

Classification of multipartite systems featuring only $|W\rangle$ and $|GHZ\rangle$ genuine entangled states

Frédéric Holweck^{a)}, Péter Lévy^{b)c)}

In this paper we present several multipartite quantum systems featuring the same type of genuine (tripartite) entanglement. Based on a geometric interpretation of the so-called $|W\rangle$ and $|GHZ\rangle$ states we show that the classification of all multipartite systems featuring those and only those two classes of genuine entanglement can be deduced from earlier work of algebraic geometers. This classification corresponds in fact to classification of fundamental subadjoint varieties and establish a connection between those systems, well known in Quantum Information Theory and fundamental simple Lie algebras.

^{a)} frederic.holweck@utbm.fr, Laboratoire IRTES-M3M, Université de Technologie de Belfort-Montbéliard, 90010 Belfort Cedex, France

^{b)} levay@phy.bme.hu, Department of Theoretical Physics, Institute of Physics, Budapest University of Technology and Economics, H-1521 Budapest, Hungary

^{c)} Hungarian Academy of Sciences

I. INTRODUCTION

Entanglement is a key resource of quantum information. It corresponds to a form of correlation between subsystems of a given composite system which is stronger than any correlation arising from classical communication¹. Since the advent of Quantum Information a large amount of experimental and theoretical evidence demonstrates that quantum protocols featuring this phenomenon exist that can overperform their classical counterparts. Beyond this entanglement is of basic importance for obtaining new communication protocols such as quantum teleportation, quantum superdense coding or quantum cryptography. It is also acknowledged that quantum entanglement plays a central role in quantum algorithms and quantum computation^{23,34}.

Quantum entanglement is a consequence of the superposition principle. Let us illustrate this for a bipartite system. Given two copies of two-state systems (qubits) represented by the vectors $|\psi\rangle_A \in \mathcal{H}_A$ and $|\psi\rangle_B \in \mathcal{H}_B$ with $\mathcal{H}_A \simeq \mathcal{H}_B \simeq \mathbb{C}^2$, we define the composite system of two qubits as the one represented by the tensor product $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$. Then a canonical basis of \mathcal{H} is $\{|00\rangle, |01\rangle, |10\rangle, |11\rangle\}$ and the superposition principle tells us that one possible state for the composite system is

$$|\psi\rangle_{AB} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle). \quad (1)$$

However, $|\psi\rangle_{AB}$ cannot be created from an initial state of type $|\varphi\rangle_A \otimes |\chi\rangle_B$ by applying only local operations (i.e. operations acting on $|\varphi\rangle_A$ and $|\chi\rangle_B$ separately). Such states are called entangled. Since entangled states cannot be generated locally they correspond to a global resource shared by the actors of the protocol. On the other hand a state is said to be separable if it can be generated locally from a state of the form $|\varphi\rangle_A \otimes |\chi\rangle_B$.

The multipartite generalization of our example provides the basic resource for Quantum Information. However, as a resource entanglement needs to be classified. One possible classification scheme is obtained by finding equivalence classes of entangled states under Stochastic Local Operations and Classical Communications (SLOCC). SLOCC transformations are the mathematical representatives of certain physical manipulations allowed to be performed on our composite system. These manipulations are consisting of local reversible operations on each component of the multipartite system assisted by classical communication (i.e. the local operations may be coordinated). The word stochastic refers to the possibility of converting a particular state of the system to another one and vice versa with

some (generally different) probability of success. The mathematical representative of such SLOCC transformations turns out to be a group acting on the Hilbert space of the composite system. The precise form of this group will depend on the observables characterizing this system.

The classification of entanglement classes of multipartite systems under SLOCC has been investigated in the last 10 years by many authors^{2,3,6,11–13,18,19,30–33,36,39,40}. Interestingly under SLOCC some^{3,6,11,13,30,36,39} of these entangled systems is featuring two genuine types of entanglement. The aim of this paper for these systems is to provide a unified approach based on recent results of algebraic geometry.

The paper is organized as follow. In Section II we introduce a geometric interpretation^{18,19} of the entangled classes $|W\rangle$ and $|GHZ\rangle$ which correspond to the two genuine entangled classes in the Dür, Vidal and Cirac¹³'s classification of entanglement classes of three qubits. Thanks to this geometric interpretation we can use, in Section III, classical results of invariant theory and algebraic geometry to classify all Hilbert spaces and quantum systems which will feature those two and exactly those two types of genuine entangled classes. In this process we recover different quantum systems investigated in the quantum information literature and three new cases. The corresponding Hilbert spaces have a similar SLOCC orbit structure (except for the case of three bosonic qubits see Remark III.2). We also classify quantum systems with two and exactly two entanglement classes (not necessarily of type $|W\rangle$ and $|GHZ\rangle$). The connexion of those quantum systems with classification results from algebraic geometry allows to give a uniform description of those quantum systems and establish a link between those systems and the simple Lie algebras. In particular we collect some geometrical information about such system in Appendix A.

Notations. In the text V (resp. \mathcal{H}) will denote a vector (resp. Hilbert) space over the field of complex numbers \mathbb{C} , and $\mathbb{P}(V)$ (resp. $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$) will denote the corresponding projective space. A vector $v \in V$ will be projectivized to a point $[v] \in \mathbb{P}(V)$. A projective algebraic variety $X \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$ will be defined as the zero locus of a collection of homogeneous polynomials. A point $[x] \in X$ will be said to be smooth if and only if the partial derivatives of the defining equations do not simultaneously vanish at $[x]$. If $[x] \in X$ is smooth, one can define $\tilde{T}_x X \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$ the embedded tangent space of X at $[x]$.

In this article we only consider pure quantum systems, i.e. a state $|\psi\rangle$ of such systems will always be considered as a (normalized) vector of \mathcal{H} .

