ON THE YAMABE PROBLEM ON CONTACT RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

FEIFAN WU AND WEI WANG

ABSTRACT. Contact Riemannian manifolds, whose complex structures are not necessarily integrable, are generalization of pseudohermitian manifolds in CR geometry. The Tanaka-Webster-Tanno connection plays the role of the Tanaka-Webster connection of a pseudohermitian manifold. Conformal transformations and the Yamabe problem are also defined naturally in this setting. By constructing the special frames and the normal coordinates on a contact Riemannian manifold, we prove that if the complex structure is not integrable, its Yamabe invariant on a contact Riemannian manifold is always less than the Yamabe invariant of the Heisenberg group. So the Yamabe problem on a contact Riemannian manifold is always solvable.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Construction of the normal coordinates	5
2.1. The TWT connection	5
2.2. The structure equations	6
2.3. The special frame and the normal coordinates	9
2.4. Homogeneous parts of the special frame (coframe) and the connection coefficients	12
2.5. The asymptotic expansion of the special frame	15
3. The asymptotic expansion of the almost complex structure, the curvature and Tanno	
tensors	16
3.1. The Tanno tensor at point q	17
3.2. The asymptotic expansion of the almost complex structure at point q	19
3.3. The asymptotic expansion of curvature tensors	20
4. The normalized special frame	22
4.1. The transformation formulae under the conformal transformation	23
4.2. The conformal contact form with vanishing $R^{\gamma}_{\alpha \ \gamma \overline{\beta}}(q)$ and $A_{\alpha\beta}(q)$	24
5. The proof of the main theorem	26
5.1. The asymptotic expansion of the Yamabe functional	26
5.2. Calculation of some integrals	30
5.3. Calculation of constants $a_m(n)$ and $b_m(n)$	32
Appendix A. The transformation formulae	36

Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, P. R. China, Email: wesley-wufeifan08@sina.com.

Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, P. R. China, Email: wwang@zju.edu.cn. Supported by National Nature Science Foundation in China (No. 11171298).

FEIFAN WU AND WEI WANG

A.1.	The transformation formulae of the connection coefficients under the conformal transformations	36
1.0		50
A.2.	The transformation formulae of the curvature and Webster torsion tensors under	
	the conformal transformations	38
A.3.	The Covariance of R_{abcd} and A_{ab}	39
Appe	ndix B. The calculation of $a_2(n)$ and $b_2(n)$	40
B.1.	Calculation of v_2^{jk}	40
B.2.	Proof of Lemma 5.7	41
Refer	ences	44

1. INTRODUCTION

The Yamabe Problem in Riemannian Geometry was completely solved during 70's-80's (cf. [1], [12], [14], [18] and references therein). For the analogous CR Yamabe problem, Jerison and Lee proved in [9] [10] that there is a numerical CR invariant $\lambda(M)$ called the Yamabe invariant, and for any compact, strictly pseudoconvex (2n + 1)-dimensional CR manifold M, it is always less than or equal to the Yamabe invariant $\lambda(\mathcal{H}^n)$ of the Heisenberg group \mathcal{H}^n . Furthermore if $\lambda(M) < \lambda(\mathcal{H}^n)$, then M admits a pseudohermitian structure with constant scalar curvature. In [11], Jerison and Lee proved that $\lambda(M) < \lambda(\mathcal{H}^n)$ holds if n > 2 and M is not locally CR equivalent to S^{2n+1} . The remaining case was solved by Gamara and Yacoub in [6] [7]. The purpose of this paper is to solve the Yamabe problem on general contact Riemannian manifolds. This problem has already been studied by Zhang [26] by using the contact Yamabe flow.

A (2n + 1)-dimensional manifold (M, θ) is called a *contact manifold* if it has a real 1-form θ such that $\theta \land d\theta^n \neq 0$ everywhere on M. We call such θ a *contact form*. There exists a unique vector field T, the Reeb vector field, such that $\theta(T) = 1$ and $T \lrcorner d\theta = 0$. It's known that given a contact manifold (M, θ) , there are a Riemannian metric h and a (1, 1)-tensor field J on M such that

$$h(X,T) = \theta(X),$$

$$J^{2} = -Id + \theta \otimes T,$$

$$d\theta(X,Y) = h(X,JY),$$

(1.1)

for any vector field X, Y (cf. p. 278 in [3] or p. 351 in [17]). Such a metric h is said to be associated with θ , and J is called an *almost complex structure*. Given a contact form θ , once h is fixed, J is uniquely determined, and vise versa. (M, θ, h, J) is called a *contact Riemannian manifold*. $HM := Ker(\theta)$ is called the *horizontal subbudle* of the tangent bundle TM. On a contact Riemannian manifold, there exists a distinguished connection called the *Tanaka-Webster-Tanno connection* ∇ (or *TWT connection* briefly). In the CR case, this connection is exactly the Tanaka-Webster connection (cf. [16] and [22]). Tanno constructed this connection for general contact Riemannian manifolds in [17]. Since there is no obstruction to the existence of the almost complex structure J, contact Riemannian structures exist naturally on any contact manifold and analysis on it has potential applications to the geometry of contact manifolds (cf. e.g. [13], [15] and [24]).

 $\mathbf{2}$

Let $\mathbb{C}TM$ be the complexification of TM. $\mathbb{C}TM$ has a unique subbuddle $T^{(1,0)}M$ such that JX = iX for any $X \in \Gamma(T^{(1,0)}M)$. Here and in the following, $\Gamma(S)$ denotes the space of all smooth sections of a vector bundle S. Set $T^{(0,1)}M = \overline{T^{(1,0)}M}$. Then for any $X \in T^{(0,1)}M$, JX = -iX. J is called *integrable* if $[\Gamma(T^{(1,0)}M), \Gamma(T^{(1,0)}M)] \subset \Gamma(T^{(1,0)}M)$. If J is integrable, J is called a *CR structure* and (M, θ, h, J) is called a *pseudohermitian manifold*. By [17], the integrable condition holds if and only if the Tanno tensor $Q = \nabla J = 0$. In general, a contact Riemman manifold is not a CR manifold.

Under conformal transformations of a contact Riemannian manifold, which is given by

$$\widehat{\theta} = f\theta,$$

for some positive function f, we have the transformation formulae

$$(\theta, J, T, h) \to (\widehat{\theta}, \widehat{J}, \widehat{T}, \widehat{h}),$$

with $\widehat{J}, \widehat{T}, \widehat{h}$ given by

$$\widehat{T} = \frac{1}{f}(T+\zeta),$$

$$\widehat{h} = fh - f\left(\theta \otimes \omega + \omega \otimes \theta\right) + f(f-1+||\zeta||^2)\theta \otimes \theta,$$

$$\widehat{J} = J + \frac{1}{2f}\theta \otimes \left(\nabla f - T(f)T\right),$$
(1.2)

(cf. (12) in [3] or Lemma 9.1 in [17]), where $\zeta = \frac{1}{2f} J \nabla f$ and ω satisfies $\omega(X) = h(X, \zeta)$ for $X \in TM$.

The contact Riemannian Yamabe problem is that given a compact contact Riemannian manifold (M, θ, h, J) , find $\hat{\theta}$ conformal to θ such that its scalar curvature is constant. It is known that (cf. p. 337 in [3]) if we write the conformal transformation $\hat{\theta} = f\theta$ with $f = u^{2/n}$, the scalar curvature \hat{R} of the TWT connection transforms as

$$b_n \Delta_\theta u + Ru = \widehat{R} u^{(n+2)/n}, \quad b_n = 2 + \frac{2}{n},$$
(1.3)

where Δ_{θ} is the sub-laplacian. (1.3) is the contact Riemannian Yamabe equation. The Yamabe functional is defined as

$$\mathscr{Y}_{\theta,h}(u) = \frac{\int_M (p|du|_H^2 + Ru^2) dV_\theta}{(\int_M u^p dV_\theta)^{2/p}}, \quad p = b_n = 2 + \frac{2}{n},$$
(1.4)

where $|du|_H$ is the norm of the horizontal part of du and dV_{θ} is the volume form. The solutions to the Yamabe problem are critical points of the Yamabe functional $\mathscr{Y}_{\theta,h}$. The Yamabe invariant is defined by

$$\lambda(M) = \inf_{u} \mathscr{Y}_{\theta,h}(u). \tag{1.5}$$

Equivalently,

$$\lambda(M) = \inf\{A_{\theta,h}(u) : B_{\theta,h}(u) = 1\},\tag{1.6}$$

where $A_{\theta,h}(u) = \int_M (b_n |du|_H^2 + Ru^2) dV_{\theta}$, $B_{\theta,h}(u) = \int_M |u|^p dV_{\theta}$. Our main result in this paper is

Theorem 1.1. Suppose (M, θ, h, J) is a compact contact manifold of dimension 2n + 1, $n \ge 2$. If the almost complex structure J is not integrable, then $\lambda(M) < \lambda(\mathscr{H}^n)$. Here we require $n \ge 2$ because the almost complex structure on a 3-dimensional contact Riemannian manifold is automatically integrable.

Corollary 1.1. If the contact Riemannian manifold M is not integrable, then the infimum (1.5) is attained by a positive C^{∞} solution to (1.3). Thus the contact form $\hat{\theta} = u^{p-2}\theta$ has constant scalar curvature $R \equiv \lambda(M)$.

It is well-known that the function

$$\Phi(z,t) = \frac{1}{|w+i|^n}, \quad w = t+i|z|^2, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$
(1.7)

is an extremal for the Yamabe functional on the Heisenberg group (cf. [10]). For each $\varepsilon > 0$, $\Phi^{\varepsilon} := \varepsilon^{-n} \delta_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}^* \Phi = \varepsilon^n |w + i\varepsilon^2|^{-n}$ is also an extremal. As in CR case [11], we use the test function

$$f^{\varepsilon}(z,t) = \psi(w)\Phi^{\varepsilon}(z,t),$$

to calculate the asymptotic expansion for $\mathscr{Y}_{\theta,h}(f^{\varepsilon})$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$, where $\psi \in C_0^{\infty}(M)$ is supported in the set $\{|w| < 2\kappa\}$ and $\psi(w) = 1$ for $|w| < \kappa$ for $\kappa > 0$.

To solve the CR Yamabe problem, Jerision and Lee constructed the pseudohermitian normal coordinates by parabolic geodesics and parabolic exponential map in [11]. On a contact Riemannian manifold, in Section 2, we also have the parabolic geodesics analogous to the CR case. For a fixed point q, the parabolic geodesics induce a natural map from T_qM to M, called the parabolic exponential map. The Reeb vector T is automatically parallel along the parabolic geodesics. Choosing a basis $\{W_{\alpha;q}\}_{\alpha=1}^n$ of the complex vector space $T_q^{(1,0)}M$ and its conjugation $\{W_{\bar{\alpha};q}\}$ of $T_q^{(0,1)}M$, and extending them by parallel translation along the parabolic geodesics, together with $W_0 = T$, we get a special frame in a neighborhood of q. The normal coordinates is the coordinates with respect to this special frame.

In the CR case, the complex structure is preserved under the parallel translation, and so $T^{(1,0)}M$ and $T^{(0,1)}M$ are preserved. But on a general contact Riemannian manifold, the complex structure is not preserved under the parallel translation. Namely, the special frame $\{W_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha=1}^{n}$ is not a $T^{(1,0)}M$ frame even if it is a basis of $T_q^{(1,0)}M$ at point q. This is our main difficulty.

With the normal coordinates, following the method in [11], the asymptotic expansion of $\mathscr{Y}_{\theta,h}(f^{\varepsilon})$ can be calculated explicitly by using certain invariants at the origin. These invariants are constructed by the curvature, Webster torsion and Tanno tensors. In the CR case, besides the first term, the first nonzero term of the Yamabe functional $\mathscr{Y}_{\theta,h}(f^{\varepsilon})$ is $O(\varepsilon^4)$. Because our frame $\{W_a\}$ is not holomorphic or anti-holomorphic, our expansion of $\mathscr{Y}_{\theta,h}(f^{\varepsilon})$ is much more complicated than that in the CR case. Notably, we have to expand the almost complex structure J asymptotically near q. While in the CR case, J is constant. But fortunately, if the Tanno tensor is nonvanishing at point q, the second-order term of the Yamabe functional $\mathscr{Y}_{\theta,h}(f^{\varepsilon})$ is already nonzero. This makes the calculation easier than we expected. The Tanno tensor plays an important role in the analysis of contact Riemannian manifolds (see also [24]).

In Section 3, we construct the invariant

$$\mathfrak{Q} = \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} |Q_{\alpha\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}}(q)|^2,$$

where $Q_{\alpha\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}}$ is the components of the Tanno tensor with respect to a special frame. The Tanno tensor is nonzero at point q if and only if \mathfrak{Q} is strictly positive at this point. In Section 4, as in the CR case in Section 3 in [11], for a fixed contact form θ , we can make certain components of the curvature tensor $R_{\alpha\gamma\bar{\beta}}^{\gamma}(q)$ and the Webster torsion tensor vanish at point q after a suitable conformal transformation. This will make our calculation easier.

In Section 5, we calculate the asymptotic expansion for $\mathscr{Y}_{\theta,h}(f^{\varepsilon})$ explicitly. By the preparation in Section 3 and Section 4, we finally find

$$\mathscr{Y}_{\theta,h}(f^{\varepsilon}) = \lambda(\mathscr{H}^n) \left(1 - \frac{3n-1}{12(n-1)n(n+1)} \mathfrak{Q}\varepsilon^2 \right) + O(\varepsilon^3).$$
(1.8)

So if the complex structure is not integrable, we prove the main theorem.

In Appendix A, we discuss the transformation formulae of the connection coefficients, the Webster torsion tensor and curvature tensors under the conformal transformations, and the covariance of the Webster torsion and curvature tensors, which is used in Section 4. In Appendix B, we give the details of the calculation of the second-order terms of the Yamabe functional $\mathscr{Y}_{\theta,h}(f^{\varepsilon})$.

Besides the Yamabe problem on Riemmanian manifolds, CR manifolds, and contact Riemannian manifolds, there is also the Yamabe problem on quaternionic contact manifolds (cf. [8], [20] and references therein). It is interesting to find the asymptotic expansion of this Yamabe functional. Another interesting problem is to find the asymptotic expansion of the Yamabe-type functional on differential forms [21].

2. Construction of the normal coordinates

2.1. The TWT connection.

Proposition 2.1. (cf. (7)-(9) in [3]) On a contact Riemannian manifold (M, θ, h, J) , there exists a unique linear connection ∇ such that

$$\nabla \theta = 0, \quad \nabla T = 0,
\nabla h = 0,
\tau(X, Y) = 2d\theta(X, Y)T, \quad X, Y \in \Gamma(HM),
\tau(T, JZ) = -J\tau(T, Z), \quad Z \in \Gamma(TM),$$
(2.1)

where τ is the torsion of ∇ , i.e. $\tau(X,Y) = \nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X - [X,Y]$ for $X,Y \in \Gamma(TM)$.

This connection is called the *TWT connection*. The (1,2)-tensor field Q defined by

$$Q(X,Y) := (\nabla_Y J)X, \quad X, Y \in \Gamma(TM), \tag{2.2}$$

is called the *Tanno tensor* (cf. (10) in [3]). Tanno proved that a contact Riemannian manifold is a CR manifold if and only if $Q \equiv 0$ (cf. Proposition 2.1 in [17]). The curvature tensor of TWT connection is $R(X, Y) = \nabla_X \nabla_Y - \nabla_Y \nabla_X - \nabla_{[X,Y]}$. The Ricci tensor of the TWT connection is defined by $Ric(Y, Z) = tr\{X \longrightarrow R(X, Z)Y\}$, for any $X, Y, Z \in TM$. The scalar curvature is R = tr(Ric). We extend h, J and ∇ to the complexified tangent bundle by \mathbb{C} -linear extension:

$$h(X_1 + iY_1, X_2 + iY_2) := h(X_1, X_2) - h(Y_1, Y_2) + i(h(X_1, Y_2) + h(X_2, Y_1)),$$

$$J(X_1 + iY_1) := JX_1 + iJY_1,$$

$$\nabla_{(X_1 + iY_1)}(X_2 + iY_2) := \nabla_{X_1}X_2 - \nabla_{Y_1}Y_2 + i(\nabla_{X_1}Y_2 + \nabla_{Y_1}X_2),$$
(2.3)

for any $Z_j = X_j + iY_j \in \mathbb{C}TM, \ j = 1, 2.$

Corollary 2.1. The Riemannian metric h, the complex structure J, the TWT connection, the torsion and curvature tensors are preserved under the complex conjugation, i.e.,

$$\overline{h(Z_1, Z_2)} = h(\overline{Z_1}, \overline{Z_2}), \quad J\overline{Z_1} = \overline{JZ_1}, \quad \overline{\nabla_{Z_1}Z_2} = \nabla_{\overline{Z_1}}\overline{Z_2}$$
$$\overline{\tau(Z_1, Z_2)} = \tau(\overline{Z_1}, \overline{Z_2}), \quad \overline{R(Z_1, Z_2)Z_3} = R(\overline{Z_1}, \overline{Z_2})\overline{Z_3},$$

for any $Z_1, Z_2, Z_3 \in \mathbb{C}TM$.

Proof. For $Z_1, Z_2, Z_3 \in \mathbb{C}TM$, h, J and ∇ are preserved under the complex conjugation follows from the definition of the extension (2.3).

It's apparent that $\overline{[Z_1, Z_2]} = \overline{[Z_1, Z_2]}$. Since we already have ∇ are preserved under the complex conjugation, so by the definitions of τ and R, $\tau(Z_1, Z_2) = \nabla_{Z_1} Z_2 - \nabla_{Z_2} Z_1 - [Z_1, Z_2]$ and $R = \nabla_{Z_1} \nabla_{Z_2} Z_3 - \nabla_{Z_2} \nabla_{Z_1} Z_3 - \nabla_{[Z_1, Z_2]} Z_3$ are also preserved under the complex conjugation. \Box

2.2. The structure equations.

Notation 2.1. In this paper, from now on, we adopt the following index conventions:

$$\begin{split} &a, b, c, d, e, \dots \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2n\}, \\ &j, k, l, r, s, \dots \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2n\}, \\ &\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho, \lambda, \mu, \dots \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \\ &\bar{\alpha} = \alpha + n. \end{split}$$

The order of index j is defined to be o(j) = 2 if j = 0, and o(j) = 1 otherwise. For a multi-index $J = (j_1, \dots, j_s)$, we denote $\sharp J = s$, $o(J) = o(j_1) + \dots + o(j_s)$, $x^J = x^{j_1} \cdots x^{j_s}$, $Z_J = Z_{j_s} \cdots Z_{j_1}$, and $\partial_J = \partial^s / \partial x^{j_s} \cdots \partial x^{j_1}$.

In this subsection, we consider the structure equations with respect to a general frame $\{W_j\}$, where $\{W_a\}$ are horizontal and $W_0 = T$ is the Reeb vector field. Let U_q be a neighborhood of a point q where this frame is defined. It's easy to see that $h(T,T) = \theta(T) = 1$ and $h(W_a,T) = \theta(W_a) = 0$ by (1.1). In horizontal space, we set $h(W_a, W_b) = h_{ab}$ and using h_{ab} and its inverse matrix to lower and raise indices. And the Einstein summation convention will be used.

Let $\{\theta^j\}$ be the coframe dual to $\{W_j\}$. Write $\nabla W_j = \omega_j^k \otimes W_k$, with the TWT-connection 1-forms $\omega_j^k = \Gamma_{ij}^k \theta^i$. For the almost complex structure J, we write $J = J_k^l \theta^k \otimes W_l$ or equivalently $JW_k = J_k^l W_l$.

Proposition 2.2.

$$\omega_0^k = 0, \quad \omega_j^0 = 0, \quad \Gamma_{i0}^k = 0, \quad \Gamma_{ij}^0 = 0, J_0^k = 0, \quad J_j^0 = 0, \quad J_{ab} = -J_{ba}.$$
(2.4)

Proof. $\omega_0^k = 0$ follows from $\nabla T = 0$. And by $\theta(\nabla X) = 0$ for any $X \in HM$, we have $\omega_a^0 = 0$. $\omega_j^0 = 0$ follows. $\Gamma_{i0}^k = 0$ and $\Gamma_{ij}^0 = 0$ follows from $\omega_0^k = 0$ and $\omega_j^0 = 0$, respectively. Note that (1.1) implies some useful relations (cf. p. 351 in [17]),

$$JT = 0, \quad \theta(JX) = 0,$$

$$h(X,Y) = h(JX,JY) + \theta(X)\theta(Y), \quad d\theta(X,JY) = -d\theta(JX,Y),$$
(2.5)

for any $X, Y \in TM$. JT = 0 implies $J_0^k = 0$, and $\theta(JW_j) = 0$ in (2.5) implies $J_j^0 = 0$. Since

$$h(W_a, JW_b) = h(W_a, J^c_{\ b}W_c) = h_{ac}J^c_{\ b} = J_{ab},$$
(2.6)

holds by $h(X, JY) = d\theta(X, Y) = -d\theta(Y, X) = -h(Y, JX)$, for any $X, Y \in TM$, we get $J_{ab} =$ $h(W_a, JW_b) = -h(W_b, JW_a) = -J_{ba}.$ \square

The Webster torsion is defined by

$$\tau_*(X) = \tau(T, X), \quad X \in TM,$$

(cf. p. 279 in [3]). We have the following lemma for the Webster torsion.

Lemma 2.1. Let (M, θ, h, J) be a contact Riemannian manifold and T be the Reeb vector. Then:

(1) (cf. Lemma 1 in [3]) (a) $\tau_*(T) = 0$, (b) $\tau_* \circ J + J \circ \tau_* = 0$, (c) $\tau_* TM \subset HM$, (d) $\tau_* T^{(1,0)} M \subset T^{(0,1)} M$, $\tau_* T^{(0,1)} M \subset T^{(1,0)} M$.

(2) (cf. Lemma 3 in [3]) The Webster torsion τ_* is self-adjoint, i.e. $h(\tau_*X, Y) = h(X, \tau_*Y)$ for any $X, Y \in TM$.

By (c) in Lemma 2.1 (1), we can write $\tau_*(W_a) = A_a^b W_b$. And we define $\tau^a := A_b^a \theta^b$. We also write $R(W_k, W_l)W_j = \nabla_{W_k}\nabla_{W_l}W_j - \nabla_{W_l}\nabla_{W_k}W_j - \nabla_{[W_k, W_l]}W_j = R_{j\ kl}^{\ s}W_s$, for the components of the curvature tensor.

Recall that we have the following identities for exterior derivatives

$$\phi \wedge \psi(X,Y) = \frac{1}{2} \bigg(\phi(X)\psi(Y) - \psi(X)\phi(Y) \bigg),$$

$$X \lrcorner (\phi \wedge \psi) = 2(\phi \wedge \psi)(X, \cdot) = \phi(X)\psi - \psi(X)\phi,$$

$$2(d\phi)(X,Y) = X(\phi(Y)) - Y(\phi(X)) - \phi([X,Y]) = (\nabla_X \phi)Y - (\nabla_Y \phi)X + \phi(\tau(X,Y)),$$
(2.7)

where ϕ and ψ is any 1-form. The Lie derivation of a differential form ϕ is given by

$$\mathscr{L}_X \phi = X \lrcorner d\phi + d(X \lrcorner \phi). \tag{2.8}$$

Note that here we use the definition of the exterior derivative with a factor $\frac{1}{2}$. The reason we use this definition is that the *n*-form defined is this way has the property that $dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n$ equals to the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^n . We may refer to Section 4 in [2] for these identities.

Proposition 2.3. With J_{ab} , A_{ab} , $R_{a\ cd}^{\ b}$ defined as above, we have the following structure equations.

$$\begin{aligned} d\theta &= J_{\alpha\beta}\theta^{\alpha} \wedge \theta^{\beta} + 2J_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\theta^{\alpha} \wedge \theta^{\bar{\beta}} + J_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}\theta^{\bar{\alpha}} \wedge \theta^{\bar{\beta}}, \\ d\theta^{a} &= \theta^{b} \wedge \omega_{b}^{a} + \theta \wedge \tau^{a} = \theta^{b} \wedge \omega_{b}^{a} + A_{b}^{a}\theta \wedge \theta^{b}, \\ d\omega_{a}^{b} - \omega_{a}^{c} \wedge \omega_{c}^{b} &= R_{a}^{\ b}{}_{\lambda\bar{\mu}}\theta^{\lambda} \wedge \theta^{\bar{\mu}} + \frac{1}{2}R_{a}^{\ b}{}_{\lambda\mu}\theta^{\lambda} \wedge \theta^{\mu} + \frac{1}{2}R_{a}^{\ b}{}_{\bar{\lambda}\bar{\mu}}\theta^{\bar{\lambda}} \wedge \theta^{\bar{\mu}} + R_{a}^{\ b}{}_{0\bar{\mu}}\theta \wedge \theta^{\bar{\mu}} - R_{a}^{\ b}{}_{\lambda 0}\theta \wedge \theta^{\lambda}, \\ R(X,Y)W_{a} &= 2(d\omega_{a}^{b} - \omega_{a}^{c} \wedge \omega_{c}^{b})(X,Y)W_{b}. \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.9)$$

Proof. By (1.1) and (2.6), we have $d\theta(W_{\alpha}, W_{\beta}) = h(W_{\alpha}, JW_{\beta}) = J_{\alpha\beta}, \ d\theta(W_{\alpha}, W_{\bar{\beta}}) = J_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}, \ d\theta(W_{\bar{\alpha}}, W_{\bar{\beta}}) = J_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}.$ We also have $d\theta(T, \cdot) \equiv 0$. So the first identity in (2.9) follows.

