

ON HOMOTOPY $K3$ SURFACES CONSTRUCTED BY TWO KNOTS AND THEIR APPLICATIONS

MASATSUNA TSUCHIYA

ABSTRACT. Let LHT be a left handed trefoil knot and K be any knot. We define $M_n(K)$ to be the homology 3-sphere which is represented by a simple link of LHT and $LHT \sharp K$ with framings 0 and n respectively. Starting with this link, we construct homotopy $K3$ and spin rational homology $K3$ surfaces containing $M_n(K)$. Then we apply the adjunction inequality to show that if $n > 2g_s^n(K) - 2$, $M_n(K)$ does not bound any smooth spin rational 4-ball, and that under the same assumption the negative n -twisted Whitehead double of $LHT \sharp K$ is not a slice knot, where $g_s^n(K)$ is the n -shake genus of K .

1. Introduction

Let K be a knot in S^3 . We define $X_n(K)$ to be the 4-dimensional handlebody which has a handle decomposition represented by Figure 1.1, and define $M_n(K)$ to be $\partial(X_n(K))$. The boundary $M_n(K)$ is a homology 3-sphere. Note that the right side knot of this link is the connected sum of a left handed trefoil knot LHT and K .



FIGURE 1.1. $X_n(K)$

Definition 1.1 (r -shake genus of K). Let $N_{K,r}$ be a 4-dimensional handlebody which is constructed by attaching a 2-handle to D^4 along a knot K with r -framing. We define the r -shake genus of K to be the minimal genus of smoothly embedded closed oriented surfaces in $N_{K,r}$ representing the generator of $H_2(N_{K,r})$. We denote the r -shake genus of K by $g_s^r(K)$.

Remark 1.2. Let $g_4(K)$ be the 4-ball genus of K . Then we have $g_s^r(K) \leq g_4(K)$, for any $r \in \mathbb{Z}$.

In this paper, we show the following

Theorem 1.3. *If $n > 2g_s^n(K) - 2$, $M_n(K)$ does not bound any smooth spin rational 4-ball.*

If K is an unknot, Theorem 1.3 is closely related to M. Tange's result (see Remark 1.7), who uses the Heegaard Floer homology $HF^+(M_n(U))$ and the correction term $d(M_n(U))$, while we apply the adjunction inequality.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 57R65; Secondary 57M25.

Corollary 1.4. *If $n > 2g_s^n(K) - 2$, the negative n -twisted Whitehead double of $LHT\sharp K$ is not a slice knot, where $LHT\sharp K$ is the connected sum of LHT and K .*

Remark 1.5. By Corollary 1.4, if $LHT\sharp K$ is a slice knot, $g_s^0(K)$ is not equal to 0.

If $\tau(K) = g_s^n(K)$, Corollary 1.4 is a special case of Hedden's result (see [6, Theorem 1.5]), where $\tau(K)$ is the τ -invariant of K .

We will prove Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 1.4 in Section 2.

Theorem 1.6. *Let (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) be any permutation of $(-1, -1, -2k-1, -2m-1)$, where m and k are integers and $k \geq 0$. Then the knot represented by Figure 1.2 is not a slice knot, where a_i is the number of full twists ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$).*




FIGURE 1.2.

We will prove Theorem 1.6 in Section 3.

Remark 1.7. Let U be an unknot. Y. Matsumoto asked in [7, Problem 4.28] whether $M_0(U)$ bounds a contractible 4-manifold or not. By Gordon's result [5], if n is odd, $M_n(U)$ does not bound any contractible 4-manifold (cf. [10, §3.1]). If n is equal to -6 , N. Maruyama [9] proved that $M_{-6}(U)$ bounds a contractible 4-manifold. If n is equal to 0, S. Akbulut [2] proved that $M_0(U)$ does not bound any contractible 4-manifold. If $n > -2$, M. Tange [12] proved that $M_n(U)$ does not bound any negative definite 4-manifold by computing the Heegaard Floer homology $HF^+(M_n(U))$ and the correction term $d(M_n(U))$.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Tetsuya Abe, Mikio Furuta, Yukio Matsumoto, Nobuhiro Nakamura and Motoo Tange for their useful comments and encouragement.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Notation.

