# Infinite symmetric group and bordisms of pseudomanifolds 


#### Abstract

Alexander A. Gaifullin 11, Yury A. Neretin ${ }^{2}$ We consider a category whose morphisms are bordisms of $n$-dimensional pseudomanifolds equipped with a certain additional structure (coloring). On the other hand, we consider the product $G$ of $(n+1)$ copies of infinite symmetric group. We show that unitary representations of $G$ produce functors from the category of $(n-1)$-dimensional bordisms to the category of Hilbert spaces and bounded linear operators.


## 1 Pseudomanifolds and pseudobordisms

First, we fix several definitions.
1.1. Simplcial cell complexes. Consider a disjoint union $\amalg \Xi_{j}$ of a finite collection of simplices $\Xi_{j}$. We consider a topological quotient space $\Sigma$ of $\amalg \Xi_{j}$ with respect to certain equivalence relation. The quotient must satisfy the following properties
a) For any simplex $\Xi_{i}$, the tautological map $\xi_{i}: \Xi_{i} \rightarrow \Sigma$ is an embedding. Therefore we can think of $\Xi_{i}$ as of a subset of $\Sigma$.
b) For any pair of simplices $\Xi_{i}, \Xi_{j}$, the intersection $\xi_{i}^{-1}\left(\xi_{i}\left(\Xi_{i}\right) \cap \xi_{j}\left(\Xi_{j}\right)\right) \subset \Xi_{i}$ is a union of faces of $\Xi_{i}$ and the partially defined map

$$
\Xi_{i} \xrightarrow{\xi_{i}} \Sigma \stackrel{\xi_{j}^{-1}}{\longrightarrow} \Xi_{j}
$$

is affine on each face.
We shall call such quotients simplicial cell complexes.
Remark on terminology. There are two similar (and more common) definitions of spaces composed from simplices (see, e.g., 9 ). The first one is a more restrictive definition of "a simplicial complex". In this case, a nonempty intersection of two faces is a (unique) face. See examples of simplicial cell complexes, which are not simplicial complexes in Fig. 2 and Fig.3.b. A more wide class of simplicial spaces are $\Delta$-complexes, in this case glueing of a simplex with itself along faces is allowed (as for standard 1-vertex triangulations of twodimensional surfaces), see Fig 1.
1.2. Pseudomanifolds. A pseudomanifold of dimension $n$ is a simplicial cell complex such that
a) Each face is contained in an $n$-dimensional face. We call $n$-dimensional faces chambers.
b) Each ( $n-1$ )-dimensional face is contained in precisely two chambers.

See, e.g., [17, 6].
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Figure 1: To the definition of simplicial cell complexes. The triangle a) is forbidden, the pair of triangles b) is allowed.

Remark. Any cycle of singular $\mathbb{Z}$-homologies in a topological space can be realized as an image of a pseudo-manifold (this is more-or-less obvious). Recall that there are cycles in manifolds, which cannot be realized as images of manifolds.

Remark on terminology. In literature, there exists another variant of a definition of a pseudomanifold. Seifert, Threlfall, [17] impose two additional requiments: a pseudomanifold must be a simplicial complex and must be 'strongly connected'. The latter conditions means that the complement of the union of faces of codimension 2 must be connected.

### 1.3. Normal pseudomanifolds and normalization.

Links. Let $\Sigma$ be a pseudomanifold, let $\Gamma$ be its $k$-dimensional face. Consider all $(k+1)$-dimensional faces $\Phi_{j}$ of $\Sigma$ containing $\Gamma$ and choose a point $\varphi_{j}$ in the relative interior of each face $\Phi_{j}$. For each face $\Psi_{m} \supset \Gamma$ we consider the convex hull of all points $\varphi_{j}$ that are contained in $\Psi_{m}$. The link of $\Gamma$ is the simplicial cell complex whose faces are such convex hulls.

Normal pseudomanifolds. A pseudomanifold is normal if the link of any face of codimension $\geqslant 2$ is connected.

Example. Consider a triangulated compact two-dimensional surface $\Sigma$. Let $a, b$ be two vertices that are not connected by an edge. Glueing together $a$ and $b$ we get a pseudomanifold which is not normal, see Fig.2.

Normalization. For any pseudomanifold $\Sigma$ there is a unique normalization (8), i.e. a normal pseudomanifold $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ and a map $\pi: \widetilde{\Sigma} \rightarrow \Sigma$ such that
— restriction of $\pi$ to any face of $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ is an affine bijective map of faces.