II. THE THREE QUBIT CLASSIFICATION REVISITED

Starting from the paper of Dür, Vidal and Cirac¹³ three-qubit entanglement has given rise to a number of interesting applications^{4,7,10,22,29}. Let us denote by \mathcal{H}_A , \mathcal{H}_B and \mathcal{H}_C the three Hilbert spaces isomorphic to \mathbb{C}^2 corresponding to qubits A , B and C , then the Hilbert space of the composite system is $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B \otimes \mathcal{H}_C$. In this section for simplicity let us adopt the notation $|\psi\rangle_A \equiv \psi_A$. If we forget about scalar normalization the relevant SLOCC group turns out to be $GL_2(\mathbb{C}) \times GL_2(\mathbb{C}) \times GL_2(\mathbb{C})$ and the result established in Ref¹³ states that under SLOCC action three qubits can be organized into six orbits i.e. SLOCC entanglement classes (Table I). The three qubits classification features the interesting property of having

Name	Normal form
Separable	$ 000\rangle$
Biseparable	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(000\rangle + 011\rangle)$
Biseparable	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(000\rangle + 101\rangle)$
Biseparable	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(000\rangle + 110\rangle)$
$ W\rangle$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(100\rangle + 010\rangle + 001\rangle)$
$ GHZ\rangle$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(000\rangle + 111\rangle)$

Table I. Three qubit classification

exactly two classes of genuine entanglement, called the $|W\rangle$ and $|GHZ\rangle$ classes. It should be also clear that, for instance, for the bipartite state $|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|000\rangle + |101\rangle)$, particles A and C are entangled while B is not. Note that from the projective point of view multiplication by a nonzero scalar does not change the nature of the state and thus we can instead consider the $SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ orbits of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$. It turns out that this classification was known, from mathematical perspective, since the work of Le Paige²⁸. Motivated by this example we can adress the basic question of our paper as: which other types of quantum systems do have two and only two types of genuine non-equivalent entangled states?

Following Ref¹⁸ let us rephrase the classification of three qubit entanglement classes by means of algebraic geometry. In the projectivized Hilbert space $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ we consider the image

of the following map:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}_A) \times \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}_B) \times \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}_C) &\rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}) \\ ([\psi_A], [\psi_B], [\psi_C]) &\mapsto [\psi_A \otimes \psi_B \otimes \psi_C] \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

The map ϕ is well-known as the Segre map^{17,20}. Let $X = \phi(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}_A) \times \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}_B) \times \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}_C)) \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$, in what follows we will denote this image simply by $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ because the projectivization of the Hilbert space of each particle clearly corresponds to a projective line ($\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}) = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^2) = \mathbb{P}^1$). It can be easily shown that X is a smooth projective algebraic variety¹⁷. It is also clear that the variety X is the $G = SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ orbit of any rank one tensor in $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$. Indeed given $[\psi_A \otimes \psi_B \otimes \psi_C]$, then for any $[\tilde{\psi}_A \otimes \tilde{\psi}_B \otimes \tilde{\psi}_C]$, there exists $g = (g_1, g_2, g_3) \in G$ such that $[\tilde{\psi}_A \otimes \tilde{\psi}_B \otimes \tilde{\psi}_C] = [(g_1 \cdot \psi_A) \otimes (g_2 \cdot \psi_B) \otimes (g_3 \cdot \psi_C)]$. In terms of quantum entanglement it follows from this description that the variety X represents the set of separable states and can be described as the projectivized orbit of $\psi = |000\rangle$, i.e. $X = G \cdot [|000\rangle] \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$.

Similarly to what was done in Ref^{18,19} our goal is now to build from the algebraic variety of separable states some auxiliary varieties which will encode different type of entanglement classes. Consider $Y^n \subset \mathbb{P}(V^{n+a+1})$ a projective algebraic variety of dimension n embedded in a projective space of dimension $n + a$, such that Y is smooth and not contained in a hyperplane. Then one defines the secant variety⁴¹ of Y , denoted by $\sigma(Y)$, as the algebraic closure, for the Zariski topology, of the union of secant lines of Y (Eq. (3))

$$\sigma(Y) = \overline{\bigcup_{x,y \in Y} \mathbb{P}_{xy}^1} \quad (3)$$

Another interesting auxiliary variety is the tangential variety of Y , which is defined as the union of embedded tangent spaces, $\tilde{T}_y Y$ of Y (Eq. (4))

$$\tau(Y) = \bigcup_{y \in Y} \tilde{T}_y Y \quad (4)$$

One point of importance is the following: If the variety Y is G -invariant for the action of a group G (i.e. if $y \in Y$ then $g \cdot y \in Y$ for all $g \in G$) then so are the varieties $\tau(Y)$ and $\sigma(Y)$. This property follows from the definitions of the two auxiliary varieties $\sigma(Y)$ and $\tau(Y)$ which are built from points of Y .

Clearly $\tau(Y) \subset \sigma(Y)$, as tangent lines can be seen as limits of secant lines, and the expected dimensions of those varieties are $\min\{2n, n + a\}$ for $\tau(Y)$ and $\min\{2n + 1, n + a\}$

for $\sigma(Y)$. A consequence of the Fulton-Hansen connectedness Theorem¹⁴ is the following corollary which will be central to what follows.

Proposition II.1. *[Corollary 4 of Ref¹⁴] One of the following must hold*

1. $\dim(\tau(Y)) = 2n$ and $\dim(\sigma(Y)) = 2n + 1$, or
2. $\tau(Y) = \sigma(Y)$.

If we go back to the case where $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^7$, a standard calculation¹ shows that $\dim(\sigma(X)) = 7$, i.e. the secant variety is of expected dimension and fills the ambient space. Therefore one automatically knows that $\tau(X)$ exists and is of codimension one in \mathbb{P}^7 . Moreover given a general pair of points $(x, y) \in X \times X$ denoted by $x = [\psi_A \otimes \psi_B \otimes \psi_C]$ and $y = [\tilde{\psi}_A \otimes \tilde{\psi}_B \otimes \tilde{\psi}_C]$ it is not difficult to see that there exists $g = (g_1, g_2, g_3) \in SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ such that $[g \cdot (|000\rangle + |111\rangle)] = [(g_1 \cdot |0\rangle) \otimes (g_2 \cdot |0\rangle) \otimes (g_3 \cdot |0\rangle) + (g_1 \cdot |1\rangle) \otimes (g_2 \cdot |1\rangle) \otimes (g_3 \cdot |1\rangle)] = [\psi_A \otimes \psi_B \otimes \psi_C + \tilde{\psi}_A \otimes \tilde{\psi}_B \otimes \tilde{\psi}_C]$. In other words we have for $G = SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{C})$

$$\sigma(X) = \sigma(G \cdot [|000\rangle]) = \overline{G \cdot [|000\rangle + |111\rangle]} \quad (5)$$

