

Strong solutions to a class of boundary value problems on a mixed Riemannian–Lorentzian metric

Antonella Marini¹ and Thomas H. Otway²

¹*Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di L'Aquila,
67100 L'Aquila, Italy*

^{1,2}*Department of Mathematical Sciences, Yeshiva University,
New York, New York 10033*

Abstract

A first-order elliptic-hyperbolic system in extended projective space is shown to possess strong solutions to a natural class of Guderley–Morawetz–Keldysh problems on a typical domain.

Key words: Elliptic–hyperbolic equations, extended projective disc, symmetric positive operators. MSC2010 Primary: 35M32; Secondary: 35Q75, 58J32

1 Introduction

Although there is a large literature on elliptic–hyperbolic boundary value problems associated with the transition from subsonic to supersonic flow, the literature on boundary value problems that arise from the transition between a Riemannian and a Lorentzian metric is proportionally rather sparse. For reviews, see [12] and [16]; see also [1]. In this note we prove the existence of strong solutions to an elliptic–hyperbolic boundary value problem for a lower-order perturbation of the Laplace–Beltrami equation on the extended projective disc \mathbb{P}^2 . In this problem the underlying *Beltrami metric* [2, 17] undergoes a transition from Riemannian to Lorentzian signature along the *absolute*, the curve at infinity, which is the unit circle in \mathbb{R}^2 . See, *e.g.*, Sec. 9.1 of [4] for discussion. The equations considered here are motivated by at least two topics in spacetime geometry.

First, the Laplace–Beltrami equation on extended \mathbb{P}^2 is the hodograph image of the equation for extremal surfaces in Minkowski space \mathbb{M}^3 . That equation has the form

$$\nabla \cdot \left[\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{|1 - |\nabla u|^2|}} \right] = 0,$$

where the unknown function $u(x, y)$ denotes the graph of the surface. See Sec. 6.1 of [13], and the references cited therein, for discussion.

Second, harmonic fields on extended \mathbb{P}^2 have an interpretation as a toy model for waves on certain relativistically rotating cylinders. A rotating, axisymmetric cylindrical solution to the Einstein equations has the general form

$$ds^2 = -A(r)dt^2 + 2B(r)d\phi dt + C(r)d\phi^2 + D(r)(dr^2 + dz^2),$$

where $t, z \in \mathbb{R}$; $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$; and $\phi \in [0, 2\pi]$. This metric has Lorentzian character provided the quantity $AC + B^2$ exceeds zero. Consider the special case of a cylinder rotating at angular velocity ω and satisfying

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + 2\omega r^2 d\phi dt + r^2(1 - \omega^2 r^2)d\phi^2 + F(r)(dr^2 + dz^2), \quad (1.1)$$

where $r < R$ for fixed R and F is a given positive function. The choice $F(r) = \exp[-\omega^2 r^2]$ yields one of the earliest examples of metrics permitting closed timelike curves; that metric was introduced by van Stockum [18]. It arises in the context of a rotating, infinitely long cylinder of radius R composed of dust, in which the balance between the centrifugal forces arising from the rotation and the gravitational attraction of the dust provides stability within the surrounding vacuum [19]. The possibility of closed timelike curves in this metric occurs when the quantity $r\omega$ exceeds unity. Such curves are associated with causality violation; see, e.g., [7] for discussion.

Consider the steady case of such a rotation, neglect the height of the cylinder, and make the simplest choice, $F(r) = 1$. The resulting metric has a geometric interpretation as the Beltrami metric on extended \mathbb{P}^2 , where $-\omega^2$ emerges as the hyperbolic curvature. While it is much simpler than the metric of eq. (1.1), the Beltrami metric on extended \mathbb{P}^2 retains the critical change in signature on the circle $|r\omega| = 1$ (although the dimension reduction flips the Riemannian and Lorentzian regions).

