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#### Abstract

We show that the mirror constructions of Greene-Plesser, BerglundHübsch, Batryev-Borsov, Givental and Hori-Vafa can be expressed in terms of what we call dual fans. To do this, we associate to a pair of dual fans a pair of toric Landau-Ginzburg models, and we describe a process by which each of the mirror constructions listed also produces a pair of toric Landau-Ginzburg models. Replacing mirror pairs by toric LandauGinzburg models is reversible, and our main result is the dual fan models and the mirror pairs models coincide.


## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we show that the mirror constructions of Greene-Plesser [GP90], Berglund-Hübsch [BH92], Batyrev-Borisov [BB97], Givental [Giv98], and HoriVafa [HV00] can all be cleanly described in terms of what we call dual fans. These are fans $\Sigma \subset \mathrm{N}, \Sigma^{\prime} \subset \mathrm{M}$ of strongly convex rational polyhedral cones such that

- M and N dual finite rank free abelian groups, and
- $0 \leq\langle m, n\rangle$ for any $m \in\left|\Sigma^{\prime}\right|$ and $n \in|\Sigma|$.

Associated to an ordered pair of dual fans $\left(\Sigma, \Sigma^{\prime}\right)$ is a toric Landau-Ginzburg model. This is a morphism

$$
\mathrm{W}\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right): \mathbf{X}(\Sigma) \times \mathrm{C}\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{C}\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right)}^{1}
$$

[^0]over $C\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}\left[c_{\rho^{\prime}}\right]_{\rho^{\prime} \in \Sigma^{\prime}(1)}$. Here $\mathbf{X}(\Sigma)$ is a toric "variety" (over $\mathbf{Z}$, or $\mathbf{C}$, or $\ldots$ ) formed in the usual way from $\Sigma$, and
$$
\mathrm{W}\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{\rho^{\prime} \in \Sigma^{\prime}(1)} c_{\rho^{\prime}} \chi^{u_{\rho^{\prime}}}
$$
for the character $\chi^{u_{\rho^{\prime}}}$ on $\mathbf{X}(\Sigma)$ corresponding to the integral generator $u_{\rho^{\prime}} \in \mathrm{M}$ of the ray $\rho^{\prime}$. Reversing the roles of the fans $\left(\Sigma^{\prime}, \Sigma\right)$ produces the dual toric Landau-Ginzburg model
$$
\mathrm{W}(\Sigma): \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right) \times \mathrm{C}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{C}(\Sigma)}^{1} .
$$

Depending on the mirror construction, the mirror pair produced is either

- a pair or toric Landau-Ginzburg models $\mathrm{W}: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^{1}$ and $\mathrm{W}^{\prime}: \mathrm{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^{1}$ [BH92],
- complete intersections $\mathrm{Z}, \mathrm{Z}^{\prime}$ in a toric varieties Y and $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ GP90, Bat94, Bor93, BB97], or
- a complete intersection Z in a toric variety Y and a toric Landau-Ginzburg model $\mathrm{W}^{\prime}: \mathrm{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^{1}$ [Giv98, HV00].

The complete intersections are presented as a global section of a split bundle over an ambient toric variety. For instance, $\mathrm{Z} \subseteq \mathrm{Y}$ would be specified as the zero locus of some $g \in \Gamma(\mathrm{Y}, \mathcal{V})$, where $\mathcal{V}=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{c} \mathscr{L}_{i}$ for invertible sheaves $\mathscr{L}_{i}$.

In order to make our comparisons, to any member of a mirror pair we introduce an auxiliary toric Landau-Ginzburg model:

$$
\mathbf{W}: \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}}\right) \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\Gamma}^{1}
$$

where

- $\mathrm{X}= \begin{cases}\mathrm{X} & \text { if the member is } \mathrm{W}: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^{1}, \\ \underline{\text { Spec Sym }^{\bullet} \mathscr{V}} & \text { if the member is specified by } g \in \Gamma(\mathrm{Y}, \mathscr{V}),\end{cases}$
- $\Gamma=\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}\left[\gamma_{m}\right]_{m \in \Xi}$, and
- $\mathbf{W}=\sum_{m \in \Xi} \gamma_{m} \chi^{m}$, where
- $\Xi=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { characters which appear in the expansion } \mathrm{W}=\sum_{m} g_{m} \chi^{m}, \\ \text { characters on } \underline{\text { Spec }^{\circ} \operatorname{Sym}^{\bullet} V} \text { from } \Gamma(\mathrm{Y}, V) .\end{array}\right.$

This toric Landau-Ginzburg model comes with equipped with a specialization ${ }^{2}$ given by $\gamma_{m} \mapsto g_{m}$ where the $g_{m}$ 's come from either the expansion in characters of $\mathrm{W}=\sum_{m} g_{m} \chi^{m}$ or the section $g=\sum_{m} g_{m} \chi^{m}$.

It is somewhat surprising that, provided the specialization $\gamma_{m} \mapsto g_{m}$ is remembered, nothing is lost by replacing the complete intersection or LandauGinzburg model by the auxiliary Landau-Ginzburg model. For instance, given $\mathrm{W}: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^{1}$ it is easy to see that $\mathrm{W}=\mathbf{W}_{g}$. In the case of the complete intersection, observe that from $\mathbf{W}_{g}: \mathrm{X}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}}\right)_{g} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^{1}$ we can recover $\mathrm{Y}, \mathcal{V}, g$ and thus Z as

- Y is the maximal proper toric stratum in $\mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}}\right)_{g}$,
- $V$ is the conormal bundle, $\mathscr{I}_{\mathrm{Y}} / \mathscr{I}_{\mathrm{Y}}^{2}$, of Y in $\mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}}\right)_{g}$, and
- $g=\mathbf{W}_{g}$ since $\mathbf{W}_{g} \in \mathscr{I}_{\mathrm{Y}}$.

This way we treat any mirror pair in the same way: as a pair

$$
\left(\mathbf{W}: \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{X}\right) \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\Gamma}^{1}, \gamma \mapsto g\right) \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\mathbf{W}^{\prime}: \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{X^{\prime}}\right) \times \Gamma^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\Gamma^{\prime}}^{1}, \gamma^{\prime} \mapsto g^{\prime}\right)
$$

Finally, our theorem is this:
Theorem 1.1. For any mirror pair, $\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}}$ and $\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}$ are dual fans, $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma^{\prime}$ are affine spaces (by construction), and there are inclusions as coordinate subspaces

$$
\mathrm{C}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{C}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma^{\prime}
$$

such that the toric Landau-Ginzburg models given by the fans

$$
\mathrm{W}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}\right): \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}}\right) \times \mathrm{C}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{C}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}\right)}^{1} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{W}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}}\right): \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}\right) \times \mathrm{C}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{C}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}}\right)}^{1}
$$

are obtained from

$$
\mathbf{W}: \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}}\right) \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\Gamma}^{1} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathbf{W}^{\prime}: \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}\right) \times \Gamma^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\Gamma^{\prime}}^{1}
$$

by base change.
Furthermore, if the mirror partner producing $\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}}$ is a Landau-Ginzberg model (as opposed to a complete intersection) then then $\mathrm{C}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma^{\prime}$ is an isomorphism.

[^1]Remark 1.2. Exhibiting $\Sigma_{X}$ and $\Sigma_{X^{\prime}}$ as dual fans implies that the character group $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X}}$ and the one-parameter subgroups $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}$ are identified. In all the constructions here, except Greene-Plesser, this identification is built-in. For the Greene-Plesser construction, there is a unique identification that makes the theorem true.

Remark 1.3. There is no guarantee that the map given by the specialization $\gamma \mapsto g$ factors through $C\left(\Sigma_{X^{\prime}}\right)$. Nevertheless, these constructions are described by dual fans. If the mirror object is a Landau-Ginzburg model, then $\mathrm{C}(\Sigma)=\Gamma^{\prime}$ so the specialization factors. In the case of a complete intersection, there is a process by which one can recover $\Gamma$ from ( $\Sigma, \Sigma^{\prime}$ ). Simply ask
"Does $\mathrm{W}\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right): \mathbf{X}(\Sigma) \times \mathrm{C}\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{C}\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right)}^{1}$ look like it came from $g \in \Gamma(\mathrm{Y}, V)$, for some $Y$, and $V$ ?"

When the answer is "Yes," then we can recover recover Y and $\mathscr{V}$ as before, and enlarge the base from $C\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right)$ to $\Gamma$.

We conclude the introduction some speculative remarks about dual fan mirror symmetry.

Remark 1.4. In light of Theorem 1.1 an immediate question is "To what extent do the computations normally compared in mirror symmetry match for the dual toric Landau-Ginzburg models formed from dual fans?"

Theorem [1.1 provides a partial answer to this question in that in many cases both Hodge diamonds [BH92, GP90, BB97, Kra10] and Gromov-Witten invariants match [Giv98].

An additional question that arises when one considers the birational transformations that happen as one moves about within a toric variety's GKZ fan [GKZ94] is "To what extent do mirror computations depend on $\Sigma(1)$ and $\Sigma^{\prime}(1)$ alone?"

## 2 Toric geometry

We review here some basic constructions in toric geometry, and give a description of the fan of a toric variety of the form $\mathrm{X}=\underline{\operatorname{Spec}^{S y m}}{ }^{\bullet} \sqrt{ }$ in Corollary 2.17

### 2.1 Fans

Definition 2.1. A rational polyhedral cone $\sigma$ is a subset of a $\mathbf{Q}$-vector space V that can be written

$$
\left\{r_{1} v_{1}+\cdots+r_{s} v_{s} \mid r_{i} \geq 0\right\}
$$

for a finite set of vectors $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{s} \in \mathrm{~V}$. The vectors $\nu_{1}, \ldots, v_{s}$ are said to generate $\sigma$.

Definition 2.2. A face of a rational polyhedral cone $\sigma$ in V is a subset of the form

$$
\{\nu \in \sigma \mid \ell(\nu)=0\}
$$

for a linear functional $\ell: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$ with the property $\{v \in \sigma \mid \ell(\nu)<0\}$ is empty. A maximal proper face is called a facet.

Definition 2.3. The dimension of a cone $\sigma$ is the dimension of the vector space $\operatorname{span}_{\mathbf{Q}} \sigma$.