Similarly one can provide an orbit description of $\tau(X)$: Let $\gamma(t) = [(\psi_A + t\tilde{\psi}_A) \otimes (\psi_B + t\tilde{\psi}_B) \otimes (\psi_C + t\tilde{\psi}_C)]$ be a general curve of X passing through $[\psi_A \otimes \psi_B \otimes \psi_C]$ such that ψ_i and $\tilde{\psi}_i$ are not colinear. Then a straightforward calculation shows that after differentiation we get $\gamma'(0) = [\tilde{\psi}_A \otimes \psi_B \otimes \psi_C + \psi_A \otimes \tilde{\psi}_B \otimes \psi_C + \psi_A \otimes \psi_B \otimes \tilde{\psi}_C] \in \tilde{T}_{[\psi_A \otimes \psi_B \otimes \psi_C]}X$. Again under the group action G this calculation tells us that

$$\tau(X) = \tau(G \cdot [|000\rangle]) = \overline{G \cdot [|100\rangle + |010\rangle + |001\rangle]} \quad (6)$$

Equations (5) and (6) say that the $|GHZ\rangle$ and $|W\rangle$ states form open subsets of the secant and tangential varieties of the set of separable states respectively. Moreover, the fact that the secant variety has the expected dimension implies that those two states are non equivalent. Considering the biseparable states the geometric picture can be completed as follows:

¹ The dimension of secant variety can be calculated via the Terracini's Lemma. The case we are interested in, is for instance explicitly done in Ref²⁴ example 5.3.1.5 page 123. Calculations involving Terracini's Lemma in the context of QIT can also be found in Ref^{18,19}




Figure 1. Stratification of the projectivized Hilbert space of three qubits by secant and tangential varieties.

In Figure 1 the lines represent inclusions as algebraic varieties and $\sigma(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \underline{\mathbb{P}^1} \times \mathbb{P}^1) \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is a notation introduced in Ref¹⁸ to denote the variety of the closure of secant lines of X between points of type $[u \otimes v \otimes w]$ and $[\tilde{u} \otimes v \otimes \tilde{w}]$.

Based on the previous analysis we see, that an alternative way of saying:

« Three qubits have two non equivalent classes of genuine entanglement, one of type $|GHZ\rangle$ and the other of type $|W\rangle$ »

would be, in geometrical terms,

«The secant variety of the set of separable states $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ has the expected dimension and fills the ambient space».

In Section III we show what this last geometric formulation tells us about other types of multipartite systems.

III. THE GEOMETRY OF TRIPARTITE ENTANGLEMENT

Let us now consider a semi-simple complex Lie group G and an irreducible G -module \mathcal{H} , i.e. a vector space such that G acts on \mathcal{H} and does not contain any nontrivial submodule. We call \mathcal{H} an irreducible representation of G . Taking the projective space $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ there exists a unique smooth orbit $X = G.[v] \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ called the highest weight orbit². In the case of

² Highest weight vectors are defined after a choice of an ordering of the roots of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ which defines for each irreducible representation a unique highest weight¹⁵. There is a bijection between the highest weights (up to a choice of an ordering of the root system) and the irreducible representations of G .

three qubits we have $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$, $G = SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $v = |000\rangle$, i.e. $X = G \cdot [|000\rangle] = \mathbb{P}(\{|\psi\rangle \text{ separable}\})$. Let us look at an other standard example.

Example III.1. We consider $G = SL_6(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathcal{H} = \Lambda^3 \mathbb{C}^6$. The vector space \mathcal{H} is an irreducible representation of G (more generally $\Lambda^k V$ are irreducible representations of $SL(V)$ called the fundamental representations, see Ref⁴⁵ page 221). Given (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_6) a basis of \mathbb{C}^6 , a highest weight vector can be chosen to be $v = e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3$. Then in this case $X = SL_6(\mathbb{C}) \cdot [e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3] \subset \mathbb{P}(\Lambda^3 \mathbb{C}^6) = \mathbb{P}^{19}$. The variety X represents the set of 3-dimensional planes in \mathbb{C}^6 also known as the Grassmannian $G(3, 6)$. Given a three plane of \mathbb{C}^6 spanned by u, v and w we can always find $g \in SL_6(\mathbb{C})$ such that $[g \cdot (e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3)] = [g \cdot e_1 \wedge g \cdot e_2 \wedge g \cdot e_3] = [u \wedge v \wedge w]$. In terms of skew-symmetric tensors, the variety X is the set of rank one tensors in \mathcal{H} . Now let us recall that, in quantum information theory, $\mathcal{H} = \Lambda^3 \mathbb{C}^6$ is the Hilbert space describing systems made of three fermions with six single-particles states. Then, from the above description, it follows that for three fermions with six single-particle states, $X = G(3, 6)$ is the set of separable states and $G = SL_6(\mathbb{C})$ is the corresponding SLOCC group.

Remark III.1. Let $P \subset G$ denotes the stabilizer of the highest weight $v \in \mathcal{H}$, then $X = G/P$ and $X = G/P \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ realizes the minimal embedding of the homogenous variety G/P . The subgroup $P \subset G$ is called a parabolic subgroup of G ⁴⁵.

Based on our geometric interpretation of the classes $|GHZ\rangle$ and $|W\rangle$ in the three qubits case, let us ask a more general question: what are the semi-simple Lie groups G and the corresponding irreducible representations \mathcal{H} such that

$$\tau(X) \subsetneq \sigma(X) = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}) \quad (7)$$

where X is arising from the projectivization of the highest weight vector ?

First it should be noticed that $\sigma(X) = \sigma(G/P) = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\tau(X)$ is a hypersurface of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ imply the ring of G -invariant polynomials on \mathcal{H} is generated by the G -invariant irreducible polynomial vanishing on $\tau(X)$, i.e. $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{H}]^G = \mathbb{C}[F]$ where F is the irreducible (up to scale) homogeneous polynomial defining $\tau(X)$. Indeed the fact that $\sigma(G/P) = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ says there is a dense orbit (because the secant variety is always the closure of the orbit $G \cdot [u + v]$ where (u, v) is a general pair of points of X , see Ref⁴¹). Therefore there are either no invariants or the ring of invariants is generated by a single polynomial. The fact that

$\tau(X)$ is a G -invariant hypersurface tells us we are in the second case. The representations such that $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{H}]^G = \mathbb{C}[F]$ have been classified by Kac, Popov and Vinberg²¹. It can be deduced from this classification which representations satisfy $\sigma(G.[v]) = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}) = \mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$ where the highest weight orbit $G.[v]$ has dimension n . This is in fact done explicitly in the book of F. Zak⁴¹ page 51 and 53 where the author studies in detail homogeneous varieties of small codimension in order to understand a special class of them called the Severi varieties. We summarize the result of Zak in Table II and we put in perspective the corresponding systems in quantum information theory as well as the references where those cases have been separately investigated. The notations of Table II are as follow:

