

Mixing of fermions and spectral representation of propagator

A.E. Kaloshin^{1,*} and V.P. Lomov^{2,†}

¹*Physical Department, Irkutsk State University,
K. Marx str. 1, 664003, Irkutsk, Russia*

²*Laboratory 1.2, Institute for System Dynamics and Control Theory,
RAS, Lermontov str. 134, 664043, Irkutsk, Russia*

We develop the spectral representation of propagator for n mixing fermion fields in the case of P-parity violation. The approach based on the eigenvalue problem for inverse matrix propagator makes possible to build the system of orthogonal projectors and to represent the matrix propagator as a sum of poles with positive and negative energies. The procedure of multiplicative renormalization in terms of spectral representation is investigated and the renormalization matrices are obtained in a closed form without the use of perturbation theory. Since in theory with P-parity violation the standard spin projectors do not commute with the dressed propagator, they should be modified. The developed approach allows us to build the modified (dressed) spin projectors for a single fermion and for a system of fermions.

PACS numbers: 12.12.Ff, 11.10.Gh

Keywords: fermion mixing; matrix propagator; renormalization; spin projectors

I. INTRODUCTION

The problem of neutrino oscillations has been in the spotlight since last decades, both from experimental and theoretical points of view. This phenomenon is generated by mixing in the neutrinos system, when mass states differ from the flavor ones. Since quantum field theory is a proper theoretical framework for describing these effects, the essential efforts were devoted to application of QFT methods for neutrinos mixing problem [1–10]. What we cited here is only a small part of relevant publications (see also the references cited therein), which is directly related to problem of neutrino oscillations in the QFT. The mixing effects also play an essential role in the quarks system, where radiative corrections lead to modification of the bare Cabbibo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix and to necessity to renormalize this matrix (see, e.g. Refs. [11–14]). Note that in the mixing problem there exist some delicate theoretical issues related with dependence on renormalization scheme, possible gauge dependence and properties of renormalized mixing matrix [15–17].

In studying of mixing and oscillation phenomena in the QFT the matrix propagator plays the central role. In recent series of papers [18–20] the properties of dressed matrix propagator in the presence of P-parity violation were investigated in detail. The dressed propagator was represented in a closed algebraic form, which satisfies the main physical requirements and allows to build the renormalized propagator. The pole scheme of renormalization was investigated

*Electronic address: kaloshin@physdep.isu.ru

†Electronic address: lomov.vl@icc.ru

and wave-function renormalization (WFR) matrices were obtained in a closed analytical form without recourse to perturbation theory.

In the present paper we develop a convenient algebraic construction for consideration of fermion matrix propagator and mixing effects in the QFT frameworks. The main feature of suggested construction is that propagator is represented as a sum of single poles with positive and negative energies. Note, that it is made in a covariant manner $1/(W \pm m_i)$ and this is a general property of considered eigenvalue problem, see e.g. (7) for free fermion propagator. The obtained very simple expression for WFR matrices (126) confirms the old opinion that just W is the natural variable in fermion case.

Another important feature of the suggested approach is related with spin properties of the dressed propagator. In theory with γ^5 the usual spin projectors do not commute with dressed propagator and should be somehow modified. Standard procedure of Dyson summation (in particular, in Refs. [18–20]) does not touch the spin projectors, having in mind their existence. For the developed here approach the generalized spin projectors (94), (96) are the necessary elements of construction, used to prove the completeness condition.

Technically, the suggested construction is based on so called spectral representation of an operator (see, e.g. textbook [21]). In this representation the self-adjoint operator \hat{A} takes the form (in quantum-mechanical notations):

$$\hat{A} = \sum_i \lambda_i |i\rangle \langle i| = \sum_i \lambda_i \Pi_i,$$

where λ_i are eigenvalues of the operator, $|i\rangle$ are eigenvectors

$$\hat{A}|i\rangle = \lambda_i |i\rangle$$

and $\Pi_i = |i\rangle \langle i|$ are corresponding orthogonal projectors (eigenprojectors). In the case of non-self-adjoint operator the similar decomposition also exists but to construct it, one needs solutions of both left and right eigenvalue problems.

If we have n fermion fields with the same quantum numbers, they begin to mix at loop level even in the case of diagonal mass matrix. In the QFT the main object of studying is the dressed matrix propagator $G(p)$. To build the spectral representation of $G(p)$, first of all one needs to solve the eigenvalue problem for inverse propagator $S(p)$ ¹

$$S\Pi_i = \lambda_i \Pi_i. \quad (1)$$

If we have the complete system of orthogonal eigenprojectors²

$$\Pi_i \Pi_k = \delta_{ik} \Pi_k, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \Pi_i = 1, \quad (2)$$

then we obtain the spectral representation of inverse propagator $S(p)$

$$S(p) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \lambda_i \Pi_i. \quad (3)$$

¹ Here S (and Π also) has two sets of indices $S_{\alpha\beta;ij}$, where $\alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, 4$ are the Dirac γ -matrix indices and $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ are generation indices. Note that, from the beginning we are looking for eigenprojectors instead of eigenvectors (following to Ref. [22]), to avoid cumbersome intermediate expressions.

² The completeness condition and closely related with it spin projectors are discussed in Section IV.

The matrix propagator $G(p)$ is obtained by reversing of (3)

$$G(p) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \Pi_i. \quad (4)$$

If the projectors satisfy the orthogonality property, then the same Π_i are solutions of two eigenvalue problems: left (1) and right one

$$\Pi_i S = \lambda_i \Pi_i. \quad (5)$$

As will be shown later, the representation (3) looks very simple and evident in the case of P-parity conservation and the main technical problems are related with appearance of γ^5 in vertex and dressed propagator. In Ref. [22] we constructed the representation (3) for a single fermion ($n = 1$) in the case of parity violation and investigated the renormalization procedure. In the present paper we build the spectral representation for the case of n mixing fermion fields and study the main properties of this representation.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we consider the eigenvalue problem for inverse matrix propagator in theory with P-parity violation and build the corresponding set of orthogonal projectors, which are solutions of both left and right eigenvalue problems. In Sec. III the case of CP-conserving theory is considered, that is reflected in symmetry of matrix coefficients and leads to essential simplification of the spectral representation. Sec. IV is devoted to the completeness condition for the obtained eigenprojectors, which is equivalent to the existence of the generalized (dressed) spin projectors with the necessary properties. We indicate the explicit form of generalized (in theory with γ^5) spin projectors, which are closely related with the obtained eigenprojectors. In Sec. V we formulate the multiplicative renormalization requirements for matrix propagator in terms of the obtained spectral representation. It gives very simple conditions for the renormalization constants and allows to write down the answer in a closed form.

II. EIGENVALUE PROBLEM FOR INVERSE MATRIX PROPAGATOR

A. Preliminary

In the following it's convenient to use the off-shell γ -matrix projectors³

$$\Lambda^\pm(p) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 \pm \frac{\hat{p}}{W} \right), \quad (6)$$

where $W = \sqrt{p^2}$ is in general a complex variable and for positive p^2 it is the center-of-mass energy. In the study that follows we do not impose any restrictions on the sign of p^2 . For free inverse propagator $S_0 = \hat{p} - m$ these projectors are solutions of eigenvalue problem and free propagator is represented as

$$G(p) = \frac{1}{\hat{p} - m} = \frac{1}{W - m} \Lambda^+ + \frac{1}{-W - m} \Lambda^-, \quad (7)$$

³ Many people used these off-shell projectors for different purposes, the first known for us case is related with the problem of fermion Regge poles, see papers of V.N. Gribov and co-authors [23, 24].

so we obtain a covariant separation of poles with positive and negative energies.

In the case of parity conservation the eigenprojectors Π_i are just Λ^\pm , multiplied by flavor matrix, see (14) below. In the theory with γ^5 the γ -matrix projectors Λ^\pm appear at intermediate stage of the Π_i building but they are useful to simplify the algebra.

