

Quasi-translations and singular Hessians

Michiel de Bondt

March 4, 2022

Abstract

In 1876 in [8], the authors Paul Gordan and Max Nöther classify all homogeneous polynomials h in at most five variables for which the Hessian determinant vanishes. For that purpose, they study quasi-translations which are associated with singular Hessians.

We will explain what quasi-translations are and formulate some elementary properties of them. Additionally, we classify all quasi-translations with Jacobian rank one and all so-called irreducible homogeneous quasi-translations with Jacobian rank two. The latter is an important result of [8]. Using these results, we classify all quasi-translations in dimension at most three and all homogeneous quasi-translations in dimension at most four.

Furthermore, we describe the connection of quasi-translation with singular Hessians, and as an application, we will classify all polynomials in dimension two and all homogeneous polynomials in dimensions three and four whose Hessian determinant vanishes. More precisely, we will show that up to linear terms, these polynomials can be expressed in $n - 1$ linear forms, where n is the dimension, according to an invalid theorem of Hesse.

In the last section, we formulate some known results and conjectures in connection with quasi-translations and singular Hessians.

Key words: Quasi-translation, Hessian, determinant zero, homogeneous, locally nilpotent derivation, algebraic dependence, linear dependence.

MSC 2010: 14R05, 14R10, 14R20, 13N15.

1 Quasi-translations

Let A be a commutative ring with \mathbb{Q} and n be a positive natural number. Write

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

for the identity map from A^n to A^n , thus x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are variables that correspond to the coordinates of A^n . A *translation* of A^n is a map

$$x + c = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + c_1 \\ x_2 + c_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n + c_n \end{pmatrix}$$

where $c \in A^n$ is a fixed vector. For a translation $x + c$, we have that $x - c$ is the inverse polynomial map, because

$$(x - c) \circ (x + c) = \begin{pmatrix} (x_1 + c_1) - c_1 \\ (x_2 + c_2) - c_2 \\ \vdots \\ (x_n + c_n) - c_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = x$$

is the identity map.

Inspired by this property, we define a *quasi-translation* as a polynomial map

$$x + H = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + H_1 \\ x_2 + H_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n + H_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + H_1(x) \\ x_2 + H_2(x) \\ \vdots \\ x_n + H_n(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

such that $x - H$ is the inverse polynomial map of $x + H$, i.e.

$$(x - H) \circ (x + H) = \begin{pmatrix} (x_1 + H_1(x)) - H_1(x + H) \\ (x_2 + H_2(x)) - H_2(x + H) \\ \vdots \\ (x_n + H_n(x)) - H_n(x + H) \end{pmatrix} = x$$

The difference between a translation $x + c$ and a quasi-translation $x + H$ is that $c_i \in A$ for all i , while $H_i \in A[x] = A[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ for all i .

For a regular translation $x + c$, we have that applying it m times comes down to the translation $x + mc$. If $f \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ is an invariant of a regular translation $x + c$, i.e. $f(x + c) = f(x)$, then $f(x + (m + 1)c) = f(x + mc)$ follows by substituting $x = x + mc$, whence by induction on m , $f(x + mc) = f(x)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Below, we show similar results for quasi-translations.

Since $(x - H) \circ (x + H) = x$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} (x + mH) \circ (x + H) &= ((m + 1)x - m(x - H)) \circ (x + H) \\ &= (m + 1)(x + H) - mx = x + (m + 1)H \end{aligned}$$

Hence it follows by induction on m that applying $x + H$ m times comes down to the map $x + mH$ indeed. Consequently, if $f \in A[x]$ is an invariant of a $x + H$, i.e. $f(x + H) = f(x)$, then

$$f(x + mH) = f((x + H) \circ \dots \circ (x + H)) = f(x)$$

follows for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ by applying $f(x + H) = f(x)$ m times. Thus

$$f(x + H) = f(x) \implies f(x + mH) = f(x) \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{N} \quad (1.1)$$

With some techniques ‘on the shelf’, we can improve (1.1) to the following.

Lemma 1.1. *Assume that $x + H$ is a quasi-translation over A . Then*

$$f(x + H) = f(x) \implies f(x + tH) = f(x) \quad (1.2)$$

where t is a new indeterminate.

Proof. Let $d := \deg f$ and write $f(x + tH) - f = c_d t^d + c_{d-1} t^{d-1} + \dots + c_1 t + c_0$. Since $f(x + mH) - f = 0$ for all $m \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, d\}$ on account of (1.1),

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0^2 & \dots & 0^d \\ 1 & 1 & 1^2 & \dots & 1^d \\ 1 & 2 & 2^2 & \dots & 2^d \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & d & d^2 & \dots & d^d \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} c_0 \\ c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The matrix on the left hand side is of Hadamard type and hence invertible, because $A \supseteq \mathbb{Q}$. Consequently, $c_0 = c_1 = c_2 = \dots = c_d = 0$. Hence $f(x + tH) = f$, as desired. \square

But Gordan and Nöther did not use the term quasi-translation, and characterized quasi-translations in another way. To describe it, we define the Jacobian matrix of a polynomial map $H = (H_1, H_2, \dots, H_m)$:

$$\mathcal{J}H := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} H_1 & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} H_1 & \dots & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} H_1 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} H_2 & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} H_2 & \dots & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} H_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} H_m & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} H_m & \dots & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} H_m \end{pmatrix}$$

Notice that $\mathcal{J}H$ is a row vector if H is a single polynomial, i.e. $m = 1$.

If we see H as a column vector, we can evaluate the matrix product $\mathcal{J}H \cdot H$, and the characterization of quasi-translations $x + H$ by Gordan and Nöther comes down to

$$\mathcal{J}H \cdot H = (0^1, 0^2, \dots, 0^n) \quad (1.3)$$

Here, the powers of zero are only taken to indicate the length of the zero vector on the right.

Gordan and Nöther derived the following under the assumption of (1.3). Take a polynomial $f \in A[x]$ such that $\mathcal{J}f \cdot H = 0$. By the chain rule

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}f(x + tH) \cdot H &= (\mathcal{J}f)|_{x=x+tH} \cdot (I_n + t\mathcal{J}H) \cdot H \\ &= (\mathcal{J}f)|_{x=x+tH} \cdot H = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(x + tH) \end{aligned}$$

where I_n is the unit matrix of size n . Here, $|_{x=\dots}$ means substituting \dots for x . Since $\mathcal{J}f \cdot H = 0$, it follows from the above that

$$\mathcal{J}(f(x+tH) - f(x)) \cdot H = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(x+tH) \quad (1.4)$$

Suppose that t divides the right hand side of (1.4) exactly $r < \infty$ times. Then t divides $f(x+tH) - f(x)$ more than r times. Hence t divides the left hand side of (1.4) more than r times as well, which is a contradiction. So both sides of (1.4) are zero. Since the right hand side of (1.4) is zero and $A \supseteq \mathbb{Q}$, we get $f(x+tH) = f$, and that is what Gordan and Nöther derived from (1.3).

Lemma 1.2. *Assume H is a polynomial map over A , such that $\mathcal{J}H \cdot H = (0^1, 0^2, \dots, 0^n)$. Then*

$$\mathcal{J}f \cdot H = 0 \implies f(x+tH) = f(x) \quad (1.5)$$

for every $f \in A[x]$.

With lemmas 1.1 and 1.2, we can prove the following.

Proposition 1.3. *Let A be a commutative ring with \mathbb{Q} and $H : A^n \Rightarrow A^n$ be a polynomial map. Then the following properties are equivalent:*

- (1) $x + H$ is a quasi-translation,
- (2) $H(x+tH) = H$, where t is a new indeterminate,
- (3) $\mathcal{J}H \cdot H = (0^1, 0^2, \dots, 0^n)$.

Furthermore,

$$f(x+H) = f(x) \iff f(x+tH) = f(x) \iff \mathcal{J}f \cdot H = 0 \quad (1.6)$$

holds for all $f \in A[x]$, and additionally

$$(\mathcal{J}H)|_{x=x-t\mathcal{J}H} = (\mathcal{J}H) + t(\mathcal{J}H)^2 + t^2(\mathcal{J}H)^3 + \dots \quad (1.7)$$

if any of (1), (2) and (3) is satisfied.

Proof. The middle hand side of (1.6) gives the left hand side by substituting $t = 1$ and the right hand side by taking the coefficient of t^1 . Hence (1.6) follows from (1) and lemma 1.1 and (3) and lemma 1.2.

By taking the Jacobian of (2), we get $(\mathcal{J}H)|_{x=x+tH} \cdot (I_n + t\mathcal{J}H) = \mathcal{J}H$, which gives (1.7) after substituting $t = -t$. Therefore, it remains to show that (1), (2) and (3) are equivalent.

- (1) \implies (2) Assume (1). Since $x = (x-H) \circ (x+H) = x+H - H(x+H)$, we see that $H(x+H) = H$, and (2) follows by taking $f = H_i$ in lemma 1.1.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Assume (2). Then

$$\begin{aligned} (x - tH) \circ (x + tH) &= (x + tH) - tH(x + tH) \\ &= x + tH - tH = x \end{aligned} \tag{1.8}$$

which gives (1) after substituting $t = 1$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Assume (2). By taking the coefficient of t^1 of (2), we get (3).

