# $C^{2, \alpha}$ ESTIMATES FOR NONLINEAR ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS OF TWISTED TYPE 

TRISTAN C. COLLINS


#### Abstract

We prove a priori interior $C^{2, \alpha}$ estimates for solutions of fully nonlinear elliptic equations of twisted type. For example, our estimates apply to equations of the type convex + concave. These results are particularly well suited to equations arising from elliptic regularization. As application, we obtain a new proof of an estimate of Streets-Warren [18] on the twisted real Monge-Ampère equation.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we will derive an a priori interior $C^{2, \alpha}$ estimate for solutions of the nonlinear, uniformly elliptic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(D^{2} u\right)=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

under the assumption that $F$ is of twisted type (see Defintion 1.1, below). Higher order estimates for fully nonlinear elliptic equations are a subtle matter, and depend heavily on the structure of $F$. The fundamental result in this vein is the famous estimate of Evans [6] and Krylov [10, 11, which says that if $F$ is convex, or concave, then the $C^{\alpha}$ norm of $D^{2} u$ on the interior is controlled by $L^{\infty}$ norm of $D^{2} u$. Nadirashvili-Vlăduţ [12] have produced counterexamples to Evans-Krylov type estimates for general fully nonlinear equations. As a result, it is an interesting and important problem to understand when Evans-Krylov type estimates hold for nonlinear equations which are neither convex nor concave.

Let us briefly recount the positive results currently available in the literature. Caffarelli-Cabré [3] proved an Evans-Krylov type estimate for functions $F$ which are the minimum of convex and concave functions- in particular, their result applies to equations of Issac's type. Caffarelli-Yuan [4] proved $C^{2, \alpha}$ a priori estimates under the assumption that level set $\{F=0\}$ has uniformly convex intersection with a family of planes. In essence, this allows one of the principle curvatures of $\{F=0\}$ to be negative. Yuan [21] proved higher regularity for the 3 dimensional special Lagrangian equation. More recently, motivated by the pluriclosed flow introduced by Streets-Tian [14, 15, 16, 17, Streets-Warren [18] exploited the partial Legendre transform to prove an Evans-Krylov type theorem for the real twisted Monge-Ampère equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \operatorname{det} u_{x_{i} x_{j}}-\log \operatorname{det}\left(-u_{y_{k} y_{l}}\right)=0, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u(x, y)$ is assumed to be uniformly convex in the $x$ variables, and uniformly concave in the $y$ variables. The authors also consider parabolic and complex analogs of this equation.

The concern of this note is to extend the Evans-Krylov estimate to a general class of nonconvex equations of twisted type. Let us give the precise setting for our result. Let $S^{n \times n} \subset M^{n \times n}$ be the set of symmetric $n \times n$ matrices with real entries. Suppose that $u$ is a smooth solution of equation (1.1), and let $\Sigma=\{F=0\} \subset S^{n \times n}$.
Definition 1.1. We say that the uniformly elliptic equation (1.1) is of twisted type, if it can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\cup}\left(D^{2} u\right)+F_{\cap}\left(D^{2} u\right)=0 . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{\cup}, F_{\cap}$ satisfy the following structural conditions;
(S1) $F_{\cup}, F_{\cap}$ are continuous (possibly degenerate) elliptic operators on $D^{2} u\left(B_{1}\right)$.
(S2) There exists an open set $\mathcal{O}$ containing $\overline{D^{2} u\left(B_{1}\right)}$ such that $F_{\cup}, F_{\cap}$ are $C^{2}$ on $\mathcal{O}$.
(S3) $F_{\cup}$ is convex and uniformly elliptic.
(S4) $F_{\cap}$ is weakly concave, in the sense of Definition 1.2 below.
Definition 1.2. We say that $F_{\cap}$ is weakly concave if there exists a subset $U \subset \mathbb{R}$ and a function $G: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is continuous, and smooth on the interior of $U$, such that
(i) $\overline{F_{\cap}(\Sigma)} \subset U$,
(ii) $G^{\prime}>0, G^{\prime \prime} \leqslant 0$ and $G\left(F_{\cap}(\cdot)\right)$ is concave,
(iii) There exists a continuous function $Q(x): \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that $G^{\prime}(x) \geqslant$ $Q(x)$ for $x \in \operatorname{int} U$.

We have endeavored to make Definition 1.1 as broad as possible, which unfortunately necessitates its somewhat technical appearance. In many cases, the conditions of Definition 1.1 are easily seen to be satisfied, as we will discuss in the examples below. Our main theorem is that equations of this form admit interior $C^{2, \alpha}$ a priori estimates.