In the case of parity violation we introduce the following set of matrices

$$\mathcal{P}_1 = \Lambda^+, \quad \mathcal{P}_2 = \Lambda^-, \quad \mathcal{P}_3 = \Lambda^+ \gamma^5, \quad \mathcal{P}_4 = \Lambda^- \gamma^5 \quad (8)$$

and use them as a basis to expand the self-energy and propagator. The inverse matrix propagator may be written as

$$S(p) = G^{-1}(p) = \sum_{M=1}^4 \mathcal{P}_M S_M(W), \quad (9)$$

where the matrix coefficients S_M have the obvious symmetry properties:

$$S_2(W) = S_1(-W), \quad S_4(W) = S_3(-W) \quad (10)$$

and are calculated as⁴

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \text{Sp}(\mathcal{P}_1 S), & S_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \text{Sp}(\mathcal{P}_2 S), \\ S_3 &= \frac{1}{2} \text{Sp}(\mathcal{P}_4 S), & S_4 &= \frac{1}{2} \text{Sp}(\mathcal{P}_3 S). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

- If the parity is conserved, the self-energy

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma(p) &\equiv A(p^2) + \hat{p}B(p^2) = \\ &= \mathcal{P}_1(A(W^2) + WB(W^2)) + \mathcal{P}_2(A(W^2) - WB(W^2)) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

contains only two terms in the decomposition (9). In this case the eigenvalue problem (1) is reduced to eigenvalue problem for $n \times n$ matrices $S_{1,2}$

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 \pi_1 &\equiv (A(W^2) + WB(W^2)) \pi_1 = \lambda \pi_1, \\ S_2 \pi_2 &\equiv (A(W^2) - WB(W^2)) \pi_2 = \lambda \pi_2 \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

and eigenprojectors Π_i take the factorized form

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_i &= \Lambda^+ \pi_1^{(i)}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \\ \Pi_i &= \Lambda^- \pi_2^{(i)}, \quad i = n+1, \dots, 2n \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

for positive and negative energy poles respectively.

- If P-parity is violated, the spectral representation (3) for inverse propagator becomes less evident. For single fermion ($n = 1$ in the above) it was built and investigated in Ref. [22]. The eigenvalues $\lambda_{1,2}(W)$ are defined by the characteristic equation

$$\lambda^2 - \lambda(S_1 + S_2) + (S_1 S_2 - S_3 S_4) = 0, \quad (15)$$

⁴ Here spur is taken over γ -matrix indices.

where the numbers $S_i(W)$ are coefficients in the decomposition (9). The eigenprojectors in general case are

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_1 &= \frac{1}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1} \left((S_2 - \lambda_1) \mathcal{P}_1 + (S_1 - \lambda_1) \mathcal{P}_2 - S_3 \mathcal{P}_3 - S_4 \mathcal{P}_4 \right), \\ \Pi_2 &= \frac{1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \left((S_2 - \lambda_2) \mathcal{P}_1 + (S_1 - \lambda_2) \mathcal{P}_2 - S_3 \mathcal{P}_3 - S_4 \mathcal{P}_4 \right).\end{aligned}\tag{16}$$

Finally, note that if to use the γ -matrix basis for inverse propagator

$$S = a + \hat{n}b + \gamma^5 c + \hat{n}\gamma^5 d = a + \hat{n}(b + \hat{n}\gamma^5 c + \gamma^5 d),\tag{17}$$

then the eigenprojectors (16) may be rewritten in the very simple form

$$\Pi_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 \pm \hat{n} \cdot \frac{b + \hat{n}\gamma^5 c + \gamma^5 d}{\sqrt{b^2 + c^2 - d^2}} \right),\tag{18}$$

where $n^\mu = p^\mu/W$ is the unit vector.

B. Left eigenvalue problem

Let us consider the mixing problem for n fermion fields in the theory with parity violation. The inverse propagator is defined by decomposition (9) with arbitrary matrix coefficients $S_M(W)$. Following Ref. [22], we solve the eigenvalue problem

$$S\Pi = \lambda\Pi\tag{19}$$

in matrix form, i.e. from the beginning we are looking for eigenprojectors Π instead of eigenvectors. The sought-for eigenprojectors may also be written as decomposition (9)

$$\Pi = \sum_{M=1}^4 \mathcal{P}_M A_M,\tag{20}$$

with matrix $n \times n$ coefficients $A_M(W)$. Due to simple multiplicative properties of the basis (8), it's easy to reduce the eigenvalue problem (19) to the following set of linear equations for unknown matrices A_M

$$\begin{aligned}(S_1 - \lambda)A_1 + S_3 A_4 &= 0, \\ (S_2 - \lambda)A_2 + S_4 A_3 &= 0, \\ (S_1 - \lambda)A_3 + S_3 A_2 &= 0, \\ (S_2 - \lambda)A_4 + S_4 A_1 &= 0.\end{aligned}\tag{21}$$

In fact we have two separated subsystems for unknown A_1, A_4 and A_2, A_3 , so it's convenient to express A_3, A_4 by

$$A_3 = -S_4^{-1}(S_2 - \lambda)A_2, \quad A_4 = -S_3^{-1}(S_1 - \lambda)A_1\tag{22}$$

and to obtain the homogeneous equations for $n \times n$ matrices A_1, A_2

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{O}A_1 &\equiv [(S_2 - \lambda)S_3^{-1}(S_1 - \lambda) - S_4]A_1 = 0, \\ \hat{O}'A_2 &\equiv [(S_1 - \lambda)S_4^{-1}(S_2 - \lambda) - S_3]A_2 = 0.\end{aligned}\tag{23}$$

Here we introduced the short notations \hat{O} , \hat{O}' for appeared λ -dependent operators. One can see that matrices \hat{O} , \hat{O}' are connected by similarity relationship

$$\hat{O}' = (S_1 - \lambda)S_4^{-1} \cdot \hat{O} \cdot (S_1 - \lambda)^{-1}S_3 = S_3(S_2 - \lambda)^{-1} \cdot \hat{O} \cdot S_4^{-1}(S_2 - \lambda), \quad (24)$$

so equations (23) give the same characteristic equation for λ

$$\det[(S_2 - \lambda)S_3^{-1}(S_1 - \lambda) - S_4] = 0. \quad (25)$$

In the absence of degeneration this equation gives $2n$ different eigenvalues $\lambda_i(W)$.

Thus, the matrix solution of left eigenvalue problem (19) may be written as

$$\Pi^i = \mathcal{P}_1 A_1^i + \mathcal{P}_2 A_2^i - \mathcal{P}_3 S_4^{-1}(S_2 - \lambda_i)A_2^i - \mathcal{P}_4 S_3^{-1}(S_1 - \lambda_i)A_1^i, \quad (26)$$

where matrices A_1^i , A_2^i are solutions of equations

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{O}_i A_1^i &\equiv \hat{O}(\lambda = \lambda_i)A_1^i = 0, \\ \hat{O}'_i A_2^i &\equiv \hat{O}'(\lambda = \lambda_i)A_2^i = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

and eigenvalues $\lambda_i(W)$ are defined by equation (25).

C. Right eigenvalue problem

It was noted in the above that orthogonal projectors should satisfy both left and right eigenvalue problems. So as the next step consider the right eigenvalue problem for inverse propagator

$$\Pi_R S = \lambda \Pi_R. \quad (28)$$

We can look for the right eigenprojectors Π_R in the same form (20) with matrix coefficients B_M . Similar calculations give the matrix solution of the right problem

$$\Pi_R^i = \mathcal{P}_1 B_1^i + \mathcal{P}_2 B_2^i - \mathcal{P}_3 B_1^i S_3(S_2 - \lambda_i)^{-1} - \mathcal{P}_4 B_2^i S_4(S_1 - \lambda_i)^{-1}, \quad (29)$$

where B_1^i , B_2^i are solutions of the right homogeneous equations

$$B_1^i \hat{O}'_i = 0, \quad B_2^i \hat{O}_i = 0 \quad (30)$$

and eigenvalues $\lambda_i(W)$ are defined by the same equation (25).

D. Left and right problems together

Let us require the “matrix” Π to be solution of both left and right eigenvalue problems. It means that expressions (26), (29) should coincide with each other.