(3) \Rightarrow (2) Assume (3). By taking $f = H_i$ in lemma 1.2, we get (2). \square

Notice that (1.8) tells us that in some sense, $x + tH$ is a quasi-translation as well.

Remark 1.4. Let D be the derivation $\sum_{i=1}^n H_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$. Then one can easily verify that

$$\mathcal{J}f \cdot H = 0 \iff Df = 0$$

and

$$\mathcal{J}H \cdot H = 0 \iff D^2x_1 = D^2x_2 = \dots = D^2x_n = 0$$

Hence quasi-translations correspond to a special kind of locally nilpotent derivations. Furthermore, invariants of the quasi-translation $x + H$ are just kernel elements of D .

In addition, we can write $\exp(D)$ and $\exp(tD)$ for the automorphisms corresponding to the maps $x + H$ and $x + tH$ respectively. But in order to make the article more readable for readers that are not familiar with derivations, we will omit the terminology of derivations further in this article.

2 Singular Hessians and Jacobians

Now that we have had some introduction about quasi-translations, it is time to show how they are connected to singular Hessians. For that purpose, we define the Hessian matrix of a polynomial $h \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{H}h := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} h & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} h & \dots & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} h \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} h & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} h & \dots & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} h \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} h & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} h & \dots & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} h \end{pmatrix}$$

A Hessian is a Jacobian which is symmetric with respect to the main diagonal. Hence each dependence between the columns of a Hessian is also a dependence between the rows of it.

For generality, we consider Jacobians which are not necessary Hessians. Assume $G : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is a polynomial map and $\det \mathcal{J}G = 0$. Let $\text{rk } M$ denote the rank of a matrix M . It is known that $\text{rk } \mathcal{J}H = \text{trdeg}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}(H)$, see e.g.

Proposition 1.2.9 of either [5] or [1]. Hence there exists a nonzero polynomial $R \in \mathbb{C}[y] = \mathbb{C}[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n]$ such that

$$R(G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n) = 0 \quad (2.1)$$

Here, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n are also variables that correspond to the coordinates of \mathbb{C}^n . Define

$$H_i := \left(\frac{\partial R}{\partial y_i} \right) \Big|_{y=G} \quad (2.2)$$

for all i . By taking the Jacobian of (2.1), we get

$$\mathcal{J}0 = \mathcal{J}(R(G)) = (\mathcal{J}_y R)|_{y=G} \cdot \mathcal{J}G = H^t \cdot \mathcal{J}G$$

where $H^t = (H_1 \ H_2 \ \dots \ H_n)$ is the transpose of H . Hence H is a dependence between the *rows* of $\mathcal{J}G$. If $\mathcal{J}G$ is a Hessian, H is also a dependence between the *columns* of $\mathcal{J}G$. Hence it seems a good idea to assume that $\mathcal{J}G \cdot H = 0$. But for the sake of generality, we only assume that $\mathcal{J}G \cdot \tilde{H} = 0$ for some polynomial vector \tilde{H} that does not need to be equal to H .

If we write $\nabla_y R$ for the transpose of $\mathcal{J}_y R$, then $H = (\nabla_y R)(G)$, and by the chain rule

$$\mathcal{J}H \cdot \tilde{H} = \mathcal{J}(\nabla_y R)(G) \cdot \tilde{H} = (\mathcal{J}_y \nabla_y R)|_{y=G} \cdot \mathcal{J}G \cdot \tilde{H} = 0 \quad (2.3)$$

whence $x + H$ is a quasi-translation on account of (3) \Rightarrow (1) of 1.3 if $H = \tilde{H}$. Thus we have proved the following.

Proposition 2.1. *Assume H_i is defined as in (2.2) for each i , with R is as in (2.1), where $G : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is a polynomial map. Then H is a dependence between the rows of $\mathcal{J}G$.*

If \tilde{H} is a dependence between the columns of $\mathcal{J}G$, then $\mathcal{J}H \cdot \tilde{H} = 0$. In particular, if H is a dependence between the columns of $\mathcal{J}G$, then $x + H$ is a quasi-translation.

But H defined as above may be the zero map. This is however not the case if we choose the degree of R as small as possible, without affecting (2.1), because $\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} R$ is nonzero for some i and of lower degree than R itself.

Example 2.2. Let $p = x_1^2 x_3 + x_1 x_2 x_4 + x_2^2 x_5$, $h = p^r$ and $R = y_3 y_5 - y_4^2$. Then

$$R(\nabla h) = (r p^{r-1} x_1^2)(r p^{r-1} x_2^2) - (r p^{r-1} x_1 x_2)^2 = 0$$

and $\nabla_y R = (0, 0, y_5, -2y_4, y_3)$. So

$$x + H := x + (\nabla_y R)(\nabla h) = x + r p^{r-1} (0, 0, x_2^2, -2x_1 x_2, x_1^2)$$

is a quasi-translation. Indeed, x_1 , x_2 and p are invariants of $x + H$.

Example 2.3. Let $n \geq 6$ be even and

$$h = (Ax_1 - Bx_2)^2 + (Ax_3 - Bx_4)^2 + \cdots + (Ax_{n-1} - Bx_n)^2$$

Then $(By_{2i-1} + Ay_{2i})|_{y=\nabla h} = 0$ for all i , because

$$B \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_{2i-1}} = 2AB(Ax_{2i-1} - Bx_{2i}) = -A \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_{2i}}$$

for all i . Hence $(B^2 y_{2i-1}^2 - A^2 y_{2i}^2)|_{y=\nabla h} = 0$ for all i as well, and also

$$R := \frac{1}{4AB} (B^2(y_1^2 + y_3^2 + \cdots + y_{n-1}^2) - A^2(y_2^2 + y_4^2 \cdots + y_n^2)^2)$$

satisfies $R(\nabla h) = 0$. Now one can compute that

$$x + H := x + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial R}{\partial y_1}(\nabla h) \\ \frac{\partial R}{\partial y_2}(\nabla h) \\ \frac{\partial R}{\partial y_3}(\nabla h) \\ \frac{\partial R}{\partial y_4}(\nabla h) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial R}{\partial y_{n-1}}(\nabla h) \\ \frac{\partial R}{\partial y_n}(\nabla h) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} B(Ax_1 - Bx_2) \\ A(Ax_1 - Bx_2) \\ B(Ax_3 - Bx_4) \\ A(Ax_3 - Bx_4) \\ \vdots \\ B(Ax_{n-1} - Bx_n) \\ A(Ax_{n-1} - Bx_n) \end{pmatrix}$$

is a quasi-translation, and $\mathcal{H}h \cdot H = (0^1, 0^2, \dots, 0^n)$.

Now let $a := x_1x_4 - x_2x_3$, $b := x_3x_6 - x_4x_5$, and $G := (\nabla h)|_{A=a, B=b}$. Then $R(G) = 0$ as well. Thus $\tilde{H} := (\nabla_y R)(G) = H|_{A=a, B=b}$ is a dependence between the rows of

$$\mathcal{J}G = ((\mathcal{H}h) + (\mathcal{J}_A \nabla h) \cdot \mathcal{J}a + (\mathcal{J}_B \nabla h) \cdot \mathcal{J}b)|_{A=a, B=b}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{J}(x_{2i-1}x_{2j} - x_{2i}x_{2j-1}) \cdot \tilde{H} \\ &= (x_{2i-1}\tilde{H}_{2j} - x_{2i}\tilde{H}_{2j-1}) + (x_{2j}\tilde{H}_{2i-1} - x_{2j-1}\tilde{H}_{2i}) \\ &= (ax_{2i-1} - bx_{2i})(ax_{2j-1} - bx_{2j}) + (bx_{2j} - ax_{2j-1})(ax_{2i-1} - bx_{2i}) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all i, j , we have $\mathcal{J}a \cdot \tilde{H} = \mathcal{J}b \cdot \tilde{H} = 0$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}G \cdot \tilde{H} &= (\mathcal{H}h)|_{A=a, B=b} \cdot \tilde{H} + (\mathcal{J}_a \nabla h) \cdot \mathcal{J}a \cdot \tilde{H} + (\mathcal{J}_b \nabla h) \cdot \mathcal{J}b \cdot \tilde{H} \\ &= ((\mathcal{H}h) \cdot H)|_{A=a, B=b} = (0^1, 0^2, \dots, 0^n) \end{aligned}$$

Thus $x + \tilde{H}$ is a quasi-translation as well. In fact, it appears in [4, Th. 2.1] as a homogeneous quasi-translation without linear invariants.

3 Hesse's theorem

A polynomial is *homogeneous* of degree d if all its terms have degree d . A homogeneous polynomial of degree one is called a *linear form*. We call a quasi-translation $x + H$ *homogeneous* if there exists a $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that each component H_i of H is either zero or homogeneous of degree d .

When Gordan and Nöther published their article in 1876, it was two years ago that Otto Hesse had died. The role of Hesse is, that the starting point of Gordan and Nöther was a wrong theorem of Hesse, which they proved in dimensions two, three and four, and disproved for all dimensions greater than four.

Hesse's (invalid) theorem. *Assume $n \geq 2$ and h is a homogeneous polynomial in x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n over \mathbb{C} whose Hessian matrix is singular. Then there is a constant vector $c = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n)$ such that*

$$c_1 \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_1} + c_2 \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_2} + \dots + c_n \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_n} = 0 \quad (3.1)$$

or equivalently $\mathcal{J}h \cdot c = 0$.