- the map $\pi$ send different $n$-dimensional and ( $n-1$ )-dimensional faces to different faces.

A construction of the normalization. To obtain a normalization of $\Sigma$ we cut a pseudomanifold $\Sigma$ into a disjoint collection of chambers $\Xi_{i}$. As above, denote by $\xi_{i}: \Xi_{i} \rightarrow \Sigma_{j}$ the embedding of $\Xi_{i}$ to $\Sigma$. Let $x \in \Xi_{i}, y \in \Xi_{j}$. We say that $x \sim y$ if $\xi_{i}(x)=\xi_{j}(y)$ and this point is contained in a common ( $n-1$ )-dimensional face of the chambers $\xi_{i}\left(\Xi_{i}\right)$ and $\xi_{j}\left(\Xi_{j}\right)$. We extend $\sim$ to an equivalence relation by the transitivity. The quotient of $\coprod \Xi_{i}$ is the normalization of $\Sigma$.

The following way of normalization is more visual. Let $\Sigma$ be non-normal. Let $\Xi$ be a face of codimension 2 with link consisting of $m$ connected components. Consider a small closed neighborhood $\mathcal{O}$ of $\Xi$ in $\Sigma$. Then $\mathcal{O} \backslash \Xi$ is disconnected and consists of $m$ components, say $\mathcal{O}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{O}_{m}$. Let $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{j}$ be the closure of $\mathcal{O}_{j}$


Figure 2: A non-normal two-dimensional pseudomanifold.


Figure 3: Reference to the definition of colored pseudomanifolds:
a) a colored two-dimensional pseudomanifold;
b) a double chamber.
in $\Sigma, \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{j}=\mathcal{O}_{j} \cup \Xi_{j}$. We replace $\mathcal{O}$ by the disjoint union of $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{j}$ and get a new pseudomanifold $\Sigma^{\prime}$ (in Fig.2, we duplicate the upper vertex). Then we repeat the same operation to another stratum with disconnected link. These operation enlarges number of strata of codimension $\geqslant 2$, the strata of dimension $n$ and $(n-1)$ remain the same (and the incidence of these strata is preserved). Therefore the process is finite and we get a normal pseudomanifold.
1.4. Colored pseudomanifolds. Consider an $n$-dimensional normal pseudomanifold $\Sigma$. A coloring of $\Sigma$ is the following structure
a) To any chamber we assign a sign $(+)$ or $(-)$. Chambers adjacent to plus-chambers are minus-chamber and vise versa.
b) Choose $n+1$ colors (say, red, blue, green, orange, etc.). Each vertex of the complex is colored in such a way that the colors of vertices of each chamber are pairwise different.
c) All $(n-1)$-dimensional faces are colored, in such a way that colors of faces of a chamber are pairwise different, and a color of a face coincides with a color of the opposite vertex of any chamber containing this face.

We say that a double-chamber is a colored $n$-dimensional pseudomanifold obtained from two identical copies $\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}$ of an $n$-dimensional simplex by identification of the corresponding $x \in \Delta_{1}, x \in \Delta_{2}$ of the boundaries of $\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}$.

Remark. Colored pseudomanifolds were introduced by Pezzana and Ferri in 1975-1976, see [16], [3], 4], [5].
1.5. Colored pseudobordisms. Fix $n \geqslant 1$. We define a category PsBor of pseudobordisms. Its objects are nonnegative integers. A morphism $\beta \rightarrow \alpha$ is the following collection of data

1) A colored $n$-dimensional pseudomanifold (generally, disconnected).
2) An injective map of the set $\{1,2, \ldots, \alpha\}$ to the set of plus-chambers and an injective map of the set $\{1,2, \ldots, \beta\}$ to the set of minus-chambers In other words, we assign labels $1, \ldots, \alpha$ to some plus-chambers. and labels $1, \ldots, \beta$ to some minus-chambers.

We require that each double-chamber has at least one label.
Composition. Let $\Sigma \in \operatorname{Mor}(\beta, \alpha), \Lambda \in \operatorname{Mor}(\gamma, \beta)$. We define their composition $\Sigma \diamond \Lambda$ as follows. Remove interiors of labeled minus-chambers of $\Sigma$ and interiors of labeled plus-chambers of $\Lambda$. Next, for each $s \leqslant \beta$, we glue boundaries of the minus-chamber of $\Sigma$ with label $s$ with the boundary of the plus-chamber of $\Lambda$ with label $s$ according the simplicial structure of boundaries and colorings of $(n-1)$-simplices. Next, we normalize the resulting pseudomanifold.