First of all $B_1^i = A_1^i$, $B_2^i = A_2^i$, as is seen from \mathcal{P}_1 , \mathcal{P}_2 terms. Coefficients at \mathcal{P}_3 , \mathcal{P}_4 give two relations between A_1 and A_2

$$\begin{aligned} A_2^i &= S_3^{-1}(S_1 - \lambda_i) \cdot A_1^i \cdot S_3(S_2 - \lambda_i)^{-1}, \\ A_2^i &= (S_2 - \lambda_i)^{-1}S_4 \cdot A_1^i \cdot (S_1 - \lambda_i)S_4^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Now the matrices A_1, A_2 should satisfy both left and right homogeneous equations

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{O}_i A_1^i &= 0, & A_1^i \hat{O}'_i &= 0, \\ \hat{O}'_i A_2^i &= 0, & A_2^i \hat{O}_i &= 0,\end{aligned}\tag{32}$$

where the matrices \hat{O}_i, \hat{O}'_i are defined by (23).

Note that homogeneous equations for A_1 lead to the following equalities

$$\begin{aligned}S_3^{-1}(S_1 - \lambda_i) \cdot A_1^i &= (S_2 - \lambda_i)^{-1} S_4 \cdot A_1^i, \\ A_1^i \cdot (S_1 - \lambda_i) S_4^{-1} &= A_1^i \cdot S_3 (S_2 - \lambda_i)^{-1},\end{aligned}\tag{33}$$

so one can see that two relations (31) actually coincide. Moreover, one can convince yourself that equations for A_2^i (32) are consequence of relation (31) and equations for A_1^i . Therefore, it is sufficient to require the left and right homogeneous equations for A_1^i (first line in (32)) and connection between A_2^i and A_1^i (one of (31)).

At last, note that the matrix A_1^i has zeroth determinant and may be represented in the split form

$$A_1^i = \psi_i (\tilde{\psi}_i)^T,\tag{34}$$

where vectors $\psi_i, \tilde{\psi}_i$ (columns) are solutions of homogeneous equations

$$\hat{O}_i \psi_i = 0, \quad (\tilde{\psi}_i)^T \hat{O}'_i = 0 \quad \left(\text{or } (\hat{O}'_i)^T \tilde{\psi}_i = 0 \right).\tag{35}$$

Then solution of both left and right eigenvalue problems may be represented as

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_i &= \mathcal{P}_1 \psi_i (\tilde{\psi}_i)^T + \mathcal{P}_2 S_3^{-1} (S_1 - \lambda_i) \psi_i (\tilde{\psi}_i)^T (S_1 - \lambda_i) S_4^{-1} - \\ &\quad - \mathcal{P}_3 \psi_i (\tilde{\psi}_i)^T (S_1 - \lambda_i) S_4^{-1} - \mathcal{P}_4 S_3^{-1} (S_1 - \lambda_i) \psi_i (\tilde{\psi}_i)^T.\end{aligned}\tag{36}$$

For short notations, it is convenient to introduce the vectors $\phi_i, \tilde{\phi}_i$ as

$$\phi_i = S_3^{-1} (S_1 - \lambda_i) \psi_i, \quad (\tilde{\phi}_i)^T = (\tilde{\psi}_i)^T (S_1 - \lambda_i) S_4^{-1}.\tag{37}$$

In these terms the “matrix” Π_i , which is a solution of both left and right eigenvalue problems, takes very elegant form

$$\Pi_i = \mathcal{P}_1 \cdot \psi_i (\tilde{\psi}_i)^T + \mathcal{P}_2 \cdot \phi_i (\tilde{\phi}_i)^T - \mathcal{P}_3 \cdot \psi_i (\tilde{\phi}_i)^T - \mathcal{P}_4 \cdot \phi_i (\tilde{\psi}_i)^T.\tag{38}$$

Recall, that the auxiliary vectors $\phi_i, \tilde{\phi}_i$ also satisfy the following homogeneous equations (consequence of definition)

$$\hat{O}'_i \phi_i = 0, \quad (\tilde{\phi}_i)^T \hat{O}_i = 0.\tag{39}$$

E. Eigenprojectors

So we have Π_i (38) — solutions of both left and right eigenvalue problems. Let us require these “matrices” (with two sets of indices) Π_i to be orthogonal projectors

$$\Pi_i \Pi_k = \delta_{ik} \Pi_k.\tag{40}$$

It gives four equations if to use the decomposition (9)

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_i \left[(\tilde{\psi}_i)^T \psi_k + (\tilde{\phi}_i)^T \phi_k - \delta_{ik} \right] (\tilde{\psi}_k)^T &= 0, \\
\phi_i \left[(\tilde{\psi}_i)^T \psi_k + (\tilde{\phi}_i)^T \phi_k - \delta_{ik} \right] (\tilde{\psi}_k)^T &= 0, \\
\psi_i \left[(\tilde{\psi}_i)^T \psi_k + (\tilde{\phi}_i)^T \phi_k - \delta_{ik} \right] (\tilde{\phi}_k)^T &= 0, \\
\phi_i \left[(\tilde{\psi}_i)^T \psi_k + (\tilde{\phi}_i)^T \phi_k - \delta_{ik} \right] (\tilde{\phi}_k)^T &= 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

which are equivalent to the orthonormality condition for vectors involved in (38)

$$(\tilde{\psi}_i)^T \psi_k + (\tilde{\phi}_i)^T \phi_k = \delta_{ik}. \tag{42}$$

- If $i \neq k$ the condition (42) is consequence of equation on ψ_k and $(\tilde{\psi}_i)^T$. To see it, let us rewrite (42) in terms of the vectors ψ_i and $\tilde{\phi}_i$:

$$(\tilde{\phi}_i)^T \left[(S_2 - \lambda_i) S_3^{-1} + S_3^{-1} (S_1 - \lambda_k) \right] \psi_k = \delta_{ik}. \tag{43}$$

Now let us write down the homogeneous equations for ψ_k and $\tilde{\phi}_i$

$$\begin{aligned}
0 = \hat{O}_k \psi_k &= \left[S_3^{-1} \lambda_k^2 - \lambda_k (S_2 S_3^{-1} + S_3^{-1} S_1) + S_2 S_3^{-1} S_1 - S_4 \right] \psi_k, \\
0 = (\tilde{\phi}_i)^T \hat{O}_i &= (\tilde{\phi}_i)^T \left[S_3^{-1} \lambda_i^2 - \lambda_i (S_2 S_3^{-1} + S_3^{-1} S_1) + S_2 S_3^{-1} S_1 - S_4 \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

Multiplying first of these equations by $(\tilde{\phi}_i)^T$ from the left, second one by ψ_k from the right, and subtracting one equation from another, we obtain

$$(\lambda_k - \lambda_i) \cdot (\tilde{\phi}_i)^T \left[(S_2 - \lambda_i) S_3^{-1} + S_3^{-1} (S_1 - \lambda_k) \right] \psi_k = 0 \tag{45}$$

and at $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_k$ it gives the condition (42).

- At $i = k$ equation (42) defines the normalization (with weight) of the vector ψ_i in respect to $\tilde{\psi}_i$.

III. CASE OF CP CONSERVATION

In the case of CP conservation, the matrix $n \times n$ coefficients of the self-energy contribution

$$\Sigma(p) = \sum_{M=1}^4 \mathcal{P}_M \Sigma_M(W) = A(p^2) + \hat{p} B(p^2) + \gamma^5 C(p^2) + \hat{p} \gamma^5 D(p^2) \tag{46}$$

have the following symmetry properties (see, e.g. Ref. [25])

$$A^T = A, \quad B^T = B, \quad D^T = D, \quad C^T = -C, \tag{47}$$

which are equivalent to

$$(\Sigma_{1,2})^T = \Sigma_{1,2}, \quad (\Sigma_3)^T = -\Sigma_4. \tag{48}$$

Since the inverse propagator $S(p)$ has the same symmetry properties (48), it connects matrices \hat{O} and \hat{O}' (23)

$$\hat{O}' = -(\hat{O})^T. \quad (49)$$

Eigenprojectors have the form (38) but now two equations (35) coincide

$$\hat{O}_i \psi_i = 0, \quad \hat{O}_i \tilde{\psi}_i = 0. \quad (50)$$

Then, in the absence of degeneration, we have $\tilde{\psi}_i = c_i \psi_i$ and the coefficient c_i may be absorbed by redefinition of vector. From the limiting case of parity conservation (see Sec. III A) it follows that c_i should have different signs for solution with positive and negative energies. So, the most convenient choice is $\tilde{\psi}_i = \varepsilon_i \psi_i$, where $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$ is the sign of energy.