Definition 2.4. A cone $\sigma$ is called strongly convex if $0 \in \mathrm{~V}$ is a face of $\sigma$.
Definition 2.5. A 1-dimensional strongly convex cone $\rho \subset N$ is called ray. The monoid $\rho \cap \mathrm{N}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{N}$, and we denote its generator by $u_{\rho}$.

Definition 2.6. Given a finitely generated free abelian group N , a fan $\Sigma$ in N is a collection of strongly convex rational cones in $N_{\mathbf{Q}}=N \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}$ such that

- The face of any cone is a member of $\Sigma$, and
- The intersection of any two cones in $\Sigma$ is a face of each.

Definition 2.7. The set of $k$-dimensional cones in a fan $\Sigma$ is denoted $\Sigma(k)$.

### 2.2 The toric variety of a fan

We recall the standard procedure [Dem70] by which one produces a scheme from a fan.

Definition 2.8. Given a cone $\sigma$ in V , the dual cone $\sigma^{\vee}$ is the subset of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathrm{V}, \mathbf{Q})$ made up of elements

$$
\{\ell \mid \ell(v) \geq 0, \forall v \in \sigma\} .
$$

Definition 2.9. If $(\tau,+)$ is a commutative monoid, we denote the monoid algebra by $\mathbf{Z}[\tau]$. For an element of $\tau$, we often write the associated monomial with the element as the exponent of a base variable. For instance, if $\nu, \nu^{\prime} \in \tau$, and $x$ as the base variable we have

$$
x^{\nu} \cdot x^{\nu^{\prime}}=x^{\nu+v^{\prime}} .
$$

Definition 2.10. Denote $\mathrm{M}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{Z}}(\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Z})$. Associated to a fan $\Sigma \subset \mathrm{N}$ there is an integral Noetherian scheme $\mathbf{X}(\Sigma)$ with rational functions

$$
\mathbf{Q}[\mathrm{M}]
$$

and an open cover

$$
\mathrm{U}_{\sigma}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}\left[\sigma^{\vee} \cap \mathrm{M}\right]
$$

for $\sigma \in \Sigma$. This is called the toric scheme ${ }^{3}$ of $\Sigma$.
Remark 2.11. Setting $U_{\sigma}=\operatorname{Spec} C\left[\sigma^{\vee} \cap M\right]$ in the above definition yields the usual construction of a toric variety over $\mathbf{C}$. This can also be achieved by changing base from $\mathbf{Z}$ to $\mathbf{C}$.

### 2.2.1 Quotient fans

It is often convenient to describe a fan $\Sigma \subset \mathrm{N}$ as the image under a homomorphism Q: $\tilde{\mathrm{N}} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}$ of a fan $\tilde{\Sigma} \subset \tilde{\mathrm{N}}$. This makes sense provided

$$
\Sigma=\{\mathrm{P}(\sigma) \subset \mathrm{N} \mid \sigma \in \tilde{\Sigma}\}
$$

is a fan. Under this assumption, the resulting toric scheme $\mathbf{X}(\Sigma)$ is the product

$$
\mathbf{X}(\tilde{\Sigma}) / \mathrm{G} \times \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[\mathrm{K}],
$$

where $K$ is the kernel and $C$ is the cokernel of the map $Q^{t}: M \rightarrow \tilde{M}$, and $G=$ Spec Z[C].

This follows easily from the fact that the assignment $\Lambda \mapsto \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[\Lambda]$ is a version of Cartier duality. If one defines the Cartier dual of $\mathbf{Z}$ to be $\mathbf{G}_{m}$, then this fits in perfectly with the fact that the big torus a toric scheme is the spectrum of the group ring of its characters. When the abelian group is finite, the Cartier dual equals the Pontryagin dual.

[^2]
### 2.3 Normal fans

Definition 2.12. A rational convex polyhedral set $P \subseteq M_{R}$ is any subset of the form

$$
\mathrm{P}=\left\{m \in \mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{R}} \mid \mathrm{A}(m)+\ell \geq 0\right\}
$$

for a homomorphism A: M $\rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^{n}$ and an element $\ell \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$. The dimension of P is the dimension of the vector space $\operatorname{span}_{\mathbf{R}} P$. If $\operatorname{dim} P=\operatorname{dim} M_{\mathbf{R}}$, then $P$ is called full dimensional.
Definition 2.13. A full dimensional rational convex polyhedral set $P$ defines an normal fan $\Sigma_{\mathrm{P}} \subset N$. The cones of $\Sigma_{\mathrm{P}}$ are labeled by faces F of P:

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{F}}=\left\{n \in \mathrm{~N}_{\mathbf{Q}} \mid n\left(p-p_{\mathrm{F}}\right) \geq 0 \text { for all } p \in \mathrm{P} \text { and } p_{\mathrm{F}} \in \mathrm{~F}\right\} .
$$

### 2.4 Completely split bundles over a toric variety.

Definition 2.14. Given a fan in $\Sigma \subset N$, to each ray $\rho \in \Sigma(1)$ we have a torus invariant Weil divisor $D_{\rho}$ whose valuation on characters

$$
\mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} .
$$

is given by $m \mapsto\left\langle m, u_{\rho}\right\rangle$.
Theorem 2.15. CLST1, Theorem 4.2.8 (a) and (c)] An element $\mathrm{D}=\sum_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} a_{\rho} \mathrm{D}_{\rho} \in$ $\mathbf{Z}^{\Sigma(1)}$ defines a Cartier divisor on Y if and only if for each cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$ there is a homomorphism $a_{\sigma}: \sigma \cap \mathrm{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ such that $a_{\sigma}\left(u_{\rho}\right)=a_{\rho}$ for all 1 -cones $\rho \subseteq \sigma$.
Proposition 2.16. (line bundle fan) Let $\mathrm{D}=\sum_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} a_{\rho} \mathrm{D}_{\rho} \in \mathbf{Z}^{\Sigma(1)}$ be a Cartier divisor on the toric variety Y . Set $V=\mathscr{O}(\mathrm{D})$, and

$$
\mathrm{X}=\underline{\operatorname{Spec}^{S y m}}{ }^{\bullet} \mathcal{V}
$$

Then X is toric with fan $\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}} \subset \mathrm{N} \oplus \mathbf{Z}$. The cones of $\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}}$ can be described in terms of those of $\Sigma_{\mathrm{Y}}$ : For each $\sigma \in \Sigma$, we have the lifted cone

$$
\hat{\sigma}_{0}=\text { the cone generated by }\left\{\left(u_{\rho}, a_{\rho}\right) \mid \rho \in \sigma(1)\right\}
$$

and the over cone

$$
\hat{\sigma}=\hat{\sigma}_{0}+\hat{\rho}_{v e r t}
$$

where the second summand is the vertical ray

$$
\hat{\rho}_{\text {vert }}=\mathbf{Q}_{\geq 0} \cdot(0,1) .
$$

Proof. Choose a rational section $p$ of $\mathscr{O}(\mathrm{D})$ whose divisor is D . Since Y is integral so is $X$, and we can identify the rational functions on $X$ with $\mathbf{Q}[M \oplus \mathbf{Z}]$, where the $\mathbf{Z}$ summand corresponds to powers of $p$.

Now consider X over $\mathrm{U}_{\sigma} \subseteq \mathrm{Y}$ for some $\sigma \in \Sigma$. The regular functions on the affine scheme $X \times_{Y} U_{\sigma}$ are given by a subring of $\mathbf{Q}[M \oplus \mathbf{Z}]$. Indeed, we may immediately restrict to $\mathbf{Z}[M \oplus \mathbf{Z}]$. The divisor of the monomial $y^{m} p^{\ell}$ on $X \times_{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{U}_{\sigma}$ is

$$
\ell\left(\mathrm{Y} \cap \mathrm{U}_{\sigma}\right)+\sum_{\rho \in \sigma(1)}\left(u_{\rho}(m)-\ell a_{\rho}\right) \quad \mathrm{L} \times_{\mathrm{Y}}\left(\mathrm{D}_{\rho} \cap \mathrm{U}_{\sigma}\right)
$$

where we have written $\mathrm{Y} \cap \mathrm{U}_{\sigma}$ for the copy of $\mathrm{U}_{\sigma}$ in the zero section. Thus it is regular on $\mathrm{X} \times_{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{U}_{\sigma}$ if and only if $\ell \geq 0$ and $u_{\rho}(m)-\ell a_{\rho} \geq 0$ for all $\rho \in \sigma(1)$. These conditions cut out a monoid in $\mathbf{M} \oplus \mathbf{Z}$, and thus a subring of $\mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{M} \oplus \mathbf{Z}]$.

The elements $\hat{\sigma}$ in $(\mathrm{N} \oplus \mathbf{Z})_{\mathbf{Q}}$ which evaluate positively on this monoid are exactly the elements in the cone generated by $(0,1)$ and ( $u_{\rho}, a_{\rho}$ ). This is the cone $\hat{\sigma}$. The cone $\hat{\sigma}_{0}$ is a face of this cone, and it is straightforward to check that these cones form a fan, and the corresponding open sets $U_{\hat{\sigma}}=X \times_{Y} U_{\sigma}$ cover X.
Corollary 2.17. (split bundle fan) Iterating this procedure, one can describe

$$
\mathrm{X}=\underline{\operatorname{Spec} \operatorname{Sym}^{\bullet} \mathcal{V}}
$$

for $V=\oplus_{i=1}^{c} \mathscr{L}_{i}$ where $\mathscr{L}_{i}=\mathscr{O}\left(\mathrm{D}^{(i)}\right)$ for a Cartier divisor $\mathrm{D}^{(i)}=\sum_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} a_{\rho}^{(i)} \mathrm{D}_{\rho}$ by a fan

$$
\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}} \subset \mathrm{~N} \oplus \mathbf{Z}^{c}
$$

whose cones can be written in term of those of $\Sigma_{\mathrm{Y}}$ by setting

$$
\hat{\sigma}_{(0, \ldots, 0)}=\text { the cone generated by }\left\{\left(u_{\rho}, a_{\rho}^{(1)}, \ldots, a_{\rho}^{(c)}\right) \mid \rho \in \sigma(1)\right\}
$$

and then

$$
\hat{\sigma}_{\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{c}\right)}=\hat{\sigma}_{(0, \ldots, 0)}+\sum_{i} \mathbf{Q}_{\geq 0} \cdot b_{i}\left(0, e_{i}^{\vee}\right)
$$

where $b_{i} \in\{0,1\}$ and $e_{i}^{\vee}$ is the $i^{\text {th }}$ standard basis vector of $\mathbf{Q}^{c}$.

