomial action is well-defined (see [Sal90a, page 538] for details). The field $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ with such a G -action will be denoted by $K_\alpha(M)$ to emphasize the role of the extension α ; its fixed field is denoted as $K_\alpha(M)^G$. We will say that G acts on $K_\alpha(M)$ by quasi-monomial k -automorphisms.

If $k = K$, then $k_\alpha(M)^G$ is nothing but the fixed field associated to the monomial action.

If the extension α splits, then we may take $u_1, \dots, u_n \in M_\alpha$ satisfying that $\sigma \cdot u_j = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} u_i^{a_{ij}}$. Hence the associated quasi-monomial action of G on $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ becomes a purely quasi-monomial action. In this case, we will write $K_\alpha(M)$ and $K_\alpha(M)^G$ as $K(M)$ and $K(M)^G$ respectively (the subscript α is omitted because the extension α plays no important role). We will say that G acts on $K(M)$ by purely quasi-monomial k -automorphisms. Again $k(M)^G$ is the fixed field associated to the purely monomial action.

As an application of Theorem 1.11, we have the following theorems:

Theorem 1.13 (Hoshi, Kang, Kitayama [HKK14, Theorem 1.16]). *Let k be a field, G be a finite group and M be a G -lattice with $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} M = 4$ such that G acts on $k(M)$ by purely monomial k -automorphisms. If M is decomposable, i.e. $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ as $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -modules where $1 \leq \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} M_1 \leq 3$, then $k(M)^G$ is k -rational.*

Theorem 1.14 (Hoshi, Kang, Kitayama [HKK14, Theorem 6.2]). *Let k be a field, G be a finite group and M be a G -lattice such that G acts on $k(M)$ by purely monomial k -automorphisms. Assume that (i) $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ as $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -modules where $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} M_1 = 3$ and $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} M_2 = 2$, (ii) either M_1 or M_2 is a faithful G -lattice. Then $k(M)^G$ is k -rational except the following situation: $\text{char } k \neq 2$, $G = \langle \sigma, \tau \rangle \simeq \mathcal{D}_4$ and $M_1 = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq 3} \mathbb{Z} x_i$, $M_2 = \bigoplus_{1 \leq j \leq 2} \mathbb{Z} y_j$ such that $\sigma : x_1 \leftrightarrow x_2$, $x_3 \mapsto -x_1 - x_2 - x_3$, $y_1 \mapsto y_2 \mapsto -y_1$, $\tau : x_1 \leftrightarrow x_3$, $x_2 \mapsto -x_1 - x_2 - x_3$, $y_1 \leftrightarrow y_2$ where the $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module structure of M is written additively. For the exceptional case, $k(M)^G$ is not retract k -rational.*

The aim of this paper is to investigate the rationality problem of $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ for purely quasi-monomial k -automorphisms of dimension three. The followings are main results of this paper.

Note that (i) by Theorem 1.8, we may assume $N = \{\sigma \in G : \sigma(x_i) = x_i \ (i = 1, 2, 3)\} = 1$ and hence G may be regarded as a finite subgroup of $GL_3(\mathbb{Z})$; (ii) when $H = 1$ the answer to the rationality problem in dimension 3 was given by Kunyavskii (see Theorem 1.3).

There exist 73 finite subgroups $G_{i,j,k}$ ($1 \leq i \leq 7$) contained in $GL_3(\mathbb{Z})$ up to conjugation which are classified into 7 crystal systems (see Section 2 for details).

Theorem 1.15 (The groups G do not belong to the 7th crystal system in dimension 3). *Let k be a field with $\text{char } k \neq 2$ and G be a finite subgroup of $GL_3(\mathbb{Z})$ acting on $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ by purely quasi-monomial k -automorphisms. Assume that G does not belong to the 7th crystal system in dimension 3 and $H = \{\sigma \in G \mid \sigma(\alpha) = \alpha \text{ for any } \alpha \in K\} \neq 1$.*

- (1) *If G does not belong to the 4th crystal system in dimension 3, i.e. G is either not a 2-group or a 2-group of exponent 2, then $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ is k -rational;*
- (2) *When G belongs to the 4th crystal system in dimension 3, i.e. G is a 2-group of exponent 4, G is \mathbb{Q} -conjugate to one of the following 8 groups: $\langle \pm\sigma_{4A} \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_4$, $\langle \sigma_{4A}, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_2$, $\langle \pm\sigma_{4A}, \pm\lambda_1 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{D}_4$, $\langle \sigma_{4A}, \lambda_1, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{D}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_2$ where*

$$\sigma_{4A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \lambda_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and I_3 is the 3×3 identity matrix. Then $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ is k -rational except possibly for the following cases with $H = \langle \sigma_{4A}^2 \rangle$ or $\langle \sigma_{4A}^2, -I_3 \rangle$:

(i) *The case where $H = \langle \sigma_{4A}^2 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_2$.*

(i-1) *When G is \mathbb{Q} -conjugate to $\langle \pm\sigma_{4A} \rangle$, (resp. $\langle \sigma_{4A}, -I_3 \rangle$) we may take $K = k(\sqrt{a})$ (resp. $K^{(-I_3)} = k(\sqrt{a})$) on which G acts by $\pm\sigma_{4A} : \sqrt{a} \mapsto -\sqrt{a}$, and $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ is k -rational if and only if $(a, -1)_k = 0$.*

(i-2) *When G is \mathbb{Q} -conjugate to $\langle \pm\sigma_{4A}, \pm\lambda_1 \rangle$ (resp. $\langle \sigma_{4A}, \lambda_1, -I_3 \rangle$) we may take $K = k(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b})$ (resp. $K^{(-I_3)} = k(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b})$) on which G acts by $\pm\sigma_{4A} : \sqrt{a} \mapsto -\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b} \mapsto \sqrt{b}$, $\pm\lambda_1 : \sqrt{a} \mapsto \sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b} \mapsto -\sqrt{b}$, and $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ is k -rational if and only if $(a, -b)_k = 0$.*

(ii) *The case where $H = \langle \sigma_{4A}^2, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2$.*

(ii-1) *When G is \mathbb{Q} -conjugate to $\langle \sigma_{4A}, -I_3 \rangle$, we may take $K = k(\sqrt{a})$ on which G acts by $\sigma_{4A} : \sqrt{a} \mapsto -\sqrt{a}$, and $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ is k -rational if and only if $(a, -1)_k = 0$.*

(ii-2) *When G is \mathbb{Q} -conjugate to $\langle \sigma_{4A}, \lambda_1, -I_3 \rangle$, we may take $K = k(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b})$ on which G acts by $\sigma_{4A} : \sqrt{a} \mapsto -\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b} \mapsto \sqrt{b}$, $\lambda_1 : \sqrt{a} \mapsto \sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b} \mapsto -\sqrt{b}$, and $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ is k -rational if and only if $(a, -b)_k = 0$.*

Moreover, if $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ is not k -rational, then k is an infinite field, the Brauer group $\text{Br}(k)$ is non-trivial, and $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ is not k -unirational.

In particular, the k -rationality of $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ does not depend on the \mathbb{Q} -conjugacy class of G and the sign of $\pm\sigma_{4A}$ and $\pm\lambda_1$.

There exist 15 finite subgroups $G_{7,j,k}$ ($1 \leq j \leq 5, 1 \leq k \leq 3$) of $GL_3(\mathbb{Z})$ which belong to the 7th crystal system (see Section 2).

Theorem 1.16 (The groups G belong to the 7th crystal system in dimension 3). *Let k be a field with $\text{char } k \neq 2$ and G be a finite subgroup of $GL_3(\mathbb{Z})$ acting on $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ by purely quasi-monomial k -automorphisms. Assume that $G = G_{7,j,k}$ belongs to the 7th crystal system in dimension 3 and $H = \{\sigma \in G \mid \sigma(\alpha) = \alpha \text{ for any } \alpha \in K\} \neq 1$.*

- (1) *If $G = G_{7,j,1}$ ($1 \leq j \leq 5$), $G_{7,j,2}$ ($1 \leq j \leq 5$) or $G_{7,j,3}$ ($j = 1, 4$), then $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ is*

k -rational;

(2) When $G = G_{7,j,3}$ ($j = 2, 3, 5$), $G_{7,2,3} = \langle \tau_3, \lambda_3, \sigma_{3B}, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{A}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_2$, $G_{7,3,3} = \langle \tau_3, \lambda_3, \sigma_{3B}, -\beta_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{S}_4$, $G_{7,5,3} = \langle \tau_3, \lambda_3, \sigma_{3B}, \beta_3, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{S}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_2$ where

$$\tau_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \lambda_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \sigma_{3B} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \beta_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and I_3 is the 3×3 identity matrix. Then $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ is k -rational except possibly for the following cases with $H = \langle \tau_3, \lambda_3 \rangle$ or $\langle \tau_3, \lambda_3, \sigma_{3B} \rangle$:

(i) The case where $H = \langle \tau_3, \lambda_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{G_{7,2,3}} &= K(u_1, u_2, u_3)^{\langle \sigma_{3B}, -I_3 \rangle}, \\ K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{G_{7,3,3}} &= K(u_1, u_2, u_3)^{\langle \sigma_{3B}, -\beta_3 \rangle}, \\ K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{G_{7,5,3}} &= K(u_1, u_2, u_3)^{\langle \sigma_{3B}, \beta_3, -I_3 \rangle} \end{aligned}$$

where $K(u_1, u_2, u_3) = K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^H$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{3B} : u_1 &\mapsto u_2, \quad u_2 \mapsto u_3, \quad u_3 \mapsto u_1, \\ -\beta_3 : u_1 &\mapsto \frac{-u_1 + u_2 + u_3}{u_2 u_3}, \quad u_2 \mapsto \frac{u_1 + u_2 - u_3}{u_1 u_2}, \quad u_3 \mapsto \frac{u_1 - u_2 + u_3}{u_1 u_3}, \\ \beta_3 : u_1 &\mapsto u_1, \quad u_2 \mapsto u_3, \quad u_3 \mapsto u_2, \\ -I_3 : u_1 &\mapsto \frac{-u_1 + u_2 + u_3}{u_2 u_3}, \quad u_2 \mapsto \frac{u_1 - u_2 + u_3}{u_1 u_3}, \quad u_3 \mapsto \frac{u_1 + u_2 - u_3}{u_1 u_2}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) The case where $H = \langle \tau_3, \lambda_3, \sigma_{3B} \rangle \simeq \mathcal{A}_4$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{G_{7,2,3}} &= K(s_1, s_2, s_3)^{\langle -I_3 \rangle}, \\ K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{G_{7,3,3}} &= K(s_1, s_2, s_3)^{\langle -\beta_3 \rangle}, \\ K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{G_{7,5,3}} &= K(s_1, s_2, s_3)^{\langle \beta_3, -I_3 \rangle} \end{aligned}$$

where $K(s_1, s_2, s_3) = K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^H$ and

$$\begin{aligned} -\beta_3 : s_1 &\mapsto s_1, \quad s_2 \mapsto \frac{1 + 3s_1^2}{s_2}, \quad s_3 \mapsto \frac{-1 - 6s_1^2 - 9s_1^4 + 2s_2 + 10s_1^2 s_2 + 4s_1^4 s_2 - s_2^2 - 3s_1^2 s_2^2}{s_2 s_3}, \\ \beta_3 : s_1 &\mapsto -s_1, \quad s_2 \mapsto s_2, \quad s_3 \mapsto s_3, \\ -I_3 : s_1 &\mapsto -s_1, \quad s_2 \mapsto \frac{1 + 3s_1^2}{s_2}, \quad s_3 \mapsto \frac{-1 - 6s_1^2 - 9s_1^4 + 2s_2 + 10s_1^2 s_2 + 4s_1^4 s_2 - s_2^2 - 3s_1^2 s_2^2}{s_2 s_3}. \end{aligned}$$

We do not know the rationality of $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ in Theorem 1.16 (2) (i) with $H \simeq \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2$. For the case (2) (ii) of Theorem 1.16 with $H \simeq \mathcal{A}_4$, we will give the following partial result. It turns out that the fixed field $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ has a conic bundle structure.

Proposition 1.17. *Let k be a field with $\text{char } k \neq 2$ and $G = G_{7,j,3}$ ($j = 2, 3, 5$). Assume that $H = \{\sigma \in G \mid \sigma(\alpha) = \alpha \text{ for any } \alpha \in K\} = \langle \tau_3, \lambda_3, \sigma_{3B} \rangle \simeq \mathcal{A}_4$.*

(1) $G = G_{7,2,3} = \langle H, -I_3 \rangle$. *There exist $w_1, w_2, w_3 \in K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^H$ such that $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^H = K(w_1, w_2, w_3)$ and*

$$\begin{aligned} -I_3 : w_1 &\mapsto w_1, \quad w_2 \mapsto -w_2, \\ w_3 &\mapsto \frac{w_1(1 + w_1 + w_1^2)^2 - (2 + 3w_1 + 4w_1^2 + 2w_1^3)w_2^2 + (w_1 + 2)w_2^4}{w_3}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G = k(X, Y, W_1, W_2)$ where $K = k(\sqrt{b})$ and

$$X^2 - bY^2 = W_1(1 + W_1 + W_1^2)^2 - b(2 + 3W_1 + 4W_1^2 + 2W_1^3)W_2^2 + b^2(W_1 + 2)W_2^4.$$

(2) $G = G_{7,3,3} = \langle H, -\beta_3 \rangle$. There exist $u_1, u_2, u_3 \in K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^H$ such that $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^H = K(u_1, u_2, u_3)$ and

$$-\beta_3 : u_1 \mapsto u_1, u_2 \mapsto -u_2, u_3 \mapsto \frac{2(5 - u_2^2)(u_1^2 - u_2^2 + 1)}{u_3}.$$

We have $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G = k(X, Y, U_1, U_2)$ where $X^2 - dY^2 = 2(5 - dU_2^2)(U_1^2 - dU_2^2 + 1)$ and $K = k(\sqrt{d})$. Moreover, if $\sqrt{5} \in k$, then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ is k -rational;
- (ii) $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ is k -unirational;
- (iii) $X^2 - dY^2 - 2v_0^2 - 2v_1^2 + 2dv_2^2$ has a non-trivial k -zero with $K = k(\sqrt{d})$.

In particular, if $\sqrt{5}, \sqrt{2} \in k$ or $\sqrt{5}, \sqrt{-1} \in k$, then $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ is k -rational.

(3) $G = G_{7,5,3} = \langle H, \beta_3, -I_3 \rangle$. There exist $p_1, p_2, p_3 \in K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^H$ such that $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^H = K(p_1, p_2, p_3)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_3 : p_1 &\mapsto -p_1, p_2 \mapsto -p_2, p_3 \mapsto -p_3, \\ -I_3 : p_1 &\mapsto p_1, p_2 \mapsto -p_2, p_3 \mapsto \frac{-1 - 5p_1^2 - 7p_2^2 - (p_1^2 - p_2^2)(3p_1^2 + 17p_2^2) + 9(p_1^2 - p_2^2)^3}{p_3}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G = k(X, Y, P_1, P_2)$ where $K = k(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b})$ and

$$X^2 - bY^2 = -\frac{1}{a} - 5P_1^2 - 7bP_2^2 - a(P_1^2 - bP_2^2)(3P_1^2 + 17bP_2^2) + 9a^2(P_1^2 - bP_2^2)^3.$$

(4) For $G = G_{7,2,3} = \langle H, -I_3 \rangle$, we assume that $\sqrt{-3} \in k$. Then there exist $t_1, t_2, t_3 \in K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^H$ such that $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^H = K(t_1, t_2, t_3)$ and

$$-I_3 : t_1 \mapsto -t_1, t_2 \mapsto t_2, t_3 \mapsto \frac{(t_1^2 + 4)(t_1^2 - t_2^2 + 1)}{t_3}.$$

In particular, if $\sqrt{-3}, \sqrt{-1} \in k$, then $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ is k -rational.

(5) If $\text{char } k = 3$, then $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ is k -rational for $G = G_{7,j,3}$ ($j = 2, 3, 5$).

As an application of Theorems 1.15 and 1.16 and Proposition 1.17, we get the following theorem which complements to Theorem 1.14:

Theorem 1.18. *Let k be a field with $\text{char } k \neq 2$, G be a finite group and M be a G -lattice such that G acts on $k(M)$ by purely monomial k -automorphisms. Assume that (i) $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ as $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -modules where $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} M_1 = 3$ and $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} M_2 = 2$, (ii) both M_1 and M_2 are not faithful G -lattices. Let $N_i = \{\sigma \in G \mid \sigma(\alpha) = \alpha \text{ for any } \alpha \in k(M_i)\}$ ($i = 1, 2$). Then $k(M)^G$ is k -rational except the following situation: $(G/N_1, N_1N_2/N_1, G/N_2, N_1N_2/N_2) \simeq (G_{7,2,3}, \mathcal{A}_4, \mathcal{C}_4, \mathcal{C}_2)$, $(G_{7,3,3}, \mathcal{A}_4, \mathcal{C}_4, \mathcal{C}_2)$ and $(G_{7,5,3}, \mathcal{A}_4, \mathcal{D}_4, \mathcal{C}_2)$. Moreover, if $\text{char } k = 3$, then $k(M)^G$ is k -rational.*

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall the classification of subgroups of $GL_3(\mathbb{Z})$ up to conjugation. Section 3 contains some rationality results which will be used in the paper. A technique of conjugacy classes move, which is described in Subsection 3.4, is useful and will be used in the proof of Theorems 1.15 and 1.16 to the cases of 3rd crystal system (II), the 4th crystal system (II) and 7th crystal system (II) in Subsections 4.1, 4.2 and 5.1 respectively. Section 4 contains the proof of Theorem 1.15. The proof of Theorem 1.16 is given in Section 5. In Section 6, the proof of Proposition 1.17 will be given. We will prove Theorem 1.18 in Section 7.

Acknowledgments. The authors thank Ming-chang Kang for many helpful comments and valuable suggestions. They also thank the referee for careful reading and useful comments.

2. NOTATION

Let \mathcal{S}_n (resp. $\mathcal{A}_n, \mathcal{D}_n, \mathcal{C}_n$) be the symmetric group (resp. the alternating group, the dihedral group, the cyclic group) of degree n of order $n!$ (resp. $n!/2, 2n, n$). Let I_3 be the 3×3 identity matrix. We define the following matrices:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{3A} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \sigma_{3B} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \sigma_{4A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \sigma_{4B} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \tau_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \lambda_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \beta_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \tau_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \lambda_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \beta_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \tau_3 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \lambda_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \beta_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \alpha &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad -I_3 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

There exist exactly 73 finite subgroups contained in $GL_3(\mathbb{Z})$ up to conjugation which are classified into 7 crystal systems (see [BBNWZ78, Table 1]).