Theorem 1.3. Suppose $u$ is a smooth solution of equation (1.1) on $B_{1} \subset$ $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Assume that $F$ is of twisted type. Assume moreover that $F_{\cap}\left(B_{1}\right) \subset$ $\operatorname{int} U$. Let $0<\lambda<\Lambda<\infty$ be ellipticity constants for both $F, F \cup$. For every $0<\alpha<1$ we have the estimate

$$
\left\|D^{2} u\right\|_{C^{\alpha}\left(B_{1 / 2}\right)} \leqslant C\left(n, \lambda, \Lambda, \alpha, \gamma, \Gamma, F \cup(0),\left\|D^{2} u\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{1}\right)}\right),
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
0<\gamma & :=\inf _{x \in F \cup\left(D^{2} u\right)\left(B_{1}\right)} Q^{\prime}(-x) \\
\Gamma & :=\operatorname{Osc}_{B_{1}} G\left(-F \cup\left(D^{2} u\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

depend only on $\Lambda,\left\|D^{2} u\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{1}\right)}$ and the functions $G, Q$ of Definition 1.2.
Twisted type equations arise naturally in problems in differential geometry; let us describe some examples.

Example 1.4. Suppose $F_{\cup}$ is a smooth convex, uniformly elliptic operator, and $F_{\cap}$ is a smooth concave degenerate elliptic operator. Then the operator $F=F_{\cup}+F_{\mathrm{n}}$ is of twisted type, with $G(x)=x, Q(x)=1$. In particular, $U=\mathbb{R}$ in Definition 1.2, and hence the condition $F_{\cap}\left(B_{1}\right) \subset \operatorname{int} U$ is vacuous.

Let us consider a slightly less trivial, and more explicit family of examples.
Example 1.5. For a symmetric matrix $M$, we let $\sigma_{k}(M)$ denote the $k$ th symmetric polynomial of the eigenvalues of $M$. It is well-known that $\sigma_{k}\left(D^{2} u\right)$ is an elliptic operator on the cone of $k$-convex functions. Furthermore, if we take $G(x)=x^{1 / k}$ for $x \geqslant 0$, then $\sigma_{k}\left(D^{2} u\right)^{1 / k}$ is concave, and $G$ satisfies properties $(i)$ and (ii) of Definition 1.2, For item (iii) we can take

$$
Q(x)=\min \left\{1, k|x|^{\frac{1}{k}-1}\right\}
$$

In particular, if we assume $\left\|D^{2} u\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\left(B_{1}\right) \leqslant \Lambda$, then the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta u+\sigma_{k}\left(D^{2} u\right)=1 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

are uniformly elliptic for $u \in \Gamma_{k}\left(B_{1}\right)$, and are all of twisted type. The estimate in Theorem 1.3 applies provided $\sigma_{k}(x)>0$ for all $x \in B_{1}$. These operators do not have convex sublevel sets, and hence the Evans-Krylov theorem does not apply. Moreover, the result of Caffarelli-Yuan [4] does not apply as the convexity of the level sets $\left\{\sigma_{k}=t\right\}$ degenerate as $t \rightarrow 0$. Finally, let us remark that if $k=n$, then the assumption that $\sigma_{n}>0$ is superfluous thanks to the constant rank theorem of Bian-Guan [1].

Equations of the form considered in Example 1.5 arise naturally, both in geometry and analysis. For example, the $k=n$ case of (1.4), arose in the work of the author and G. Székelyhidi on the $J$-flow on toric Kähler manifolds [5]. Previously, Fu-Yau [7] solved a twisted Monge-Ampère type equation similar to (but more complicated than) (1.4) in their study of the Strominger system. Fu-Yau succeeded in bypassing $C^{2, \alpha}$ estimates by estimating directly the $C^{3}$ norm by a difficult maximum principle argument.

One might argue that instead of equation (1.4), one should instead study the concave equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta u+\sigma\left(D^{2} u\right)^{1 / k}=1 . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us provide a brief rebuttal to this point. First, note that (1.5) is not uniformly elliptic without a strict lower bound $\sigma_{k}>\lambda>0$, while equation (1.4) is uniformly elliptic without any further assumptions. For this reason the family of equations (1.4) arises as a natural "elliptic regularization" of the $k$-Hessian equation. Furthermore, on a Kähler manifold $X$ of dimension $n$ it is natural to consider equations of the form

$$
\omega^{n-1} \wedge(\alpha+i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi)+\omega^{n-k} \wedge(\alpha+i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi)^{k}=c \omega^{n}
$$

where $\omega$ is a fixed Kähler form, $\alpha$ is a real, smooth, closed ( 1,1 )-form, and $\varphi$ is unknown. In this case, the constant $c$ is determined cohomologically by the classes $[\omega],[\alpha] \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$. In the toric case, this equation reduces
to equation (1.4). On the other hand, for the concave equation whose local expression is (1.5), the right hand side is not determined cohomologically and one needs additional information, often unavailable in geometric problems, to specify the equation completely.