Finally we remove label-less double chambers (such components can arise as a result of gluing of two label-keeping double chambers).

Involution. For a morphism $\Sigma \in \operatorname{Mor}(\beta, \alpha)$ we define the morphism $\Sigma^{*} \in$ $\operatorname{Mor}(\alpha, \beta)$ by changing of signs on chambers. Thus we get an involution in the category PsBor. For any $T \in \operatorname{Mor}(\beta, \alpha), S \in \operatorname{Mor}(\gamma, \beta)$ we have

$$
(S \diamond T)^{*}=T^{*} \diamond S^{*}
$$

1.6. Further structure of the paper. Below we construct a family of functors from the category of pseudobordisms to the category of Hilbert spaces and bounded operators. This means that for each $\alpha$ we construct a Hilbert space $H(\alpha)$ and for each morphism $\Sigma \in \operatorname{Mor}(\beta, \alpha)$ we construct an operator $\rho(\Sigma): H(\beta) \rightarrow H(\alpha)$ such that for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ and any $\Sigma \in \operatorname{Mor}(\beta, \alpha)$, $\Xi \in \operatorname{Mor}(\gamma, \beta)$,

$$
\rho(\Sigma \diamond \Xi)=\rho(\Sigma) \rho(\Xi) .
$$

Also, representations obtained below satisfy properties

$$
\rho(\Sigma)^{*}=\rho\left(\Sigma^{*}\right), \quad\|\rho(\Sigma)\| \leqslant 1
$$

In fact, such functors arise in a natural way from the representation theory of infinite symmetric groups. In Section 2, we introduce a category of double cosets on the product of $(n+1)$ copies of an infinite symmetric group. In Section 3 , we show that the category of double cosets is equivalent to the category of pseudo-bordisms (this is the main statement of this note). In Section 4, we construct a family of representations of this category (statements of this section are more-or-less automatic).

For $n=1$ the construction reduces to Olshanski [14, for $n=2$ it coincides with [12].

## 2 Multiplication of double cosets

2.1. Symmetric groups. Notation. Let $S(L)$ be the group of permutations of a set with $L$ elements. Denote by $K=S(\infty)$ the group of finitely supported permutations of $\mathbb{N}$. By $\bar{K}=\bar{S}(\infty)$ we denote the group of all permutations of $\mathbb{N}$. Denote by $K(\alpha) \subset K, \bar{K}(\alpha) \subset \bar{K}$ the stabilizers of points $1, \ldots, \alpha$. We equip $\bar{S}(\infty)$ with a natural topology assuming that the subgroups $K(\alpha)$ are open.

Sometimes we will represent elements of symmetric groups as 0-1-matrices.
2.2. Multiplication of double cosets. Denote the product of $(n+1)$ copies of $S(\infty)$ by $G$. Denote by $K \simeq S(\infty)$ the diagonal subgroup in $G$, its elements have the form $(g, g, \ldots, g)$.

Consider double cosets $K(\alpha) \backslash G / K(\beta)$, i.e., elements of $G$ defined up to the equivalence

$$
g \sim k_{1} g k_{2}, \quad k_{1} \in K(\alpha), k_{2} \in K(\beta)
$$

We wish to define product of double cosets

$$
K(\alpha) \backslash G / K(\beta) \times K(\beta) \backslash G / K(\gamma) \rightarrow K(\alpha) \backslash G / K(\gamma)
$$

For this purpose, define elements $\theta_{\sigma}[j] \in K(\sigma)$ by

$$
\theta_{\sigma}[j]:=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathbf{1}_{\sigma} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_{j} & 0 \\
0 & \mathbf{1}_{j} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_{\infty}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\mathbf{1}_{j}$ denotes the unit matrix of order $j$.
Proposition 2.1 Let

$$
\mathfrak{g} \in K(\alpha) \backslash G / K(\beta), \quad \mathfrak{h} \in K(\beta) \backslash G / K(\gamma)
$$

be double cosets. Let $g, h \in G$ be their representatives. Then the sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{r}_{j}:=K(\alpha) \cdot g \theta_{\beta}[j] h \cdot K(\gamma) \in K(\alpha) \backslash G / K(\gamma) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is eventually constant. The limit value of $\mathfrak{r}_{j}$ does not depend on a choice of representatives $g \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $h \in \mathfrak{h}$. Moreover, if $g, h \in S(L)^{n+1} \subset S(\infty)^{n+1}$, then it is sufficient to consider $j=L-\beta$.