Substituting $\phi = \theta^a$, $X = W_c$ and $Y = W_d$ in (2.7), by $(\nabla_X \phi)Y = X(\phi(Y)) - \phi(\nabla_X Y)$ for any 1-forms ϕ , we get

$$2d\theta^{a}(W_{c}, W_{d}) = (\nabla_{W_{c}}\theta^{a})W_{d} - (\nabla_{W_{d}}\theta^{a})W_{c} + \theta^{a}(\tau(W_{c}, W_{d})) = -\theta^{a}(\nabla_{W_{c}}W_{d}) + \theta^{a}(\nabla_{W_{d}}W_{c})$$
$$= -\Gamma^{a}_{cd} + \Gamma^{a}_{dc} = 2(\theta^{b} \wedge \omega^{a}_{b} + A^{a}_{b}\theta \wedge \theta^{b})(W_{c}, W_{d}),$$

by (2.1) and (2.7). And similarly we get

$$2d\theta^{a}(T, W_{d}) = (\nabla_{T}\theta^{a})W_{d} - (\nabla_{W_{d}}\theta^{a})T + \theta^{a}(\tau(T, W_{d})) = -\theta^{a}(\nabla_{T}W_{d}) + A_{d}^{a}$$
$$= -\Gamma_{0d}^{a} + A_{d}^{a} = 2\left(\theta^{b} \wedge \omega_{b}^{a} + A_{b}^{a}\theta \wedge \theta^{b}\right)(T, W_{d}).$$

So the second identity in (2.9) holds.

For the fourth identity of (2.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} R(X,Y)W_a &= \nabla_X \nabla_Y W_a - \nabla_Y \nabla_X W_a - \nabla_{[X,Y]} W_a \\ &= \nabla_X (\omega_a^b(Y)W_b) - \nabla_Y (\omega_a^b(X)W_b) - \omega_a^b([X,Y])W_b \\ &= X(\omega_a^b(Y))W_b - Y(\omega_a^b(X))W_b - \omega_a^b([X,Y])W_b + \omega_a^b(Y)\omega_b^c(X)W_c - \omega_a^b(X)\omega_b^c(Y)W_c \\ &= 2(d\omega_a^b - \omega_a^c \wedge \omega_c^b)(X,Y)W_b, \end{aligned}$$

by the definition of curvatures and (2.7). The third identity of (2.9) follows by applying both sides to $X = W_j$, $Y = W_k$ in the fourth identity of (2.9).

Remark 2.1. Note that the structure equations (13), (14) and (39) in [3] are the special case of (2.9) with respect to a $T^{(1,0)}M$ -frame.

Consequently, we have

$$R_{a\ cd}^{\ b} = 2(d\omega_{a}^{b})(W_{c}, W_{d}) - 2\omega_{a}^{e} \wedge \omega_{e}^{b}(W_{c}, W_{d})$$

$$= (\nabla_{W_{c}}\omega_{a}^{b})(W_{d}) - (\nabla_{W_{d}}\omega_{a}^{b})(W_{c}) + \omega_{a}^{b}(\tau(W_{c}, W_{d})) - \Gamma_{ca}^{e}\Gamma_{de}^{b} + \Gamma_{da}^{e}\Gamma_{ce}^{b}$$

$$= W_{c}\Gamma_{da}^{b} - W_{d}\Gamma_{ca}^{b} - \omega_{a}^{b}(\nabla_{W_{c}}W_{d}) + \omega_{a}^{b}(\nabla_{W_{d}}W_{c}) + \omega_{a}^{b}(2h(W_{c}, JW_{d})T) - \Gamma_{ca}^{e}\Gamma_{de}^{b} + \Gamma_{da}^{e}\Gamma_{ce}^{b}$$

$$= W_{c}\Gamma_{da}^{b} - W_{d}\Gamma_{ca}^{b} - \Gamma_{cd}^{e}\Gamma_{ea}^{b} + \Gamma_{dc}^{e}\Gamma_{ea}^{b} - \Gamma_{ca}^{e}\Gamma_{de}^{b} + \Gamma_{da}^{e}\Gamma_{ce}^{b} + 2\Gamma_{0a}^{b}J_{cd}, \qquad (2.10)$$

by (2.1), (2.7) and the fourth identity in (2.9).

2.3. The special frame and the normal coordinates. $\mathscr{H}^n = \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ with coordinates x = (z, t) has the structure of the Heisenberg group. The Heisenberg norm is $|x| = (|z|^4 + t^2)^{1/4}$. Here we choose the contact form $\Theta = dt - iz^{\alpha}dz^{\bar{\alpha}} + iz^{\bar{\alpha}}dz^{\alpha}$ on \mathscr{H}^n and set $\Theta^{\alpha} = dz^{\alpha}$. Their dual are

$$Z_0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \qquad Z_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\alpha} - i z^{\bar{\alpha}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$$

On \mathscr{H}^n , the orbit of the the parabolic dilation is a parabola through $0 \in \mathscr{H}^n$. Recall that in the Riemannian geometry, the classical exponential map sends radial lines in the tangent space to geodesics. Similarly, in the CR geometry, Jerison and Lee [11] defined the parabolic exponential map, which sends a parabola in the tangent space to a parabolic geodesic. In a contact Riemannian manifold, the parabolic exponential map can be defined in the same way as in the CR case. A smooth curve $\gamma(s)$ in a contact Riemannian manifold M is a *parabolic geodesic* if it satisfies ODE:

$$\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}\dot{\gamma} = 2cT,\tag{2.11}$$

for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$, where ∇ is the TWT connection and T is the Reeb vector field. We have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.4. Let (M, θ, h, J) be a contact Riemannian manifold and $q \in M$. For any $W \in H_q M$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\gamma = \gamma_{W,c}$ denote the solution to the ODE (2.11) with initial conditions $\gamma(0) = q$ and $\dot{\gamma}(0) = W$. We call γ the parabolic geodesic determined by W and c. Define the parabolic exponential map $\Psi : T_q M \to M$ by

$$\Psi(W + cT) = \gamma_{W,c}(1).$$

Then Ψ maps a neighborhood of 0 in $T_q M$ diffeomorphically to a neighborhood of q in M, and sends $sW + s^2 cT$ to $\gamma_{W,c}(s)$.

Proof. The proof is the same as that in the CR case (Theorem 2.1 in [11]) since the integrability of J is not used. Choosing a coordinate $\{x^i\}$ centered at q, we let Γ_{ij}^k denote the Christoffel symbols of the TWT connection in these coordinates, i.e. $\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} = \Gamma_{ij}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}$. ODE (2.11) can be written as

$$\ddot{\gamma}^k(s) = -\Gamma^k_{ij}(\gamma(s))\dot{\gamma}^i(s)\dot{\gamma}^j(s) + 2cT^k(\gamma(s)), \qquad (2.12)$$

where $\dot{\gamma}^k(s) = \frac{dx^k}{ds}(\gamma(s))$, $\ddot{\gamma}^k(s) = \frac{d^2x^k}{ds^2}(\gamma(s))$ and $T^k = dx^k(T)$ in these coordinates. This proposition follows from the uniqueness of the solution to this ODEs and smooth dependence of the solution on the parameters.

A vector field $X \in M$ is called *parallel* along a curve $\gamma(s)$ if it satisfies

 $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} X = 0.$

Proposition 2.5. Suppose X is a vector field defined in a neighborhood of q in M which is parallel along each curve $\gamma_{W,c}$. Then X is smooth near q.

This proposition can be proved in the same way as Lemma 2.2 in [11] since the integrability of J is not used. Choosing coordinates $\{x^i\}$ centered at q, we can write $X = X^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}$ for some functions X_j . For each curve $\gamma_{W,c}(s)$, we write $\xi^j(s, W, c) = X^j(\gamma_{W,c}(s))$. Then the differential equation $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(s)}X = 0$ becomes:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\xi^{j}(s, W, c) = -\Gamma^{j}_{kl}(\gamma_{W,c}(s))\dot{\gamma}^{k}_{W,c}(s)\xi^{l}(s, W, c),$$

with initial condition $\xi^{j}(0, W, c) = X^{j}(0)$. X is smooth since the solutions to this ODEs depend smoothly on parameters.

As introduced before, the complexification of the tangent space $\mathbb{C}T_qM$ at point q has a unique subbuddle $T_q^{(1,0)}M$ such that JX = iX for any $X \in T_q^{(1,0)}M$. Set $T_q^{(0,1)}M = \overline{T_q^{(1,0)}M}$, and for any $X \in T_q^{(0,1)}M$, JX = -iX. Furthermore, we choose an orthonormal basis of the horizontal space with respect to the metric h at point q as in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. We can choose $W_{\alpha;q} \in T_q^{(1,0)}M$ and $W_{\bar{\alpha};q} := \overline{W_{\alpha;q}} \in T_q^{(0,1)}M$, such that $h(W_{\alpha;q}, W_{\bar{\beta};q}) = \delta_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}, \quad h(W_{\alpha;q}, W_{\beta;q}) = 0.$

Proof. Choose a real vector $\{X_1\}$ on H_qM such that $h(X_1, X_1) = 2$ and set $X_{n+1} := JX_1$. Then by $h(X_1, X_{n+1}) = h(X_1, JX_1) = d\theta(X_1, X_1) = 0$ and $h(X_{n+1}, X_{n+1}) = h(JX_1, JX_1) = h(X_1, X_1) = 2$, X_{n+1} is orthogonal to X_1 . We can choose X_2 orthogonal to $span\{X_1, JX_1\}$, and define $X_{n+2} := JX_2$. Repeating the procedure, we can choose an orthogonal basis X_1, \dots, X_{2n} with $h(X_a, X_b) = 2\delta_{ab}$ and $JX_\alpha = X_{\alpha+n}$. Now define

$$W_{\alpha;q} := \frac{1}{2} (X_{\alpha} - iX_{\alpha+n}) = \frac{1}{2} (X_{\alpha} - iJX_{\alpha}), \quad W_{\bar{\alpha};q} = \overline{W_{\alpha;q}}.$$
 (2.13)

We see that $W_{\alpha;q} \in T_q^{(1,0)}M$ and $W_{\bar{\alpha};q} \in T_q^{(0,1)}M$. Then by (2.13) and \mathbb{C} -linear extension (2.3), we have $h(W_{\alpha;q}, W_{\bar{\beta};q}) = \frac{1}{4}h(X_{\alpha} - iX_{\alpha+n}, X_{\beta} + iX_{\beta+n}) = \frac{1}{4}(h(X_{\alpha}, X_{\beta}) + h(X_{\alpha+n}, X_{\beta+n})) = \delta_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$ and $h(W_{\alpha;q}, W_{\beta;q}) = 0$.

We extend $\{W_{\alpha;q}\}$ by parallel translation along each parabola $\gamma_{W,c}$, i.e. $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}W_{\alpha} = 0$. Let $W_{\bar{\alpha}} = \overline{W_{\alpha}}$, so $W_{\bar{\alpha}}$ is also parallel along $\gamma_{W,c}$. T is automatically parallel along each curve $\gamma_{W,c}$ by $\nabla T = 0$ in (2.1). Since every point in some punctured neighborhood U near q is on a unique $\gamma_{W,c}$, the frame $\{W_{\alpha}, W_{\bar{\alpha}}, T\}$ is well-defined and smooth near q by Proposition 2.5. We call such a frame a *special frame*.

Let $\{\theta^{\beta}, \theta^{\bar{\beta}}, \theta\}$ denote the coframe dual to $\{W_{\alpha}, W_{\bar{\alpha}}, T\}$, i.e., $\theta^{\beta}(W_{\alpha}) = \delta^{\beta}_{\alpha}, \theta^{\beta}(W_{\bar{\alpha}}) = \theta^{\beta}(T) = 0$, and $\theta(W_{\alpha}) = \theta(W_{\bar{\alpha}}) = 0, \theta(T) = 1$. From now on we denote

$$W_0 := T, \quad \theta^0 := \theta.$$

Since $\nabla T = 0$, we have $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} W_k = 0$. So $0 = \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} (\theta^j (W_k)) = (\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \theta^j) (W_k) + \theta^j (\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} W_k) = (\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \theta^j) (W_k)$ holds for each geodesic $\gamma(s)$. Namely, $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \theta^j = 0$ along each γ , so $\{\theta^{\alpha}, \theta^{\bar{\alpha}}, \theta\}$ is also parallel along each γ . We call such a coframe a *special coframe*. Define an isomorphism $\iota : T_q M \to \mathscr{H}^n$ by $\iota(V) = (\theta^{\alpha}(V), \theta^{\bar{\alpha}}(V), \theta(V)) = (z^{\alpha}, z^{\bar{\alpha}}, t)$, which determines a coordinate chart $\iota \circ \Psi^{-1}$ in a neighborhood of q. We call this chart the normal coordinates determined by $\{W_{\alpha}, W_{\bar{\alpha}}, T\}$.

Remark 2.2. (1) In the CR case, Jerison and Lee chose a $T^{(1,0)}M$ -frame at q with norm $h(W_{\alpha;q}, W_{\bar{\beta};q}) = 2\delta_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}, h(W_{\alpha;q}, W_{\beta;q}) = 0$ to construct a special frame. This is because they

used the structure equation $d\theta = ih_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\theta^{\alpha} \wedge \theta^{\bar{\beta}}$ (cf. p. 307 in [11]). But here in contact Riemannian case, we follow [3] to use the structure equation $d\theta = -2ih_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\theta^{\alpha} \wedge \theta^{\bar{\beta}}$ (cf. (13) in [3]) for a $T^{(1,0)}M$ -frame at q. That's why we choose a $T^{(1,0)}M$ -frame at q with norm as Lemma 2.2 to construct a special frame.

(2) By Corollary 2.1, since $W_{\bar{\alpha}} = \overline{W_{\alpha}}$ holds for our special frame, the complex conjugation can be reflected in the indices of the components of ω_a^b , h_{ab} , $J^b_{\ a}$, A_{ab} , R_{abcd} and their covariant derivations, e.g.,

$$\overline{\omega_{\alpha}^{\bar{\beta}}} = \omega_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\beta}, \quad \overline{J_{\ \alpha}^{\beta}} = J_{\ \bar{\alpha}}^{\bar{\beta}}, \quad \overline{h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}} = h_{\bar{\alpha}\beta}$$

Proposition 2.6. A special frame $\{W_i\}$ is parallel along each parabolic geodesic and satisfies

$$h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \delta_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}, \quad h_{\alpha\beta} = 0,$$

$$J^{\beta}_{\ \alpha}(q) = i\delta^{\beta}_{\alpha}, \quad J^{\bar{\beta}}_{\ \alpha}(q) = 0,$$

$$J_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}(q) = -i\delta_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}, \quad J_{\alpha\beta}(q) = 0, \quad J^{\bar{\beta}}_{\ \alpha} = -J^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\ \beta}.$$
(2.14)

Proof. By $\nabla h = 0$, we see that

$$\frac{d}{ds}(h_{ab}(\gamma(s))) = h(\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}W_a, W_b) + h(W_a, \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}W_b) = 0,$$

along each γ . So $h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \equiv \delta_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$ and $h_{\alpha\beta} \equiv 0$ hold near q.

 $J^{\beta}_{\alpha}(q) = i\delta^{\beta}_{\alpha}$ and $J^{\bar{\beta}}_{\alpha}(q) = 0$ follows from Lemma 2.2 by our choice of the special frame at q. Then by (2.6), $J_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}(q) = h_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}}J^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\ \bar{\beta}}(q) = -i\delta_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$ and $J_{\alpha\beta}(q) = h_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}}J^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\ \beta}(q) = 0$ hold. For the last identity in (2.14), we have $J^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\ \beta} = h_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}}J^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\ \beta} = J_{\alpha\beta} = -J_{\beta\alpha} = -h_{\beta\bar{\gamma}}J^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\ \alpha} = -J^{\bar{\beta}}_{\ \alpha}$ by $h_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}} = \delta_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}}$ and the anti-symmetry of J_{ab} in Proposition 2.2.

Remark 2.3. Recall that when (M, θ, h, J) is a CR manifold, $Q = \nabla J = 0$, and so J is also parallel along each parabolic geodesic. Hence (J^b_a) is a constant matrix near q (see Proposition 2.3 in [11]). But on a contact Riemannian manifold (M, θ, h, J) , $Q = \nabla J$ may not vanish. So J^b_a may not be constant near q. We only know that $J^{\beta}_{\alpha}(q) = i\delta^{\beta}_{\alpha}$ and $J^{\bar{\beta}}_{\alpha}(q) = 0$ at the point q.

The following corollary follows from Lemma 2.1.

Corollary 2.2. With respect to a special frame, we have

$$A^{\beta}_{\alpha}(q) = 0, \quad A_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}(q) = 0, \quad A_{ab} = A_{ba}$$

The parabolic dilations in this coordinate (z,t) is $\delta_s(z,t) = (sz, s^2t)$ for s > 0, the generator of the parabolic dilation is the vector field

$$P_{(z,t)} = z^{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\alpha}} + z^{\bar{\alpha}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\bar{\alpha}}} + 2t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}.$$
 (2.15)

A tensor field φ is called *homogeneous of degree* m if $\mathscr{L}_P \varphi = m\varphi$. For any tensor φ , we denote $\varphi_{(m)}$ as the part of its Taylor's series that is homogeneous of degree m in terms of the parabolic dilations. So $\mathscr{L}_P \varphi_{(m)} = m\varphi_{(m)}$. If φ is a differential form,

$$\varphi_{(m)} = \frac{1}{m} (P \lrcorner d\varphi + d(P \lrcorner \varphi))_{(m)}, \qquad (2.16)$$

FEIFAN WU AND WEI WANG

by Cartan's formula (2.8). For example, z^a , dz^a is homogeneous of degree 1. $z^0 = t$, dt and Θ is homogeneous of degree 2. $\frac{\partial}{\partial z^a}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ is homogeneous of degree -1 and -2, respectively. With respect to a normal coordinate, we define the vector fields by

$$Z_{\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\alpha}} - i z^{\bar{\alpha}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, \cdots, n, \quad Z_{0} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t},$$
(2.17)

and their dual

$$\Theta^{\alpha} = dz^{\alpha}, \quad \Theta^{\bar{\alpha}} = dz^{\bar{\alpha}}, \quad \Theta = dt - iz^{\alpha} dz^{\bar{\alpha}} + iz^{\bar{\alpha}} dz^{\alpha}.$$
(2.18)

Hence Z_{α} and Z_0 are homogeneous of degree -1 and degree -2, respectively. Namely Z_j is homogeneous of degree -o(j).

Remark 2.4. In this paper, if indices α and $\bar{\alpha}$ both appear in low (or upper) indices, then the index α will be taken summation, e.g.

$$\Theta = dt - iz^{\alpha}dz^{\bar{\alpha}} + iz^{\bar{\alpha}}dz^{\alpha} = dt - i\sum_{\alpha}z^{\alpha}dz^{\bar{\alpha}} + i\sum_{\alpha}z^{\bar{\alpha}}dz^{\alpha}$$

Theorem 2.1. On a contact Riemannian manifold (M, θ, h, J) , suppose F is a smooth function defined near q. Then with respect to the normal coordinates, for any m, we have

$$F_{(m)}(x) = \sum_{o(K)=m} \frac{1}{(\sharp K)!} z^K Z_K F(q).$$
(2.19)

The notations of the multi-index are defined as in Notation 2.1.

This theorem can be proved exactly in the same way as Lemma 3.10 in [11] since the integrability of J is not used here.

2.4. Homogeneous parts of the special frame (coframe) and the connection coefficients. As in the CR case in [11], there exists a simple relation between the Euler vector field P and the special coframe.

Lemma 2.3. With respect to the normal coordinates $(z^{\alpha}, z^{\overline{\alpha}}, t)$, we have

$$\theta(P) = 2t, \quad \theta^a(P) = z^a, \quad \omega^a_b(P) = 0, \tag{2.20}$$

where P is the Euler vector field. Equivalently, $P = z^{\alpha}W_{\alpha} + z^{\bar{\alpha}}W_{\bar{\alpha}} + 2tT$.

Proof. This lemma can be proved in the same way as Lemma 2.4 in [11] since the integrability of J is not used. We mention it briefly. We need to show that (2.20) holds along each parabolic geodesic $\gamma_{W,c}$. Fix a vector W + cT at q with $W \in H_q M$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$, we write $W = w^a W_{a;q}$. In these coordinates, the parabolic geodesic $\gamma = \gamma_{W,c}$ is given explicitly by

$$(z^a, t) = \gamma(s) = (sw^a, s^2c),$$

by Proposition 2.4. Note that by the definition (2.15), $P_{\gamma(s)} = P_{(sw^a,s^2c)} = \sum_a sw^a \frac{\partial}{\partial z^a} + 2s^2 c \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$. Then by explicit computation $\dot{\gamma}(s) = \sum_a w^a \frac{\partial}{\partial z^a} + 2sc \frac{\partial}{\partial t} = s^{-1}P_{\gamma(s)}$ for $s \neq 0$. Along γ , by $\nabla \theta = 0$, we have

$$\frac{d}{ds}\left(\theta(\dot{\gamma}(s))\right) = \theta(\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}\dot{\gamma}(s)) = \theta(2cT) = 2c,$$

and so $\theta(\dot{\gamma}(0)) = 0$, $\theta(\dot{\gamma}(s)) = 2cs$. Then $\theta(P) = \theta(s\dot{\gamma}(s)) = 2s^2c = 2t$. Similarly by using $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}\theta^a = 0$,

$$\frac{d}{ds}\theta^a(\dot{\gamma}(s)) = \theta^a(\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}\dot{\gamma}(s)) = \theta^a(2cT) = 0.$$

Note that $\theta^a(\dot{\gamma}(0)) = \theta^a(W) = w^a$. We get $\theta^a(\dot{\gamma}(s)) = w^a$ all along γ . So $\theta^a(P) = \theta^a(s\dot{\gamma}(s)) = sw^a = z^a$.

For the last identity in (2.20), note that we have $\omega_b^a(P)\theta^b = 0$ by $\nabla_P \theta^a = \nabla_{s\dot{\gamma}(s)}\theta^a = 0$ by θ^a being parallel along each parabolic geodesic. Since θ^b are independent, we get $\omega_b^a(P) = 0$ for any a, b.

Then by Lemma 2.3, we have the following proposition:

Proposition 2.7. With respect to a special frame and under the normal coordinates defined as above, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_{a(m)}^{b} &= \frac{1}{m} (R_{a}^{b}{}_{cd} z^{c} \theta^{d} + 2t R_{a}^{b}{}_{0d} \theta^{d} + R_{a}^{b}{}_{c0} z^{c} \theta)_{(m)}, \\
\theta_{(m)}^{b} &= \frac{1}{m} (z^{a} \omega_{a}^{b} + 2t A_{a}^{b} \theta^{a} - A_{a}^{b} z^{a} \theta + dz^{b})_{(m)}, \\
\theta_{(m)} &= \frac{1}{m} (2J_{ab} z^{a} \theta^{b} + 2dt)_{(m)}.
\end{aligned}$$
(2.21)

Proof. We have

$$\begin{split} \omega_{a(m)}^{b} &= \frac{1}{m} (P \lrcorner d\omega_{a}^{b})_{(m)} = \frac{1}{m} \left(P \lrcorner \left(R_{a}^{\ b}{}_{\lambda\bar{\mu}} \theta^{\lambda} \land \theta^{\bar{\mu}} + \frac{1}{2} R_{a}^{\ b}{}_{\lambda\mu} \theta^{\lambda} \land \theta^{\mu} + \frac{1}{2} R_{a}^{\ b}{}_{\lambda\bar{\mu}} \theta^{\bar{\lambda}} \land \theta^{\bar{\mu}} \right. \\ &+ R_{a}^{\ b}{}_{0\bar{\mu}} \theta \land \theta^{\bar{\mu}} - R_{a}^{\ b}{}_{\lambda 0} \theta \land \theta^{\lambda} \right) \bigg)_{(m)} \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \left(R_{a}^{\ b}{}_{\lambda\bar{\mu}} (z^{\lambda} \theta^{\bar{\mu}} - z^{\bar{\mu}} \theta^{\lambda}) + \frac{1}{2} R_{a}^{\ b}{}_{\lambda\mu} (z^{\lambda} \theta^{\mu} - z^{\mu} \theta^{\lambda}) \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} R_{a}^{\ b}{}_{\bar{\lambda}\bar{\mu}} (z^{\bar{\lambda}} \theta^{\bar{\mu}} - z^{\bar{\mu}} \theta^{\bar{\lambda}}) + R_{a}^{\ b}{}_{0\bar{\mu}} (2t \theta^{\bar{\mu}} - z^{\bar{\mu}} \theta) - R_{a}^{\ b}{}_{\lambda 0} (2t \theta^{\lambda} - z^{\lambda} \theta) \bigg)_{(m)} \\ &= \frac{1}{m} (R_{a}^{\ b}{}_{cd} z^{c} \theta^{d} + 2t R_{a}^{\ b}{}_{0d} \theta^{d} + R_{a}^{\ b}{}_{c0} z^{c} \theta)_{(m)}. \end{split}$$

by (2.7), (2.9), (2.16) and $\omega_a^b(P)=0$ in (2.20). Here we also use the relation $R_a^{\ b}{}_{jk} = -R_a^{\ b}{}_{kj}$ for the curvature tensor. Similarly we get

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^b_{(m)} &= \frac{1}{m} \bigg(P \lrcorner d\theta^b + d(\theta^b(P)) \bigg)_{(m)} = \frac{1}{m} \bigg(P \lrcorner \bigg(\theta^a \land \omega^b_a + A^b_a \theta \land \theta^a \bigg) + dz^b \bigg)_{(m)} \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \bigg(z^a \omega^b_a + 2t A^b_a \theta^a - A^b_a z^a \theta + dz^b \bigg)_{(m)}, \end{aligned}$$

by (2.7), (2.9), (2.16) and (2.20).

Noting that J_{ab} is anti-symmetric, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{(m)} &= \frac{1}{m} (P \lrcorner d\theta + d(\theta(P)))_{(m)} = \frac{1}{m} \left(P \lrcorner \left(J_{\alpha\beta} \theta^{\alpha} \land \theta^{\beta} + 2J_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \theta^{\alpha} \land \theta^{\bar{\beta}} + J_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} \theta^{\bar{\alpha}} \land \theta^{\bar{\beta}} \right) + 2dt \right)_{(m)} \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \left(J_{\alpha\beta} \left(z^{\alpha} \theta^{\beta} - z^{\beta} \theta^{\alpha} \right) + 2J_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \left(z^{\alpha} \theta^{\bar{\beta}} - z^{\bar{\beta}} \theta^{\alpha} \right) + J_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} \left(z^{\bar{\alpha}} \theta^{\bar{\beta}} - z^{\bar{\beta}} \theta^{\bar{\alpha}} \right) + 2dt \right)_{(m)} \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \left(2J_{\alpha\beta} z^{\alpha} \theta^{\beta} + 2J_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} z^{\alpha} \theta^{\bar{\beta}} + 2J_{\bar{\alpha}\beta} z^{\bar{\alpha}} \theta^{\beta} + 2J_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} z^{\bar{\alpha}} \theta^{\bar{\beta}} + 2dt \right)_{(m)} = \frac{1}{m} (2J_{ab} z^{a} \theta^{b} + 2dt)_{(m)}, \end{aligned}$$
by (2.7), (2.9), (2.16) and (2.20). Proposition 2.7 is proved.

by (2.7), (2.9), (2.16) and (2.20). Proposition 2.7 is proved.