Gordan and Nöther first observe that (3.1) is equivalent to the existence of a linear transformation which makes h a polynomial in less than n variables, in other words, the existence of an invertible matrix T such that

$$h(Tx) \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}]$$

In order to see that, we first compute the Jacobian matrix of $h(Tx)$ by way of the chain rule, where $|_{x=Tx}$ means substituting the vector Tx for x .

$$\mathcal{J}(h(Tx)) = (\mathcal{J}h(x))|_{x=Tx} \cdot T \quad (3.2)$$

If the i -th column of T is equal to c , we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} h(Tx) = (\mathcal{J}h(x))|_{x=Tx} \cdot c = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_j} \right) \Big|_{x=Tx}$$

whence for all $c_0 \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} h(Tx) = c_0 \iff \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_j} = c_0 \quad (3.3)$$

By taking $i = n$ and $c_0 = 0$ in (3.3), we get the above remark of Gordan and Nöther.

We shall prove Hesse's theorem in dimensions two, three, and four. If we drop the condition that h is homogeneous, we can prove Hesse's theorem in dimension two, but only for polynomials h without linear terms. For polynomials h with linear terms, we can only get

$$c_1 \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_1} + c_2 \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_2} + \dots + c_n \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_n} = c_0 \in \mathbb{C}$$

which holds for homogeneous h in dimension one as well ($h = x_1$ is homogeneous, but does not satisfy Hesse's theorem in dimension one). So we will prove the following.

Theorem 3.1. *Hesse's theorem is true in dimension $n \leq 4$, and for non-homogeneous polynomials in dimension $n \leq 2$.*

Before we prove our affirmative results about Hesse's theorem, we take a look at the effects of affine transformations of h and of removing linear terms of h .

View the vectors $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, $c = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n)$ and $\tilde{c} = (\tilde{c}_1, \tilde{c}_2, \dots, \tilde{c}_n)$ as column matrices of variables and constants respectively. Let T be an invertible matrix of size n over \mathbb{C} . Then

$$h(Tx + c)$$

is an affine transformation of h . Write M^t for the *transpose* of a matrix M . Then $\tilde{c}^t x$, which is the product of a row matrix and a column matrix, is a matrix with only one entry, and we associate it with the value of its only entry. If we define

$$\tilde{h} := h(Tx + c) - \tilde{c}^t x$$

then it appears that $\det \mathcal{H}\tilde{h} = 0$, if and only if $\det \mathcal{H}h = 0$. To see this, notice that on account of (3.2),

$$\mathcal{J}\tilde{h} = \mathcal{J}(h(Tx + c) - \tilde{c}^t x) = (\mathcal{J}h)|_{x=Tx+c} \cdot T - \tilde{c}^t$$

The transpose of this equals

$$\nabla \tilde{h} = T^t \cdot (\nabla h)|_{x=Tx+c} - \tilde{c}$$

where ∇f stands for the transpose of $\mathcal{J}f$. Taking the Jacobian of the above, we get

$$\mathcal{H}\tilde{h} = \mathcal{H}(h(Tx + c) - \tilde{c}^t x) = \mathcal{J}(T^t \cdot (\nabla h)|_{x=Tx+c} - \tilde{c}) = T^t \cdot (\mathcal{H}h)|_{x=Tx+c} \cdot T$$

which is a singular matrix, if and only if $\mathcal{H}h$ is. If $\det \mathcal{H}h = 0$, then $R(\nabla h) = 0$ for some nonzero $R \in \mathbb{C}[y]$ on account of (2.1), and similarly $\tilde{R}(\nabla \tilde{h}) = 0$.

We shall describe a natural connection between R and \tilde{R} . If $R(\nabla h) = 0$ for some $R \in \mathbb{C}[y]$, then

$$R\left((T^t)^{-1}\left((T^t \cdot (\nabla h)|_{x=Tx+c} - \tilde{c}) + \tilde{c}\right)\right) = \left(R(\nabla h)\right)\Big|_{x=Tx+c} = 0$$

thus $\tilde{R} := R((T^t)^{-1}(y - \tilde{c}))$ satisfies $\tilde{R}(\nabla \tilde{h}) = 0$.

If we define H_i as in (2.2) with $G = \nabla h$ for each i , then we get $H = (\nabla_y R)(\nabla h)$, and $x + H$ is a quasi-translation on account of corollary 2.1. In a similar manner, $x + \tilde{H}$ is a quasi-translation if $\tilde{H} = (\nabla_y \tilde{R})(\nabla \tilde{h})$, and again we describe a natural connection. By the chain rule,

$$\mathcal{J}_y \tilde{R} = \mathcal{J}_y R((T^t)^{-1}(y - \tilde{c})) = (\mathcal{J}_y R)|_{y=(T^t)^{-1}(y-\tilde{c})} \cdot (T^t)^{-1}$$

whence

$$\nabla_y \tilde{R} = T^{-1}(\nabla_y R)|_{y=(T^t)^{-1}(y-\tilde{c})}$$

Combining this with $\nabla \tilde{h} = T^t \cdot (\nabla h)|_{x=Tx+c} + \tilde{c}$, we get that

$$\tilde{H} = (\nabla_y \tilde{R})(\nabla \tilde{h}) = T^{-1}H(Tx + c)$$

thus \tilde{H} is a linear conjugation of H if $c = 0$. In general,

$$x + \tilde{H} = x + T^{-1}H(Tx + c) = T^{-1}\left((Tx + c + H(Tx + c)) - c\right)$$

is an affinely linear conjugation of $x + H$. In the next section, we shall show that affinely linear conjugations of quasi-translations are again quasi-translations.

We are now ready to prove the following.

Theorem 3.2. *Assume $h \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ and $R \neq 0$ satisfies (2.1) and is of minimum degree. Define $H = (\nabla_y R)(\nabla h)$.*

If h is homogeneous, then R and H are homogeneous as well.

If the dimension of the linear span of the image of H is at most one, then $\deg R = 1$ and $x + H$ is a regular translation.

Proof. If h is homogeneous, then (2.1) is still satisfied if R is replaced by any homogeneous component of it. Since R was chosen of minimum degree, we see that R and hence also H is homogenous if h is homogeneous.

Assume that the dimension of the linear span of the image of H is at most one. Then there are $n - 1$ independent vectors $c^{(i)} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that

$$(c^{(1)})^t H = (c^{(2)})^t H = \dots = (c^{(n-1)})^t H = 0$$

Suppose that $\deg R \neq 1$. Since $(c^{(i)})^t H = ((c^{(i)})^t \nabla R)(\nabla h)$ for each i and R is of minimum degree, we have $(c^{(i)})^t \nabla R = 0$ for each i . Take an invertible matrix T over \mathbb{C} such that the i -th column of $(T^t)^{-1}$ equals $c^{(i)}$ for each $i \leq n - 1$. Then $(\mathcal{J}R) \cdot c^{(i)} = 0$ for each $i \leq n - 1$. By applying (3.3) with $R(y)$ instead of $h(x)$ and $c_0 = 0$, we obtain $\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} R((T^t)^{-1}y) = 0$ for all $i \leq n - 1$, so

$$\tilde{R}(y) := R((T^t)^{-1}y) \in \mathbb{C}[y_n]$$

Notice that for $\tilde{h} := h(Tx)$, we have $\tilde{R}(\nabla \tilde{h}) = R((T^t)^{-1}T^t \nabla h)|_{x=Tx} = 0$, whence

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \tilde{h}$$

is algebraic over \mathbb{C} . This is only possible if this derivative is a constant c_0 , which means that the left hand side of (3.3) holds for $i = n$. Thus R can be chosen of degree one, and since that is the minimum possible degree for R , we have $\deg R = 1$ and H is constant. \square

Proof of theorem 3.1. In section 5, we will show that for quasi-translations $x+H$ in dimension two and for homogeneous quasi-translations $x+H$ in dimension three, the linear span of the image of H has dimension at most one. So the case $n \leq 3$ of theorem 3.1 follows from theorem 3.2. Additionally, we will show in section 5 that for homogeneous quasi-translations $x+H$ in dimension $n=4$, the linear span of the image of H has dimension at most two. So the case $n=4$ of theorem 3.1 follows from theorem 3.2 and theorem 3.3 below. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Assume $h \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ and $R \neq 0$ satisfies (2.1) and is of minimum degree. Define $H = (\nabla_y R)(\nabla h)$.*

If R is homogeneous, then the dimension of the linear span of the image of H is not equal to two.

Proof. Assume that the linear span of the image of H has dimension two. Then there are $n-2$ independent vectors $c^{(i)} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that

$$(c^{(1)})^t H = (c^{(2)})^t H = \dots = (c^{(n-2)})^t H = 0$$

Since $(c^{(i)})^t H = ((c^{(i)})^t \nabla R)(\nabla h)$ for each i and R is of minimum degree, we have $(c^{(i)})^t \nabla R = 0$ for each i . Take an invertible matrix T over \mathbb{C} such that the i -th column of $(T^t)^{-1}$ equals $c^{(i)}$ for each $i \leq n-2$. By applying (3.3) with $R(y)$ instead of $h(x)$ and $c_0 = 0$, we see that $\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} R((T^t)^{-1}y) = 0$ for all $i \leq n-2$, so

$$\tilde{R}(y) := R((T^t)^{-1}y) \in \mathbb{C}[y_{n-1}, y_n]$$

Notice that for $\tilde{h} := h(Tx)$, we have $\tilde{R}(\nabla \tilde{h}) = 0$.