- (1) We represent a knot K by Figure 2.1. If K is a right handed trefoil knot RHT , $X_n(RHT)$ is represented by Figure 2.2.





FIGURE 2.1. K




FIGURE 2.2. $X_n(RHT)$

- (2) Let $D_-(K, n)$ (resp. $D_+(K, n)$) be the negative (resp. positive) n -twisted Whitehead double of K , and we represent $D_-(K, n)$ by Figure 2.3. For example, $D_-(LHT, -6)$ is represented by Figure 2.4. To simplify the diagram, we usually use Figure 2.5 instead of Figure 2.4.

FIGURE 2.3. $D_-(K, n)$ FIGURE 2.4. $D_-(LHT, -6)$ FIGURE 2.5. $D_-(LHT, -6)$

Let $V_n(K)$ be the 4-dimensional handlebody represented by Figure 2.7.

Proposition 2.1. *The 4-dimensional handlebodies $X_n(K)$ and $V_n(K)$ have the same boundary.*

FIGURE 2.6. $X_n(K)$
 \cong
FIGURE 2.7. $V_n(K)$

Proof. We show Proposition 2.1 by the following handle calculus:

FIGURE 2.8. X_n
 $\xrightarrow[\text{isotopy}]{\cong}$


FIGURE 2.9.




FIGURE 2.10.


 $\xrightarrow[\text{isotopy}]{\cong}$


FIGURE 2.11.




FIGURE 2.12.




FIGURE 2.13.

FIGURE 2.14. $V_n(K)$

□

Remark 2.2. By Figure 2.7, we can compute the Casson invariant $\lambda(M_n(K))$ as follows (cf. [13, §2(vii)]):



$$\lambda(M_n(K)) = -n.$$

The Casson invariant, when reduced modulo 2, is the Rohlin invariant:


$$\lambda(M_n(K)) \equiv \mu(M_n(K)) \pmod{2}.$$

Therefore, if n is odd, $M_n(K)$ does not bound any smooth spin rational 4-ball.


Remark 2.3. If $D_-(LHT \# K, n)$ is a slice knot, we have a smooth S^2 with self intersection -1 in $V_n(K)$ representing the generator of $H_2(V_n(K))$. We can blow down this S^2 to get a smooth contractible 4-manifold $W_n(K)$. For example, Casson showed that $D_-(LHT, -6)$ is a slice knot. Therefore $V_{-6}(U)$ can be blown down to a contractible 4-manifold $W_{-6}(U)$ represented by Figure 2.16.

FIGURE 2.15. $V_{-6}(U)$ $\partial \cong$ FIGURE 2.16. $W_{-6}(U)$

Let $Y_n(K)$ be the 4-dimensional handlebody represented by Figure 2.17 with intersection from $2E_8 \oplus 2\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & n \end{pmatrix} \oplus 1$.

FIGURE 2.17. $Y_n(K)$

Because the $+1$ -framed knot in Figure 2.17 is a slice knot ($RHT \sharp LHT$), we can blow it down. Then we have a smooth simply connected 4-dimensional handlebody $Z_n(K)$ represented by Figure 2.18 with intersection form $2E_8 \oplus 2\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & n \end{pmatrix}$. Note that $Y_n(K)$ and $Z_n(K)$ have the same boundary.

FIGURE 2.18. $Z_n(K)$

Proposition 2.4. *The 4-dimensional handlebodies $X_n(K)$ and $Z_n(K)$ have the same boundary.*

Proof. Because $Y_n(K)$ and $Z_n(K)$ have the same boundary, we show that $X_n(K)$ and $Y_n(K)$ have the same boundary by “S. Akbulut’s blowing up down process” (see [2, Figures 1–4] and [1, Figures 9–19]) as follows:

FIGURE 2.19. X_n

$\xleftarrow[\text{blowing down}]{\partial \cong}$




FIGURE 2.20.