- $Sym^n V$ and $\Lambda^n V$ denote respectively the symmetric and skew-symmetric parts of $V^{\otimes n}$.
- $v_k : \mathbb{P}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(Sym^k V)$ is the Veronese map defined by $v_k([v]) = [v \circ v \circ \dots \circ v]$ and $v_2(\mathbb{P}^1)$ and $v_3(\mathbb{P}^1)$ are curves corresponding to the images of \mathbb{P}^1 by v_2 and v_3 also known as the conic and the twisted cubic¹⁷.
- $Q^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ denotes a smooth quadric in \mathbb{P}^n .
- The variety $LG(k, n) \subset \mathbb{P}(\Lambda^{<k>\mathbb{C}^n})$ is the so-called Lagrangian Grassmannian. Given a non degenerate symplectic form ω on \mathbb{C}^n , $LG(k, n)$ is the variety of isotropic k -planes in \mathbb{C}^n with respect to ω .
- As already mentioned in Example III.1, the variety $G(k, n) \subset \mathbb{P}(\Lambda^k \mathbb{C}^n)$ is the Grassmannian variety of k -planes in \mathbb{C}^n .
- The vector space Δ_{12} is the standard representation of the group $Spin_{12}$, i.e. the double covering of $SO(12)$, see Ref¹⁵. The variety $\mathbb{S}_6 \subset \mathbb{P}(\Delta_{12})$ is the corresponding highest weight orbit, called the Spinor variety. It is the variety of pure spinors⁸.
- The vector space V_{56} is the standard representation of the Lie group E_7 and E_7/P_1 denotes the corresponding highest weight orbit (in terms of parabolic groups P_1 corresponds to the parabolic group defined by the root α_1).

Table II provides a classification of quantum systems featuring two and only two classes of genuine entanglement of types $|W\rangle$ and $|GHZ\rangle$. Although most of these systems have been studied independently by various authors of the quantum information theory community, it

G	\mathcal{H}	Highest weight orbit	QIT interpretation	References
$SL_2(\mathbb{C})$	$Sym^3(\mathbb{C}^2)$	$v_3(\mathbb{P}^1) \subset \mathbb{P}^3$	Three bosonic qubits	Brody, Gustavsson, Hughston. ⁶ Vrana and Lévy ³⁹
$SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \times SO(m)$				
$m = 3$	$\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes Sym^2(\mathbb{C}^2)$	$\mathbb{P}^1 \times v_2(\mathbb{P}^1) \subset \mathbb{P}^5$	1 distinguished qubit and 2 bosonic qubits	Vrana and Lévy ³⁹
$m = 4$	$\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$	$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^7$	3 qubits	Dür, Vidal, Cirac ¹³
$m = 5$	$\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \Lambda^{<2>\mathbb{C}^4}$	$\mathbb{P}^1 \times LG(2, 4) \subset \mathbb{P}^9$	1 distinguished qubit and two fermions with 4 single-particle state and a symplectic form condition	New
$m = 6$	$\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \Lambda^2 \mathbb{C}^4$	$\mathbb{P}^1 \times G(2, 4) \subset \mathbb{P}^{11}$	1 qubit and two fermions with 4 single-particle states	Vrana and Lévy ³⁹
$m > 6$	$\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^m$	$\mathbb{P}^1 \times Q^{m-2} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2m-1}$	1 qubit and 1 isotropic (m-1)-dits	New
$Sp_6(\mathbb{C})$	$\Lambda^{<3>\mathbb{C}^6}$	$LG(3, 6) \subset \mathbb{P}^{13}$	Three fermions with six single-particle states and a symplectic form condition	New
$SL_6(\mathbb{C})$	$\Lambda^3 \mathbb{C}^6$	$G(3, 6) \subset \mathbb{P}^{19}$	Three fermions with six single-particle states	Levy and Vrana ³⁰
$Spin_{12}$	Δ_{12}	$\mathbb{S}_6 \subset \mathbb{P}^{31}$	Particles in Fermionic Fock spaces	Sárosi and Lévy ³⁶
E_7	V_{56}	$E_7/P_1 \subset \mathbb{P}^{55}$	Tripartite entanglement of seven qubits	Duff and Ferrara ¹¹

Table II. Classification of smooth G -orbits satisfying $\tau(G.[v]) \subsetneq \sigma(G.[v]) = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$

is interesting to point out here that thanks to the work of F. Zak now a purely geometric approach allows us to present them in a unique classification scheme. As we will discuss it in the Appendix A this classification also corresponds to the classification of Freudenthal varieties. The role of Freudenthal construction in the study of those quantum systems, in particular the role of Freudenthal triple systems (FTS) has been already understood and used by different authors^{3,5,39}. The Hilbert spaces and quantum systems of our Table II

obtained by geometric arguments are the same Hilbert spaces and SLOCC groups of Table II of Ref³ built from FTS. However the FTS construction does not show that this Table provides a complete classification of quantum systems featuring this peculiar entanglement behavior.

Let us also point out that three new types of quantum systems with entanglement classes similar to the three qubit systems appear in this classification. Their set of separable states correspond to the following three algebraic varieties:

- i) $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times LG(2, 4) \subset \mathbb{P}^9$,
- ii) $X = LG(3, 6) \subset \mathbb{P}^{13}$
- iii) $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times Q^{m-2} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2m-1}$, $m > 6$.

As mentioned in Table II, the first system is made of a distinguished qubit and two fermions with four single-particle states satisfying a symplectic condition and the second system corresponds to three fermions with six single-particle states satisfying a symplectic condition. The last new case corresponds to a system made of a qubit and a $m - 1$ -dits ($m > 6$) which satisfies an isotropic condition given by a quadratic form.