The 1st crystal system (\mathbb{Z} -reducible):

$$G_{1,1,1} = \langle I_3 \rangle, \quad G_{1,2,1} = \langle -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_2.$$

The 2nd crystal system (\mathbb{Z} -reducible):

$$\begin{aligned} G_{2,1,1} &= \langle \lambda_1 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_2, & G_{2,1,2} &= \langle -\alpha \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_2, \\ G_{2,2,1} &= \langle -\lambda_1 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_2, & G_{2,2,2} &= \langle \alpha \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_2, \\ G_{2,3,1} &= \langle \lambda_1, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2, & G_{2,3,2} &= \langle -\alpha, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2. \end{aligned}$$

The 3rd crystal system (I) (\mathbb{Z} -reducible):

$$\begin{aligned} G_{3,1,1} &= \langle \tau_1, \lambda_1 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2, & G_{3,1,2} &= \langle \tau_1, -\alpha \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2, \\ G_{3,2,1} &= \langle \tau_1, -\lambda_1 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2, & G_{3,2,2} &= \langle \tau_1, \alpha \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2, \\ G_{3,2,3} &= \langle -\alpha, \beta_1 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2, & & \\ G_{3,3,1} &= \langle \tau_1, \lambda_1, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2, & G_{3,3,2} &= \langle \tau_1, -\alpha, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2. \end{aligned}$$

The 3rd crystal system (II) (\mathbb{Z} -irreducible, but \mathbb{Q} -reducible):

$$\begin{aligned} G_{3,1,3} &= \langle \tau_2, \lambda_2 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2, & {}^*G_{3,1,4} &= \langle \tau_3, \lambda_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2, \\ G_{3,2,4} &= \langle \tau_2, -\lambda_2 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2, & G_{3,2,5} &= \langle \tau_3, -\lambda_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2, \\ {}^*G_{3,3,3} &= \langle \tau_2, \lambda_2, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2, & {}^*G_{3,3,4} &= \langle \tau_3, \lambda_3, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2. \end{aligned}$$

The 4th crystal system (I) (\mathbb{Z} -reducible):

$$\begin{aligned} G_{4,1,1} &= \langle \sigma_{4A} \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_4, & G_{4,2,1} &= \langle -\sigma_{4A} \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_4, \\ G_{4,3,1} &= \langle \sigma_{4A}, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_2, & G_{4,4,1} &= \langle \sigma_{4A}, \lambda_1 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{D}_4, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{4,5,1} &= \langle \sigma_{4A}, -\lambda_1 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{D}_4, & G_{4,6,1} &= \langle -\sigma_{4A}, \lambda_1 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{D}_4, \\
G_{4,6,2} &= \langle -\sigma_{4A}, -\lambda_1 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{D}_4, & G_{4,7,1} &= \langle \sigma_{4A}, \lambda_1, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{D}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_2.
\end{aligned}$$

The 4th crystal system (II) (\mathbb{Z} -irreducible, but \mathbb{Q} -reducible):

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{4,1,2} &= \langle \sigma_{4B} \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_4, & G_{4,2,2} &= \langle -\sigma_{4B} \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_4, \\
{}^*G_{4,3,2} &= \langle \sigma_{4B}, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_2, & {}^*G_{4,4,2} &= \langle \sigma_{4B}, \lambda_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{D}_4, \\
G_{4,5,2} &= \langle \sigma_{4B}, -\lambda_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{D}_4, & G_{4,6,3} &= \langle -\sigma_{4B}, -\lambda_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{D}_4, \\
{}^*G_{4,6,4} &= \langle -\sigma_{4B}, \lambda_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{D}_4, & {}^*G_{4,7,2} &= \langle \sigma_{4B}, \lambda_3, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{D}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_2.
\end{aligned}$$

The 5th crystal system (I) (\mathbb{Z} -irreducible, but \mathbb{Q} -reducible):

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{5,1,1} &= \langle \sigma_{3B} \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_3, & G_{5,2,1} &= \langle \sigma_{3B}, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_6, \\
G_{5,3,1} &= \langle \sigma_{3B}, -\alpha \rangle \simeq \mathcal{S}_3, & G_{5,4,1} &= \langle \sigma_{3B}, \alpha \rangle \simeq \mathcal{S}_3, \\
G_{5,5,1} &= \langle \sigma_{3B}, -\alpha, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{D}_6.
\end{aligned}$$

The 5th crystal system (II) (\mathbb{Z} -reducible):

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{5,1,2} &= \langle \sigma_{3A} \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_3, & G_{5,2,2} &= \langle \sigma_{3A}, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_6, \\
G_{5,3,2} &= \langle \sigma_{3A}, -\alpha \rangle \simeq \mathcal{S}_3, & G_{5,3,3} &= \langle \sigma_{3A}, -\beta_1 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{S}_3, \\
G_{5,4,2} &= \langle \sigma_{3A}, \beta_1 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{S}_3, & G_{5,4,3} &= \langle \sigma_{3A}, \alpha \rangle \simeq \mathcal{S}_3, \\
G_{5,5,2} &= \langle \sigma_{3A}, -\alpha, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{D}_6, & G_{5,5,3} &= \langle \sigma_{3A}, -\beta_1, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{D}_6.
\end{aligned}$$

The 6th crystal system (\mathbb{Z} -reducible):

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{6,1,1} &= \langle \sigma_{3A}, \tau_1 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_6, & G_{6,2,1} &= \langle \sigma_{3A}, -\tau_1 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_6, \\
G_{6,3,1} &= \langle \sigma_{3A}, \tau_1, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_6 \times \mathcal{C}_2, & G_{6,4,1} &= \langle \sigma_{3A}, \tau_1, -\beta_1 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{D}_6, \\
G_{6,5,1} &= \langle \sigma_{3A}, \tau_1, \beta_1 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{D}_6, & G_{6,6,1} &= \langle \sigma_{3A}, -\tau_1, \beta_1 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{D}_6, \\
G_{6,6,2} &= \langle \sigma_{3A}, -\tau_1, -\beta_1 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{D}_6, & G_{6,7,1} &= \langle \sigma_{3A}, \tau_1, -\beta_1, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{D}_6 \times \mathcal{C}_2.
\end{aligned}$$

The 7th crystal system (I) (\mathbb{Q} -irreducible):

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{7,1,1} &= \langle \tau_1, \lambda_1, \sigma_{3B} \rangle \simeq \mathcal{A}_4, & G_{7,2,1} &= \langle \tau_1, \lambda_1, \sigma_{3B}, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{A}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_2, \\
G_{7,3,1} &= \langle \tau_1, \lambda_1, \sigma_{3B}, -\beta_1 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{S}_4, & G_{7,4,1} &= \langle \tau_1, \lambda_1, \sigma_{3B}, \beta_1 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{S}_4, \\
G_{7,5,1} &= \langle \tau_1, \lambda_1, \sigma_{3B}, \beta_1, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{S}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_2.
\end{aligned}$$

The 7th crystal system (II) (\mathbb{Q} -irreducible):

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{7,1,2} &= \langle \tau_2, \lambda_2, \sigma_{3B} \rangle \simeq \mathcal{A}_4, & {}^*G_{7,2,2} &= \langle \tau_2, \lambda_2, \sigma_{3B}, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{A}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_2, \\
{}^*G_{7,3,2} &= \langle \tau_2, \lambda_2, \sigma_{3B}, -\beta_2 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{S}_4, & G_{7,4,2} &= \langle \tau_2, \lambda_2, \sigma_{3B}, \beta_2 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{S}_4, \\
{}^*G_{7,5,2} &= \langle \tau_2, \lambda_2, \sigma_{3B}, \beta_2, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{S}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_2.
\end{aligned}$$

The 7th crystal system (III) (\mathbb{Q} -irreducible):

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^*G_{7,1,3} &= \langle \tau_3, \lambda_3, \sigma_{3B} \rangle \simeq \mathcal{A}_4, & {}^*G_{7,2,3} &= \langle \tau_3, \lambda_3, \sigma_{3B}, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{A}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_2, \\
{}^*G_{7,3,3} &= \langle \tau_3, \lambda_3, \sigma_{3B}, -\beta_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{S}_4, & {}^*G_{7,4,3} &= \langle \tau_3, \lambda_3, \sigma_{3B}, \beta_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{S}_4, \\
{}^*G_{7,5,3} &= \langle \tau_3, \lambda_3, \sigma_{3B}, \beta_3, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{S}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_2.
\end{aligned}$$

The 15 groups ${}^*G_{i,j,k}$ with marked $*$ above are in the Kunyavskii's list of [Kun87, Theorem 1], i.e. the only 15 groups in $GL_3(\mathbb{Z})$ up to conjugation for which $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{G_{i,j,k}}$ are not retract k -rational under the faithful action of $G_{i,j,k}$ on K (see Theorem 1.3).

3. PRELIMINARIES

3.1. Reduction to lower degree.

Theorem 3.1 (Miyata [Miy71, Lemma, page 70], Ahmad, Hajja, Kang [AHK00, Theorem 3.1]). *Let L be a field, $L(x)$ be the rational function field with one variable x over L and G be a finite group acting on $L(x)$. Suppose that, for any $\sigma \in G$, $\sigma(L) \subset L$ and $\sigma(x) = a_\sigma x + b_\sigma$ where $a_\sigma, b_\sigma \in L$ and $a_\sigma \neq 0$. Then $L(x)^G = L^G(f)$ for some polynomial $f \in L[x]^G$.*

Corollary 3.2. *Let k be a field with $\text{char } k \neq 2$ and G be a finite subgroup of $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ acting on $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ by purely quasi-monomial k -automorphisms. If G is \mathbb{Z} -reducible of $(n-1, 1)$ -type and $K(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})^G$ is k -rational, then $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)^G$ is k -rational.*

Proof. Put $x'_n = (x_n - 1)/(x_n + 1)$ and apply Theorem 3.1. \square

The following lemmas are a restatement of Hilbert 90 (see also [Miy71, Lemma, page 70]).

Theorem 3.3 (Endo, Miyata [EM73, Proposition 1.1]). *Let L/k be a finite Galois extension of fields with Galois group G which acts on $L(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ by k -automorphisms. Suppose that for any $\sigma \in G$,*

$$\sigma(\alpha x_i) = \sigma(\alpha) \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(\sigma) x_j, \quad \alpha, a_{ij}(\sigma) \in L.$$

Then $L(x_1, \dots, x_n)^G$ is k -rational.

Theorem 3.4 (Hajja, Kang [HK95, Theorem 1]). *Let L be a field and G be a finite group acting on $L(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Suppose that*

- (i) $\sigma(L) \subset L$ for any $\sigma \in G$;
- (ii) *the restriction of the actions of G to L is faithful;*
- (iii) *for any $\sigma \in G$,*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma(x_1) \\ \vdots \\ \sigma(x_n) \end{pmatrix} = A(\sigma) \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} + B(\sigma)$$

where $A(\sigma) \in GL_n(L)$ and $B(\sigma)$ is an $n \times 1$ matrix over L . Then there exist $z_1, \dots, z_n \in L(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ such that $L(x_1, \dots, x_n) = L(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ with $\sigma(z_i) = z_i$ for any $\sigma \in G$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$.

3.2. Explicit transcendental bases.

Lemma 3.5 (Hashimoto, Hoshi, Rikuna [HHR08, page 1176], Hoshi, Kang, Kitayama [HKK14, Lemma 3.3], see also [HKY11, Lemma 3.4]). *Let k be a field and τ act on $k(x_1, x_2)$ by k -automorphisms*

$$\tau : x_1 \mapsto \frac{1}{x_1}, \quad x_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{x_2}.$$

Then $k(x_1, x_2)^{\langle \tau \rangle} = k(t_1, t_2)$ where

$$t_1 = \frac{x_1 x_2 + 1}{x_1 + x_2}, \quad t_2 = \begin{cases} \frac{x_1 x_2 - 1}{x_1 - x_2} & \text{if } \text{char } k \neq 2, \\ \frac{x_1(x_2^2 + 1)}{x_2(x_1^2 + 1)} & \text{if } \text{char } k = 2. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3.6 (Hajja, Kang [HK94, Lemma 2.7], [Kan04, Theorem 2.4]). *Let k be a field and $-I_2$ act on $k(x_1, x_2)$ by*

$$-I_2 : x_1 \mapsto \frac{a}{x_1}, \quad x_2 \mapsto \frac{b}{x_2}, \quad a \in k^\times$$

where $b = c(x_1 + (a/x_1)) + d$ such that $c, d \in k$ and at least one of c and d is non-zero. Then $k(x_1, x_2)^{\langle -I_2 \rangle} = k(u_1, u_2)$ where

$$u_1 = \frac{x_1 - \frac{a}{x_1}}{x_1 x_2 - \frac{ab}{x_1 x_2}} = \frac{x_2(x_1^2 - a)}{x_1^2 x_2^2 - ab}, \quad u_2 = \frac{x_2 - \frac{b}{x_2}}{x_1 x_2 - \frac{ab}{x_1 x_2}} = \frac{x_1(x_2^2 - b)}{x_1^2 x_2^2 - ab}.$$

Lemma 3.7 (Hoshi, Kitayama, Yamasaki [HKY11, Theorem 3.13, Remark 3.14]). *Let k be a field and $-I_3$ act on $k(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ by k -automorphism*

$$-I_3 : x_1 \mapsto \frac{1}{x_1}, \quad x_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{x_2}, \quad x_3 \mapsto \frac{1}{x_3}.$$

Then $k(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{\langle -I_3 \rangle} = k(t_1, t_2, t_3)$ where

$$t_1 = \frac{x_1 x_2 + 1}{x_1 + x_2}, \quad t_2 = \frac{x_2 x_3 + 1}{x_2 + x_3}, \quad t_3 = \frac{x_3 x_1 + 1}{x_3 + x_1}.$$

Lemma 3.8 (Masuda [Mas55], Hoshi, Kang [HK10, Theorem 2.2]). *Let k be a field and σ_{3B} act on $k(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ by k -automorphism*

$$\sigma_{3B} : x_1 \mapsto x_2 \mapsto x_3 \mapsto x_1.$$

Then $k(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{\langle \sigma_{3B} \rangle} = k(s_1, u, v)$ where

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 &= s_1(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1 + x_2 + x_3, \\ u &= u(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{x_1 x_2^2 + x_2 x_3^2 + x_3 x_1^2 - 3x_1 x_2 x_3}{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 - x_1 x_2 - x_2 x_3 - x_3 x_1}, \\ v &= v(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{x_1^2 x_2 + x_2^2 x_3 + x_3^2 x_1 - 3x_1 x_2 x_3}{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 - x_1 x_2 - x_2 x_3 - x_3 x_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.9 (Hoshi, Kitayama, Yamasaki [HKY11, Theorem 3.16]). *Let k be a field with $\text{char } k \neq 2$ and τ_1, λ_1 act on $k(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ by k -automorphisms defined by*

$$\tau_1 : x_1 \mapsto \frac{1}{x_1}, \quad x_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{x_2}, \quad x_3 \mapsto x_3, \quad \lambda_1 : x_1 \mapsto \frac{1}{x_1}, \quad x_2 \mapsto x_2, \quad x_3 \mapsto \frac{1}{x_3}.$$

Then $k(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{\langle \tau_1, \lambda_1 \rangle} = k(t_1, t_2, t_3)$ where

$$t_1 = \frac{-x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - x_1 x_2 x_3}{1 - x_1 x_2 + x_2 x_3 - x_3 x_1}, \quad t_2 = \frac{x_1 - x_2 + x_3 - x_1 x_2 x_3}{1 - x_1 x_2 - x_2 x_3 + x_3 x_1}, \quad t_3 = \frac{x_1 + x_2 - x_3 - x_1 x_2 x_3}{1 + x_1 x_2 - x_2 x_3 - x_3 x_1}.$$

Lemma 3.10 (Hoshi, Kitayama, Yamasaki [HKY11, Lemma 3.8]). *Let k be a field and $G_{3,1,4} = \langle \tau_3, \lambda_3 \rangle$ act on $k(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ by*

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_3 : x_1 &\mapsto x_2 \mapsto x_1, \quad x_3 \mapsto \frac{c}{x_1 x_2 x_3} \mapsto x_3, \\ \lambda_3 : x_1 &\mapsto x_3 \mapsto x_1, \quad x_2 \mapsto \frac{c}{x_1 x_2 x_3} \mapsto x_2, \quad c \in k^\times. \end{aligned}$$

Define $w = c/(x_1 x_2 x_3)$. Then $k(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{G_{3,1,4}} = k(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{\langle \tau_3, \lambda_3 \rangle} = k(v_1, v_2, v_3)$ where

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= \frac{x_1 + x_2 - x_3 - w}{x_1 x_2 - x_3 w} = \frac{c - x_1 x_2 x_3 (x_1 + x_2 - x_3)}{x_3 (c - x_1^2 x_2^2)}, \\ v_2 &= \frac{x_1 - x_2 - x_3 + w}{x_1 w - x_2 x_3} = \frac{c - x_1 x_2 x_3 (-x_1 + x_2 + x_3)}{x_1 (c - x_2^2 x_3^2)}, \\ v_3 &= \frac{x_1 - x_2 + x_3 - w}{x_1 x_3 - x_2 w} = \frac{c - x_1 x_2 x_3 (x_1 - x_2 + x_3)}{x_2 (c - x_1^2 x_3^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

3.3. Rationality of quadrics and conic bundles.

Theorem 3.11 (Ohm [Ohm94, Lemma 5.7], see also Hajja, Kang, Ohm [HKO94, Proposition 2.1]). *Let k be a field with $\text{char } k \neq 2$ and K be a function field of a quadric $q = a_0 + a_1x_1^2 + \cdots + a_nx_n^2$ over k , i.e. K is k -isomorphic to $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(q)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $a_0x_0^2 + a_1x_1^2 + \cdots + a_nx_n^2$ has a non-trivial k -zero;
- (ii) $a_0 + a_1x_1^2 + \cdots + a_nx_n^2$ has a k -zero;
- (iii) K is k -rational;
- (iv) K is k -unirational.

Lemma 3.12. *Let k be a field with $\text{char } k \neq 2$, $K = k(\sqrt{d})$ be a quadratic extension of k and σ act on $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ by k -automorphisms*

$$\sigma : \sqrt{d} \mapsto -\sqrt{d}, \quad x_i \mapsto x_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq n-1), \quad x_n \mapsto \frac{f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})}{x_n}$$

where $f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ is a polynomial in $k[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]$. Then we have $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)^{(\sigma)} = k(X, Y, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ where $X^2 - dY^2 = f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$.

Proof. Define

$$X = \frac{1}{2} \left(x_n + \frac{f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})}{x_n} \right), \quad Y = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{d}} \left(x_n - \frac{f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})}{x_n} \right).$$

Then the assertion follows from $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)^{(\sigma)} \supset k(X, Y, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ and $[K(x_1, \dots, x_n) : k(X, Y, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})] \leq 2$. \square

For the rationality of quadrics and conic bundles, see [Kan07, Section 4], [Yam].