The existence of solutions, having various degrees of regularity, to Laplace–Beltrami equations on metrics having the general form (1.1) is discussed in Problem 11 of Appendix B to [13], in which it is observed that the Laplace–Beltrami operator is not symmetric positive on the stationary case of such a metric. Boundary value problems for weak solutions have however been constructed for that case [10]. One expects that a sufficiently strong lower-order perturbation of any symmetric system of equations will be symmetric positive in the sense of Friedrichs [3]. Friedrichs asserted in [3] (but did not prove) that admissible boundary conditions can always be found for a symmetric positive differential equation. But what those admissible conditions are, how to find them, and how to construct a domain on which they will exist, are not known in general and certainly do not follow from Friedrichs’ assertion. Such a problem is addressed in this note, for a variant of the Laplace–Beltrami system which is completely integrable and potentially helical. In Theorem 2 of [11] it is shown that such a solution exists for a particular boundary value problem under stronger hypotheses on the operator than are imposed here, provided the underlying domain has certain abstract properties. Here we construct a class

of domains possessing those properties, and construct an explicit class of well posed boundary value problems on those domains. See also [20] for a problem similar to ours, but which is associated to a system of Tricomi type, rather than of Keldysh type as is the case here. In addition, the problem in [20] is posed on a different kind of domain, and with different boundary conditions, than we consider here.

In Sec. 2 we formally introduce the system of equations on a domain Ω and show that the system is symmetric positive on Ω , under certain hypotheses on the lower-order terms and on the domain. In Sec. 3 we impose boundary conditions on $\partial\Omega$ and show that they are admissible in an appropriate sense. We use these properties to prove the existence of strong solutions to an associated boundary value problem by the method of [3]. That existence theorem is stated in Sec. 4. Note that the almost-everywhere uniqueness of strong solutions can be shown by what have come to be known as the *Friedrichs inequalities*; see, e.g., Sec. 2.5.1 of [13] for discussion.

2 Symmetric positivity of the perturbed operator

We consider the first-order system

$$\begin{aligned} (1-x^2)u_{1x} - xy(u_{1y} + u_{2x}) + (1-y^2)u_{2y} \\ - (2x - \gamma_1 u_1) - (2y - \gamma_2)u_2 = f_1, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

$$u_{1y} - u_{2x} - (u_1\Gamma_2 - u_2\Gamma_1) = f_2. \quad (2.2)$$

Here $U = (u_1, u_2)$ is an unknown 1-form on a bounded Euclidean domain $\Omega \subset \subset \mathbb{R}^2$; $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$, $\Gamma = (\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$, and $F = (f_1, f_2)$ are prescribed 1-forms on Ω . This system is equivalent to a lower-order perturbation of both equations in the Laplace–Beltrami system, on the metric (1.1) with the choices described in the preceding section, and with the rotational velocity ω normalized to 1. The system (2.1, 2.2) is of elliptic type in the interior of the unit disc \mathcal{D}_1 centered at the origin of coordinates in \mathbb{R}^2 . It is of hyperbolic type on the \mathbb{R}^2 -complement of the closure of that disc, and of parabolic type on the boundary of the disc.

For simplicity of exposition, and without compromising the main arguments of the paper, we take $\gamma_2 \equiv 0$. The extension of the result to nonzero γ_2 is a matter of algebra. However, we make the important assumption that

$$\Gamma_1 > 0 \text{ and } |\gamma_1| \geq \frac{1}{4} \frac{[xy\Gamma_1 + (y^2 - 1)\Gamma_2]^2}{|\Gamma_1(1 - y^2)|}. \quad (2.3)$$

These conditions are necessary and sufficient for the matrix Q in eq. (2.8) to be positive on Ω . Conditions (2.3) can be satisfied provided Γ is bounded, and the domain is bounded in the x -direction, and bounded away from the lines $y^2 = 1$ in the y -direction. If we take $f_2 \equiv 0$, then eq. (2.2) is transformed into a condition for complete integrability; see the discussions in Sec. 6 of [9] and Secs.