FIGURE 2.21.

$\xrightarrow[\text{surgery and cancelling}]{\partial \cong}$




FIGURE 2.22.




FIGURE 2.23.




FIGURE 2.24.




FIGURE 2.25.

$\xrightarrow[\text{blowing up}]{\partial \cong}$




FIGURE 2.26.




FIGURE 2.27.




FIGURE 2.28.




FIGURE 2.29.




FIGURE 2.30.




FIGURE 2.31.




FIGURE 2.32.




FIGURE 2.33.




FIGURE 2.34.






FIGURE 2.41.

Putting $k = m = -1$ in Figure 2.41, we get Figure 2.17. \square

Remark 2.5. If $M_n(K)$ bounds a smooth contractible 4-manifold $W_n(K)$ and n is even, we have a smooth homotopy $K3$ surface $Z_n(K) \cup_{\partial} (-W_n(K))$.

Morgan and Szabó proved the following adjunction inequality.

Theorem 2.6 (see [11, Corollary 1.2]). *Let X be a smooth closed homotopy $K3$ surface and $g(x)$ be the minimal genus of smoothly embedded closed oriented surfaces representing x , where $x \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$. For every $x \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$, $x \neq 0$, $x \cdot x \geq 0$, we have*

$$2g(x) - 2 \geq x \cdot x.$$

The author is informed from Mikio Furuta [4] that the following stronger version can be proved essentially in the same way as Theorem 2.6.

Theorem 2.6' ([4]). *Let X be a smooth closed spin rational homology $K3$ surface and $g(x)$ be the minimal genus of smoothly embedded closed oriented surfaces representing x , where $x \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$. For every $x \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$, $x \neq 0$, $x \cdot x \geq 0$, we have*

$$2g(x) - 2 \geq x \cdot x.$$

We will prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $N_{K,n}$ be a 4-dimensional handlebody constructed by attaching a 2-handle to D^4 along the n -framed knot K of Figure 2.18. Let x be a generator of $H_2(N_{K,n}; \mathbb{Z})$. This homology class x is represented by smooth closed oriented surface Σ with genus $g_s^n(K)$ and $x \cdot x = n$. The handlebody $N_{K,n}$ is a submanifold of $Z_n(K)$.

Suppose that $n > 2g_s^n(K) - 2$, $M_n(K)$ ($= \partial(Z_n(K))$) bounds a smooth spin rational 4-ball $W_n(K)$ and n is even, then we have a smooth spin rational homology $K3$ surface

$Z_n(K) \cup_{\partial} (-W_n(K))$ in which x persists and is represented by Σ with genus $g_s^n(K)$ and $x \cdot x = n$. We apply the adjunction inequality to x in $Z_n(K) \cup_{\partial} (-W_n(K))$. Then we get

$$2g_s^n(K) - 2 \geq x \cdot x = n.$$

This contradicts the assumption $n > 2g_s^n(K) - 2$. Therefore if $n > 2g_s^n(K) - 2$ and n is even, $M_n(K)$ does not bound any smooth spin rational 4-ball. By Remark 2.2, we know that if n is odd, $M_n(K)$ does not bound any smooth spin rational 4-ball. Therefore we conclude that if $n > 2g_s^n(K) - 2$, $M_n(K)$ does not bound any smooth spin rational 4-ball. \square

We will show Corollary 1.4.