We define the product

$$
\mathfrak{g} \circ \mathfrak{h} \in K(\alpha) \backslash G / K(\gamma)
$$

of double cosets as the limit value of the sequence (2.1).
FORMULA FOR PRODUCT. Represent $g$ as a collection of block matrices $\left(g^{(1)}, \ldots, g^{(n+1)}\right)$ of size

$$
(\alpha+(L-\alpha)+(L-\beta)+\infty) \times(\beta+(L-\beta)+(L-\beta)+\infty)
$$

represent $h$ as a collection of block matrices $\left(h^{(1)}, \ldots, h^{(n+1)}\right)$ of size

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\beta+(L-\beta)+(L-\beta)+\infty) \times(\gamma+(L-\gamma)+(L-\beta)+\infty) \\
g^{(k)}= & \left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a^{(k)} & b^{(k)} & 0 & 0 \\
c^{(k)} & d^{(k)} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_{L-\beta} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_{\infty}
\end{array}\right), \quad h^{(k)}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
p^{(k)} & q^{(k)} & 0 & 0 \\
r^{(k)} & t^{(k)} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_{L-\beta} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_{\infty}
\end{array}\right) . \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Then we write a representative of the double coset $\mathfrak{g} \circ \mathfrak{h}$ as

$$
(g \circ h)^{(k)}:=g \cdot \theta_{\beta}[L-\beta] \cdot h=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a^{(k)} p^{(k)} & a^{(k)} q^{(k)} & b^{(k)} & 0 \\
c^{(k)} p^{(k)} & c^{(k)} q^{(k)} & d^{(k)} & 0 \\
r^{(k)} & t^{(k)} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_{\infty}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Proof. First, we show that the result does not depend on a choice of $j$ Denote

$$
\mu=L-\beta, \quad \nu=L-\alpha, \quad \varkappa=L-\gamma
$$

Preserving the previous notation for $g^{(k)}, h^{(k)}$, we write

$$
\left(g \cdot \theta_{\beta}[\mu+j] \cdot h\right)^{(k)}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
a^{(k)} p^{(k)} & a^{(k)} q^{(k)} & 0 & b^{(k)} & 0 & 0 \\
c^{(k)} p^{(k)} & c^{(k)} q^{(k)} & 0 & d^{(k)} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_{j} & 0 \\
r^{(k)} & t^{(k)} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_{j} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_{\infty}
\end{array}\right)
$$

This coincides with

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
\mathbf{1}_{\alpha} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \mathbf{1}_{\nu} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_{j} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_{\mu} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_{j} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_{\infty}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
a^{(k)} p^{(k)} & a^{(k)} q^{(k)} & b^{(k)} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
c^{(k)} p^{(k)} & c^{(k)} q^{(k)} & d^{(k)} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
r^{(k)} & t^{(k)} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_{j} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_{j} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_{\infty}
\end{array}\right) \times \\
\\
\times\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\mathbf{1}_{\gamma} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \mathbf{1}_{\varkappa} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_{\mu} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_{j} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_{j} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_{\infty}
\end{array}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Next, we show that (2.1) does not depend on the choice of representatives of double cosets. To be definite, replace a collection $\left\{g^{(k)}\right\}$ in (2.2) by

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{1}_{\alpha} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & u & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_{\infty}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a^{(k)} & b^{(k)} & 0 \\
c^{(k)} & d^{(k)} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_{\infty}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{1}_{\alpha} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & v & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_{\infty}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a^{(k)} & b^{(k)} v & 0 \\
u c^{(k)} & u d^{(k)} v & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_{\infty}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Then $(g \circ h)^{(k)}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a^{(k)} p^{(k)} & a^{(k)} q^{(k)} & b^{(k)} v & 0 \\
u c^{(k)} p^{(k)} & u c^{(k)} q^{(k)} & u d^{(k)} v & 0 \\
r^{(k)} & t^{(k)} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_{\infty}
\end{array}\right)= \\
= & \left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathbf{1}_{\alpha} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & u & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_{\mu} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_{\infty}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a^{(k)} p^{(k)} & a^{(k)} q^{(k)} & b^{(k)} & 0 \\
c^{(k)} p^{(k)} & c^{(k)} q^{(k)} & d^{(k)} & 0 \\
r^{(k)} & t^{(k)} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_{\infty}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathbf{1}_{\gamma} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \mathbf{1}_{\varkappa} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & v & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_{\infty}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
Proposition 2.2 The o-product is associative.
Proof. Let $g, h \in G$ be as above, and let $w=\left(w^{(1)}, \ldots, w^{(n+1)}\right) \in G$ be given by