Remark III.2. *The orbit structure of the projectivized Hilbert spaces $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ with the SLOCC groups G of Table II is fully provided by Ref²⁵. In particular the authors show that, except for $G = SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathcal{H} = \text{Sym}^3(\mathbb{C}^2)$ (three bosonic qubits), there are exactly 4 orbits. The Zariski closures of those orbits can be described as follow:*

$$\underbrace{X}_{\text{Separable}} \subset \underbrace{\sigma_+(X)}_{\text{Biseparable}} \subset \underbrace{\tau(X)}_{|W\rangle} \subset \underbrace{\sigma(X)}_{|GHZ\rangle} = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}) \quad (8)$$

The variety $\sigma_+(X)$ is the closure of points of type $|\psi\rangle + |\chi\rangle$ where $|\psi\rangle$ and $|\chi\rangle$ are two separable states which do not form a generic pair (see Ref²⁵ for the description of the isotropic condition satisfied by this pair $(|\psi\rangle, |\chi\rangle)$). The smooth points of $\sigma_+(X)$ are therefore identified with biseparable states. This variety is irreducible except in the case of three qubits where $\sigma_+(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)$ splits in three irreducible components (see Figure 1).

For three bosonic qubits, $X = v_3(\mathbb{P}^1)$, the orbit structure is slightly different. It is only made of three orbits as there is no variety such as $\sigma_+(X)$.

We conclude this Section with a variation of our initial problem. Instead of classifying systems with two and only two classes of genuine entanglement of type $|W\rangle$ and $|GHZ\rangle$, let us consider systems having two and only two types of genuine entanglement (but not necessarily featuring $|W\rangle$ and $|GHZ\rangle$).

Example III.2. Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^3$, $G = SL_3(\mathbb{C}) \times SL_3(\mathbb{C})$, and $X = G.[|00\rangle] = \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \subset \mathbb{P}^8 = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$, i.e. X is the set of separable states of two qutrits. The variety X can also be identified with the projectivization of the rank one 3×3 matrices and $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ is the projectivization of the space of 3×3 matrices. Under the action of SLOCC group G , it is well known that we have only three orbits:

$$X = \mathbb{P}\{\text{Matrices of rank } 1\} \subset \mathbb{P}\{\text{Matrices of rank } \leq 2\} \subset \mathbb{P}\{\text{Matrices of rank } \leq 3\} = \mathbb{P}^8 \quad (9)$$

Then the variety of rank less than two matrices is the secant variety of X and general points of this variety correspond to the orbit of the state $|\psi\rangle = |00\rangle + |11\rangle$. But in this example there is no tangential variety because of dimension condition. Indeed $\dim(\sigma(X)) = 7 < 2 \times 4 + 1$ and by Proposition II.1 we have $\sigma(X) = \tau(X)$. Thus this is an example of a multipartite system with two and only two types of non equivalent entangled states but there is no entangled class of type $|W\rangle$.

It is clear from the previous example that quantum systems with only two types of genuine entangled classes which are not considered in Table II should correspond to systems whose set of separable states $X \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ satisfies the following geometric conditions:

$$\dim(\sigma(X)) < 2\dim(X) + 1 \text{ and there is a SLOCC orbit corresponding to } \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}) \setminus \sigma(X) \quad (10)$$

It turns out that the classification of homogeneous varieties $X = G/P$ under the conditions of Eq. (10) can also be deduced from Zak's work (See Ref⁴¹ page 54 and 59). We summarize this result in Table III. The notations for Table III are as follows:

- V_{27} is the standard representation of E_6 and E_6/P_1 is the highest weight orbit.

The first four varieties of Table III are the so-called Severi varieties studied by F. Zak⁴¹. In terms of entanglement Tables II and III lead to the following result.

Theorem 1. *The pure quantum systems having two and only two type of genuine entanglement classes are classified by Tables II and III.*

G	\mathcal{H}	Highest weight orbit	QIT interpretation
$SL_2(\mathbb{C})$	$Sym^2(\mathbb{C}^3)$	$v_2(\mathbb{P}^2) \subset \mathbb{P}^5$	Two bosons with 3 single-particle states
$SL_3(\mathbb{C}) \times SL_3(\mathbb{C})$	$\mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^3$	$\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \subset \mathbb{P}^8$	Two qutrits
$SL_5(\mathbb{C})$	$\Lambda^2 \mathbb{C}^5$	$G(2, 5) \subset \mathbb{P}^{14}$	Two fermions with five single-particle states
E_6	V_{27}	$E_6/P_1 \subset \mathbb{P}^{26}$	Bipartite entanglement of three qutrit ¹²
$SL_3(\mathbb{C}) \times SL_4(\mathbb{C})$	$\mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^4$	$\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^3 \subset \mathbb{P}^{11}$	One qutrit and one 4-qudit
$SL_7(\mathbb{C})$	$\Lambda^2 \mathbb{C}^7$	$G(2, 7) \subset \mathbb{P}^{20}$	Two fermions with 7 single-particle states

Table III. Classification of smooth G -orbits with orbit structure $G.[v] \subset \sigma(G.[v]) \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$

Remark III.3. *It should be pointed out that the composite quantum systems of Table II are all tripartite systems (except in the case of $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^m$ with $G = SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \times SO(m)$ for $m > 6$), while the composite systems of Table III are all bipartite systems. This will be emphasized in Appendix A 2 when we refer to a uniform geometric parametrization of the varieties of separable states given by Ref²⁵.*

IV. CONCLUSION

By means of algebraic geometry in this paper we intended to provide a uniform description of pure quantum systems featuring a classification of entanglement types similar to the famous case of three qubits. More precisely we explained how a geometric interpretation of what the $|W\rangle$ and $|GHZ\rangle$ states are, allows us to use results of algebraic geometry and invariant theory to give an explicit list (Table II) of all Hilbert spaces, with the corresponding SLOCC group, such that the only types of genuine entangled states are the exact analogues of the $|W\rangle$ and $|GHZ\rangle$ states. It turns out that this list of separable states for those Hilbert spaces corresponds to the list of subexceptional Freudenthal varieties. Those varieties have a strong connexion with exceptional simple Lie algebras (as fundamental subadjoint varieties). They also admit a uniform description as image of the same rational map (Plücker

embedding) over different composition algebras. This map found by Ref²⁵ is described in Appendix A 2. The translation of the work of algebraic geometers^{25–27,41} we manage to do to quantum information theory language could be summerize in the following sentence: *«Three fermions with 6 single-particle states over composition algebras can be entangled in two different ways»*. This sentence includes all known cases of tripartite systems having a similar orbit structure as the three qubit case.