So, the eigenprojectors (38) in the case of CP conservation take the form

$$\Pi_i = \varepsilon_i (\mathcal{P}_1 \cdot \psi_i (\psi_i)^T - \mathcal{P}_2 \cdot \phi_i (\phi_i)^T + \mathcal{P}_3 \cdot \psi_i (\phi_i)^T - \mathcal{P}_4 \cdot \phi_i (\psi_i)^T) \quad (51)$$

and the vector ϕ_i is related to ψ_i by

$$\phi_i = S_3^{-1} (S_1 - \lambda_i) \psi_i, \quad \text{or} \quad (\phi_i)^T = -(\psi_i)^T (S_1 - \lambda_i) S_4^{-1}. \quad (52)$$

In the case of CP conservation, we need to solve the homogeneous equation for vector ψ_i for every λ_i

$$\hat{O}_i \psi_i = [(S_2 - \lambda_i) S_3^{-1} (S_1 - \lambda_i) - S_4] \psi_i = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, 2n \quad (53)$$

and to calculate ϕ_i according to (52). Note that ϕ_i satisfies the homogeneous equation (consequence of (53), (52))

$$\hat{O}_i^T \phi_i = -[(S_1 - \lambda_i) S_4^{-1} (S_2 - \lambda_i) - S_3] \phi_i = 0. \quad (54)$$

The orthonormality condition $\Pi_i \Pi_k = \delta_{ik} \Pi_k$ leads to simple property of vectors

$$\varepsilon_i ((\psi_i)^T \psi_k - (\phi_i)^T \phi_k) = \delta_{ik}. \quad (55)$$

As it was shown before, this is not a new requirement: at $i \neq k$ it follows from homogeneous equation and at $i = k$ it defines normalization of vectors ψ_i .

But to keep the solutions with positive and negative energies on equal footing (see Sec. III A) one should proceed in a different way.

- a) For the positive energy solution ($i = 1, \dots, n$) we solve the equation for vector ψ_i (53) and after it calculate ϕ_i according to (52).
- b) For the negative energy solution ($i = n + 1, \dots, 2n$) we find vector ϕ_i from the equation (54). Then we can calculate the vector ψ_i from relation⁵ (52)

$$\psi_i = S_4^{-1} (S_2 - \lambda_i) \phi_i. \quad (56)$$

⁵ In fact one can avoid the solution of equation (54) due to $W \rightarrow -W$ replacement — see, e.g. a particular case (68).

A. Case of parity conservation

Let us consider a particular case of the spectral representation of propagator, when parity is conserved⁶. It allows to clarify some details of general construction.

In this case the eigenprojectors Π_i

$$S\Pi_i = \lambda_i\Pi_i, \quad \Pi_i S = \lambda_i\Pi_i \quad (57)$$

take the factorized form, see (14). Here $n \times n$ matrices π_i satisfy the homogeneous equations (13)

$$\begin{aligned} S_1\pi_1 &= \lambda\pi_1, \\ S_2\pi_2 &= \lambda\pi_2 \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

and also the right equations (see (5))

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1 S_1 &= \lambda\pi_1, \\ \pi_2 S_2 &= \lambda\pi_2. \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

It's known that the eigenvalues of left and right problems coincide and since the matrices $S_1(W)$, $S_2(W)$ are symmetric ones, the solutions (vectors) of both left and right eigenvalue problems also coincide. So the matrices π_i may be represented in a split form.

- Projectors which correspond to positive energy poles are given by

$$\Pi_i = \Lambda^+(p)\psi_i\psi_i^T, \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (60)$$

Vectors ψ_i satisfy the eigenvalue equation

$$S_1\psi_i = \lambda_i\psi_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (61)$$

where λ_i are solutions of characteristic equation

$$\det(S_1(W) - \lambda I_n) = 0. \quad (62)$$

- Projectors onto the negative energy poles are

$$\Pi_i = \Lambda^-(p)\phi_i\phi_i^T, \quad i = n+1, \dots, 2n. \quad (63)$$

Equation for vectors ϕ_i is

$$S_2\phi_i = \lambda_i\phi_i, \quad i = n+1, \dots, 2n. \quad (64)$$

Corresponding characteristic equation is

$$\det(S_2(W) - \lambda I_n) = 0. \quad (65)$$

⁶ We suppose that the mixing fermion fields Ψ_1, Ψ_2 have the same parity (quarks or leptons). If they have the opposite parities (baryon fields in effective theories), the self-energy contains γ^5 in case of parity conservation and the dressed matrix propagator has absolutely different form, see Refs. [26, 27].

Since the matrix coefficients of propagator decomposition are related by

$$S_2(W) = S_1(-W), \quad (66)$$

it is sufficient to solve the equations (61), (62), after which the solutions of (64), (65) may be obtained by the replacement $W \rightarrow -W$.

It is convenient to number the eigenvalues in such a way that $\lambda_i(W)$ and $\lambda_{i+n}(W)$ would have zeroes at the points $W = m_i$ and $W = -m_i$ respectively. To this end one should require the relation between solutions of characteristic equations (62), (65)

$$\lambda_{i+n}(W) = \lambda_i(-W), \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (67)$$

If so, solutions of (64) may be obtained from the solutions of (61) (in the absence of degeneration)

$$\phi_{i+n}(W) = \psi_i(-W), \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (68)$$

Looking at the homogenous equation (61), one can see that due to symmetry of the matrix $S_1^T = S_1$, solutions corresponding to different λ are orthogonal to each other

$$(\lambda_i - \lambda_k) \psi_i^T \psi_k = 0, \quad i, k = 1, \dots, n. \quad (69)$$

So one can choose them to be orthonormal

$$\psi_i^T \psi_k = \delta_{ik}, \quad i, k = 1, \dots, n, \quad (70)$$

which leads to completeness condition for $n \times n$ matrix

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \psi_i \psi_i^T = I_n, \quad (71)$$

where I_n is unit matrix of dimension n . Then the operators Π_i (60), (63) are the system of $2n$ orthogonal projectors

$$\Pi_i \Pi_k = \delta_{ik} \Pi_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, 2n. \quad (72)$$

The case of parity conservation described here may also be obtained from the general spectral representation, for definiteness let us say about the case of CP-conservation (see Sec. III). In this general construction one should “turn off” the parity violation.

Recall, that in general case the vectors ψ_i satisfy the homogenous equation (53)

$$\left[(S_2 - \lambda_i) S_3^{-1} (S_1 - \lambda_i) - S_4 \right] \psi_i = 0. \quad (73)$$

To return to parity conservation, we should take the limit $S_3 \rightarrow 0$, $S_4 \rightarrow 0$ in this equation. We see that the characteristic equation in this limit splits into two factors

$$\det(S_1 - \lambda) = 0, \quad \det(S_2 - \lambda) = 0. \quad (74)$$

For solutions with positive energy (we number them from 1 to n)

$$(S_1 - \lambda_i) \psi_i = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n \quad (75)$$

and according to relation (52) vector $\phi_i = 0$.

On the contrary, for solutions with negative energy one should solve equation for ϕ_i (54)

$$(S_2 - \lambda_i)\phi_i = 0, \quad i = n+1, \dots, 2n \quad (76)$$

and then to calculate ψ_i . According to relation (52) we get $\psi_i = 0$.

As was noted in the above, the property of eigenvalues $\lambda_{i+n}(W) = \lambda_i(-W)$ allows to avoid solving the equation (76) and to use instead the $W \rightarrow -W$ replacement

$$\phi_{i+n}(W) = \psi_i(-W), \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (77)$$

For illustration, let us take a look at particular case of mixing of two fermion fields in theory with parity conservation. In this case the energy projection operators Π_i ($i = 1, \dots, 4$) have the form (60), (63). Let us write down the parametrization for solutions of eq. (61).

$$\psi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta \\ \sin \theta \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -\sin \theta \\ \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}, \quad \phi_1 = \phi_2 = 0, \quad (78)$$

where we introduced some function $\theta(W)$. We suppose that the self-energy is real, in this case the solutions ψ_1, ψ_2 are also orthogonal to each other.