Our last example illustrates the necessity of the technicalities in Definition 1.1 .

Example 1.6. Let $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}$ be standard coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^{k}$, and let $\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{\ell}\right\}$ be standard coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^{\ell}$, so that we may identify $\mathbb{R}^{k+\ell}=$ $\mathbb{R}^{k} \times \mathbb{R}^{\ell}$. Set $n=k+\ell$. Our identification induces an decomposition of any matrix $M \in S^{n \times n}$ as

$$
M=M_{k k} \oplus M_{\ell k} \oplus M_{\ell k}^{T} \oplus M_{\ell \ell}
$$

where $M_{k k}$ is the symmetric $k \times k$ matrix corresponding to the $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ factor in the obvious way, and similarly for $M_{\ell \ell}$. Define maps by

$$
\pi_{k}(M)=M_{k k}, \quad \pi_{\ell}(M)=M_{\ell} .
$$

For $\kappa, \lambda>0$, we set

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\lambda, \kappa}:=\left\{\begin{array}{ll} 
& (i) \lambda I_{k} \leqslant \pi_{k}(M) \leqslant \lambda^{-1} I_{k}  \tag{1.6}\\
M \in S^{n \times n}: & (i i) \lambda I_{\ell} \leqslant-\pi_{\ell}(M) \leqslant \lambda^{-1} I_{\ell}, \\
& (i i i)\|M\| \leqslant \kappa
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $I_{j}$ denotes the $j \times j$ identity matrix for $j=k, \ell$. Note the $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda, \kappa}$ is a compact, convex subset of $S^{n \times n}$.

Consider the real twisted Monge-Ampère equation of Streets-Warren [18, given in equation (1.2). Let $u$ be a smooth solution of (1.2) on $B_{1}$ and suppose that $\overline{D^{2} u\left(B_{1}\right)} \subset \mathcal{E}_{\lambda, \kappa}$. Write equation (1.2) in the form

$$
-\left(\operatorname{det}\left(-D_{y}^{2} u\right)\right)^{1 / n}+\varepsilon \operatorname{Tr}\left(D_{x}^{2} u\right)+\left(\operatorname{det}\left(D_{x}^{2} u\right)\right)^{1 / n}-\varepsilon \operatorname{Tr}\left(D_{x}^{2} u\right)=0 .
$$

We note that under the above assumptions

$$
F_{\cup}(M):=-\left(\operatorname{det}\left(-\pi_{\ell} M\right)\right)^{1 / n}+\varepsilon \operatorname{Tr}\left(\pi_{k} M\right)
$$

is smooth and uniformly elliptic on $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda, \kappa}$ for $\varepsilon>0$ and convex. Furthermore

$$
F_{\cap}\left(D^{2} u\right):=\left(\operatorname{det}\left(D_{x}^{2} u\right)\right)^{1 / n}-\varepsilon \operatorname{Tr}\left(D_{x}^{2} u\right)
$$

is degenerate elliptic for $\varepsilon>0$ depending only on $k, \ell, \lambda$, and is concave. However, we note that $F_{\cup}$ is not uniformly elliptic outside of $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda, \kappa}$. To remedy this we use an envelope trick exploited by Wang [20, and Tosatti-Wang-Weinkove-Yang [19] to extend $F_{\cup}$ to a uniformly elliptic convex operator outside of $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda, \kappa}$. To be precise, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{F_{\cup}}(M):=\sup _{N, c}\left\{\operatorname{Tr}\left(-\pi_{\ell}(N) \pi_{\ell}(M)\right)+\operatorname{Tr}\left(\pi_{k}(M)\right)+c\right\} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the supremum is take over all $N \in \mathcal{E}_{\lambda, \kappa}, c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(-\pi_{\ell}(N) \pi_{\ell}(M)\right)+c+\operatorname{Tr}\left(\pi_{k}(M)\right) \leqslant F_{\cup}(X) \quad \forall X \in \mathcal{E}_{\lambda, \kappa} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operator $\widetilde{F_{\cup}}(\cdot)$ is precisely the convex envelope of the graph of $F_{\cup}(\cdot)$ over $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda, \kappa}$. In particular, we immediately obtain that $\widetilde{F_{\cup}}(\cdot)$ is continuous, convex and agrees with $F_{\cup}(\cdot)$ on the convex set $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda, \kappa}$. It suffices to check that $\widetilde{F_{\cup}}(\cdot)$ is uniformly elliptic. We include the short argument for the readers' convenience (see also [20]). Fix $P \in S^{n \times n}$, with $P \geqslant 0$. By compactness there exist $N_{1}, N_{2} \in \mathcal{E}_{\lambda, \kappa}$ and $c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{F_{\cup}}(M+P) & =\operatorname{Tr}\left(-\pi_{\ell}\left(N_{1}\right) \pi_{\ell}(M+P)\right)+\operatorname{Tr}\left(\pi_{k}(M+P)\right)+c_{1} \\
\widetilde{F_{\cup}}(M) & =\operatorname{Tr}\left(-\pi_{\ell}\left(N_{2}\right) \pi_{\ell}(M)\right)+\operatorname{Tr}\left(\pi_{k}(M)\right)+c_{2} \tag{1.9}
\end{align*}
$$