Appendix A: The tripartite entanglement and the Freudenthal varieties

The algebraic varieties of Table II have been studied in the mathematics literature as the fundamental subadjoint varieties or the Freudenthal varieties. In the early 2000, Landsberg and Manivel investigated the geometry of those varieties in a series of papers^{25–27}. Their goal was to establish new connections between representation theory and algebraic geometry. In this Appendix we collect some results and descriptions of this sequence of varieties which we believe to be relevant for quantum information theory.

1. The subadjoint varieties

Let us consider \mathfrak{g} a complex simple Lie algebra of type $B_n, D_n, G_2, F_4, E_6, E_7$ and E_8 (i.e. all complex simple Lie algebras except those of type A_n and C_n). They correspond to the fundamental simple Lie algebras, i.e. Lie algebras whose adjoint representation is fundamental²⁵. Then let $X_G \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{g})$ be the highest weight orbit for the adjoint representation of the corresponding Lie group G . Consider $\tilde{T}_x X_G$ the embedded tangent space at any point $[x]$ of the homogeneous variety X_G . Then $Y = X_G \cap T_x X_G$ is a homogenous variety. Table IV gives the correspondence between the Lie algebras \mathfrak{g} and the homogenous varieties Y . The sequence of algebraic varieties corresponding to quantum multipartite systems featuring only the two types of genuine entanglement $|W\rangle$ and $|GHZ\rangle$ are connected to fundamental adjoint representations of Lie algebras by this construction. Moreover in Ref²⁶ Landsberg and Manivel prove the existence of a rational map of degree 4 which allows from the knowledge of Y to reconstruct the adjoint varieties $X_G \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{g})$ and thus to recover the structure of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} .

To illustrate the construction of this rational map, let us detail one example.

$Y \subset \mathbb{P}^n$	\mathfrak{g}
$v_3(\mathbb{P}^1) \subset \mathbb{P}^3$	\mathfrak{g}_2
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{Q}^{m-4} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2m-5}$	\mathfrak{so}_m
$LG(3,6) \subset \mathbb{P}^{13}$	\mathfrak{f}_4
$G(3,6) \subset \mathbb{P}^{19}$	\mathfrak{e}_6
$\mathbb{S}_6 \subset \mathbb{P}^{31}$	\mathfrak{e}_7
$E_7/P_1 \subset \mathbb{P}^{55}$	\mathfrak{e}_8

Table IV. Fundamental subadjoint varieties

Example A.1. Let $Y = G(3,6) \subset \mathbb{P}^{19} = \mathbb{P}(V)$ be the variety of separable states for a system made of three fermions with six single-particle states. Let us denote by $\{x_1, \dots, x_{20}\}$ a dual basis of V . Then embedded linearly $\mathbb{P}(V) = \mathbb{P}^{19} \subset_{\{x_0=0\}} \mathbb{P}^{20} \subset_{\{x_{21}=0\}} \mathbb{P}^{21}$ and consider the rational map $\phi : \mathbb{P}^{21} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{77}$ defined by

$$\phi([x_0, \dots, x_{21}]) = [x_0^4, x_0^3 x_{21}, x_0^3 x_i, x_0^2 I_2(Y), x_0 x_{21} x_i - x_0 I_3(\tau(Y)_{\text{sing}}), x_0^2 x_{21}^2 - I_4(\tau(Y))]$$

where $1 \leq i \leq 20$, $I_k(Z)$ denotes a set of generators of the ideal of degree k polynomials defining Z and $\tau(Y)_{\text{sing}}$ is the subvariety of singular points of $\tau(Y)$. Then $\phi(G(3,6)) = X_{E_6}$, i.e. ϕ maps the set of separable three fermions with six single-particles states to the E_6 adjoint variety. The E_6 adjoint variety contains the information defining the Lie algebra \mathfrak{e}_6 as we have $\langle X_{E_6} \rangle = \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{e}_6)$, i.e. the linear span fills the full space and the algebraic structure can be recovered²⁶ from the geometry of X_{E_6} .

One sees from the previous example that the Lie algebra \mathfrak{e}_6 can be reconstructed from the defining equation of $\tau(G(3,6))$, i.e. the unique (up to a multiplication by a scalar) SLOCC invariant irreducible quartic on $\mathcal{H} = \Lambda^3(\mathbb{C}^6)$. Indeed the ideal of degree three polynomials vanishing on the singular locus of $\tau(G(3,6))$ is generated by the derivatives of the quartic invariant and the ideal of degree two polynomials defining $G(3,6)$ is spanned by the second derivative of the quartic invariant. But this quartic invariant is known in the context of entanglement as the analogue for three bosons of the 3-tangle³⁹.

Therefore in the context of entanglement Landsberg and Manivel's construction tells us that Table IV can be read as follows: Consider a fundamental Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and the corresponding multipartite quantum system Y . Then \mathfrak{g} can be reconstructed from the

knowledge of the unique irreducible SLOCC invariant of degree 4 (i.e. the generalization of the 3-tangle). This is another approach to construct Lie algebra from qubits⁹.

2. The Freudenthal subexceptional series

The subadjoint varieties also appeared in the work of Landsberg and Manivel in their geometric investigation of the so-called Freudenthal magic square. Let us recall that the Freudenthal magic square is a square of semi-simple Lie algebras due to Freudenthal¹⁶ and Tits³⁸ obtained from a pair of composition algebras (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) (where \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B} are the complexification of $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$, the quaternions or \mathbb{O} , the octonions) by the following construction:

$$\mathfrak{g} = Der(\mathbb{A}) \oplus (\mathbb{A}_0 \otimes J_3(\mathbb{B})_0) \oplus Der(J_3(\mathbb{B})) \quad (\text{A1})$$

where \mathbb{A}_0 denotes the space of imaginary elements, $J_3(\mathbb{B})$ denotes the Jordan algebra of 3×3 Hermitian matrices over \mathbb{B} and $J_3(\mathbb{B})_0$ is the subspace of traceless matrices of $J_3(\mathbb{B})$. For an algebra A , $Der(A)$ is the derivation of A , i.e. the Lie algebra of the automorphism group of A .