3.4. Conjugacy classes move.

For the rationality problem of $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)^G$ under purely quasi-monomial actions, we may convert some cases into their $GL_n(\mathbb{Q})$ -conjugation (see also [HKY11, Section 13.2]) although the problem is determined up to $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ -conjugation (a conjugate of G corresponds to just some base change). When the action of G on K is faithful, i.e. algebraic k -tori case, $GL_n(\mathbb{Q})$ -conjugation corresponds to k -isogeny (see Ono [Ono61, Section 1.3]).

Theorem 3.13 (Kitayama [Kit11, Theorem 2.4]). *Let k be a field with $\text{char } k \neq 2$. Let G and G' be $GL_n(\mathbb{Q})$ -conjugate subgroups of $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ which act on $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ by purely quasi-monomial k -automorphisms, $P = [p_{i,j}] \in GL_n(\mathbb{Q})$ be an integer matrix with $G' = P^{-1}GP$ and $H' = \{\sigma \in G' \mid \sigma(\alpha) = \alpha \text{ for any } \alpha \in K\}$. For $y_j = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{p_{i,j}}$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$), the induced purely quasi-monomial action of G on $K(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ is the same action of G' on $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $H = \{\sigma \in G \mid \sigma(\alpha) = \alpha \text{ for any } \alpha \in K\}$ where $H = PH'P^{-1}$.*

We will apply Theorem 3.13 as follows.

Lemma 3.14. *Let $P_1, P_2 \in GL_3(\mathbb{Z})$ be two matrices defined by*

$$(1) \quad P_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let ρ_1, ρ_2 and ρ_3 be K -automorphisms on $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1 : x_1 &\mapsto -x_1, \quad x_2 \mapsto -x_2, \quad x_3 \mapsto -x_3, \quad \rho_2 : x_1 \mapsto -x_1, \quad x_2 \mapsto x_2, \quad x_3 \mapsto -x_3, \\ \rho_3 : x_1 &\mapsto x_1, \quad x_2 \mapsto -x_2, \quad x_3 \mapsto -x_3. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have:

- (i) $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{P_1^{-1}GP_1}$ is k -rational if and only if $(K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G)^{\langle \rho_1 \rangle}$ is k -rational;
- (ii) $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{P_2^{-1}GP_2}$ is k -rational if and only if $(K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G)^{\langle \rho_2, \rho_3 \rangle}$ is k -rational.

Proof. By Theorem 3.13, we have

$$\begin{aligned} K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{\langle \rho_1 \rangle} &= K(y_1, y_2, y_3), & y_1 &= x_1x_3, \ y_2 = x_2x_3, \ y_3 = x_3^2, \\ K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{\langle \rho_2, \rho_3 \rangle} &= K(z_1, z_2, z_3), & z_1 &= x_1x_2x_3, \ z_2 = x_2^2, \ z_3 = x_3^2 \end{aligned}$$

and the action of G on $K(y_1, y_2, y_3)$ (resp. $K(z_1, z_2, z_3)$) is the same as the action of $P_1^{-1}GP_1$ (resp. $P_2^{-1}GP_2$) on $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)$. \square

Indeed, we will take a finite subgroup G of $GL_3(\mathbb{Z})$ such that G is \mathbb{Z} -reducible and $P_1^{-1}GP_1$ (resp. $P_2^{-1}GP_2$) $\leq GL_3(\mathbb{Z})$ is \mathbb{Z} -irreducible. Namely, the k -rationality of $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{P_1^{-1}GP_1}$ (resp. $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{P_2^{-1}GP_2}$) for \mathbb{Z} -irreducible $P_1^{-1}GP_1$ (resp. $P_2^{-1}GP_2$) can be reduced to the k -rationality of $(K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G)^{\langle \rho_1 \rangle}$ (resp. $(K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G)^{\langle \rho_2, \rho_3 \rangle}$) for \mathbb{Z} -reducible G (see Subsections 4.1 and 4.2). We will also use this technique for the 7th crystal system (I), (II) although the both of G and $P_1^{-1}GP_1$ are \mathbb{Z} -irreducible (see Subsection 5.1).

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.15

In this section, we will give a proof of Theorem 1.15. First, we obtain the following theorem by Theorem 1.11 and Corollary 3.2.

Theorem 4.1. *If G is a group which belongs to the 1st crystal system, the 2nd crystal system, the 3rd crystal system (I), the 5th crystal system (II), or the 6th crystal system, then $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ under purely quasi-monomial actions of G is k -rational.*

Let $H = \{\sigma \in G \mid \sigma(\alpha) = \alpha \text{ for any } \alpha \in K\}$. The remaining cases will be treated below.

4.1. The 3rd crystal system (II). We treat the following six groups:

$$\begin{aligned} G_{3,1,3} &= \langle \tau_2, \lambda_2 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2, & G_{3,1,4} &= \langle \tau_3, \lambda_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2, \\ G_{3,2,4} &= \langle \tau_2, -\lambda_2 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2, & G_{3,2,5} &= \langle \tau_3, -\lambda_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2, \\ G_{3,3,3} &= \langle \tau_2, \lambda_2, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2, & G_{3,3,4} &= \langle \tau_3, \lambda_3, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2. \end{aligned}$$

Let $P_1, P_2 \in GL_3(\mathbb{Z})$ be two matrices defined as in Equation (1). Then we may confirm that

$$\begin{aligned} G_{3,1,3} &\sim P_1^{-1}G_{3,1,1}P_1, & G_{3,1,4} &\sim P_2^{-1}G_{3,1,1}P_2, \\ G_{3,2,4} &\sim P_1^{-1}G_{3,2,1}P_1, & G_{3,2,5} &\sim P_2^{-1}G_{3,2,1}P_2, \\ G_{3,3,3} &\sim P_1^{-1}G_{3,3,1}P_1, & G_{3,3,4} &\sim P_2^{-1}G_{3,3,1}P_2 \end{aligned}$$

where \sim means $GL_3(\mathbb{Z})$ -conjugation. Let ρ_1, ρ_2, ρ_3 be K -automorphisms on $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1 : x_1 &\mapsto -x_1, \ x_2 \mapsto -x_2, \ x_3 \mapsto -x_3, & \rho_2 : x_1 &\mapsto -x_1, \ x_2 \mapsto x_2, \ x_3 \mapsto -x_3, \\ \rho_3 : x_1 &\mapsto x_1, \ x_2 \mapsto -x_2, \ x_3 \mapsto -x_3 \end{aligned}$$

as in Lemma 3.14. By Lemma 3.14, it suffices to consider the k -rationality of $(K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G)^{\langle \rho_1 \rangle}$ and $(K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G)^{\langle \rho_2, \rho_3 \rangle}$ for $G = G_{3,j,1}$ ($j = 1, 2, 3$). Note that we already know that $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ is k -rational by Theorem 4.1.

The actions of τ_1, λ_1 and $-I_3$ on $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1 : x_1 &\mapsto \frac{1}{x_1}, \ x_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{x_2}, \ x_3 \mapsto x_3, & \lambda_1 : x_1 &\mapsto \frac{1}{x_1}, \ x_2 \mapsto x_2, \ x_3 \mapsto \frac{1}{x_3}, \end{aligned}$$

$$-I_3 : x_1 \mapsto \frac{1}{x_1}, \quad x_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{x_2}, \quad x_3 \mapsto \frac{1}{x_3}.$$

Case 1: $G = G_{3,1,1} = \langle \tau_1, \lambda_1 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2$.

Let H be a non-trivial proper normal subgroup of G . By symmetry, we have only to consider $H = \langle \tau_1 \rangle$. We can take $\sqrt{a} \in K$ such that $K = k(\sqrt{a})$ and $\lambda_1(\sqrt{a}) = -\sqrt{a}$. Then we have $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G = k(y_1, y_2, y_3)$ where

$$y_1 = \left(\frac{x_1 - 1}{x_1 + 1} \right)^2, \quad y_2 = \frac{\sqrt{a}(x_1 - 1)(x_2 - 1)}{(x_1 + 1)(x_2 + 1)}, \quad y_3 = \frac{\sqrt{a}(x_3 - 1)}{x_3 + 1}$$

and ρ_1, ρ_2 and ρ_3 act on $k(y_1, y_2, y_3)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1 : y_1 &\mapsto \frac{1}{y_1}, \quad y_2 \mapsto \frac{a}{y_2}, \quad y_3 \mapsto \frac{a}{y_3}, \\ \rho_2 : y_1 &\mapsto \frac{1}{y_1}, \quad y_2 \mapsto \frac{y_2}{y_1}, \quad y_3 \mapsto \frac{a}{y_3}, \quad \rho_3 : y_1 \mapsto y_1, \quad y_2 \mapsto \frac{ay_1}{y_2}, \quad y_3 \mapsto \frac{a}{y_3}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the k -rationality of $(K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G)^{\langle \rho_1 \rangle}$ and $(K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G)^{\langle \rho_2, \rho_3 \rangle}$ can be reduced to the k -rationality for three-dimensional monomial actions on $k(y_1, y_2, y_3)$ of $G_{1,2,1} \simeq \mathcal{C}_2$ and $G_{3,1,2} \simeq \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2$ respectively, which has already been settled in [Sal00] and [HKY11]. They are both k -rational.

Case 2: $G = G_{3,2,1} = \langle \tau_1, -\lambda_1 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2$.

Because $\tau_1(x_3) = -\lambda_1(x_3) = x_3$ and $\rho_i(x_3) = -x_3$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$), by Theorem 3.1, the k -rationality of $(K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G)^{\langle \rho_1 \rangle}$ and $(K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G)^{\langle \rho_2, \rho_3 \rangle}$ can be reduced to the k -rationality of purely quasi-monomial actions of G on $K(x_1, x_2)^{\langle \rho_1 \rangle}$ and $K(x_1, x_2)^{\langle \rho_2, \rho_3 \rangle}$. They are k -rational for any non-trivial proper normal subgroup H of G by Theorem 1.11.

Case 3: $G = G_{3,3,1} = \langle \tau_1, \lambda_1, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2$.

Let H be a non-trivial proper normal subgroup of G . By symmetry, we have only to consider the following three cases:

(i) $\langle -\tau_1 \lambda_1 \rangle \leq H$. We have $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{\langle -\tau_1 \lambda_1 \rangle} = K(y_1, y_2, y_3)$ where $y_1 = x_1 + \frac{1}{x_1}$, $y_2 = x_2$, $y_3 = x_3$. By Theorem 3.1, the k -rationality of $(K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G)^{\langle \rho_1 \rangle}$ and $(K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G)^{\langle \rho_2, \rho_3 \rangle}$ can be reduced to the k -rationality for purely quasi-monomial actions of G on $K(y_2, y_3)^{\langle \rho_1 \rangle}$ and $K(y_2, y_3)^{\langle \rho_2, \rho_3 \rangle}$. They are both k -rational by Theorem 1.11.

(ii) $\langle \tau_1 \rangle \leq H$. By Lemma 3.5, we have $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{\langle \tau_1 \rangle} = K(y_1, y_2, y_3)$ where

$$y_1 = \frac{x_1 x_2 + 1}{x_1 + x_2}, \quad y_2 = \frac{x_1 x_2 - 1}{x_1 - x_2}, \quad y_3 = x_3.$$

The actions of $\lambda_1, -I_3, \rho_1, \rho_2$ and ρ_3 on $K(y_1, y_2, y_3)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 : y_1 &\mapsto \frac{1}{y_1}, \quad y_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{y_2}, \quad y_3 \mapsto \frac{1}{y_3}, & -I_3 : y_1 &\mapsto y_1, \quad y_2 \mapsto y_2, \quad y_3 \mapsto \frac{1}{y_3}, \\ \rho_1 : y_1 &\mapsto -y_1, \quad y_2 \mapsto -y_2, \quad y_3 \mapsto -y_3, \\ \rho_2 : y_1 &\mapsto y_2, \quad y_2 \mapsto y_1, \quad y_3 \mapsto -y_3, & \rho_3 : y_1 &\mapsto -y_2, \quad y_2 \mapsto -y_1, \quad y_3 \mapsto -y_3. \end{aligned}$$

We have $K(y_1, y_2, y_3)^{\langle \rho_1 \rangle} = K(z_1, z_2, z_3)$ where $z_1 = y_1^2, z_2 = \frac{y_1 y_2 - 1}{y_1 y_2 + 1}, z_3 = y_1 y_3$, and

$$\lambda_1 : z_1 \mapsto \frac{1}{z_1}, \quad z_2 \mapsto -z_2, \quad z_3 \mapsto \frac{1}{z_3}, \quad -I_3 : z_1 \mapsto z_1, \quad z_2 \mapsto z_2, \quad z_3 \mapsto \frac{z_1}{z_3}.$$

Hence, by Theorem 3.1, the k -rationality of $(K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G)^{\langle \rho_1 \rangle}$ can be reduced to the k -rationality for purely quasi-monomial actions of $\langle \lambda_1, -I_3 \rangle$ on $K(z_1, z_3)$. It is k -rational by Theorem 1.11.

We have $K(y_1, y_2, y_3)^{\langle \rho_2, \rho_3 \rangle} = K(w_1, w_2, w_3)$ where $w_1 = \frac{y_1 - y_2}{y_1 + y_2}, w_2 = \frac{y_1 y_2 - 1}{y_1 y_2 + 1}, w_3 = y_3$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 : w_1 \mapsto -w_1, \quad w_2 \mapsto -w_2, \quad w_3 \mapsto \frac{1}{w_3}, \quad -I_3 : w_1 \mapsto w_1, \quad w_2 \mapsto w_2, \quad w_3 \mapsto \frac{1}{w_3}, \\ \rho_2 = \rho_3 : w_1 \mapsto -w_1, \quad w_2 \mapsto w_2, \quad w_3 \mapsto -w_3. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.1, the k -rationality of $(K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G)^{\langle \rho_2, \rho_3 \rangle}$ can be reduced to the k -rationality for quasi-monomial actions of $\langle \lambda_1, -I_3, \rho_2 \rangle$ on $K(w_3)$. It is k -rational by Theorem 1.10.

(iii) $H = \langle -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_2$. We have $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^H = K(y_1, y_2, y_3)$ where

$$y_1 = \left(\frac{x_1 - 1}{x_1 + 1} \right)^2, \quad y_2 = \frac{(x_1 - 1)(x_2 - 1)}{(x_1 + 1)(x_2 + 1)}, \quad y_3 = \frac{(x_1 - 1)(x_3 - 1)}{(x_1 + 1)(x_3 + 1)}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1 : y_1 \mapsto y_1, \quad y_2 \mapsto y_2, \quad y_3 \mapsto -y_3, \quad \lambda_1 : y_1 \mapsto y_1, \quad y_2 \mapsto -y_2, \quad y_3 \mapsto y_3, \\ \rho_1 : y_1 \mapsto \frac{1}{y_1}, \quad y_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{y_2}, \quad y_3 \mapsto \frac{1}{y_3}, \\ \rho_2 : y_1 \mapsto \frac{1}{y_1}, \quad y_2 \mapsto \frac{y_2}{y_1}, \quad y_3 \mapsto \frac{1}{y_3}, \quad \rho_3 : y_1 \mapsto y_1, \quad y_2 \mapsto \frac{y_1}{y_2}, \quad y_3 \mapsto \frac{y_1}{y_3}. \end{aligned}$$

We may take $\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b} \in K$ such that $K = k(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b})$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1 : \sqrt{a} \mapsto -\sqrt{a}, \quad \sqrt{b} \mapsto \sqrt{b}, \quad y_1 \mapsto y_1, \quad y_2 \mapsto y_2, \quad y_3 \mapsto -y_3, \\ \lambda_1 : \sqrt{a} \mapsto \sqrt{a}, \quad \sqrt{b} \mapsto -\sqrt{b}, \quad y_1 \mapsto y_1, \quad y_2 \mapsto -y_2, \quad y_3 \mapsto y_3. \end{aligned}$$

Then $K(y_1, y_2, y_3)^{\langle \tau_1, \lambda_1 \rangle} = k(z_1, z_2, z_3)$ where $z_1 = y_1, z_2 = \sqrt{b}y_2, z_3 = \sqrt{a}y_3$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1 : z_1 \mapsto \frac{1}{z_1}, \quad z_2 \mapsto \frac{b}{z_2}, \quad z_3 \mapsto \frac{a}{z_3}, \\ \rho_2 : z_1 \mapsto \frac{1}{z_1}, \quad z_2 \mapsto \frac{z_2}{z_1}, \quad z_3 \mapsto \frac{a}{z_3}, \quad \rho_3 : z_1 \mapsto z_1, \quad z_2 \mapsto \frac{bz_1}{z_2}, \quad z_3 \mapsto \frac{az_1}{z_3}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the k -rationality of $(K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G)^{\langle \rho_1 \rangle}$ and $(K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G)^{\langle \rho_2, \rho_3 \rangle}$ can be reduced to the k -rationality of three-dimensional monomial actions of $G_{1,2,1}$ and $G_{3,1,3}$ respectively, which has been settled in [Sal00] and [HKY11]. They are both k -rational.

4.2. The 4th crystal system (I), (II). We treat the following sixteen groups:

$$\begin{aligned} G_{4,1,1} &= \langle \sigma_{4A} \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_4, & G_{4,2,1} &= \langle -\sigma_{4A} \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_4, \\ G_{4,3,1} &= \langle \sigma_{4A}, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_2, & G_{4,4,1} &= \langle \sigma_{4A}, \lambda_1 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{D}_4, \\ G_{4,5,1} &= \langle \sigma_{4A}, -\lambda_1 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{D}_4, & G_{4,6,1} &= \langle -\sigma_{4A}, \lambda_1 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{D}_4, \\ G_{4,6,2} &= \langle -\sigma_{4A}, -\lambda_1 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{D}_4, & G_{4,7,1} &= \langle \sigma_{4A}, \lambda_1, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{D}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_2 \end{aligned}$$

which are \mathbb{Z} -reducible and belong to the 4th crystal system (I), and

$$G_{4,1,2} = \langle \sigma_{4B} \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_4, \quad G_{4,2,2} = \langle -\sigma_{4B} \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_4,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{4,3,2} &= \langle \sigma_{4B}, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_2, & G_{4,4,2} &= \langle \sigma_{4B}, \lambda_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{D}_4, \\
G_{4,5,2} &= \langle \sigma_{4B}, -\lambda_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{D}_4, & G_{4,6,3} &= \langle -\sigma_{4B}, -\lambda_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{D}_4, \\
G_{4,6,4} &= \langle -\sigma_{4B}, \lambda_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{D}_4, & G_{4,7,2} &= \langle \sigma_{4B}, \lambda_3, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{D}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_2
\end{aligned}$$

which are \mathbb{Z} -irreducible and belong to the 4th crystal system (II).