By maximality we must have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\widetilde{F_{\cup}}(M+P) \geqslant \operatorname{Tr}\left(-\pi_{\ell}\left(N_{2}\right) \pi_{\ell}(M+P)\right)+\operatorname{Tr}\left(\pi_{k}(M+P)\right)+c_{2} \\
\widetilde{F_{\cup}}(M) \geqslant \operatorname{Tr}\left(-\pi_{\ell}\left(N_{1}\right) \pi_{\ell}(M)\right)+\operatorname{Tr}\left(\pi_{k}(M)\right)+c_{1} \tag{1.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

Combining the first line of (1.10) with the second line of (1.9) we get

$$
\widetilde{F_{\cup}}(M+P)-\widetilde{F_{\cup}}(M) \geqslant \operatorname{Tr}\left(-\pi_{\ell}\left(N_{2}\right) \pi_{\ell}(P)\right)+\operatorname{Tr}\left(\pi_{k}(P)\right) \geqslant \lambda\|P\| .
$$

Similarly, combining the second line of (1.10) with the first line of (1.9) we get

$$
\widetilde{F_{\cup}}(M+P)-\widetilde{F_{\cup}}(M) \leqslant \operatorname{Tr}\left(-\pi_{\ell}\left(N_{1}\right) \pi_{\ell}(P)\right)+\operatorname{Tr}\left(\pi_{k}(P)\right) \leqslant \lambda^{-1}\|P\| .
$$

In particular, the real twisted Monge-Ampère equation (1.2) is of twisted type in the sense of Definition 1.1, and so Theorem 1.3 gives a new proof of an estimate of Streets-Warren [18, Theorem 1.1].

The proof of Theorem 1.3 hinges on the observation that $G\left(F_{\cap}\left(D^{2} u\right)\right)$ is a supersolution of the linear equation $L \varphi=0$, where $L$ denotes the linearization of (1.1); see Lemma 2.1 below. With this observation we can employ the machinery used in the proof of the Evans-Krylov theorem with some modifications to account for the fact that we must work with general elliptic operators rather than the Laplacian. We take this up in detail in section 2 . In section 3 we provide a brief description of some applications and extensions.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.3

For ease of notation, whenever $F_{\cup}, F_{\cap}$ are $C^{2}$, we will set

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\alpha}^{i j}:=\frac{\partial F_{\alpha}}{\partial a_{i j}}, \quad F_{\alpha}^{i j, r s}:=\frac{\partial^{2} F_{\alpha}}{\partial a_{i j} \partial a_{r s}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\alpha=\cup, \cap$ and $1 \leqslant i, j, r, s \leqslant n$. We begin with some simple calculations. First, if $u$ solves equation (1.3), then by structural condition (S2) we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
L u_{a}:=\left(F_{\cup}^{i j}+F_{\cap}^{i j}\right) u_{a i j}=0 \\
\left(F_{\cup}^{i j}+F_{\cap}^{i j}\right) u_{a b i j}+\left(F_{\cup}^{i j, r s}+F_{\cap}^{i j, r s}\right) u_{a i j} u_{b r s}=0 \tag{2.3}
\end{array}
$$

The main observation is contained in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.3, $G\left(F_{\cap}\left(D^{2} u\right)\right)$ is a supersolution of the linearized equation on $B_{1}$.