$$
w^{(k)}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
x^{(k)} & z^{(k)} & 0 \\
y^{(k)} & u^{(k)} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_{\infty}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Evaluating $(g \circ h) \circ w$ and $g \circ(h \circ w)$ we get the matrices

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
a^{(k)} p^{(k)} x^{(k)} & a^{(k)} p^{(k)} y^{(k)} & a^{(k)} q^{(k)} & b^{(k)} & 0 & 0 \\
c^{(k)} p^{(k)} x^{(k)} & c^{(k)} p^{(k)} y^{(k)} & c^{(k)} q^{(k)} & d^{(k)} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1} & 0 \\
r^{(k)} x^{(k)} & r^{(k)} y^{(k)} & t^{(k)} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
z^{(k)} & u^{(k)} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_{\infty}
\end{array}\right),  \tag{2.3}\\
\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
a^{(k)} p^{(k)} x^{(k)} & a^{(k)} p^{(k)} y^{(k)} & a^{(k)} q^{(k)} & 0 & b^{(k)} & 0 \\
c^{(k)} p^{(k)} x^{(k)} & c^{(k)} p^{(k)} y^{(k)} & c^{(k)} q^{(k)} & 0 & d^{(k)} & 0 \\
r^{(k)} x^{(k)} & r^{(k)} y^{(k)} & t^{(k)} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
z^{(k)} & u^{(k)} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_{\infty}
\end{array}\right) . \tag{2.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Both matrices are elements of the double coset containing

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
a^{(k)} p^{(k)} x^{(k)} & a^{(k)} p^{(k)} y^{(k)} & a^{(k)} q^{(k)} & b^{(k)} & 0 & 0  \tag{2.5}\\
c^{(k)} p^{(k)} x^{(k)} & c^{(k)} p^{(k)} y^{(k)} & c^{(k)} q^{(k)} & d^{(k)} & 0 & 0 \\
r^{(k)} x^{(k)} & r^{(k)} y^{(k)} & t^{(k)} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
z^{(k)} & u^{(k)} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_{\infty}
\end{array}\right)
$$

matrix (2.3) is obtained from (2.5) by a permutation of rows, and matrix (2.4) is obtained from (2.5) by a permutation of columns.

Thus we get a category $\mathcal{K}$, whose objects are nonnegative integers, and

$$
\operatorname{Mor}_{\mathcal{K}}(\beta, \alpha):=K(\alpha) \backslash G / K(\beta)
$$

A product is the product of double cosets.
2.3. Involution. The map $g \mapsto g^{-1}$ induces the map $\mathfrak{g} \mapsto \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ of double cosets

$$
K(\alpha) \backslash G / K(\beta) \rightarrow K(\beta) \backslash G / K(\alpha)
$$

Evidently, $(\mathfrak{g} \circ \mathfrak{h})^{*}=\mathfrak{h}^{*} \circ \mathfrak{g}^{*}$.

## 3 Correspondence

### 3.1. A correspondence between pseudomanifolds and symmetric groups.

Denote by $S(L)$ the symmetric group of order $L$. Denote by

$$
S(L)^{n+1}:=S(L) \times \cdots \times S(L)
$$

the direct product of $n+1$ copies of $S(L)$, we assign $n+1$ colors, say, red, blue, orange, etc., to copies of $S(L)$.

Consider a colored pseudomanifold $\Sigma$ with $2 L$ chambers. We say that a labeling of $\Sigma$ is a bijection of the set $\{1,2, \ldots, L\}$ with the set of plus-chambers of $\Sigma$ and a bijection of $\{1,2, \ldots, L\}$ with the set of minus-chambers of $\Sigma$.

Theorem 3.1 There is a canonical one-to-one correspondence between the group $S(L)^{n+1}$ and the set of all labeled colored normal n-dimensional pseudo-manifolds with $2 L$ chambers.