The Freudenthal magic square is thus given by:

	\mathbb{R}	\mathbb{C}	\mathbb{H}	\mathbb{O}
\mathbb{R}	\mathfrak{so}_3	\mathfrak{sl}_3	\mathfrak{sp}_6	\mathfrak{f}_4
\mathbb{C}	\mathfrak{sl}_3	$\mathfrak{sl}_3 \times \mathfrak{sl}_3$	\mathfrak{sl}_6	\mathfrak{e}_6
\mathbb{H}	\mathfrak{sp}_6	\mathfrak{sl}_6	\mathfrak{so}_{12}	\mathfrak{e}_7
\mathbb{O}	\mathfrak{f}_4	\mathfrak{e}_6	\mathfrak{e}_7	\mathfrak{e}_8

Table V. The Freudenthal magic square

The relevance of Freudenthal construction to the study of entanglement has been pointed out by various authors^{3,39}. However the geometric contribution has not been completely explained so far in the context of quantum information theory. The geometric version of the Freudenthal magic square given in Ref^{26,27} is the following square of homogeneous varieties:

The geometric magic square has the property that each homogeneous variety of the square is homogenous for the corresponding Lie group in the Freudenthal magic square. Moreover each variety of a given row can be recovered as a section (tangential or linear) of the next

	\mathbb{R}	\mathbb{C}	\mathbb{H}	\mathbb{O}
\mathbb{R}	$v_2(Q^1)$	$\mathbb{P}(T(\mathbb{P}^2))$	$LG(2, 6)$	$E_6/P_1 \cap H$
\mathbb{C}	$v_2(\mathbb{P}^2)$	$\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$	$G(2, 6)$	E_6/P_1
\mathbb{H}	$LG(3, 6)$	$G(3, 6)$	\mathbb{S}_6	E_7/P_7
\mathbb{O}	X_{F_4}	X_{E_6}	X_{E_7}	X_{E_8}

Table VI. The Geometric magic square

one. The connexion with composition algebras leads Landsberg and Manivel to formulate a geometrical uniform description of the varieties of the third row (the one relevant for the classification of Table II) as Grassmannians over the composition algebras.

It is well known that the variety $G(3, 6)$ of Example A.1 can be parametrized by the so-called Plücker map¹⁷. Let v_1, v_2 and v_3 be three complex vectors defining a three plane in \mathbb{C}^6 . The coordinates can be chosen so that $v_1 = [1 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0]$, $v_2 = [0 : 1 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0]$ and $v_3 = [0 : 0 : 1 : 0 : 0 : 0]$. Let $[\tilde{v}_1 \wedge \tilde{v}_2 \wedge \tilde{v}_3]$ be a three plane in the neighborhood of $[v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_3]$. One can choose $\tilde{v}_1 = [1 : 0 : 0 : a_{11} : a_{12} : a_{13}]$, $\tilde{v}_2 = [0 : 1 : 0 : a_{21} : a_{22} : a_{23}]$ and $\tilde{v}_3 = [0 : 0 : 1 : a_{31} : a_{32} : a_{33}]$. Locally the variety $G(3, 6)$ is parametrized in the neighborhood of $[v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_3]$ by

$$\phi(1, P) = (1, P, \text{com}(P), \text{det}(P)) \quad (\text{A2})$$

where P is the matrix $P = (a_{ij})$ and $\text{com}(P)$ is its comatrix. The map ϕ is the Plücker map.

An alternative description of $G(3, 6)$ can be given by considering $P \in J_3(\mathbb{A})$ where $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ is the complexification of \mathbb{C} , i.e. $P = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & x_1 & x_2 \\ \overline{x_1} & \beta & x_3 \\ \overline{x_2} & \overline{x_3} & \gamma \end{pmatrix}$ with $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ and $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}$. Then to recover the same parametrization one needs to require that the three row vectors defining the matrix $(I_3|P)$ are orthogonal with respect to the symplectic form $\omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_3 \\ -I_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, i.e. the corresponding 3-plane is isotropic for ω .

Under the symplectic condition, one has $G(3, 6) = LG_{\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}}(3, 6)$.

Similarly the Plücker map of Eq. (A2) can be defined for $P \in J_3(\mathbb{A})$, with \mathbb{A} one of the three other complex composition algebras. Then if we denote by $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{C}, M_2(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{C}}$ the

complexifications of $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{O}$, Landsberg and Manivel proved²⁶ that the varieties of the third row can all be interpreted as $LG_{\mathbb{A}}(3, 6)$, i.e.

$$\left. \begin{aligned} LG(3, 6) &= LG_{\mathbb{C}}(3, 6) \\ G(3, 6) &= LG_{\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}}(3, 6) \\ \mathbb{S}_6 &= LG_{M_2(\mathbb{C})}(3, 6) \\ E_7/P_7 &= LG_{\mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{C}}}(3, 6) \end{aligned} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \text{Three } \mathbb{A}\text{-fermions with six single-particle} \\ \text{states satisfying a symplectic condition} \end{array} \quad (\text{A3})$$

Moreover if we consider the case $P \in J_3(\underline{-1}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix}, \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$ (notations of Ref²⁶) and the case $P \in J_3(\underline{0}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma \end{pmatrix}, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$, one obtains a similar Plücker parametrization of $v_3(\mathbb{P}^1) = LG_{\underline{-1}}(3, 6)$ and $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 = LG_{\underline{0}}(3, 6)$.

An important consequence for quantum information theory is that this geometric interpretation of the varieties of the extended third row as Lagrangian Grassmannians over \mathbb{A} say that all quantum systems, which feature only the states $|W\rangle$ and $|GHZ\rangle$ as their genuine entangled classes, are *tripartite systems of indistinguishable particles with six-single particle states with coefficients in a complex composition algebra satisfying a symplectic condition*.

Remark A.1. *Similarly a description of the first four varieties of separable states of Table III as Lagrangian Grassmannians $LG(\mathbb{A}^2, \mathbb{A}^6)$ is given in Ref²⁶. Those varieties correspond to the second row of the geometric magic square.*