Proof of Corollary 1.4. By Remark 2.3, if $D_-(LHT\sharp K, n)$ is a slice knot, $M_n(K)$ bounds a contractible 4-manifold. By Theorem 1.3, if $n > 2g_s^n(K) - 2$, $M_n(K)$ does not bound any smooth spin rational 4-ball. Therefore if $n > 2g_s^n(K) - 2$, $D_-(LHT\sharp K, n)$ is not a slice knot. \square

3. Proof of Theorem 1.6

We show that if (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) is any permutation of $(-1, -1, -2k - 1, -2m - 1)$, the knot represented by Figure 1.2 is not a slice knot, where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Let Y be the 4-dimensional handlebody represented by Figure 3.3 with intersection form $2E_8 \oplus 3\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus 1$. By the proof of Proposition 2.4, $X_{-6}(U)$ and Y have the same boundary.

If $k \geq 0$ and the $+1$ -framed knot in Figure 3.3 is a slice knot, then we can blow it down and get a smooth simply connected 4-dimensional handlebody Z represented by Figure 3.4 with intersection form $2E_8 \oplus 3\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Because $M_{-6}(U)$ bounds a contractible 4-manifold $W_{-6}(U)$ (see Remark 2.3), we have a homotopy $K3$ surface $Z \cup_{\partial} (-W_{-6}(U))$. Let x be the element of $H_2(Z \cup_{\partial} (-W_{-6}(U)); \mathbb{Z})$ which is generated by attaching a 2-handle along the $2k$ -framed unknot in Figure 3.4. The homology class x is represented by a smooth S^2 and $x \cdot x = 2k$. By Theorem 2.6, we have the following inequality:

$$-2 \geq x \cdot x = 2k.$$

This contradicts the assumption $k \geq 0$. Therefore if $k \geq 0$, the $+1$ -framed knot in Figure 3.3 is not a slice knot. By the handle calculus from Figure 3.5 to Figure 3.6, we can show that if $k \geq 0$, the $+1$ -framed knot in Figure 3.6 is not a slice knot essentially in the same way as above. Similarly we can prove that if $k \geq 0$ and (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) is any permutation of $(-1, -1, -2k - 1, -2m - 1)$, the knot represented by Figure 1.2 is not a slice knot. \square




FIGURE 3.1. $W_{-6}(U)$

$\xleftarrow[\cong]{\partial}$
 by Proposition 2.1
 and Remark 2.3





FIGURE 3.2. $X_{-6}(U)$



4. Some homotopy $K3$ surfaces

We will exhibit some homotopy $K3$ surfaces obtained by the method of this paper.

(a). Since $RHT \sharp LHT$ is a slice knot, we have a smooth S^2 with self intersection 0 in $X_0(RHT)$. By performing surgery on the S^2 , we have a contractible 4-manifold $W'_0(RHT)$ represented by Figure 4.1. By Proposition 2.4, $W'_0(RHT)$ and $Z_0(RHT)$ have the same boundary. Therefore we have a homotopy $K3$ surface $Z_0(RHT) \cup_{\partial} (-W'_0(RHT))$.




FIGURE 4.1. $W'_0(RHT)$




FIGURE 4.2. $X_0(RHT)$








FIGURE 4.3. $Z_0(RHT)$



Let X be the homotopy $K3$ surface $Z_0(RHT) \cup_{\partial} (-W'_0(RHT))$. By $g_s^0(RHT) = g_4(RHT) = 1$, we have a smooth T^2 with trivial normal bundle represented by Figure 4.5 in $Z_0(RHT) (\subset X)$. Then we can perform *knot surgery* which is introduced by Fintushel and Stern [3] on the $T^2 \times D^2$ in X . By the existence of the 2-handle with 0-framing which is linking to the 0-framed RHT in $Z_0(RHT)$, we have $\pi_1(X \setminus T^2) = 1$. If we perform the knot surgery using a knot whose symmetric Alexander polynomial is $t^2 - t + 1$ for example, then the resulting 4-manifold is an exotic homotopy $K3$ surface (see [3]).

FIGURE 4.4. 0-framed RHT in $Z_0(RHT)$ FIGURE 4.5. $T^2 \times D^2$ in $Z_0(RHT)$


(b). By Proposition 2.1, $X_0(RHT)$ and $V_0(RHT)$ have the same boundary. Since $RHT \sharp LHT$ is a slice knot, $D_-(RHT \sharp LHT, 0)$ is a slice knot. By Remark 2.3, we have a contractible 4-manifold represented by Figure 4.8.