$$
L\left[G\left(F_{\cap}\left(D^{2} u\right)\right)\right] \leqslant 0
$$

Proof. By structural condition (S2), $F_{\cup}, F_{\cap}$ are $C^{2}$ in an open neighborhood of $D^{2} u\left(B_{1}\right)$. Since $F_{\cap}\left(D^{2} u\left(B_{1}\right)\right) \subset \operatorname{int} U$, the function $G\left(F_{\cap}\left(D^{2} u\right)\right)$ is $C^{2}$ in $B_{1}$. Hence, we can compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{a} G\left(F_{\cap}\left(D^{2} u\right)\right) & =G^{\prime} F_{\cap}^{i j} u_{a i j} \\
\partial_{b} \partial_{a} G\left(F^{\cap}\left(D^{2} u\right)\right) & =G^{\prime} F_{\cap}^{i j} u_{a b i j}+\left(G^{\prime} F_{\cap}^{i j, r s}+G^{\prime \prime} F_{\cap}^{i j} F_{\cap}^{r s}\right) u_{a i j} u_{b r s}
\end{aligned}
$$

As a result, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
L G\left(F_{\cap}\left(D^{2} u\right)\right)= & G^{\prime} F_{\cap}^{a b} L\left(u_{a b}\right)+\left(G^{\prime} F_{\cap}^{i j, r s}+G^{\prime \prime} F_{\cap}^{i j} F_{\cap}^{r s}\right)\left(F_{\cup}^{a b}+F_{\cap}^{a b}\right) u_{a i j} u_{b r s} \\
= & {\left[-G^{\prime} F_{\cap}^{a b}\left(F_{\cup}^{i j, r s}+F_{\cap}^{i j, r s}\right)\right] u_{a i j} u_{b r s} } \\
& +\left[\left(G^{\prime} F_{\cap}^{i j, r s}+G^{\prime \prime} F_{\cap}^{i j} F_{\cap}^{r s}\right)\left(F_{\cup}^{a b}+F_{\cap}^{a b}\right)\right] u_{a i j} u_{b r s} \\
= & F_{\cup}^{a b}\left(G^{\prime} F_{\cap}^{i j, r s}+G^{\prime \prime} F_{\cap}^{i j} F_{\cap}^{r s}\right) u_{a i j} u_{b r s}+G^{\prime \prime} F_{\cap}^{a b} F_{\cap}^{i j} F_{\cap}^{r s} u_{a i j} u_{b r s} \\
& -G^{\prime} F_{\cup}^{i j, r s} u_{a i j} u_{b r s}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, since $G\left(F_{\cap}\right)$ is concave, and $F_{\cup}$ is elliptic, the first term is non-positive. Moreover, since $G^{\prime \prime} \leqslant 0$, and $F_{\cap}$ is (degenerate) elliptic, the second term is also non-positive. Finally, since $G^{\prime}>0$, and $F \cup$ is convex, the third term is non-positive as well. As a result, we have

$$
L G\left(F_{\cap}\left(D^{2} u\right)\right) \leqslant 0
$$

as was to be proved.
The following proposition is essential, and is based on the proof of the Evans-Krylov theorem (cf. [4, Proposition 1], [2]).

Proposition 2.2. Let the assumptions of Theorem 1.3 be in force. Then, for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a positive constant $\eta=\eta\left(n, \lambda, \Lambda, \varepsilon, \gamma, \Gamma,\left\|D^{2} u\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{1}\right)}\right)$ and a quadratic polynomial $P$ so that, for all $x \in B_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{1}{\eta^{2}} u(\eta x)-P(x)\right| & \leqslant \varepsilon \\
F\left(D^{2} P\right) & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Fix constants $\rho, \xi, \delta, k_{0}>0$ to be determined. We let $C$ denote a constant depending on the stated data which may change from line to line. Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{k} & :=\sup _{B_{1 / 2^{k}}} F_{\cup}\left(D^{2} u\right), \quad 1 \leqslant k \leqslant k_{0} \\
s_{k} & :=\inf _{B_{1 / 2^{k}}} G\left(F_{\cap}\left(D^{2} u\right)\right), \quad 1 \leqslant k \leqslant k_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $s_{k}=G\left(-t_{k}\right)$ since $G$ is increasing.
Consider the set

$$
E_{k}:=\left\{x \in B_{1 / 2^{k}} \mid F_{\cup}\left(D^{2} u\right) \leqslant t_{k}-\xi\right\} .
$$

Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exists 1 \leqslant \ell \leqslant k_{0} \text { so that } \quad\left|E_{k}\right| \leqslant \delta\left|B_{1 / 2^{\ell}}\right| . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $w_{\ell}(x)=2^{2 \ell} u\left(\frac{x}{2^{\ell}}\right)$, and let $v$ solve the equation

$$
\begin{cases}F \cup\left(D^{2} v(x)\right)=t_{\ell} & x \in B_{1} \\ v(x)=w_{\ell}(x) & x \in \partial B_{1} .\end{cases}
$$