1–3 of [8]. If f_2 is assumed to be nonvanishing, then (2.2) becomes a condition for helicity in the sense of [14], Sec. 5.4. We assume that f_1 is not identically zero, so that we can impose trivial boundary data without obtaining a trivial solution; by linearity an inhomogeneous system with homogeneous boundary data can be shown to be equivalent to a homogeneous differential equation having inhomogeneous boundary data; see Sec. 2.6 of [13].

Write eqs. (2.1, 2.2) as the matrix equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1-x^2 & -xy \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix}_x + \begin{pmatrix} -xy & 1-y^2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix}_y + \begin{pmatrix} -2x+\gamma_1 & -2y \\ -\Gamma_2 & \Gamma_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.4)$$

The system (2.4) is not symmetric as a matrix equation, so we solve for u_{2x} in (2.2) to obtain

$$u_{2x} = u_{1y} - u_1\Gamma_2 + u_2\Gamma_1.$$

Substituting this equation into eq. (2.1) yields

$$\begin{aligned} (1-x^2)u_{1x} - xy(2u_{1y} - u_1\Gamma_2 + u_2\Gamma_1) + (1-y^2)u_{2y} \\ - (2x-\gamma_1)u_1 - 2yu_2 = f_1, \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} (1-x^2)u_{1x} - 2xyu_{1y} + (1-y^2)u_{2y} + \\ (xy\Gamma_2 + \gamma_1 - 2x)u_1 - (xy\Gamma_1 + 2y)u_2 = f_1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Also, we write in place of (2.2),

$$(1-y^2)(u_{1y} - u_{2x} - u_1\Gamma_2 + u_2\Gamma_1) = (1-y^2)f_2. \quad (2.6)$$

Equation (2.6) is equivalent to (2.2) for our choice of Ω . We obtain the matrix operator L defined by

$$\begin{aligned} LU = \begin{pmatrix} 1-x^2 & 0 \\ 0 & y^2-1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix}_x + \begin{pmatrix} -2xy & 1-y^2 \\ 1-y^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix}_y + \\ \begin{pmatrix} xy\Gamma_2 + \gamma_1 - 2x & -xy\Gamma_1 - 2y \\ \Gamma_2(y^2-1) & \Gamma_1(1-y^2) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix} \equiv A^1U_x + A^2U_y + BU. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Writing

$$B^* = \frac{B+B^T}{2} = \begin{pmatrix} xy\Gamma_2 + \gamma_1 - 2x & \frac{-xy\Gamma_1 - 2y + \Gamma_2(y^2-1)}{2} \\ \frac{-xy\Gamma_1 - 2y + \Gamma_2(y^2-1)}{2} & \Gamma_1(1-y^2) \end{pmatrix},$$

we obtain

$$B^* - \frac{1}{2}(A_x^1 + A_y^2) \equiv Q, \quad (2.8)$$

where

$$Q_{11} = xy\Gamma_2 + \gamma_1,$$

$$Q_{12} = Q_{21} = \frac{-xy\Gamma_1}{2} + \frac{\Gamma_2}{2} (y^2 - 1),$$

and

$$Q_{22} = \Gamma_1 (1 - y^2).$$

In order for the operator L to be symmetric positive on Ω in the sense of [3], we require that Q_{11} be positive, which will be satisfied by condition (2.3), and that the matrix determinant

$$|Q| = \gamma_1\Gamma_1 (1 - y^2) - \frac{1}{4} [xy\Gamma_1 + (y^2 - 1)\Gamma_2]^2$$

also be positive. The latter condition will also follow from (2.3) provided y^2 is bounded above away from 1 on Ω ; this is insured in the following section.