FIGURE 4.6. $X_0(RHT)$
 $\partial \cong$
FIGURE 4.7. $V_0(RHT)$
 $\partial \cong$
FIGURE 4.8. $W_0(RHT)$


Since $X_0(RHT)$ and $Z_0(RHT)$ have the same boundary, we have a homotopy $K3$ surface $Z_0(RHT) \cup_{\partial} (-W_0(RHT))$.

FIGURE 4.9. $W_0(RHT)$
 $\partial \cong$
FIGURE 4.10. $Z_0(RHT)$

(c). Let $Y'_n(K)$ be the 4-dimensional handlebody represented by Figure 4.11 with intersection form $2E_8 \oplus 2\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & n \end{pmatrix} \oplus 1$. Note that $Y_n(K)$ of Figure 2.17 and $Y'_n(K)$ have the same boundary.

FIGURE 4.11. $Y'_n(K)$

Since the $+1$ -framed knot in Figure 4.11 is a slice knot (the connected sum of two figure eight knots), we can blow it down. Then we have a smooth simply connected 4-dimensional handlebody $Z'_n(K)$ represented by Figure 4.12 with intersection form $2E_8 \oplus 2\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & n \end{pmatrix}$. Note that $Y'_n(K)$ and $Z'_n(K)$ have the same boundary.

FIGURE 4.12. $Z'_n(K)$

Therefore we have homotopy $K3$ surfaces $Z'_0(RHT) \cup_{\partial} (-W'_0(RHT))$ and $Z'_0(RHT) \cup_{\partial} (-W_0(RHT))$.

5. Appendix: On homology 3-spheres which bound a contractible 4-manifold

We show a series of homology 3-spheres which bound a contractible 4-manifold. Let $V_{K_1,s}(K_2,t)$ be the 4-dimensional handlebody represented by Figure 5.1 and $M_{K_1,s}(K_2,t)$ be $\partial(V_{K_1,s}(K_2,t))$, where K_1 and K_2 are knots and $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$. If K_1 (or K_2) is an unknot U , a box in Figure 5.1 represents the s full twists (or t full twists). Note that $M_{K_1,s}(K_2,t)$ is a homology 3-sphere.




FIGURE 5.1. $V_{K_1,s}(K_2,t)$

Let $T_{s,s+1}$ be a $(s, s+1)$ -torus knot and $T_{1,2}$ be an unknot U , where s is a positive integer. We choose an orientation of S^3 such that $T_{2,3}$ is *RHT*.

Theorem 5.1. *The homology 3-sphere $M_{T_{s,s+1},s(s+1)}(K,n)$ bounds a contractible 4-manifold, where K is any knot and n is any integer.*




FIGURE 5.2. $V_{T_{s,s+1},s(s+1)}(K,n)$

Example . If s is equal to 1, $T_{1,2}$ is an unknot U . The homology 3-sphere $M_{U,2}(K,n)$ bounds a contractible 4-manifold, where K is any knot and n is any integer.




FIGURE 5.3. $V_{U,2}(K,n)$

To prove Theorem 5.1, we show the following Lemma 5.2.

Lemma 5.2. *The 4-dimensional handlebodies represented by Figures 5.4, 5.5 and 5.6 have the same boundary, where K_1, K_2 and K_3 are knots and $s, t, r \in \mathbb{Z}$.*




FIGURE 5.4.


 \cong


FIGURE 5.5.


 \cong


FIGURE 5.6.

Proof. We show Lemma 5.2 by the following handle calculus:




FIGURE 5.7.


 \cong


FIGURE 5.8.




FIGURE 5.9.


 \cong


FIGURE 5.10.




FIGURE 5.11.




FIGURE 5.12.

□

We will prove Theorem 5.1.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. We prove Theorem 5.1 by the following handle calculus:




FIGURE 5.13.