Let $P_1 \in GL_3(\mathbb{Z})$ be a matrix defined as Equation (1) in Lemma 3.14. We may confirm that

$$G_{4,j,2} \sim P_1^{-1} G_{4,j,1} P_1 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq 5, j = 7,$$

$$G_{4,6,3} \sim P_1^{-1} G_{4,6,1} P_1, \quad G_{4,6,4} \sim P_1^{-1} G_{4,6,2} P_1$$

where \sim means $GL_3(\mathbb{Z})$ -conjugation. By Lemma 3.14, it suffices to consider the k -rationality of $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ and $(K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G)^{(\rho_1)}$ where

$$\rho_1 : x_1 \mapsto -x_1, x_2 \mapsto -x_2, x_3 \mapsto -x_3$$

for $G = G_{4,j,1}$ ($1 \leq j \leq 7$) and $G_{4,6,2}$ which are \mathbb{Z} -reducible, contain σ_{4A} or $-\sigma_{4A}$ and belong to the 4th crystal system (I).

The actions of σ_{4A} , σ_{4A}^2 , λ_1 , $-\lambda_1$, $-I_3$ and ρ_1 on $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{4A} : x_1 &\mapsto x_2, x_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{x_1}, x_3 \mapsto x_3, & -\sigma_{4A} : x_1 &\mapsto \frac{1}{x_2}, x_2 \mapsto x_1, x_3 \mapsto \frac{1}{x_3}, \\
\sigma_{4A}^2 : x_1 &\mapsto \frac{1}{x_1}, x_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{x_2}, x_3 \mapsto x_3, \\
\lambda_1 : x_1 &\mapsto \frac{1}{x_1}, x_2 \mapsto x_2, x_3 \mapsto \frac{1}{x_3}, & -\lambda_1 : x_1 &\mapsto x_1, x_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{x_2}, x_3 \mapsto x_3, \\
-I_3 : x_1 &\mapsto \frac{1}{x_1}, x_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{x_2}, x_3 \mapsto \frac{1}{x_3}, & \rho_1 : x_1 &\mapsto -x_1, x_2 \mapsto -x_2, x_3 \mapsto -x_3.
\end{aligned}$$

The non-trivial proper normal subgroups H of each $G = G_{4,j,1}$ ($1 \leq j \leq 7$) and $G_{4,6,2}$ are:

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{4,1,1} : H &= \langle \sigma_{4A}^2 \rangle, \\
G_{4,2,1} : H &= \langle \sigma_{4A}^2 \rangle, \\
G_{4,3,1} : H &= \langle \pm \sigma_{4A}^2 \rangle, \langle \pm \sigma_{4A} \rangle, \langle -I_3 \rangle, \langle \sigma_{4A}^2, -I_3 \rangle, \\
G_{4,4,1} : H &= \langle \sigma_{4A}^2 \rangle, \langle \sigma_{4A}^2, \lambda_1 \rangle, \langle \sigma_{4A}^2, \sigma_{4A} \lambda_1 \rangle, \langle \sigma_{4A} \rangle, \\
G_{4,5,1} : H &= \langle \sigma_{4A}^2 \rangle, \langle \sigma_{4A}^2, -\lambda_1 \rangle, \langle \sigma_{4A}^2, -\sigma_{4A} \lambda_1 \rangle, \langle \sigma_{4A} \rangle, \\
G_{4,6,1} : H &= \langle \sigma_{4A}^2 \rangle, \langle \sigma_{4A}^2, \lambda_1 \rangle, \langle \sigma_{4A}^2, -\sigma_{4A} \lambda_1 \rangle, \langle -\sigma_{4A} \rangle, \\
G_{4,6,2} : H &= \langle \sigma_{4A}^2 \rangle, \langle \sigma_{4A}^2, -\lambda_1 \rangle, \langle \sigma_{4A}^2, \sigma_{4A} \lambda_1 \rangle, \langle -\sigma_{4A} \rangle, \\
G_{4,7,1} : H &= \langle \pm \sigma_{4A}^2 \rangle, \langle \sigma_{4A}^2, \pm \lambda_1 \rangle, \langle \sigma_{4A}^2, \pm \sigma_{4A} \lambda_1 \rangle, \langle \pm \sigma_{4A} \rangle, \langle \pm \sigma_{4A}, \pm \lambda_1 \rangle, \\
&\quad \langle -I_3 \rangle, \langle \sigma_{4A}^2, -I_3 \rangle, \langle \sigma_{4A}^2, \lambda_1, -I_3 \rangle, \langle \sigma_{4A}^2, \sigma_{4A} \lambda_1, -I_3 \rangle, \langle \sigma_{4A}, -I_3 \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Case 1: $\langle \sigma_{4A}^2 \rangle \leq H$.

By Lemma 3.5, we have

$$K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{(\sigma_{4A}^2)} = K(y_1, y_2, y_3)$$

where

$$y_1 = \frac{x_1 x_2 + 1}{x_1 + x_2}, \quad y_2 = \frac{x_1 x_2 - 1}{x_1 - x_2}, \quad y_3 = x_3.$$

The actions of σ_{4A} , $-\sigma_{4A}$, λ_1 , $-\lambda_1$, $-I_3$ and ρ_1 on $K(y_1, y_2, y_3)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{4A} : y_1 &\mapsto \frac{1}{y_1}, y_2 \mapsto -\frac{1}{y_2}, y_3 \mapsto y_3, & -\sigma_{4A} : y_1 &\mapsto \frac{1}{y_1}, y_2 \mapsto -\frac{1}{y_2}, y_3 \mapsto \frac{1}{y_3}, \\ \lambda_1 : y_1 &\mapsto \frac{1}{y_1}, y_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{y_2}, y_3 \mapsto \frac{1}{y_3}, & -\lambda_1 : y_1 &\mapsto \frac{1}{y_1}, y_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{y_2}, y_3 \mapsto y_3, \\ -I_3 : y_1 &\mapsto y_1, y_2 \mapsto y_2, y_3 \mapsto \frac{1}{y_3}, & \rho_1 : y_1 &\mapsto -y_1, y_2 \mapsto -y_2, y_3 \mapsto -y_3.\end{aligned}$$

Define

$$z_1 = \frac{y_2 - 1}{y_2 + 1}, z_2 = \frac{y_1 - 1}{y_1 + 1}, z_3 = \frac{y_3 - 1}{y_3 + 1}.$$

Then $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{\langle \sigma_{4A}^2 \rangle} = K(y_1, y_2, y_3) = K(z_1, z_2, z_3)$ and the actions of $\pm\sigma_{4A}$, $\pm\lambda_1$, $-I_3$ and ρ_1 on $K(z_1, z_2, z_3)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\pm\sigma_{4A} : z_1 &\mapsto -\frac{1}{z_1}, z_2 \mapsto -z_2, z_3 \mapsto \pm z_3, & \pm\lambda_1 : z_1 &\mapsto -z_1, z_2 \mapsto -z_2, z_3 \mapsto \mp z_3, \\ -I_3 : z_1 &\mapsto z_1, z_2 \mapsto z_2, z_3 \mapsto -z_3.\end{aligned}$$

We will consider the k -rationality of $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G = K(z_1, z_2, z_3)^{G/\langle \sigma_{4A}^2 \rangle}$ for each $H \leq G$.

(i) $H = \langle \sigma_{4A}^2 \rangle$. By Theorem 3.1, the k -rationality of $K(z_1, z_2, z_3)^G$ is reduced to that of $K(z_1)^G$ which has been settled in Theorem 1.10. Indeed, we have the following:

For $G = G_{4,j,1} = \langle \pm\sigma_{4A} \rangle$ ($j = 1, 2$), (resp. $G_{4,3,1} = \langle \sigma_{4A}, -I_3 \rangle$) we may take $K = k(\sqrt{a})$ (resp. $K^{\langle -I_3 \rangle} = k(\sqrt{a})$) on which G acts by $\pm\sigma_{4A} : \sqrt{a} \mapsto -\sqrt{a}$. By Theorem 1.10 (2), $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ is k -rational if and only if $k(\sqrt{a})(z_1)^{\langle \pm\sigma_{4A} \rangle}$ is k -rational if and only if $(a, -1)_k = 0$.

For $G = \langle \pm\sigma_{4A}, \pm\lambda_1 \rangle$, i.e. $G = G_{4,4,1}, G_{4,5,1}, G_{4,6,1}$ or $G_{4,6,2}$, (resp. $G_{4,7,1} = \langle \sigma_{4A}, \lambda_1, -I_3 \rangle$) we may take $K = k(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b})$ (resp. $K^{\langle -I_3 \rangle} = k(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b})$) on which G acts by $\pm\sigma_{4A} : \sqrt{a} \mapsto -\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b} \mapsto \sqrt{b}$, $\pm\lambda_1 : \sqrt{a} \mapsto \sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b} \mapsto -\sqrt{b}$, and $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ is k -rational if and only if $K(z_1)^{\langle \pm\sigma_{4A}, \pm\lambda_1 \rangle}$ is k -rational if and only if $k(\sqrt{a})(\sqrt{b}z_1)^{\langle \pm\sigma_{4A} \rangle}$ is k -rational if and only if $(a, -b)_k = 0$.

(ii) $H = \langle \sigma_{4A}^2, -I_3 \rangle$. We have $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^H = K(z_1, z_2, z_3)^{\langle -I_3 \rangle} = K(z_1, z_2, z_3^2)$.

For $G = G_{4,3,1} = \langle \sigma_{4A}, -I_3 \rangle$, we may take $K = k(\sqrt{a})$ on which G acts by $\sigma_{4A} : \sqrt{a} \mapsto -\sqrt{a}$, and $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ is k -rational if and only if $k(\sqrt{a})(z_1)^{\langle \sigma_{4A} \rangle}$ is k -rational if and only if $(a, -1)_k = 0$.

For $G = G_{4,7,1} = \langle \sigma_{4A}, \lambda_1, -I_3 \rangle$, we may take $K = k(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b})$ on which G acts by $\sigma_{4A} : \sqrt{a} \mapsto -\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b} \mapsto \sqrt{b}$, $\lambda_1 : \sqrt{a} \mapsto \sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b} \mapsto -\sqrt{b}$, and $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ is k -rational if and only if $K(z_1)^{\langle \sigma_{4A}, \lambda_1 \rangle}$ is k -rational if and only if $k(\sqrt{a})(\sqrt{b}z_1)^{\langle \sigma_{4A} \rangle}$ is k -rational if and only if $(a, -b)_k = 0$.

(iii) $H = \langle \sigma_{4A}^2, \pm\lambda_1 \rangle$ or $\langle \sigma_{4A}^2, \lambda_1, -I_3 \rangle$. For $H = \langle \sigma_{4A}^2, \lambda_1 \rangle$, we define

$$w_1 = z_1 z_2, w_2 = \frac{z_2}{z_1}, w_3 = \frac{z_3}{z_1}.$$

Then we have $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{\langle \sigma_{4A}^2, \lambda_1 \rangle} = K(z_1, z_2, z_3)^{\langle \lambda_1 \rangle} = K(w_1, w_2, w_3)$ and

$$\pm\sigma_{4A} : w_1 \mapsto w_2, w_2 \mapsto w_1, w_3 \mapsto \mp \frac{w_1 w_3}{w_2}, \quad -I_3 : w_1 \mapsto w_1, w_2 \mapsto w_2, w_3 \mapsto -w_3.$$

Hence, by Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.3 (or Theorem 3.4), $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ is k -rational for $G = G_{4,4,1} = \langle \sigma_{4A}, \lambda_1 \rangle$, $G_{4,6,1} = \langle -\sigma_{4A}, \lambda_1 \rangle$, $G_{4,7,1} = \langle \sigma_{4A}, \lambda_1, -I_3 \rangle$.

Similarly, we see that $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ is k -rational when $H = \langle \sigma_{4A}^2, -\lambda_1 \rangle$ or $\langle \sigma_{4A}^2, \lambda_1, -I_3 \rangle$.

(iv) $H = \langle \sigma_{4A}^2, \pm \sigma_{4A} \lambda_1 \rangle$ or $\langle \sigma_{4A}^2, \sigma_{4A} \lambda_1, -I_3 \rangle$. For $H = \langle \sigma_{4A}^2, \sigma_{4A} \lambda_1 \rangle$, we define

$$w_1 = \frac{z_1 + 1}{z_1 - 1} z_3, \quad w_2 = \frac{z_1 - 1}{z_1 + 1} z_3, \quad w_3 = z_2.$$

Then we have $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{\langle \sigma_{4A}^2, \sigma_{4A} \lambda_1 \rangle} = K(z_1, z_2, z_3)^{\langle \sigma_{4A} \lambda_1 \rangle} = K(w_1, w_2, w_3)$ and

$$\pm \sigma_{4A} = \pm \lambda_1 : w_1 \mapsto \mp w_2, \quad w_2 \mapsto \mp w_1, \quad w_3 \mapsto -w_3, \quad -I_3 : w_1 \mapsto -w_1, \quad w_2 \mapsto -w_2, \quad w_3 \mapsto w_3.$$

Hence, by Theorem 3.3, $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ is k -rational for $G = G_{4,4,1} = \langle \sigma_{4A}, \lambda_1 \rangle$, $G_{4,6,2} = \langle -\sigma_{4A}, -\lambda_1 \rangle$, $G_{4,7,1} = \langle \sigma_{4A}, \lambda_1, -I_3 \rangle$.

Similarly, $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ is k -rational when $H = \langle \sigma_{4A}^2, -\sigma_{4A} \lambda_1 \rangle$ or $\langle \sigma_{4A}^2, \sigma_{4A} \lambda_1, -I_3 \rangle$.

(v) $H = \langle \pm \sigma_{4A} \rangle$ or $\langle \sigma_{4A}, -I_3 \rangle$. For $H = \langle \sigma_{4A} \rangle$, we define

$$w_1 = z_1 - \frac{1}{z_1}, \quad w_2 = \left(z_1 + \frac{1}{z_1} \right) / z_2, \quad w_3 = z_3.$$

Then we have $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{\langle \sigma_{4A} \rangle} = K(z_1, z_2, z_3)^{\langle \sigma_{4A} \rangle} = K(w_1, w_2, w_3)$ and

$$\pm \lambda_1 : w_1 \mapsto -w_1, \quad w_2 \mapsto w_2, \quad w_3 \mapsto \mp w_3, \quad -I_3 : w_1 \mapsto w_1, \quad w_2 \mapsto w_2, \quad w_3 \mapsto -w_3.$$

Hence, by Theorem 3.3, $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ is k -rational for $G = G_{4,3,1} = \langle \sigma_{4A}, -I_3 \rangle$, $G_{4,4,1} = \langle \sigma_{4A}, \lambda_1 \rangle$, $G_{4,5,1} = \langle \sigma_{4A}, -\lambda_1 \rangle$, $G_{4,7,1} = \langle \sigma_{4A}, \lambda_1, -I_3 \rangle$.

Similarly, $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ is k -rational when $H = \langle -\sigma_{4A} \rangle$ or $\langle \sigma_{4A}, -I_3 \rangle$.

(vi) $H = \langle \pm \sigma_{4A}, \pm \lambda_1 \rangle$. We have $G = G_{4,7,1} = \langle \sigma_{4A}, \lambda_1, -I_3 \rangle$. For $H = \langle \sigma_{4A}, \lambda_1 \rangle$, define

$$w_1 = \left(z_1 - \frac{1}{z_1} \right) z_3, \quad w_2 = \left(z_1 + \frac{1}{z_1} \right) / z_2, \quad w_3 = z_3^2.$$

Then $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G = K(z_1, z_2, z_3)^{\langle \sigma_{4A}, \lambda_1 \rangle} = K(w_1, w_2, w_3)$ and

$$-I_3 : w_1 \mapsto -w_1, \quad w_2 \mapsto w_2, \quad w_3 \mapsto w_3.$$

Hence, by Theorem 3.3, $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ is k -rational.

Similarly, $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ is k -rational when $H = \langle \sigma_{4A}, -\lambda_1 \rangle$ or $\langle -\sigma_{4A}, \pm \lambda_1 \rangle$.

By (i)–(vi), we obtained the k -rationality criterion of $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$.

On the other hand, we have

$$K(y_1, y_2, y_3)^{\langle \rho_1 \rangle} = K\left(\frac{y_1}{y_3}, y_1 y_3, y_1 y_2\right) = K\left(\frac{y_1 - y_3}{y_1 + y_3}, \frac{y_1 y_3 - 1}{y_1 y_3 + 1}, \frac{y_1 y_2 - 1}{y_1 y_2 + 1}\right) = K(w_1, w_2, w_3)$$

where

$$w_1 = \frac{y_1 y_2 - 1}{y_1 y_2 + 1}, \quad w_2 = \frac{y_1 - y_3}{y_1 + y_3} + \frac{y_1 y_3 - 1}{y_1 y_3 + 1}, \quad w_3 = \frac{y_1 - y_3}{y_1 + y_3} - \frac{y_1 y_3 - 1}{y_1 y_3 + 1}.$$

The actions of σ_{4A} , λ_1 and $-I_3$ on $K(w_1, w_2, w_3)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \pm \sigma_{4A} : w_1 &\mapsto -\frac{1}{w_1}, \quad w_2 \mapsto -w_2, \quad w_3 \mapsto \pm w_3, \quad \pm \lambda_1 : w_1 \mapsto -w_1, \quad w_2 \mapsto -w_2, \quad w_3 \mapsto \mp w_3, \\ -I_3 : w_1 &\mapsto w_1, \quad w_2 \mapsto w_2, \quad w_3 \mapsto -w_3. \end{aligned}$$

These actions are the same as the above on $K(z_1, z_2, z_3)$.

By Theorem 1.10, we may obtain Theorem 1.15 for the cases where $\langle \sigma_{4A}^2 \rangle \leq H$.

Case 2: $H = \langle -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_2$.

There exist two cases: $G = G_{4,3,1} = \langle \sigma_{4A}, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_2$, $G_{4,7,1} = \langle \sigma_{4A}, \lambda_1, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{D}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_2$. By Lemma 3.7, we have

$$K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{\langle -I_3 \rangle} = K(y_1, y_2, y_3)$$

where

$$y_1 = \frac{x_1 x_2 + 1}{x_1 + x_2}, \quad y_2 = \frac{x_2 x_3 + 1}{x_2 + x_3}, \quad y_3 = \frac{x_3 x_1 + 1}{x_3 + x_1}.$$

The actions of σ_{4A} , λ_1 and ρ_1 on $K(y_1, y_2, y_3)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{4A}^* : y_1 &\mapsto \frac{1}{y_1}, \quad y_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{y_3}, \quad y_3 \mapsto y_2, & \lambda_1^* : y_1 &\mapsto \frac{1}{y_1}, \quad y_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{y_2}, \quad y_3 \mapsto y_3, \\ \rho_1 : y_1 &\mapsto -y_1, \quad y_2 \mapsto -y_2, \quad y_3 \mapsto -y_3 \end{aligned}$$

where σ_{4A}^* (resp. λ_1^*) stands for that the action of σ_{4A} (resp. λ_1) on K is faithful.