### 2.5 Global functions on toric varieties.

Proposition 2.18. (regularity) $A$ rational function $\mathrm{W}=\sum_{\chi} c_{\chi} \chi$ expanded in characters on a toric variety X is an element of $\mathscr{O}_{\mathrm{X}}(\mathrm{X})$ if and only if for each $\chi$ with non-zero coefficient in the expansion and all $\rho \in \Sigma_{\mathrm{X}}(1)$

$$
\left\langle\chi, u_{\rho}\right\rangle \geq 0
$$

Corollary 2.19. (dual fans and regularity) The following are equivalent:

- $\left(\Sigma, \Sigma^{\prime}\right)$ are dual fans.
- $\mathrm{W}\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right)$ is a regular function on $\mathbf{X}(\Sigma) \times \mathrm{C}\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right)$.
- $\mathrm{W}(\Sigma)$ is a regular function on $\mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right) \times \mathrm{C}(\Sigma)$.


## 3 Comparison to existing constructions

We now make our way through the mirror constructions, verifying Theorem 1.1. In each case the main steps we take are to

- recall the original mirror construction,
- identify the auxiliary data $\left(\Sigma_{X}, \Gamma, \mathbf{W}, \gamma \mapsto g\right.$, and $\left.\Sigma_{X^{\prime}}, \Gamma^{\prime}, \mathbf{W}^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime} \mapsto g^{\prime}\right)$,
- verify $\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}}$ and $\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}$ are dual fans,
- define inclusions $C\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma$ and $C(\Sigma) \rightarrow \Gamma^{\prime}$, and finally
- we verify that the LGs given by the dual fans $\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}}$ and $\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}$ are produced by base change to $C\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right)$ and $C(\Sigma)$ from the auxiliary ones.


### 3.1 The quintic threefold [CdIOGP91]

Here both sides of the mirror are hypersurfaces, so $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma^{\prime}$ are the affine spaces of global sections, and $\mathbf{W}$ and $\mathbf{W}^{\prime}$ are the universal sections considered as functions.

Definition 3.1. (Candelas-de la Ossa-Green-Parks family of quintics and its mirror) Candelas-de la Ossa-Green-Parks [CdlOGP91] considers the family depending on the parameter $\psi$ of subschemes of $\mathbf{P}^{4}$ defined by the polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\mathrm{Y}_{0}^{5}+\mathrm{Y}_{1}^{5}+\mathrm{Y}_{2}^{5}+\mathrm{Y}_{3}^{5}+\mathrm{Y}_{4}^{5}-5 \psi \mathrm{Y}_{0} \mathrm{Y}_{1} \mathrm{Y}_{2} \mathrm{Y}_{3} \mathrm{Y}_{4} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The mirror family is formed by taking a $(\mathbf{Z} / 5 \mathbf{Z})^{3}$ quotient of these quintics. Explicitly, the group action is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}, \zeta_{3}\right) \star\left(\mathrm{Y}_{0}, \mathrm{Y}_{1}, \mathrm{Y}_{2}, \mathrm{Y}_{3}\right)=\left(\mathrm{Y}_{0}, \zeta_{1} \mathrm{Y}_{1}, \zeta_{2} \mathrm{Y}_{2}, \zeta_{3} \mathrm{Y}_{3},\left(\zeta_{1} \zeta_{2} \zeta_{3}\right)^{4} \mathrm{Y}_{4}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $\zeta$ 's are $5^{\text {th }}$ roots of unity.

Definition 3.2. (base fan) Writing $\mathrm{F}(-)$ for the free group, the fan of $\mathbf{P}^{4}$ is

$$
\Sigma_{\mathrm{Y}} \subset \mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{F}\left(\left\{u_{\rho_{0}}, u_{\rho_{1}}, u_{\rho_{2}}, u_{\rho_{3}}, u_{\rho_{4}}\right\}\right) /\left\langle u_{\rho_{0}}+u_{\rho_{1}}+u_{\rho_{2}}+u_{\rho_{3}}+u_{\rho_{4}}\right\rangle
$$

with cones given by the $\mathbf{Q}_{\geq 0}$-spans of the subsets of size $\leq 4$ of the generators.
Proposition 3.3. $\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}}\right)$ With $\mathcal{V}=\mathscr{O}(5)$, the fan of the toric variety $\mathrm{X}=\underline{\text { SpecSym•} \sqrt{ }}$ is

$$
\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}} \subset \overline{\mathrm{~N}}=\mathrm{N} \oplus \mathbf{Z}
$$

with cones given by the $\mathbf{Q}_{\geq 0}$-spans of the subsets of

$$
\left\{(0,1),\left(u_{\rho_{0}}, 1\right),\left(u_{\rho_{1}}, 1\right),\left(u_{\rho_{2}}, 1\right),\left(u_{\rho_{3}}, 1\right),\left(u_{\rho_{4}}, 1\right)\right\}
$$

that exclude at least one element of the form $\left(u_{\rho_{i}}, 1\right)$.
Proof. Following the method of Proposition 2.16, we choose the divisor

$$
\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{D}_{0}+\mathrm{D}_{1}+\mathrm{D}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{3}+\mathrm{D}_{4}
$$

where $\mathrm{D}_{i}=\left\{\left[\mathrm{Y}_{0}: \cdots: \mathrm{Y}_{4}\right] \mid \mathrm{Y}_{i}=0\right\}$. Then by excluding at least one of the lifted rays in forming our cones, we get all the cones described in the proposition.

Definition 3.4. (invariant characters) The characters $\overline{\mathrm{M}}$ on X are naturally thought of as those Laurent monomials in $\mathrm{Y}_{0}, \ldots, \mathrm{Y}_{4}$ with degree divisible by 5 . Write $\overline{\mathrm{M}}^{\prime} \subseteq \overline{\mathrm{M}}$ for those monomials invariant under the action of $(\mathbf{Z} / 5 \mathbf{Z})^{3}$ given in Equation (2), and set $\bar{N}^{\prime}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}\left(\overline{\mathrm{M}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{Z}\right)$.
Proposition 3.5. $\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}\right)$ The fan $\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}} \subset \overline{\mathrm{N}}^{\prime}$ of $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}=\mathrm{X} /(\mathbf{Z} / 5 \mathbf{Z})^{3}$ is the quotient fan of $\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}}$ under the map $\overline{\mathrm{N}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{N}}^{\prime}$ transpose to the inclusion $\overline{\mathrm{M}}^{\prime} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{M}}$.

Proof. This is an example of a situation in which the quotient is presented by the quotient fan as described in Subsection 2.2.1.

Proposition 3.6. $\left(\overline{\mathrm{N}}^{\prime}=\overline{\mathrm{M}}\right)$ The assignment

$$
(n, k) \mapsto\left(\mathrm{Y}_{0} \mathrm{Y}_{1} \mathrm{Y}_{2} \mathrm{Y}_{3} \mathrm{Y}_{4}\right)^{k} \prod_{i}\left(\mathrm{Y}_{i}^{5} / \mathrm{Y}_{0} \mathrm{Y}_{1} \mathrm{Y}_{2} \mathrm{Y}_{3} \mathrm{Y}_{4}\right)^{n_{i}}
$$

for $n=n_{0} u_{\rho_{0}}+\cdots+n_{5} u_{\rho_{5}} \in \mathrm{~N}$ and $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ injectively maps $\overline{\mathrm{N}}$ into $\overline{\mathrm{M}}$ and with image $\overline{\mathrm{M}}^{\prime}$. The transpose isomorphism $\overline{\mathrm{N}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{M}}$ allows us to meaningfully write

$$
\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}} \subset \overline{\mathrm{M}}
$$

Proof. To be sure, $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ is made up of monomials with degree 0 , and is freely generated by those with exponent vectors

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{(-1,4,-1,-1,-1),(-1,-1,4,-1,-1),(-1,-1,-1,4,-1),(-1,-1,-1,-1,4)\} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A computational check shows the assignment

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\rho_{i}} \mapsto \mathrm{Y}_{i}^{5} / \mathrm{Y}_{0} \mathrm{Y}_{1} \mathrm{Y}_{2} \mathrm{Y}_{3} \mathrm{Y}_{4} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

gives a well defined homomorphism and identifies N and $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$. Finally, sending $(0,1) \mapsto \mathrm{Y}_{0} \mathrm{Y}_{1} \mathrm{Y}_{2} \mathrm{Y}_{3} \mathrm{Y}_{4}$ and

$$
\overline{\mathrm{M}}^{\prime}=\bigcup_{k}\left(\mathrm{Y}_{0} \mathrm{Y}_{1} \mathrm{Y}_{2} \mathrm{Y}_{3} \mathrm{Y}_{4}\right)^{k} \mathrm{M}^{\prime}
$$

completes the isomorphism $\overline{\mathrm{M}}^{\prime}=\overline{\mathrm{N}}$.
Proposition 3.7. ( $\Gamma, \mathbf{W}, \gamma \mapsto g)$ The global sections $\Gamma\left(\mathbf{P}^{4}, V\right)$ considered as functions on X has a basis of monomials $\Xi=\left\{\right.$ degree 5 monomials in $\left.\mathrm{Y}_{0}, \cdots, \mathrm{Y}_{4}\right\}$. Thus,

$$
\Gamma=\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}\left[\gamma_{m}\right]_{m \in \Xi}
$$

and the auxiliary Landau-Ginzburg model of the quintic is

$$
\mathbf{W}: \mathbf{X}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}}\right)_{\Gamma} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\Gamma}^{1}
$$

where

$$
\mathbf{W}=\sum_{m \in \Xi} \gamma_{m} \mathrm{Y}^{m}
$$

The specialization

$$
\mathbf{A}_{\psi}^{1} \rightarrow \Gamma \quad \text { given by sending } \quad \gamma_{m} \mapsto\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
-5 \psi & \text { if } m=\mathrm{Y}_{0} \mathrm{Y}_{1} \mathrm{Y}_{2} \mathrm{Y}_{3} \mathrm{Y}_{4} \\
1 & \text { if } m=\mathrm{Y}_{i}^{5} \text { for some } i \\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

sets the coefficients to those used in (7).
Proof. These statements are immediate.