We will show below that Hesse's theorem holds for \tilde{h} and hence also for h . Consequently, $\deg R = 1$ and H is constant. This contradicts the assumption that the linear span of the image of H has dimension two.

More precisely, we shall show that there are $c_{n-1}, c_n \in \mathbb{C}$, not both zero, such that

$$c_{n-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n-1}} \tilde{h} + c_n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \tilde{h} = 0 \tag{3.4}$$

The case where $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \tilde{h} = 0$ is trivial, so assume the opposite. Then

$$\tilde{R} \left(\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n-1}} \tilde{h}}{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \tilde{h}}, 1 \right) = \tilde{R} \left(\frac{\nabla \tilde{h}}{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \tilde{h}} \right) = \frac{\tilde{R}(\nabla \tilde{h})}{\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \tilde{h} \right)^{\deg \tilde{R}}} = 0$$

Thus the quotient of $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n-1}} \tilde{h}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \tilde{h}$ is algebraic over \mathbb{C} , which gives (3.4). \square

If we take

$$h = x_3 x_4 \quad \text{and} \quad R = y_1 y_3 + y_2 y_4$$

we get $H = (x_4, x_3, 0, 0)$, and the span of image of H has dimension two. Thus the condition that R has minimum degree is necessary in theorem 3.2.

4 Quasi-degrees

Take $f \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ arbitrary and assume that c is equal to the i -th standard basis unit vector. Then

$$f(x + tc)|_{x_i=0}|_{t=x_i} = f(x)$$

for all i , whence the degree with respect to x_i of f is equal to the degree with respect to t of $f(x + tc)$. Based on this property, we define the *quasi-degree* of a polynomial $f \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ with respect to a quasi-translation $x + H$ as the degree with respect to t of $f(x + tH)$:

$$\nu(f) := \deg_t f(x + tH) \quad (4.1)$$

A property of ν that follows immediately from the definition is the following.

$$\nu(fg) = \nu(f) + \nu(g) \quad (4.2)$$

The quasi-degree plays an important role in the study of quasi-translations, which the proofs of the following propositions make clear.

Proposition 4.1. *Assume $x + gH$ is a quasi-translation over \mathbb{C} , where $g \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ is nonzero. Then $x + H$ is a quasi-translation over \mathbb{C} as well, and $\nu(g) = 0$. Furthermore, the invariants of $x + H$ are the same as those of $x + gH$.*

Proof. From (1) \Rightarrow (2) of proposition 1.3, we deduce that $H_i(x + tgH) \cdot g(x + tgH) = H_i \cdot g$. Substituting $t = g^{-1}t$ in it and using (4.2) and $g \neq 0$, we obtain that

$$\nu(H_i) \leq \nu(g) + \nu(H_i) = \nu(gH_i) \leq 0$$

for each i , which is exactly $H(x + tH) = H$. Hence $x + H$ is a quasi-translation on account of (2) \Rightarrow (1) of proposition 1.3.

Thus it remains to be shown that the invariants of $x + H$ and $x + gH$ are the same. Assume f is an invariant of $x + H$. Then $f(x + tH) = f(x)$ on account of (1.6), and by substituting $t = g$ we see that f is an invariant of $x + gH$. The converse follows in a similar manner by substituting $t = g^{-1}$. \square

Notice that proposition 4.1 above gives a tool to obtain quasi-translations $x + H$ over \mathbb{C} for which $\gcd\{H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n\} = 1$ from arbitrary quasi-translations $x + H$ over \mathbb{C} .

Proposition 4.2. *Assume $x + H$ is a quasi-translation in dimension n over \mathbb{C} , and F is an invertible polynomial map in dimension n over \mathbb{C} with inverse G . Then*

$$G \circ (x + H) \circ F$$

is a quasi-translation as well, if and only if $\nu(G_i) \leq 1$ for all i . In particular, if T is an invertible matrix of size n over \mathbb{C} , we have that

$$x + T^{-1}H(Tx) = T^{-1}(Tx + H(Tx)) = T^{-1}x \circ H \circ Tx$$

is a quasi-translation as well.

Proof. Assume first that $\deg_t G(x + tH) \leq 1$ for all i . Then we can write

$$G(x + tH) = G^{(0)} + tG^{(1)}$$

Notice that $G^{(0)} = G(x + tH)|_{t=0} = G$. Hence

$$G \circ (x + tH) \circ F = G^{(0)}(F) + tG^{(1)}(F) = G(F) + tG^{(1)}(F) = x + tG^{(1)}(F)$$

By substituting $t = 1$ on both sides, we obtain that $G \circ (x + H) \circ F = x + G^{(1)}(F)$ and substituting $t = -1$ tells us that its inverse $G \circ (x - H) \circ F$ is equal to $x - G^{(1)}(F)$. Thus $G \circ (x + H) \circ F$ is a quasi-translation.

Assume next that $G \circ (x + H) \circ F$ is a quasi-translation $x + \tilde{H}$. Then

$$\tilde{H} = (G \circ (x + H) \circ F) - x = x - (G \circ (x - H) \circ F)$$

Substituting $x = G(x + mH)$ in the above gives

$$G(x + mH + H(x + mH)) - G(x + mH) = G(x + mH) - G(x + mH - H(x + mH))$$

Since $H(x + mH) = H$ follows by substituting $t = m$ in (2) of proposition 1.3, we obtain

$$G(x + (m + 1)H) - G(x + mH) = G(x + mH) - G(x + (m - 1)H)$$

By induction on m , we get $G(x + (m + 1)H) - G(x + mH) = G(x + H) - G(x)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, whence

$$G(x + \tilde{m}H) - G(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\tilde{m}-1} G(x + (m + 1)H) - G(x + mH) = \tilde{m}(G(x + H) - G(x))$$

for all $\tilde{m} \in \mathbb{N}$. Using similar techniques as in the proof of lemma 1.1,

$$G(x + tH) - G(x) = t(G(x + H) - G(x))$$

follows. Hence $\deg_t G(x + tH) \leq 1$, as desired. \square

In example 4.3 below, proposition 4.2 is used to make a complicated quasi-translation from a simple one.

Example 4.3. Let $n = 4$ and take $f = x_1 + x_2x_4 - x_3^2$, $F = (f, x_2, x_3, x_4)$ and $G = (2x_1 - f, x_2, x_3, x_4)$. Then G is the inverse of F . Take $H = (0, x_1, x_1^2, x_1^3)$. Then $x + H$ is a quasi-translation which has a simple structure. Furthermore, $\deg_t f(x + tH) \leq \deg f = 2$, and the coefficient of t^2 of $f(x + tH)$ is equal to

$$H_2H_4 - H_3^2 = x_1x_1^3 - x_1^2x_1^2 = 0$$

Hence $\deg_t f(x + tH) \leq 1$. It follows from proposition 4.2 that

$$x + \tilde{H} := G \circ (x + H) \circ F$$

is a quasi-translation. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
x_1 + \tilde{H}_1 &= G_1(F + H(F)) \\
&= G_1(f, x_2 + f, x_3 + f^2, x_4 + f^3) \\
&= 2f - f(f, x_2 + f, x_3 + f^2, x_4 + f^3) \\
&= f - (x_2x_4 + x_2f^3 + x_4f + f^4) + (x_3^2 + 2f^2x_3 + f^4) \\
&= x_1 - (f^3x_2 - 2f^2x_3 + fx_4)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\tilde{H} = (-(f^3x_2 - 2f^2x_3 + fx_4), f, f^2, f^3)$$

This quasi-translation has a more complicated structure than the one we started with. In that fashion, the degrees of the components of \tilde{H} are all different, whence $x + \tilde{H}$ has no linear invariants.

5 Quasi-translations with small Jacobian rank

Proposition 5.1 below gives a tool to obtain homogeneous quasi-translations over \mathbb{C} from arbitrary quasi-translations $x + H$ over \mathbb{C} . Hence we can obtain results about arbitrary quasi-translations by studying homogeneous ones.

Proposition 5.1. *Assume $x + H$ is a quasi-translation over \mathbb{C} in dimension n , and*

$$d \geq \deg H := \max\{\deg H_1, \deg H_2, \dots, \deg H_n\}$$

If we define

$$\tilde{x} := (x, x_{n+1}) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{H} := x_{n+1}^d \cdot (H(x_{n+1}^{-1}x), 0)$$

then $\tilde{x} + \tilde{H}$ homogeneous quasi-translation (of degree d) over \mathbb{C} in dimension $n + 1$. Furthermore,

$$\text{rk } \mathcal{J}H \leq \text{rk } \mathcal{J}_{\tilde{x}}\tilde{H} \leq \text{rk } \mathcal{J}H + 1$$

Proof. Notice that \tilde{H} is indeed polynomial and homogeneous of degree d .