Then we have the following corollary:

Corollary 2.3. With respect to a special frame, we have

$$\begin{split} \omega_{a(1)}^{b} &= 0, \quad \omega_{a(2)}^{b} = \frac{1}{2} R_{a\ cd}^{\ b}(q) z^{c} dz^{d}, \\ \theta_{(1)}^{b} &= dz^{b}, \quad \theta_{(2)}^{b} = 0, \\ \theta_{(3)}^{b} &= \frac{1}{6} R_{a\ cd}^{\ b}(q) z^{a} z^{c} dz^{d}, \quad mod \quad \mathscr{A}, \\ \theta_{(2)}^{(2)} &= \Theta, \quad \theta_{(3)} = \frac{2}{3} J_{ab(1)} z^{a} dz^{b}, \\ \theta_{(4)}^{(4)} &= \frac{1}{12} J_{ab}(q) R_{e\ cd}^{\ b}(q) z^{a} z^{c} z^{e} dz^{d} + \frac{1}{2} J_{ab(2)} z^{a} dz^{b}, \quad mod \quad \mathscr{A}, \end{split}$$
(2.22)

where \mathscr{A} means terms linearly depending on $A_a^b(q)$.

Proof. By the first identity in (2.21), it's obvious that $\omega_{a(1)}^b = 0$. Then it follows from the second identity in (2.21) and $\omega_{a(1)}^b = 0$ that $\theta_{(1)}^b = dz^b$ and $\theta_{(2)}^b = 0$.

By the third identity in (2.21) for m = 2 and (2.14), we get

$$\theta_{(2)} = dt + J_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}(q)z^{\alpha}dz^{\bar{\beta}} + J_{\bar{\beta}\alpha}(q)z^{\bar{\beta}}dz^{\alpha} = dt - i\delta_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}z^{\alpha}dz^{\bar{\beta}} + i\delta_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}z^{\bar{\beta}}dz^{\alpha} = \Theta.$$

By the third identity in (2.21) for m = 3 and $\theta_{(2)}^b = 0$, we get $\theta_{(3)} = \frac{2}{3}J_{ab(1)}z^a dz^b$. By (2.21) for m = 2 and $\theta_{(1)}^d = dz^d$, we find that $\omega_{a(2)}^b = \frac{1}{2}R_{a\ cd}^{\ b}(q)z^c dz^d$. Hence

$$\theta^b_{(3)} = \frac{1}{3} z^a \omega^b_{a(2)} = \frac{1}{6} R^{\ b}_{a\ cd}(q) z^a z^c dz^d, \quad mod \quad \mathscr{A},$$

holds by (2.21). And so we also have

$$\theta_{(4)} = \frac{1}{4} (2J_{ab}(q)z^a \theta^b_{(3)} + 2J_{ab(2)}z^a dz^b)$$

= $\frac{1}{12} J_{ab}(q) R^{\ b}_{e\ cd}(q) z^a z^c z^e dz^d + \frac{1}{2} J_{ab(2)} z^a dz^b, \quad mod \quad \mathscr{A},$

by (2.14) and (2.21).

Remark 2.5. (1) In the CR case, Jerison and Lee [11] used the identity $d\theta(X,Y) = h(JX,Y)$. But in contact Riemannian case, people usually use $d\theta(X,Y) = h(X,JY) = -h(JX,Y)$ (cf. [3] or [17]). So J_{ab} is different from [11] by a factor -1. That's why we choose Θ as (2.18), which coincides with standard contact form in [11] up to signs.

(2) We choose $dV_{\theta} = (-1)^n \theta \wedge (d\theta)^n$ as the volume form on (M, θ, h, J) . And we will see later in Section 5 that the volume form $dV = (-1)^n \Theta \wedge (d\Theta)^n$ on the Heisenberg group is positive (cf. (5.5)).

(3) Recall that in the CR case $\theta_{(3)}$ vanishes by the integrability of J (cf. Proposition 2.5 in [11]). While in the general case, $\theta_{(3)}$ may not vanish.

2.5. The asymptotic expansion of the special frame. We can also examine the Taylor series of W_j in terms of Z_j 's under the normal coordinate (z, t). We write

$$W_j = s_j^k Z_k = s_j^{\alpha} Z_{\alpha} + s_j^{\bar{\alpha}} Z_{\bar{\alpha}} + s_j^0 Z_0, \qquad (2.23)$$

for some functions s_j^k (following the idea of p. 327 in [3]). As mentioned above, Z_j is homogeneous of degree -o(j), so we can examine the Taylor series of W_j by the Taylor series of their coefficient functions s_j^k . We denote $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}_m$ if all the terms in the Taylor series of φ in normal coordinates are homogeneous of degree $\geq m$. It's easy to see that if $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}_{m_1}, \psi \in \mathcal{O}_{m_2}$ then $\varphi \psi \in \mathcal{O}_{m+n}$.

Proposition 2.8. With respect to the normal coordinates, for the functions s_j^k defined as (2.23), we have:

$$\begin{split} s^{\alpha}_{\beta(0)} &= s^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\bar{\beta}(0)} = \delta^{\alpha}_{\beta}, \quad s^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\beta(0)} = s^{\alpha}_{\bar{\beta}(0)} = 0, \qquad s^{a}_{b(1)} = 0, \\ s^{a}_{b(2)} &= -\frac{1}{6} R^{\ a}_{d\ cb}(q) z^{c} z^{d}, \qquad mod \quad \mathscr{A}, \\ s^{0}_{b(0)} &= s^{0}_{b(1)} = 0, \quad s^{0}_{b(2)} = \frac{2}{3} J_{ba(1)} z^{a}, \qquad (2.24) \\ s^{0}_{b(3)} &= \frac{i}{12} R^{\ \bar{\alpha}}_{d\ cb}(q) z^{\alpha} z^{c} z^{d} - \frac{i}{12} R^{\ \alpha}_{d\ cb}(q) z^{\bar{\alpha}} z^{c} z^{d} - \frac{1}{2} J_{\alpha b(2)} z^{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} J_{\bar{\alpha} b(2)} z^{\bar{\alpha}}, \qquad (2.24) \\ s^{a}_{0(0)} &= 0, \quad s^{0}_{0(0)} = 1, \quad s^{0}_{0(1)} = 0. \end{split}$$

Proof. By $\theta_{(1)}^a = dz^a$ and $\theta_{(2)} = \Theta$ in Corollary 2.3, $\theta^a(W_b) = \delta_b^a$ and $\theta(W_b) = 0$ lead to $W_{\beta(-1)} = Z_{\beta}, W_{\overline{\beta}(-1)} = Z_{\overline{\beta}}$. By $\theta^a(W_b) = \delta_b^a$ and $\theta_{(2)}^a = 0$, we have

$$0 = \left(\left(dz^a + \theta^a_{(2)} + \mathscr{O}_3)(Z_b + s^c_{b(1)}Z_c + s^0_{b(2)}Z_0 + \mathscr{O}_1 \right) \right)_{(1)} = dz^a \left(s^c_{b(1)}Z_c \right) + \theta^a_{(2)}(Z_b) = s^a_{b(1)}.$$

Equivalently we can write $W_{b(0)} = s^a_{b(1)}Z_a + s^0_{b(2)}Z_0 = 0$, mod Z_0 . And we have

$$0 = \delta^{a}_{b(2)} = \left(\left(dz^{a} + \theta^{a}_{(2)} + \theta^{a}_{(3)} + \mathcal{O}_{4} \right) \left(Z_{b} + W_{b(0)} + W_{b(1)} + \mathcal{O}_{2} \right) \right)_{(2)}$$
$$= \theta^{a}_{(3)}(Z_{b}) + dz^{a}(W_{b(1)}) = \theta^{a}_{(3)}(Z_{b}) + s^{a}_{b(2)},$$

and so

$$s^{a}_{b(2)} = -\theta^{a}_{(3)}(Z_{b}) = -\frac{1}{6}R^{a}_{d\ cb}(q)z^{c}z^{d}, \quad mod \quad \mathscr{A}.$$

By $\theta(W_b) = 0$, we have $0 = \Theta(W_{b(0)}) + \theta_{(3)}(W_{b(-1)}) = s_{b(2)}^0 + \theta_{(3)}(Z_b)$, i.e. $s_{b(2)}^0 = -\theta_{(3)}(Z_b) = -\frac{2}{3}J_{ab(1)}z^a = \frac{2}{3}J_{ba(1)}z^a$. Also $0 = \theta_{(4)}(W_{b(-1)}) + \theta_{(3)}(W_{b(0)}) + \Theta(W_{b(1)}) = \theta_{(4)}(Z_b) + \theta_{(3)}(s_{b(2)}^0Z_0) + \Theta(W_{b(1)}) = \theta_{(4)}(Z_b) + \theta_{(3)}(Z_b) + \theta_{(4)}(Z_b) + \theta_{($

 $\Theta(s_{b(2)}^a Z_a + s_{b(3)}^0 Z_0)$, which gives

$$\begin{split} s^{0}_{b(3)} &= -\theta_{(4)}(Z_{b}) = -\frac{1}{12} J_{af}(q) R^{\ f}_{e\ cb}(q) z^{a} z^{c} z^{e} - \frac{1}{2} J_{ab(2)} z^{a}, \quad mod \quad \mathscr{A} \\ &= -\frac{1}{12} J_{\alpha\bar{\rho}}(q) R^{\ \bar{\rho}}_{d\ cb}(q) z^{\alpha} z^{c} z^{d} - \frac{1}{12} J_{\bar{\alpha}\rho}(q) R^{\ \rho}_{d\ cb}(q) z^{\bar{\alpha}} z^{c} z^{d} - \frac{1}{2} J_{\alpha b(2)} z^{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} J_{\bar{\alpha}b(2)} z^{\bar{\alpha}} \\ &= \frac{i}{12} R^{\ \bar{\alpha}}_{d\ cb}(q) z^{\alpha} z^{c} z^{d} - \frac{i}{12} R^{\ \alpha}_{d\ cb}(q) z^{\bar{\alpha}} z^{c} z^{d} - \frac{1}{2} J_{\alpha b(2)} z^{\alpha}, \quad mod \quad \mathscr{A}, \end{split}$$

by (2.14) and (2.22).

By $\theta(T) = 1$, we get

$$1 = \theta_{(2)}(W_{0(-2)}) = \Theta\left(s_{0(0)}^0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) = s_{0(0)}^0,$$

and $W_{0(-2)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$. By the fact that $\theta_{(3)}$ has no dt term (see (2.22)), we get

$$0 = \theta_{(3)}(W_{0(-2)}) + \theta_{(2)}(W_{0(-1)}) = \Theta\left(s_{0(0)}^a Z_a + s_{0(1)}^0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) = s_{0(1)}^0.$$

By $\theta^{a}(T) = 0$ and $\theta^{a}_{(2)} = 0$ in (2.22), we get

$$0 = \theta_{(2)}^{a}(W_{0(-2)}) + \theta_{(1)}^{a}(W_{0(-1)}) = dz^{a} \left(s_{0(0)}^{b} Z_{b} \right) = s_{0(0)}^{a}$$

We finish the proof of (2.24).

By Proposition 2.8, we have

$$W_a = Z_a + \mathscr{O}_0 = Z_a + \frac{2}{3} J_{ab(1)} z^b \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathscr{O}_1 = Z_a + \frac{2}{3} J_{ab(1)} z^b \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + s^b_{a(2)} Z_b + s^0_{a(3)} Z_0,$$

$$W_0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathscr{O}_0,$$

$$(2.25)$$

where $s_{a(2)}^b$ and $s_{a(3)}^0$ are given by (2.24). In our case, W_a has extra term $\frac{2}{3}J_{ab(1)}z^b\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, which vanishes in the CR case (cf. p. 314 in [11]).

Corollary 2.4. With respect to a special frame centered at q, the connection coefficients vanish at q, i.e.,

$$\Gamma_{jk}^{l}(q) = 0, \quad \Gamma_{jk}^{l} \in \mathscr{O}_{1}.$$
(2.26)

Proof. By $\omega_{a(1)}^b = 0$ in (2.22) we get $\Gamma_{ca}^b(q) = \omega_{a(1)}^b(W_{c(-1)}) = 0$. Again by (2.22), $\omega_{a(1)}^b = 0$ and $\omega_{a(2)}^b$ having no dt term, we see that $\Gamma_{0a}^b(q) = \omega_{a(2)}^b(W_{0(-2)}) + \omega_{a(1)}^b(W_{0(-1)}) = 0$. We also have $\Gamma_{j0}^l = 0$ by $\nabla T = 0$ and $\Gamma_{ab}^0 = 0$ by $\theta(\nabla_X Y) = 0$ for any $X, Y \in HM$. So (2.26) follows. \Box

3. The asymptotic expansion of the almost complex structure, the curvature and Tanno tensors

In this section, we will discuss the curvature tensor, the Tanno tensor and the almost complex structure with respect to the special frame centered at q.

16

3.1. The Tanno tensor at point q. For the Tanno tensor, we write $Q(W_j, W_k) = (\nabla_{W_k} J) W_j = Q_{jk}^l W_l$. The components of the Tanno tensor Q_{jk}^l can be written as:

$$Q_{jk}^{l} = W_{k}J_{\ j}^{l} - \Gamma_{kj}^{s}J_{\ s}^{l} + \Gamma_{ks}^{l}J_{\ j}^{s}.$$
(3.1)

So at the point q, we have

$$Q_{jk}^{l}(q) = W_k J_{j}^{l}(q), (3.2)$$

by (2.26). And noting that $\overline{Q_{\alpha\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}}} = Q_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}^{\gamma}$ by definition, we set

$$\mathfrak{Q} := Q^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\alpha\beta}(q)Q^{\gamma}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}(q) = \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} |Q^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\alpha\beta}(q)|^2.$$
(3.3)

Proposition 3.1. With respect to a special frame centered at q, $J^{\gamma}_{\alpha(1)} = 0$, $J_{\alpha\bar{\beta}(1)} = 0$.

Proof. Since $J^2 W_{\alpha} = -W_{\alpha}$ means $J^b_{\ \alpha} J^{\gamma}_{\ b} = -\delta^{\gamma}_{\alpha}$, we get

$$0 = J^{\beta}_{\alpha(0)} J^{\gamma}_{\beta(1)} + J^{\beta}_{\alpha(1)} J^{\gamma}_{\beta(0)} + J^{\beta}_{\alpha(0)} J^{\gamma}_{\bar{\beta}(1)} + J^{\beta}_{\alpha(1)} J^{\gamma}_{\bar{\beta}(0)} = 2i J^{\gamma}_{\alpha(1)},$$

ence $J^{\gamma}_{\alpha(1)} = 0$. And so $J_{\alpha\bar{\beta}(1)} = h_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}} J^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\bar{\beta}(1)} = 0.$

by (2.14). Hence $J^{\gamma}_{\alpha(1)} = 0$. And so $J_{\alpha\bar{\beta}(1)} = h_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}}J^{\gamma}_{\ \bar{\beta}(1)} = 0$.

Proposition 3.2. With respect to a special frame centered at q, components of the Tanno tensor Q at q satisfy the following relations:

$$Q_{ab}^{0} = Q_{0j}^{k} = Q_{i0}^{k} = 0,$$

$$Q_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma}(q) = Q_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}^{\gamma}(q) = Q_{\bar{\beta}\alpha}^{\gamma}(q) = 0,$$

$$Q_{\beta\alpha}^{\bar{\rho}}(q)Q_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}^{\rho}(q) = \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{Q}.$$
(3.4)

In particular, $Q(q) \neq 0$ if and only if $\mathfrak{Q} > 0$.

Proof. $Q_{ab}^0 = 0$ follows from that for any $X, Y \in HM$, we have

$$\theta(Q(X,Y)) = \theta((\nabla_Y J)X) = h(T, (\nabla_Y J)X) = h(T, \nabla_Y (JX)) - h(T, J\nabla_Y X)$$
$$= \theta(\nabla_Y (JX)) - \theta(J\nabla_Y X) = 0,$$

by (1.1) and JX, $\nabla_Y(JX)$, $J\nabla_Y X$ all being horizontal.

 $Q_{0j}^k = 0$ follows from $Q(T,Y) = (\nabla_Y J)T = \nabla_Y (JT) - J\nabla_Y T = 0$ by JT = 0 and $\nabla T = 0$. By (3.2) and Proposition 3.1, $Q_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma}(q) = W_{\beta}J_{\alpha}^{\gamma}(q) = W_{\beta(-1)}J_{\alpha(1)}^{\gamma} + W_{\beta(0)}(J_{\alpha(0)}^{\gamma}) = 0$. Similarly, $Q_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}^{\gamma}(q) = 0$.

To prove $Q_{i0}^k = 0$ and $Q_{\bar{\beta}\alpha}^{\gamma}(q) = 0$, recall that

$$2h(Q(X,Y),Z) = h\bigg(N^{(1)}(X,Z) - \theta(X)N^{(1)}(T,Z) - \theta(Z)N^{(1)}(X,T),JY\bigg),$$
(3.5)

for any $X, Y, Z \in TM$ (cf. (15) in [3]), where

$$N^{(1)} = [J, J] + 2(d\theta) \otimes T, \quad [J, J](X, Y) = J^2[X, Y] + [JX, JY] - J[JX, Y] - J[X, JY].$$

 $Q_{i0}^k = 0$ is equivalent to Q(X,T) = 0, for any $X \in TM$. Apply Y = T to (3.5) to get h(Q(X,T),Z) = 0 for any $X, Z \in TM$ by JT = 0.

Substituting $X = W_{\bar{\beta}}, Y = W_a, Z = W_{\bar{\rho}}$ into (3.5), and noting that h(JX, JY) = h(X, Y) for any $X, Y \in HM$, we get

$$2h_{\gamma\bar{\rho}}Q^{\gamma}_{\bar{\beta}a} = h(N^{(1)}(W_{\bar{\beta}}, W_{\bar{\rho}}), JW_{a}) = h([J, J](W_{\bar{\beta}}, W_{\bar{\rho}}), JW_{a})$$

$$= h(J^{2}[W_{\bar{\beta}}, W_{\bar{\rho}}] + [JW_{\bar{\beta}}, JW_{\bar{\rho}}] - J[JW_{\bar{\beta}}, W_{\bar{\rho}}] - J[W_{\bar{\beta}}, JW_{\bar{\rho}}], JW_{a})$$

$$= -h([W_{\bar{\beta}}, W_{\bar{\rho}}], JW_{a}) + h([JW_{\bar{\beta}}, JW_{\bar{\rho}}], JW_{a}) - h([JW_{\bar{\beta}}, W_{\bar{\rho}}], W_{a}) - h([W_{\bar{\beta}}, JW_{\bar{\rho}}], W_{a}).$$

(3.6)

by using (1.1). Note that $[X, Y] = \nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X - \tau(X, Y)$ and $\tau(X, Y) = 0 \mod T$, for any $X, Y \in HM$. We find that

$$\begin{split} [W_{\bar{\beta}}, W_{\bar{\rho}}] &= \nabla_{W_{\bar{\beta}}} W_{\bar{\rho}} - \nabla_{W_{\bar{\rho}}} W_{\bar{\beta}} = \Gamma^{c}_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\rho}} W_{c} - \Gamma^{c}_{\bar{\rho}\bar{\beta}} W_{c}, \quad mod \quad T, \\ [JW_{\bar{\beta}}, JW_{\bar{\rho}}] &= \nabla_{J^{c}_{\bar{\beta}} W_{c}} (J^{d}_{\bar{\rho}} W_{d}) - \nabla_{J^{d}_{\bar{\rho}} W_{d}} (J^{c}_{\bar{\beta}} W_{c}) \\ &= J^{c}_{\bar{\beta}} (W_{c} J^{d}_{\bar{\rho}}) W_{d} + J^{c}_{\bar{\beta}} J^{e}_{\bar{\rho}} \Gamma^{d}_{ce} W_{d} - J^{c}_{\bar{\rho}} (W_{c} J^{d}_{\bar{\beta}}) W_{d} - J^{e}_{\bar{\rho}} J^{c}_{\bar{\beta}} \Gamma^{d}_{ec} W_{d}, \quad mod \quad T, \\ [JW_{\bar{\beta}}, W_{\bar{\rho}}] &= -W_{\bar{\rho}} J^{d}_{\bar{\beta}} W_{d} + J^{c}_{\bar{\beta}} \Gamma^{d}_{c\bar{\rho}} W_{d} - J^{c}_{\bar{\beta}} \Gamma^{d}_{\bar{\rho}c} W_{d} \quad mod \quad T, \\ [W_{\bar{\beta}}, JW_{\bar{\rho}}] &= W_{\bar{\beta}} J^{d}_{\bar{\rho}} W_{d} + J^{c}_{\bar{\rho}} \Gamma^{d}_{\bar{\beta}c} W_{d} - J^{c}_{\bar{\rho}} \Gamma^{d}_{c\bar{\beta}} W_{d} \quad mod \quad T. \end{split}$$

Then (3.6) becomes

$$2Q^{\rho}_{\bar{\beta}a} = \left(-\Gamma^{d}_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\rho}} + \Gamma^{d}_{\bar{\rho}\bar{\beta}}\right)J^{f}_{a}h_{df} + \left(J^{c}_{\ \bar{\beta}}(W_{c}J^{d}_{\ \bar{\rho}}) + J^{c}_{\ \bar{\beta}}J^{e}_{\ \bar{\rho}}\Gamma^{d}_{ce} - J^{c}_{\ \bar{\rho}}(W_{c}J^{d}_{\ \bar{\beta}}) - J^{e}_{\ \bar{\rho}}J^{c}_{\ \bar{\beta}}\Gamma^{d}_{ec}\right)J^{f}_{a}h_{df} + \left(W_{\bar{\rho}}J^{d}_{\ \bar{\beta}} - J^{c}_{\ \bar{\beta}}\Gamma^{d}_{c\bar{\rho}} + J^{c}_{\ \bar{\beta}}\Gamma^{d}_{c\bar{\rho}}\right)h_{ad} + \left(-W_{\bar{\beta}}J^{d}_{\ \bar{\rho}} - J^{c}_{\ \bar{\rho}}\Gamma^{d}_{\bar{\beta}c} + J^{c}_{\ \bar{\rho}}\Gamma^{d}_{c\bar{\beta}}\right)h_{ad},$$
(3.7)

by writing $JW_a = J^f_a W_f$ and $h_{\gamma\bar{\rho}} = \delta_{\gamma\bar{\rho}}$. (3.7) will be used later. At the point q, (3.7) for $a = \alpha$ becomes

$$2Q^{\rho}_{\bar{\beta}\alpha}(q) = \left(J^{c}_{\bar{\beta}}(q)(W_{c}J^{d}_{\bar{\rho}})(q) - J^{c}_{\bar{\rho}}(q)(W_{c}J^{d}_{\bar{\beta}})(q)\right)J^{f}_{\alpha}(q)h_{df} + W_{\bar{\rho}}J^{d}_{\bar{\beta}}(q)h_{\alpha d} - W_{\bar{\beta}}J^{d}_{\bar{\rho}}(q)h_{\alpha d} \\ = W_{\bar{\beta}}J^{\bar{\mu}}_{\ \bar{\rho}}(q)h_{\alpha \bar{\mu}} - W_{\bar{\rho}}J^{\bar{\mu}}_{\ \bar{\beta}}(q)h_{\alpha \bar{\mu}} + W_{\bar{\rho}}J^{\bar{\mu}}_{\ \bar{\beta}}(q)h_{\alpha \bar{\mu}} - W_{\bar{\beta}}J^{\bar{\mu}}_{\ \bar{\rho}}(q)h_{\alpha \bar{\mu}} = 0.$$

by vanishing of connection coefficients (2.26). So $Q^{\gamma}_{\bar{\beta}\alpha}(q) = 0$.

It remains to prove the last identity in (3.4). Similarly at the point q, for $a = \bar{\alpha}$, (3.7) becomes

$$2Q^{\rho}_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\alpha}}(q) = 2h_{\gamma\bar{\rho}}Q^{\gamma}_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\alpha}}(q) = J^{c}_{\bar{\beta}}(q)(W_{c}J^{d}_{\bar{\rho}})(q)J^{f}_{\bar{\alpha}}(q)h_{df} - J^{c}_{\bar{\rho}}(q)(W_{c}J^{d}_{\bar{\beta}})(q)J^{f}_{\bar{\alpha}}(q)h_{df} + W_{\bar{\rho}}J^{d}_{\ \bar{\beta}}(q)h_{\bar{\alpha}d} - W_{\bar{\beta}}J^{d}_{\ \bar{\rho}}(q)h_{\bar{\alpha}d} = -W_{\bar{\beta}}J^{\mu}_{\ \bar{\rho}}(q)h_{\bar{\alpha}\mu} + W_{\bar{\rho}}J^{\mu}_{\ \bar{\beta}}(q)h_{\bar{\alpha}\mu} + W_{\bar{\rho}}J^{\mu}_{\ \bar{\beta}}(q)h_{\bar{\alpha}\mu} - W_{\bar{\beta}}J^{\mu}_{\ \bar{\rho}}(q)h_{\bar{\alpha}\mu} = -2Q^{\mu}_{\ \bar{\rho}\bar{\beta}}(q)h_{\mu\bar{\alpha}} + 2Q^{\mu}_{\ \bar{\beta}\bar{\rho}}(q)h_{\mu\bar{\alpha}} = 2Q^{\alpha}_{\ \bar{\beta}\bar{\rho}}(q) - 2Q^{\alpha}_{\ \bar{\rho}\bar{\beta}}(q)$$

by (3.2). Taking conjugate on the both sides of last equation, we get $Q^{\bar{\rho}}_{\beta\alpha}(q) = Q^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\beta\rho}(q) - Q^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\rho\beta}(q)$. Then we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\rho} |Q^{\bar{\rho}}_{\beta\alpha}(q)|^2 &= \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\rho} Q^{\bar{\rho}}_{\beta\alpha}(q) Q^{\rho}_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\alpha}}(q) = \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\rho} \left(Q^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\beta\rho}(q) - Q^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\rho\beta}(q) \right) \left(Q^{\alpha}_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\rho}}(q) - Q^{\alpha}_{\bar{\rho}\bar{\beta}}(q) \right) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\rho} 2 |Q^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\beta\rho}(q)|^2 - 2 \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\rho} Q^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\beta\rho}(q) Q^{\alpha}_{\bar{\rho}\bar{\beta}}(q). \end{split}$$

By changing indices, we get
$$2Q^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\alpha\beta}(q)Q^{\gamma}_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\alpha}}(q) = \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} |Q^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\alpha\beta}(q)|^2 = \mathfrak{Q}.$$

Remark 3.1. On a contact Riemannian manifold, if we choose a local $T^{(1,0)}M$ -frame, only $Q_{\alpha\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}}$ is non-vanishing for the Tanno tensor Q (cf. (16)-(18) in [3]). Here we have the similar property at point q.