Proposition 3.8. $\left(\Gamma^{\prime}, \mathbf{W}^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime} \mapsto g^{\prime}\right)$ Functions on $X^{\prime}$ which pullback to elements of $\Gamma(\mathrm{Y}, \sqrt[V]{ })$ have a basis of monomials

$$
\Xi^{\prime}=\left\{\text { degree } 5 \text { monomials in } \mathrm{Y}_{0}, \cdots, \mathrm{Y}_{4} \text { invariant under the action }(\mathbf{Z} / 5 \mathbf{Z})^{3}\right\} .
$$

Thus

$$
\Gamma^{\prime}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}\left[\gamma_{m}^{\prime}\right]_{m \in \Xi^{\prime}}
$$

and the auxiliary Landau-Ginzburg model of the quintic-mirror is

$$
\mathbf{W}^{\prime}: \mathrm{X}\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right)_{\Gamma^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\Gamma^{\prime}}^{1}
$$

where

$$
\mathbf{W}^{\prime}=\sum_{m \in \Xi^{\prime}} \gamma_{m}^{\prime} \mathrm{Y}^{m}
$$

The specialization

$$
\mathbf{A}_{\psi}^{1} \rightarrow \Gamma^{\prime} \quad \text { given by sending } \quad \gamma_{m}^{\prime} \mapsto\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
-5 \psi & \text { if } m=\mathrm{Y}_{0} \mathrm{Y}_{1} \mathrm{Y}_{2} \mathrm{Y}_{3} \mathrm{Y}_{4} \\
1 & \text { if } m=\mathrm{Y}_{i}^{5} \text { for some } i, \\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

sets the coefficients to those used in (7).
Proof. These statements are immediate.
Theorem 3.9. (quintic main) $\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}}$ and $\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}$ are dual fans,

- $\mathrm{C}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}}\right), \mathrm{C}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}\right)$ and $\Gamma^{\prime}$ are isomorphic,
- there is a closed immersion $\Gamma^{\prime} \hookrightarrow \Gamma$, and
- a closed immersion $\mathbf{A}_{\psi}^{1} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{C}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}}\right)$,
such that the dual toric Landau-Ginzburg models of $\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}}$ and $\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}$ are obtained from the associated toric Landau-Ginzburg models of Proposition 3.7 via base change, and the specializations of Proposition 3.7 factor though the map $\mathbf{A}_{\psi}^{1} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{C}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}}\right)$.

Proof. The map in Equation (4) produces the map $\mathrm{C}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}}\right) \hookrightarrow \Gamma^{\prime}$ by sending

- $c_{\left(u_{P_{i}}, 1\right)} \mapsto \gamma_{Y_{i}^{5}}^{\prime}$ and
- $c_{(0,1)} \mapsto \gamma_{\mathrm{Y}_{0} \mathrm{Y}_{1} \mathrm{Y}_{2} \mathrm{Y}_{3} \mathrm{Y}_{4}}^{\prime}$.

Most of these statements follow from explicitly writing the maps involved. The closed immersion $\Gamma^{\prime} \hookrightarrow \Gamma$ sends $\gamma_{m} \mapsto \gamma_{m}^{\prime}$ if $m$ is invariant under the $(\mathbf{Z} / 5 \mathbf{Z})^{3}$ action, and 0 otherwise. The isomorphism $\mathrm{C}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{C}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}}\right)$ comes from the fact that the 1-cones are in bijection under the quotient map $\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}} \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}$.

The expression

$$
c_{\left(\rho_{0}, 1\right)} \mathrm{Y}_{0}^{5}+c_{\left(\rho_{1}, 1\right)} \mathrm{Y}_{1}^{5}+c_{\left(\rho_{2}, 1\right)} \mathrm{Y}_{2}^{5}+c_{\left(\rho_{3}, 1\right)} \mathrm{Y}_{3}^{5}+c_{\left(\rho_{4}, 1\right)} \mathrm{Y}_{4}^{5}+c_{(0,1)} \mathrm{Y}_{0} \mathrm{Y}_{1} \mathrm{Y}_{2} \mathrm{Y}_{3} \mathrm{Y}_{4}
$$

for $\mathrm{W}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}}\right)$ produces most of the rest. In light of the identification $\mathrm{C}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{C}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}}\right)$ this is also an expression for $\mathrm{W}\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right)$, and this immediately yields the base-change statements. The regularity of these functions guarantees the fans are dual by the observation made in Proposition 2.18,

The remaining question whether $\mathrm{C}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}}\right) \hookrightarrow \Gamma^{\prime}$ is an isomorphism is settled by noticing the matrix with rows from Equation (3) is the map $\mathrm{M}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}$ in the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathrm{M}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{M} \rightarrow(\mathbf{Z} / 5 \mathbf{Z})^{3} \rightarrow 0
$$

### 3.2 Berglund-Hübsch-Krawitz [BH92] [Kra10].

In this case, both sides of the mirror are Landau-Ginzburg models so $\mathbf{W}$ and $\mathbf{W}^{\prime}$ are formed by simply inserting variables for the coefficients of W and $\mathrm{W}^{\prime} . \Gamma$ and $\Gamma^{\prime}$ are the affine spaces with these coefficient variables as coordinates.

Definition 3.10. (polynomials and phase symmetries of a matrix) The duality of Berglund-Hübsch [BH92] is based on invertible matrices with nonnegative integer entries. Given such an $(n+1) \times(n+1)$-matrix $\mathrm{P}=\left(p_{i j}\right)_{i j}$, one can define the surjective group homomorphism

$$
\mathscr{F}(\mathrm{P}):\left(\mathbf{C}^{\times}\right)^{n+1} \rightarrow\left(\mathbf{C}^{\times}\right)^{n+1}
$$

given by the assignment $y_{j} \mapsto x_{0}^{p_{0 j}} \cdots x_{n}^{p_{n j}}$. Abstractly, $\mathscr{F}(-)=$ Spec $\mathbf{C}[-]$. From this we have a polynomial

$$
\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{P}}=\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n} y_{j}\right) \circ \mathscr{F}(\mathrm{P})=\sum_{j=0}^{n} \prod_{i=0}^{n} x_{i}^{p_{i j}},
$$

and a group of phase symmetries

$$
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{P}}=\operatorname{ker} \mathscr{F}(\mathrm{P}) .
$$

The transpose matrix $\mathrm{P}^{t}$ defines what is referred to as the transpose polynomial $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{P}^{t}}$ and the transpose phase symmetries $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{P}^{t}}$.

Definition 3.11. (quantum symmetries and BH mirror criterion) The BerglundHübsch [BH92] mirror criterion is formulated in terms of choices of groups of quantum symmetries $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{P}} \leq \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{P}}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{P}^{t}} \leq \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{P}^{t}}$. These can be any subgroups and constitute a dual pair if the cokernels $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{p}}$ in

$$
1 \rightarrow \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{P}} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{P}} \rightarrow \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{P}} \rightarrow 1
$$

and $\mathrm{Gp}_{\mathrm{p}}$ in

$$
1 \rightarrow \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{P}^{t}} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{P}^{t}} \rightarrow \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{P}^{t}} \rightarrow \mathrm{l}
$$

satisfy $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{P}} \cong \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{P} t}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{P}^{t}} \cong \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{P}}$. Typically such a pair is presented as

$$
\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{P}}, \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{P}}\right),\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{P}^{t}}, \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{P}^{t}}\right) .
$$

Definition 3.12. (Krawitz's dual group) Krawitz [Kral0] discovered a way to systematically produce a group $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{P}}^{t} \leq \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}^{t}}$ dual to any given group of quantum symmetries Qp. A reformulation Krawitz's dual by Clarke [Cla] first considers the diagram of character groups

associated to the homomorphisms

$\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{P}}^{t}$ is then defined to be the the kernel of

$$
\mathscr{F}(\mathrm{B}):\left(\mathbf{C}^{\times}\right)^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}^{\prime}
$$

$\mathrm{U}^{\prime}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{C}[\mathrm{N}]$ for $\mathrm{N}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{Z})$.

Definition 3.13. (BHK mirror LG models) Berglund-Hubsch-Krawitz mirror pairs arise as Landau-Ginzburg models associated to ( $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{P}}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{P}^{t}, \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{P}}^{t}$ ). The Landau-Ginzburg model associated P and a group of quantum symmetries $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{P}}$, is

$$
\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{P}}: \mathbf{C}^{n+1} / \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{P}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} .
$$

In the same way $\mathrm{P}^{t}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{P}}^{t}$ defines a Landau-Ginzburg model. Together, these two constitute a BHK mirror pair.

Proposition 3.14. $\left(\Sigma, \Sigma^{\prime}\right)$ The fan $\Sigma$ of $\mathrm{C}^{n+1} / \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{P}}$ is the image of the positive orthant fan under the map $\mathrm{A}^{t}: \mathbf{Z}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~N}$, and $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{P}}$ is given by the image $\Sigma^{\prime}$ of the positive orthant fan under the map B: $\mathbf{Z}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}$ of Equation (5).

Proof. This is an example of the situation in which the geometric quotient is presented by the quotient fan as described in Subsection 2.2.1.

Definition 3.15. (W, $\mathbf{W}^{\prime}, \Gamma, \Gamma^{\prime}$ and $\gamma \mapsto g, \gamma^{\prime} \mapsto g^{\prime}$ ) For the auxiliary LandauGinzburg models we have

- $\mathbf{W}=\sum_{j=0}^{n} \curlyvee_{j} \prod_{i=0}^{n} x_{i}^{p_{i j}}$, and
- $\mathbf{W}^{\prime}=\sum_{i=0}^{n} \gamma_{i}^{\prime} \prod_{j=0}^{n} x^{\prime \prime}{ }_{j}{ }_{i j}$
where
- $\Gamma=\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}\left[\gamma_{j}\right]_{j}$, and
- $\Gamma^{\prime}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}\left[\gamma_{i}^{\prime}\right]_{i}$.

The specializations to recover the BHK mirrors set all the $\gamma$ and $\gamma^{\prime}$ variables to 1.