- (i) We show that $\tilde{x} + \tilde{H}$ is a quasi-translation in dimension $n + 1$ over \mathbb{C} . On account of (3) \Rightarrow (1) of proposition 1.3, it suffices to show that $\mathcal{J}_{\tilde{x}}\tilde{H} \cdot \tilde{H} = (0^1, 0^2, \dots, 0^{n+1})$. Since $\tilde{H}_{n+1} = 0$, this is equivalent to

$$\mathcal{J}\tilde{H} \cdot x_{n+1}^d H(x_{n+1}^{-1}x) = (0^1, 0^2, \dots, 0^{n+1})$$

Using $\mathcal{J}\tilde{H}_{n+1} = 0$ and factoring out x_{n+1}^{2d-1} , we see that it suffices to show that

$$\mathcal{J}(H(x_{n+1}^{-1}x)) \cdot x_{n+1} H(x_{n+1}^{-1}x) = (0^1, 0^2, \dots, 0^n)$$

This is indeed the case, because the chain rule tells us that

$$(0^1, 0^2, \dots, 0^n) = (\mathcal{J}H \cdot H)_{x=x_{n+1}^{-1}x}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (\mathcal{J}H \cdot x_{n+1}^{-1} \cdot x_{n+1}H)_{x=x_{n+1}^{-1}x} \\
&= \mathcal{J}(H(x_{n+1}^{-1}x)) \cdot x_{n+1}H(x_{n+1}^{-1}x) \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

(ii) We show that $\text{rk } \mathcal{J}H \leq \text{rk } \mathcal{J}_{\tilde{x}}\tilde{H} \leq \text{rk } \mathcal{J}H + 1$. It is known that $\text{rk } \mathcal{J}H = \text{trdeg}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}(H)$, see e.g. Proposition 1.2.9 of either [5] or [1]. Hence it suffices to show that $\text{trdeg}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}(H) \leq \text{trdeg}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}(\tilde{H}) \leq \text{trdeg}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}(H) + 1$.

If $R(\tilde{H}) = 0$ for some polynomial $R \in \mathbb{C}[y]$, then substituting $x_{n+1} = 1$ gives $R(H) = 0$. Hence $\text{trdeg}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}(H) \leq \text{trdeg}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}(\tilde{H})$. If $R(H) = 0$ for some polynomial $R \in \mathbb{C}[y]$, say of degree r , then $\tilde{R} := y_{n+1}^r R(y_{n+1}^{-1}y)$ satisfies $\tilde{R}(\tilde{H}, x_{n+1}^d) = 0$. Hence $\text{trdeg}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}(\tilde{H}) \leq \text{trdeg}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}(H) + 1$.

Gordan and Nöther used techniques of algebraic geometry to obtain results about homogeneous quasi-translation. They found the following property of homogeneous quasi-translations $x + H$:

$$H(tH) = 0 \quad (5.1)$$

This equality can be obtained by looking at the leading coefficient of t in $H(x + tH) = H$, which is (2) of proposition 1.3.

Below we will give algebraic proofs of the results of Gordan and Nöther about homogeneous quasi-translations with Jacobian rank at most 2, especially theorem 5.3 below.

Theorem 5.2. *Assume $x + H$ is a homogeneous quasi-translation in dimension n over \mathbb{C} . Then $\text{rk } \mathcal{J}H \leq 1$, if and only if $H = gc$ for some $g \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ and a vector $c \in \mathbb{C}^n$. If H is not of the above form, then $2 \leq \text{rk } \mathcal{J}H \leq n - 2$. In particular, $n \geq 4$ in that case.*

Proof. If $H = gc$, then $\mathcal{J}H = c \cdot \mathcal{J}g$ and therefore $\text{rk } \mathcal{J}H \leq 1$. On the other hand, if $\text{rk } \mathcal{J}H = 0$, then $H = 0 = gc$ for some $g \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ and a vector $c \in \mathbb{C}^n$, thus assume that $\text{rk } \mathcal{J}H = 1$. Then there exists a $j \leq n$ such that $g := H_j \neq 0$. If for all $i \leq n$ and for each $f \in \mathbb{C}[x]$, f divides H_i at least as many times as it divides g , then $H = gc$ for some $g \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ and a vector $c \in \mathbb{C}^n$. So take any $i \leq n$ and any $f \in \mathbb{C}[x]$.

It is known that $\text{rk } \mathcal{J}H = \text{trdeg}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}(H)$, see e.g. Proposition 1.2.9 of either [5] or [1]. Since $\text{trdeg}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}(H) = \text{rk } \mathcal{J}H = 1$, there exists a nonzero polynomial $R \in \mathbb{C}[y_1, y_2]$ such that $R(H_i, H_j) = 0$. Since H is homogeneous, we can replace R by one of its homogeneous components, so we may assume that R is homogeneous. If f divides H_i fewer times than it divides $g = H_j$, then the number of times that f divides $R(H_i, H_j)$ is determined by the nonzero term of lowest degree with respect to y_2 of R , which leads to a contradiction. So $H = gc$ for some $g \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ and a vector $c \in \mathbb{C}^n$, if and only if $\text{rk } \mathcal{J}H \leq 1$.

Assume next that H is not of the form gc for any $g \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ and any vector $c \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Let $g = \text{gcd}\{H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n\}$ and define $\tilde{H} = g^{-1}H$. On account of proposition 4.1, $x + \tilde{H}$ is a homogenous quasi-translation as well, and by assumption, there is no $c \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\tilde{H} = c$, i.e. \tilde{H} is not constant. From (5.1), we deduce that $\tilde{H}_i(\tilde{H}) = 0$ for each i . Hence also $\tilde{H}_i(H) = 0$ for each i .

Now suppose that $\text{rk } \mathcal{J}H = n - 1$. Then $\text{trdeg}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}(H) = n - 1$ as well, so the prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} := \{R \in \mathbb{C}[y] \mid R(H) = 0\}$ has height $n - (n - 1) = 1$. Since $\mathbb{C}[y]$ is a unique factorization domain, it follows that \mathfrak{p} is principal. This contradicts $\text{gcd}\{\tilde{H}_1, \tilde{H}_2, \dots, \tilde{H}_n\} = 1$, because $\tilde{H}_i \in \mathfrak{p}$ for each i . So $2 \leq \text{rk } \mathcal{J}H \leq n - 2$ if H is not of the form gc for some $g \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ and a vector $c \in \mathbb{C}^n$. \square

Theorem 5.3 is somewhat deeper and based on techniques in the paper [8] by Gordan and Nöther, see also [9]. [2, Th. 3.6 (iii)] contains another proof of the assertion that $s \geq 2$ in theorem 5.3 below, which is sufficient to obtain theorem 3.1.

Theorem 5.3 (Gordan and Nöther). *Assume $x + H$ is a homogeneous quasi-translation of degree d over \mathbb{C} such that $\text{rk } \mathcal{J}H = 2$. Then the linear span of the image of H has dimension $n - 2$ at most.*

More precisely, if $H_1 = H_2 = \dots = H_s = 0$ and $H_{s+1}, H_{s+2}, \dots, H_n$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{C} , then $s \geq 2$ and $g^{-1}H_i \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s]$ for each i , where $g = \text{gcd}\{H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n\}$.

Proof. Let $g := \text{gcd}\{H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n\}$, and write $V(H)$ and $V(g^{-1}H)$ for the common zeros of H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n and $g^{-1}H_1, g^{-1}H_2, \dots, g^{-1}H_n$ respectively. By replacing H by $T^{-1}H(Tx)$ for a suitable $T \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, we can obtain that $H_1 = H_2 = \dots = H_s = 0$ and that $H_{s+1}, H_{s+2}, \dots, H_n$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{C} . On account of proposition 4.2, $x + H$ stays a quasi-translation. Furthermore, the equality $\text{rk } \mathcal{J}H = 2$ and the dimension of the linear span of the image of H are preserved.

So we may assume that $H_1 = H_2 = \dots = H_s = 0$ and $H_{s+1}, H_{s+2}, \dots, H_n$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{C} for some $s \geq 0$. On account of theorem 5.2, $g^{-1}H$ is not constant because $\text{rk } \mathcal{J}H = 2$. It is known that $\text{rk } \mathcal{J}H = \text{trdeg}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}(H)$, see e.g. Proposition 1.2.9 of either [5] or [1]. Since $R(H) = 0 \Leftrightarrow R(g^{-1}H) = 0$ for homogeneous and hence any $R \in \mathbb{C}[y]$, it follows that

$$\text{rk } \mathcal{J}(g^{-1}H) = \text{trdeg}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}(g^{-1}H) = \text{trdeg}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}(H) = \text{rk } \mathcal{J}H = 2$$

Furthermore, $g^{-1}H_{s+1}, g^{-1}H_{s+2}, \dots, g^{-1}H_n$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{C} as well. On account of proposition 4.1, we may assume that $g = 1$ and therefore $\dim V(H) \leq n - 2$.

By theorem 5.2, we deduce from $\text{rk } \mathcal{J}H = 2$ that there is an i such that $x_1^d \nmid H_i$. So if $H_i \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s]$ for all i , then $s \geq 2$. We prove $H_i \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s]$ for all i by showing that generic linear combinations of H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n are contained in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s]$. The genericity condition on the linear combinations $\alpha^t H$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^n$, is that the intersection of the hyperplane of zeroes of $\alpha^t y$ with a fixed finite set of so-called exclusive lines through the origin must be trivial.