3.2. The asymptotic expansion of the almost complex structure at point q.

Proposition 3.3. With respect to a special frame centered at q, we have

$$J_{\alpha\beta(1)} = J^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\ \beta(1)} = Q^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\beta\gamma}(q) z^{\gamma}, \qquad (3.8)$$

$$J_{\alpha\bar{\beta}(2)} = \frac{i}{2} Q^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\alpha\lambda}(q) Q^{\gamma}_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\mu}}(q) z^{\lambda} z^{\bar{\mu}}.$$
(3.9)

Proof. By $Q^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\beta\bar{\gamma}}(q) = 0$ in (3.4) and the expansion (2.19), we get

$$J_{\alpha\beta(1)} = h_{\alpha\bar{\rho}} J^{\bar{\rho}}_{\ \beta(1)} = J^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\ \beta(1)} = z^c Z_c J^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\ \beta}(q) = z^c Q^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\beta c}(q) = Q^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\beta\gamma}(q) z^{\gamma}$$

It follows from $J^b_{\ \alpha} J^{\gamma}_{\ b} = -\delta^{\gamma}_{\alpha}$ that

$$0 = J^{\beta}_{\ \alpha(0)}J^{\gamma}_{\ \beta(2)} + J^{\beta}_{\ \alpha(1)}J^{\gamma}_{\ \beta(1)} + J^{\beta}_{\ \alpha(2)}J^{\gamma}_{\ \beta(0)} + J^{\bar{\beta}}_{\ \alpha(0)}J^{\gamma}_{\ \bar{\beta}(2)} + J^{\bar{\beta}}_{\ \alpha(1)}J^{\gamma}_{\ \bar{\beta}(1)} + J^{\bar{\beta}}_{\ \alpha(2)}J^{\gamma}_{\ \bar{\beta}(0)}.$$

By (2.14) and Proposition 3.1, we get $2iJ^{\gamma}_{\alpha(2)} + J^{\beta}_{\alpha(1)}J^{\gamma}_{\bar{\beta}(1)} = 0$, i.e. $J^{\gamma}_{\alpha(2)} = \frac{i}{2}J^{\beta}_{\alpha(1)}J^{\gamma}_{\bar{\beta}(1)}$. So $J_{\alpha\bar{\beta}(2)} = h_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}}J^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\bar{\beta}(2)} = -\frac{i}{2}\delta_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}}J^{\rho}_{\bar{\beta}(1)}J^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\rho(1)} = -\frac{i}{2}J^{\rho}_{\bar{\beta}(1)}J^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\rho(1)} = \frac{i}{2}J^{\rho}_{\bar{\beta}(1)}J^{\bar{\rho}}_{\alpha(1)} = \frac{i}{2}Q^{\bar{\rho}}_{\alpha\lambda}(q)Q^{\rho}_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\mu}}(q)z^{\lambda}z^{\bar{\mu}},$ by (3.8) and $J^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\rho(1)} = -J^{\bar{\rho}}_{\alpha(1)}$ in (2.14). We complete the proof of this proposition.

Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.3 leads to the following corollary: **Corollary 3.1.** $s_{b(2)}^0$ in (2.24) in Proposition 2.8 can be rewritten as

$$s^{0}_{\beta(2)} = \frac{2}{3} Q^{\bar{\beta}}_{\alpha\gamma}(p) z^{\alpha} z^{\gamma}, \quad s^{0}_{\bar{\beta}(2)} = \frac{2}{3} Q^{\beta}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\gamma}}(p) z^{\bar{\alpha}} z^{\bar{\gamma}}.$$

The following relation shows that $J^{\gamma}_{\bar{\beta}(2)}$ is independent of t.

Proposition 3.4.

$$J^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\beta(2)} = \frac{1}{2} z_c z_d Z_c Z_d J^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\beta}(q), \quad J_{\alpha\beta(2)} = \frac{1}{2} z_c z_d Z_c Z_d J_{\alpha\beta}(q).$$
(3.10)

-

Proof. By expansion (2.19),

$$J^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\beta(2)} = \frac{1}{2} z_c z_d Z_c Z_d J^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\beta}(q) + t \frac{\partial J^{\gamma}_{\beta}}{\partial t}(q).$$

To get this proposition, we need to prove that $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} J^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\beta}(q) = 0.$

By (3.2) and (3.4), $0 = Q_{\beta 0}^{\bar{\gamma}}(q) = W_0 J_{\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}}(q)$. Noting that $W_{0(-2)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, W_{0(-1)} = 0$ (cf. (2.25)) and $J_{\beta(0)}^{\bar{\gamma}} = 0$ (cf. (2.14)), we get

$$0 = W_0 J^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\ \beta}(q) = W_{0(-2)} \left(J^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\ \beta(2)} \right) + W_{0(-1)} \left(J^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\ \beta(1)} \right) + W_{0(0)} \left(J^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\ \beta(0)} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} J^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\ \beta}(q).$$

By h_{ab} being constant, we get $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} J_{\alpha\beta}(q) = h_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}} \frac{\partial J^{\gamma}}{\partial t}(q) = 0$. So we prove Proposition 3.4.

3.3. The asymptotic expansion of curvature tensors.

Proposition 3.5. With respect to a special frame centered at q, we have

$$R^{\ \alpha}_{\bar{\beta}\ \lambda\mu}(q) = \frac{1}{4} \left(Q^{\bar{\sigma}}_{\mu\lambda}(q) - Q^{\bar{\sigma}}_{\lambda\mu}(q) \right) \left(Q^{\sigma}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}(q) - Q^{\sigma}_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\alpha}}(q) \right).$$
(3.11)

In particular,

$$R^{\ \alpha}_{\bar{\beta}\ \alpha\beta}(q) = -\frac{1}{4}\mathfrak{Q}.$$
(3.12)

Proof. At the point q, it follows from (2.10) and (2.26) that

$$R^{\ \alpha}_{\bar{\beta}\ \lambda\mu}(q) = W_{\lambda}\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\mu\bar{\beta}}(q) - W_{\mu}\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\lambda\bar{\beta}}(q) = Z_{\lambda}\left(\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\mu\bar{\beta}(1)}\right) - Z_{\mu}\left(\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\lambda\bar{\beta}(1)}\right). \tag{3.13}$$

Let us calculate $Z_c(\Gamma^a_{db(1)})$. By (3.1), we have: $Q^{\gamma}_{\bar{\beta}\alpha} = W_{\alpha}J^{\gamma}_{\bar{\beta}} - \Gamma^d_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}J^{\gamma}_d + \Gamma^{\gamma}_{\alpha d}J^d_{\bar{\beta}}$. So $Q^{\gamma}_{\bar{\beta}\alpha(1)} = (W_{\alpha}J^{\gamma}_{\bar{\beta}})_{(1)} - \Gamma^d_{\alpha\bar{\beta}(1)}J^{\gamma}_d(q) + \Gamma^{\gamma}_{\alpha d(1)}J^d_{\bar{\beta}}(q) = (W_{\alpha}J^{\gamma}_{\bar{\beta}})_{(1)} - 2i\Gamma^{\gamma}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}(1)}$, i.e.,

$$\Gamma^{\gamma}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}(1)} = \frac{i}{2}Q^{\gamma}_{\bar{\beta}\alpha(1)} - \frac{i}{2}(W_{\alpha}J^{\gamma}_{\bar{\beta}})_{(1)}.$$
(3.14)

First we deal with the term $Q^{\gamma}_{\bar{\beta}\alpha(1)}$ in (3.14). Take index *a* to be α in (3.7) and consider the homogeneous part of degree 1. For the right hand side, noting $J^{\beta}_{\alpha}(q) = i\delta^{\beta}_{\alpha}$, $J^{\bar{\beta}}_{\alpha}(q) = 0$ by Proposition 2.6, $J^{\beta}_{\alpha(1)} = 0$ by Proposition 3.1 and $Q^{\gamma}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}(q) = 0$ by (3.4) in Proposition 3.2, we have

$$\left(\left(-\Gamma^{d}_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\rho}}+\Gamma^{d}_{\bar{\rho}\bar{\beta}}\right)J^{f}_{\alpha}h_{df}\right)_{(1)} = \left(-\Gamma^{\bar{\mu}}_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\rho}(1)}+\Gamma^{\bar{\mu}}_{\bar{\rho}\bar{\beta}(1)}\right)J^{\gamma}_{\alpha}(q)h_{\gamma\bar{\mu}} = -i\Gamma^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\rho}(1)}+i\Gamma^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\bar{\rho}\bar{\beta}(1)},\qquad(3.15)$$

and

$$\begin{split} &\left\{ \left(J^{c}_{\bar{\beta}}(W_{c}J^{d}_{\bar{\rho}}) + J^{c}_{\bar{\beta}}J^{e}_{\bar{\rho}}\Gamma^{d}_{ce} - J^{c}_{\bar{\rho}}(W_{c}J^{d}_{\bar{\beta}}) - J^{e}_{\bar{\rho}}J^{c}_{\bar{\beta}}\Gamma^{d}_{ec} \right) J^{f}_{\alpha}h_{df} \right\}_{(1)} \\ &= \left(J^{c}_{\bar{\beta}}(W_{c}J^{\bar{\mu}}_{\bar{\rho}}) + J^{c}_{\bar{\beta}}J^{e}_{\bar{\rho}}\Gamma^{\bar{\mu}}_{ce} - J^{c}_{\bar{\rho}}(W_{c}J^{\bar{\mu}}_{\bar{\beta}}) - J^{e}_{\bar{\rho}}J^{c}_{\bar{\beta}}\Gamma^{\mu}_{ec} \right)_{(1)} J^{\gamma}_{\alpha}(q)h_{\gamma\bar{\mu}} \\ &+ \left(J^{c}_{\bar{\beta}}(W_{c}J^{\mu}_{\bar{\rho}}) + J^{c}_{\bar{\beta}}J^{e}_{\bar{\rho}}\Gamma^{\mu}_{ce} - J^{c}_{\bar{\rho}}(W_{c}J^{\mu}_{\bar{\beta}}) - J^{e}_{\bar{\rho}}J^{c}_{\bar{\beta}}\Gamma^{\mu}_{ec} \right)_{(0)} J^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\alpha(1)}h_{\mu\bar{\gamma}} \\ &= i\delta_{\alpha\bar{\mu}} \left(J^{\lambda}_{\bar{\beta}(1)}W_{\lambda}J^{\bar{\mu}}_{\bar{\rho}}(q) + J^{\bar{\lambda}}_{\bar{\beta}}(q)(W_{\bar{\lambda}}J^{\bar{\mu}}_{\bar{\rho}})_{(1)} + J^{\bar{\lambda}}_{\bar{\beta}}(q)J^{\bar{\sigma}}_{\bar{\rho}}(q)\Gamma^{\bar{\mu}}_{\bar{\lambda}\bar{\sigma}(1)} \\ &- J^{\sigma}_{\bar{\rho}(1)}W_{\sigma}J^{\bar{\mu}}_{\bar{\beta}}(q) - J^{\bar{\sigma}}_{\bar{\rho}}(q)W_{\bar{\sigma}}J^{\mu}_{\bar{\beta}})_{(1)} - J^{\bar{\sigma}}_{\bar{\rho}}(q)J^{\bar{\lambda}}_{\bar{\beta}}(q)\Gamma^{\mu}_{\bar{\sigma}\bar{\lambda}(1)} \right) \\ &+ \left(J^{\bar{\lambda}}_{\bar{\beta}}(q)W_{\bar{\lambda}}J^{\mu}_{\bar{\rho}}(q) - J^{\bar{\sigma}}_{\bar{\rho}}(q)W_{\bar{\sigma}}J^{\mu}_{\bar{\beta}}(q) \right) J^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\alpha(1)}h_{\mu\bar{\gamma}} \\ &= iJ^{\lambda}_{\bar{\beta}\bar{1}\bar{1}}Q^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\bar{\rho}\bar{\lambda}}(q) + (W_{\bar{\beta}}J^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\bar{\rho}})_{(1)} - i\Gamma^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\rho}(1)} - iJ^{\sigma}_{\bar{\rho}\bar{1}\bar{1}}Q^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\bar{\beta}\sigma}(q) - (W_{\bar{\rho}}J^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\bar{\beta}})_{(1)} + i\Gamma^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\bar{\rho}\bar{\beta}\bar{1}\bar{1}} \\ &- iQ^{\gamma}_{\bar{\rho}\bar{\beta}}(q)J^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\alpha(1)} + iQ^{\gamma}_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\rho}}(q)J^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\alpha(1)} \\ &= (W_{\bar{\beta}}J^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\bar{\rho}})_{(1)} - (W_{\bar{\rho}}J^{\bar{\beta}}_{\bar{\beta}})_{(1)} - i\Gamma^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\rho}\bar{1}} + i\Gamma^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\bar{\rho}\bar{\beta}\bar{1}}) - iQ^{\gamma}_{\bar{\rho}\bar{\beta}}(q)J^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\alpha(1)} + iQ^{\gamma}_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\rho}}(q)J^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\alpha(1)}, \end{split}$$

and

$$\left(\left(W_{\bar{\rho}} J^{\bar{\mu}}_{\ \bar{\beta}} - J^{c}_{\ \bar{\beta}} \Gamma^{\bar{\mu}}_{c\bar{\rho}} + J^{c}_{\ \bar{\beta}} \Gamma^{\bar{\mu}}_{\bar{\rho}c} \right) h_{\alpha\bar{\mu}} \right)_{(1)} = \left(W_{\bar{\rho}} J^{\bar{\mu}}_{\ \bar{\beta}} \right)_{(1)} \delta_{\alpha\bar{\mu}} - J^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\ \bar{\beta}}(q) \Gamma^{\bar{\mu}}_{\bar{\gamma}\bar{\rho}(1)} \delta_{\alpha\bar{\mu}} + J^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\ \bar{\beta}}(q) \Gamma^{\bar{\mu}}_{\bar{\rho}\bar{\gamma}(1)} \delta_{\alpha\bar{\mu}}
= \left(W_{\bar{\rho}} J^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\ \bar{\beta}} \right)_{(1)} + i \Gamma^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\rho}(1)} - i \Gamma^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\bar{\rho}\bar{\beta}(1)},$$
(3.17)

and

$$\left(\left(-W_{\bar{\beta}}J^{\bar{\mu}}_{\ \bar{\rho}} - J^{c}_{\ \bar{\rho}}\Gamma^{\bar{\mu}}_{\ \bar{\beta}c} + J^{c}_{\ \bar{\rho}}\Gamma^{\bar{\mu}}_{c\bar{\beta}}\right)h_{\alpha\bar{\mu}}\right)_{(1)} = -(W_{\bar{\beta}}J^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\ \bar{\rho}})_{(1)} + i\Gamma^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\ \bar{\beta}\bar{\rho}(1)} - i\Gamma^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\ \bar{\rho}\bar{\beta}(1)}.$$
(3.18)

Substitute the summation of (3.15)-(3.18) into (3.7) to get $2Q^{\rho}_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\alpha}(1)} = iQ^{\gamma}_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\rho}}(q)J^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\ \alpha(1)} - iQ^{\gamma}_{\bar{\rho}\bar{\beta}}(q)J^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\ \alpha(1)}$, namely

$$Q^{\rho}_{\bar{\beta}\alpha(1)} = \frac{i}{2} Q^{\gamma}_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\rho}}(q) J^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\alpha(1)} - \frac{i}{2} Q^{\gamma}_{\bar{\rho}\bar{\beta}}(q) J^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\alpha(1)}.$$
(3.19)

Now we deal with $(W_{\alpha}J^{\gamma}_{\bar{\beta}})_{(1)}$ in (3.14). By expansion (2.19), $J^{\gamma}_{\bar{\beta}(1)} = z^{c}Z_{c}J^{\gamma}_{\bar{\beta}}(q)$ is independent with t. And note that $W_{\alpha(0)} = s^{0}_{\alpha(2)}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ by (2.25). So $W_{\alpha(0)}(J^{\gamma}_{\bar{\beta}(1)}) = 0$. By $J^{\gamma}_{\bar{\beta}}(q) = 0$ (see (2.14)) and $J^{\gamma}_{\bar{\beta}(2)}$ being independent with t in Proposition 3.4, we get

$$(W_{\alpha}J_{\bar{\beta}}^{\gamma})_{(1)} = \left(\left(Z_{\alpha} + W_{\alpha(0)} + W_{\alpha(1)} + \mathscr{O}_{2} \right) \left(J_{\bar{\beta}(1)}^{\gamma} + J_{\bar{\beta}(2)}^{\gamma} + \mathscr{O}_{3} \right) \right)_{(1)}$$
$$= W_{\alpha(0)} \left(J_{\bar{\beta}(1)}^{\gamma} \right) + Z_{\alpha} \left(J_{\bar{\beta}(2)}^{\gamma} \right) = Z_{\alpha} \left(J_{\bar{\beta}(2)}^{\gamma} \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(z^{c} Z_{\alpha} Z_{c} J_{\bar{\beta}}^{\gamma}(q) + z^{c} Z_{c} Z_{\alpha} J_{\bar{\beta}}^{\gamma}(q) \right).$$
(3.20)

So by (3.14), (3.19) and (3.20), we get

$$Z_{\lambda}\left(\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\mu\bar{\beta}(1)}\right) = \frac{i}{2}Z_{\lambda}\left(Q^{\alpha}_{\bar{\beta}\mu(1)} - (W_{\mu}J^{\alpha}_{\bar{\beta}})_{(1)}\right)$$
$$= -\frac{1}{4}Z_{\lambda}\left(Q^{\sigma}_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\alpha}}(q)J^{\bar{\sigma}}_{\mu(1)} - Q^{\sigma}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}(q)J^{\bar{\sigma}}_{\mu(1)}\right) - \frac{i}{4}Z_{\lambda}\left(z^{c}Z_{\mu}Z_{c}J^{\alpha}_{\bar{\beta}}(q) + z^{c}Z_{c}Z_{\mu}J^{\alpha}_{\bar{\beta}}(q)\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{4}\left(Q^{\sigma}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}(q)Q^{\bar{\sigma}}_{\mu\lambda}(q) - Q^{\sigma}_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\alpha}}(q)Q^{\bar{\sigma}}_{\mu\lambda}(q)\right) - \frac{i}{4}Z_{\mu}Z_{\lambda}J^{\alpha}_{\bar{\beta}}(q) - \frac{i}{4}Z_{\lambda}Z_{\mu}J^{\alpha}_{\bar{\beta}}(q). \tag{3.21}$$

Note that for the last identity, we use the relation $Q_{\mu\lambda}^{\bar{\sigma}}(q) = W_{\lambda}J_{\mu}^{\bar{\sigma}}(q) = Z_{\lambda}(J_{\mu(1)}^{\bar{\sigma}}) = Z_{\lambda}(J_{\mu(1)}^{\bar{\sigma}})$ by (3.2), and $J_{\mu}^{\bar{\sigma}}(q) = 0$. Similarly, we get

$$Z_{\mu}\left(\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\lambda\bar{\beta}(1)}\right) = \frac{1}{4}\left(Q^{\sigma}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}(q)Q^{\bar{\sigma}}_{\lambda\mu}(q) - Q^{\sigma}_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\alpha}}(q)Q^{\bar{\sigma}}_{\lambda\mu}(q)\right) - \frac{i}{4}Z_{\lambda}Z_{\mu}J^{\alpha}_{\ \bar{\beta}}(q) - \frac{i}{4}Z_{\mu}Z_{\lambda}J^{\alpha}_{\ \bar{\beta}}(q). \tag{3.22}$$

Substituting (3.21) and (3.22) into (3.13) we get (3.11). In particular,

$$R^{\alpha}_{\bar{\beta}\ \alpha\beta}(q) = \frac{1}{4} \left(Q^{\bar{\sigma}}_{\beta\alpha}(q) Q^{\sigma}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}(q) - Q^{\bar{\sigma}}_{\beta\alpha}(q) Q^{\sigma}_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\alpha}}(q) - Q^{\bar{\sigma}}_{\alpha\beta}(q) Q^{\sigma}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}(q) + Q^{\bar{\sigma}}_{\alpha\beta}(q) Q^{\sigma}_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\alpha}}(q) \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} (Q^{\bar{\sigma}}_{\beta\alpha}(q) Q^{\sigma}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}(q) - |Q^{\bar{\sigma}}_{\alpha\beta}(q)|^2) = -\frac{1}{4} |Q^{\bar{\sigma}}_{\alpha\beta}(q)|^2 = -\frac{1}{4} \mathfrak{Q},$$

by (3.4).

Proposition 3.6. (An identity of Bianchi type) With respect to a special frame associated with θ , the components of the curvature tensor R have the following relation:

$$-R^{\alpha}_{\beta \ \lambda \bar{\mu}} + R^{\alpha}_{\lambda \ \beta \bar{\mu}} + R^{\alpha}_{\bar{\mu} \ \lambda \beta} = 0, \quad mod \quad \mathscr{A} \cup \Gamma.$$

$$(3.23)$$

 Γ means terms depending on Γ_{ik}^{l} linearly or quadratically.

Proof. Differentiate the second identity in the structure equations (2.9) to get

$$0 = d\theta^{\beta} \wedge \omega^{\alpha}_{\beta} - \theta^{\beta} \wedge d\omega^{\alpha}_{\beta} + d\theta^{\bar{\beta}} \wedge \omega^{\alpha}_{\bar{\beta}} - \theta^{\bar{\beta}} \wedge d\omega^{\alpha}_{\bar{\beta}} + d\theta \wedge \tau^{\alpha}, \quad mod \quad \theta.$$
(3.24)

By the definition $\omega_b^a = \Gamma_{ja}^b \theta^j$ and τ^{α} , we have

$$d\theta^b \wedge \omega^a_b = 0, \quad mod \quad \Gamma, \quad and \quad d\theta \wedge \tau^{\alpha} = 0, \quad mod \quad \mathscr{A}$$

and by the third identity in (2.9)

$$d\omega_b^a = R_a^{\ b}{}_{\lambda\bar{\mu}}\theta^\lambda \wedge \theta^{\bar{\mu}} + \frac{1}{2}R_a^{\ b}{}_{\lambda\mu}\theta^\lambda \wedge \theta^\mu + \frac{1}{2}R_a^{\ b}{}_{\bar{\lambda}\bar{\mu}}\theta^{\bar{\lambda}} \wedge \theta^{\bar{\mu}}, \quad mod \quad \theta \cup \Gamma.$$

Consequently, by substituting the above identities into (3.24), we find that

$$0 = -R_{\beta}^{\ \alpha}{}_{\lambda\bar{\mu}}\theta^{\beta} \wedge \theta^{\lambda} \wedge \theta^{\bar{\mu}} - \frac{1}{2}R_{\bar{\beta}}^{\ \alpha}{}_{\lambda\mu}\theta^{\bar{\beta}} \wedge \theta^{\lambda} \wedge \theta^{\mu}, \quad mod \quad \mathscr{A} \cup \Gamma.$$

Equivalently,

$$0 = -R_{\beta}^{\ \alpha}{}_{\lambda\bar{\mu}} + R_{\lambda}^{\ \alpha}{}_{\beta\bar{\mu}} - \frac{1}{2}R_{\bar{\mu}}^{\ \alpha}{}_{\beta\lambda} + \frac{1}{2}R_{\bar{\mu}}^{\ \alpha}{}_{\lambda\beta} = -R_{\beta}^{\ \alpha}{}_{\lambda\bar{\mu}} + R_{\lambda}^{\ \alpha}{}_{\beta\bar{\mu}} + R_{\bar{\mu}}^{\ \alpha}{}_{\lambda\beta}, \quad mod \quad \mathscr{A} \cup \Gamma.$$

4. The normalized special frame

As in the CR case (cf. Section 3 in [11]), to simplify the calculation of the asymptotic expansion of the Yamabe functional, we choose a conformal contact form such that certain components of the Webster torsion and curvature tensors vanish at the point q.

Consider the conformal transformation

$$\widehat{\theta} = e^{2u}\theta. \tag{4.1}$$

The main theorem of this section is the following.

Theorem 4.1. For a contact Riemannian manifold (M, θ, h, J) , there exists $(M, \hat{\theta}, \hat{h}, \hat{J})$ with $\hat{\theta} = e^{2u}\theta$, such that

$$\widehat{R}_{\alpha \ \gamma \overline{\beta}}^{\ \gamma}(q) = 0, \quad \widehat{A}_{\alpha\beta}(q) = 0,$$

where $\widehat{R}_{\alpha \gamma \overline{\beta}}^{\gamma}(q)$ and $\widehat{A}_{\alpha\beta}(q)$ are the components of the curvature tensor and the Webster torsion tensor with respect to the special frame $\{\widehat{W}_a, \widehat{T}\}$ of $(M, \widehat{\theta}, \widehat{h}, \widehat{J})$ centered at q.

In this section, we will work over the frame $\{W_a, \hat{T}\}$ under the conformal transformation. And we abuse the notation to denote the components of the Webster torsion tensor and the curvature tensor with respect to $\{W_a, \hat{T}\}$ also by $\hat{A}_{\alpha\beta}(q)$ and $\hat{R}^{\gamma}_{\alpha\gamma\bar{\beta}}(q)$. We will explain why we can do this later in Lemma 4.3. 4.1. The transformation formulae under the conformal transformation. First we do not change the special frame $\{W_a\}$ in the horizontal space. As mentioned in (1.2), under the conformal transformation, we have $(\theta, J, T, h) \rightarrow (\hat{\theta}, \hat{J}, \hat{T}, \hat{h})$ with

$$\widehat{T} = e^{-2u} (T + J^b_{\ a} u^a W_b),$$

$$\widehat{h}_{ab} = e^{2u} h_{ab},$$

$$\widehat{J}^b_{\ a} = J^b_{\ a},$$
(4.2)

where $u_a = W_a u$ and $u^a = h^{ab} u_b$. And we also get $\widehat{J}_{ab} = e^{2u} J_{ab}$ by (4.2).