By Theorem 3.1, the k -rationality of $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ is reduced to that of $K(y_2, y_3)^{\langle \sigma_{4A} \rangle}$ and $K(y_2, y_3)^{\langle \sigma_{4A}, \lambda_1 \rangle}$, which is the rationality problem of two-dimensional algebraic tori. By Theorem 1.2, they are both k -rational.

On the other hand, we have

$$K(y_1, y_2, y_3)^{\langle \rho_1 \rangle} = K(z_1, z_2, z_3)$$

where $z_1 = y_1^2$, $z_2 = y_1 y_2$, $z_3 = y_1 y_3$. The actions of σ_{4A} and λ_1 on $K(z_1, z_2, z_3)$ are given by

$$\sigma_{4A}^* : z_1 \mapsto \frac{1}{z_1}, \quad z_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{z_3}, \quad z_3 \mapsto \frac{z_2}{z_1}, \quad \lambda_1^* : z_1 \mapsto \frac{1}{z_1}, \quad z_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{z_2}, \quad z_3 \mapsto \frac{z_3}{z_1}.$$

Hence the k -rationality of $(K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G)^{\langle \rho_1 \rangle}$ for $G = G_{4,3,1}$ (resp. $G_{4,7,1}$) is reduced to the k -rationality of three-dimensional algebraic torus for $G_{4,2,2}$ (resp. $G_{4,6,3}$). By Theorem 1.3, they are all k -rational (see also the last paragraph of Section 2).

Case 3: $H = \langle -\sigma_{4A}^2 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_2$.

There exist two cases: $G = G_{4,3,1} = \langle \sigma_{4A}, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_2$, $G_{4,7,1} = \langle \sigma_{4A}, \lambda_1, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{D}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_2$. We have

$$K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{\langle -\sigma_{4A}^2 \rangle} = K(y_1, y_2, y_3)$$

where

$$y_1 = x_1, \quad y_2 = x_2, \quad y_3 = \left(x_1 + \frac{1}{x_1} + x_2 + \frac{1}{x_2} \right) \left(x_3 + \frac{1}{x_3} \right).$$

The actions of σ_{4A} , λ_1 , $-I_3$ and ρ_1 on $K(y_1, y_2, y_3)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{4A}^* : y_1 &\mapsto y_2, \quad y_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{y_1}, \quad y_3 \mapsto y_3, & \lambda_1^* : y_1 &\mapsto \frac{1}{y_1}, \quad y_2 \mapsto y_2, \quad y_3 \mapsto y_3, \\ -I_3^* : y_1 &\mapsto \frac{1}{y_1}, \quad y_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{y_2}, \quad y_3 \mapsto y_3, & \rho_1 : y_1 &\mapsto -y_1, \quad y_2 \mapsto -y_2, \quad y_3 \mapsto y_3 \end{aligned}$$

where σ_{4A}^* (resp. λ_1^* , $-I_3^*$) stands for that the action of σ_{4A} (resp. λ_1 , $-I_3$) on K is faithful.

By Theorem 3.1, the k -rationality of $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ can be reduced to that of $K(y_1, y_2)^{\langle \sigma_{4A}, -I_3 \rangle}$ and $K(y_1, y_2)^{\langle \sigma_{4A}, \lambda_1, -I_3 \rangle}$, which is the rationality problem of two-dimensional algebraic tori. By Theorem 1.2, they are both k -rational.

On the other hand, we have

$$K(y_1, y_2, y_3)^{\langle \rho_1 \rangle} = K(z_1, z_2, z_3)$$

where $z_1 = y_2/y_1$, $z_2 = y_1 y_2$, $z_3 = y_3$. The actions of σ_{4A} , $-I_3$ and λ_1 on $K(z_1, z_2, z_3)$ are

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{4A}^* : z_1 &\mapsto \frac{1}{z_2}, \quad z_2 \mapsto z_1, \quad z_3 \mapsto z_3, & \lambda_1^* : z_1 &\mapsto z_2, \quad z_2 \mapsto z_1, \quad z_3 \mapsto z_3, \\ -I_3^* : z_1 &\mapsto \frac{1}{z_1}, \quad z_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{z_2}, \quad z_3 \mapsto z_3.\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.1, the k -rationality of $(K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G)^{(\rho_1)}$ is reduced to the k -rationality of $K(z_1, z_2)^{\langle \sigma_{4A}, -I_3 \rangle}$ and $K(z_1, z_2)^{\langle \sigma_{4A}, \lambda_1, -I_3 \rangle}$, which is the rationality problem of two-dimensional algebraic torus. By Theorem 1.2 again, they are all k -rational.

4.3. The 5th crystal system (I). We consider the following five groups:

$$\begin{aligned}G_{5,1,1} &= \langle \sigma_{3B} \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_3, & G_{5,2,1} &= \langle \sigma_{3B}, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_6, \\ G_{5,3,1} &= \langle \sigma_{3B}, -\alpha \rangle \simeq \mathcal{S}_3, & G_{5,4,1} &= \langle \sigma_{3B}, \alpha \rangle \simeq \mathcal{S}_3, & G_{5,5,1} &= \langle \sigma_{3B}, \alpha, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{D}_6.\end{aligned}$$

The actions of σ_{3B} , $-I_3$, α and $-\alpha$ on $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{3B} : x_1 &\mapsto x_2, \quad x_2 \mapsto x_3, \quad x_3 \mapsto x_1, & -I_3 : x_1 &\mapsto \frac{1}{x_1}, \quad x_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{x_2}, \quad x_3 \mapsto \frac{1}{x_3}, \\ \alpha : x_1 &\mapsto x_2, \quad x_2 \mapsto x_1, \quad x_3 \mapsto x_3, & -\alpha : x_1 &\mapsto \frac{1}{x_2}, \quad x_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{x_1}, \quad x_3 \mapsto \frac{1}{x_3}.\end{aligned}$$

The non-trivial proper normal subgroups H of each $G = G_{5,j,1}$ are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}G_{5,1,1} : & \text{none}, \\ G_{5,2,1} : & H = \langle \sigma_{3B} \rangle, \langle -I_3 \rangle, \\ G_{5,3,1} : & H = \langle \sigma_{3B} \rangle, \\ G_{5,4,1} : & H = \langle \sigma_{3B} \rangle, \\ G_{5,5,1} : & H = \langle \sigma_{3B} \rangle, \langle -I_3 \rangle, \langle \sigma_{3B}, \alpha \rangle, \langle \sigma_{3B}, -\alpha \rangle, \langle \sigma_{3B}, -I_3 \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Case 1: $\langle \sigma_{3B} \rangle \leq H$.

Define

$$X_1 = \frac{x_1 - 1}{x_1 + 1}, \quad X_2 = \frac{x_2 - 1}{x_2 + 1}, \quad X_3 = \frac{x_3 - 1}{x_3 + 1}.$$

Then the actions of σ_{3B} , $-I_3$, α and $-\alpha$ on $K(X_1, X_2, X_3)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{3B} : X_1 &\mapsto X_2, \quad X_2 \mapsto X_3, \quad X_3 \mapsto X_1, & -I_3 : X_1 &\mapsto -X_1, \quad X_2 \mapsto -X_2, \quad X_3 \mapsto -X_3, \\ \alpha : X_1 &\mapsto X_2, \quad X_2 \mapsto X_1, \quad X_3 \mapsto X_3, & -\alpha : X_1 &\mapsto -X_2, \quad X_2 \mapsto -X_1, \quad X_3 \mapsto -X_3\end{aligned}$$

and σ_{3B} acts on K trivially. It follows from Lemma 3.8 that

$$K(X_1, X_2, X_3)^{\langle \sigma_{3B} \rangle} = K(y_1, y_2, y_3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}y_1 &= X_1 + X_2 + X_3, \\ y_2 &= \frac{X_1 X_2^2 + X_2 X_3^2 + X_3 X_1^2 - 3X_1 X_2 X_3}{X_1^2 + X_2^2 + X_3^2 - X_1 X_2 - X_2 X_3 - X_3 X_1}, \\ y_3 &= \frac{X_1^2 X_2 + X_2^2 X_3 + X_3^2 X_1 - 3X_1 X_2 X_3}{X_1^2 + X_2^2 + X_3^2 - X_1 X_2 - X_2 X_3 - X_3 X_1}.\end{aligned}$$

The actions of $-I_3$, α and $-\alpha$ on $K(y_1, y_2, y_3)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}-I_3 : y_1 &\mapsto -y_1, \quad y_2 \mapsto -y_2, \quad y_3 \mapsto -y_3, & \alpha : y_1 &\mapsto y_1, \quad y_2 \mapsto y_3, \quad y_3 \mapsto y_2, \\ -\alpha : y_1 &\mapsto -y_1, \quad y_2 \mapsto -y_3, \quad y_3 \mapsto -y_2.\end{aligned}$$

Define

$$Y_2 = \frac{y_2 - 1}{y_2 + 1}, \quad Y_3 = \frac{y_3 - 1}{y_3 + 1}.$$

Then, by Theorem 3.1, the k -rationality of $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ for each $G = G_{5,j,1}$ is reduced to that of $K(Y_2, Y_3)^G$, which is the rationality problem of two-dimensional purely quasi-monomial actions. By Theorem 1.11, they are all k -rational.

Case 2: $H = \langle -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_2$.

There exist only two cases $G = G_{5,2,1} = \langle \sigma_{3B}, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_6$, $G_{5,5,1} = \langle \sigma_{3B}, \alpha, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{D}_6$. By Lemma 3.7, we have

$$K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{\langle -I_3 \rangle} = K(y_1, y_2, y_3)$$

where

$$y_1 = \frac{x_1 x_2 + 1}{x_1 + x_2}, \quad y_2 = \frac{x_2 x_3 + 1}{x_2 + x_3}, \quad y_3 = \frac{x_3 x_1 + 1}{x_3 + x_1}.$$

The actions of σ_{3B} and α on $K(y_1, y_2, y_3)$ are given by

$$\sigma_{3B}^* : y_1 \mapsto y_2, \quad y_2 \mapsto y_3, \quad y_3 \mapsto y_1, \quad \alpha^* : y_1 \mapsto y_1, \quad y_2 \mapsto y_3, \quad y_3 \mapsto y_2.$$

Since $\langle \sigma_{3B} \rangle$ and $\langle \sigma_{3B}, \alpha \rangle$ act on K faithfully, the k -rationality of $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ for $G_{5,2,1}$ (resp. $G_{5,5,1}$) is reduced to the rationality problem of three-dimensional algebraic torus corresponding to $G_{5,1,1}$ (resp. $G_{5,4,1}$). By Theorem 1.3, they are both k -rational.

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.16

We consider the following 15 groups $G_{7,j,k}$ ($1 \leq j \leq 5$, $1 \leq k \leq 3$) which belong to the 7th crystal system in dimension 3:

$$\begin{aligned} G_{7,1,k} &= \langle \tau_k, \lambda_k, \sigma_{3B} \rangle && \simeq \mathcal{A}_4 && \simeq (\mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2) \rtimes \mathcal{C}_3, \\ G_{7,2,k} &= \langle \tau_k, \lambda_k, \sigma_{3B}, -I_3 \rangle && \simeq \mathcal{A}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_2 && \simeq (\mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2) \rtimes \mathcal{C}_3, \\ G_{7,3,k} &= \langle \tau_k, \lambda_k, \sigma_{3B}, -\beta_k \rangle && \simeq \mathcal{S}_4 && \simeq (\mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2) \rtimes \mathcal{S}_3, \\ G_{7,4,k} &= \langle \tau_k, \lambda_k, \sigma_{3B}, \beta_k \rangle && \simeq \mathcal{S}_4 && \simeq (\mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2) \rtimes \mathcal{S}_3, \\ G_{7,5,k} &= \langle \tau_k, \lambda_k, \sigma_{3B}, \beta_k, -I_3 \rangle && \simeq \mathcal{S}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_2 && \simeq (\mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2) \rtimes \mathcal{S}_3. \end{aligned}$$

The non-trivial proper normal subgroups H of each $G = G_{7,j,k}$ ($1 \leq k \leq 3$) are:

$$\begin{aligned} G_{7,1,k} : H &= \langle \tau_k, \lambda_k \rangle, \\ G_{7,2,k} : H &= \langle \tau_k, \lambda_k \rangle, \langle \tau_k, \lambda_k, \sigma_{3B} \rangle, \langle -I_3 \rangle, \langle \tau_k, \lambda_k, -I_3 \rangle, \\ G_{7,3,k} : H &= \langle \tau_k, \lambda_k \rangle, \langle \tau_k, \lambda_k, \sigma_{3B} \rangle, \\ G_{7,4,k} : H &= \langle \tau_k, \lambda_k \rangle, \langle \tau_k, \lambda_k, \sigma_{3B} \rangle, \\ G_{7,5,k} : H &= \langle \tau_k, \lambda_k \rangle, \langle \tau_k, \lambda_k, \sigma_{3B} \rangle, \langle -I_3 \rangle, \langle \tau_k, \lambda_k, -I_3 \rangle, \\ &\quad \langle \tau_k, \lambda_k, \sigma_{3B}, \beta_k \rangle, \langle \tau_k, \lambda_k, \sigma_{3B}, -\beta_k \rangle, \langle \tau_k, \lambda_k, \sigma_{3B}, -I_3 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

5.1. The 7th crystal system (I), (II). Let $P_1 \in GL_3(\mathbb{Z})$ be the matrix defined as Equation (1) in Lemma 3.14. Then we may confirm that

$$G_{7,j,2} \sim P_1^{-1} G_{7,j,1} P_1 \quad \text{for each } 1 \leq j \leq 5$$

where \sim means $GL_3(\mathbb{Z})$ -conjugation. Let ρ_1 be K -automorphism on $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ defined by

$$\rho_1 : x_1 \mapsto -x_1, \quad x_2 \mapsto -x_2, \quad x_3 \mapsto -x_3$$

as in Lemma 3.14. By Lemma 3.14, it suffices to prove the k -rationality of $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ and $(K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G)^{(\rho_1)}$ for $G = G_{7,j,1}$ ($1 \leq j \leq 5$) which belong to the 7th crystal system (I).

Case 1: $\langle \tau_1, \lambda_1 \rangle \leq H$.

The actions of $\tau_1, \lambda_1, \sigma_{3B}, -I_3, \beta_1$ and ρ_1 on $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1 : x_1 &\mapsto \frac{1}{x_1}, \quad x_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{x_2}, \quad x_3 \mapsto x_3, & \lambda_1 : x_1 &\mapsto \frac{1}{x_1}, \quad x_2 \mapsto x_2, \quad x_3 \mapsto \frac{1}{x_3}, \\ \sigma_{3B} : x_1 &\mapsto x_2, \quad x_2 \mapsto x_3, \quad x_3 \mapsto x_1, & -I_3 : x_1 &\mapsto \frac{1}{x_1}, \quad x_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{x_2}, \quad x_3 \mapsto \frac{1}{x_3}, \\ \beta_1 : x_1 &\mapsto \frac{1}{x_2}, \quad x_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{x_1}, \quad x_3 \mapsto x_3, & \rho_1 : x_1 &\mapsto -x_1, \quad x_2 \mapsto -x_2, \quad x_3 \mapsto -x_3. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.9, we have

$$K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{\langle \tau_1, \lambda_1 \rangle} = K(y_1, y_2, y_3)$$

where

$$y_1 = \frac{-x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - x_1x_2x_3}{1 - x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 - x_3x_1}, \quad y_2 = \frac{x_1 - x_2 + x_3 - x_1x_2x_3}{1 - x_1x_2 - x_2x_3 + x_3x_1}, \quad y_3 = \frac{x_1 + x_2 - x_3 - x_1x_2x_3}{1 + x_1x_2 - x_2x_3 - x_3x_1}.$$

The actions of $\sigma_{3B}, -I_3, \beta_1$ and ρ_1 on $K(y_1, y_2, y_3)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{3B} : y_1 &\mapsto y_2, \quad y_2 \mapsto y_3, \quad y_3 \mapsto y_1, & -I_3 : y_1 &\mapsto \frac{1}{y_1}, \quad y_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{y_2}, \quad y_3 \mapsto \frac{1}{y_3}, \\ \beta_1 : y_1 &\mapsto y_2, \quad y_2 \mapsto y_1, \quad y_3 \mapsto y_3, & \rho_1 : y_1 &\mapsto -y_1, \quad y_2 \mapsto -y_2, \quad y_3 \mapsto -y_3. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the k -rationality of $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{G_{7,j,1}}$ is reduced to that of $K(y_1, y_2, y_3)^{G_{5,j,1}}$. By Theorem 1.15, they are all k -rational.

On the other hand, we have $K(y_1, y_2, y_3)^{(\rho_1)} = K(z_1, z_2, z_3)$ where $z_1 = y_2y_3, z_2 = y_1y_3, z_3 = y_1y_2$. The actions of $\sigma_{3B}, -I_3$ and β_1 on $K(z_1, z_2, z_3)$ are the same as the actions on $K(y_1, y_2, y_3)$. Hence the k -rationality of $(K(y_1, y_2, y_3)^{G_{7,j,1}})^{(\rho_1)}$ is reduced to the k -rationality of $K(z_1, z_2, z_3)^{G_{5,j,1}}$. By Theorem 1.15 again, they are all k -rational.

Case 2: $H = \langle -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_2$.

There exist two cases: $G = G_{7,2,1} = \langle \tau_1, \lambda_1, \sigma_{3B}, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{A}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_2$, $G_{7,5,1} = \langle \tau_1, \lambda_1, \sigma_{3B}, \beta_1, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{S}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_2$. By Lemma 3.7, we have

$$K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{\langle -I_3 \rangle} = K(y_1, y_2, y_3)$$

where

$$y_1 = \frac{x_1x_2 + 1}{x_1 + x_2}, \quad y_2 = \frac{x_2x_3 + 1}{x_2 + x_3}, \quad y_3 = \frac{x_3x_1 + 1}{x_3 + x_1}.$$

The actions of $\tau_1, \lambda_1, \sigma_{3B}, \beta_1$ and ρ_1 on $K(y_1, y_2, y_3)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1^* : y_1 &\mapsto y_1, \quad y_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{y_2}, \quad y_3 \mapsto \frac{1}{y_3}, & \lambda_1^* : y_1 &\mapsto \frac{1}{y_1}, \quad y_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{y_2}, \quad y_3 \mapsto y_3, \\ \sigma_{3B}^* : y_1 &\mapsto y_2, \quad y_2 \mapsto y_3, \quad y_3 \mapsto y_1, & \beta_1^* : y_1 &\mapsto y_1, \quad y_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{y_3}, \quad y_3 \mapsto \frac{1}{y_2}, \\ \rho_1 : y_1 &\mapsto -y_1, \quad y_2 \mapsto -y_2, \quad y_3 \mapsto -y_3. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, as in Case 1, the k -rationality of $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ and $(K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G)^{(\rho_1)}$ for $G = G_{7,2,1}$ (resp. $G_{7,5,1}$) can be reduced to the k -rationality of three-dimensional algebraic torus for $G_{7,1,k}$ (resp. $G_{7,4,k}$) with $k = 1, 2$. By Theorem 1.3, they are all k -rational.