Note that $v$ exists by standard elliptic theory (see, e.g. [2, Chapter 9]). Since $F_{\cup}$ is uniformly elliptic, the Alexandroff-Bakelman-Pucci (see, for instance, [9, Theorem 2.21], [2, Theorem 3.2]) estimate implies

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|v-w_{\ell}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{1}\right)} & \leqslant C\left\|F_{\cup}\left(D^{2} v\right)-F_{\cup}\left(D^{2} w_{\ell}\right)\right\|_{L^{n}\left(B_{1}\right)} \\
& \leqslant C(n)\left(\xi^{n}+\delta\right)^{1 / n} . \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Set $\hat{v}=v-w_{\ell}(0)-\left\langle\nabla w_{\ell}(0), x\right\rangle$, and observe that $F_{\cup}\left(D^{2} \hat{v}\right)=t_{\ell}$. Since $F_{\cup}$ is uniformly elliptic and convex we can apply the usual Evans-Krylov Theorem [6, 10, 11, [2, Theorem 6.6], 8], to find a constant $\beta=\beta(\lambda, \Lambda, n) \in(0,1)$ depending only on universal data such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\hat{v}|_{C^{2, \beta}\left(B_{1 / 2}\right)} \leqslant C\left(\|\hat{v}\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{1}\right)}+\left|F_{\cup}(0)-t_{\ell}\right|\right) . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the uniform ellipticity of $F_{\cup}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|t_{\ell}-F_{\cup}(0)\right| \leqslant \Lambda\left\|D^{2} u\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{1}\right)} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, applying the Alexandroff-Bakelman-Pucci estimate [2, Theorem 3.2] to the uniformly elliptic equation $F_{\cup}\left(D^{2} \hat{v}\right)=t_{\ell}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\hat{v}\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{1}\right)} & \leqslant C\left[\sup _{x \in \partial B_{1}}|\hat{v}(x)|+\left|t_{\ell}\right|\right] \\
& =C\left[\sup _{x \in \partial B_{1}}\left|w_{\ell}(x)-w_{\ell}(0)-\left\langle\nabla w_{\ell}(0), x\right\rangle\right|+\left|t_{\ell}\right|\right]  \tag{2.8}\\
& \leqslant C\left(\left\|D^{2} w_{\ell}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{1}\right)}+\left|t_{\ell}\right|\right) \\
& =C\left(\left\|D^{2} u\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{1}\right)}+\left|t_{\ell}\right|\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Combining estimates (2.6), (2.7) and (2.8)

$$
\left\|D^{2} v\right\|_{C^{\beta}\left(B_{1 / 2}\right)}=\left\|D^{2} \hat{v}\right\|_{C^{\beta}\left(B_{1 / 2}\right)} \leqslant C\left(\left\|D^{2} u\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{1}\right)}+|F \cup(0)|\right) .
$$

Let $P$ denote the quadratic part of $v$ at the origin. Then, for $x \in B_{1 / 2}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|w_{\ell}-P\right|(x) & \leqslant\left|w_{\ell}-v\right|(x)+|v-P|(x) \\
& \leqslant C\left(\xi^{n}+\delta\right)^{1 / n}+\left\|D^{2} v\right\|_{C^{\beta}\left(B_{1 / 2}\right)}|x|^{2+\beta}  \tag{2.9}\\
& \leqslant C\left(\xi^{n}+\delta\right)^{1 / n}+C|x|^{2+\beta} .
\end{align*}
$$

Before proceeding, let us address the case when the assumption in (2.4) does not hold. Namely, assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall 1 \leqslant \ell \leqslant k_{0}, \quad\left|E_{\ell}\right| \geqslant \delta\left|B_{1 / 2^{\ell}}\right| \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $w_{k}(x)=2^{2 k} u\left(\frac{x}{2^{k}}\right)$. By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$
L\left[G\left(F_{\cap}\left(D^{2} w_{k}\right)\right)-s_{k}\right] \leqslant 0
$$

We now apply the weak Harnack inequality repeatedly to show that $G\left(F_{\cap}\left(D^{2} w_{k}\right)\right)$ must concentrate in measure near a level set, for $k_{0}$ sufficiently large, depending only on $\xi, \delta, \Gamma$. This will imply that assumption (2.4) always holds.