Then, according to (77) vectors for negative energy are

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_3(W) &= \psi_1(-W) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta(-W) \\ \sin \theta(-W) \end{pmatrix}, \\ \phi_4(W) &= \psi_2(-W) = \begin{pmatrix} -\sin \theta(-W) \\ \cos \theta(-W) \end{pmatrix}, \\ \psi_3 &= \psi_4 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

One can write down the spectral representation of matrix S_1

$$S_1 = \lambda_1(W)\psi_1\psi_1^T + \lambda_2(W)\psi_2\psi_2^T, \quad (80)$$

where the eigenvalues $\lambda_i(W)$ are some functions with properties $\lambda_1(m_1) = \lambda_2(m_2) = 0$. So, the symmetric matrix 2×2 $S_1(W)$ is parametrized by three functions $\lambda_1(W)$, $\lambda_2(W)$ and $\theta(W)$. Due to the property (71) we have

$$S_1^{-1} = \frac{1}{\lambda_1(W)}\psi_1\psi_1^T + \frac{1}{\lambda_2(W)}\psi_2\psi_2^T. \quad (81)$$

IV. COMPLETENESS CONDITION AND SPIN PROJECTORS

The necessary requirement in constructing of spectral representation is the completeness condition for eigenprojectors

$$X \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \Pi_i = I_4 I_n. \quad (82)$$

Here I_4 and I_n are unit matrices of indicated dimensions. If to represent X in form of decomposition (9) with matrix $n \times n$ coefficients X_M , then (82) is equivalent to

$$X_1 = X_2 = I_n, \quad X_3 = X_4 = 0, \quad (83)$$

or with the use of the explicit form of the projectors (38):

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \psi_i(\tilde{\psi}_i)^T &= \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \phi_i(\tilde{\phi}_i)^T = I_n, \\ \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \psi_i(\tilde{\phi}_i)^T &= \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \phi_i(\tilde{\psi}_i)^T = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (84)$$

Orthogonality of the projectors $\Pi_i \Pi_k = \delta_{ik} \Pi_k$ leads to the property $X \cdot X = X$, i.e. X may be either projector or unit operator. To prove that sum of projectors (82) gives the unit operator, one should show that for arbitrary “vector” Φ

$$X\Phi = \Phi. \quad (85)$$

- First of all, let us consider a single fermion field ($n = 1$) in the theory with parity conservation. In this case $\Pi_i = \Lambda^\pm$, i.e. the eigenprojectors coincide with off-shell projectors (6). One can use the eigenvectors $\Pi_i \phi_i$ as basis vectors, but one needs two times more vectors for decomposition of arbitrary Φ . Of course, the missing degrees of freedom are related with the spin and orthogonal basis can be generated by the energy projectors Λ^\pm together with the spin projectors Σ_0^\pm , so

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi &= c_1 \Lambda^+(p) \Sigma_0^+(s) \phi_1 + c_2 \Lambda^+(p) \Sigma_0^-(s) \phi_2 + \\ &\quad + c_3 \Lambda^-(p) \Sigma_0^+(s) \phi_3 + c_4 \Lambda^-(p) \Sigma_0^-(s) \phi_4, \end{aligned} \quad (86)$$

where ϕ_i are arbitrary normalized spinors and Σ_0^\pm are the standard spin projectors, commuting with $\Lambda^\pm(p)$:

$$\Sigma_0^\pm(s) = \frac{1}{2} (1 \pm \gamma^5 \hat{s}), \quad (sp) = 0, \quad s^2 = -1. \quad (87)$$

After that, the completeness condition in form of (85) becomes evident.

- In the theory with P-parity violation there appears a problem with the spin projectors. In this case the inverse dressed propagator contains γ^5 terms

$$\begin{aligned} S(p) &= a(p^2) + \hat{n}b(p^2) + \gamma^5 c(p^2) + \hat{n}\gamma^5 d(p^2), \\ n^\mu &= p^\mu/W, \quad W = \sqrt{p^2} \end{aligned} \quad (88)$$

and does not commute with the standard spin projectors Σ_0^\pm . The eigenprojectors (solutions of the eigenvalue problem (1))

$$\Pi_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(I_4 \pm \hat{n} \cdot \frac{b + \hat{n}\gamma^5 c + \gamma^5 d}{\sqrt{b^2 + c^2 - d^2}} \right) \quad (89)$$

also do not commute with Σ_0^\pm .

In fact, the completeness is evident from (89) since $\Pi_1 + \Pi_2 = I_4$, so there should exist some generalized spin projectors with properties

$$\begin{aligned} [\Sigma_i^\pm, \Pi_i] &= 0, \quad \Sigma_i^\pm \Sigma_i^\pm = \Sigma_i^\pm, \\ \Sigma_i^\pm \Sigma_i^\mp &= 0, \quad \Sigma_i^+ + \Sigma_i^- = I_4. \end{aligned} \quad (90)$$

In this case the eigenvalue problem (both left and right) has twice as many solutions with the same orthonormality property

$$S(\Pi_i \Sigma_i^\pm) = \lambda_i (\Pi_i \Sigma_i^\pm). \quad (91)$$

The completeness condition takes the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 (\Pi_i \Sigma_i^+ + \Pi_i \Sigma_i^-) = I_4 \quad (92)$$

and inverse propagator is represented as

$$S(p) = \sum_{i=1}^2 \lambda_i (\Pi_i \Sigma_i^+ + \Pi_i \Sigma_i^-). \quad (93)$$

In this case ($n = 1$) one can guess the answer for spin projectors. Since the matrices \hat{n} and $\gamma^5 \hat{s}$ have the same commutative properties, the spin projector is obtained from (89) replacing the factor $\hat{n} \rightarrow \gamma^5 \hat{s}$

$$\Sigma^\pm = \frac{1}{2} \left(I_4 \pm \gamma^5 \hat{s} \cdot \frac{b + \hat{n} \gamma^5 c + \gamma^5 d}{\sqrt{b^2 + c^2 - d^2}} \right), \quad s^2 = -1, \quad (sp) = 0. \quad (94)$$

One can easily verify that (94) have all the required properties. In the absence of interaction ($b = W, c = d = 0$), or in the theory with parity conservation ($c = d = 0$) they coincide with the standard ones Σ_0^\pm . So one can conclude that appearance of γ^5 in a vertex leads to dressing of spin projectors together with dressing of propagator.

- With the same replacement trick $\hat{n} \rightarrow \gamma^5 \hat{s}$ one can build the spin projectors in the case of n fermion fields. The obtained eigenprojectors (38) may be rewritten as

$$\Pi_i = \frac{1}{2} \left(a_i + \hat{n} b_i + \gamma^5 c_i + \hat{n} \gamma^5 d_i \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(I_4 I_n + \hat{n} t_i \right), \quad (95)$$

where $t_i = \hat{n} (a_i - I_4 I_n) + b_i + \hat{n} \gamma^5 c_i + \gamma^5 d_i$.

Substitution $\hat{n} \rightarrow \gamma^5 \hat{s}$ in last expression (95) gives the spin projector

$$\Sigma_i = \frac{1}{2} \left(I_4 I_n + \gamma^5 \hat{s} t_i \right). \quad (96)$$

One can check that Σ_i is actually a projector (matrices \hat{n} and $\gamma^5 \hat{s}$ have the same properties), commuting with the eigenprojector Π_i .