Theorem 3.16. (BHK main) The fans $\left(\Sigma, \Sigma^{\prime}\right)$ are dual with

$$
p_{i j}=u_{\rho_{j}^{\prime}}\left(u_{\rho_{i}}\right),
$$

there are isomorphisms $\mathrm{C}\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right)=\Gamma, \mathrm{C}(\Sigma)=\Gamma^{\prime}, \mathrm{W}\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right)=\mathbf{W}, \mathrm{W}(\Sigma)=\mathbf{W}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{P}}$ and $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{P}^{t}}$ are obtained from these via base change.

Proof. These statements are immediate from the definition and considerations above.

### 3.3 Batyrev-Borisov [BB97]

Some work is required to explicitly extract the mirror complete intersections in this construction. $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma^{\prime}$ are the affine spaces of global sections, and $\mathbf{W}$ and $\mathbf{W}^{\prime}$ are the universal sections considered as functions.

### 3.3.1 Gorenstein cones and splittings.

Batyrev-Borisov mirror symmetry [BB97] is centered on complete splittings of reflexive Gorenstein cones.

Definition 3.17. A full dimensional cone $K$ in a the reification $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{\mathbf{R}}$ of a finite rank free abelian group $\overline{\mathrm{M}}$ is called Gorenstein if there is a homomorphism $\ell^{\vee}: K \cap \bar{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ such that $K \cap \overline{\mathrm{M}}$ is generated as a monoid by $\left(\ell^{\vee}\right)^{-1}(\{1\})$.

Definition 3.18. A Gorenstein cone $K$ is called reflexive if the dual cone $K^{\vee}$ is also Gorenstein. The number $\ell^{\vee}(\ell)=r$ is called the index of $K$.

Definition 3.19. A complete splitting of an index $r$ reflexive Gorenstein cone $\mathrm{K} \subseteq \overline{\mathrm{M}}$ is a set of non-zero elements $\mathrm{E}=\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r}\right\} \subseteq \mathrm{K} \cap \overline{\mathrm{M}}$ such that

$$
\ell=e_{1}+\cdots+e_{r} .
$$

### 3.3.2 Dual splittings, support and partitions

If a Gorenstein cone has a splitting, then so does its dual. The proof of this uses the notions of both the support of a Gorenstein cone and the support partition given by a complete splitting.

Definition 3.20. A dual complete splitting $\mathrm{E}^{\vee}$ to a given complete splitting E is a complete splitting $\mathrm{E}^{\vee}=\left\{e_{1}^{\vee}, \ldots, e_{r}^{\vee}\right\}$ of $\mathrm{K}^{\vee}$.

Remark 3.21. (non-uniqueness of splittings) A splitting need not be unique. Indeed, Batyrev-Nill give a simple explicit example where it is not [BN08, Example 5.1]. However, if $r=1$ it's clear that there is only one "splitting," $e_{1}=\ell$, so uniqueness is guaranteed.

Definition 3.22. Associated to a Gorenstein cone K is a convex polyhedral set

$$
\tilde{\Delta}=\left\{k \in K \mid \ell^{\vee}(k)=1 \in \mathbf{R}\right\} .
$$

called the support of $K$. We will denote the support of $K^{\vee}$ by $\tilde{\nabla}$.

Definition 3.23. (support partition) A complete splitting $\mathrm{E}^{\vee}$ determines sets

$$
\tilde{\Delta}_{i}=\left\{k \in \mathrm{~K} \mid e_{i}^{\vee}(k)=1 \text { and } e_{j}^{\vee}(k)=0 \text { for all } j \neq i\right\} \subseteq \tilde{\Delta} .
$$

Proposition 3.24. (support partitions partition) The sets $\tilde{\Delta}_{i}$ partition the integral elements of $\tilde{\Delta}$, each $\tilde{\Delta}_{i}$ is nonempty and is the convex hull of vertices of $\tilde{\Delta}$ it contains.

Proof. The partition assertion follows from the fact that any integral point $p$ in $\tilde{\Delta}$ has $\ell^{\vee}(p)=\sum_{i} e^{\vee}(p)=1$ and $e_{i}^{\vee}(p) \in \mathbf{N}$. This means that $e_{i}^{\vee}(p)=1$ for exactly one $i$ and zero for all others, i.e. $p \in \tilde{\Delta}_{i}$.

Nonemptyness can be seen by considering $\ell \in \mathrm{K}$ and the fact that $\ell=p_{1}+$ $\cdots+p_{r}$ for not necessarily distinct $p_{j} \in \tilde{\Delta}$. If $\tilde{\Delta}_{i}=\varnothing$ then $e_{i}^{\vee}\left(p_{j}\right)=0$ for all $j$, and consequently $\ell\left(e_{i}^{\vee}\right)=0$. This is a contradiction. In fact, we have shown that each $\tilde{\Delta}_{i}$ contains exactly one $p_{j}$ in any expression of $\ell$ as a sum of element from $\tilde{\Delta}$, since any other number would lead to $\ell\left(e_{i}^{\vee}\right) \neq 1$.

The final claim, that each vertex of $\tilde{\Delta}_{i}$ is a vertex of $\tilde{\Delta}$, is an immediate consequence of the fact that a point in $\tilde{\Delta}_{i}$ cannot be written as a convex combination of points in $\tilde{\Delta}$ if there is a non-zero term whose point $p$ has $e_{i}^{\vee}(p)=0$.
Corollary 3.25. (summand partition) For an index $r$ reflexive Gorenstein cone, each $\tilde{\Delta}_{i}$ contains exactly one $p_{j}$ in any expression of

$$
\ell=p_{1}+\cdots+p_{r}
$$

as a sum of non-zero lattice points in K .
Proposition 3.26. (dual splittings exist) If K admits a complete splitting, then so does $\mathrm{K}^{\vee}$.

Proof. The proof is essentially a restatement of the middle of the proof of Proposition 3.24. If we fix a splitting E, the integral points of $\tilde{\nabla}$ generate $\mathrm{K}^{\vee} \cap \overline{\mathrm{N}}$ and are partitioned by the $\tilde{\nabla}_{i}$ 's. So $\ell^{\vee} \in \tilde{\nabla}_{1}+\cdots+\tilde{\nabla}_{r}$ and any choice $\ell^{\vee}=e_{1}^{\vee}+\cdots+e_{r}^{\vee}$ gives a complete splitting of $K^{\vee}$.

### 3.3.3 Dual splittings, complete intersections, and corresponding LG's

Definition 3.27. (the base toric variety Y ) Given dual complete splittings E and $E^{\vee}$, define

$$
\mathrm{M}=\left\{m \in \overline{\mathrm{M}} \mid e_{j}^{\vee}(n)=0 \quad \forall e_{j}^{\vee} \in \mathrm{E}^{\vee}\right\}
$$

Within $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{R}}$ we have the convex polyhedral set

$$
\Delta_{i}=\left\{m \in \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{R}} \mid m+e_{i} \in \tilde{\Delta}_{i}\right\}
$$

and the Minkowski sum

$$
\Delta=\sum_{i} \Delta_{i} .
$$

Denote by $\Sigma_{Y} \subseteq N=\operatorname{Hom}_{Z}(M, Z)$ the normal fan of $\Delta$, and write $Y$ for the toric variety of this fan.

Proposition 3.28. (polar=convex) [BN08, Proposition 3.18] The polar polytope $\Delta^{*}$ of $\Delta$ is the convex hull of the $\nabla_{j}$ 's:

$$
\Delta^{*}=\operatorname{conv}\left(\left\{\nabla_{j}\right\}_{j}\right)
$$

Definition 3.29. The proposition above and the integrality of the vertices of the $\nabla_{j}$ 's allow us to define for each $e_{i} \in \mathrm{E}$ a divisor

$$
\mathrm{D}_{i}=\sum_{u_{\rho} \in \nabla_{i}} \mathrm{D}_{\rho}
$$

on Y.
Theorem 3.30. (Cartier-ity) $\mathrm{D}_{i}$ is Cartier with global section polytope $\Delta_{i}$.
Proof. The theorem follows from establishing the polytope associated to $\mathrm{D}_{i}$ is $\Delta_{i}$. From this, $\mathrm{D}_{i}$ is Cartier by the integrality of the vertices of $\Delta_{i}$ and Theorem 6.1.7 from Cox-Little-Schenck [CLS11].

Recall that $m \in \mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{R}}$ is in the polytope associated to $\mathrm{D}_{i}$ if and only if

$$
\mathrm{D}_{i}+\sum_{\rho \in \Sigma_{\mathrm{Y}}(1)} u_{\rho}(m) \mathrm{D}_{\rho} \geq 0
$$

Working $\rho$ by $\rho$ this is

$$
u_{\rho}(m)+\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \text { if } u_{\rho} \in \nabla_{i}, \\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array} \geq 0\right.
$$

Proposition 3.28 means the $u_{\rho}$ 's equal the vertices of the convex hull of the $\nabla_{i}$ 's and this provides enough to complete the proof. Indeed, the transpose

$$
\overline{\mathrm{N}} \rightarrow \mathrm{~N}
$$

of the inclusion $\mathrm{M} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{M}}$ sends $\tilde{\nabla}$ to the convex hull of the $u_{\rho}$ 's So $u_{\rho}(m)=\nu(m)$ for an appropriate vertex of $\tilde{\nabla}$, and

$$
v\left(m+e_{i}\right) \geq 0
$$

becomes

$$
u_{\rho}(m) \geq 0
$$

for those $u_{\rho}$ which do not come from $\nabla_{i}$, and

$$
u_{\rho}(m)+1 \geq 0
$$

for the others.
Definition 3.31. $(\mathcal{V}, \mathrm{X}, \Sigma)$ With $\mathcal{V}=\bigoplus_{i} \mathscr{O}\left(\mathrm{D}_{i}\right)$, we set

- $\mathrm{X}=\underline{\operatorname{Spec}^{S y m}}{ }^{\bullet} V$, and
- $\Sigma=\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}}$.

A complete description of the fan $\Sigma$ depends on knowing more information about the vertices $\Delta$. However, we can determine what we need with what we know so far.