Let $\{\theta^b, \theta\}$ denote the special coframe. Noting that we do not change $\{W_a\}$, we require $\widehat{\theta}^b(W_a) = \delta^b_a$ and $\widehat{\theta}(\widehat{T}) = 0$. So θ^b change as:

$$\widehat{\theta^a} = \theta^a - J^a_{\ b} u^b \theta. \tag{4.3}$$

Lemma 4.1. If $u \in \mathcal{O}_m$, for a fixed special frame of the contact Riemannian manifold (M, θ, h, J) , under the conformal transformation (4.1), we have

$$\widehat{A}_{\alpha\beta} = A_{\alpha\beta} - iZ_{\alpha}Z_{\beta}u + \mathscr{O}_{m-1}, \qquad (4.4)$$

$$\widehat{R}_{\alpha\ \gamma\bar{\beta}}^{\ \gamma} = R_{\alpha\ \gamma\bar{\beta}}^{\ \gamma} - \frac{n+2}{2} (Z_{\alpha}Z_{\bar{\beta}}u + Z_{\bar{\beta}}Z_{\alpha}u) + \frac{1}{2}h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\mathscr{L}_{0}u + \mathscr{O}_{m-1}, \tag{4.5}$$

where we set $\mathscr{L}_0 = -(Z_\alpha Z_{\bar{\alpha}} + Z_{\bar{\alpha}} Z_\alpha).$

This lemma will be proved in the Appendix A. On a contact Riemannian manifold, we have transformation formulae (4.4) and (4.5) under the conformal transformation similar to the CR case (cf. Lemma 3.6 in [11]), but with error terms \mathcal{O}_{m-1} instead of \mathcal{O}_m . We will see that it's sufficient for our purpose.

Lemma 4.2. Under the conformal transformation (4.1), for $u \in \mathcal{O}_m$, $m \ge 2$. The connection 1-form of the TWT connection changes as

$$\widehat{\omega}_a^b = \omega_a^b + \mathscr{O}_m$$

Proof. First note that by (A.6) we have

$$\widehat{\Gamma}^{b}_{ca} = \Gamma^{b}_{ca} + \mathscr{O}_{m-1}, \quad \widehat{\Gamma}^{b}_{\widehat{0}a} = \Gamma^{b}_{0a} + \mathscr{O}_{m-2}.$$

$$(4.6)$$

By (4.1), (4.3) and $e^{2u} = 1 + \mathcal{O}_m$, we get

$$\widehat{\theta} = (1 + \mathscr{O}_m)\theta = \theta + \mathscr{O}_{m+2}, \quad \widehat{\theta}^a = \theta^a + \mathscr{O}_{m+1}.$$

So

$$\widehat{\omega}^b_a = \widehat{\Gamma}^b_{ca}\widehat{\theta}^c + \widehat{\Gamma}^b_{\widehat{0}a}\widehat{\theta} = \omega^b_a + \mathscr{O}_m.$$

4.2. The conformal contact form with vanishing $R^{\gamma}_{\alpha \ \gamma \bar{\beta}}(q)$ and $A_{\alpha\beta}(q)$. As in the CR case (cf. p. 320 in [11]), we define the tensor $S_{ab}\theta^a \otimes \theta^b$, whose components are:

$$S_{\alpha\beta} = \overline{S_{\alpha\beta}} = -(n+2)iA_{\alpha\beta}(q), \quad S_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \overline{S_{\bar{\alpha}\beta}} = R^{\ \gamma}_{\alpha\ \gamma\bar{\beta}}(q).$$

Proposition 4.1. S_{ab} is a symmetric tensor.

Proof. $S_{\alpha\beta}$ and $S_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}$ is symmetric following directly from the self-adjointness of A_{ab} (see Lemma 2.1). So we need to prove $S_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = S_{\bar{\beta}\alpha}$.

Recall that

$$h(R(X,Y)Z,W) = h(R(W,Z)Y,X) + h((LW \wedge LZ)Y,X) - h((LX \wedge LY)Z,W), \qquad (4.7)$$

(cf. (38) in [3]), for any vector field X, Y, Z, W, where $(X \wedge Y)Z = h(X, Z)Y - h(Y, Z)X$ and $L = J - \tau_*$. Now apply (4.7) to $X = W_{\gamma}$, $Y = W_{\bar{\beta}}$, $Z = W_{\alpha}$, $W = W_{\bar{\mu}}$ to get

$$h(R(W_{\gamma}, W_{\bar{\beta}})W_{\alpha}, W_{\bar{\mu}}) = h(R(W_{\bar{\mu}}, W_{\alpha})W_{\bar{\beta}}, W_{\gamma}) + h((LW_{\bar{\mu}} \wedge LW_{\alpha})W_{\bar{\beta}}, W_{\gamma}) - h((LW_{\gamma} \wedge LW_{\bar{\beta}})W_{\alpha}, W_{\bar{\mu}}).$$

$$(4.8)$$

On the other hand, by the definition of L, $h(LW_a, W_b) = h((J - \tau_*)W_a, W_b) = h(J^c_a W_c - A^c_a W_c, W_b) = J_{ba} - A_{ab}$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} h((LW_{\bar{\mu}} \wedge LW_{\alpha})W_{\bar{\beta}}, W_{\gamma})|_{q} &= h(h(LW_{\bar{\mu}}, W_{\bar{\beta}})LW_{\alpha}, W_{\gamma})|_{q} - h(h(LW_{\alpha}, W_{\bar{\beta}})LW_{\bar{\mu}}, W_{\gamma})|_{q} \\ &= (J_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\mu}} - A_{\bar{\mu}\bar{\beta}})(J_{\gamma\alpha} - A_{\alpha\gamma})|_{q} - (J_{\bar{\beta}\alpha} - A_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})(J_{\gamma\bar{\mu}} - A_{\bar{\mu}\gamma})|_{q} \\ &= A_{\bar{\mu}\bar{\beta}}(q)A_{\alpha\gamma}(q) - J_{\bar{\beta}\alpha}(q)J_{\gamma\bar{\mu}}(q), \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.9)$$

and

$$h \left((LW_{\gamma} \wedge LW_{\bar{\beta}})W_{\alpha}, W_{\bar{\mu}} \right)|_{q} = h (h (LW_{\gamma}, W_{\alpha})LW_{\bar{\beta}}, W_{\bar{\mu}})|_{q} - h (h (LW_{\bar{\beta}}, W_{\alpha})LW_{\gamma}, W_{\bar{\mu}})|_{q}$$

$$= (J_{\alpha\gamma} - A_{\gamma\alpha})|_{q} (J_{\bar{\mu}\bar{\beta}} - A_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\mu}})|_{q} - (J_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} - A_{\bar{\beta}\alpha})|_{q} (J_{\bar{\mu}\gamma} - A_{\gamma\bar{\mu}})|_{q}$$

$$= A_{\gamma\alpha}(q)A_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\mu}}(q) - J_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}(q)J_{\bar{\mu}\gamma}(q) = A_{\bar{\mu}\bar{\beta}}(q)A_{\alpha\gamma}(q) - J_{\bar{\beta}\alpha}(q)J_{\gamma\bar{\mu}}(q),$$

$$(4.10)$$

at the point q by using Proposition 2.6 and Corollary 2.2. Substitute (4.9) and (4.10) to (4.8) to get $R_{\alpha\bar{\mu}\gamma\bar{\beta}}(q) = R_{\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\mu}\alpha}(q)$ at q. Hence $S_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = R^{\gamma}_{\alpha\ \gamma\bar{\beta}}(q) = h^{\gamma\bar{\mu}}R_{\alpha\bar{\mu}\gamma\bar{\beta}}(q) = R_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}\gamma\bar{\beta}}(q) = R_{\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\gamma}\alpha} = h_{\gamma\bar{\mu}}R^{\bar{\mu}}_{\bar{\beta}\ \bar{\gamma}\alpha}(q) = R^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\bar{\beta}\ \bar{\gamma}\alpha}(q) = S_{\bar{\beta}\alpha}$. So tensor $\{S_{ab}\}$ is symmetric.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. If u = u(z) is a polynomial homogeneous of degree m but independent of t, we denote $u \in \mathscr{R}_m$. We assume $u \in \mathscr{R}_2$ in the conformal transformation (4.1).

For the symmetric tensor S_{ab} as above, we define the polynomial

$$S = S_{ab} z^a z^b.$$

By Lemma 4.1, for $u \in \mathscr{R}_2$, we have

$$\begin{split} S_{\alpha\beta} &= S_{\alpha\beta} - (n+2)Z_{\alpha}Z_{\beta}u, \\ \widehat{S}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} &= S_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} - \frac{n+2}{2}(Z_{\bar{\beta}}Z_{\alpha}u + Z_{\alpha}Z_{\bar{\beta}}u) + \frac{1}{2}h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\mathscr{L}_{0}u. \end{split}$$

Now let $\widehat{S} = \widehat{S}_{ab} z^a z^b$, we get

$$\begin{split} \widehat{S} &= \widehat{S}_{ab} z^a z^b = \left(S_{\alpha\beta} - (n+2) Z_{\alpha} Z_{\beta} u \right) z^{\alpha} z^{\beta} + \left(S_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} - \frac{n+2}{2} (Z_{\bar{\beta}} Z_{\alpha} u + Z_{\alpha} Z_{\bar{\beta}} u) + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \mathscr{L}_0 u \right) z^{\alpha} z^{\bar{\beta}} \\ &+ \left(S_{\bar{\alpha}\beta} - \frac{n+2}{2} (Z_{\beta} Z_{\bar{\alpha}} u + Z_{\bar{\alpha}} Z_{\beta} u) + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\beta\bar{\alpha}} \mathscr{L}_0 u \right) z^{\bar{\alpha}} z^{\beta} + \left(S_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} - (n+2) Z_{\bar{\alpha}} Z_{\bar{\beta}} u \right) z^{\bar{\alpha}} z^{\bar{\beta}} \\ &= S - (n+2) z^a z^b Z_a Z_b u + |z|^2 \mathscr{L}_0 u. \end{split}$$

$$(4.11)$$

Note that

$$m^{2}u = P^{2}u = (z^{a}Z_{a} + 2z^{0}Z_{0})^{2}u = z^{a}z^{b}Z_{a}Z_{b}u + 4z^{0}z^{a}Z_{0}Z_{a}u + 4z^{0}z^{0}Z_{0}Z_{0}u + 2z^{0}Z_{0}u + Pu,$$

(cf. p. 320 in [11]). Thus for $u \in \mathscr{R}_2$, we have

$$z^a z^b Z_a Z_b u = 2u.$$

Therefore by (4.11), $\hat{S} = S - 2(n+2)u + |z|^2 \mathscr{L}_0 u$. The operator $-2(n+2) + |z|^2 \mathscr{L}_0$ is invertible on \mathscr{R}_2 by $|z|^2 \mathscr{L}_0$ having no positive eigenvalues (cf. Lemma 3.9 in [11]). So we can find $u = u_0 \in \mathscr{R}_2$ such that $\hat{S}_{(2)} = 0$. Namely u_0 satisfies $-2(n+2)u_0 + |z|^2 \mathscr{L}_0 u_0 = -S_{(2)} = -z^a z^b S_{ab}(q)$. Under the conformal transformation $\hat{\theta} = e^{2u_0}\theta$ for such u_0 , we have

$$\widehat{R}^{\gamma}_{\alpha\ \gamma\bar{\beta}}(q) = 0, \quad \widehat{A}_{\alpha\beta}(q) = 0$$

with respect to a special frame $\{W_a, \hat{T}\}$ of (M, θ, h, J) centered at q. Finally, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.3. Under the conformal transformation (4.1) with $u \in \mathscr{R}_2$, changing the special frame $\{W_a\}$ of (M, θ, h, J) centered at q to a special frame $\{\widehat{W}_a\}$ of $(M, \widehat{\theta}, \widehat{h}, \widehat{J})$ centered at q makes the value of the curvature tensor and the Webster torsion tensor at q invariant. So we can abuse the notation to write $\widehat{R}_{\alpha \ \gamma \overline{\beta}}^{\ \gamma}(q)$ and $\widehat{A}_{\alpha\beta}(q)$ no matter they are with respect to $\{W_a, \widehat{T}\}$ or $\{\widehat{W}_a, \widehat{T}\}$.

Proof. Since $\{W_a\}$ and $\{\widehat{W}_a\}$ are both horizontal, we write $\widehat{W}_a = v_a^b W_b$ for some invertible matrix $\{v_a^b\}$. The value of $\{W_a\}$ and $\{\widehat{W}_a\}$ at the point q are decided by relation

$$\widehat{h}(\widehat{W_{\alpha}},\widehat{W_{\overline{\beta}}}) = \delta_{\alpha\overline{\beta}} = h(W_{\alpha},W_{\overline{\beta}}), \quad \widehat{h}(\widehat{W_{\alpha}},\widehat{W_{\beta}}) = 0 = h(W_{\alpha},W_{\beta}),$$

at q. By (4.2), if $u \in \mathscr{R}_2$, we have $h_{ab} = h(W_a, W_b) = \widehat{h}(\widehat{W_a}, \widehat{W_b}) = (1 + \mathscr{O}_2) v_a^c v_b^d h(W_c, W_d) = v_a^c v_b^d (1 + \mathscr{O}_2) h_{cd}$. So the special frame satisfies $\widehat{W_a} = v_a^b W_b$ with $v_a^b = \delta_a^b + \mathscr{O}_1$.

By the classical theory of the differential geometry, R_{abcd} and A_{ab} are covariant tensors. So with changing $W_a \rightarrow \widehat{W}_a = v_a^b W_b$, these components change as $R_{abcd} \rightarrow v_a^{a_1} v_b^{b_1} v_c^{c_1} v_d^{d_1} R_{a_1b_1c_1d_1}$ and $A_{ab} \rightarrow A_{a_1b_1} v_a^{a_1} v_b^{b_1}$ (it's shown in Appendix A.2). So their value at q does not change since v is the identity transformation at q.

So we also have

$$\widehat{R}_{\alpha\ \gamma\bar{\beta}}^{\ \gamma}(q) = 0, \quad \widehat{A}_{\alpha\beta}(q) = 0,$$

with respect to a special frame of $(M, \hat{\theta}, \hat{h}, \hat{J})$ centered at q.

FEIFAN WU AND WEI WANG

5. The proof of the main theorem

5.1. The asymptotic expansion of the Yamabe functional.

Lemma 5.1. (cf. Theorem 11.3 in [17].) For a contact Riemannian manifold (M, θ, h, J) , the Yamabe functional $\mathscr{G}_{\theta,h}(u)$ in (1.4) is invariant under the conformal transformation.

For a contact Riemannian manifold (M, θ, h, J) , suppose that the almost structure J is not integrable. There exists a point q such that the Tanno tensor $Q(q) \neq 0$. By Proposition 3.2, we must have $\mathfrak{Q} > 0$. By Lemma 5.1, we can choose $(M, \hat{\theta}, \hat{h}, \hat{J})$ conformal to (M, θ, h, J) such that the components of curvature and Webster torsion tensors satisfy Theorem 4.1. And $\mathfrak{Q} > 0$ also holds with respect to $(M, \hat{\theta}, \hat{h}, \hat{J})$. We denote this $(M, \hat{\theta}, \hat{h}, \hat{J})$ as (M, θ, h, J) in this section.

Proposition 5.1. We can choose (M, θ, h, J) in its conformal class such that with respect to a special frame $\{W_a, T\}$ of (M, θ, h, J) centered at q,

$$A_{ab}(q) = 0, \quad R^{\gamma}_{\alpha \ \gamma \bar{\beta}}(q) = 0, \quad R^{\alpha}_{\bar{\beta} \ \alpha \beta}(q) = -\frac{1}{4}\mathfrak{Q}, \quad R^{\alpha}_{\alpha \ \beta \bar{\beta}}(q) = \frac{1}{4}\mathfrak{Q}.$$

Proof. We only need to show the last identity since the others are given by Corollary 2.2, Theorem 4.1 and (3.12) in Proposition 3.5. Since we already have $A_{ab}(q) = 0$, $R^{\alpha}_{\beta \alpha \overline{\beta}}(q) = 0$ and $\Gamma^{l}_{jk}(q) = 0$ (by (2.26)), the Bianchi-type identity (3.23) at q gives us

$$0 = -R^{\alpha}_{\beta \alpha \bar{\mu}}(q) + R^{\alpha}_{\alpha \beta \bar{\mu}}(q) + R^{\alpha}_{\bar{\mu} \alpha \beta}(q) = R^{\alpha}_{\alpha \beta \bar{\mu}}(q) + R^{\alpha}_{\bar{\mu} \alpha \beta}(q),$$

which implies $R^{\ \alpha}_{\alpha\ \beta\bar{\beta}}(q) = -R^{\ \alpha}_{\bar{\beta}\ \alpha\beta}(q) = \frac{1}{4}\mathfrak{Q}.$

Then we have the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 5.1. For a contact Riemannian manifold (M, θ, h, J) such that $Q(q) \neq 0$ for some point q. If we choose the normalized contact form and the special frame as Proposition 5.1, then (1.8) holds. In particular, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\mathscr{Y}_{\theta}(f^{\varepsilon}) < \lambda(\mathscr{H}^n)$.

We write the volume form of the contact manifold $dV_{\theta} = (-1)^n \theta \wedge d\theta^n$ as

$$dV_{\theta} = (v_0 + v_1 + v_2 + \mathcal{O}_3)dV, \tag{5.1}$$

where v_j is a homogeneous polynomial of degree j = 0, 1, 2 and $dV = (-1)^n \Theta \wedge d\Theta$. By $\theta_{(2)} = \Theta$ in (2.22) we find $v_0 = 1$.

Proposition 5.2. On the contact Riemannian manifold (M, θ, h, J) , we have the following expansion.

$$\int_{M} |f^{\varepsilon}|^{p} dV_{\theta} = a_{0}(n) + a_{1}(n)\varepsilon + a_{2}(n)\varepsilon^{2} + O(\varepsilon^{3}),$$

$$\int_{M} |df^{\varepsilon}|^{2}_{H} dV_{\theta} = b_{0}(n) + b_{1}(n)\varepsilon + b_{2}(n)\varepsilon^{2} + O(\varepsilon^{3}),$$

$$\int_{M} R|f^{\varepsilon}|^{2} dV_{\theta} = c_{2}(n)\varepsilon^{2} + O(\varepsilon^{3}),$$
(5.2)

where

$$a_m(n) = \int_{\mathscr{H}^n} |\Phi|^p v_m dV,$$

$$b_m(n) = 2 \int_{\mathscr{H}^n} v_m^{jk} Z_j \Phi Z_k \Phi dV,$$

$$c_2(n) = \int_{\mathscr{H}^n} R(q) |\Phi|^2 dV.$$
(5.3)

m = 0, 1, 2. v_m is defined as (5.1) and

$$v_m^{jk} = \sum_{\substack{m_0 + m_1 + m_2 = m \\ m_i \ge 0, \ \beta}} v_{m_0} s_{\beta(m_1 + o(j) - 1)}^j s_{\overline{\beta}(m_2 + o(k) - 1)}^k.$$
(5.4)

Proof. The estimates (5.2) is similar to the CR case (cf. Proposition 4.2 in [11]), but the third identity of (5.2) is $O(\varepsilon^3)$ in the CR case with R(q) = 0. We sketch the proof here. First note that if a function $|\varphi| \leq CF(\rho)$, then

$$\int_{a < \rho < b} \varphi dV = O\left(\int_{a}^{b} F(\rho)\rho^{2n+1}d\rho\right).$$

If we replace (z,t) by $\delta_{\varepsilon}(z,t) = (\varepsilon z, \varepsilon^2 t)$, we have $\delta_{\varepsilon}^* \Phi^{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^{-n} \Phi$, $\delta_{\varepsilon}^* (dV) = \varepsilon^{2n+2} dV$. We also note that $\Phi \leq C(1+\rho)^{-2n}$ (cf. p. 330 in [11]). So

$$\begin{split} \int_{M} |f^{\varepsilon}|^{p} dV_{\theta} &= \int_{\mathscr{H}^{n}} |\psi|^{p} |\Phi^{\varepsilon}|^{p} (1+v_{1}+v_{2}+O(\rho^{3})) dV \\ &= \int_{\rho < \kappa/\varepsilon} |\Phi|^{p} (1+\varepsilon v_{1}+\varepsilon^{2} v_{2}+O(\varepsilon^{3}\rho^{3})) dV + O\left(\int_{\kappa/\varepsilon < \rho < 2\kappa/\varepsilon} |\Phi|^{p} dV\right) \\ &= \int_{\mathscr{H}^{n}} |\Phi|^{p} (1+\varepsilon v_{1}+\varepsilon^{2} v_{2}) dV + O\left(\int_{\kappa/\varepsilon}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{2} \varepsilon^{i} \rho^{i} (1+\rho)^{-4n-4} \rho^{2n+1} d\rho\right) \\ &+ O\left(\int_{0}^{\kappa/\varepsilon} \varepsilon^{3} \rho^{3} (1+\rho)^{-4n-4} \rho^{2n+1} d\rho\right) + O\left(\int_{\kappa/\varepsilon}^{2\kappa/\varepsilon} (1+\rho)^{-4n-4} \rho^{2n+1} d\rho\right) \\ &= \int_{\mathscr{H}^{n}} |\Phi|^{p} (1+\varepsilon v_{1}+\varepsilon^{2} v_{2}) dV + O(\varepsilon^{3}), \end{split}$$

for $n \geq 2$. So we get the first identity in (5.2). Noting that $|df^{\varepsilon}|_{H}^{2} = \langle W_{\alpha}f^{\varepsilon}\theta^{\alpha} + W_{\bar{\alpha}}f^{\varepsilon}\theta^{\bar{\alpha}}, W_{\beta}f^{\varepsilon}\theta^{\beta} + W_{\bar{\beta}}f^{\varepsilon}\theta^{\bar{\beta}}\rangle = h^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}W_{\alpha}f^{\varepsilon}W_{\bar{\beta}}f^{\varepsilon} + h^{\bar{\alpha}\beta}W_{\bar{\alpha}}f^{\varepsilon}W_{\beta}f^{\varepsilon} = 2W_{\beta}f^{\varepsilon}W_{\bar{\beta}}f^{\varepsilon}$, we can write

$$\begin{split} \int_{M} |df^{\varepsilon}|^{2}_{H} dV_{\theta} &= 2 \int_{M} W_{\beta} f^{\varepsilon} W_{\bar{\beta}} f^{\varepsilon} dV_{\theta} \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathscr{H}^{n}} s^{j}_{\beta} Z_{j}(\psi \Phi^{\varepsilon}) s^{k}_{\bar{\beta}} Z_{k}(\psi \Phi^{\varepsilon}) (1 + v_{1} + v_{2} + \cdots) dV \\ &= 2 \int_{\rho < \kappa} \left(v^{jk}_{0} + v^{jk}_{1} + v^{jk}_{2} + O(\rho^{1 + o(jk)}) \right) Z_{j} \Phi^{\varepsilon} Z_{k} \Phi^{\varepsilon} dV \\ &+ O\bigg(\int_{\kappa < \rho < 2\kappa} (|Z_{j} \Phi^{\varepsilon}| |Z_{k} \Phi^{\varepsilon}| + |Z_{j} \Phi^{\varepsilon}| |\Phi^{\varepsilon}| + |\Phi^{\varepsilon}|^{2}) dV \bigg), \end{split}$$

by setting v_m^{jk} as (5.4), which is a homogeneous polynomial of degree m + o(jk) - 2. And by noting that $\delta_{\varepsilon}^*(Z_j \Phi^{\varepsilon}) = \varepsilon^{-n-o(j)} Z_j \Phi$ and $|Z_j \Phi| \leq C(1+\rho)^{-2n-o(j)}$, then we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{M} |df^{\varepsilon}|_{H}^{2} dV_{\theta} &= 2 \int_{\rho < \kappa/\varepsilon} \sum_{m=0}^{2} \varepsilon^{m} v_{m}^{jk} Z_{j} \Phi Z_{k} \Phi dV + O\left(\int_{0}^{\kappa/\varepsilon} \sum_{i=2}^{4} \varepsilon^{3} \rho^{1+i} (1+\rho)^{-4n-i} \rho^{2n+1} d\rho\right) \\ &+ O\left(\int_{\kappa/\varepsilon}^{2\kappa/\varepsilon} \sum_{i=0}^{4} \varepsilon^{2-i} (1+\rho)^{-4n-i} \rho^{2n+1} d\rho\right) \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathscr{H}^{n}} \sum_{m=0}^{2} \varepsilon^{m} v_{m}^{jk} Z_{j} \Phi Z_{k} \Phi dV + O\left(\int_{\kappa/\varepsilon}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{2} \sum_{i=2}^{4} \varepsilon^{m} \rho^{m+i-2} (1+\rho)^{-4n-i} \rho^{2n+1} d\rho\right) + O(\varepsilon^{3}) \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathscr{H}^{n}} \sum_{m=0}^{2} \varepsilon^{m} v_{m}^{jk} Z_{j} \Phi Z_{k} \Phi dV + O(\varepsilon^{3}). \end{split}$$

So we get the second identity in (5.2). The third identity in (5.2) follows from

 $\int_M R|f^{\varepsilon}|^2 dV_{\theta} = \left(\int_{\mathscr{H}^n} R(q)|\Phi|^2 dV\right)\varepsilon^2 + O(\varepsilon^3),$

(cf. p. 332 in [11]).