It is easy to see that Σ_i commutes with any energy projector Π_k . From (95) we can express the matrix t_i

$$t_i = \hat{n} (2\Pi_i - I_4 I_n)$$

and substitute it to the Σ_i (96)

$$\Sigma_i = \frac{1}{2} \left(I_4 I_n + \gamma^5 \hat{s} \hat{n} (2\Pi_i - I_4 I_n) \right). \quad (97)$$

Since the matrix $\gamma^5 \hat{s} \hat{n}$ commutes with any γ -matrix in propagator $(I_4, \gamma^5, \hat{p}, \hat{p} \gamma^5)$, spin projectors will commute with any Π_k

$$[\Sigma_i, \Pi_k] = 0. \quad (98)$$

Moreover, the expression (97) is simplified essentially “under the observation” of energy projector Π_k due to orthonormality property

$$\Pi_k \Sigma_i = \begin{cases} 1/2(I_4 I_n + \gamma^5 \hat{s} \hat{n}), & k = i \\ 1/2(I_4 I_n - \gamma^5 \hat{s} \hat{n}), & k \neq i \end{cases} \quad (99)$$

Since we have the spectral representation of the propagator (4), the spin projectors Σ_i are always “under the observation” of Π_k , so the general form of spin projector (in the theory with γ^5) is

$$\Sigma(s) = \frac{1}{2} (I_4 I_n + \gamma^5 \hat{s} \hat{n}). \quad (100)$$

The existence of the spin projectors for mixing of n fermion fields (96) means that we can build $4n$ eigenprojectors (91) and it proves the completeness condition (82).

Let us examine the above formulas (95), (96) and completeness relation (82) in case of two mixing fermions (see Sec. III A). The projectors for positive energy poles (60) can be rewritten as

$$\Pi_i = \psi_i \psi_i^T \frac{1}{2} (1 + \hat{n}) = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \hat{n} t_i), \quad i = 1, 2 \quad (101)$$

where

$$t_1 = \psi_1(\psi_1)^T - \psi_2(\psi_2)^T \hat{n}, \quad t_2 = \psi_2(\psi_2)^T - \psi_1(\psi_1)^T \hat{n}, \quad (102)$$

and ψ_1, ψ_2 are given by formulas (78). The corresponding spin projectors are

$$\Sigma_i(s) = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \gamma^5 \hat{s} t_i). \quad (103)$$

For this simple case the combination $\Pi_i \Sigma_i$ is simplified to

$$\Pi_i \Sigma_i = \psi_i \psi_i^T \frac{1}{2} (1 + \hat{n}) \frac{1}{2} (1 + \gamma^5 \hat{s}) = \psi_i \psi_i^T \Lambda^+ \Sigma_0(s). \quad (104)$$

Now it is easy to verify the completeness condition

$$\sum_{i=1}^4 (\Pi_i \Sigma_i(s) + \Pi_i \Sigma_i(-s)) = I_4 I_2. \quad (105)$$

V. RENORMALIZATION OF PROPAGATOR

Let us consider the multiplicative renormalization (wave-function renormalization) of matrix propagator $G(p)$. We restrict here ourselves by CP-conserving theory and by case of stable fermions. This problem was discussed earlier in different aspects [18, 19, 28–30]. The main requirements for the renormalized propagator may be found in Ref. [28], so our main purpose here is to reformulate them in terms of the spectral representation.

If the renormalized dressed matrix propagator $G^{\text{ren}}(p)$ has poles at points $W = \pm m_l$ we can put the eigenvalues $\lambda_l(W)$ in the same order, so that $\lambda_l(m_l) = 0$, $l = 1, \dots, n$. In vicinity of point $\hat{p} = m_l$ matrix propagator has the form

$$G^{\text{ren}}(p) \sim \begin{pmatrix} & \vdots & \\ & 1 & \\ \cdots & \frac{1}{\hat{p} - m_l} & \cdots \\ & \vdots & \end{pmatrix}, \quad (106)$$

where $(G^{\text{ren}})_{ll}$ has pole with unit residue and other elements of $G^{\text{ren}}(p)$ are regular at $\hat{p} \rightarrow m_l$. It is convenient to renormalize the inverse matrix propagator $S(p)$, so we need to know its behaviour in vicinity of pole. It was investigated in Ref. [28], the result may be presented in the form

$$S_{ij}^{\text{ren}} \xrightarrow{\hat{p} \rightarrow m_l} \begin{cases} \hat{p} - m_l, & i = l, j = l, \\ M^{il}(\hat{p} - m_l), & i \neq l, j = l, \\ (\hat{p} - m_l)M^{lj}, & i = l, j \neq l, \\ \text{arbitrary}, & i \neq l, j \neq l, \end{cases} \quad (107)$$

where matrices M^{il} , M^{lj} can be non-commutative with $\hat{p} - m_l$ because of γ^5 . If to write down decomposition of S^{ren} in our basis

$$S^{\text{ren}}(p) = \sum_{M=1}^4 \mathcal{P}_M S_M^{\text{ren}}(W), \quad (108)$$

we can reformulate the requirements (107) in terms of this decomposition.

Note that the limit $\hat{p} \rightarrow m_l$ means that $p^2 \rightarrow m_l^2$ or $W \rightarrow \pm m_l$. One can see that with use of decomposition (108), it's sufficient to investigate only $W \rightarrow m_l$ limit (positive energy pole in propagator) since the symmetry properties $S_2(W) = S_1(-W)$, $S_4(W) = S_3(-W)$ guarantee the proper behaviour near the $W = -m_l$ point.

Let us introduce renormalization of fields in a standard manner

$$\Psi = Z^{1/2} \Psi^{\text{ren}}, \quad \bar{\Psi} = \bar{\Psi}^{\text{ren}} \bar{Z}^{1/2}. \quad (109)$$

In theories with γ^5 the renormalization ‘‘constants’’ are in fact the matrices of dimension 4

$$Z^{1/2} = \alpha + \gamma^5 \beta, \quad \bar{Z}^{1/2} = \bar{\alpha} + \gamma^5 \bar{\beta}. \quad (110)$$

If to consider the mixing problem of n generations of fermions then α , β , $\bar{\alpha}$, $\bar{\beta}$ are matrices of dimension n .

Inverse renormalized matrix propagator is defined by

$$S^{\text{ren}} = \bar{Z}^{1/2} S Z^{1/2} = (\bar{\alpha} + \gamma^5 \bar{\beta}) S (\alpha + \gamma^5 \beta). \quad (111)$$

Let us restrict ourselves by CP-conserving theory and by the case of stable fermions. CP-conservation leads to the symmetry properties (47) and in order to keep this symmetry after renormalization we have to require⁷

$$\bar{\alpha} = \alpha^T, \quad \bar{\beta} = -\beta^T. \quad (112)$$

⁷ It corresponds to the pseudo-Hermitian condition [28] $\bar{Z}^{1/2} = \gamma^0 (Z^{1/2})^\dagger \gamma^0$, but in the presence of imaginary part in self-energy this condition becomes contradictory [29, 30].

So, the multiplicative renormalization of inverse propagator is defined by

$$S^{\text{ren}}(p) = (\alpha^T - \gamma^5 \beta^T) S(p) (\alpha + \gamma^5 \beta). \quad (113)$$

Renormalization conditions for $(S^{\text{ren}})_{ij}$ (107) can be formulated in terms of decomposition (108) at $\epsilon_l = W - m_l \rightarrow 0$.

- $i = l, j = l$

$$\begin{aligned} (S_1^{\text{ren}})_{ll} &\rightarrow W - m_l, & (S_2^{\text{ren}}(W))_{ll} &= (S_1^{\text{ren}}(-W))_{ll}, \\ (S_3^{\text{ren}})_{ll} &= o(\epsilon_l), & (S_4^{\text{ren}})_{ll} &= o(\epsilon_l). \end{aligned} \quad (114)$$

- $i \neq l, j = l$

$$(S_1^{\text{ren}})_{il} = O(\epsilon_l), \quad (S_4^{\text{ren}})_{il} = O(\epsilon_l). \quad (115)$$

Corresponding elements of S_2, S_3 matrices are defined by replacement $W \rightarrow -W$ and they are $O(1)$.

- $i = l, j \neq l$

$$(S_1^{\text{ren}})_{lj} = O(\epsilon_l), \quad (S_3^{\text{ren}})_{lj} = O(\epsilon_l). \quad (116)$$

Elements of matrices S_2, S_4 are obtained by $W \rightarrow -W$.