From lemma 5.4 below, it follows that each line L through the origin and another point in the image of H lies entirely in the image of H . Furthermore, for each such line L , there exists a polynomial $\phi^{(L)}$, which we can take square-free, such that $H^{-1}(L \setminus \{0\}^n) \subseteq V(\phi^{(L)}) \subseteq H^{-1}(L)$ on account of (i) of lemma

5.4, where $V(\phi^{(L)})$ is the set of zeroes of $\phi^{(L)}$. We call a line L in the image of H *exclusive*, if there are only finitely many other such lines L' for which $\deg \phi^{(L)} \leq \deg \phi^{(L')}$. By considering an exclusive line L for which $\deg \phi^{(L)}$ is minimum, we see that there can only be finitely many exclusive lines indeed.

So let us assume the genericity condition that the set S of zeroes of $\alpha^t y$ intersects all exclusive lines in the origin only. If S contains infinitely many lines L in the image of H , then it follows from (ii) of lemma 5.4 below that S contains the image of H as a whole, so that $\alpha^t H = 0 \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s]$. So assume that S contains only finitely many lines L in the image of H , say L_1, L_2, \dots, L_m , where $m \geq 0$.

Notice that a zero of $\alpha^t H$ is a zero of either $V(H)$ or $\phi^{(L_k)}$ for some $k \leq m$. From the Nullstellensatz, it follows that the squarefree part σ of $\alpha^t H$ is a divisor of $H_i \phi^{(L_1)} \phi^{(L_2)} \dots \phi^{(L_m)}$ for each i . Since $g = 1$, we deduce that σ is already a divisor of $\phi^{(L_1)} \phi^{(L_2)} \dots \phi^{(L_m)}$. It suffices to prove that $\sigma \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s]$ and we will do that by showing that $\phi^{(L_k)} \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s]$ for each k .

Since L_k is not exclusive, there are infinitely many lines $L' \neq L_k$ in the image of H , for which $\deg \phi^{(L_k)} \leq \deg \phi^{(L')}$. Hence it follows from (ii) of lemma 5.4 below that the linear span S' of these lines L' contains the image of H as a whole. So $S' = \{0\}^s \times \mathbb{C}^{n-s}$ by definition of s .

In order to prove that $\phi^{(L_k)} \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s]$, it suffices to show that $\mathcal{J}\phi^{(L_k)} \cdot e_i = 0$ for all $i > s$. So take any $i > s$. Since S' contains the image of H , we can write e_i as a linear combination of several $c' \in S'$ such that $c' \in L'$ for some line $L' \neq L_k$ in the image of H , for which $\deg \phi^{(L_k)} \leq \deg \phi^{(L')}$. Hence it suffices to show that $\mathcal{J}\phi^{(L_k)} \cdot c' = 0$ for every such c' . We will prove below that

$$\phi^{(L')} \mid H_i \cdot \mathcal{J}\phi^{(L_k)} \cdot c' \quad (5.2)$$

for each i and every pair $c' \in L'$ as above. Since $g = 1$, we see that (5.2) implies $\phi^{(L')} \mid \mathcal{J}\phi^{(L_k)} \cdot c'$, which gives $\mathcal{J}\phi^{(L_k)} \cdot c' = 0$ because $\deg \phi^{(L_k)} \leq \deg \phi^{(L')}$.

So it remains to prove (5.2). Since $\phi^{(L_k)}$ is square-free and $V(\phi^{(L_k)}) \subseteq H^{-1}(L_k) \subseteq H^{-1}(S)$, it follows from the Nullstellensatz that $\phi^{(L_k)} \mid \alpha^t H$. Hence $\deg_t \phi^{(L_k)}(x + tH) \leq \deg_t(\alpha^t H(x + tH)) = 0$. So $\phi^{(L_k)}(x + tH) = \phi^{(L_k)}(x)$. On account of (1.6) in proposition 1.3,

$$\mathcal{J}\phi^{(L_k)} \cdot H = 0 \quad (5.3)$$

Let $\theta \in V(\phi^{(L')})$ and $c := H(\theta)$. If c is the zero vector, then $H_i(\theta) = 0$. If c is not the zero vector, then $c \in L' \setminus \{0\}$, so c' is a scalar multiple of c , and $(\mathcal{J}\phi^{(L_k)})|_{x=\theta} \cdot c' = 0$ on account of (5.3) in this case. Consequently, θ is a zero of $H_i \cdot \mathcal{J}\phi^{(L_k)} \cdot c'$ in any case. Now (5.2) follows from the Nullstellensatz, because θ was an arbitrary zero of $\phi^{(L')}$, which is square-free. \square

Lemma 5.4. *Let H be a homogeneous polynomial map of degree d over \mathbb{C} , such that $\text{rk } \mathcal{J}H = 2$. Then the image of H consists of a union of lines through the origin.*

- (i) *For each line L through the origin in the image of H , there exists a polynomial $\phi^{(L)}$ such that $H^{-1}(L \setminus \{0\}) \subseteq V(\phi^{(L)}) \subseteq H^{-1}(L)$.*

- (ii) If a linear subspace S of \mathbb{C}^n contains infinitely many lines through the origin in the image of H , then S contains the image of H as a whole.

Proof. Since H is homogeneous, say of degree d , we have $\lambda H(\theta) = H(\sqrt[d]{\lambda}\theta)$. Consequently, the image of H consists of a union of lines through the origin.

- (i) Take any nonzero $c \in L$ and let $W := \{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \alpha^t c = 0\}$. Define $\phi^{(L)} := \gcd\{\alpha^t H \mid \alpha \in W\}$. If $\phi^{(L)}(\theta) = 0$ for some $\theta \in \mathbb{C}^n$, then $\alpha^t H(\theta) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in W$, which implies that $H(\theta) \in L$. Hence $V(\phi^{(L)}) \subseteq H^{-1}(L)$ indeed.

So it remains to prove that $H^{-1}(L \setminus \{0\}^n) \subseteq V(\phi^{(L)})$. This is trivial if $\phi^{(L)} = 0$, so assume that $\phi^{(L)} \neq 0$. By definition of $\phi^{(L)}$, there exists an $\alpha \in W$ such that $\alpha^t H \neq 0$. Furthermore, $\gcd\{(\phi^{(L)})^{-1}\beta^t H \mid \beta \in W\} = 1$. Hence for every irreducible divisor f of $\alpha^t H$, the set $\{\beta \in W \mid (\phi^{(L)})^{-1}\beta^t H \text{ is divisible by } f\}$ is a proper linear subspace of W . Since $\alpha^t H$ has only finitely many irreducible divisors, we can choose $\beta \in W$ such that $f \nmid (\phi^{(L)})^{-1}\beta^t H$ for every irreducible divisor f of $\alpha^t H$. In other words, $\gcd\{\alpha^t H, (\phi^{(L)})^{-1}\beta^t H\} = 1$.

We shall prove that $H^{-1}(L \setminus \{0\}^n) \subseteq V(\phi^{(L)})$ by showing that for each $i \leq n$, $\theta \notin V(\phi^{(L)})$ and $\theta \in H^{-1}(L)$ imply $\theta \in H^{-1}(\{0\}^n)$. In other words, we show for each $i \leq n$ that $\phi^{(L)}(\theta) \neq 0$ and $H(\theta) \in L$ imply $H_i(\theta) = 0$. So let us take any $i \leq n$ and suppose that $\phi^{(L)}(\theta) \neq 0$ and $H(\theta) \in L$. Then $\alpha^t H(\theta) = \beta^t H(\theta) = 0$, so there exists an irreducible divisor f of $\beta^t H$ such that $f(\theta) = 0$, but $f \nmid \phi^{(L)}$ because $\phi^{(L)}(\theta) \neq 0$. So $f \mid (\phi^{(L)})^{-1}\beta^t H$. From $\gcd\{\alpha^t H, (\phi^{(L)})^{-1}\beta^t H\} = 1$, it follows that $f \nmid \alpha^t H$. If there exists a homogeneous polynomial in H_i and $\alpha^t H$ which is divisible by f and monic with respect to H_i , then we can deduce that H_i is contained in the radical of $(\alpha^t H, f)$, which gives $H_i(\theta) = 0$ because $\alpha^t H(\theta) = f(\theta) = 0$.

So it remains to show that a homogeneous polynomial as above exists. It is known that $\text{rk } \mathcal{J}H = \text{trdeg}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}(H)$, see e.g. Proposition 1.2.9 of either [5] or [1]. Since $\text{trdeg}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}(H) = \text{rk } \mathcal{J}H = 2$, there exists a nonzero polynomial $R \in \mathbb{C}[y_1, y_2, y_3]$ such that $R(H_i, \alpha^t H, \beta^t H) = 0$. Since H is homogeneous, we can replace R by any of its homogeneous components, so we may assume that R is homogeneous. Furthermore, we can replace R by (at least) one of its irreducible factors, so we may assume that R is irreducible as well.