REMARK. This correspondence for $n=2$ was proposed in [12]. Earlier there was a construction of Pezzana-Ferri (1975-1976), 16, [3, 4]. They considered bipartie $(n+1)$-valent graphs whose edges are colored in $(n+1)$ colors, edges adjacent to a given vertex have pairwise different colors. Such graphs correspond to colored pseudomanifolds. In [5]-7] there was considered an action of free product $\mathbb{Z}_{2} * \cdots * \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ of $n$ copies of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ on the set of chambers of a colored pseudomanifold. A construction relative to the present construction was considered in [1].

CONSTRUCTION OF THE CORRESPONDENCE. Indeed, consider a labeled colored normal pseudomanifold $\Sigma$ with $2 L$ chambers. Fix a color (say, blue). Consider all blue $(n-1)$-dimensional faces $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots$ Each blue face $A_{j}$ is contained in the plus-chamber with some label $p(j)$ and in the minus-chamber with some label $q(j)$. We take an element of the symmetric group $S(L)$ setting $p(j) \mapsto q(j)$ for all blue faces $A_{j}$. We repeat the same construction for all colors and obtain a tuple $\left(g^{(1)}, \ldots, g^{(n+1)}\right) \in S(L)^{n+1}$.

Conversely, consider an element of the group $S(L)^{n+1}$. Consider $L$ labeled copies of a colored chamber (plus-chambers) and another collection of $L$ labeled copies of the same chamber with another orientation (minus-chambers). Let the
blue permutation send $\alpha \mapsto \beta$. Then we glue the the plus-chamber with label $\alpha$ with the minus-chamber with label $\beta$ along the blue face (preserving colorings of vertices). The same is done for all colors. The obtained pseudomanifold $\Sigma$ is normal because the normalization procedure from Subsection 1.3 applied to $\Sigma$ produces $\Sigma$ itself.
3.2. The multiplication in symmetric group and pseudomanifolds. Describe the multiplication in $S(L)^{n+1}$ in a geometric language. Consider two labeled colored pseudomanifolds $\Sigma, \Xi$. Remove interiors of minus-chambers of $\Sigma$ remembering a minus-label on each face of a removed chamber, denote the topological space obtained in this way by $\Sigma_{-}$. All $(n-1)$-faces of $\Sigma_{-}$are colored and labeled. In the same way, we remove plus-chambers from $\Xi$ and get a complex $\Xi_{+}$. Next, we glue the corresponding faces of $\Sigma_{-}$and $\Xi_{+}$(with coinciding colors and labels according coloring of vertices). In this way, we get a pseudomanifold and consider its normalization.
3.3. Infinite case. We say that an infinite pseudo-manifold is a disjoint union of a countable collection of compact pseudomanifolds such that all but a finite number of its components are double-chambers.

We define a colored infinite pseudo-manifold as above. A labeled pseudomanifold is a colored pseudomanifold with a numbering of plus-chambers by natural numbers and a numbering of minus-chambers by natural numbers such that all but a finite number of double-chambers have the same labels on both chambers.

Theorem 3.2 There is a canonical one-to-one correspondence between the group $S(\infty)^{n+1}$ and the set of all labeled colored normal infinite pseudomanifolds.

The correspondence is given by the same construction obtained as above.

### 3.4. Equivalence of categories.

Theorem 3.3 The category $\mathcal{K}$ of double cosets and the category PsBor of pseudobordisms are equivalent. The equivalence is given by the following construction.

Correspondence $\operatorname{Mor}_{\mathcal{K}}(\beta, \alpha) \longleftrightarrow \operatorname{Mor}_{\text {PsBor }}(\beta, \alpha)$. Let $\mathfrak{g} \in K(\alpha) \backslash G / K(\beta)$ be a double coset. Let $g \in \mathfrak{g}$ be its representative. Consider the corresponding labeled colored pseudomanifold. A left multiplication $g \mapsto u g$ by an element $u \in K(\alpha)$ is equivalent to a permutation $u$ of labels $\alpha+1, \alpha+2, \ldots$ on pluschambers. A right multiplication $g \mapsto g v$ by an element $v \in K(\beta)$ is equivalent to a permutation of labels $\beta+1, \beta+2, \ldots$ on minus-chambers.