We see that in the limit $W \rightarrow m_l$ there arise some conditions on l -th row and l -th column of S_1 matrix, on l -th row of S_3 and on l -th column of S_4 . Matrix coefficients in decomposition (108) should have the following behaviour at $\epsilon_l = W - m_l \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{aligned} S_1^{\text{ren}} &\sim \begin{pmatrix} O(1) & \dots & O(\epsilon_l) & \dots & O(1) \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ O(\epsilon_l) & \dots & \epsilon_l & \dots & O(\epsilon_l) \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ O(1) & \dots & O(\epsilon_l) & \dots & O(1) \end{pmatrix}, \\ S_2^{\text{ren}} &\sim O(1), \\ S_3^{\text{ren}} &\sim \begin{pmatrix} & O(1) & \\ & \vdots & \\ O(\epsilon_l) & \dots & o(\epsilon_l) & \dots & O(\epsilon_l) \\ & \vdots & \\ & O(1) & \end{pmatrix}, \\ S_4^{\text{ren}} &\sim \begin{pmatrix} & O(\epsilon_l) & \\ & \vdots & \\ O(1) & \dots & o(\epsilon_l) & \dots & O(1) \\ & \vdots & \\ & O(\epsilon_l) & \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (117)$$

We use the spectral representation for inverse propagator (3), then, according to (113), the renormalized inverse propagator looks similarly

$$S^{\text{ren}} = \sum_{k=1}^{2n} \lambda_k(W) \tilde{\Pi}_k, \quad (118)$$

but $\tilde{\Pi}_k = (\alpha^T - \gamma^5 \beta^T) \Pi_k (\alpha + \gamma^5 \beta)$ are not projectors in general case. Recall that projectors Π_k (51) are expressed through some vectors ψ_k, ϕ_k which we suppose to be columns.

As it turns out the operators $\tilde{\Pi}_k$ have the same form (51) with renormalized vectors

$$\tilde{\Pi}_k = \mathcal{P}_1 \cdot \psi_k^r (\psi_k^r)^T - \mathcal{P}_2 \cdot \phi_k^r (\phi_k^r)^T + \mathcal{P}_3 \cdot \psi_k^r (\phi_k^r)^T - \mathcal{P}_4 \cdot \phi_k^r (\psi_k^r)^T, \quad (119)$$

where renormalized vectors look like

$$\psi_k^r = \alpha^T \psi_k + \beta^T \phi_k, \quad \phi_k^r = \alpha^T \phi_k + \beta^T \psi_k. \quad (120)$$

Now require S^{ren} in the form (119) to satisfy the conditions (117). If $W \rightarrow m_l$ and $\lambda_l(m_l) = 0$, it is convenient to separate out the l -th eigenvalue in S^{ren}

$$S^{\text{ren}} = \lambda_l(W) \tilde{\Pi}_l + \sum_{k \neq l} \lambda_k(W) \tilde{\Pi}_k. \quad (121)$$

We will show that the renormalization conditions (117) may be formulated as requirements on the vectors $\psi_k^r(W)$. To see it, we will write the explicit form of matrices $S_M^{\text{ren}}(W)$, which follows from (118), (119)

$$\begin{aligned} S_1^{\text{ren}} &= \sum_k \lambda_k(W) \psi_k^r (\psi_k^r)^T = \lambda_l(W) \psi_l^r (\psi_l^r)^T + \sum_{k \neq l} \lambda_k(W) \psi_k^r (\psi_k^r)^T, \\ S_2^{\text{ren}} &= - \sum_k \lambda_k(W) \phi_k^r (\phi_k^r)^T, \\ S_3^{\text{ren}} &= \sum_k \lambda_k(W) \psi_k^r (\phi_k^r)^T = \lambda_l(W) \psi_l^r (\phi_l^r)^T + \sum_{k \neq l} \lambda_k(W) \psi_k^r (\phi_k^r)^T, \\ S_4^{\text{ren}} &= - \sum_k \lambda_k(W) \phi_k^r (\psi_k^r)^T = - \lambda_l(W) \phi_l^r (\psi_l^r)^T - \sum_{k \neq l} \lambda_k(W) \phi_k^r (\psi_k^r)^T. \end{aligned} \quad (122)$$

First of all, consider behaviour of the non-diagonal elements of $S^{\text{ren}}(p)$. Looking at conditions (115), (116), one can see that non-diagonal elements are determined by $k \neq l$ terms in sums (122) and are reduced to requirements on the renormalized vector $\psi_k^r(W)$, namely

$$(\psi_k^r(m_l))_l = 0, \quad k \neq l. \quad (123)$$

Renormalization of diagonal elements (114) is fixed by $i = l$ term in a sum and gives the condition

$$(\psi_l^r(W))_l \rightarrow R_l \neq 0 \quad \text{at } W \rightarrow m_l. \quad (124)$$

Thus, the constant R_l multiplying the eigenvalue, provides the unit slope. It is naturally to suppose it as renormalized eigenvalue

$$\lambda_l^{\text{ren}}(W) = \lambda_l(W) R_l^2 \rightarrow W - m_l \quad \text{at } W \rightarrow m_l. \quad (125)$$

Thus, the spectral representation allows to reduce the renormalization of matrix propagator to much more simple problem (123), (124) of renormalization of the vectors $\psi_k(W)$. Solution of this problem may be written in compact form without using perturbation theory. Let us show that matrices α, β can to be chosen as

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= (R_1 \psi_1(m_1), R_2 \psi_2(m_2), \dots, R_n \psi_n(m_n)), \\ \beta &= - (R_1 \phi_1(m_1), R_2 \phi_2(m_2), \dots, R_n \phi_n(m_n)). \end{aligned} \quad (126)$$

As in the above, to simplify notations it's convenient to suppose the vectors $\psi_k(W)$, $\phi_k(W)$, constructing the eigenprojectors Π_k , to be columns. Then the matrices (126) consist of columns — these vectors at fixed W .

Let us verify that the matrices (126) provide the correct renormalization properties. To this end we can calculate according to (120) the renormalized vector $\psi_k^r(W)$

$$\psi_k^r(W) = \begin{pmatrix} R_1 [\psi_1^T(m_1)\psi_k(W) - \phi_1^T(m_1)\phi_k(W)] \\ R_2 [\psi_2^T(m_2)\psi_k(W) - \phi_2^T(m_2)\phi_k(W)] \\ \vdots \\ R_n [\psi_n^T(m_n)\psi_k(W) - \phi_n^T(m_n)\phi_k(W)] \end{pmatrix}. \quad (127)$$

Calculating the l -th component of this vector at the point $W = m_l$, we have

$$(\psi_k^r(m_l))_l = R_l [\psi_l^T(m_l)\psi_k(m_l) - \phi_l^T(m_l)\phi_k(m_l)] = R_l \delta_{lk}, \quad (128)$$

where we used the orthonormality property (55). So we see that vector (127), following from renormalization “constants” (126) has all necessary properties and provides the correct renormalization of inverse propagator.

A. Renormalization in theory with parity conservation

Let us illustrate the renormalization procedure by a simple example — mixing of two fermion fields in theory with parity conservation.

According to general recipe (126), in considered simple case we have the following renormalization constant, see formulas (78), (80)

$$Z^{1/2} = aR = (\psi_1(m_1), \psi_2(m_2)) R = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta(m_1) & -\sin \theta(m_2) \\ \sin \theta(m_1) & \cos \theta(m_2) \end{pmatrix} R, \quad (129)$$

where $R = \text{diag}(R_1, R_2)$.

Calculating the renormalized vectors (120), we obtain

$$\psi_1^r = Ra^T \psi_1 = R \begin{pmatrix} \cos \chi_1 \\ \sin \chi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi_2^r = R \begin{pmatrix} -\sin \chi_1 \\ \cos \chi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (130)$$

where we introduced short notations $\chi_1 = \theta(W) - \theta(m_1)$, $\chi_2 = \theta(W) - \theta(m_2)$.