Proposition 3.32. ( $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{X}}=\overline{\mathrm{N}}, \Sigma(1)$ and $\Gamma(\mathrm{Y}, \sqrt{ })$ ) The one-parameter subgroups of X are naturally identified with $\overline{\mathrm{N}}$. Under this identification the primitive generators of the 1 -cones in $\Sigma$ are exactly $\operatorname{vert}(\tilde{\nabla}) \cup\left\{e_{i}^{\vee}\right\}_{i}$. Thus $|\Sigma|=\mathrm{K}^{\vee}$, and $\Gamma(\mathrm{Y}, \mathcal{V})$, considered as functions on X , have a basis made up of elements $\tilde{\Delta} \cap \overline{\mathrm{M}}$.

Proof. To produce Y via the construction according to Definition 3.27, we begin with a pair of dual nef-partitions E and $\mathrm{E}^{\vee}$. First there is a polytope $\Delta \subseteq \mathrm{M}=$ $\left(E^{\vee}\right)^{\perp}$, defined in terms of $E$. The fan of $Y$ is the inward normal fan of $\Delta$ and lives in the group N which is $\mathbf{Z}$-dual to M .

Writing $\mathrm{F}(-)$ for the free group, and following the construction of the fan $\Sigma$ of a split bundle $\bigoplus_{i} \mathscr{O}\left(-\mathrm{D}_{i}\right)$ over a toric variety of Corollary 2.17 the fan lives in

$$
\overline{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{N} \oplus \mathrm{~F}\left(\left\{\tau_{i}^{\vee}\right\}_{i}\right) \quad\left(=\mathrm{N} \oplus \mathbf{Z}^{\left\{\mathrm{\tau}_{i}\right\}_{i}}\right)
$$

where $\tau_{i}^{\vee}$ is $\mathbf{Z}$ dual to the rational section $\tau_{i}$ of $\mathscr{O}\left(\mathrm{D}_{i}\right)$ with divisor $\mathrm{D}_{i}$. The 1 -cones are either the "vertical" 1 -cones generated by the

$$
\tau_{i}^{\vee}
$$

or those the lifted from the 1 -cones of $\Sigma_{\mathrm{Y}}$. The generators of the lifts can be written abstractly as

$$
\widehat{u}_{\rho}=u_{\rho}+\sum_{i} v_{i, \rho} \tau_{i}^{\vee}
$$

where the coefficients come from the expansion

$$
\mathrm{D}_{i}=\sum_{\rho} \mathrm{v}_{i, \rho} \mathrm{D}_{\rho} .
$$

For us this is

$$
\widehat{u}_{\rho}=u_{\rho}+\tau_{i}^{v}
$$

for the unique $\nabla_{i}$ containing $u_{\rho}$.
Identification of these points with corresponding points in $K^{\vee}$ begins with identifying the ambient spaces. Writing $F(-)$ for the free group, the dual nefpartitions provide a splitting

$$
\overline{\mathrm{M}}=\mathrm{M} \oplus \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{E}) .
$$

$M$ is already equal to the dual of $N$, and we can further identify the characters on the total space with $\bar{M}$ by the assignments

$$
e_{i}=\mathbf{\tau}_{i}
$$

To be sure, this is legitimate because the divisor of $\tau_{i}$ is supported on toric divisors and it (up to constant rescaling) a character.

This identification immediately matches $\widehat{u}_{\rho} \in \nabla_{i}$ with a vertex of $\tilde{\nabla}_{i}$, and the elements of $\mathrm{E}^{\vee}$ corresponds with the $\tau_{i}^{\vee}$ 's.

Definition 3.33. ( $\Gamma, \Gamma^{\prime}, \mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}, Z, Z^{\vee}, \gamma \mapsto g, \gamma^{\prime} \mapsto g^{\prime}$ ) In light of the theorem above, we set

- $\Gamma=\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}\left[\gamma^{m}\right]_{m \in \tilde{\Delta} \cap \overline{\mathrm{M}}}$,
- $\mathbf{W}=\sum_{m \in \tilde{\Delta} \cap \overline{\mathrm{M}}} \gamma_{m} x^{m}$, and
- $\mathrm{Z} \subseteq \mathrm{Y} \times \Gamma$ is the zero scheme of the universal section of $\mathcal{V}$.

In addition we denote by $\Sigma^{\prime}, \Gamma^{\prime}, \mathbf{W}^{\prime}$ and $Z^{\vee}$ the analogous objects obtained by reversing the roles of $K$ and $K^{\vee}$ above. Finally, the specializations are the identity maps $\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ and $\Gamma^{\prime} \rightarrow \Gamma^{\prime}$.

Theorem 3.34. (BB main) The fans $\Sigma$ and $\Sigma^{\prime}$ are dual, and the toric LandauGinzburg models they define are obtained from the auxiliary Landau-Ginzburg models of Z and $\mathrm{Z}^{\vee}$ via base change along the inclusions

$$
\mathrm{C}\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right) \hookrightarrow \Gamma \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{C}(\Sigma) \hookrightarrow \Gamma^{\prime}
$$

which set to 0 any coefficient corresponding to a non-ray generating character.
Proof. These statements are immediate from the observation that the primitive generators of the 1-cones of $\Sigma^{\prime}$ lie in $\tilde{\Delta}$.

### 3.4 Givental [Giv98]

In this case, one side of the mirror is a complete intersection and the other is a Landau-Ginzburg model, so $\Gamma$ is the affine spaces of global sections, and $\mathbf{W}$ is the universal section considered as a function. On the other side, $\mathbf{W}^{\prime}$ is formed by simply inserting variables for the coefficients of $\mathrm{W}^{\prime}$, and $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is the affine space with these coefficient variables as coordinates.

Most of the work is expressing $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ as a toric variety, and expanding $\mathrm{W}^{\prime}$ in characters.

Definition 3.35. (Givental's mirror) As input data, we have

- a smooth projective toric variety Y with $n$ torus invariant divisors,
- $\ell$ nonnegative (i.e. basepoint free [Giv98, pg. 23]) line bundles $\left(\mathscr{L}_{a}\right)_{a}$ such that $\omega_{a}^{\vee} \otimes \otimes_{a} \mathscr{L}_{a}^{\vee}$ is nonnegative, and
- a choice of integral symplectic basis $\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}\right)$ of $\mathrm{H}^{2}(\mathrm{Y}, \mathbf{Z})$.

From this we have integers $\ell_{i a}$ defined by

$$
\operatorname{ch}\left(\mathscr{L}_{a}\right)=\sum_{i} \ell_{i a} p_{i}
$$

and integers $m_{i \rho}$ defined by

$$
\operatorname{ch}\left(\mathscr{O}\left(\mathrm{D}_{\rho}\right)\right)=\sum_{i} m_{i \rho} p_{i}
$$

for the toric divisor $D_{\rho}$ corresponding to $\rho \in \Sigma_{Y}(1)$.

Givental's mirror Landau-Ginzburg model is given by the scheme

$$
\mathrm{E} \subseteq \mathbf{C}_{w}^{n} \times \mathbf{C}_{v}^{\ell} \times\left(\mathbf{C}^{\times}\right)_{q}^{k}
$$

which is the closure of the zero locus in $\left(\mathbf{C}^{\times}\right)_{u}^{n} \times\left(\mathbf{C}^{\times}\right)_{v}^{\ell} \times\left(\mathbf{C}^{\times}\right)_{q}^{k}$ of the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{\rho} w_{\rho}^{m_{i \rho}}=q_{i} \prod_{a} v_{a}^{\ell_{i a}} \quad i=1, \ldots, k \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

equipped with the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}^{\prime}: \mathrm{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

given by $\mathrm{W}^{\prime}=\sum_{a} v_{a}-\sum_{\rho} w_{\rho}$.
Definition 3.36. ( $V, \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{N}, \Sigma, \Gamma, \mathrm{W}, \gamma \mapsto g)$ With $V=\oplus_{a} \mathscr{L}_{a}$, we set


- $\overline{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{Y}} \oplus \mathbf{Z}^{\left\{e_{a}\right\} a}$,
- $\Sigma=\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}} \subset \overline{\mathrm{N}}$,
- $\Gamma=\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}\left[\gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}\right]_{\mathfrak{m} \in \Xi}$ where $\Xi=\left\{\mu+e_{a} \mid \mu \in \Delta_{a} \cap \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Y}}\right\}$ for the global section polytopes $\Delta_{a}$ of the $\mathscr{L}_{a}$ 's,
- $\mathbf{W}=\sum_{\mathfrak{m} \in \Xi} \gamma_{\mathfrak{m}} x^{\mathfrak{m}}$, and
- the specialization Spec $\mathbf{C} \rightarrow \Gamma$ depends on the equations of the complete intersection chosen.

Lemma 3.37. (F-split) Writing $\mathrm{F}(-)$ for the free group, $\mathrm{H}^{2}(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Z})$ is the cokernel of the map from characters to divisors on Y

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Y}} \rightarrow \mathrm{~F}\left(\left\{\mathrm{D}_{\rho} \mid \rho \in \Sigma_{\mathrm{Y}}(1)\right\}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{2}(\mathrm{Y}, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow 0
$$

Furthermore, one can find $k$ divisors $\mathrm{D}_{\rho_{i}}$ such $\bigoplus_{i} \mathbf{Z D}_{\rho_{i}}$ maps isomorphically to $\mathrm{H}^{2}(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Z})$.

Proof. Both statements follow from the facts that Y is smooth and complete. For the first, see, for instance, Theorems 4.1.3, 4.2.1, and 12.3.2 of Cox-LittleSchenck [CLS11]. For the second, since Y is smooth one can choose a torus fixed point, and the $k$ divisors which do not pass through it map to a basis for $H^{2}(Y, Z)$.