Thus passing to double cosets is equivalent to forgetting labels $>\alpha$ on pluschambers and labels $>\beta$ on minus-chambers. Notice that all but a finite number of double-chambers are label-less. Such label-less double chambers can be forgotten. Thus we get a pseudobordism.

Correspondence of products. Let $g, h$ be representatives of double cosets. Let $\Sigma, \Xi$ be the corresponding infinite labeled colored pseudomanifolds. Let $\Sigma^{\prime}$ correspond to $g \theta_{\beta}[j]$, where $j$ is large. We multiply $g \theta_{\beta}[j]$ by $h$ according to the rule in Subsection 3.1 .

Notice that minus-chambers of $\Sigma^{\prime}$ with labels $>\beta$ are glued with doublechambers. Plus-chambers of $\Xi$ with labels $>\beta$ are also glued with double chambers. Both operations yield a changing of labels on chambers. This means that in fact we glue together only chambers with labels $\leqslant \beta$, in remaining cases we change labels on chambers only. Afterwards we forget all labels which are grater than $\beta$ and get the operation described in Subsection 1.5

## 4 Representations

Here we construct a family of representations of the group $G$. This produces representations of the category of double cosets and therefore representations of the category of pseudobordisms. The construction is a special case of 12 (where the case $n=2$ was considered), more ways of constructions of representations of the group $G$, see in [11, 12].
4.1. The group $\mathbb{G}$. We define an 'intermediate' group $\mathbb{G}$,

$$
S(\infty)^{n+1} \subset \mathbb{G} \subset \bar{S}(\infty)^{n+1}
$$

consisting of tuples $\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n+1}\right) \in \bar{S}(\infty)^{n+1}$ such that $g_{i} g_{j}^{-1} \in S(\infty)$ for all $i$, $j$. Denote by $\mathbb{K} \simeq \bar{S}(\infty)$ the diagonal subgroup consisting of tuples $(g, \ldots, g)$. Define the subgroup $\mathbb{K}(\alpha)$ to be the group of all $(h, \ldots, h)$, where $h$ fixes $1, \ldots$, $\alpha$. Define the topology on $\mathbb{G}$ assuming that subgroups $\mathbb{K}(\alpha)$ are open.

Obviously, there is the identification of double cosets

$$
K(\alpha) \backslash G / K(\beta) \simeq \mathbb{K}(\alpha) \backslash \mathbb{G} / \mathbb{K}(\beta)
$$

4.2. A family of representation of $\mathbb{G}$. Consider $(n+1)$ Hilbert spaces $\sqrt{3}^{3}$ $V_{\text {red }}, V_{\text {orange }}, V_{\text {blue }}, \ldots$ Consider their tensor product

$$
\mathcal{V}=V_{\text {red }} \otimes V_{\text {blue }} \otimes V_{\text {green }} \otimes \ldots
$$

Fix a unit vector $\xi \in \mathcal{V}$. Consider a countable tensor product of Hilbert spaces

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{V}=(\mathcal{V}, \xi) \otimes & (\mathcal{V}, \xi) \otimes(\mathcal{V}, \xi) \otimes \cdots= \\
& =\left(V_{\text {red }} \otimes V_{\text {blue }} \otimes \ldots, \xi\right) \otimes\left(V_{\text {red }} \otimes V_{\text {blue }} \otimes \ldots, \xi\right) \otimes \ldots \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

(for a definition of tensor products, see [18]). Denote

$$
\mathfrak{v}=\xi \otimes \xi \otimes \cdots \in \mathfrak{V} .
$$

We define a representation $\nu$ of $\mathbb{G}$ in $\mathfrak{V}$ in the following way. The 'red' copy of $S(\infty)$ acts by permutations of factors $V_{\text {red }}$. The 'blue' copy $S_{\infty}$ acts by permutation of factors $V_{\text {blue }}$, etc. Thus we get an action of the group $S(\infty)^{n+1}$. The diagonal $\mathbb{K}=\bar{S}(\infty)$ acts by permutations of factors $\mathcal{V}$.