Note that the volume form of the Heisenberg group $dV = (-1)^n \Theta \wedge d\Theta^n$ can be written as

$$dV = (-1)^{n} \Theta \wedge d\Theta^{n} = (-1)^{n} \Theta \wedge d\Theta^{n} = (-1)^{n} dt \wedge (-2idz^{\alpha} \wedge dz^{\bar{\alpha}})^{n}$$

= $2^{n} n! dt \wedge (idz^{1} \wedge dz^{\bar{1}}) \wedge \cdots \wedge (idz^{n} \wedge dz^{\bar{n}}) = 4^{n} n! dt \wedge dx^{1} \wedge dy^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{n} \wedge dy^{n}$
= $4^{n} n! dt d\mu(z) = 4^{n} n! r^{2n-1} d\nu(\zeta) dr dt,$ (5.5)

where $d\mu(z)$ is the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{C}^n , and $d\nu$ is the uniform measure on $S^{2n-1} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z| = 1\}$, normalized so that if $z = r\zeta$, $\zeta \in S^{2n-1}$, $d\mu(z) = r^{2n-1}drd\nu(\zeta)$.

To calculate $a_m(n), b_m(n), m = 0, 1, 2$ explicitly, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 5.2. If a real two-form $\omega = m_{\alpha\beta}dz^{\alpha} \wedge dz^{\beta} + 2im_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}dz^{\alpha} \wedge dz^{\bar{\beta}} + m_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}dz^{\bar{\alpha}} \wedge dz^{\bar{\beta}}$, then $n\Theta \wedge \omega \wedge d\Theta^{n-1} = -\delta^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}m_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\Theta \wedge d\Theta^{n}$, $n(n-1)\Theta \wedge \omega^{2} \wedge d\Theta^{n-2} = \left((\delta^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\delta^{\rho\bar{\sigma}} - \delta^{\alpha\bar{\sigma}}\delta^{\rho\bar{\beta}})m_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}m_{\rho\bar{\sigma}} + \frac{1}{2}(\delta^{\alpha\bar{\rho}}\delta^{\beta\bar{\sigma}} - \delta^{\alpha\bar{\sigma}}\delta^{\beta\bar{\rho}})m_{\alpha\beta}m_{\bar{\rho}\bar{\sigma}} \right) \Theta \wedge d\Theta^{n}$.

Proof. This is essentially Lemma 5.1 in [11]. To avoid confusion, we will not use the summation convention in the proof of this Lemma. We can calculate that

$$\begin{split} n\Theta\wedge\omega\wedge d\Theta^{n-1} &= ndt\wedge \left(2im_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}dz^{\alpha}\wedge dz^{\bar{\beta}}\right)\wedge \left(-2i\sum_{\gamma}dz^{\gamma}\wedge dz^{\bar{\gamma}}\right)^{n-1} \\ &= (-2i)^{n}n!dt\wedge \left(-\sum_{\alpha,\beta}m_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}dz^{\alpha}\wedge dz^{\bar{\beta}}\right)\wedge \left(\sum_{\gamma}dz^{1}\wedge dz^{\bar{1}}\wedge\cdots\wedge \widehat{dz^{\gamma}}\wedge \widehat{dz^{\bar{\gamma}}}\wedge\cdots\wedge dz^{n}\wedge dz^{\bar{n}}\right) \\ &= (-2i)^{n}n!\sum_{\alpha}(-m_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}})dt\wedge dz^{1}\wedge dz^{\bar{1}}\wedge\cdots\wedge dz^{n}\wedge dz^{\bar{n}} = -\delta^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}m_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\Theta\wedge d\Theta^{n}. \end{split}$$

Here $\widehat{dz^{\gamma}}$ means the exterior derivative has no dz^{γ} terms. And for the second identity, we can prove in the same way as the second identity in Lemma 5.1 in [11].

Corollary 5.1. As defined by (5.1),

$$v_1 = 0; \quad v_2 = -\frac{1}{6} R^{\ \alpha}_{\bar{\beta}\ \alpha\mu}(q) z^{\bar{\beta}} z^{\mu} - \frac{1}{6} R^{\ \bar{\alpha}}_{\beta\ \bar{\alpha}\bar{\mu}}(q) z^{\beta} z^{\bar{\mu}}, \quad mod \quad z^{\beta} z^{\mu}, \ z^{\bar{\beta}} z^{\bar{\mu}}.$$
(5.6)

Proof. By the definition of v_1 ,

$$(dV_{\theta})_{(2n+3)} = (-1)^n (\theta \wedge d\theta^n)_{(2n+3)} = (-1)^n \left(\theta_{(3)} \wedge d\Theta^n + n\Theta \wedge (d\theta)_{(3)} \wedge d\Theta^{n-1}\right) = (-1)^n v_1 \Theta \wedge d\Theta^n.$$

By (2.22), $\theta_{(3)}$ has no dt term and so $\theta_{(3)} \wedge d\Theta^n$ vanishes. Note that (2.9), (2.22) and Proposition 3.1 lead to

$$(d\theta)_{(3)} = J_{\alpha\beta(1)}dz^{\alpha} \wedge dz^{\beta} + J_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}(1)}dz^{\bar{\alpha}} \wedge dz^{\beta},$$
(5.7)

which has no $dz^{\alpha} \wedge dz^{\overline{\beta}}$ term. So by Lemma 5.2, $\Theta \wedge (d\theta)_{(3)} \wedge d\Theta^{n-1} = 0$. Hence $v_1 = 0$.

By the definition of v_2 in (5.1), we see that

$$(dV_{\theta})_{(2n+4)} = (-1)^{n} (\theta \wedge d\theta^{n})_{(2n+4)}$$

= $(-1)^{n} \left(\theta_{(4)} \wedge d\Theta^{n} + n\Theta \wedge (d\theta)_{(4)} \wedge d\Theta^{n-1} + n\theta_{(3)} \wedge (d\theta)_{(3)} \wedge d\Theta^{n-1} + \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \Theta \wedge \left((d\theta)_{(3)} \right)^{2} \wedge d\Theta^{n-2} \right)$
= $v_{2} dV = (-1)^{n} v_{2} \Theta \wedge d\Theta^{n}.$

By (2.22) and $A_{ab}(q) = 0$, $\theta_{(4)}$ has no dt term, and so the first term of the right hand side vanishes. By (2.22) and (5.7), $\theta_{(3)}$ and $(d\theta)_{(3)}$ have no dt term. Hence the third term of the right hand side vanishes. Now apply Lemma 5.2 to $\omega = (d\theta)_{(3)}$ in (5.7) to get

$$\frac{n(n-1)}{2}\Theta \wedge \left((d\theta)_{(3)}\right)^2 \wedge d\Theta^{n-2} = \frac{1}{4} (J_{\alpha\beta(1)}J_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}(1)} - J_{\alpha\beta(1)}J_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\alpha}(1)})\Theta \wedge d\Theta^n$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} J_{\alpha\beta(1)}J_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}(1)}\Theta \wedge d\Theta^n = \frac{1}{2} Q^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\beta\gamma}(q) Q^{\alpha}_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\mu}}(q) z^{\gamma} z^{\bar{\mu}}\Theta \wedge d\Theta^n,$$

by $J_{ab} = -J_{ba}$ in (2.4) and (3.8). Noting that by (2.9), $J_{\alpha\beta}(q) = 0$ by (2.14) and $\theta^a_{(2)} = 0$ by (2.22), we have

$$\begin{split} (d\theta)_{(4)} &= (J_{\alpha\beta}\theta^{\alpha} \wedge \theta^{\beta} + 2J_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\theta^{\alpha} \wedge \theta^{\beta} + J_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}\theta^{\bar{\alpha}} \wedge \theta^{\beta})_{(4)} \\ &= 2J_{\alpha\bar{\beta}(2)}dz^{\alpha} \wedge dz^{\bar{\beta}} + 2J_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}(q)\theta^{\alpha}_{(3)} \wedge dz^{\bar{\beta}} + 2J_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}(q)dz^{\alpha} \wedge \theta^{\bar{\beta}}_{(3)} \\ &= 2J_{\alpha\bar{\beta}(2)}dz^{\alpha} \wedge dz^{\bar{\beta}} - \frac{i}{3}R^{\ \alpha}_{b\ c\lambda}(q)z^{b}z^{c}dz^{\lambda} \wedge dz^{\bar{\alpha}} - \frac{i}{3}R^{\ \bar{\alpha}}_{b\ c\bar{\lambda}}(q)z^{b}z^{c}dz^{\alpha} \wedge dz^{\bar{\lambda}}, \\ &= 2i\bigg(-iJ_{\alpha\bar{\beta}(2)}dz^{\alpha} \wedge dz^{\bar{\beta}} + \frac{1}{6}R^{\ \alpha}_{\beta\ \lambda\bar{\mu}}(q)z^{\beta}z^{\bar{\mu}}dz^{\lambda} \wedge dz^{\bar{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{6}R^{\ \alpha}_{\beta\ \lambda\bar{\mu}}(q)z^{\bar{\beta}}z^{\mu}dz^{\lambda} \wedge dz^{\bar{\alpha}} \\ &+ \frac{1}{6}R^{\ \bar{\alpha}}_{\beta\ \bar{\lambda}\bar{\mu}}(q)z^{\beta}z^{\bar{\mu}}dz^{\alpha} \wedge dz^{\bar{\lambda}} + \frac{1}{6}R^{\ \bar{\alpha}}_{\beta\ \bar{\lambda}\mu}(q)z^{\bar{\beta}}z^{\mu}dz^{\alpha} \wedge dz^{\bar{\lambda}}\bigg), \\ &mod \quad dz^{\alpha} \wedge dz^{\beta}, \quad dz^{\bar{\alpha}} \wedge dz^{\bar{\beta}}, \quad z^{\alpha}z^{\beta}, \quad z^{\bar{\alpha}}z^{\bar{\beta}}, \end{split}$$

by using Corollary 2.3. Now Apply Lemma 5.2 to $\omega = (d\theta)_{(4)}$ to get

$$\begin{split} &n\Theta \wedge (d\theta)_{(4)} \wedge d\Theta^{n-1} \\ &= \left(iJ_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}(2)} - \frac{1}{6}R^{\ \alpha}_{\bar{\beta}\ \alpha\mu}(q)z^{\bar{\beta}}z^{\mu} - \frac{1}{6}R^{\ \bar{\alpha}}_{\beta\ \bar{\alpha}\bar{\mu}}(q)z^{\beta}z^{\bar{\mu}}\right)\Theta \wedge d\Theta^{n}, \quad mod \quad z^{\alpha}z^{\beta}, \ z^{\bar{\alpha}}z^{\bar{\beta}} \\ &= \left(-\frac{1}{2}Q^{\bar{\sigma}}_{\alpha\beta}(q)Q^{\sigma}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\mu}}(q)z^{\beta}z^{\bar{\mu}} - \frac{1}{6}R^{\ \alpha}_{\beta\ \alpha\mu}(q)z^{\bar{\beta}}z^{\mu} - \frac{1}{6}R^{\ \bar{\alpha}}_{\beta\ \bar{\alpha}\bar{\mu}}(q)z^{\beta}z^{\bar{\mu}}\right)\Theta \wedge d\Theta^{n}, \\ mod \quad z^{\alpha}z^{\beta}, \ z^{\bar{\alpha}}z^{\bar{\beta}}. \end{split}$$

Here we have used (3.9) for $J_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}(2)}$ and Proposition 5.1 for $R^{\ \alpha}_{\beta\ \alpha\bar{\mu}}(q) = R^{\ \bar{\alpha}}_{\bar{\beta}\ \bar{\alpha}\mu}(q) = 0$. So we conclude that

$$v_{2}\Theta\wedge d\Theta^{n} = n\Theta\wedge (d\theta)_{(4)}\wedge d\Theta^{n-1} + \frac{n(n-1)}{2}\Theta\wedge ((d\theta)_{(3)})^{2}\wedge d\Theta^{n-2}$$
$$= \left(-\frac{1}{6}R_{\bar{\beta}\ \alpha\mu}^{\ \alpha}(q)z^{\bar{\beta}}z^{\mu} - \frac{1}{6}R_{\beta\ \bar{\alpha}\bar{\mu}}^{\ \bar{\alpha}}(q)z^{\beta}z^{\bar{\mu}}\right)\Theta\wedge d\Theta^{n}, \quad mod \quad z^{\beta}z^{\mu}, \ z^{\bar{\beta}}z^{\bar{\mu}}.$$

We finish the proof of this corollary.

5.2. Calculation of some integrals.

Lemma 5.3. (cf. Proposition 5.3 in [11]) Let $A = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$, $B = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m)$ be the multi-indices with $1 \le \alpha_i, \beta_i \le n$, let $\delta(A, B) = 1$ if A = B and 0 otherwise. Then

$$\int_{S^{2n-1}} z^{\alpha_1} \cdots z^{\alpha_m} z^{\overline{\beta}_1} \cdots z^{\overline{\beta}_m} d\nu = \frac{2\pi^n}{(n+m-1)!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} \delta(A, \sigma B).$$

Lemma 5.3 leads to following corollary.

Corollary 5.2. If F is a function of r and t, denote $\mathscr{F}_m = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} F(r,t) r^m dr dt$. We have

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathscr{H}^{n}} Q_{\alpha\beta}^{\bar{\gamma}}(q) Q_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\mu}}^{\gamma}(q) z^{\beta} z^{\bar{\mu}} F dV &= 2(4\pi)^{n} \mathfrak{Q}\mathscr{F}_{2n+1}, \\ \int_{\mathscr{H}^{n}} R_{\bar{\beta}}^{\alpha}{}_{\alpha\mu}(q) z^{\bar{\beta}} z^{\mu} F dV &= \int_{\mathscr{H}^{n}} R_{\beta}^{\bar{\alpha}}{}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\mu}}(q) z^{\beta} z^{\bar{\mu}} F dV = -\frac{(4\pi)^{n}}{2} \mathfrak{Q}\mathscr{F}_{2n+1}, \\ \int_{\mathscr{H}^{n}} R_{\rho}^{\alpha}{}_{\gamma\bar{\lambda}}(q) z^{\rho} z^{\bar{\alpha}} z^{\gamma} z^{\bar{\lambda}} F dV &= \int_{\mathscr{H}^{n}} R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\bar{\alpha}}{}_{\bar{\gamma}\lambda}(q) z^{\bar{\rho}} z^{\alpha} z^{\bar{\gamma}} z^{\lambda} F dV = \frac{(4\pi)^{n}}{2(n+1)} \mathfrak{Q}\mathscr{F}_{2n+3}, \\ \int_{\mathscr{H}^{n}} R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\alpha}{}_{\lambda\gamma}(q) z^{\bar{\rho}} z^{\bar{\alpha}} z^{\lambda} z^{\gamma} F dV &= \int_{\mathscr{H}^{n}} R_{\rho}^{\bar{\gamma}}{}_{\bar{\lambda}\bar{\alpha}}(q) z^{\rho} z^{\gamma} z^{\bar{\lambda}} z^{\bar{\alpha}} F dV = 0, \end{split}$$
(5.8)
$$\\ \int_{\mathscr{H}^{n}} Q_{\alpha\lambda}^{\bar{\gamma}}(q) Q_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\mu}}^{\gamma}(q) z^{\alpha} z^{\lambda} z^{\bar{\beta}} z^{\bar{\mu}} F dV &= \frac{3(4\pi)^{n}}{n+1} \mathfrak{Q}\mathscr{F}_{2n+3}, \\ \int_{\mathscr{H}^{n}} J_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}(2) z^{\alpha} z^{\bar{\beta}} F dV &= -\int_{\mathscr{H}^{n}} J_{\bar{\beta}\alpha}(2) z^{\alpha} z^{\bar{\beta}} F dV = \frac{3i(4\pi)^{n}}{2(n+1)} \mathfrak{Q}\mathscr{F}_{2n+3}, \\ \int_{\mathscr{H}^{n}} J_{\alpha\beta}(2) z^{\alpha} z^{\beta} F dV &= \int_{\mathscr{H}^{n}} J_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}(2) z^{\bar{\alpha}} z^{\bar{\beta}} F dV = 0. \end{split}$$

Proof. First note that we have (5.5) for dV. By using Lemma 5.3 for m = 1, we get

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathscr{H}^n} Q^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\alpha\beta}(q) Q^{\gamma}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\mu}}(q) z^{\beta} z^{\bar{\mu}} F dV &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} Q^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\alpha\beta}(q) Q^{\gamma}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\mu}}(q) \bigg(\int_{S^{2n-1}} z^{\beta} z^{\bar{\mu}} d\nu \bigg) 4^n n! r^{2n+1} F(r,t) dr dt \\ &= 2(4\pi)^n \mathfrak{Q}\mathscr{F}_{2n+1}. \end{split}$$

The identities of the second line of (5.8) follows similarly by noting that $R_{\bar{\beta}\alpha\beta}(q) = -\frac{1}{4}\mathfrak{Q}$ in Proposition 5.1.

Let m = 2 in Lemma 5.3. First we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathscr{H}^n} R^{\ \alpha}_{\rho\ \gamma\bar{\lambda}}(q) z^{\rho} z^{\bar{\alpha}} z^{\gamma} z^{\bar{\lambda}} F dV &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} R^{\ \alpha}_{\rho\ \gamma\bar{\lambda}}(q) \bigg(\int_{S^{2n-1}} z^{\rho} z^{\bar{\alpha}} z^{\gamma} z^{\bar{\lambda}} d\nu \bigg) 4^n n! r^{2n+3} F(r,t) dr dt \\ &= 2 \frac{(4\pi)^n}{n+1} \big(R^{\ \alpha}_{\rho\ \alpha\bar{\rho}}(q) + R^{\ \rho}_{\rho\ \gamma\bar{\gamma}}(q) \big) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} F(r,t) r^{2n+3} dr dt \\ &= \frac{(4\pi)^n}{2(n+1)} \mathfrak{Q}\mathscr{F}_{2n+3}, \end{split}$$

by $R^{\ \alpha}_{\rho\ \alpha\bar{\rho}}(q) = 0$ and $R^{\ \rho}_{\rho\ \gamma\bar{\gamma}}(q) = \frac{\mathfrak{Q}}{4}$ in Proposition 5.1. And $\int_{\mathscr{H}^n} R^{\ \bar{\alpha}}_{\bar{\rho}\ \bar{\gamma}\lambda}(q) z^{\bar{\rho}} z^{\alpha} z^{\bar{\gamma}} z^{\lambda} F dV$ follows similarly or by taking conjugation. Similarly, we have

$$\int_{\mathscr{H}^n} R_{\bar{\rho}\ \lambda\gamma}^{\ \alpha}(q) z^{\bar{\rho}} z^{\bar{\alpha}} z^{\lambda} z^{\gamma} F dV = \frac{2(4\pi)^n}{(n+1)} \left(R_{\bar{\rho}\ \alpha\rho}^{\ \alpha}(q) + R_{\bar{\rho}\ \rho\alpha}^{\ \alpha}(q) \right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} F(r,t) r^{2n+3} dr dt = 0,$$

by $R_{a\ cd}^{\ b} = -R_{a\ dc}^{\ b}$. The second identity of the fourth line in (5.8) follows from taking conjugation. And also we have

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathscr{H}^n} Q^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\alpha\lambda}(q) Q^{\gamma}_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\mu}}(q) z^{\alpha} z^{\lambda} z^{\bar{\beta}} z^{\bar{\mu}} F dV \\ &= \frac{2(4\pi)^n}{n+1} \left(Q^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\alpha\lambda}(q) Q^{\gamma}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\lambda}}(q) + Q^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\alpha\lambda}(q) Q^{\gamma}_{\bar{\lambda}\bar{\alpha}}(q) \right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} F(r,t) r^{2n+3} dr dt = \frac{3(4\pi)^n}{n+1} \mathfrak{Q}\mathscr{F}_{2n+3}. \end{split}$$

by (3.3) and the last identity in (3.4). By (3.9), we get

$$\int_{\mathscr{H}^n} J_{\alpha\bar{\beta}(2)} z^{\alpha} z^{\bar{\beta}} F dV = \frac{i}{2} \int_{\mathscr{H}^n} Q^{\bar{\gamma}}_{\alpha\lambda}(q) Q^{\gamma}_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\mu}}(q) z^{\alpha} z^{\lambda} z^{\bar{\beta}} z^{\bar{\mu}} F dV = \frac{3(4\pi)^n i}{2(n+1)} \mathfrak{Q}\mathscr{F}_{2n+3}.$$

And by Proposition 3.4, we get

$$\int_{\mathscr{H}^n} J_{\alpha\beta(2)} z^{\alpha} z^{\beta} F dV = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathscr{H}^n} z^{\alpha} z^{\beta} z^c z^d Z_c Z_d J_{\alpha\beta}(q) F dV = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathscr{H}^n} z^{\alpha} z^{\beta} z^{\bar{\rho}} z^{\bar{\mu}} Z_{\bar{\rho}} Z_{\bar{\mu}} J_{\alpha\beta}(q) F dV$$
$$= \frac{(4\pi)^n}{n+1} \left(Z_{\bar{\beta}} Z_{\bar{\alpha}} J_{\alpha\beta}(q) + Z_{\bar{\alpha}} Z_{\bar{\beta}} J_{\alpha\beta}(q) \right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} F(r,t) r^{2n+3} dr dt = 0.$$

The last identity follows from the the anti-symmetry of $J_{\alpha\beta}$. Taking conjugation, we get $\int_{\mathscr{H}^n} J_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}(2)} z^{\bar{\alpha}} z^{\bar{\beta}} F dV = 0.$

Lemma 5.4. (cf. Lemma 5.5 in [11]) Suppose that $\alpha, \gamma + 1, \beta + 1$ and $\alpha - \gamma - 1$ are positive real numbers. If $2\alpha - 2\gamma - \beta > 3$, then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} |t+i(1+r^2)|^{-\alpha} r^{\beta} |t|^{\gamma} dr dt = N_1(\alpha,\beta,\gamma),$$

where

$$N_1(\alpha,\beta,\gamma) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(\beta+1)\right)\Gamma\left(\alpha-\gamma-\frac{1}{2}\beta-\frac{3}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(\gamma+1)\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\gamma-1)\right)}{2\Gamma(\alpha-\gamma-1)\Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2})}.$$

By the expression of $N_1(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ above, we get

$$\begin{split} N_1(2n,2n-1,0) &= \frac{\Gamma(n)\Gamma(n-1)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{2n-1}{2})}{2\Gamma(2n-1)\Gamma(n)} = \frac{\Gamma(n-1)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{2n-1}{2})}{2\Gamma(2n-1)},\\ N_1(2n+2,2n-1,0) &= \frac{\Gamma(n)\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{2n+1}{2})}{2\Gamma(2n+1)\Gamma(n+1)} = \frac{\Gamma(n)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{2n+1}{2})}{2\Gamma(2n+1)},\\ N_1(2n+2,2n+1,0) &= \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(n)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{2n+1}{2})}{2\Gamma(2n+1)\Gamma(n+1)} = \frac{\Gamma(n)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{2n+1}{2})}{2\Gamma(2n+1)},\\ N_1(2n+2,2n+3,0) &= \frac{\Gamma(n+2)\Gamma(n-1)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{2n+1}{2})}{2\Gamma(2n+1)\Gamma(n+1)},\\ N_1(2n+4,2n+3,2) &= \frac{\Gamma(n+2)\Gamma(n-1)\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})\Gamma(\frac{2n+1}{2})}{2\Gamma(2n+1)\Gamma(n+2)} = \frac{\Gamma(n-1)\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})\Gamma(\frac{2n+1}{2})}{2\Gamma(2n+1)}. \end{split}$$
can find that

So we can find that

$$\frac{N_1(2n, 2n-1, 0)}{N_1(2n+2, 2n+1, 0)} = \frac{4n}{n-1},$$

$$\frac{N_1(2n+2, 2n+1, 0)}{N_1(2n+2, 2n-1, 0)} = 1,$$

$$\frac{N_1(2n+2, 2n+3, 0)}{N_1(2n+2, 2n+1, 0)} = \frac{n+1}{n-1},$$

$$\frac{N_1(2n+4, 2n+3, 2)}{N_1(2n+2, 2n+1, 0)} = \frac{1}{2(n-1)}.$$
(5.9)

5.3. Calculation of constants $a_m(n)$ and $b_m(n)$.

Lemma 5.5. For Z_j given by (2.17), we have

$$Z_{\alpha}\Phi = inz^{\bar{\alpha}} \frac{t + i(|z|^{2} + 1)}{|w + i|^{n+2}},$$

$$Z_{\bar{\alpha}}\Phi = -inz^{\alpha} \frac{t - i(|z|^{2} + 1)}{|w + i|^{n+2}},$$

$$Z_{0}\Phi = -nt \frac{1}{|w + i|^{n+2}}.$$
(5.10)

Proof. By $|w+i|^{-n} = (t^2 + (|z|^2 + 1)^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}}$, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(|w+i|^{-n}) = -nt|w+i|^{-n-2},$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\alpha}}(|w+i|^{-n}) = -\frac{n}{2}|w+i|^{-n-2} \cdot 2(|z|^2+1)z^{\bar{\alpha}} = -nz^{\bar{\alpha}}|w+i|^{-n-2}(|z|^2+1).$$

The result follows.