3 Admissibility of the boundary conditions

Define n_j , $j = 1, 2$ to be the components of the outward-pointing normal. Adopting the summation convention for repeated indices, we write

$$\beta = n_j A^j = \begin{pmatrix} (1 - x^2) n_1 - 2xy n_2 & (1 - y^2) n_2 \\ (1 - y^2) n_2 & (y^2 - 1) n_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Writing [11]

$$\alpha = \left[- (1 - y^2) \frac{n_2}{n_1} + 2xy - (1 - x^2) \frac{n_1}{n_2} \right] n_2,$$

wherever this object exists we can write β in the alternate form

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha - (1 - y^2) \frac{n_2^2}{n_1} & (1 - y^2) n_2 \\ (1 - y^2) n_2 & (y^2 - 1) n_1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.1)$$

We will consider boundary value problems in the context of the following geometry. Let Ω include the unit disc \mathcal{D}_1 in \mathbb{R}^2 centered at the origin of coordinates, truncated at the north and south ‘‘polar caps’’ by the curves

$$\mathfrak{C} = \left\{ (x, y) \mid y = \pm [1 - x^2 - h(x)]^{1/2} \right\}, \quad (3.2)$$

where h is a function chosen so that $0 \leq h(x) \leq 1 - x^2$ and the graph of \mathfrak{C} is C^2 on $\partial\Omega$. The boundary of Ω is completed in the second and third quadrants by the polar lines L_1 and L_2 , which are tangent to the unit circle at (for example) the points $(-\sqrt{2}/2, \pm\sqrt{2}/2)$. Let h vanish at those points. Polar lines have an independent interest in the geometry of extended \mathbb{P}^2 ; *c.f.* Figure 6.3 of [13]. See Figure 1, which illustrates $\bar{\Omega}$ for particular choices of h , L_1 , and L_2 . Note that the geometry of the domain is rather typical for boundary value problems




Figure 1: Geometry of a typical domain. Hatched lines indicate curves which are not boundary arcs. An arbitrarily small smoothing curve at the corner has been omitted for clarity

associated with equations of Keldysh type; *c.f.* Figures 3.2, 3.4, 4.8, and 6.4–6.6 of [13].

Make the canonical choice $n_1 = dy$ and $n_2 = -dx$, under a “right-handed” orientation (with the domain interior on the left when the boundary is traversed in the counter-clockwise direction). We find that $\alpha dy = 0$ is the equation of characteristic lines to eqs. (2.5, 2.6) and $\forall (x, y) \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha dy &= -(1-y^2) dx^2 - 2xy dx dy - (1-x^2) dy^2 \leq \\ &-x^2 dx^2 - 2xy dx dy - y^2 dy^2 = -(x dx + y dy)^2 \leq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Equation (3.3) implies that on any arc τ_1 , contained in $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_1$, on which $dy > 0$, we have $\alpha \leq 0$. On τ_1 write $\beta = \beta_+ + \beta_-$ with

$$\beta_+ = \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\beta_- = (1-y^2) n_2 \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{n_2}{n_1} & 1 \\ 1 & -\frac{n_1}{n_2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Choose the boundary condition $\beta_- U = 0$, that is,

$$-u_1 n_2 + u_2 n_1 = u_1 dx + u_2 dy = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

With this choice of β_+ and β_- we have

$$\beta_+ - \beta_- = \mu = \mu^* = \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha + (1-y^2) \frac{n_2^2}{n_1} & (y^2-1) n_2 \\ (y^2-1) n_2 & (1-y^2) n_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $\mu_{11}^* \geq 0$ and $|\mu^*| = -\alpha (1 - y^2) n_1 \geq 0$ for $n_1 = dy \geq 0$.

Equation (3.3) implies that on any arc τ_2 , contained in $\overline{\mathcal{D}_1}$, on which $dy < 0$, we have $\alpha \geq 0$. On τ_2 write $\beta = \beta_+ + \beta_-$ with

$$\beta_- = \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and adopt the boundary condition $\beta_- U = 0$, that is,

$$u_1 = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

Choose

$$\beta_+ = (1 - y^2) n_2 \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{n_2}{n_1} & 1 \\ 1 & -\frac{n_1}{n_2} \end{pmatrix}$$