5.2. **The 7th crystal system (III).** We treat the remaining five cases:

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{7,1,3} &= \langle \tau_3, \lambda_3, \sigma_{3B} \rangle && \simeq \mathcal{A}_4 && \simeq (\mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2) \rtimes \mathcal{C}_3, \\
G_{7,2,3} &= \langle \tau_3, \lambda_3, \sigma_{3B}, -I_3 \rangle && \simeq \mathcal{A}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_2 && \simeq (\mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2) \rtimes \mathcal{C}_3, \\
G_{7,3,3} &= \langle \tau_3, \lambda_3, \sigma_{3B}, -\beta_3 \rangle && \simeq \mathcal{S}_4 && \simeq (\mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2) \rtimes \mathcal{S}_3, \\
G_{7,4,3} &= \langle \tau_3, \lambda_3, \sigma_{3B}, \beta_3 \rangle && \simeq \mathcal{S}_4 && \simeq (\mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2) \rtimes \mathcal{S}_3, \\
G_{7,5,3} &= \langle \tau_3, \lambda_3, \sigma_{3B}, \beta_3, -I_3 \rangle && \simeq \mathcal{S}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_2 && \simeq (\mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2) \rtimes \mathcal{S}_3.
\end{aligned}$$

The actions of τ_3 , λ_3 , σ_{3B} , $-\beta_3$, β_3 and $-I_3$ on $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_3 : x_1 \mapsto x_2, \quad x_2 \mapsto x_1, \quad x_3 \mapsto \frac{1}{x_1 x_2 x_3}, & \quad \lambda_3 : x_1 \mapsto x_3, \quad x_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{x_1 x_2 x_3}, \quad x_3 \mapsto x_1, \\
\sigma_{3B} : x_1 \mapsto x_2, \quad x_2 \mapsto x_3, \quad x_3 \mapsto x_1, & \quad -\beta_3 : x_1 \mapsto \frac{1}{x_1}, \quad x_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{x_2}, \quad x_3 \mapsto x_1 x_2 x_3, \\
\beta_3 : x_1 \mapsto x_1, \quad x_2 \mapsto x_2, \quad x_3 \mapsto \frac{1}{x_1 x_2 x_3}, & \quad -I_3 : x_1 \mapsto \frac{1}{x_1}, \quad x_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{x_2}, \quad x_3 \mapsto \frac{1}{x_3}.
\end{aligned}$$

We will split the problem into seven cases according to each $H \leq G_{7,j,3}$.

Case 1: $H = \langle -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_2 \leq G = G_{7,j,3}$, ($j = 2, 5$).

Step 1. By Lemma 3.7, we have

$$K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{\langle -I_3 \rangle} = K(u_1, u_2, u_3)$$

where

$$u_1 = \frac{x_1 x_2 + 1}{x_1 + x_2}, \quad u_2 = \frac{x_2 x_3 + 1}{x_2 + x_3}, \quad u_3 = \frac{x_1 x_3 + 1}{x_1 + x_3}.$$

Define

$$v_1 = \frac{u_1 + 1}{u_1 - 1}, \quad v_2 = \frac{u_2 + 1}{u_2 - 1}, \quad v_3 = \frac{u_3 + 1}{u_3 - 1}.$$

Then $K(u_1, u_2, u_3) = K(v_1, v_2, v_3)$ and the actions of τ_3 , λ_3 , σ_{3B} and $-\beta_3$ on $K(v_1, v_2, v_3)$ are

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_3^* : v_1 \mapsto v_1, \quad v_2 \mapsto -\frac{v_1 v_2 + v_1 v_3 + v_2 v_3 + v_1 v_2 v_3}{v_2(1 + v_1 + v_2 + v_3)}, \quad v_3 \mapsto -\frac{v_1 v_2 + v_1 v_3 + v_2 v_3 + v_1 v_2 v_3}{v_3(1 + v_1 + v_2 + v_3)}, \\
\lambda_3^* : v_1 \mapsto -\frac{v_1 v_2 + v_1 v_3 + v_2 v_3 + v_1 v_2 v_3}{v_1(1 + v_1 + v_2 + v_3)}, \quad v_2 \mapsto -\frac{v_1 v_2 + v_1 v_3 + v_2 v_3 + v_1 v_2 v_3}{v_2(1 + v_1 + v_2 + v_3)}, \quad v_3 \mapsto v_3, \\
\sigma_{3B}^* : v_1 \mapsto v_2, \quad v_2 \mapsto v_3, \quad v_3 \mapsto v_1, \\
-\beta_3^* : v_1 \mapsto v_1, \quad v_2 \mapsto -\frac{v_1 v_2 + v_1 v_3 + v_2 v_3 + v_1 v_2 v_3}{v_3(1 + v_1 + v_2 + v_3)}, \quad v_3 \mapsto -\frac{v_1 v_2 + v_1 v_3 + v_2 v_3 + v_1 v_2 v_3}{v_2(1 + v_1 + v_2 + v_3)}.
\end{aligned}$$

We also define

$$\begin{aligned}
t_1 &= \frac{2v_2(v_1 + 1)(v_1 + v_2)(v_1 + v_3)}{(v_1 - v_2)(2v_1 v_2 + v_1^2 v_2 + v_1 v_2^2 + v_1 v_3 + v_2 v_3 + 2v_1 v_2 v_3)}, \\
t_2 &= -\frac{(v_1 + v_3)(-2v_2 - v_1 v_2 - v_2^2 + v_1 v_3 - v_2 v_3)}{(v_2 + v_3)(2v_1 + v_1^2 + v_1 v_2 + v_1 v_3 - v_2 v_3)}, \\
t_3 &= \frac{2v_1(v_2 + 1)(v_1 + v_2)(v_2 + v_3)}{(v_1 - v_2)(2v_1 v_2 + v_1^2 v_2 + v_1 v_2^2 + v_1 v_3 + v_2 v_3 + 2v_1 v_2 v_3)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we may see that $K(v_1, v_2, v_3) = K(t_1, t_2, t_3)$. This may be confirmed directly as

$$\begin{aligned}
v_1 &= \frac{(t_1 - t_3 + 2)(t_1 + t_3 + 2)(t_1 - t_2 t_3)}{D}, \\
v_2 &= \frac{(t_1 - t_3 + 2)(t_1 + t_3 - 2)(t_1 - t_2 t_3)}{D},
\end{aligned}$$

$$v_3 = \frac{(t_2 - 1)(2 - t_1 - t_3)(2 + t_1 + t_3)(t_1 - t_2 t_3)}{(t_2 + 1)D}$$

where $D = 4t_1 - t_1^3 + 4t_2 t_3 + t_1^2 t_2 t_3 + t_1 t_3^2 - t_2 t_3^3$. We also see that

$$\begin{aligned} t_1 &= -\frac{(x_1 x_2 + 1)(x_1 x_3 - 1)(x_2 x_3 - 1)}{(x_1 - x_3)(x_1 x_2^2 x_3 - 1)}, \\ t_2 &= \frac{(x_2 x_3 - 1)(1 - 2x_1 + x_1 x_2 + x_1 x_3 - 2x_1 x_2 x_3 + x_1^2 x_2 x_3)}{(x_1 x_2 - 1)(1 - 2x_3 + x_1 x_3 + x_2 x_3 - 2x_1 x_2 x_3 + x_1 x_2 x_3^2)}, \\ t_3 &= -\frac{(x_1 x_2 - 1)(x_1 x_3 - 1)(x_2 x_3 + 1)}{(x_1 - x_3)(x_1 x_2^2 x_3 - 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$p'_1 = \frac{-4t_2^2 + t_1^2 t_2^2 - 2t_1 t_2^3 t_3 - t_3^2 + 2t_2^2 t_3^2}{(t_1 t_2 - t_3)^2}, \quad p_2 = -\frac{2}{t_1 t_2 - t_3}, \quad p_3 = \frac{1}{t_2}.$$

Then we have $K(t_1, t_2, t_3) = K(p'_1, p_2, p_3)$ and

$$\tau_3^*(p'_1) = p'_1, \quad \lambda_3^*(p'_1) = p'_1, \quad \sigma_{3B}^*(p'_1) = \frac{-1 + p_3^2 + p'_1 p_3^2}{p_2^2}, \quad -\beta_3^*(p'_1) = \frac{p'_1 p_3^2}{p_2^2}.$$

Hence we put

$$p_1 = \sum_{\sigma \in \langle \sigma_{3B}, -\beta_3 \rangle} \sigma(p'_1).$$

Then $K(p_1, p_2, p_3) = K(p'_1, p_2, p_3) = K(t_1, t_2, t_3)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (2) \quad & \tau_3^* : p_1 \mapsto p_1, \quad p_2 \mapsto -p_2, \quad p_3 \mapsto -p_3, \\ & \lambda_3^* : p_1 \mapsto p_1, \quad p_2 \mapsto -p_2, \quad p_3 \mapsto p_3, \\ & \sigma_{3B}^* : p_1 \mapsto p_1, \quad p_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{p_3}, \quad p_3 \mapsto \frac{p_2}{p_3}, \\ & -\beta_3^* : p_1 \mapsto p_1, \quad p_2 \mapsto -p_3, \quad p_3 \mapsto -p_2. \end{aligned}$$

Step 2. Let $K' = K(\sqrt{a})$ ($a \in k$) be a quadratic extension of K and $K'(X, Y, Z)$ be the rational function field with 3 variables X, Y, Z over K' .

We now consider the following action of $G' = \langle \gamma, \tau_3, \lambda_3, \sigma_{3B}, -\beta_3 \rangle \simeq \langle \gamma, \tau_3, \lambda_3 \rangle \rtimes \langle \sigma_{3B}, -\tau_3 \beta_3 \rangle \simeq (\mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2) \rtimes \mathcal{S}_3 \simeq \mathcal{C}_2 \wr \mathcal{S}_3$ on $K'(X, Y, Z)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^* : X &\mapsto -X, \quad Y \mapsto -Y, \quad Z \mapsto -Z, \\ \tau_3^* : X &\mapsto X, \quad Y \mapsto -Y, \quad Z \mapsto -Z, \\ \lambda_3^* : X &\mapsto X, \quad Y \mapsto -Y, \quad Z \mapsto Z, \\ \sigma_{3B}^* : X &\mapsto Z, \quad Y \mapsto X, \quad Z \mapsto Y, \\ -\beta_3^* : X &\mapsto X, \quad Y \mapsto -Z, \quad Z \mapsto -Y \end{aligned}$$

where $(K')^{(\gamma)} = K$ and $\mathcal{C}_2 \wr \mathcal{S}_3$ is the wreath product of \mathcal{C}_2 and \mathcal{S}_3 .

Define

$$P_1 = X, \quad P_2 = \frac{Y}{X}, \quad P_3 = \frac{Z}{X}.$$

Then $\gamma, \tau_3, \lambda_3, \sigma_{3B}$ and $-\beta_3$ act on $K(P_1, P_2, P_3)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^* : P_1 &\mapsto -P_1, \quad P_2 \mapsto P_2, \quad P_3 \mapsto P_3, \\ \tau_3^* : P_1 &\mapsto P_1, \quad P_2 \mapsto -P_2, \quad P_3 \mapsto -P_3, \\ \lambda_3^* : P_1 &\mapsto P_1, \quad P_2 \mapsto -P_2, \quad P_3 \mapsto P_3, \\ \sigma_{3B}^* : P_1 &\mapsto P_1 P_3, \quad P_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{P_3}, \quad P_3 \mapsto \frac{P_2}{P_3}, \end{aligned}$$

$$-\beta_3^* : P_1 \mapsto P_1, P_2 \mapsto -P_3, P_3 \mapsto -P_2.$$

We may take $K' = K(\sqrt{a})$ where $\gamma(\sqrt{a}) = -\sqrt{a}$, $\tau_3(\sqrt{a}) = \lambda_3(\sqrt{a}) = \sigma_{3B}(\sqrt{a}) = -\beta_3(\sqrt{a}) = \sqrt{a}$. Define $P'_1 = P_1\sqrt{a}$. Then we have $K'(P_1, P_2, P_3)^{\langle \gamma \rangle} = K(P'_1, P_2, P_3)$ and G acts on $K(P'_1, P_2, P_3)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_3^* : P'_1 &\mapsto P'_1, P_2 \mapsto -P_2, P_3 \mapsto -P_3, \\ \lambda_3^* : P'_1 &\mapsto P'_1, P_2 \mapsto -P_2, P_3 \mapsto P_3, \\ \sigma_{3B}^* : P'_1 &\mapsto P'_1 P_3, P_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{P_3}, P_3 \mapsto \frac{P_2}{P_3}, \\ -\beta_3^* : P'_1 &\mapsto P'_1, P_2 \mapsto -P_3, P_3 \mapsto -P_2. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.4, there exists $P''_1 \in K(P'_1, P_2, P_3)$ such that $K(P'_1, P_2, P_3) = K(P''_1, P_2, P_3)$ and $\sigma(P''_1) = P''_1$ for any $\sigma \in G$. Hence the action of G on $K(P''_1, P_2, P_3)$ coincides with that on $K(p_1, p_2, p_3)$ given in (2).

On the other hand, $K(P'_1, P_2, P_3)^G = K'(X, Y, Z)^{G'}$ is k -rational by Theorem 3.3 (or Theorem 3.4). This implies that $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G = K(p_1, p_2, p_3)^{G/H}$ is k -rational for $G = G_{7,2,3}$ and $G_{7,5,3}$.

Case 2: $H = \langle \tau_3, \lambda_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2 \leq G = G_{7,j,3}$, ($j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$).

By Lemma 3.10, we have $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{\langle \tau_3, \lambda_3 \rangle} = K(u_1, u_2, u_3)$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{3B}^* : u_1 &\mapsto u_2, u_2 \mapsto u_3, u_3 \mapsto u_1, \\ -\beta_3^* : u_1 &\mapsto \frac{-u_1 + u_2 + u_3}{u_2 u_3}, u_2 \mapsto \frac{u_1 + u_2 - u_3}{u_1 u_2}, u_3 \mapsto \frac{u_1 - u_2 + u_3}{u_1 u_3}, \\ \beta_3^* : u_1 &\mapsto u_1, u_2 \mapsto u_3, u_3 \mapsto u_2, \\ -I_3^* : u_1 &\mapsto \frac{-u_1 + u_2 + u_3}{u_2 u_3}, u_2 \mapsto \frac{u_1 - u_2 + u_3}{u_1 u_3}, u_3 \mapsto \frac{u_1 + u_2 - u_3}{u_1 u_2}. \end{aligned}$$

(see also [HKY11, page 106]). For $G = G_{7,1,3} = \langle \tau_3, \lambda_3, \sigma_{3B} \rangle$ and $G_{7,4,3} = \langle \tau_3, \lambda_3, \sigma_{3B}, \beta_3 \rangle$, it follows from Theorem 3.3 (or Theorem 3.4) that $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ is k -rational.

However, we do not know the rationality of $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ for $G = G_{7,2,3}, G_{7,3,3}, G_{7,5,3}$.

Case 3: $H = \langle \tau_3, \lambda_3, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2 \leq G = G_{7,j,3}$, ($j = 2, 5$).

By Lemma 3.10, we have $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{\langle \tau_3, \lambda_3 \rangle} = K(u_1, u_2, u_3)$ where u_1, u_2, u_3 and the actions of $\sigma_{3B}, -\beta_3, \beta_3, -I_3$ on $K(u_1, u_2, u_3)$ are given as in Case 2. We see that $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{\langle \tau_3, \lambda_3, -I_3 \rangle} = K(t_1, t_2, t_3)$ where $t_i = u_i + (-I_3)(u_i)$ ($1 \leq i \leq 3$). The actions of σ_{3B} and β_3 on $K(t_1, t_2, t_3)$ are given by

$$(3) \quad \sigma_{3B}^* : t_1 \mapsto t_2, t_2 \mapsto t_3, t_3 \mapsto t_1, \quad \beta_3^* : t_1 \mapsto t_1, t_2 \mapsto t_3, t_3 \mapsto t_2.$$

(see [HKY11, page 106]). By Theorem 3.3 (or Theorem 3.4), $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ is k -rational for $G = G_{7,2,3}, G_{7,5,3}$.

Case 4: $H = \langle \tau_3, \lambda_3, \sigma_{3B} \rangle \simeq \mathcal{A}_4 \leq G = G_{7,j,3}$, ($j = 2, 3, 4, 5$).

By [HK10, page 290], there exist $A, B, C \in K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{\langle \sigma_{3B} \rangle}$ such that $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{\langle \tau_3, \lambda_3, \sigma_{3B} \rangle} = K(u_1, u_2, u_3)^{\langle \sigma_{3B} \rangle} = K(A, B, C)$ and $-\beta_3, \beta_3, -I_3$ act on $K(A, B, C)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} -\beta_3^* : A &\mapsto \frac{-A + 5C - 7AC^2 + 27C^3}{1 - AC + 7C^2 + AC^3}, \quad B \mapsto \frac{4(1 - AC + 7C^2 + AC^3)}{aB(1 - A^2 + 4AC)(1 + 3C^2)}, \quad C \mapsto C, \\ \beta_3^* : A &\mapsto -A, \quad B \mapsto -B, \quad C \mapsto -C, \\ -I_3^* : A &\mapsto -\frac{-A + 5C - 7AC^2 + 27C^3}{1 - AC + 7C^2 + AC^3}, \quad B \mapsto -\frac{4(1 - AC + 7C^2 + AC^3)}{aB(1 - A^2 + 4AC)(1 + 3C^2)}, \quad C \mapsto -C. \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$s_1 = C, \quad s_2 = \frac{1 - AC + 7C^2 + AC^3}{1 + 3C^2}, \quad s_3 = \frac{2C(C^2 - 1)(1 + 3C^2)}{B(1 - AC + 7C^2 + AC^3)}.$$

Then $K(A, B, C) = K(s_1, s_2, s_3)$ and $-\beta_3, \beta_3, -I_3$ act on $K(s_1, s_2, s_3)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} -\beta_3^* : s_1 &\mapsto s_1, \quad s_2 \mapsto \frac{1 + 3s_1^2}{s_2}, \quad s_3 \mapsto \frac{-1 - 6s_1^2 - 9s_1^4 + 2s_2 + 10s_1^2s_2 + 4s_1^4s_2 - s_2^2 - 3s_1^2s_2^2}{s_2s_3}, \\ (4) \quad \beta_3^* : s_1 &\mapsto -s_1, \quad s_2 \mapsto s_2, \quad s_3 \mapsto s_3, \\ -I_3^* : s_1 &\mapsto -s_1, \quad s_2 \mapsto \frac{1 + 3s_1^2}{s_2}, \quad s_3 \mapsto \frac{-1 - 6s_1^2 - 9s_1^4 + 2s_2 + 10s_1^2s_2 + 4s_1^4s_2 - s_2^2 - 3s_1^2s_2^2}{s_2s_3}. \end{aligned}$$

When $G = G_{7,4,3} = \langle H, \beta_3 \rangle$, it follows from Theorem 3.3 (or Theorem 3.4) that $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G = K(s_1, s_2, s_3)^{\langle \beta_3 \rangle}$ is k -rational.