FIGURE 5.14.






FIGURE 5.15.

FIGURE 5.16. $V_{T_{s,s+1}, s(s+1)}(K, n)$

Note that the left side knot in Figure 5.13 is $D_+(T_{s,s+1}, s(s+1))$. If s is equal to 1, it is known that $D_+(U, 2)$ is a slice knot. If $s \geq 2$, Litherland [8] remarks that $D_+(T_{s,s+1}, s(s+1))$ is a slice knot. Therefore we have a smooth S^2 with self intersection 0 in the 4-dimensional handlebody represented by Figure 5.13. By performing surgery on the S^2 , we have a contractible 4-manifold W represented by Figure 5.18.





FIGURE 5.17.

FIGURE 5.18. W

□

Remark 5.3. By Theorem 5.1, the 4-manifold $V_{T_{s,s+1},s(s+1)}(K,n) \cup_{\partial} (-W)$ is a homotopy \mathbb{CP}^2 .

Remark 5.4. In the case s is equal to 1, we could prove that this 4-manifold is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{CP}^2 .

Remark 5.5. Let t be a positive integer. If K is $T_{t,t+1}$ and n is equal to $t(t+1)$ in Figure 5.13, we have a smooth S^2 with self intersection 0 in the 4-dimensional handlebody represented by Figure 5.14. By performing surgery on the S^2 , we have a contractible 4-manifold represented by Figure 5.19. By the proof of Theorem 5.1, the 4-dimensional handlebodies represented by Figures 5.19 and 5.20 have the same boundary. Therefore by gluing these two contractible 4-manifolds, we get a homotopy S^4 . We do not know whether or not this homotopy S^4 is diffeomorphic to S^4 .




FIGURE 5.19.


$$\cong$$


FIGURE 5.20.

References

- [1] S. Akbulut, *A fake compact contractible 4-manifold*, J. Differential Geom. **33** (1991), no. 2, 335–356.
- [2] S. Akbulut, *A note on a homology sphere*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997), no. 2, 625–628.
- [3] R. Fintushel and R. Stern, *Knots, links, and 4-manifolds*, Invent. Math. **134**, (1998), no. 2, 363–400.
- [4] M. Furuta, (private communication)
- [5] C. McA. Gordon, *Knots, homology spheres, and contractible 4-manifolds*, Topology **14** (1975), 151–172.
- [6] M. Hedden, *Knot Floer homology of Whitehead doubles*, Geom. Topol. **11** (2007), 2277–2338.
- [7] R. C. Kirby, *Problems in low dimensional manifold theory*, Algebraic and geometric topology (Stanford, 1976) **32**, Part 2 of Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 273–312. (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I. 1978)
- [8] R. A. Litherland, *Slicing doubles of knots in homology 3-spheres*, Invent. Math. **54** (1979), no. 1, 69–74.
- [9] N. Maruyama, *Knot surgery descriptions of some closed oriented 3-manifolds*, Journal of Tsuda College **16** (1984), 1–14.
- [10] Y. Matsumoto, *On the bounding genus of homology 3-spheres*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **29** (1982), no. 2, 287–318.
- [11] J. W. Morgan and Z. Szabó, *Homotopy K3 surfaces and mod 2 Seiberg-Witten invariants*, Math. Res. Lett. **4** (1997), no. 1, 17–21.
- [12] M. Tange, *Heegaard Floer homology of Matsumoto's manifolds*, <http://www.math.tsukuba.ac.jp/~tange/MatsumotoM.pdf>
- [13] M. Tsuchiya, *On homology 3-spheres defined by two knots*, arXiv:1401.7445v2 (2014). (To appear in Osaka J. Math.)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GAKUSHUIN UNIVERSITY, 5-1, MEJIRO 1-CHOME, TOSHIMA-KU, TOKYO, 171-8588, JAPAN

E-mail address: tsuchiya@math.gakushuin.ac.jp