REFERENCES

- ¹Bell J. S. “On the Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen paradox.” *Physics* 1, no. 3 (1964): 195-200.
- ²Borsten L., Dahanayake D., Duff M. J., Marrani A. and Rubens W., “Four-Qubit Entanglement Classification from String Theory”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 100507 (2010).
- ³Borsten L., Dahanayake D., Duff M. J., Ebrahim H. and Rubens W., “Freudenthal triple classification of three-qubit entanglement”, *Physical Review A* 80, no 3 (2009):032326.
- ⁴Borsten L., Dahanayake D., Duff M. J., Ebrahim H., and Rubens W., “Black holes, qubits and octonions”, *Physics Reports* 471, no. 3 (2009): 113-219.
- ⁵Borsten L., Duff M. J., Ferrara S., Marrani A. and Rubens, W., “Explicit orbit classification of reducible Jordan algebras and Freudenthal triple systems”. arXiv preprint arXiv:1108.0908. (2011).
- ⁶Brody D.C., Gustavsson A.C.T. and Hughston L.P., “Entanglement of three-qubit geometry”, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **67**, 012044 (2007).
- ⁷Borsten L., Duff M. J., and Levay P., “The black-hole/qubit correspondence: an up-to-date review.”, arXiv preprint arXiv:1206.3166 (2012).
- ⁸Chevalley C., *The Algebraic Theory of Spinors and Clifford Algebras: Collected Works*. Vol. 2. Springer, 1997.
- ⁹Cerchiai B. L. and van Geemen B. “From qubits to E7”, *Journal of mathematical physics*, 51(12), 122203 (2010).
- ¹⁰Coffman V., Kundu J. and Wootters W. K., “Distributed entanglement”, *Phys. Rev. A* 61, 052306 (2000).
- ¹¹Duff M. J., and Ferrara S. “E 7 and the tripartite entanglement of seven qubits”, *Physical Review D* 76.2 (2007): 025018.
- ¹²Duff M. J., and Ferrara, S. “E 6 and the bipartite entanglement of three qutrits”, *Physical Review D*, 76 (12), (2007): 124023.
- ¹³Dür W., Vidal G. and Cirac J. I., “Three qubits can be entangled in two inequivalent ways”, *Phys. Rev. A* **62**, 062314 (2000).
- ¹⁴W. Fulton, J. Hansen, “A connectedness theorem for projective varieties, with applications to intersections and singularities of mapping”, *Ann. Math.* **110** (1979), 159-166.
- ¹⁵W. Fulton, J. Harris, *Representation Theory*, Graduate Text in Mathematics, Springer 1991.

- ¹⁶H. Freudenthal, “Beziehungen der e_7 und e_8 zur Oktavenebene, I, II”, *Indag. Math.* 16 (1954), 218-230, 363-368. III, IV, *Indag. Math.* 17 (1955), 151-157, 277-285. V - IX, *Indag. Math.* 21 (1959), 165-201, 447-474. X, XI, *Indag. Math.* 25 (1963) 457-487.
- ¹⁷J. Harris, *Algebraic Geometry: a first course*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **133** Springer 1992.
- ¹⁸Holweck F., Luque J.-G., Thibon J.-Y., “Geometric descriptions of entangled states by auxiliary varieties”, *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **53**, 102203 (2012).
- ¹⁹Holweck F., Luque J.-G., Thibon J.-Y., “Entanglement of four qubit systems: a geometric atlas with polynomial compass I (the finite world)”, *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **55** (1), 012202 (2014).
- ²⁰T. Ivey, J. M. Landsberg, *Cartan for beginners: Differential Geometry via Moving Frames and Exterior Differential Systems*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics **61** 2003.
- ²¹Kac V. G., Popov V. L. and Vinberg E. B., “Sur les groupes algébriques dont l’algèbre des invariants est libre”, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, ser. A.* **283** (1976), 875-878.
- ²²Kallosch R. and Linde A., “Strings Black Holes and Quantum Information”, *Phys. Rev. D* **73** 104033 (2006).
- ²³Kitaev A. Y., Shen A. and Vyalii M. N., *Classical and quantum computation*. No. 47. American Mathematical Soc., 2002.
- ²⁴Landsberg J. M., *Tensors: Geometry and applications*, Vol. 128. Amer Mathematical Society, 2011.
- ²⁵Landsberg J. M. and Manivel L., “The projective geometry of Freudenthal’s magic square”, *Journal of Algebra* **239.2** (2001): 477-512.
- ²⁶Landsberg J. M. and Manivel L., “Construction and classification of complex simple Lie algebras via projective geometry”, *Selecta Mathematica* **8.1** (2002): 137-159.
- ²⁷Landsberg, J. M., and Manivel L. “Representation Theory and Projective Geometry”, in *Algebraic Transformation Groups and Algebraic Varieties*, *Encyclopedia of Mathematical Sciences. Subseries Invariant Theory and Algebraic Transformation Groups*, Vol. III, Springer-Verlag, 2004.
- ²⁸Le Paige C., “Sur la théorie des formes binaires à plusieurs séries de variables”, *Bull. Acad. Roy. Sci. Belgique* **2** (3), 40-53 (1881).
- ²⁹Lévay P. “Three-qubit interpretation of BPS and non-BPS STU black holes.”, *Physical Review D* **76.10** (2007): 106011.

- ³⁰Lévay P., and Vrana P. “Three fermions with six single-particle states can be entangled in two inequivalent ways”. *Physical Review A*, 78(2), 022329. (2008)
- ³¹Miyake A., “Classification of multipartite entangled states by multidimensional determinants”, *Phys. Rev. A* **67**, 012108 (2003).
- ³²Miyake A., “Multipartite Entanglement under Stochastic Local Operations and Classical Communication”, *Int. J. Quant. Info.* **2**, 65-77 (2004).
- ³³Miyake A. and Verstraete F., “Multipartite entanglement in $2 \times 2 \times n$ quantum systems”, *Phys. Rev. A* **69**, 012101 (2004).
- ³⁴Nielsen M. A. and Chuang I. L., *Quantum computation and quantum information*. Cambridge university press, 2010.
- ³⁵Popov V., Vinberg E., “Invariant theory”, *Algebraic geometry IV*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1994. 123-278.
- ³⁶Sárosi G., and Lévay P., “Entanglement in fermionic Fock space”, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* 47.11 (2014): 115304.
- ³⁷Sárosi G., and Lévay P., “Entanglement classification of three fermions with up to nine single-particle states”, *Physical Review A* 89, no. 4 (2014): 042310.
- ³⁸Tits. J. “Algèbres alternatives, algèbres de Jordan et algèbres de Lie exceptionnelles I : Construction.” *Indag. Math.* **28** 223-237 (1966)
- ³⁹Vrana P., and Lévay P., “Special entangled quantum systems and the Freudenthal construction”, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* 42.28 (2009): 285303.
- ⁴⁰Verstraete F., Dehaene F., De Moor B. and Verschelde H., “Four qubits can be entangled in nine different ways”, *Phys. Rev.. A* **65**, 052112 (2002).
- ⁴¹F. Zak, *Tangents and Secants of Algebraic Varieties*, AMS Translations of mathematical monographs **127** 1993.