We note that

$$-\beta_3(s_3) = -I_3(s_3) = \frac{1}{s_3} \left(2(1 + 5s_1^2 + 2s_1^4) - (1 + 3s_1^2) \left(s_2 + \frac{1 + 3s_1^2}{s_2} \right) \right).$$

We will consider the rationality for the cases of $G_{7,2,3}$, $G_{7,3,3}$, $G_{7,5,3}$ in Section 6.

Case 5: $H = \langle \tau_3, \lambda_3, \sigma_{3B}, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{A}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_2 \leq G = G_{7,5,3}$.

From Equation (3) and Lemma 3.8, $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{\langle \tau_3, \lambda_3, \sigma_{3B}, -I_3 \rangle} = K(s_1, u, v)$ where $s_1 = s_1(t_1, t_2, t_3)$, $u = u(t_1, t_2, t_3)$ and $v = v(t_1, t_2, t_3)$. The action of β_3 on $K(s_1, u, v) = k(\gamma)(s_1, u, v)$ is given by

$$\beta_3 : \gamma \mapsto -\gamma, \quad s_1 \mapsto s_1, \quad u \mapsto v, \quad v \mapsto u.$$

Hence $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G = k(s_1, u + v, \gamma(u - v))$ is k -rational for $G = G_{7,5,3}$.

Case 6: $H = \langle \tau_3, \lambda_3, \sigma_{3B}, -\beta_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{S}_4 \leq G = G_{7,5,3}$.

By Equation (4), we have $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^H = K(s_1, s_2, s_3)^{\langle -\beta_3 \rangle} = k(\delta)(s_1, s_2, s_3)^{\langle -\beta_3 \rangle}$ on which $-\beta_3$ acts by

$$\begin{aligned} -\beta_3 : \delta &\mapsto -\delta, \quad s_1 \mapsto s_1, \quad s_2 \mapsto \frac{1 + 3s_1^2}{s_2}, \\ s_3 &\mapsto \frac{-1 - 6s_1^2 - 9s_1^4 + 2s_2 + 10s_1^2s_2 + 4s_1^4s_2 - s_2^2 - 3s_1^2s_2^2}{s_2s_3}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.6, we get $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^H = K(t_1, t_2, t_3)$ where

$$t_1 = s_1, \quad t_2 = \frac{s_2 - \frac{a}{s_2}}{s_2s_3 - \frac{ab}{s_2s_3}}, \quad t_3 = \frac{s_3 - \frac{b}{s_3}}{s_2s_3 - \frac{ab}{s_2s_3}}, \quad a = 1 + 3s_1^2,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
b &= \frac{-1 - 6s_1^2 - 9s_1^4 + 2s_2 + 10s_1^2s_2 + 4s_1^4s_2 - s_2^2 - 3s_1^2s_2^2}{s_2} \\
&= -A(1 + 3s_1^2) + 4s_1^2(1 + s_1^2), \\
A &= s_2 + \frac{1 + 3s_1^2}{s_2} - 2.
\end{aligned}$$

Then the action of β_3 on $K(t_1, t_2, t_3)$ is

$$\beta_3 : \gamma \mapsto -\gamma, \quad t_1 \mapsto -t_1, \quad t_2 \mapsto t_2, \quad t_3 \mapsto t_3.$$

Hence $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G = k(\gamma t_1, t_2, t_3)$ is k -rational.

Case 7: $H = \langle \tau_3, \lambda_3, \sigma_{3B}, \beta_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{S}_4 \leq G = G_{7,5,3}$.

By Equation (4), $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^H = K(t_1, t_2, t_3)$ where $t_1 = s_1^2, t_2 = s_2, t_3 = s_3$. Define

$$u_1 = \frac{1 + 3t_1}{t_2} + t_2 - 4, \quad u_2 = \frac{1 + 3t_1}{t_2} - t_2, \quad u_3 = 6t_3.$$

Then $K(t_1, t_2, t_3) = K(u_1, u_2, u_3) = k(\delta)(u_1, u_2, u_3)$ and

$$-\beta_3 : \delta \mapsto -\delta, \quad u_1 \mapsto u_1, \quad u_2 \mapsto -u_2, \quad u_3 \mapsto \frac{10u_1^2 + 7u_1^3 + u_1^4 - 18u_2^2 - 7u_1u_2^2 - 2u_1^2u_2^2 + u_2^4}{u_3}.$$

Define

$$v_1 = \frac{7u_1 + 2u_1^2 - 2u_2^2}{3u_1}, \quad v_2 = \frac{2u_2}{\delta u_1}, \quad v_3 = \frac{2u_3}{3u_1}.$$

Then $K(u_1, u_2, u_3) = K(v_1, v_2, v_3)$ and

$$-\beta_3 : \delta \mapsto -\delta, \quad v_1 \mapsto v_1, \quad v_2 \mapsto v_2, \quad v_3 \mapsto \frac{-1 + v_1^2 - 2dv_2^2}{v_3}$$

where $d = \delta^2 \in k$. By Lemma 3.12, we have $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G = K(X, Y, v_1, v_2)$ where $X^2 - dY^2 = -1 + v_1^2 - 2dv_2^2$. Hence $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G = k(X + v_1, Y, v_2)$ is k -rational.

6. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1.17

We consider the three cases:

$$G_{7,2,3} = \langle H, -I_3 \rangle, \quad G_{7,3,3} = \langle H, -\beta_3 \rangle, \quad G_{7,5,3} = \langle H, \beta_3, -I_3 \rangle$$

where $H = \langle \tau_3, \lambda_3, \sigma_{3B} \rangle \simeq \mathcal{A}_4$.

Proof of Proposition 1.17 (1).

We consider the case where $G = G_{7,2,3} = \langle H, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{A}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_2$.

By Equation (4), we have $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^H = K(s_1, s_2, s_3)$ and $-\beta_3, \beta_3, -I_3$ act on $K(s_1, s_2, s_3)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
-\beta_3^* : s_1 &\mapsto s_1, \quad s_2 \mapsto \frac{1 + 3s_1^2}{s_2}, \quad s_3 \mapsto \frac{-1 - 6s_1^2 - 9s_1^4 + 2s_2 + 10s_1^2s_2 + 4s_1^4s_2 - s_2^2 - 3s_1^2s_2^2}{s_2s_3}, \\
\beta_3^* : s_1 &\mapsto -s_1, \quad s_2 \mapsto s_2, \quad s_3 \mapsto s_3, \\
-I_3^* : s_1 &\mapsto -s_1, \quad s_2 \mapsto \frac{1 + 3s_1^2}{s_2}, \quad s_3 \mapsto \frac{-1 - 6s_1^2 - 9s_1^4 + 2s_2 + 10s_1^2s_2 + 4s_1^4s_2 - s_2^2 - 3s_1^2s_2^2}{s_2s_3}.
\end{aligned}$$

Define

$$t_1 = \frac{1 + 3s_1^2 - 2s_2 - 2s_1^2s_2 + s_2^2}{(1 + s_1 - s_2)(1 + 3s_1^2 - s_2 - s_1s_2)},$$

$$t_2 = \frac{s_1(1 + 3s_1^2 - s_2^2)}{1 + 3s_1^2 - 2s_2 - 2s_1^2s_2 + s_2^2},$$

$$t_3 = \frac{2(1 + 3s_1^2 - 2s_2 + s_2^2)s_3}{(1 + s_1 - s_2)(1 + 3s_1^2 - 2s_2 - 2s_1^2s_2 + s_2^2)}.$$

Then $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^H = K(s_1, s_2, s_3) = K(t_1, t_2, t_3)$ because

$$s_1 = \frac{1 - t_1^2 + t_1^2 t_2^2}{-1 + 4t_1 - t_1^2 + t_1^2 t_2^2},$$

$$s_2 = \frac{2(-1 + 2t_1 - 2t_1^2 + t_1^3 + 2t_1^2 t_2 - t_1^3 t_2 - t_1^3 t_2^2 + t_1^3 t_2^3)}{-1 + 5t_1 - 5t_1^2 + t_1^3 - t_1 t_2 + 4t_1^2 t_2 - t_1^3 t_2 + t_1^2 t_2^2 - t_1^3 t_2^2 + t_1^3 t_2^3},$$

$$s_3 = \frac{2(t_1 t_3 - t_1^3 t_3 + t_1^3 t_2^2 t_3)}{(1 - t_1 + t_1 t_2)(-1 + 4t_1 - t_1^2 + t_1^2 t_2^2)^2}.$$

The actions of $-\beta_3, \beta_3, -I_3$ on $K(t_1, t_2, t_3)$ are given by

$$-\beta_3^* : t_1 \mapsto t_1, \quad t_2 \mapsto -t_2, \quad t_3 \mapsto \frac{2(5 - t_2^2 + 8(t_2^2 - 1)t_1 - (t_2^2 - 1)(5 - t_2^2)t_1^2)}{t_3},$$

$$\beta_3^* : t_1 \mapsto -\frac{1}{t_1(t_2^2 - 1)}, \quad t_2 \mapsto -t_2, \quad t_3 \mapsto -\frac{t_3}{t_1(t_2 - 1)},$$

$$-I_3^* : t_1 \mapsto -\frac{1}{t_1(t_2^2 - 1)}, \quad t_2 \mapsto t_2, \quad t_3 \mapsto \frac{2(5 - t_2^2 + 8(t_2^2 - 1)t_1 - (t_2^2 - 1)(5 - t_2^2)t_1^2)}{t_1(t_2 + 1)t_3}.$$

We also define

$$u_1 = \frac{3 + t_2^2}{4 - 5t_1 + t_1 t_2^2}, \quad u_2 = t_2, \quad u_3 = -\frac{(5 - t_2^2)t_3}{4 - 5t_1 + t_1 t_2^2}.$$

Then $K(t_1, t_2, t_3) = K(u_1, u_2, u_3)$ and $-\beta_3, \beta_3, -I_3$ act on $K(u_1, u_2, u_3)$ by

$$-\beta_3^* : u_1 \mapsto u_1, \quad u_2 \mapsto -u_2, \quad u_3 \mapsto \frac{2(5 - u_2^2)(1 + u_1^2 - u_2^2)}{u_3},$$

$$(5) \quad \beta_3^* : u_1 \mapsto -\frac{(u_2^2 - 1)(3 - 4u_1 + u_2^2)}{4 + 3u_1 - 4u_2^2 + u_1 u_2^2}, \quad u_2 \mapsto -u_2, \quad u_3 \mapsto -\frac{(u_2 + 1)(5 - u_2^2)u_3}{4 + 3u_1 - 4u_2^2 + u_1 u_2^2},$$

$$-I_3^* : u_1 \mapsto -\frac{(u_2^2 - 1)(3 - 4u_1 + u_2^2)}{4 + 3u_1 - 4u_2^2 + u_1 u_2^2}, \quad u_2 \mapsto u_2, \quad u_3 \mapsto \frac{2(u_2 - 1)(1 + u_1^2 - u_2^2)(5 - u_2^2)^2}{(4 + 3u_1 - 4u_2^2 + u_1 u_2^2)u_3}.$$

Define

$$v_1 = -\frac{4 + 3u_1 - 4u_2^2 + u_1 u_2^2}{(u_2 + 1)(5 - u_2^2)}, \quad v_2 = -\frac{u_2 - 1}{u_2 + 1}, \quad v_3 = \frac{(3 + u_2^2)u_3}{(u_2 + 1)(4 + 3u_1 - 4u_2^2 + u_1 u_2^2)}.$$

Then $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^H = K(u_1, u_2, u_3) = K(v_1, v_2, v_3)$ and $-\beta_3, \beta_3, -I_3$ act on $K(v_1, v_2, v_3)$ by

$$-\beta_3^* : v_1 \mapsto \frac{v_1}{v_2}, \quad v_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{v_2}, \quad v_3 \mapsto \frac{2(v_1^2 + v_2 + 4v_1 v_2 + 3v_1^2 v_2 + 3v_2^2 + 4v_1 v_2^2 + v_1^2 v_2^2 + v_2^3)}{v_1^2 v_2 v_3},$$

$$(6) \quad \beta_3^* : v_1 \mapsto \frac{1}{v_1}, \quad v_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{v_2}, \quad v_3 \mapsto \frac{v_1 v_3}{v_2^2},$$

$$-I_3^* : v_1 \mapsto \frac{v_2}{v_1}, \quad v_2 \mapsto v_2, \quad v_3 \mapsto \frac{2(v_1^2 + v_2 + 4v_1 v_2 + 3v_1^2 v_2 + 3v_2^2 + 4v_1 v_2^2 + v_1^2 v_2^2 + v_2^3)}{v_1 v_3}.$$

We note that

$$-I_3(v_3) = \frac{1}{v_3} \left(8v_2(v_2 + 1) + 2(1 + 3v_2 + v_2^2) \left(v_1 + \frac{v_2}{v_1} \right) \right).$$

Define

$$w_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left(v_1 + \frac{v_2}{v_1} \right), \quad w_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(v_1 - \frac{v_2}{v_1} \right), \quad w_3 = \frac{v_3}{2}.$$

Then $K(w_1, w_2, w_3) = K(v_1, v_2, v_3)$ and $-\beta_3, \beta_3, -I_3$ act on $K(w_1, w_2, w_3)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} -\beta_3^* : w_1 &\mapsto \frac{w_1}{w_1^2 - w_2^2}, \quad w_2 \mapsto \frac{w_2}{w_1^2 - w_2^2}, \\ w_3 &\mapsto \frac{w_1(1 + w_1 + w_1^2)^2 - (2 + 3w_1 + 4w_1^2 + 2w_1^3)w_2^2 + (w_1 + 2)w_2^4}{(w_1 + w_2)(w_1^2 - w_2^2)w_3}, \\ \beta_3^* : w_1 &\mapsto \frac{w_1}{w_1^2 - w_2^2}, \quad w_2 \mapsto -\frac{w_2}{w_1^2 - w_2^2}, \quad w_3 \mapsto \frac{w_3}{(w_1 - w_2)(w_1^2 - w_2^2)}, \\ -I_3^* : w_1 &\mapsto w_1, \quad w_2 \mapsto -w_2, \\ w_3 &\mapsto \frac{w_1(1 + w_1 + w_1^2)^2 - (2 + 3w_1 + 4w_1^2 + 2w_1^3)w_2^2 + (w_1 + 2)w_2^4}{w_3}. \end{aligned}$$

We may take $K = k(\sqrt{d})$ with $-I_3(\sqrt{d}) = -\sqrt{d}$. Define $W_1 = w_1, W_2 = w_2/\sqrt{d}$. By Lemma 3.12, we have $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G = k(\sqrt{d})(w_1, w_2, w_3)^{\langle -I_3 \rangle} = k(X, Y, W_1, W_2)$ where

$$X^2 - dY^2 = W_1(1 + W_1 + W_1^2)^2 - d(2 + 3W_1 + 4W_1^2 + 2W_1^3)W_2^2 + d^2(W_1 + 2)W_2^4.$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 1.17 (1). \square

Proof of Proposition 1.17 (2).

We consider the case where $G = G_{7,3,3} = \langle H, -\beta_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{S}_4$.

From Equation (5), we have $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G = K(u_1, u_2, u_3)^{\langle -\beta_3 \rangle}$ where $K = k(\sqrt{d})$ and

$$-\beta_3 : \sqrt{d} \mapsto -\sqrt{d}, \quad u_1 \mapsto u_1, \quad u_2 \mapsto -u_2, \quad u_3 \mapsto \frac{2(5 - u_2^2)(1 + u_1^2 - u_2^2)}{u_3}.$$

Now we assume that $\sqrt{5} \in k$.

Define

$$U_1 = u_1, \quad U_2 = \frac{u_2}{\sqrt{d}}, \quad U_3 = \frac{u_3}{u_2 - \sqrt{5}}.$$

Then $K(u_1, u_2, u_3) = K(U_1, U_2, U_3)$ and

$$-\beta_3 : \sqrt{d} \mapsto -\sqrt{d}, \quad U_1 \mapsto U_1, \quad U_2 \mapsto U_2, \quad U_3 \mapsto \frac{2(1 + U_1^2 - dU_2^2)}{U_3}.$$

By Lemma 3.12, we get $K(U_1, U_2, U_3)^{\langle -\beta_3 \rangle} = k(X, Y, U_1, U_2)$ where $X^2 - dY^2 = 2(1 + U_1^2 - dU_2^2)$. Hence Proposition 1.17 (2) follows from Theorem 3.11. \square

Proof of Proposition 1.17 (3).

We consider the case where $G = G_{7,5,3} = \langle H, \beta_3, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{S}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_2$.