One can write down the renormalized inverse propagator

$$\begin{aligned} S_1^{\text{ren}} &= \lambda_1(W) R \begin{pmatrix} \cos^2 \chi_1 & \sin \chi_2 \cos \chi_1 \\ \sin \chi_2 \cos \chi_1 & \sin^2 \chi_2 \end{pmatrix} R + \\ &+ \lambda_2(W) R \begin{pmatrix} \sin^2 \chi_1 & -\sin \chi_1 \cos \chi_2 \\ -\sin \chi_1 \cos \chi_2 & \cos^2 \chi_2 \end{pmatrix} R. \end{aligned} \quad (131)$$

Renormalized propagator looks like

$$\begin{aligned} G_1^{\text{ren}} &= \frac{1}{\lambda_1(W)c_{12}^2} R^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \cos^2 \chi_2 & \sin \chi_1 \cos \chi_2 \\ \sin \chi_1 \cos \chi_2 & \sin^2 \chi_1 \end{pmatrix} R^{-1} + \\ &+ \frac{1}{\lambda_2(W)c_{12}^2} R^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \sin^2 \chi_2 & -\sin \chi_2 \cos \chi_1 \\ -\sin \chi_2 \cos \chi_1 & \cos^2 \chi_1 \end{pmatrix} R^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (132)$$

where $c_{12} = \cos(\theta(m_1) - \theta(m_2))$.

Let us verify the behaviour of renormalized propagator at $W \rightarrow m_1$

$$S_1^{\text{ren}} \rightarrow \lambda_1(W) R \begin{pmatrix} 1 & s_{12} \\ s_{12} & s_{12}^2 \end{pmatrix} R + \lambda_2(W) R \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_{12}^2 \end{pmatrix} R, \quad (133)$$

where $s_{12} = \sin(\theta(m_1) - \theta(m_2))$, and

$$G_1^{\text{ren}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\lambda_1(W)} R^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} R^{-1} + \frac{1}{\lambda_2(W)} R^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} s_{12}^2 & -s_{12} \\ -s_{12} & 1 \end{pmatrix} R^{-1}. \quad (134)$$

One can see, that to ensure the correct behavior (106), (107) it's enough to fix the diagonal element of matrix R .

$$\lambda_1(W) R_1^2 \rightarrow W - m_1 + o(W - m_1). \quad (135)$$

Let us note also, that the obtained expression for renormalized propagator

$$S_1^{\text{ren}} = \lambda_1(W) \psi_1^r (\psi_1^r)^T + \lambda_2(W) \psi_2^r (\psi_2^r)^T, \quad (136)$$

is not a spectral representation of the matrix S_1^{ren} . If we want to build the spectral representation of renormalized propagator, we need to solve a new eigenvalue problem

$$S_1^{\text{ren}} \Pi = \mu \Pi, \quad (137)$$

and eigenvalues $\mu_i(W)$ don't coincide with $\lambda_i(W)$ but have the correct normalization properties $\mu_i(m_i) = 0$.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

Here we have constructed the spectral representation for matrix fermion propagator in the presence of P-parity violation which gives rather compact and simple description of the fermion mixing in the QFT. This construction generalizes the well-known matrix spectral representation for more complicated objects with two sets of indices.

In this representation the inverse matrix propagator has the form (3), where the eigenprojectors Π_i are constructed (38) from the vectors $\psi_i, \tilde{\psi}_i$. In the case of CP-conservation we get the simpler answer (51) which contains only one vector ψ_i — solution of homogeneous equation (53). In this case in order to construct the dressed propagator, we need to solve the characteristic equation (25) for eigenvalues $\lambda_i(W)$ and to solve for every i the homogeneous equation (53) or (54).

We found that the completeness condition for the projectors Π_i , necessary to build the spectral representation of matrix propagator, requires to take into account the spin degrees of freedom. The corresponding generalized spin projectors in the theory with γ^5 don't coincide with the standard ones — see (94), (96). When multiplied by the eigenprojectors Π_i in a propagator, they look like universal (100) for any theory with γ^5 since they don't contain self-energy contributions. But nevertheless, renormalization of Π_i has also an impact on Σ_i , leading to slightly different spin projectors with different i .

We investigated the multiplicative (WFR) renormalization of obtained matrix propagator. The on-shell requirements of AHKKM [28] for renormalized propagator may be easily transformed into the conditions for renormalized vector ψ_i^r (123), (124). After that we have much

more simple problem and it allows to write down the general answer for renormalization constants (126). Note that the answer for $Z^{1/2}$, $\bar{Z}^{1/2}$ looks very simple just in terms of vectors $\psi_i(W)$ appeared in the eigenvalue problem (1).

As a result, we have an elegant algebraic construction for matrix propagator with separated positive and negative energy poles. We suppose it will be useful in consideration of mixing and oscillation phenomena in a system of fermions.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to N.N. Achasov for references concerning the Regge poles and to V.M. Leviant for reading the manuscript and useful comments.

-
- [1] W. Grimus and P. Stockinger, *Phys. Rev. D* **54**, 3414 (1996)
 - [2] W. Grimus, P. Stockinger and S. Mohanty, *Phys. Rev. D* **59**, 013011 (1999)
 - [3] C. Giunti, *JHEP* **0211**, 017 (2002)
 - [4] M. Beuthe, *Phys. Rept.* **375**, 105 (2003)
 - [5] M. Blasone and G. Vitiello, *Annals Phys.* **244**, 283 (1995) [Erratum-ibid **249**, 363 (1996)]
 - [6] K. Fujii, C. Habe and T. Yabuki, *Phys. Rev. D* **64**, 013011 (2001)
 - [7] E. Kh. Akhmedov and J. Kopp, *JHEP* **1004**, 008 (2010) [Erratum-ibid **1310**, 052 (2013)]
 - [8] D. V. Naumov and V. A. Naumov, *J. Phys. G* **37**, 105014 (2010)
 - [9] M. Dvornikov, Field theory description of neutrino oscillations, in *Neutrinos: Properties, Sources and Detection*, ed. by J.P. Greene (Nova Science Publishers, New York, 2011) p. 23–90
 - [10] Mario Martone and Dean J. Robinson, *Phys. Rev. D* **85**, 045006 (2012)
 - [11] J. F. Donoghue, *Phys. Rev. D* **19**, 2772 (1979)
 - [12] A. Denner and T. Sack, *Nucl. Phys. B* **347**, 203 (1990)
 - [13] A. Barroso, L. Brucher and R. Santos, *Phys. Rev. D* **62**, 096003 (2000)
 - [14] B. A. Kniehl and A. Sirlin, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 116003 (2006)
 - [15] P. Gambino, P. A. Grassi and F. Madricardo, *Phys. Lett. B* **454**, 98 (1999)
 - [16] S. Antusch, C. Biggio, E. Fernandez-Martinez, M. B. Gavela, J. Lopez-Pavon, *JHEP* **0610**, 084 (2006).
 - [17] Quentin Duret, Bruno Machet and M. I. Vysotsky, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **61**, 247 (2012)
 - [18] B. A. Kniehl and A. Sirlin, *Phys. Rev. D* **85**, 036007 (2012)
 - [19] B. A. Kniehl, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 071603 (2014)
 - [20] B. A. Kniehl, *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, 096005 (2014)
 - [21] A. Messiah, *Quantum mechanics, Vol. 1* (North-Holland Pub. Co. 1961)
 - [22] A. E. Kaloshin and V. P. Lomov, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72**, 2094 (2012)
 - [23] V. N. Gribov, *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **43**, 1529 (1962)
 - [24] V. N. Gribov, L. B. Okun and I. Ya. Pomeranchuk, *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **45**, 1114 (1963)
 - [25] B. A. Kniehl and A. Sirlin, *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 116012 (2008)
 - [26] A. E. Kaloshin, E. A. Kobeleva and V. P. Lomov, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **26**, 2307 (2011)
 - [27] A. E. Kaloshin, E. A. Kobeleva and V. P. Lomov, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **28**, 1350156 (2013)
 - [28] K. I. Aoki, Z. Hioki, M. Konuma, R. Kawabe and T. Muta, *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.* **73**, 1 (1982)

- [29] D. Espriu, J. Manzano and P. Talavera, *Phys. Rev. D* **66**, 076002 (2002)
- [30] Yong Zhou, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **21**, 2763 (2006)