Lemma 3.38. (torus of E) Since there is a natural injection $\Sigma_{Y}(1) \rightarrow \Sigma_{X}(1)$, we can combine the inclusion $\mathrm{t}: \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X}} \rightarrow \mathrm{F}\left(\left\{\mathrm{D}_{\rho} \mid \rho \in \Sigma_{\mathrm{X}}(1)\right\}\right)$ and the graph of the map

$$
s: \mathrm{H}^{2}(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}\left(\left\{\mathrm{D}_{\rho} \mid \rho \in \Sigma_{\mathrm{Y}}(1)\right\}\right)
$$

formed from an isomorphism $\mathrm{H}^{2}(\mathrm{Y}, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i} \mathbf{Z D}_{\rho_{i}}$ to yield an inclusion

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
l & s \\
0 & \mathbf{1}
\end{array}\right]: \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X}} \oplus \mathrm{H}^{2}(\mathrm{Y}, \mathbf{Z}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{F}\left(\left\{\mathrm{D}_{\rho} \mid \rho \in \Sigma_{\mathrm{Y}}(1)\right\}\right) \oplus \mathrm{H}^{2}(\mathrm{Y}, \mathbf{Z})
$$

Applying the functor $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{C}\left[\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(-, \mathbf{Z})\right]$ identifies

- $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{C}\left[\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}\left(\mathrm{H}^{2}(\mathrm{Y}, \mathbf{Z}), \mathbf{Z}\right)\right]$ with $\left(\mathbf{C}^{\times}\right)_{q}^{k}$,
- $\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathbf{C}\left[\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{X}}\right]\right) \times\left(\mathbf{C}^{\times}\right)_{q}^{k}$ with $\mathrm{E} \cap\left(\mathbf{C}^{\times}\right)_{u}^{n} \times\left(\mathbf{C}^{\times}\right)_{v}^{\ell} \times\left(\mathbf{C}^{\times}\right)_{q}^{k}$, and
- the inclusion with

$$
\mathrm{E} \cap\left(\mathbf{C}^{\times}\right)_{u}^{n} \times\left(\mathbf{C}^{\times}\right)_{v}^{\ell} \times\left(\mathbf{C}^{\times}\right)_{q}^{k} \hookrightarrow\left(\mathbf{C}^{\times}\right)_{u}^{n} \times\left(\mathbf{C}^{\times}\right)_{v}^{\ell} \times\left(\mathbf{C}^{\times}\right)_{q}^{k} .
$$

Proof. Note $\mathrm{H}^{2}(\mathrm{X}, \mathbf{Z})=\mathrm{H}^{2}(\mathrm{Y}, \mathbf{Z})$. If $\mathrm{H}^{2}(\mathrm{X}, \mathbf{Z})$ is identified with $\mathbf{Z}^{k}$ with the $\left(p_{i}\right)_{i}$ basis, then matrix

$$
\left[\left(m_{i \rho}\right)_{i \rho} \mid-\left(\ell_{i a}\right)_{i a}\right]
$$

is the map from the group of torus invariant divisors if X to $\mathrm{H}^{2}(\mathrm{X}, \mathbf{Z})$. To verify this, we check that it is the kernel of the transpose $\mathrm{U}^{t}: \mathbf{Z}^{n} \oplus \mathbf{Z}^{k} \rightarrow \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{X}}$ of the first non-zero map in the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^{n} \oplus \mathbf{Z}^{\ell} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^{k} \rightarrow 0
$$

The map $U^{t}$ sends the standard bases vectors to the primitive generators of rays in $\Sigma_{X}(1)$. Following Corollary 2.17, we have explicitly

$$
\mathrm{U}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\left(u_{\rho}\right)_{\rho} & \left(\alpha_{\rho a}\right)_{\rho a} \\
0 & \mathrm{I}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

where we write $\left(u_{\rho}\right)_{\rho}$ for the matrix with rows $u_{\rho}$ for $\rho \in \Sigma_{Y}(1)$ and $\left(\alpha_{\rho a}\right)_{\rho a}$ is obtained from the expansions

$$
\mathrm{D}_{a}=\sum_{\rho} \alpha_{\rho a} \mathrm{D}_{\rho}
$$

of toric divisors $\mathrm{D}_{a}$ such that $\mathscr{L}_{a}=\mathscr{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}\left(\mathrm{D}_{a}\right)$. The $\alpha$ 's satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell_{i a}=\sum_{\rho} m_{i \rho} \alpha_{\rho a} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, $\left[\left(m_{i \rho}\right)_{i \rho} \mid-\left(\ell_{i a}\right)_{i}\right] \mathrm{U}=0$ by virtue of equation (8) and relations for the rays of Y

$$
\sum_{\rho} m_{i \rho} u_{\rho}=0 .
$$

The identification of $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{C}\left[\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{X}}\right] \times\left(\mathbf{C}^{\times}\right)_{q}^{k}$ with $\mathrm{E} \cap\left(\mathbf{C}^{\times}\right)_{u}^{n} \times\left(\mathbf{C}^{\times}\right)_{v}^{\ell} \times\left(\mathbf{C}^{\times}\right)_{q}^{k}$ comes from plugging the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X}} \oplus \mathrm{H}^{2}(\mathrm{X}, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}\left(\left\{\mathrm{D}_{\rho} \mid \rho \in \Sigma_{\mathrm{Y}}(1)\right\}\right) \oplus \mathrm{H}^{2}(\mathrm{X}, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{2}(\mathrm{X}, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow 0
$$

into the functor $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{C}\left[\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(-, \mathbf{Z})\right]$. The first non-zero map is

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & s  \tag{9}\\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

and the second is $\left[\left(m_{i \rho}\right)_{i \rho}\left|-\left(\ell_{i a}\right)_{i}\right|-\mathbf{1}\right]$. This yields an exact sequence of groups

$$
1 \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{C}\left[\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{X}}\right] \times\left(\mathbf{C}^{\times}\right)_{q}^{k} \rightarrow\left(\mathbf{C}^{\times}\right)_{u}^{n} \times\left(\mathbf{C}^{\times}\right)_{v}^{\ell} \times\left(\mathbf{C}^{\times}\right)_{q}^{k} \rightarrow\left(\mathbf{C}^{\times}\right)_{q^{\prime}}^{k} \rightarrow 1
$$

where the map to $\left(\mathbf{C}^{\times}\right)_{q^{\prime}}^{k}$ is given by

$$
q_{i}^{\prime}=\left(\prod_{\rho} w_{\rho}^{m_{i \rho}}\right) /\left(q_{i} \prod_{a} v_{a}^{\ell_{i a}}\right) .
$$

Proposition 3.39. $\left(\Sigma_{X^{\prime}}\right)$ Denote $H=\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{C}\left[\operatorname{Hom}_{Z}\left(H^{2}(Y, Z), Z\right)\right]$. Then $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X}}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Y}} \oplus$ $\mathrm{F}\left(\left\{e_{a}\right\}_{a}\right)$, and

$$
E=\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{C}\left[K^{\vee} \cap N_{X}\right] \times H
$$

where $\mathrm{K}^{\vee}$ is the cone dual to the global function cone of X :

$$
\mathrm{K}=\left\{t_{1}\left(\mu_{1}+e_{1}\right)+\cdots+t_{\ell}\left(\mu_{\ell}+e_{\ell}\right) \in\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}} \mid t_{a} \geq 0 \text { and } \mu_{a} \in \Delta_{a} \text { for all a }\right\}
$$

Proof. Functions which are global on E are exactly those on $\mathrm{E} \cap\left(\mathbf{C}^{\times}\right)_{u}^{n} \times\left(\mathbf{C}^{\times}\right)_{v}^{\ell} \times$ $\left(\mathbf{C}^{\times}\right)_{q}^{k}$ which are restrictions from $\mathbf{C}_{u}^{n} \times \mathbf{C}_{v}^{\ell} \times\left(\mathbf{C}^{\times}\right)_{q}^{k}$. For characters, these are elements of

$$
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{X}} \oplus \mathbf{Z}^{k}
$$

which come from $\mathbf{N}^{n} \oplus \mathbf{N}^{\ell} \oplus \mathbf{Z}^{k}$ under the transpose of

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & s \\
0 & \mathbf{1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

from (9).
An element $(\mathfrak{n}, z) \in \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{X}} \oplus \mathbf{Z}^{k}$ defines a global characters if and only if both $(\mathfrak{n}, 0)$ and $(0, z)$ are global characters. The $\mathbf{1}$ in the lower righthand corner guarantees $0 \oplus \mathbf{Z}^{k}$ is made up of global characters. So the question reduces to knowing for which elements $\mathfrak{n}$ of $N_{X}$ the character ( $\mathfrak{n}, 0$ ) is global.

The $\mathfrak{n}$ 's we are interested in are exactly those which are global on $X^{\prime}$, and this translates into the condition $\mathfrak{n}$ is in the cone dual the global functions on X . An element $n \in \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{X}}$ comes from $\mathbf{N}^{n} \oplus \mathbf{N}^{\ell}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{n}$ is non-negative on any element of $M_{X}$ which maps into $\mathbf{N}^{n} \oplus \mathbf{N}^{\ell}$. These are exactly the elements of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X}}$ which define a global function on X .

Corollary 3.40. $\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}(1) \subset \Gamma(\mathrm{Y}, \sqrt[V]{ })\right)$ Take $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{C}\left[\mathrm{K}^{\vee} \cap \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{X}}\right]$. Then $\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}$ is the cone K and all its facets. Furthermore, the primitive integral generators of elements of $\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}(1)$ are all of the form $v+e_{a}$ for $v \in \Delta_{a}$.

Proof. The only statement that isn't immediate is the one about the generators. However, we know that $\Delta_{a}$ has integral vertices, so this guarantees result.

Proposition 3.41. ( $\mathrm{W}^{\prime}$ on $\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \times \mathrm{H}$ ) Enumerate $\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}}(1)$ so that the first $k$ rays correspond to the divisors in Lemma 3.37. Then as a function on $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \times \mathrm{H}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}^{\prime}=\sum_{a} x_{a}^{\prime}-\sum_{j=1}^{k} \prod_{i} q_{i}^{-s_{j i}} x_{\rho_{j}}^{\prime}-\sum_{j=k+1}^{n} x_{\rho_{j}}^{\prime} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

- $x_{a}^{\prime}=v_{a}$,
- $x_{\rho_{j}}^{\prime}=\prod_{i} q_{i}^{s_{j i}} w_{\rho_{j}}$ for $j \leq k$, and
- $x_{\rho_{j}}^{\prime}=w_{\rho_{j}}$ for $j>k$.
are characters on $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ via $\mathbf{Z}^{n} \oplus \mathbf{Z}^{\ell} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{X}}$. The integers $s_{j i}$ come from the map $s$ of Lemma $\widehat{3.38}$ in the $\left(p_{i}\right)_{i}$ basis. Furthermore, the characters $x_{a}^{\prime}$ and $x_{\rho}^{\prime}$ are exactly the primitive generators for the rays in $\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}}(1)$.