By Equation (6), we have $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^H = K(v_1, v_2, v_3)$. Define

$$p_1 = -\frac{v_1(v_2 - 1)}{(v_1 - 1)(v_1 - v_2)}, \quad p_2 = \frac{v_1^2 - v_2}{(v_1 - 1)(v_1 - v_2)}, \quad p_3 = \frac{4v_1^2(v_1 + v_2^2)v_3}{(v_1 - v_2)^3(v_1v_2 + 1)}.$$

It follows from

$$v_1 = \frac{p_1 + p_2 + 1}{p_1 + p_2 - 1}, \quad v_2 = \frac{1 + 2p_1 + p_1^2 - p_2^2}{1 - 2p_1 + p_1^2 - p_2^2},$$

$$v_3 = -\frac{2(3p_1 + p_1^3 + p_2 + p_1^2p_2 - p_1p_2^2 - p_2^3)p_3}{(p_1 - p_2 - 1)^2(-3p_1 + 3p_1^2 - p_1^3 + p_1^4 + p_2 + 2p_1p_2 + p_1^2p_2 - p_2^2 + p_1p_2^2 - 2p_1^2p_2^2 - p_2^3 + p_2^4)}$$

that $K(v_1, v_2, v_3) = K(p_1, p_2, p_3)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_3 : \sqrt{a} &\mapsto -\sqrt{a}, \quad \sqrt{b} \mapsto \sqrt{b}, \quad p_1 \mapsto -p_1, \quad p_2 \mapsto -p_2, \quad p_3 \mapsto -p_3, \\ -I_3 : \sqrt{a} &\mapsto \sqrt{a}, \quad \sqrt{b} \mapsto -\sqrt{b}, \quad p_1 \mapsto p_1, \quad p_2 \mapsto -p_2, \end{aligned}$$

$$p_3 \mapsto \frac{-1 - 5p_1^2 - 7p_2^2 - (p_1^2 - p_2^2)(3p_1^2 + 17p_2^2) + 9(p_1^2 - p_2^2)^3}{p_3}$$

where $K = k(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b})$. Define

$$P_1 = \frac{p_1}{\sqrt{a}}, \quad P_2 = \frac{p_2}{\sqrt{a}\sqrt{b}}, \quad P_3 = \frac{p_3}{\sqrt{a}}.$$

Then, by Lemma 3.12, we have $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G = k(X, Y, P_1, P_2)$ and

$$X^2 - bY^2 = -\frac{1}{a} - 5P_1^2 - 7bP_2^2 - a(P_1^2 - bP_2^2)(3P_1^2 + 17bP_2^2) + 9a^2(P_1^2 - bP_2^2)^3.$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 1.17 (3). \square

Proof of Proposition 1.17 (4).

We assume that $\sqrt{-3} \in k$. Take $G = G_{7,2,3} = \langle H, -I_3 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{A}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_2$.

By Equation (4), we have $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^H = K(s_1, s_2, s_3)$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} t_1 &= 2s_1, \\ t_2 &= \frac{-s_1 - 7s_1^3 + 2s_1s_2 + 2s_1^3s_2 + (1 + 5s_1^2 + 2s_1^4 - s_2 - 3s_1^2s_2)\sqrt{-3}}{(s_1^2 - 1)(1 - s_2 + s_1\sqrt{-3})}, \\ t_3 &= \frac{4(s_1^2 + 1)s_2s_3}{(s_1^2 - 1)(1 - s_2 + s_1\sqrt{-3})}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^H = K(s_1, s_2, s_3) = K(t_1, t_2, t_3)$ because

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 &= \frac{t_1}{2}, \\ s_2 &= \frac{4t_1 + 7t_1^3 - 8t_2 + 2t_1^2t_2 + (-8 - 10t_1^2 - t_1^4 - 4t_1t_2 + t_1^3t_2)\sqrt{-3}}{2(4t_1 + t_1^3 - 4t_2 + t_1^2t_2 - (4 + 3t_1^2)\sqrt{-3})}, \\ s_3 &= -\frac{(t_1^2 - 4)t_1t_3(2 + t_1\sqrt{-3})}{2(-4t_1 - 7t_1^3 + 8t_2 - 2t_1^2t_2 + (8 + 10t_1^2 + t_1^4 + 4t_1t_2 - t_1^3t_2)\sqrt{-3})}. \end{aligned}$$

The action of $-I_3$ on $K(t_1, t_2, t_3)$ becomes

$$-I_3 : \sqrt{b} \mapsto -\sqrt{b}, \quad t_1 \mapsto -t_1, \quad t_2 \mapsto t_2, \quad t_3 \mapsto \frac{(t_1^2 + 4)(t_1^2 - t_2^2 + 1)}{t_3}.$$

where $K = k(\sqrt{b})$.

Now we also assume that $\sqrt{-1} \in k$. Define

$$u_1 = \frac{t_1}{\sqrt{b}}, \quad u_2 = t_2, \quad u_3 = \frac{t_3}{t_1 + 2\sqrt{-1}}.$$

Then $K(t_1, t_2, t_3) = K(u_1, u_2, u_3)$ and

$$-I_3 : \sqrt{b} \mapsto -\sqrt{b}, \quad u_1 \mapsto u_1, \quad u_2 \mapsto u_2, \quad u_3 \mapsto -\frac{bu_1^2 - u_2^2 + 1}{u_3}.$$

By Lemma 3.12, we have $K(u_1, u_2, u_3)^{\langle -I_3 \rangle} = k(X, Y, u_1, u_2)$ where $X^2 - bY^2 = -bu_1^2 + u_2^2 - 1$. Hence $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G = K(u_1, u_2, u_3)^{\langle -I_3 \rangle} = k(X, Y + u_1, u_2)$ is k -rational. \square

Proof of Proposition 1.17 (5).

We assume that $\text{char } k = 3$.

By Equation (4), we have $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^H = K(s_1, s_2, s_3)$ where $H = \langle \tau_3, \lambda_3, \sigma_{3B} \rangle$ and

$$\begin{aligned} -\beta_3^* : s_1 &\mapsto s_1, \quad s_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{s_2}, \quad s_3 \mapsto \frac{-1 - s_2 + s_1^2s_2 + s_1^4s_2 - s_2^2}{s_2s_3}, \\ \beta_3^* : s_1 &\mapsto -s_1, \quad s_2 \mapsto s_2, \quad s_3 \mapsto s_3, \end{aligned}$$

$$-I_3^* : s_1 \mapsto -s_1, \quad s_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{s_2}, \quad s_3 \mapsto \frac{-1 - s_2 + s_1^2 s_2 + s_1^4 s_2 - s_2^2}{s_2 s_3}.$$

Define

$$t_1 = s_1, \quad t_2 = \frac{(s_1^2 - 1)(s_2 - 1)}{s_1(s_2 + 1)}, \quad t_3 = \frac{s_3}{s_1(s_2 + 1)}.$$

Then $K(s_1, s_2, s_3) = K(t_1, t_2, t_3)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} -\beta_3^* : t_1 &\mapsto t_1, \quad t_2 \mapsto -t_2, \quad t_3 \mapsto \frac{t_1^2 - t_2^2 + 1}{t_3}, \\ \beta_3^* : t_1 &\mapsto -t_1, \quad t_2 \mapsto -t_2, \quad t_3 \mapsto -t_3, \\ -I_3^* : t_1 &\mapsto -t_1, \quad t_2 \mapsto t_2, \quad t_3 \mapsto -\frac{t_1^2 - t_2^2 + 1}{t_3}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{G_{7,3,3}} = K(t_1, t_2, t_3)^{\langle -\beta_3 \rangle}$ and $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{G_{7,2,3}} = K(t_1, t_2, t_3)^{\langle -I_3 \rangle}$ are k -rational. For $G_{7,5,3} = \langle H, \beta_3, -I_3 \rangle$, we may take $K = k(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b})$ with $\beta_3(\sqrt{a}) = -\sqrt{a}$, $\beta_3(\sqrt{b}) = \sqrt{b}$. Define $T_1 = \sqrt{a}t_1$, $T_2 = \sqrt{a}t_2$, $T_3 = \sqrt{a}t_3$. Then $K(t_1, t_2, t_3)^{\langle \beta_3 \rangle} = k(\sqrt{b})(T_1, T_2, T_3)$ and

$$-I_3 : \sqrt{b} \mapsto -\sqrt{b}, \quad T_1 \mapsto -T_1, \quad T_2 \mapsto T_2, \quad T_3 \mapsto -\frac{T_1^2 - T_2^2 + a}{T_3}.$$

By Lemma 3.12, we have $K(T_1, T_2, T_3)^{\langle -I_3 \rangle} = k(X, Y, U_1, U_2)$ where $U_1 = T_1/\sqrt{b}$, $U_2 = T_2$ and $X^2 - bY^2 = -bU_1^2 + U_2^2 - a$. Hence $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{G_{7,2,5}} = K(T_1, T_2, T_3)^{\langle -I_3 \rangle} = k(X, Y + U_1, U_2)$ is k -rational. \square

7. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.18

Write $M_1 = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq 3} \mathbb{Z}x_i$, $M_2 = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq 2} \mathbb{Z}y_j$ and $k(M) = k(x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2)$. Then $N_1 = \{\sigma \in G \mid \sigma(x_i) = x_i \ (i = 1, 2, 3)\}$ and $N_2 = \{\sigma \in G \mid \sigma(y_j) = y_j \ (j = 1, 2)\}$.

By Theorem 1.8, without loss of generality, we may assume that M is a faithful G -lattice, i.e. $N = \{\sigma \in G \mid \sigma(x_i) = x_i, \sigma(y_j) = y_j \ (i = 1, 2, 3, j = 1, 2)\} = N_1 \cap N_2 = \{1\}$.

Step 1. Case $(G/N_2, N_1 N_2/N_2) \not\cong (\mathcal{C}_4, \mathcal{C}_2), (\mathcal{D}_4, \mathcal{C}_2)$.

We have $k(M)^G = K(y_1, y_2)^{G/N_2}$ and G/N_2 acts on $K(y_1, y_2)$ by purely quasi-monomial k -automorphisms where $K = k(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{N_2}$. By Theorem 1.11, if $(G/N_2, N_1 N_2/N_2) \not\cong (\mathcal{C}_4, \mathcal{C}_2), (\mathcal{D}_4, \mathcal{C}_2)$, then $k(M)^G = K(y_1, y_2)^{G/N_2}$ is K^{G/N_2} -rational. It follows from Theorem 1.7 that $K^{G/N_2} = k(x_1, x_2, x_3)^G$ is k -rational. Hence $k(M)$ is k -rational if $(G/N_2, N_1 N_2/N_2) \not\cong (\mathcal{C}_4, \mathcal{C}_2), (\mathcal{D}_4, \mathcal{C}_2)$.

Step 2. Case $(G/N_1, N_1 N_2/N_1) \not\cong (G_{7,j,3}, \mathcal{A}_4), (G_{7,j,3}, \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2) \ (j = 2, 3, 5)$.

As in Step 1, we have $k(M)^G = K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{G/N_1}$ and G/N_1 acts on $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ by purely quasi-monomial k -automorphisms where $K = k(y_1, y_2)^{N_1}$. It follows from Theorem 1.6 that $K^{G/N_1} = k(y_1, y_2)^G$ is k -rational. Thus, by Theorem 1.16, if $(G/N_1, N_1 N_2/N_1) \not\cong (G_{4,j,k}, \mathcal{C}_2), (G_{4,j,k}, \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2), (G_{7,j,3}, \mathcal{A}_4), (G_{7,j,3}, \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2) \ (j = 2, 3, 5)$, then $k(M)^G$ is K^{G/N_1} -rational, and hence k -rational.

For the case where $G/N_1 = G_{4,j,k}$, the rationality does not depend on the \mathbb{Q} -conjugacy class by Theorem 1.16. Indeed, the actions of the groups which belong to 4th crystal system (I) and the groups which belong to 4th crystal system (II) on $K(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{\langle \sigma_{4A}^2 \rangle} = K(z_1, z_2, z_3)$ coincide (see Case 1 in Subsection 4.2). Hence we may assume that G/N_1 belongs to the 4th crystal system (I) and $M_1 = M_{1,1} \oplus M_{1,2}$ where $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} M_{1,1} = 1$ and $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} M_{1,2} = 2$. Therefore, it

follows from [HKK14, Proposition 5.2] that $k(M)^G$ is k -rational.

Step 3. By Steps 1 and 2, the remaining cases that we should treat are $(G/N_1, N_1N_2/N_1) \simeq (G_{7,j,3}, \mathcal{A}_4)$, $(G_{7,j,3}, \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2)$ ($j = 2, 3, 5$) and $(G/N_2, N_1N_2/N_2) \simeq (\mathcal{C}_4, \mathcal{C}_2)$, $(\mathcal{D}_4, \mathcal{C}_2)$.

Note that $N_1N_2/N_1 \simeq N_2$ and $N_1N_2/N_2 \simeq N_1$ because $N_1 \cap N_2 = \{1\}$. Thus $\#G = \#G_{7,j,3} \times \#N_1 = \#G/N_2 \times \#N_2$ where $N_1 \simeq \mathcal{C}_2$ and $N_2 \simeq \mathcal{A}_4$ or $\mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2$. Hence we see that only the cases where $(G/N_1, N_2, G/N_2, N_1) \simeq (G_{7,2,3}, \mathcal{A}_4, \mathcal{C}_4, \mathcal{C}_2)$, $(G_{7,3,3}, \mathcal{A}_4, \mathcal{C}_4, \mathcal{C}_2)$ or $(G_{7,5,3}, \mathcal{A}_4, \mathcal{D}_4, \mathcal{C}_2)$ may occur. The last statement follows from Step 2 and Proposition 1.17 (5). \square

REFERENCES

- [AHK00] H. Ahmad, M. Hajja, M. Kang, *Rationality of some projective linear actions*, J. Algebra **228** (2000) 643–658.
- [BBNWZ78] H. Brown, R. Bülow, J. Neubüser, H. Wondratschek, H. Zassenhaus. *Crystallographic Groups of Four-Dimensional Space*, John Wiley, New York, 1978.
- [CHKK10] H. Chu, S.-J. Hu, M. Kang, B. E. Kunyavskii, *Noether’s problem and the unramified Brauer groups for groups of order 64*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **2010**, 2329–2366.
- [CTS07] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, J.-J. Sansuc, *The rationality problem for fields of invariants under linear algebraic groups* (with special regards to the Brauer groups), in “Proc. International Conference, Mumbai, 2004” edited by V. Mehta, Narosa Publishing House, 2007.
- [Dra83] P. K. Draxl, *Skew fields*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series vol. 81, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1983.
- [EM73] S. Endo, T. Miyata, *Invariants of finite abelian groups*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **25** (1973) 7–26.
- [Haj87] M. Hajja, *Rationality of finite groups of monomial automorphisms of $k(x, y)$* , J. Algebra **109** (1987) 46–51.
- [HK92] M. Hajja, M. Kang, *Finite group actions on rational function fields*, J. Algebra **149** (1992) 139–154.
- [HK94] M. Hajja, M. Kang, *Three-dimensional purely monomial group actions*, J. Algebra **170** (1994) 805–860.
- [HK95] M. Hajja, M. Kang, *Some actions of symmetric groups*, J. Algebra **177** (1995) 511–535.
- [HKO94] M. Hajja, M. Kang, J. Ohm, *Function fields of conics as invariant subfields*, J. Algebra **163** (1994) 383–403.
- [HHR08] K. Hashimoto, A. Hoshi, Y. Rikuna, *Noether’s problem and \mathbb{Q} -generic polynomials for the normalizer of the 8-cycle in S_8 and its subgroups*, Math. Comp. **77** (2008) 1153–1183.
- [Hos14] A. Hoshi, *Rationality problem for quasi-monomial actions*, Algebraic number theory and related topics 2012, 203–227, RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu, B51, Res. Inst. Math. Sci. (RIMS), Kyoto, 2014.
- [HK10] A. Hoshi, M. Kang, *Twisted symmetric group actions*, Pacific J. Math. **248** (2010) 285–304.
- [HKK14] A. Hoshi, M. Kang, H. Kitayama, *Quasi-monomial actions and some 4-dimensional rationality problems*, J. Algebra **403** (2014) 363–400.
- [HKY11] A. Hoshi, H. Kitayama, A. Yamasaki, *Rationality problem of three-dimensional monomial group actions*, J. Algebra **341** (2011) 45–108.
- [HR08] A. Hoshi, Y. Rikuna, *Rationality problem of three-dimensional purely monomial group actions: the last case*, Math. Comp. **77** (2008) 1823–1829.
- [HY17] A. Hoshi, A. Yamasaki, *Rationality problem for algebraic tori*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **248** (2017) no. 1176, v+215 pp.
- [Kan04] M. Kang, *Rationality problem of GL_4 group actions*, Adv. Math. **181** (2004) 321–352.
- [Kan07] M. Kang, *Some rationality problems revisited*, in “Proceedings of the 4th ICCM, Hangzhou, 2007”, edited by Lizhen Ji, Kefeng Liu, Lo Yang and Shing-Tung Yau, Higher Education Press (Beijing) and International Press (Somerville), 2007.
- [Kan09] M. Kang, *Retract rationality and Noether’s problem*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **2009**, no. 15, 2760–2788.
- [Kan12] M. Kang, *Retract rational fields*, J. Algebra **349** (2012) 22–37.
- [Kit11] H. Kitayama, *The rationality problem for purely monomial group actions*, Pacific J. Math. **253** (2011) 95–102.

- [Kun87] B. E. Kunyavskii, *Three-dimensional algebraic tori* (Russian), in Investigations in number theory, 90–111, Saratov. Gos. Univ., Saratov, 1987. English translation: Selecta Math. Soviet. **9** (1990) 1–21.
- [MT86] Y. I. Manin, M. A. Tsfasman, *Rational varieties: algebra, geometry and arithmetic*, Russian Math. Survey **41** (1986) 51–116.
- [Mas55] K. Masuda, *On a problem of Chevalley*, Nagoya Math. J. **8** (1955) 59–63.
- [Miy71] T. Miyata, *Invariants of certain groups I*, Nagoya Math. J. **41** (1971) 69–73.
- [Ohm94] J. Ohm, *Function fields of conics, a theorem of Amitsur-MacRae, and a problem of Zariski*, Algebraic geometry and its applications (West Lafayette, IN, 1990), 333–363, Springer, New York, 1994.
- [Ono61] T. Ono, *Arithmetic of algebraic tori*, Ann. of Math. (2) **74** (1961) 101–139.
- [Pro10] Y. G. Prokhorov, *Fields of invariants of finite linear groups*, in “Cohomological and geometric approaches to rationality problems”, edited by F. Bogomolov and Y. Tschinkel, Progress in Math. vol. 282, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2010.
- [Sal90a] D. J. Saltman, *Twisted multiplicative field invariants, Noether’s problem and Galois extensions*, J. Algebra **131** (1990) 535–558.
- [Sal90b] D. J. Saltman, *Multiplicative field invariants and the Brauer group*, J. Algebra **133** (1990) 533–544.
- [Sal00] D. J. Saltman, *A nonrational field, answering a question of Hajja*, in: Algebra and Number Theory, in: Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math. **208** (2000) 263–271.
- [Swa83] R. G. Swan, *Noether’s problem in Galois theory*, in “Emmy Noether in Bryn Mawr”, edited by B. Srinivasan and J. Sally, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [Vos67] V. E. Voskresenskii, *On two-dimensional algebraic tori II* (in Russian), Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **31** (1967) 711–716. English translation: Math. USSR Izv. **1** (1967) 691–696.
- [Yam12] A. Yamasaki, *Negative solutions to three-dimensional monomial Noether problem*, J. Algebra **370** (2012) 46–78.
- [Yam] A. Yamasaki, *Rationality problem of conic bundles*, arXiv:1408.2233.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NIIGATA UNIVERSITY, NIIGATA 950-2181, JAPAN

E-mail address: hoshi@math.sc.niigata-u.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WAKAYAMA UNIVERSITY, WAKAYAMA 640-8510, JAPAN

E-mail address: hkitayam@center.wakayama-u.ac.jp