32

Note that we have

$$\int_{S^{2n-1}} d\nu = \frac{2\pi^n}{(n-1)!},$$

by the case m = 0 in Lemma 5.3 and by (5.9) we have

$$N_1(2n+2,2n+1,0) = N_1(2n+2,2n-1,0) = \frac{4^{-n}\pi}{2n},$$
(5.11)

(cf. p. 341 in [11] for the second identity). Hence by (5.3), (5.5) and $v_0 = 1$ we get

$$a_0(n) = \int_{\mathscr{H}^n} |\Phi|^p dV = 4^n n! \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \int_{S^{2n-1}} d\nu \frac{r^{2n-1}}{|t+i(1+r^2)|^{2n+2}} dr dt$$

= $(4\pi)^n (2n) N_1 (2n+2, 2n-1, 0) = \pi^{n+1}.$ (5.12)

And we see that

$$v_{0}^{jk} Z_{j} \Phi Z_{k} \Phi = \sum_{m_{0}=m_{1}=m_{2}=0} s_{\beta(m_{1}+o(j)-1)}^{j} s_{\bar{\beta}(m_{2}+o(k)-1)}^{k} v_{m_{0}} Z_{j} \Phi Z_{k} \Phi$$
$$= s_{\beta(0)}^{\alpha} s_{\bar{\beta}(0)}^{\bar{\gamma}} Z_{\alpha} \Phi Z_{\bar{\gamma}} \Phi = Z_{\beta} \Phi Z_{\bar{\beta}} \Phi,$$
(5.13)

by (2.24) for $s^{\alpha}_{\beta(0)} = \delta^{\alpha}_{\beta}$ and $s^{0}_{b(1)} = 0$. So we get

$$b_{0}(n) = 2 \int_{\mathscr{H}^{n}} v_{0}^{jk} Z_{j} \Phi Z_{k} \Phi dV = 2 \int_{\mathscr{H}^{n}} Z_{\beta} \Phi Z_{\bar{\beta}} \Phi dV = 2 \int_{\mathscr{H}^{n}} n^{2} |z|^{2} |\omega + i|^{-2n-2} dV$$

$$= 2n^{2} 4^{n} n! \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{S^{2n-1}} d\nu \frac{r^{2n+1}}{|t+i(1+r^{2})|^{2n+2}} dr dt$$

$$= 4n^{3} (4\pi)^{n} N_{1} (2n+2, 2n+1, 0) = 2n^{2} \pi^{n+1},$$

(5.14)

by (5.10). By (5.3) and Corollary 5.1, we have

$$a_1(n) = \int_{\mathscr{H}^n} |\Phi|^p v_1 dV = 0.$$
 (5.15)

By Proposition 2.8 for s_b^j and $v_1 = 0$ in (5.6), we can get

$$v_1^{jk} Z_j \Phi Z_k \Phi = \sum_{m_0+m_1+m_2=1} s_{\beta(m_1+o(j)-1)}^j s_{\bar{\beta}(m_2+o(k)-1)}^k v_{m_0} Z_j \Phi Z_k \Phi$$
$$= s_{\beta(0)}^{\alpha} s_{\bar{\beta}(2)}^0 Z_{\alpha} \Phi Z_0 \Phi + s_{\beta(2)}^0 s_{\bar{\beta}(0)}^{\bar{\gamma}} Z_0 \Phi Z_{\bar{\gamma}} \Phi = z^a z^b z^c F(r,t),$$

for some functions F(r,t) only depended with r and t. So

$$b_1(n) = 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \int_{S_{2n-1}} z^a z^b z^c d\nu F(r,t) dr dt = 0, \qquad (5.16)$$

by Lemma 5.3. $a_2(n)$ is given by following lemma.

Lemma 5.6.

$$a_2(n) = \frac{\pi^{n+1}}{12n} \mathfrak{Q}.$$
 (5.17)

Proof. By (5.3), (5.6), the second line of (5.8) and Lemma 5.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_2(n) &= \int_{\mathscr{H}^n} |\Phi|^p v_2 dV = \int_{\mathscr{H}^n} \left(-\frac{1}{6} R^{\alpha}_{\bar{\beta} \ \alpha \mu}(q) z^{\bar{\beta}} z^{\mu} - \frac{1}{6} R^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\beta \ \bar{\alpha}\bar{\mu}}(q) z^{\beta} z^{\bar{\mu}} \right) |w+i|^{-2n-2} dV \\ &= \frac{1}{6} (4\pi)^n \mathfrak{Q} \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty |t+i(1+r^2)|^{-2n-2} r^{2n+1} dr dt \\ &= \frac{1}{6} (4\pi)^n \mathfrak{Q} N_1(2n+2,2n+1,0) = \frac{\pi^{n+1}}{12n} \mathfrak{Q}. \end{aligned}$$

We finish the proof of Lemma 5.6.

To calculate $b_2(n)$, we need the following results.

Lemma 5.7.

$$\int_{\mathscr{H}^n} v_2^{ab} Z_a \Phi Z_b \Phi dV = \frac{n^4 + 2n^3 + 2n^2}{6(n-1)(n+1)} (4\pi)^n N_1 (2n+2,2n+1,0)\mathfrak{Q},$$

$$\int_{\mathscr{H}^n} \left(v_2^{a0} Z_a \Phi Z_0 \Phi + v_2^{0a} Z_0 \Phi Z_a \Phi \right) dV = \frac{-5n^2}{6(n-1)(n+1)} (4\pi)^n N_1 (2n+2,2n+1,0)\mathfrak{Q}, \quad (5.18)$$

$$\int_{\mathscr{H}^n} v_2^{00} Z_0 \Phi Z_0 \Phi dV = \frac{2n^2}{3(n-1)(n+1)} (4\pi)^n N_1 (2n+2,2n+1,0)\mathfrak{Q}.$$

This lemma will be proved in Appendix B, from which we get

$$b_2(n) = 2 \int_{\mathscr{H}^n} v_2^{jk} Z_j \Phi Z_k \Phi dV = \frac{n^2(n+1)}{3(n-1)} (4\pi)^n N_1(2n+2,2n+1,0) \mathfrak{Q} = \frac{n(n+1)\pi^{n+1}}{6(n-1)} \mathfrak{Q}.$$
(5.19)

We also have

Lemma 5.8.

$$c_2(n) = -\frac{2n\pi^{n+1}}{n-1}\mathfrak{Q}.$$
(5.20)

Proof. Applying $X = W_0$, $Y = W_{\bar{\mu}}$ and taking index $a = \beta$ in the last identity of (2.9), we get $R^{\ 0}_{\beta\ 0\bar{\mu}} = \theta(R(W_0, W_{\bar{\mu}})W_{\beta}) = 0$. Hence by definition we have $R_{\beta\bar{\mu}} = R^{\ \alpha}_{\beta\ \alpha\bar{\mu}} + R^{\ \bar{\alpha}}_{\beta\ \bar{\alpha}\bar{\mu}}$, and so we get

$$\begin{split} R(q) &= h^{jk} R_{jk}(q) = h^{\beta\bar{\mu}} R_{\beta\bar{\mu}}(q) + h^{\beta\mu} R_{\bar{\beta}\mu}(q) \\ &= \delta^{\beta\bar{\mu}} \left(R^{\ \alpha}_{\beta\ \alpha\bar{\mu}}(q) + R^{\ \bar{\alpha}}_{\beta\ \bar{\alpha}\bar{\mu}}(q) \right) + \delta^{\bar{\beta}\mu} \left(R^{\ \alpha}_{\bar{\beta}\ \alpha\mu}(q) + R^{\ \bar{\alpha}}_{\beta\ \bar{\alpha}\mu}(q) \right) \\ &= R^{\ \bar{\alpha}}_{\beta\ \bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}(q) + R^{\ \alpha}_{\bar{\beta}\ \alpha\beta}(q) = -\frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{Q}, \end{split}$$

by Proposition 5.1. Noting that

$$\int_{\mathscr{H}^n} |\Phi|^2 dV = \int_{\mathscr{H}^n} |w+i|^{-2n} dV = \int_{S^{2n-1}} d\nu \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{4^n n! r^{2n-1}}{|t+i(1+r^2)|^{2n}} dr dt$$
$$= 2n(4\pi)^n N_1(2n, 2n-1, 0) = \frac{8n^2}{n-1} (4\pi)^n N_1(2n+2, 2n+1, 0),$$

34

then by (5.3), we get

$$c_2(n) = -\frac{\mathfrak{Q}}{2} \int_{\mathscr{H}^n} |\Phi|^2 dV = -\frac{4n^2}{n-1} (4\pi)^n N_1(2n+2,2n+1,0) \mathfrak{Q} = -\frac{2n\pi^{n+1}}{n-1} \mathfrak{Q}.$$

Proposition 5.3. The extremal of the Yamabe functional on the Heisenberg group is

 $\lambda(\mathscr{H}^n) = 2pn^2\pi.$

Proof. Recall that on \mathscr{H}^n , $\Theta = dt - iz^{\alpha}dz^{\bar{\alpha}} + iz^{\bar{\alpha}}dz^{\alpha}$. Then $\Theta = -2idz^{\alpha} \wedge dz^{\bar{\alpha}}$. So $-i\delta_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = d\Theta(Z_{\alpha}, Z_{\bar{\beta}}) = h(Z_{\alpha}, JZ_{\bar{\beta}}) = -ih(Z_{\alpha}, Z_{\bar{\beta}})$, namely $h(Z_{\alpha}, Z_{\bar{\beta}}) = \delta_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$. Hence it induces the dual norm $|\cdot, \cdot|_H$ by $\langle \Theta^{\alpha}, \Theta^{\bar{\beta}} \rangle_H = \delta^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$. Then $|d\Phi|_H^2 = \langle Z_{\alpha}\Phi\Theta^{\alpha} + Z_{\bar{\alpha}}\Phi\Theta^{\bar{\alpha}}, Z_{\beta}\Phi\Theta^{\beta} + Z_{\bar{\beta}}\Phi\Theta^{\bar{\beta}} \rangle_H = 2Z_{\beta}\Phi Z_{\bar{\beta}}\Phi$. Since the curvature tensor $R \equiv 0$ on the Heisenberg group, by definition we have

$$\lambda(\mathscr{H}^n) = \frac{\int_{\mathscr{H}^n} p |d\Phi|_H^2 dV}{\left(\int_{\mathscr{H}^n} |\Phi|^p dV\right)^{\frac{2}{p}}} = \frac{2p \int_{\mathscr{H}^n} Z_\beta \Phi Z_{\bar{\beta}} \Phi dV}{\left(\int_{\mathscr{H}^n} |\Phi|^p dV\right)^{\frac{2}{p}}} = 2pn^2\pi,$$
(5.21)

by (5.12) and (5.14).

Remark 5.1. Recall that in [11], Jerison and Lee used the structure equation $d\theta = ih_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\theta^{\alpha} \wedge \theta^{\bar{\beta}}$ (cf. p. 307 in [11]). So in Heisenberg case in [11], $d\Theta = ih_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\Theta^{\alpha} \wedge \Theta^{\bar{\beta}} = ih_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}dz^{\alpha} \wedge dz^{\bar{\beta}}$. On the other hand, $\Theta = dt + iz^{\alpha}dz^{\bar{\alpha}} - iz^{\bar{\alpha}}dz^{\alpha}$ leads to $d\Theta = 2idz^{\alpha} \wedge dz^{\bar{\alpha}}$, which shows $h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = 2\delta_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$ on the Heisenberg group in [11]. So the Yamabe functional here in Proposition 5.3 differs from [11] by a factor 2.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Substituting (5.12), (5.15) and (5.17) to the first identity in (5.2), we get

$$\int_{M} |f^{\varepsilon}|^{p} dV_{\theta} = \pi^{n+1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{12n} \mathfrak{Q} \varepsilon^{2} \right) + O(\varepsilon^{3}),$$

and so

$$\left(\int_{M} |f^{\varepsilon}|^{p} dV_{\theta}\right)^{-\frac{2}{p}} = \pi^{-n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{12(n+1)}\mathfrak{Q}\varepsilon^{2}\right) + O(\varepsilon^{3}).$$
(5.22)

Substituting (5.14), (5.16) and (5.19) to the second identity in (5.2) leads to

$$\int_{M} p |df^{\varepsilon}|_{H}^{2} dV_{\theta} = 2pn^{2} \pi^{n+1} \left(1 + \frac{n+1}{12(n-1)n} \mathfrak{Q}\varepsilon^{2} \right) + O(\varepsilon^{3}).$$

$$(5.23)$$

By the third identity in (5.2) and Lemma 5.8, we get

$$\int_{M} R |f^{\varepsilon}|^{2} dV_{\theta} = 2pn^{2} \pi^{n+1} \frac{-1}{2(n-1)(n+1)} \mathfrak{Q}\varepsilon^{2} + O(\varepsilon^{3}).$$
(5.24)

Finally, substituting (5.22), (5.23) and (5.24) to the definition of $\mathscr{Y}_{\theta}(f^{\varepsilon})$ in (1.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{Y}_{\theta}(f^{\varepsilon}) &= \left(\int_{M} |f^{\varepsilon}|^{p} dV_{\theta}\right)^{-\frac{2}{p}} \left(\int_{M} p |df^{\varepsilon}|_{H}^{2} dV_{\theta} + \int_{M} R |f^{\varepsilon}|^{2} dV_{\theta}\right) \\ &= 2pn^{2} \pi \left(1 + \frac{-n(n-1) + (n+1)^{2} - 6n}{12(n-1)n(n+1)} \mathfrak{Q}\varepsilon^{2}\right) + O(\varepsilon^{3}) \\ &= 2pn^{2} \pi \left(1 - \frac{3n-1}{12(n-1)n(n+1)} \mathfrak{Q}\varepsilon^{2}\right) + O(\varepsilon^{3}). \end{aligned}$$

35

FEIFAN WU AND WEI WANG

As $\mathfrak{Q} > 0$ and n > 2, Theorem 5.1 is proved.

It remains to show the existence of the extremal. Note that on a contact Riemannian manifold, the Folland-Stein normal coordinates in [5] also exist by the same argument (the integrability of J is not used here), we can get the same estimates in Theorem 4.3 in [9]. Then the existence of the extremal can be proved in same way as Section 5 and Section 6 in [9] by using the Folland-Stein normal coordinates.

APPENDIX A. THE TRANSFORMATION FORMULAE

In this appendix, we will discuss the conformal transformations and prove Lemma 4.1. Recall that here we don't change $\{W_a\} \in HM$. Our tensors after conformal transformation is with respect to $\{W_a, \hat{T}\}$ and $\{\hat{\theta}^a, \hat{\theta}\}$ satisfying (4.1)-(4.3), e.g.

$$\tau(\widehat{T}, W_a) = \widehat{A}^b_a W_b, \quad \Gamma^c_{\widehat{0}b} = \omega^c_b(\widehat{T}).$$

First we will discuss how the connection coefficients, the curvature tensor and the Webster torsion tensor change under a conformal transformation. The idea in this appendix follows from the proof of Lemma 10 in [3] with a local $T^{(1,0)}M$ -frame.

A.1. The transformation formulae of the connection coefficients under the conformal transformations.

Lemma A.1. We have

$$2h(\nabla_X Y, Z) = X(h(Y, Z)) + Y(h(X, Z)) - Z(h(X, Y)) + - 2h(X, JZ)\theta(Y) - 2h(Y, JZ)\theta(X) + 2h(X, JY)\theta(Z) + - h([X, Z], Y) - h([Y, Z], X) + h([X, Y], Z),$$
(A.1)

for any $X, Y, Z \in TM$. And also we have

$$2h(\nabla_T Y, Z) = T(h(Y, Z)) - h([T, Z], Y) + h([T, Y], Z).$$
(A.2)

for any $Y, Z \in HM$.

Proof. We refer to p. 334 in [3] for (A.1). For (A.2), we have

$$T(h(Y,Z)) = h(\nabla_T Y, Z) + h(Y, \nabla_T Z) = h(\nabla_T Y, Z) + h(Y, [T, Z]) + h(Y, \tau_* Z)$$

= $h(\nabla_T Y, Z) + h(Y, [T, Z]) + h(\tau_* Y, Z) = 2h(\nabla_T Y, Z) + h([T, Z], Y) - h([T, Y], Z),$

by $\nabla T = 0$, the definition of the Webster torsion τ_* and its self-adjointness (see Lemma 2.1). **Corollary A.1.** With respect to any frame $\{W_a, T\}$ with $\{W_a\}$ horizontal, we have

$$\Gamma_{ab}^{c} = \frac{1}{2} h^{cd} \Big(W_{a}(h_{bd}) + W_{b}(h_{ad}) - W_{d}(h_{ab}) - h([W_{a}, W_{d}], W_{b}) - h([W_{b}, W_{d}], W_{a}) + h([W_{a}, W_{b}], W_{d}) \Big),$$
(A.3)

and

$$\Gamma_{0b}^{c} = \frac{1}{2} h^{cd} \Big(T(h_{bd}) - h([T, W_d], W_b) + h([T, W_b], W_d) \Big).$$
(A.4)

Proof. (A.3) follows by substituting $X = W_a$, $Y = W_b$, $Z = W_d$ into (A.1). (A.4) follows by substituting $Y = W_b$, $Z = W_d$ into (A.2).

Lemma A.2. Under the conformal transformation (4.1), if $u \in \mathcal{O}_m$, we have

$$[\overline{T}, W_{\beta}] = [T, W_{\beta}] - iZ_{\beta}Z_{\bar{\alpha}}uW_{\alpha} + iZ_{\beta}Z_{\alpha}uW_{\bar{\alpha}} + \mathscr{E}_{m-1}(W),$$
(A.5)

where $\mathscr{O}_{m-1}\mathscr{E}(W)$ denote the linear combination of W_j 's with coefficients \mathscr{O}_{m-1} .

Proof. We have

$$\begin{split} [\widehat{T}, W_{\beta}] &= [e^{-2u}(T + J^{c}{}_{a}u^{a}W_{c}), W_{\beta}] \\ &= e^{-2u}[T, W_{\beta}] + e^{-2u}[J^{c}{}_{a}u^{a}W_{c}, W_{\beta}] + 2e^{-2u}u_{\beta}(T + J^{c}{}_{a}u^{a}W_{c}) \\ &= [T, W_{\beta}] - (W_{\beta}u^{a})J^{c}{}_{a}W_{c} + \mathscr{O}_{m-1}\mathscr{E}(W) \\ &= [T, W_{\beta}] - (W_{\beta}u_{\bar{\mu}})h^{\alpha\bar{\mu}}J^{\rho}{}_{\alpha}(q)W_{\rho} - (W_{\beta}u_{\mu})h^{\mu\bar{\alpha}}J^{\bar{\rho}}{}_{\bar{\alpha}}(q)W_{\bar{\rho}} + \mathscr{E}_{m-1}(W) \\ &= [T, W_{\beta}] - iZ_{\beta}Z_{\bar{\alpha}}uW_{\alpha} + iZ_{\beta}Z_{\alpha}uW_{\bar{\alpha}} + \mathscr{E}_{m-1}(W), \end{split}$$

by $h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \delta_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}, \ J^{\rho}_{\alpha}(q) = i\delta^{\rho}_{\alpha}$ in (2.14) and $u_a \in \mathscr{O}_{m-1}$ for $u \in \mathscr{O}_m$. (A.5) follows.

Proposition A.1. Under the conformal transformation (4.1), the connection coefficients of the TWT connection change as

$$\widehat{\Gamma}_{ab}^{c} = \Gamma_{ab}^{c} + u_{a}\delta_{b}^{c} + u_{b}\delta_{a}^{c} - u^{c}h_{ab},$$

$$\widehat{\Gamma}_{\bar{0}\beta}^{\rho} = \Gamma_{0\beta}^{\rho} + u_{0}\delta_{\beta}^{\rho} - \frac{i}{2}(Z_{\bar{\rho}}Z_{\beta}u + Z_{\beta}Z_{\bar{\rho}}u) + \mathscr{O}_{m-1},$$
(A.6)

where $u^c = h^{cd} u_d$.

Proof. By Lemma A.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\Gamma}_{ab}^{c} &= \frac{1}{2} e^{-2u} h^{cd} \left(W_a \left(e^{2u} h_{bd} \right) + W_b \left(e^{2u} h_{ad} \right) - W_d \left(e^{2u} h_{ab} \right) \\ &- e^{2u} h([W_a, W_d], W_b) - e^{2u} h([W_b, W_d], W_a) + e^{2u} h([W_a, W_b], W_d) \right) \\ &= \Gamma_{ab}^{c} + u_a \delta_b^c + u_b \delta_a^c - u^c h_{ab}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\widehat{\Gamma}^{\rho}_{\widehat{0}\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \widehat{h}^{\rho\bar{\mu}} \Big(\widehat{T}(\widehat{h}_{\beta\bar{\mu}}) - \widehat{h}([\widehat{T}, W_{\bar{\mu}}], W_{\beta}) + \widehat{h}([\widehat{T}, W_{\beta}], W_{\bar{\mu}}) \Big), \tag{A.7}$$

For the first term in the right hand side of (A.7), according to (4.2), we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\hat{h}^{\rho\bar{\mu}}\hat{T}(\hat{h}_{\beta\bar{\mu}}) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-4u}h^{\rho\bar{\mu}}(T + J^{e}_{\ a}u^{a}W_{e})(e^{2u}h_{\beta\bar{\mu}}) = \frac{1}{2}h^{\rho\bar{\mu}}T(h_{\beta\bar{\mu}}) + u_{0}\delta^{\rho}_{\beta} + \mathscr{O}_{m-1}.$$

Take conjugation on both sides of (A.5) to get $[\widehat{T}, W_{\overline{\mu}}] = [T, W_{\overline{\mu}}] + iZ_{\overline{\mu}}Z_{\alpha}uW_{\overline{\alpha}} - iZ_{\overline{\mu}}Z_{\overline{\alpha}}uW_{\alpha} + \mathscr{E}_{m-1}(W)$. So for the second and third terms of (A.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2}\hat{h}^{\rho\bar{\mu}}\hat{h}([\hat{T},W_{\bar{\mu}}],W_{\beta}) &= -\frac{1}{2}h^{\rho\bar{\mu}}h\bigg([T,W_{\bar{\mu}}] + iZ_{\bar{\mu}}Z_{\alpha}uW_{\bar{\alpha}},W_{\beta}\bigg) + \mathscr{O}_{m-1} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}h^{\rho\bar{\mu}}h([T,W_{\bar{\mu}}],W_{\beta}) - \frac{i}{2}Z_{\bar{\rho}}Z_{\beta}u + \mathscr{O}_{m-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\hat{h}^{\rho\bar{\mu}}\hat{h}([\hat{T},W_{\beta}],W_{\bar{\mu}}) &= \frac{1}{2}h^{\rho\bar{\mu}}h\bigg([T,W_{\beta}] - iZ_{\beta}Z_{\bar{\alpha}}uW_{\alpha},W_{\bar{\mu}}\bigg) + \mathscr{O}_{m-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}h^{\rho\bar{\mu}}h([T,W_{\beta}],W_{\bar{\mu}}) - \frac{i}{2}Z_{\beta}Z_{\bar{\rho}}u + \mathscr{O}_{m-1}. \end{aligned}$$

So (A.7) becomes $\hat{\Gamma}^{\rho}_{\bar{0}\beta} &= \Gamma^{\rho}_{0\beta} + u_0\delta^{\rho}_{\beta} - \frac{i}{2}(Z_{\bar{\rho}}Z_{\beta}u + Z_{\beta}Z_{\bar{\rho}}u) + \mathscr{O}_{m-1}. \end{aligned}$

A.2. The transformation formulae of the curvature and Webster torsion tensors under the conformal transformations. *Proof of Lemma 4.1.* By $\nabla T = 0$ and $\tau_* W_a = \tau(T, W_a) = \nabla_T W_a - [T, W_a]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} A_{ab} &= h(A_a^c W_c, W_b) = h(\tau_* W_a, W_b) = h(\nabla_T W_a - [T, W_a], W_b) \\ &= T(h_{ab}) - h(W_a, \nabla_T W_b) - h([T, W_a], W_b) \\ &= T(h_{ab}) - h(W_a, \tau_* W_b + [T, W_b]) - h([T, W_a], W_b) \\ &= T(h_{ab}) - A_{ba} - h([W_a, [T, W_b]) - h([T, W_a], W_b). \end{aligned}$$

Since the tensor A is self-adjoint by Lemma 2.1, we get

$$A_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} \bigg(T(h_{ab}) - h([W_a, [T, W_b]) - h([T, W_a], W_b) \bigg).$$

In particular, $A_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{2} (h(W_{\alpha}, [T, W_{\beta}])) + h([T, W_{\alpha}], W_{\beta}))$. Applying Lemma A.2 with the frame $\{W_a, \hat{T}\}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{A}_{\alpha\beta} &= -\frac{1}{2} \bigg(\widehat{h}(W_{\alpha}, [\widehat{T}, W_{\beta}])) + \widehat{h}([\widehat{T}, W_{\alpha}], W_{\beta}) \bigg) = A_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{i}{2} Z_{\alpha} Z_{\beta} u - \frac{i}{2} Z_{\beta} Z_{\alpha} u + \mathscr{O}_{m-1} \\ &= A_{\alpha\beta} - i Z_{\alpha} Z_{\beta} u + \mathscr{O}_{m-1}, \end{aligned}$$

by (A.5) and $[Z_{\alpha}, Z_{\beta}] = 0$. And (2.10) with frame $\{W_a, \widehat{T}\}$, we get

$$\widehat{R}_{\alpha\ \gamma\bar{\beta}}^{\ \gamma} = W_{\gamma}\widehat{\Gamma}_{\bar{\beta}\alpha}^{\ \gamma} - W_{\bar{\beta}}\widehat{\Gamma}_{\gamma\alpha}^{\gamma} - \widehat{\Gamma}_{\gamma\bar{\beta}}^{e}\widehat{\Gamma}_{e\alpha}^{\gamma} + \widehat{\Gamma}_{\bar{\beta}\gamma}^{e}\widehat{\Gamma}_{e\alpha}^{\gamma} - \widehat{\Gamma}_{\gamma\alpha}^{e}\widehat{\Gamma}_{\bar{\beta}e}^{\gamma} + \widehat{\Gamma}_{\bar{\beta}\alpha}^{e}\widehat{\Gamma}_{\gamma e}^{\gamma} + 2\widehat{\Gamma}_{\bar{0}\alpha}^{\gamma}\widehat{J}_{\gamma\bar{\beta}}.$$
(A.8)

By the first identity in (A.6) and (2.14), for $u \in \mathscr{O}_m$, we have

$$W_{\gamma}\widehat{\Gamma}_{\bar{\beta}\alpha}^{\gamma} = W_{\gamma}\left(\Gamma_{\bar{\beta}\alpha}^{\gamma} + u_{\bar{\beta}}\delta_{\alpha}^{\gamma} - u^{\gamma}h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\right) = W_{\gamma}\Gamma_{\bar{\beta}\alpha}^{\gamma} + W_{\gamma}(u_{\bar{\beta}})\delta_{\alpha}^{\gamma} - h^{\gamma\bar{\mu}}W_{\gamma}(u_{\bar{\mu}})h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$$
$$= W_{\gamma}\Gamma_{\bar{\beta}\alpha}^{\gamma} + Z_{\alpha}Z_{\bar{\beta}}u - \delta_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}Z_{\gamma}Z_{\bar{\gamma}}u + \mathscr{O}_{m-1}, \tag{A.9}$$

and

$$W_{\bar{\beta}}\widehat{\Gamma}^{\gamma}_{\gamma\alpha} = W_{\bar{\beta}}\left(\Gamma^{\gamma}_{\gamma\alpha} + u_{\gamma}\delta^{\gamma}_{\alpha} + u_{\alpha}\delta^{\gamma}_{\gamma}\right) = W_{\bar{\beta}}\Gamma^{\gamma}_{\gamma\alpha} + (n+1)Z_{\bar{\beta}}Z_{\alpha}u + \mathscr{O}_{m-1}.$$