View R as a polynomial in y_3 over $\mathbb{C}[y_1, y_2]$ and take for R_0 the coefficient of y_3^0 of R . If R is linear, then $R_0 \neq 0$ because $R_0(H_i, \alpha^t H)$ cancels out a scalar multiple of $\beta^t H \neq 0$ in $R(H_i, \alpha^t H, \beta^t H) = 0$ if $R_0 \neq R$. If R is not linear, then $R_0 \neq 0$ because R is irreducible. So $R_0 \neq 0$ in any case. Since

$$f \mid \beta^t H \mid R(H_i, \alpha^t H, \beta^t H) - R_0(H_i, \alpha^t H) = -R_0(H_i, \alpha^t H)$$

and $f \nmid \alpha^t H$, we get the homogeneous polynomial in H_i and $\alpha^t H$ that we need, i.e. divisible by f and monic with respect to H_i , if we remove factors $\alpha^t H$ from $R_0(H_i, \alpha^t H)$.

- (ii) Suppose that S contains infinitely many lines through the origin in the image of H . Since S is a zero set of linear forms in y , $H^{-1}(S)$ is a zero set of linear forms in H . Suppose $\alpha^t H$ is any of these linear forms. It suffices to prove that $H^{-1}(S) = \mathbb{C}^n$ and we do that by showing that $\alpha^t H = 0$.

Choose $\phi^{(L)}$ as in (i) square-free for each line $L \subseteq S$ through the origin in the image of H . From the Nullstellensatz, it follows that $\phi^{(L)} \mid \alpha^t H$ for each line $L \subseteq S$ through the origin in the image of H . Hence the least common multiple f of the polynomials $\phi^{(L)}$, with L as such, exists as a divisor of $\alpha^t H$. Furthermore, f can be written as the least common multiple of finitely many $\phi^{(L)}$ with L as above. This contradicts the assumption that there are infinitely many lines $L \subseteq S$ through the origin in the image of H , so $\alpha^t H = 0$. \square

Theorem 5.5. *Assume $x + H$ is a quasi-translation over \mathbb{C} such that $\text{rk } \mathcal{J}H = 1$. Then the linear span of the image of H has dimension $n - 1$ at most.*

More precisely, if $H_1 = H_2 = \dots = H_s = 0$ and $H_{s+1}, H_{s+2}, \dots, H_n$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{C} , then $s \geq 1$ and $H_i \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s]$ for each i .

Proof. Just as in the proof of theorem 5.3, we may assume that $H_1 = H_2 = \dots = H_s = 0$ and $H_{s+1}, H_{s+2}, \dots, H_n$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{C} for some $s \geq 1$. If 1 is linearly dependent over \mathbb{C} of $H_{s+1}, H_{s+2}, \dots, H_n$, then we may additionally assume that $H_n = 1$. If $H_n = 1$, then define $\tilde{n} = n + 1$ and let \tilde{H} be the homogeneization of H as in proposition 5.1. If $H_n = 1$, then define $\tilde{n} = n + 2$ and let \tilde{H} be the homogeneization of $(H, 1)$ as in proposition 5.1. Let $\tilde{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{\tilde{n}})$. Since $(x, x_{n+1}) + (H, 1)$ is a quasi-translation as well, it follows from proposition 5.1 that $\tilde{x} + \tilde{H}$ is also a quasi-translation, and that $\text{rk } \mathcal{J}_{\tilde{x}} \tilde{H} \leq \text{rk } \mathcal{J}H + 1 = 2$.

One can easily verify that $\text{gcd}\{\tilde{H}_1, \tilde{H}_2, \dots, \tilde{H}_{\tilde{n}}\} = 1$ and that $\tilde{H}_{s+1}, \tilde{H}_{s+2}, \dots, \tilde{H}_{\tilde{n}-1}$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{C} . Hence $\text{rk } \mathcal{J}\tilde{H} = 2$ on account of theorem 5.2. By interchanging coordinates $s+1$ and \tilde{n} , we can deduce from theorem 5.3 that $s \geq 1$ and that $\tilde{H}_i \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s, x_{\tilde{n}}]$. Hence $H_i \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s]$ for each i . \square

Corollary 5.6. *Assume $x + H$ is a quasi-translation in dimension n .*

- (i) *If $n \leq 3$, then the linear span of the image of H has dimension at most $\max\{n - 1, 1\}$.*
- (ii) *If H is homogeneous and $n \leq 4$, then the linear span of the image of H has dimension at most $\max\{n - 2, 1\}$.*

In particular, the dimension of the linear span of the image of H is at most 2 if either (i) or (ii) is satisfied.

Proof. Notice that (i) follows from (ii) by applying (ii) on the homogeneization of H as in proposition 5.1. So assume that H is homogeneous and $n \leq 4$. Then it follows from theorem 5.2 that either $\text{rk } \mathcal{J}H \leq 1$ or $2 \leq \text{rk } \mathcal{J}H \leq n - 2 \leq 2$. So $\text{rk } \mathcal{J}H \leq 2$.

Suppose first that $\text{rk } \mathcal{J}H \leq 1$. Then we can deduce from theorem 5.2 that the linear span of the image of H is generated by a vector c , so that it has dimension at most 1. Suppose next that $\text{rk } \mathcal{J}H = 2$. Then we can deduce from theorem 5.3 that the linear span of the image of H has dimension at most $n - 2$. \square

Notice that example 4.3 (in dimension 4) and its homogeneization (in dimension 5) show that the bounds on n in (i) and (ii) of the above corollary are sharp. Example 2.3 shows that for homogeneous quasi-translations, the linear span of the image of H may have dimension n .

Corollary 5.6 tells what the dimension of the linear span of H is, but not how H looks. Since the dimension of the linear span of H is at most 2, we may assume that $H_1 = H_2 = \dots = H_{n-2} = 0$ on account of proposition 4.2, so that we can apply the following theorem.

Theorem 5.7. *Assume $x + H$ is a quasi-translation, such that $H_1 = H_2 = \dots = H_{n-2} = 0$. Then H is of the form*

$$H = (0^1, 0^2, \dots, 0^{n-2}, b g, a g)$$

where $g \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-2}, a x_{n-1} - b x_n]$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-2}]$.

Proof. Let $g = \text{gcd}\{H_{n-1}, H_n\}$ and take $b = g^{-1}H_{n-1}$ and $a = g^{-1}H_n$. We first show that $a, b \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-2}]$. We distinguish two cases.

- $\text{rk } \mathcal{J}H \leq 1$.

If H_{n-1} and H_n are linearly independent over \mathbb{C} , then we can deduce from theorem 5.5 that $H_{n-1}, H_n \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-2}]$, so that $a, b \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-2}]$ as well. If H_{n-1} and H_n are linearly dependent over \mathbb{C} , but not both equal to zero, then $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ because $\text{gcd}\{a, b\} = 1$ and $aH_{n-1} - bH_n = 0$ is a linear dependence of H_{n-1} and H_n over $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-2}]$.

- $\text{rk } \mathcal{J}H = 2$.

Let $g = \text{gcd}\{H_{n-1}, H_n\}$. From proposition 4.1, it follows that $x + g^{-1}H$ is a quasi-translation as well. Furthermore, g is an invariant of $x + g^{-1}H$. Let \tilde{H} be the homogeneization of $g^{-1}H$. Just like in the last paragraph of the proof of theorem 5.5, we can deduce that $b = g^{-1}H_{n-1}$ and $a = g^{-1}H_n$ are contained in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-2}]$.

Since g is an invariant of $x + g^{-1}H$, it follows from (1.6) in proposition 1.3 that

$$b \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n-1}} g + a \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} g = 0 \tag{5.4}$$

If we express g as a polynomial in $\mathbb{C}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-2})[a x_{n-1} - b x_n, x_i]$ for some $i \in \{n-1, n\}$, then we can deduce from equation (5.4) that $g \in \mathbb{C}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-2})[a x_{n-1} - b x_n]$.

Now let $g^{(k)}$ be the part of degree k with respect to (x_{n-1}, x_n) of g . Then $g^{(k)}$ is a polynomial which is the product of an element c_k of $\mathbb{C}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-2})$ and $(a x_{n-1} - b x_n)^k$. Since $\text{gcd}\{a, b\} = 1$, we can deduce from Gauss' lemma that $c_k \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-2}]$ for all k . So $g \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-2}, a x_{n-1} - b x_n]$. \square

The following results follow immediately from corollary 5.6 and theorems 5.5 and 5.7.

Corollary 5.8 (Z. Wang). *Assume $x + H$ is a quasi-translation in dimension $n \leq 3$ over \mathbb{C} . Then there exists an invertible matrix T over \mathbb{C} such that $T^{-1}H(Tx)$ is of the form*

- (1) $(0, g)$, where $g \in \mathbb{C}[x_1]$, if $n = 2$,
- (2) $(0, bg, ag)$, where $g \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, ax_2 - bx_3]$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{C}[x_1]$, if $n = 3$.

Corollary 5.9. *Assume $x + H$ is a homogeneous quasi-translation in dimension $n \leq 4$ over \mathbb{C} . Then there exists an invertible matrix T over \mathbb{C} such that $T^{-1}H(Tx)$ is of the form*

- (1) $(0, 0, g)$, where $g \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$, if $n = 3$,
- (2) $(0, 0, bg, ag)$, where $g \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, ax_3 - bx_4]$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$, if $n = 4$.

Theorem 5.3 was proved first by Gordan and Nöther in [8]. Corollary 5.8 was proved first by Wang in [11].

