# Derivation and correlation between PYTHAGORAS (569 -479 B.C) and MATHIEU'S (1868) equation: Spectral nature between MATHIEU's and MODIFIED MATHIEU's equation

Biswanath Rath

Department of Physics, Maharaja Sriram Chandra Bhanj Deo University, Takatpur, Baripada -757003, Odisha, India. e.mail:biswanathrath10@gmail.com

**Abstract**: We derive Pythagoras theorem. From the Pythagoras theorem, we also derive Mathieu's equation via modified Mathieu's equation. A spectral comparison has been carried out between modified mathieu's equation and Mathieu's equation. Apart from this, we also present discrete bound states corresponding to modified Mathieu's equation of a quantum rectangular type of model potential.

MSC(2020): 00A05 : Mathematics in general

01A20: History of mathematics in ancient Greece and Rome.

15A18: Eigenvalues, singular values, eigenvector.

Correspondence: biswanathrath10@gmail.com

1.Introduction




Fig.1. Any triangle

Nearly 4000 years ago, a famous Greek mathematician cum philosopher named " Pythogoras" proposed that if sum of squares of two sides of a triangle becomes squares of the third side, then the triangle must be "right angle triangle". Mathematically

$$a^2 + b^2 = c^2 \tag{1}$$

where a, b and c are the sides of the triangle.

Further while studying vibrations of string nearly one and a half century ago a French mathematician named Mathieu proposed that vibrations can best be described by a differential equation of the form

$$-U_{xx} + 2q\cos(2x)U = \lambda U \tag{2}$$

where 'q is a real number and  $\lambda$  a constant. If one compares the time interval between the two, there is a very large gap. On one hand one is pure mathematics dealing with geometry while the other is physical examples related to mathematics of music. In fact, we find both of two as intimately related. Since Mathieu's equation is a later one, we prefer to derive the same from the former one. Prior to this, we first prove the 'Pythagoras theorem '.

# 2a.A difficult proof of Pythagoras theorem.

Let us define the following

$$\frac{a}{c} = \frac{1}{\cosh(\sin(x))} \tag{3}$$

$$\frac{b}{c} = \tanh(\sin(x)) \tag{4}$$

Hence it is to show that

$$b = a\sinh(\sin(x)) \tag{5}$$

Hence using the relation

$$(\cosh(\sin(x)))^2 = 1 + (\sinh(\sin(x)))^2$$
 (6)

$$=1 + \frac{b^2}{a^2} = \frac{a^2 + b^2}{a^2} \tag{7}$$

$$= [a^{2} + b^{2}] / [\frac{c}{\cosh(x)})^{2}$$
(8)

This implies

$$1 = \frac{a^2 + b^2}{c^2} \Longrightarrow a^2 + b^2 = c^2 \tag{9}$$

Hence the theorem is proved.

### 2b.A simpler proof of Pythagoras theorem.

Here we replace  $\sin(x) \to x$ . Hence the above equations are written as

$$\frac{a}{c} = \frac{1}{\cosh(x)} \tag{10}$$

and

$$\frac{b}{c} = \tanh(x) \tag{11}$$

Hence it is to show that

$$b = a\sinh(x) \tag{12}$$




Fig.2. Rightangle triangle

Hence using the relation

$$(\cosh(x))^2 = 1 + (\sinh(x))^2$$
 (13)

$$=1 + \frac{b^2}{a^2} = \frac{a^2 + b^2}{a^2} \tag{14}$$

Now substituting a in terms of sech(x) (only in denominator) we have

$$(\cosh(x))^2 = (a^2 + b^2)/a^2$$
 (15)

$$= [a^{2} + b^{2}] / [\frac{c}{\cosh(x)})^{2}$$
(16)

This implies

$$1 = \frac{a^2 + b^2}{c^2} \Longrightarrow a^2 + b^2 = c^2 \tag{17}$$

Hence the theorem is proved.

# 3. Derivation of Mathieu's equation

Let us consider the relation

$$\left[\frac{a^2}{c^2} + \left(\frac{b}{c}\right)^2 = 1 = \left(\frac{1}{\cosh(x)}\right)^2 + (\tanh(x))^2 \tag{18}$$

Hence we have the relation

$$(\cosh(x))^2 - (\sinh(x))^2 = 1$$
 (19)

Letus define a new potential as

$$V(x) = \left[\frac{\cosh(x)}{L}\right]^2 - (L * \sinh(x))^2$$
(20)

where L is greater than unity. The above potential is written as

$$V(x) = 2A\cosh(2x) + B \tag{21}$$

where  $A = \left[\frac{1}{L^2} - L^2\right]/4$  and  $B = \left[\frac{1}{L^2} + L^2\right]/2$ . Hence the corresponding Hamiltonian can be written as

$$H = p^2 + V(x) \tag{22}$$

In its differential form, this is written as

$$U_{xx} + (\lambda - 2A\cosh(2x)]U = 0 \tag{23}$$

this is known as **modified Mathieu's equation.** where  $\lambda = E - B$  and  $U_{xx} = \frac{d^2U}{dx^2}$ . the potential if one substitute x = ix, then above equation becomes Mathieu's equation

$$U_{xx} + (\lambda - 2A\cos(2x))U = 0 \tag{24}$$

which is known as

#### Mathieu's equation.

Hence we find Mathieu's equation and Pythagoras rlation are intimately related to each other. In other words Mathieu's equation can be derived from Pythagoras theorem.



Fig.2.LHS "Mathieu"; RHS"Modified Mathieu"

# 4. Comparison of spectra between Mathieu's equation and modified Mathieu's equation.

Here we consider the scale of Abramowitz and Stegun[3] and compare the spectra between the two in fig-2.

#### 5. Modified Mathieu's equation : Potential well

Here, we apply Modified Mathieu's equation to study spectral nature of a rectangular type of well as in fig 3.

The corresponding phase-portrait is also closed in nature(see fig-3)[4]

Quantum mechanically corresponding wave functions is written as[2]

$$\Psi(x) = c_1 \psi_1(ix) + c_2 \psi_2(ix) \tag{25}$$

where  $\psi(ix); \psi_2(ix)$  can be obtained from Mathieu's solution with  $x \to ix[2]$ .




Fig.2. Potential nature




Fig.3.Phase-portrait natuure




Fig.4.wave function nature

Using suitable Matlab code , we present the first four wave function as given in fig-3.

In table-1, we present the first four energy levels.

TABLE.I: First four energy levels

n	Energy
0	6.162 41
1	10.872
2	$16.291\ 1$
3	22.323 9

# **5.**Conclusion

We formulate a modified Mathieu's equation and study its classical and non-

classical nature. Model formlation is suitable for bound states calculation for  $L\ll 1).$ 

#### Author's contribution:

B.Rath: formulation, computation, writing, finalization.

### Conflict of interest

Author declares there is no conflict of interest.

#### DATA AVAILABILITY

No additional data is required . All the datas included in this paper are sufficient.

#### Declaration

Present paper is a modified version of arxiv paper.

# References

- [1] E.Mathieu. J.Math.Pure.Appl **13**, 137 (1868).
- [2] D.J.Daniel, Prog. Theo. Expt. Phys **043A01** (2020).
- [3] M.Abramowitz and I.A.Stegun, Handbook of Mathematical Functions, Chap.20, New York Dover Publ (1965).
- [4] B.Rath, Eur. Phys. Journal. Plus. (2021), **136**, 493.