[^1]Remark. For type I groups $H_{1}, H_{2}$ irreducible unitary representations of $H_{1} \times H_{2}$ are tensor products of representations of $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ (see, e.g., [2] 13.1.8). However, $S(\infty)$ is not a type I group. Representations of $S(\infty)^{n+1}$ constructed above are not tensor products of representations of $S(\infty)$.
4.3. Representations of the category $\mathcal{K}$. Consider a unitary representation $\rho$ of the group $\mathbb{G}$ in a Hilbert space $H$. For $\alpha=0,1,2, \ldots$ consider the subspace $H_{\alpha}$ of $\mathbb{K}(\alpha)$-fixed vectors in $H$. Denote by $P_{\alpha}$ the operator of orthogonal projection to $H_{\alpha}$. Let $\mathfrak{g} \in \mathbb{K}(\alpha) \backslash \mathbb{G} / \mathbb{K}(\beta)$ be a double coset, and let $g \in \mathbb{G}$ be its representative. We define an operator

$$
\bar{\rho}(\mathfrak{g}): H_{\beta} \rightarrow H_{\alpha}
$$

by

$$
\bar{\rho}(\mathfrak{g})=\left.P_{\alpha} \rho(g)\right|_{H_{\beta}}
$$

Theorem 4.1 The operator $\bar{\rho}(\mathfrak{g})$ does not depend on the choice of a representative $g \in \mathfrak{g}$. For any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$,

$$
\mathfrak{g} \in \mathbb{K}(\alpha) \backslash \mathbb{G} / \mathbb{K}(\beta), \quad \mathfrak{h} \in \mathbb{K}(\beta) \backslash \mathbb{G} / \mathbb{K}(\gamma)
$$

we have

$$
\bar{\rho}(\mathfrak{g}) \bar{\rho}(\mathfrak{h})=\bar{\rho}(\mathfrak{g} \circ \mathfrak{h})
$$

See a proof for $n=2$ in [12], the general case is completely similar (also this is a special case of [10], Theorem VIII.5.1)

Theorem 4.2 Let $\pi$ be a representation of the category $\mathcal{K}$ in Hilbert spaces compatible with the involution and satisfying $\|\pi(\mathfrak{g})\| \leqslant 1$ for all $\mathfrak{g}$. Then $\pi$ is equivalent to some representation $\bar{\rho}$, where $\rho$ is a unitary representation of $\mathbb{G}$.

This is a special case of [10], Theorem VIII.1.10.
4.4. Spherical functions. In the above example we have

$$
\mathfrak{V}_{\alpha}=\underbrace{(\mathcal{V}, \xi) \otimes \ldots(\mathcal{V}, \xi)}_{\alpha \text { times }} \otimes \xi \otimes \xi \cdots \simeq \mathcal{V}^{\otimes \alpha}
$$

in particular

$$
\mathfrak{V}_{0}=\mathfrak{v}
$$

We wish to write an explicit formula for the spherical function

$$
\Phi(g)=\langle\nu(g) \mathfrak{v}, \mathfrak{v}\rangle
$$

Choose an orthonormal basis in each space $V_{\text {red }}, V_{\text {blue }}, V_{\text {green }}$, etc.

$$
e_{\text {red }}^{i} \in V_{\text {red }}, \quad e_{\text {blue }}^{j} \in V_{\text {blue }}, \quad e_{\text {green }}^{k} \in V_{\text {green }}, \ldots
$$



Figure 4: Arrangement of basis elements on a pseudomanifold

This determines the basis

$$
e_{\text {red }}^{i} \otimes e_{\text {blue }}^{j} \otimes e_{\text {green }}^{k} \otimes \ldots
$$

in $\mathcal{V}$. Expand $\xi$ in this basis,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=\sum x_{i j k \ldots} e_{r e d}^{i} \otimes e_{b l u e}^{j} \otimes e_{g r e e n}^{k} \otimes \ldots \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the double coset $\mathfrak{g}$ containing $g$ and the corresponding colored pseudomanifold $\Sigma$. Assign to each $(n-1)$-face an element of the basis of the corresponding color (in arbitrary way). Fix such arrangement. Consider a chamber $\Delta$, on its faces we have certain basis vectors $e_{\text {red }}^{i}, e_{b l u e}^{j}, e_{g r e e n}^{k}, \ldots$. Then we assign the number $x(\Delta):=x_{i j k \ldots}$ (see the last formula) to $\Delta$.

## Proposition 4.3

$$
\Phi(g)=\sum_{\substack{\text { arangements } \\ \text { of basis elements }}} \prod_{\text {plus-chambers } \Delta} x(\Delta) \cdot \prod_{\text {minus-chambers } \Gamma} \overline{x(\Gamma)}
$$

Proof coincides with proof of Proposition 4.2 in [12].
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