Remark 5.10. If $x + H$ is a homogeneous quasi-translation such that $\text{rk } \mathcal{J}H = 2$, then $x + gH$ does not need to be a quasi-translation. For homogeneous maps H with $\text{rk } \mathcal{J}H = 2$, $x + g^{-1}H$ is a quasi-translation for some polynomial g , if and only if $\mathcal{J}H \cdot H = \text{tr } \mathcal{J}H \cdot H$, i.e. at most one of the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{J}H$ is nonzero, see [1, Th. 4.5.2]. If $\mathcal{J}H \cdot H = 0$, then it follows from (1.7) in proposition 1.3 that $\mathcal{J}H$ is nilpotent, i.e. all eigenvalues of $\mathcal{J}H$ are zero.

6 Results and questions

Gordan and Nöther classified all homogeneous polynomials with singular Hessians in dimension five as follows.

Theorem 6.1 (Gordan and Nöther). *Assume $h \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ is a homogeneous polynomial in dimension $n = 5$. If $\det \mathcal{H}h = 0$ and h does not satisfy Hesse's theorem, then there exists an invertible matrix T over \mathbb{C} such that $h(Tx)$ is of the form*

$$h(Tx) = f(x_1, x_2, a_1x_3 + a_2x_4 + a_3x_5)$$

where $f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ and $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$.

This result can also be found in [6], [7, §4], and as [2, Th. 4.1]. Theorem 6.1 has a non-homogeneous variant, namely [3, Th. 3.3], which is as follows.

Theorem 6.2. *Assume $h \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ is a polynomial in dimension $n = 3$. If $\det \mathcal{H}h = 0$ and h does not satisfy Hesse's theorem, then there exists an invertible matrix T over \mathbb{C} such that $h(Tx)$ is of the form*

$$h(Tx) = a_1 + a_2x_2 + a_3x_3$$

where $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{C}[x_1]$.

The results of theorems 3.1 and 6.2 for polynomials in n variables with singular Hessians can be generalized to polynomials with Hessian rank $n - 1$, as has been done in Theorems 5.3.5 and 5.3.10 in [1]. But the results of theorem 3.1 and [3, Th. 3.5] admit a similar generalization. Furthermore, one may replace \mathbb{C} by any field of characteristic zero in all of the above. These results will appear in a future paper by the author.

In dimension four, only those h for which there exists a nonzero $R \in \mathbb{C}[y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4]$ with $R(\nabla h) = 0$, such that

$$c_1 \frac{\partial R}{\partial y_1} + c_2 \frac{\partial R}{\partial y_2} + c_3 \frac{\partial R}{\partial y_3} + c_4 \frac{\partial R}{\partial y_4} = 0$$

for some nonzero $c \in \mathbb{C}^4$, are known. See [1, Th. 5.3.3] or [3, Th. 3.5]. This leads to the following question.

Problem 1. *Assume $h \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]$ such that $\det \mathcal{H}h = 0$. Does there exist a nonzero $R \in \mathbb{C}[y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4]$ such that $R(\nabla h) = 0$ and*

$$c_1 \frac{\partial R}{\partial y_1} + c_2 \frac{\partial R}{\partial y_2} + c_3 \frac{\partial R}{\partial y_3} + c_4 \frac{\partial R}{\partial y_4} = 0$$

for some $c \in \mathbb{C}^4$?

The one who first solves this problem receives a bottle of Joustra Beerenburg (Frisian spirit). Problem 2 below generalizes problem 1. Indeed, suppose that f is a counterexample to problem 1. Then it is known that the R in problem 1 cannot be homogeneous, which is in fact the equivalent of problem 2 in dimension four instead of five. From that, one can deduce that $f + x_5$ is a counterexample to problem 2.

Problem 2. *Assume $h \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5]$ such that $R(\nabla h) = 0$ for some nonzero homogeneous $R \in \mathbb{C}[y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5]$. Is*

$$c_1 \frac{\partial R}{\partial y_1} + c_2 \frac{\partial R}{\partial y_2} + c_3 \frac{\partial R}{\partial y_3} + c_4 \frac{\partial R}{\partial y_4} + c_5 \frac{\partial R}{\partial y_5} = 0$$

for some $c \in \mathbb{C}^5$?

Quasi-translations in dimension four and homogeneous quasi-translations in dimension five are not classified. For quasi-translations $x + H$ in dimension five, one can show that $\text{rk } \mathcal{J}H \leq 2$ if H is constructed as $H = (\nabla R)(\nabla h)$ for a homogeneous $h \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5]$ and any $R \in \mathbb{C}[y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5]$ such that $R(\nabla h) = 0$. This is what Gordan and Nöther actually did to get theorem 6.1, see also the proof of [2, Th. 4.1].

The quasi-translation $x + \tilde{H}$ in example 4.3 has the property that the linear span of the image of \tilde{H} has dimension n . Hence one can ask the following.

Problem 1. *Assume $x + H$ is a quasi-translation in dimension $n = 4$ such that $H = (\nabla R)(\nabla h)$ for some $h \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ and an $R \in \mathbb{C}[y]$ satisfying $R(\nabla h) = 0$. Is the dimension of the linear span of the image of H less than $n = 4$?*

If you think that you have seen problem 1 before, you are quite right. Both problems 1 are equivalent.

The quasi-translation of example 4.3 in dimension $n = 4$ is not homogeneous, but the quasi-translation of example 2.3 in dimension $n = 6$ is indeed homogeneous, and the linear span of the image of H has dimension n as well. Since the linear span of the image of H has dimension less than n if $n \leq 3$ and dimension less than $n - 1$ if H is homogeneous and $3 \leq n \leq 4$, we have the following question.

Problem 3. *Assume $x + H$ is a homogeneous quasi-translation in dimension $n = 5$. Is the dimension of the linear span of the image of H less than 5?*

Also for this problem, the one who first solves it receives a bottle of Joustra Beerenburg (Frisian spirit).

For a counterexample to problem 3, we would have $\text{rk } \mathcal{J}H = 3$. Gordan and Nöther divide the homogeneous quasi-translations $x + H$ in dimension five with $\text{rk } \mathcal{J}H = 3$ in two groups, which they indicate as ‘Fall a)’ and ‘Fall b)’. They prove that for all ‘Fall a)’ quasi-translations $x + H$, the linear span of the image of H is indeed less than five. The homogeneization of example 4.3 appears to be a ‘Fall b)’ quasi-translation. See [2], in particular section 5 of it, for more information about ‘Fall b)’ quasi-translations $x + H$ and problem 3.

As observed earlier, $\mathcal{J}H$ is nilpotent if $x + H$ is a quasi-translation, because of (1.7) in proposition 1.3. Taking about nilpotent matrices, one can wonder how polynomials h with a nilpotent Hessian look if the dimension or the Jacobian rank is small.

Theorem 6.3. *Assume $h \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ is not necessarily homogeneous, such that $\mathcal{H}h$ is nilpotent. Then Hesse’s theorem holds in the following cases.*

- (i) $\text{rk } \mathcal{H}h \leq 2$ or $n \leq 4$,
- (ii) h is homogeneous and $n = 5$ (in addition to $\text{rk } \mathcal{H}h \leq 3$, in particular $n \leq 4$, for which $\det \mathcal{H}h = 0$ is sufficient).

but there is a counterexample in dimension 6 and a homogeneous counterexample in dimension 10.

Proof. (i) and (ii) follow from [1, Th. 5.7.1]. See the beginning of [1, §5.7] for how the counterexamples can be obtained. \square

References

- [1] Michiel de Bondt, Homogeneous Keller maps. Ph.D. thesis, Radboud University, Nijmegen, 2009.
http://webdoc.uhn.ru.nl/mono/b/bondt_m_de/homokema.pdf
- [2] Michiel de Bondt, Homogeneous quasi-translations in dimension 5, arXiv:1501.04845, 2015.

- [3] M.C. de Bondt and A.R.P. van de Essen, Singular Hessians, *J. Algebra* 282 (2004), no. 1, 195–204.
- [4] M.C. de Bondt, Quasi-translations and counterexamples to the homogeneous dependence problem. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 134 (2006), no. 10, 2849–2856 (electronic).
- [5] A. van den Essen, *Polynomial Automorphisms and the Jacobian Conjecture*. Birkhäuser, Berlin.
- [6] Alfredo Franchetta, Sulle forme algebriche di S_4 aventi l'hessiana indeterminata. *Rend. Mat. e Appl.* (5) 14 (1954), 252–257.
- [7] Alice Garbagnati and Flavia Repetto, A geometrical approach to Gordan-Nöther's and Franchetta's contributions to a question posed by Hesse. *Collect. Math.* 60 (2009), no. 1, 27–41.
- [8] P. Gordan and M. Nöther, Über die algebraische Formen, deren Hesse'sche Determinante identisch verschwindet. *Math. Ann.* 10 (1876), 547–568.
- [9] C. Lossen, When does the Hessian determinant vanish identically? (On Gordan and Nöther's proof of Hesse's claim). *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* 35 (2004), no. 1, 71–82.
- [10] A. Schinzel, *Selected topics on polynomials*. The Univ. of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, MI, 1982.
- [11] Zhiqing Wang, Homogeneization of locally nilpotent derivations and an application to $k[X, Y, Z]$. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 196 (2005), no. 2–3, 323–337.