The weak Harnack inequality [2, Theorem 4.8] implies that, for $x \in B_{1 / 2}$

$$
G\left(F_{\cap}\left(D^{2} w_{k}\right)\right)(x)-s_{k} \geqslant c(n, \lambda)\left\|G\left(F_{\cap}\left(D^{2} w_{k}\right)\right)-s_{k}\right\|_{L^{p_{0}}\left(B_{1}\right)}
$$

where $p_{0}=p_{0}(n, \lambda, \Lambda)$. Since $G$ is concave and increasing, the definition of weak convexity implies that

$$
G\left(F_{\cap}\left(D^{2} w_{k}\right)\right) \geqslant s_{k}+\gamma \xi \quad \forall x \in E_{k}
$$

In particular, thanks to (2.10) we obtain

$$
s_{k+1} \geqslant s_{k}+c(n, \lambda) \gamma \xi \delta^{1 / p_{0}}=: s_{k}+\theta
$$

It follows immediately that after

$$
k_{0}=\frac{\operatorname{Osc}_{B_{1}} G\left(F_{\cap}\left(D^{2} u\right)\right)}{\theta}=: \frac{\Gamma}{\theta}
$$

iterations it must hold that $\left|E_{k_{0}}\right| \leqslant \delta\left|B_{1 / 2^{k_{0}}}\right|$, for otherwise

$$
s_{k_{0}+1} \geqslant \sup _{B_{1}} G\left(F_{\cap}\left(D^{2} u\right)\right)
$$

which is absurd. In particular, assumption (2.4) always holds provided we take $k_{0}$ large enough, depending on $\xi, \delta, \gamma, \Gamma$.

In conclusion, by (2.9), there is an $1 \leqslant \ell \leqslant k_{0}$, and a polynomial $P$ such that

$$
\left|w_{\ell}-P\right|(x) \leqslant C\left(\xi^{n}+\delta\right)^{1 / n}+C|x|^{2+\beta}
$$

Set $x=\rho y$, and $\hat{P}(y)=\rho^{-2} P(\rho y)$, then we have

$$
\left|\frac{1}{\rho^{2}} w_{\ell}(\rho y)-\hat{P}(y)\right| \leqslant C \frac{\left(\xi^{n}+\delta\right)^{1 / n}}{\rho^{2}}+C \rho^{\beta}
$$

for $|y| \leqslant 1$. We may now apply [4, Lemma 2] to obtain a polynomial $\tilde{P}$ such that $F\left(D^{2} \tilde{P}\right)=0$, and

$$
\left|\frac{1}{\rho^{2}} w_{\ell}(\rho y)-\tilde{P}(y)\right| \leqslant C \frac{\left(\xi^{n}+\delta\right)^{1 / n}}{\rho^{2}}+C \rho^{\beta}
$$

We now choose $\rho$, then $\xi, \delta$ (which determine $k_{0}$ ), depending on $n, \lambda, \Lambda, \gamma, \varepsilon$ such that

$$
\left|\frac{1}{\eta^{2}} u(\eta y)-\tilde{P}(y)\right| \leqslant \varepsilon
$$

where $\eta=\eta(n, \lambda, \Lambda, \gamma, \varepsilon)=\rho / 2^{\ell}$, and $1 \leqslant \ell \leqslant k_{0}$.
The conclusion of Theorem 1.3 follows immediately from Proposition [2.2, either by appealing directly to Savin's small perturbations theorem [13, Theorem 1.3], or by following the argument in Caffarelli-Yuan [4.

## 3. Applications and Extensions

In this section we indicate a few applications of Theorem 1.3. The first application is a rigidity result (Lemma 3.1) for solutions of twisted type equations on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. As an application of this rigidity, we extend Theorem 1.3 to a more general class of equations in Theorem 3.2, which is the main result of this section. Theorem 3.2 may be of interest to geometers.
Lemma 3.1. Suppose $u: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function with $\left|D^{2} u\right|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leqslant$ $K<\infty$. Suppose that $u$ solves the twisted type equation $F\left(D^{2} u\right)=0$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then $u$ is a quadratic polynomial.