Proof. The way functions pull back under the inclusion $\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \times \mathrm{H} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}^{n} \times \mathbf{C}^{\ell}\left(\mathbf{C}^{\times}\right)^{k}$ is described by the transpose of the map in (9). Both the characters $x_{a}^{\prime}$ and $x_{\rho}^{\prime}$ and the primitive generators for the rays in $\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}}(1)$ are the images of the standard basis vectors under $\mathbf{Z}^{n} \oplus \mathbf{Z}^{\ell} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{X}}$.
Definition 3.42. ( $\Gamma^{\prime}, \mathbf{W}^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime} \mapsto g^{\prime}$ ) The expansion of $\mathrm{W}^{\prime}$ in (10) and the identification of its terms with the primitive generators for the rays in $\Sigma_{X}(1)$ gives us the definitions

- $\Xi^{\prime}=\left\{\mathfrak{n} \in \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{X}} \mid \mathfrak{n}\right.$ is a primitive generator of $\left.\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}}(1)\right\}$,
- $\Gamma^{\prime}=\mathbf{Z}\left[\gamma_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\prime}\right]_{\mathfrak{n} \in \Xi^{\prime}}$,
- $\mathbf{W}^{\prime}=\sum_{n \in \Xi^{\prime}} \gamma_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\prime} x^{\prime n}$, and
- the specialization $H \rightarrow \Gamma^{\prime}$ sets the coefficients of $\mathbf{W}^{\prime}$ to match those in (10).

Theorem 3.43. (Givental's mirror main) There are inclusions as coordinate subspaces

$$
\mathrm{C}\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{C}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \Gamma^{\prime}
$$

which produce the dual pair of toric Landau-Ginzburg models formed from $\Sigma$ and $\Sigma^{\prime}$ via base change. Furthermore, $\mathrm{C}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \Gamma^{\prime}$ is an isomorphism.
Proof. Omitted.
Remark 3.44. (independence of choice of $p$ 's) Notice that one consequence of this theorem is that Givental's mirror is independent of the choice of basis $\left(p_{i}\right)_{i}$ of $\mathrm{H}^{2}(\mathrm{Y}, \mathbf{Z})$. Indeed, one need not even assume the existence.

### 3.5 Hori-Vafa [HV00]

Hori-Vafa gives an expression [HV00, Equation (7.78)] for the BPS mass of a certain D-brane related to complete intersection Z in a toric variety Y. Their expression is the integral (111).This integral is the pullback of and integral on a algebraic torus. This torus the function $\mathrm{W}^{\prime}$ appearing in the integrand match Givental's Landau-Ginzburg mirror.
Definition 3.45. (Hori-Vafa BPS mass [HV00, Equation (7.78)]) Given a toric variety $\mathrm{Y}, \ell$ global sections $\mathrm{G}_{\beta}$ of line bundles $\mathscr{L}_{\beta}$, and a relative homology class, $[\gamma] \in \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathbf{C}^{\mathrm{N}} \times \mathbf{C}^{\ell} ; \mathrm{B}\right)$ where $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{W}^{\prime-1}(r)$ is a fiber of

$$
\mathrm{W}^{\prime}=\sum_{i=1}^{\mathrm{N}} \exp \left(-\mathrm{Y}_{i}\right)+\sum_{\beta=1}^{\ell} \exp \left(-\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{P}_{\beta}}\right)
$$

over a sufficiently large $r \in \mathbf{R}$, the BPS mass for a D-brane wrapping $k$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
\Pi_{Y}=\int_{Y} & \\
& \prod_{i=1}^{\mathrm{N}} d \mathrm{Y}_{i} \\
& \prod_{\beta=1}^{\ell} \exp \left(-\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{P}_{\beta}}\right) d \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{P}_{\beta}}  \tag{11}\\
& \prod_{a=1}^{k=1} \delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Q}_{i a} \mathrm{Y}_{i}-\sum_{\beta} d_{\beta a} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{P}_{\beta}}-t_{a}\right) \\
& \exp \left(-\mathrm{W}^{\prime}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where

- $\Sigma_{\mathrm{Y}}(1)=\left\{\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{\mathrm{N}}\right\}$,
- $\left\{\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{k}\right\}$ is an integral basis of $\mathrm{H}^{2}(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Q})$, and
- the class of $\mathscr{L}_{\beta}$ is $\sum_{a} d_{\beta a} \eta_{a}$.

The delta functions in the integrand of Equation (11) are interpreted to mean a restriction of the integral to the subset on which the arguments vanish.

Definition 3.46. ( $\delta$ functions and forms) If $\phi$ is a differential form, the expression

$$
\int_{Y} \delta\left(g_{1}\right) \cdots \delta\left(g_{k}\right) \phi
$$

means

$$
\int_{Y \cap\left\{g_{1}=\cdots=g_{k}=0\right\}} \psi
$$

where

$$
\phi=d g_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d g_{k} \wedge \psi
$$

The apparent ambiguity in this definition is addressed in Lemma 3.47,
Lemma 3.47. (unambiguity of $\delta$ valued forms) Given functions $f_{1}, \cdots, f_{k}$, write

$$
\mathbf{V}(g)=\left\{p \mid f_{1}(p)=\cdots=f_{k}(p)=0\right\}
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{U}(d g)=\left\{p\left|d f_{1}\right|_{p}, \ldots,\left.d f_{k}\right|_{p} \text { are linearly independent }\right\}
$$

Any forms $\psi$ and $\psi^{\prime}$ such that

$$
d f_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d f_{k} \wedge \psi=d f_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d f_{k} \wedge \psi^{\prime}
$$

satisfy

$$
\int_{Y} \psi=\int_{Y} \psi^{\prime}
$$

for any simpex $\gamma: \Delta^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}(g)$ such that $\gamma^{-1}(\mathbf{U}(d g))$ is dense in $\Delta^{n}$.
Proof. Consider a point $p \in \mathbf{U}(d g) \cap \mathbf{V}(g)$. About this point we can find coordinates $g: U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}$ such that the first $k$ coordinates are $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k}$. On this neighborhood,

$$
\psi=\psi^{\prime}+d f_{1} \wedge \psi_{1}+\cdots+d f_{k} \wedge \psi_{k}
$$

for forms $\psi_{1}, \ldots, \psi_{k}$. However, any $d f_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$ annihilates any tangent vector in $\mathbf{V}(g)$. So provided the image of $\gamma$ is in $\mathbf{V}(g)$ we know

$$
\int_{\gamma^{-1}(\mathbf{U}(d g))} \gamma^{*} \psi=\int_{\gamma^{-1}(\mathbf{U}(d g))} \gamma^{*} \psi^{\prime}
$$

Finally, $\gamma^{-1}(\mathbf{U}(d g))$ is dense in $\Delta^{n}$, so its complement has measure zero and its omission doesn't affect the integral.
Proposition 3.48. (variables on the torus) For $\mathrm{W}^{\prime}=-\sum_{i=1}^{\mathrm{N}} w_{i}-\sum_{\beta=1}^{\ell} \nu_{\beta}$, the integrand of Equation (17) is the pullback of

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{\mathrm{N}+\ell} \exp \left(-\mathrm{W}^{\prime}\right) \prod_{a} \delta\left(\prod_{i} w_{i}^{\mathrm{Q}_{i a}}-q_{a} \prod_{\beta} v_{\beta}^{d_{\beta a}}\right) d \ln \left(w_{1}\right) \wedge \cdots \wedge d \ln \left(w_{\mathrm{N}}\right) \wedge d v_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d v_{\ell} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

along

$$
\mathbf{C}_{t}^{k} \times \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{Y}}^{\mathrm{N}} \times \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{P}}}^{\ell} \rightarrow\left(\mathbf{C}^{\times}\right)_{q}^{k} \times\left(\mathbf{C}^{\times}\right)_{w}^{\mathrm{N}} \times\left(\mathbf{C}^{\times}\right)_{v}^{\ell}
$$

given by

- $w_{i}=\exp \left(-\mathrm{Y}_{i}\right)$,
- $\nu_{\beta}=\exp \left(-\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{P}_{\beta}}\right)$, and
- $q_{a}=\exp \left(-t_{a}\right)$.

Proof. Direct substitution.
Definition 3.49. (Hori-Vafa mirror LG) Denote by Z the subsheme of Y defined by $\mathrm{G}_{\beta}=0$ for $\beta=1, \ldots, \ell$. The Hori-Vafa mirror Landau-Ginzburg mirror to Z is $\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{W}^{\prime}\right)$ where $\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \subseteq\left(\mathbf{C}^{\times}\right)_{q}^{k} \times \mathbf{C}_{w}^{\mathrm{N}} \times \mathbf{C}_{v}^{\ell}$ is given by the $k$ equations

$$
\prod_{i} w_{i}^{\mathrm{Q}_{i a}}-q_{a} \prod_{\beta} v_{\beta}^{d_{\beta a}}
$$

and $\mathrm{W}^{\prime}=-\sum_{i=1}^{\mathrm{N}} w_{i}-\sum_{\beta=1}^{\ell} \nu_{\beta}$.

Theorem 3.50. (computation of BPS mass on the dual) Using the interpretation of Definition 3.46, the BPS mass given in Equation (717) equals

$$
\int_{\bar{Y}} \psi
$$

for the form in (12) and the class $\bar{\gamma} \subseteq \mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ that is the image in $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ of $\gamma \cap\left\{g_{1}=\ldots=\right.$ $\left.g_{k}=0\right\}$, where the $g$ 's are the arguments of the $\delta$ functions.

Remark 3.51. (comparison to Givental's mirror) Notice that $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ and Givental's $\mathrm{E}_{q}$ in Equation (6) are the same. However, Givental's $\mathrm{W}^{\prime}$ in Equation (7) has a different sign in front of the $w$-variables than the Hori-Vafa $\mathrm{W}^{\prime}$. This difference means that both are obtained from the same auxiliary Landau-Ginzburg model via different base change morphisms.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ As a consequence, Batyrev [Bat94] and Borisov Bor93] are also described this way.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Here the word "specialization" just means "morphism," but using it allows us to refer specifically to these morphisms.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ These properties determine $\mathbf{X}(\Sigma)$.