so that

$$\beta_+ - \beta_- = \mu = \mu^* = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha + (y^2 - 1) \frac{n_2^2}{n_1} & (1 - y^2) n_2 \\ (1 - y^2) n_2 & (y^2 - 1) n_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $\mu_{11}^* \geq 0$ and on any arc within the closure of the unit disc,

$$|\mu^*| = \alpha n_1 (y^2 - 1) \geq 0. \quad (3.6)$$

On the characteristic lines, $\alpha = 0$. Then choosing β_{\pm} as on arc τ_2 , β_- becomes the zero matrix; so no boundary conditions need to be imposed on the characteristic lines. In this case

$$\mu^* = \begin{pmatrix} (y^2 - 1) \frac{n_2^2}{n_1} & (1 - y^2) n_2 \\ (1 - y^2) n_2 & (y^2 - 1) n_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $\mu_{11}^* \geq 0$ as, by construction, $n_1 = dy$ is non-positive on the characteristic arcs of $\partial\Omega$, and $|\mu^*| = 0$.

A boundary value problem in which boundary conditions are imposed everywhere except on the characteristic lines is called a *Guderley–Morawetz* problem. In our problem there is an additional unconventional feature: the ellipticity of the system degenerates on part of the elliptic boundary. Such problems have been studied by Keldysh [5], and for that reason we refer to the boundary value problem introduced in this section as a *Guderley–Morawetz–Keldysh* problem. However, in distinction to the problem studied in [5], in this case the elliptic degeneracy plays no role in the analysis. This is an illustration of the powerful type-independence of Friedrichs' method.

3.1 Singularities and corners

The matrix β in the alternate form (3.1) has an apparent singularity at points for which $n_1 = 0$. The geometry of the boundary implies that n_1 will change sign at no less than two points of $\partial\Omega$ – and more than two for some choices of h .

However, this apparent singularity in (3.1) is removable by simply writing out the terms of α and noticing that the singular terms in (3.1) cancel additively (for all values of n_1).

There is a corner at the intersection of the polar lines (Figure 1). Note that the equations do not change type at this corner, and that the rank of the matrix β does not change there. However the conditions of [15] for regularity at a corner are *not* satisfied; for example, $|\mu^*|$ vanishes at the corner. (See however, [6], an approach which we do not use.) Interpolate an arbitrarily small smoothing curve at the corner. Then $n_1 = dy < 0$ at this corner; $n_2 = -dx$ is non-negative for $y \geq 0$ and non-positive for $y \leq 0$. Choose

$$\beta_+ = \begin{pmatrix} (1-x^2)n_1 - 2xyn_2 & (1-y^2)n_2 \\ 0 & (y^2-1)n_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $\beta_- = \beta - \beta_+$. The change of sign in n_2 on the x -axis is benign, conditions (3.5) are satisfied by β_-U , and $\mu^* \geq 0$. So the boundary conditions assigned previously to the set τ_2 can be applied to an arbitrarily small smoothing curve at the corner, and such curves are naturally a subset of τ_2 .

For all our choices of β_{\pm} , the intersection of the ranges of β_+ and β_- contains only the zero vector. Moreover, the null spaces of β_{\pm} span the restriction to the boundary of the solution space for the system. These properties are required for admissibility in the sense of [3]. In their absence, the boundary conditions are only *semi-admissible*, and only the existence of a weak solution follows from the methods of [3].

4 Result

The arguments of Section 2 and 3 imply that the methods of [3], which have become standard, can be applied to complete the proof of the following theorem:

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\bar{\Omega} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be the union of the unit disc \mathcal{D}_1 , flattened slightly near the poles by the curves \mathfrak{C} given by (3.2), and the subset of the complement of \mathcal{D}_1 which is bounded by the polar lines L_1 and L_2 . These lines initiate at the points (x_0, y_0) in the second and third quadrants at which $h(x_0) = 0$ and terminate at an intersection point $(x_1, 0)$, where $x_1 < -1$. (The corner at this intersection can be smoothed to C^2 without violating the hypotheses or conclusions of this theorem.) Assume that the prescribed 1-forms Γ_1 and Γ_2 do not have blow-up singularities on Ω and that condition (2.3) is satisfied. Then the system (2.1, 2.2), with $\gamma_2 \equiv 0$ and $(f_1, f_2) \in L^2(\Omega)$, supplemented by the boundary condition (3.4) on the set $\tau_1 \in \bar{\Omega} \setminus \mathfrak{G}$, the boundary condition (3.5) on the set $\tau_2 \in \bar{\Omega} \setminus \mathfrak{G}$, and no boundary conditions at all on the set $\mathfrak{G} = L_1 \cup L_2$, possesses a strong solution in Ω .*

References

- [1] J. Barros-Neto and F. Cardoso, Gellerstedt and Laplace–Beltrami operators relative to a mixed signature metric, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **188** (2009), 497–515.
- [2] E. Beltrami, Saggio di interpretazione della geometria non-euclidea, *Giornale di Matematiche* **6** (1868), 284–312.
- [3] K. O. Friedrichs, Symmetric positive linear differential equations, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **11** (1958), 333–418.
- [4] J. Heidmann, *Relativistic Cosmology, An Introduction*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (1980).
- [5] M. V. Keldysh, On certain classes of elliptic equations with singularity on the boundary of the domain [in Russian], *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **77** (1951), 181–183.
- [6] P. D. Lax and R. S. Phillips, Local boundary conditions for dissipative symmetric linear differential operators, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **13** (1960), 427–455.
- [7] F. Lobo and P. Crawford, Time, closed timelike curves, and causality, in: *The Nature of Time: Geometry, Physics and Perception* (NATO ARW), Proceedings of a conference held 21-24 May, 2002 at Tatranska Lomnica, Slovak Republic. Edited by Rosolino Buccheri, Metod Saniga, and William Mark Stuckey. NATO Science Series II: Mathematics, Physics and Chemistry - Volume 95. Dordrecht/Boston/London: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2003.
- [8] A. Marini and T. H. Otway, Nonlinear Hodge–Frobenius equations and the Hodge–Bäcklund transformation, *Proc. R. Soc. Edinburgh* **140A** (2010), 787–819.
- [9] T. H. Otway, Nonlinear Hodge maps. *J. Math. Phys.* **41** (2000), 5745–5766.
- [10] T. H. Otway, Hodge equations with change of type. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **181** (2002), 437–452.
- [11] T. H. Otway, Harmonic fields on the projective disk and a problem in optics, *J. Math. Phys.* **46** (2005), 113501. (Erratum: *J. Math. Phys.* 48 (2007), 079901.)
- [12] T. H. Otway, Variational equations on mixed Riemannian-Lorentzian metrics. *J. Geom. Phys.* **58** (2008), 1043–1061.
- [13] T. H. Otway, *The Dirichlet Problem for Elliptic-Hyperbolic Equations of Keldysh Type*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 2043, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York-Tokyo, 2012.

- [14] T. H. Otway, *Elliptic–Hyperbolic Partial Differential Equations: a mini-course in geometric and quasilinear methods*, Springer-Verlag, in press.
- [15] L. Sarason, On weak and strong solutions of boundary value problems, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **15** (1962), 237–288.
- [16] J. M. Stewart, Signature change, mixed problems and numerical relativity. *Class. Quantum Grav.* **18** (2001), 4983–4995.
- [17] J. Stillwell, *Sources of Hyperbolic Geometry*. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1996.
- [18] W. J. van Stockum, The gravitational field of a distribution of particles rotating about an axis of symmetry, *Proc. R. Soc. Edinburgh* **57** (1937), 135–154.
- [19] F. J. Tipler, Rotating cylinders and the possibility of global causality violation, *Phys. Rev.* **D9** (1974), 2203–2206.
- [20] C. G. Torre, The helically reduced wave equation as a symmetric positive system, *J. Math. Phys.* **44** (2003), 6223–6232.