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $u(0)=0, \nabla u(0)=0$. Since $\left|D^{2} u\right| \leqslant K$, we have the estimate $|\nabla u(x)| \leqslant K|x|$. For $R>0$ we define

$$
v_{R}(x):=R^{-2} u(R x) .
$$

Then $v_{R}(x)$ satisfies $F\left(D^{2} v_{R}\right)=0$ on $B_{1}$, together with the bounds

$$
\left|\nabla v_{R}(x)\right|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{1}\right)} \leqslant \Lambda, \quad\left|D^{2} v_{R}\right|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{1}\right)} \leqslant K .
$$

By Theorem 1.3 we have an estimate $\left|D^{2} v_{R}\right|_{C^{\alpha}\left(B_{1 / 2}\right)} \leqslant C$ for a constant $C$ independent of $R$. Writing this in terms of $u$ gives

$$
R^{\alpha}\left|D^{2} u\right|_{C^{\alpha}\left(B_{R / 2}\right)} \leqslant C
$$

It follows that $D^{2} u$ is a constant, and hence $u$ is a quadratic polynomial.
We can now prove an extension of Theorem 1.3,
Theorem 3.2. Suppose $u$ is a smooth solution of the uniformly elliptic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(D^{2} u, x\right)=0, \quad x \in B_{2} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $F(\cdot, \cdot)$ is smooth in both slots, and that $F(\cdot, x)$ is of twisted type for each $x \in B_{2}$. Then for every $0<\alpha<1$ we have the estimate

$$
\left\|D^{2} u\right\|_{C^{\alpha}\left(B_{1 / 2}\right)} \leqslant C
$$

where $C$ depends on $F, \alpha,\left\|D^{2} u\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{2}\right)}$.
Proof. Set

$$
N_{u}=\sup _{B_{1}} d_{x}\left|D^{3} u(x)\right|, \quad d_{x}=d\left(x, \partial B_{1}\right),
$$

and assume that the supremum is achieved at some point $x_{0} \in B_{1}$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{u}(z)=d_{x_{0}}^{-2} N_{u}^{2} u\left(x_{0}+d_{x_{0}} N_{u}^{-1} z\right)-A-A_{i} z_{i} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A, A_{i}$ are chose so that $\tilde{u}(0)=0$ and $\nabla \tilde{u}(0)=0$. The function $\tilde{u}(z)$ is defined on $B_{N_{u}}(0)$, and satisfies

$$
D^{2} \tilde{u}=D^{2} u, \quad\left\|D^{3} \tilde{u}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{N_{u}(0)}\right)}=\left|D^{3} \tilde{u}(0)\right|=1 .
$$

Furthermore, $\tilde{u}$ solves the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(D^{2} \tilde{u}, x_{0}+d_{x_{0}} N_{u}^{-1} z\right)=0, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $B_{N_{u}}(0)$.
In order to prove the theorem, we use a contradiction argument, together with this rescaling. Suppose there exists a sequence $u_{n}$ of functions on $B_{2}$ solving (3.1), and having a uniform bound with $\left\|D^{2} u_{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{2}\right)} \leqslant K$. For the sake of obtaining a contradiction, we assume that the sequence $\left\{N_{n}\right\}$ increases to $+\infty$. Let $x_{n} \in B_{1}$ be the point where $N_{n}$ is achieved. By passing to a subsequence (not relabeled) we may assume that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges to a point $x_{\infty} \in \overline{B_{1}}$. Using the above rescaling we get a sequence $\tilde{u}_{n}$ solving the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(D^{2} \tilde{u}_{n}, x_{n}+d_{x_{n}} N_{u_{n}}^{-1} z\right)=0, \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $B_{N_{n}}(0)$. Furthermore, for every fixed $k$, the functions $u_{n}, n \geqslant k$ are defined on $B_{N_{k}}(0)$ and uniformly bounded in $C^{3}\left(B_{N_{k}}(0)\right)$. Since $F$ is smooth in both slots, and uniformly elliptic, it follows from the Schauder theory that $\left\{\tilde{u}_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant k}$ are uniformly bounded in $C^{3, \alpha}\left(B_{N_{k} / 2}(0)\right)$. Since $N_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ by assumption, we may find a function $\tilde{u}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a diagonal subsequence (not relabelled) so that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ converges uniformly to $\tilde{u}$ in $C^{3, \alpha / 2}$ on compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. In particular, we have $\left|D^{3} \tilde{u}\right|(0)=1$. Furthermore, $\tilde{u}$ satisfies

$$
F\left(D^{2} \tilde{u}, x_{\infty}\right)=0
$$

Applying the Shauder theory again, we conclude that $\tilde{u} \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. But, since $F\left(\cdot, x_{\infty}\right)$ is of twisted type, Lemma 3.1 implies that $\tilde{u}$ is a quadratic polynomial, which contradicts $\left|D^{3} \tilde{u}(0)\right|=1$.

We conclude that $u$ must have $N_{u}<C$, for some $C$ depending on $F$, and $\left|D^{2} u\right|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{2}\right)}$. The theorem follows immediately.
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