Again by the first identity of (A.6), we have $\widehat{\Gamma}_{ab}^c = \Gamma_{ab}^c + \mathscr{O}_{m-1}$. And by (2.26), we have $\Gamma_{ab}^c = \mathscr{O}_1$. So we get

$$\widehat{\Gamma}^c_{ab}\widehat{\Gamma}^f_{de} = \Gamma^c_{ab}\Gamma^f_{de} + \mathscr{O}_m, \tag{A.10}$$

for any indices a, b, c, d, e, f. By the second identity of (A.6), $J_{\gamma\bar{\beta}} = -i\delta_{\gamma\bar{\beta}}$ in (2.14) and $u_0 = Tu = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \mathcal{O}_m$ by (2.25), we have

$$2\widehat{\Gamma}^{\gamma}_{\widehat{0}\alpha}\widehat{J}_{\gamma\bar{\beta}} = 2\left(\Gamma^{\gamma}_{0\alpha} + u_0\delta^{\gamma}_{\alpha} - \frac{i}{2}Z_{\bar{\gamma}}Z_{\alpha}u - \frac{i}{2}Z_{\alpha}Z_{\bar{\gamma}}u\right)J_{\gamma\bar{\beta}} + \mathscr{O}_{m-1}$$
$$= 2\Gamma^{\gamma}_{0\alpha}J_{\gamma\bar{\beta}} - 2i\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\delta_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} - Z_{\bar{\beta}}Z_{\alpha}u - Z_{\alpha}Z_{\bar{\beta}}u + \mathscr{O}_{m-1}.$$
(A.11)

Noting that $[Z_{\alpha}, Z_{\bar{\beta}}] = [\frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\alpha}} - iz^{\bar{\alpha}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\bar{\beta}}} + iz^{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}] = 2i\delta_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, (A.8) leads to

$$\begin{split} \widehat{R}_{\alpha\ \gamma\bar{\beta}}^{\ \gamma} &= R_{\alpha\ \gamma\bar{\beta}}^{\ \gamma} - 2i\delta_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - (n+2)Z_{\bar{\beta}}Z_{\alpha}u - \delta_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}Z_{\gamma}Z_{\bar{\gamma}}u + \mathscr{O}_{m-1} \\ &= R_{\alpha\ \gamma\bar{\beta}}^{\ \gamma} - 2i\delta_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \frac{n+2}{2} \bigg(Z_{\bar{\beta}}Z_{\alpha}u + Z_{\alpha}Z_{\bar{\beta}}u - 2i\delta_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \bigg) \\ &- \frac{1}{2}\delta_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\bigg(Z_{\gamma}Z_{\bar{\gamma}}u + Z_{\bar{\gamma}}Z_{\gamma}u + 2ni\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \bigg) + \mathscr{O}_{m-1} \\ &= R_{\alpha\ \gamma\bar{\beta}}^{\ \gamma} - \frac{n+2}{2} \bigg(Z_{\bar{\beta}}Z_{\alpha}u + Z_{\alpha}Z_{\bar{\beta}}u \bigg) + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\mathscr{L}_{0}u + \mathscr{O}_{m-1}, \end{split}$$

with $\mathscr{L}_0 = -(Z_\alpha Z_{\bar{\alpha}} + Z_{\bar{\alpha}} Z_\alpha).$

A.3. The Covariance of R_{abcd} and A_{ab} . Fix a contact Riemannian structure (M, θ, h, J) and a connection ∇ . For a frame $\{W_a\}$ of HM, $\{W_j\} = \{W_a, T\}$ is a frame of TM. Take an invertible transformation for $\{W_a\}$ by writing

$$\widetilde{W}_a = v_a^c W_c, \tag{A.12}$$

for an invertible matrix (v_a^c) . $\widetilde{T} = T$ by θ is fixed. Let $\{\widetilde{\theta}^b, \widetilde{\theta}\}$ be the coframe dual to $\{\widetilde{W}_a, \widetilde{T}\}$. Then we can write

$$\widetilde{\theta}^b = u_c^b \theta^c, \quad \theta^c = v_b^c \widetilde{\theta}^b, \tag{A.13}$$

where (u_b^c) is the inverse matrix of (v_a^c) , i.e. $u_c^b v_a^c = \delta_a^b$. We write $\nabla W_a = \omega_a^c \otimes W_c$ and $\nabla \widetilde{W}_a = \widetilde{\omega}_a^c \otimes W_c$.

Proposition A.2. With the transformation mentioned above, Let \widetilde{A}_{ab} and \widetilde{R}_{abcd} be the Webster torsion tensor and the curvature tensor with respect to $\{\widetilde{W}_a, T\}$. Then we have

$$\widetilde{A}_{ab} = v_a^{a_1} v_b^{b_1} A_{a_1 b_1}, \quad \widetilde{R}_{abcd} = v_a^{a_1} v_b^{b_1} v_c^{c_1} v_d^{d_1} R_{a_1 b_1 c_1 d_1}.$$

Proof. By (A.12), we have

$$\nabla \widetilde{W}_a = \nabla (v_a^c W_c) = dv_a^c \otimes W_c + v_a^c \nabla W_c = \left(u_c^b dv_a^c + u_c^b v_a^d \omega_d^c \right) \otimes \widetilde{W}_b$$

So $\widetilde{\omega}_a^b = u_c^b dv_a^c + u_c^b v_a^d \omega_d^c$, which is equivalent to

$$dv_a^c = \widetilde{\omega}_a^b v_b^c - v_a^b \omega_b^c. \tag{A.14}$$

Differentiating the second identity of (A.13), we get:

$$d\theta^c = dv_a^c \wedge \widetilde{\theta}^a + v_a^c d\widetilde{\theta}^a = \left(\widetilde{\omega}_a^b v_b^c - v_a^b \omega_b^c\right) \wedge \widetilde{\theta}^a + v_a^c d\widetilde{\theta}^a,$$

by (A.14). Therefore,

$$d\tilde{\theta}^a - \tilde{\theta}^b \wedge \tilde{\omega}^a_b = u^a_b \left(d\theta^b - \theta^c \wedge \omega^b_c \right). \tag{A.15}$$

FEIFAN WU AND WEI WANG

So $\widetilde{A}_{a}^{b} = (d\widetilde{\theta}^{b} - \widetilde{\theta}^{c} \wedge \widetilde{\omega}_{c}^{b})(\widetilde{T}, \widetilde{W}_{a}) = u_{b_{1}}^{b} (d\theta^{b_{1}} - \theta^{c} \wedge \omega_{c}^{b_{1}})(T, v_{a}^{a_{1}}W_{a_{1}}) = v_{a}^{a_{1}}u_{b_{1}}^{b}A_{a_{1}}^{b_{1}}$. For the covariance of R_{abcd} , we can refer to Section 3 in [23], or it can be achieved in the same way as tensor A by differentiating (A.14). So we finish proving that R_{abcd} and A_{ab} are covariant.

Appendix B. The calculation of $a_2(n)$ and $b_2(n)$

In Appendix B we will show the detailed calculation of Lemma 5.7. Recall that our contact manifold (M, θ, h, J) satisfies Proposition 5.1.

B.1. Calculation of v_2^{jk} .

Lemma B.1. For v_2^{jk} defined in (5.4), we have

$$\begin{split} v_{2}^{\alpha\gamma} &= -\frac{1}{6} R_{d\ c\bar{\alpha}}^{\ \gamma}(q) z^{d} z^{c}, \\ v_{2}^{\alpha\bar{\gamma}} &= -\frac{1}{6} \left(R_{d\ c\gamma}^{\ \alpha}(q) + R_{d\ c\bar{\alpha}}^{\ \bar{\gamma}}(q) \right) z^{c} z^{d} + \delta_{\beta}^{\alpha} \delta_{\beta}^{\gamma} v_{2}, \\ v_{2}^{\bar{\alpha}\gamma} &= 0, \\ v_{2}^{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\gamma}} &= -\frac{1}{6} R_{d\ c\gamma}^{\ \bar{\alpha}}(q) z^{d} z^{c}, \\ v_{2}^{\alpha0} &= -\frac{1}{2} J_{\beta\bar{\alpha}(2)} z^{\beta} - \frac{1}{2} J_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\alpha}(2)} z^{\bar{\beta}} + \frac{i}{12} R_{d\ c\bar{\alpha}}^{\ \bar{\rho}}(q) z^{d} z^{c} z^{\rho} - \frac{i}{12} R_{d\ c\bar{\alpha}}^{\ \rho}(q) z^{d} z^{c} z^{\bar{\rho}}, \end{split}$$
(B.1)
$$v_{2}^{\bar{\alpha}0} &= 0, \\ v_{2}^{0\bar{\alpha}} &= 0, \\ v_{2}^{0\bar{\alpha}} &= 0, \\ v_{2}^{0\bar{\alpha}} &= -\frac{1}{2} J_{\beta\alpha(2)} z^{\beta} - \frac{1}{2} J_{\bar{\beta}\alpha(2)} z^{\bar{\beta}} + \frac{i}{12} R_{d\ c\alpha}^{\ \bar{\rho}}(q) z^{d} z^{c} z^{\rho} - \frac{i}{12} R_{d\ c\alpha}^{\ \rho}(q) z^{d} z^{c} z^{\bar{\rho}}, \\ v_{2}^{0\bar{\alpha}} &= -\frac{1}{2} J_{\beta\alpha(2)} z^{\beta} - \frac{1}{2} J_{\bar{\beta}\alpha(2)} z^{\bar{\beta}} + \frac{i}{12} R_{d\ c\alpha}^{\ \bar{\rho}}(q) z^{d} z^{c} z^{\rho} - \frac{i}{12} R_{d\ c\alpha}^{\ \rho}(q) z^{d} z^{c} z^{\bar{\rho}}, \\ v_{2}^{00} &= \frac{4}{9} Q_{\gamma\lambda}^{\bar{\beta}}(q) Q_{\bar{\sigma}\bar{\mu}}^{\beta}(q) z^{\gamma} z^{\lambda} z^{\bar{\sigma}} z^{\bar{\mu}}. \end{split}$$

Proof. In the following we will use Proposition 2.8 repeatedly, especially

$$s^{\alpha}_{\beta(0)} = s^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\bar{\beta}(0)} = \delta^{\alpha}_{\beta}, \quad s^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\beta(0)} = s^{\alpha}_{\bar{\beta}(0)} = 0, \quad s^{a}_{b(1)} = 0, \quad s^{0}_{b(0)} = s^{0}_{b(1)} = 0,$$

and we also have $v_0 = 1$, $v_1 = 0$, by Corollary 5.1. We find that

$$\begin{split} v_{2}^{\alpha\gamma} &= \sum_{m_{0}+m_{1}+m_{2}=2} s_{\beta(m_{1})}^{\alpha} s_{\bar{\beta}(m_{2})}^{\gamma} v_{m_{0}} \\ &= s_{\beta(2)}^{\alpha} s_{\bar{\beta}(0)}^{\gamma} v_{0} + s_{\beta(0)}^{\alpha} s_{\bar{\beta}(2)}^{\gamma} v_{0} + s_{\beta(1)}^{\alpha} s_{\bar{\beta}(1)}^{\gamma} v_{0} + s_{\beta(1)}^{\alpha} s_{\bar{\beta}(0)}^{\gamma} v_{1} + s_{\beta(0)}^{\alpha} s_{\bar{\beta}(1)}^{\gamma} v_{1} + s_{\beta(0)}^{\alpha} s_{\bar{\beta}(0)}^{\gamma} v_{2} \\ &= \delta_{\beta}^{\alpha} s_{\bar{\beta}(2)}^{\gamma} v_{0} = s_{\bar{\alpha}(2)}^{\gamma} = -\frac{1}{6} R_{d}^{\gamma} {}_{c\bar{\alpha}}(q) z^{d} z^{c}, \end{split}$$

by (2.24) for $s^{\alpha}_{\bar{\beta}(2)}$. Similarly we get

$$\begin{split} v_{2}^{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\gamma}} &= \sum_{m_{0}+m_{1}+m_{2}=2} s_{\beta(m_{1})}^{\alpha} s_{\bar{\beta}(m_{2})}^{\gamma} v_{m_{0}} = s_{\beta(2)}^{\alpha} \delta_{\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\gamma}} + \delta_{\beta}^{\alpha} s_{\bar{\beta}(2)}^{\bar{\gamma}} + \delta_{\beta}^{\alpha} \delta_{\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\gamma}} v_{2} = s_{\gamma(2)}^{\alpha} + s_{\bar{\alpha}(2)}^{\bar{\gamma}} + \delta_{\gamma}^{\alpha} v_{2} \\ &= -\frac{1}{6} \bigg(R_{d\ c\gamma}^{\alpha}(q) + R_{d\ c\bar{\alpha}}^{\bar{\gamma}}(q) \bigg) z^{d} z^{c} + \delta_{\gamma}^{\alpha} v_{2} , \\ v_{2}^{\bar{\alpha}\gamma} &= \sum_{m_{0}+m_{1}+m_{2}=2} s_{\beta(m_{1})}^{\bar{\alpha}} s_{\bar{\beta}(m_{2})}^{\bar{\gamma}} v_{m_{0}} = 0 , \\ v_{2}^{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\gamma}} &= \sum_{m_{0}+m_{1}+m_{2}=2} s_{\beta(m_{1})}^{\bar{\alpha}} s_{\bar{\beta}(m_{2})}^{\bar{\gamma}} v_{m_{0}} = s_{\beta(2)}^{\bar{\alpha}} \delta_{\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\gamma}} = s_{\gamma(2)}^{\bar{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{6} R_{d\ c\gamma}^{\bar{\alpha}}(q) z^{d} z^{c} , \\ v_{2}^{a0} &= \sum_{m_{0}+m_{1}+m_{2}=2} s_{\beta(m_{1})}^{\alpha} s_{\beta(m_{2}+1)}^{0} v_{m_{0}} = \delta_{\beta}^{\alpha} s_{\bar{\beta}(3)}^{\bar{\beta}} = s_{\bar{\alpha}(3)}^{\bar{\alpha}} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} J_{\beta\bar{\alpha}(2)} z^{\beta} - \frac{1}{2} J_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\alpha}(2)} z^{\bar{\beta}} + \frac{i}{12} R_{d\ c\bar{\alpha}}^{\bar{\alpha}}(q) z^{d} z^{c} z^{\rho} - \frac{i}{12} R_{d\ c\bar{\alpha}}^{\rho}(q) z^{d} z^{c} z^{\bar{\rho}} , \\ v_{2}^{\bar{\alpha}0} &= \sum_{m_{0}+m_{1}+m_{2}=2} s_{\beta(m_{1}+1)}^{\bar{\alpha}} s_{\beta(m_{2}+1)}^{\alpha} v_{m_{0}} = 0 , \\ v_{2}^{0\alpha} &= \sum_{m_{0}+m_{1}+m_{2}=2} s_{\beta(m_{1}+1)}^{\alpha} s_{\bar{\beta}(m_{2})}^{\alpha} v_{m_{0}} = s_{\beta(3)}^{\alpha} \delta_{\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\alpha}} = s_{\alpha(3)}^{0} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} J_{\beta\alpha(2)} z^{\beta} - \frac{1}{2} J_{\bar{\beta}\alpha(2)} z^{\bar{\beta}} + \frac{i}{12} R_{d\ c\alpha}^{\bar{\alpha}}(q) z^{d} z^{c} z^{\rho} - \frac{i}{12} R_{d\ c\alpha}^{\rho}(q) z^{d} z^{c} z^{\bar{\rho}} . \end{split}$$

By noting that we have Corollary 3.1 for $s_{b(2)}^0$, we get

$$v_2^{00} = \sum_{m_0+m_1+m_2=2} s^0_{\beta(m_1+1)} s^0_{\bar{\beta}(m_2+1)} v_{m_0} = s^0_{\beta(2)} s^0_{\bar{\beta}(2)} = \frac{4}{9} Q^{\bar{\beta}}_{\gamma\lambda}(q) Q^{\beta}_{\bar{\sigma}\bar{\mu}}(q) z^{\gamma} z^{\lambda} z^{\bar{\sigma}} z^{\bar{\mu}}.$$

So we finish the proof of Lemma B.1.

B.2. **Proof of Lemma 5.7.** By the first identity in (B.1) for $v_2^{\alpha\gamma}$ and (5.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathscr{H}^n} v_2^{\alpha\gamma} Z_\alpha \Phi Z_\gamma \Phi dV \\ &= \int_{\mathscr{H}^n} \frac{n^2}{6} R_{d\ c\bar{\alpha}}^{\gamma}(q) z^d z^{\bar{\gamma}} z^c z^{\bar{\alpha}} \frac{t^2 + 2i(|z|^2 + 1)t - (|z|^2 + 1)^2}{|w + i|^{2n+4}} dV \\ &= \int_{\mathscr{H}^n} \frac{n^2}{6} R_{\rho\ \lambda\bar{\alpha}}^{\gamma}(q) z^{\rho} z^{\bar{\gamma}} z^{\lambda} z^{\bar{\alpha}} \frac{t^2 + 2i(|z|^2 + 1)t - (|z|^2 + 1)^2}{|w + i|^{2n+4}} dV \\ &= \frac{n^2(4\pi)^n}{12(n+1)} \mathfrak{Q} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{t^2 + 2i(r^2 + 1)t - (r^2 + 1)^2}{|1 + i(1 + r^2)|^{2n+4}} r^{2n+3} dr dt, \end{aligned}$$
(B.2)

where the last identity is by the third identity in (5.8). Similarly by (B.1), (5.8) and (5.10), we get

$$\int_{\mathscr{H}^n} v_2^{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\gamma}} Z_{\bar{\alpha}} \Phi Z_{\bar{\gamma}} \Phi dV = \frac{n^2 (4\pi)^n}{12(n+1)} \mathfrak{Q} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{t^2 - 2i(r^2+1)t - (r^2+1)^2}{|1+i(1+r^2)|^{2n+4}} r^{2n+3} dr dt.$$
(B.3)

Recall that we have (5.6) for v_2 . So we have

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathscr{H}^n} v_2 n^2 |z|^2 \frac{t^2 + (|z|^2 + 1)^2}{|w + i|^{2n+4}} dV \\ &= -\frac{1}{6} \int_{\mathscr{H}^n} \left(R_{\bar{\beta}\ \alpha\mu}^{\ \alpha}(q) z^{\bar{\beta}} z^{\mu} + R_{\beta\ \bar{\alpha}\bar{\mu}}^{\ \bar{\alpha}}(q) z^{\beta} z^{\bar{\mu}} \right) n^2 |z|^2 \frac{t^2 + (|z|^2 + 1)^2}{|w + i|^{2n+4}} dV \\ &= \frac{n^2}{6} (4\pi)^n \mathfrak{Q} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{r^{2n+3}}{|t + i(1+r^2)|^{2n+2}} dr dt = \frac{n^2}{6} (4\pi)^n \mathfrak{Q} N_1(2n+2,2n+3,0), \end{split}$$

by (5.8) and Lemma 5.4. Then by (B.1) and (5.10), we get

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathscr{H}^{n}} v_{2}^{\alpha\bar{\gamma}} Z_{\alpha} \Phi Z_{\bar{\gamma}} \Phi dV \\ &= \int_{\mathscr{H}^{n}} \left(-\frac{n^{2}}{6} (R_{d\ c\gamma}^{\alpha}(q) z^{d} z^{c} + R_{d\ c\bar{\alpha}}^{\bar{\gamma}}(q) z^{d} z^{c}) + \delta_{\gamma}^{\alpha} v_{2} \right) z^{\bar{\alpha}} z^{\gamma} \frac{t^{2} + (|z|^{2} + 1)^{2}}{|w + i|^{2n + 4}} dV \\ &= \int_{\mathscr{H}^{n}} \left(\frac{n^{2}}{6} (R_{\rho\ \gamma\bar{\lambda}}^{\alpha}(q) z^{\rho} z^{\bar{\alpha}} z^{\gamma} z^{\bar{\lambda}} - R_{\bar{\rho}\ \lambda\gamma}^{\alpha}(q) z^{\bar{\rho}} z^{\bar{\alpha}} z^{\lambda} z^{\gamma} \right. \\ &- R_{\rho\ \bar{\lambda}\bar{\alpha}}^{\bar{\gamma}}(q) z^{\rho} z^{\gamma} z^{\bar{\lambda}} z^{\bar{\alpha}} + R_{\bar{\rho}\ \bar{\alpha}\lambda}^{\bar{\gamma}}(q) z^{\bar{\rho}} z^{\gamma} z^{\bar{\alpha}} z^{\lambda}) \frac{t^{2} + (|z|^{2} + 1)^{2}}{|w + i|^{2n + 4}} + v_{2} n^{2} |z|^{2} \frac{t^{2} + (|z|^{2} + 1)^{2}}{|w + i|^{2n + 4}} \right) dV \\ &= \frac{n^{2}}{6(n + 1)} (4\pi)^{n} \mathfrak{Q} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{(t^{2} + (r^{2} + 1)^{2}) r^{2n + 3}}{|t^{2} + i(1 + r^{2})|^{2n + 4}} dr dt + \frac{n^{2}}{6} (4\pi)^{n} \mathfrak{Q} N_{1}(2n + 2, 2n + 3, 0). \end{split}$$
(B.4)

The last identity is by the third and fourth identities in (5.8). And by (B.1), we have

$$\int_{\mathscr{H}^n} v_2^{\bar{\alpha}\gamma} Z_{\bar{\alpha}} \Phi Z_{\gamma} \Phi dV = 0.$$
(B.5)

Taking summation of (B.2), (B.3), (B.4) and (B.5), we get

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathscr{H}^n} v_2^{ab} Z_a \Phi Z_b \Phi dV \\ &= \frac{n^2}{3(n+1)} (4\pi)^n \mathfrak{Q} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{t^2 r^{2n+3}}{|t^2 + i(1+r^2)|^{2n+4}} dr dt + \frac{n^2}{6} (4\pi)^n \mathfrak{Q} N_1(2n+2,2n+3,0) \\ &= \frac{n^2}{3(n+1)} (4\pi)^n N_1(2n+4,2n+3,2) \mathfrak{Q} + \frac{n^2}{6} (4\pi)^n N_1(2n+2,2n+3,0) \mathfrak{Q} \\ &= \frac{n^4 + 2n^3 + 2n^2}{6(n-1)(n+1)} (4\pi)^n N_1(2n+2,2n+1,0) \mathfrak{Q}, \end{split}$$

by using the last identity in (5.9). So the first identity in (5.18) follows.

By
$$(B.1)$$
, (5.10) and substituting identities in (5.8) for certain terms, we get

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathscr{H}^{n}} v_{2}^{\alpha 0} Z_{\alpha} \Phi Z_{0} \Phi dV \\ &= \int_{\mathscr{H}^{n}} \left(-\frac{1}{2} J_{\beta \bar{\alpha}(2)} z^{\beta} - \frac{1}{2} J_{\bar{\beta} \bar{\alpha}(2)} z^{\bar{\beta}} + \frac{i}{12} R_{d\ c\bar{\alpha}}^{\ \bar{\rho}}(q) z^{c} z^{d} z^{\rho} - \frac{i}{12} R_{d\ c\bar{\alpha}}^{\ \rho}(q) z^{c} z^{d} z^{\bar{\rho}} \right) \\ &(-in^{2} z^{\bar{\alpha}}) \frac{t^{2} + it(|z|^{2} + 1)}{|w + i|^{2n+4}} dV \\ &= n^{2} \int_{\mathscr{H}^{n}} \left(\frac{i}{2} J_{\beta \bar{\alpha}(2)} z^{\beta} z^{\bar{\alpha}} + \frac{i}{2} J_{\bar{\beta} \bar{\alpha}(2)} z^{\bar{\beta}} z^{\bar{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{12} \left(R_{\beta\ \bar{\mu} \bar{\alpha}}^{\ \bar{\rho}}(q) z^{\beta} z^{\rho} z^{\bar{\mu}} z^{\bar{\alpha}} - R_{\bar{\mu}\ \bar{\alpha}\beta}^{\ \bar{\rho}}(q) z^{\bar{\mu}} z^{\rho} z^{\bar{\alpha}} z^{\beta} \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{12} R_{\beta\ \mu \bar{\alpha}}^{\ \rho}(q) z^{\beta} z^{\bar{\rho}} z^{\mu} z^{\bar{\alpha}} \right) \frac{t^{2} + it(|z|^{2} + 1)}{|w + i|^{2n+4}} dV \\ &= \frac{n^{2}}{n+1} (4\pi)^{n} \left(-\frac{3}{4} + 0 + 0 - \frac{1}{24} - \frac{1}{24} \right) \mathfrak{Q} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{2} + it(r^{2} + 1)}{|t^{2} + i(1 + r^{2})|^{2n+4}} r^{2n+3} dr dt \\ &= -\frac{5n^{2}}{6(n+1)} (4\pi)^{n} \mathfrak{Q} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{2} + it(r^{2} + 1)}{|t^{2} + i(1 + r^{2})|^{2n+4}} r^{2n+3} dr dt. \end{aligned} \tag{B.6}$$

By taking conjugation of (B.6), we get

$$\int_{\mathscr{H}^n} v_2^{0\bar{\alpha}} Z_0 \Phi Z_{\bar{\alpha}} \Phi dV = -\frac{5n^2}{6(n+1)} (4\pi)^n \mathfrak{Q} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{t^2 - it(r^2 + 1)}{|t^2 + i(1+r^2)|^{2n+4}} r^{2n+3} dr dt.$$
(B.7)

And by (B.1), we get

$$\int_{\mathscr{H}^n} v_2^{0\alpha} Z_0 \Phi Z_\alpha \Phi dV = \int_{\mathscr{H}^n} v_2^{\bar{\alpha}0} Z_{\bar{\alpha}} \Phi Z_0 \Phi dV = 0.$$
(B.8)

So taking summation of (B.6), (B.7) and (B.8), we get

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathscr{H}^n} v_2^{a0} Z_a \Phi Z_0 \Phi + v_2^{0a} Z_0 \Phi Z_a \Phi dV \\ &= \int_{\mathscr{H}^n} v_2^{a0} Z_\alpha \Phi Z_0 \Phi + v_2^{\bar{\alpha}0} Z_{\bar{\alpha}} \Phi Z_0 \Phi + v_2^{0\alpha} Z_0 \Phi Z_\alpha \Phi + v_2^{0\bar{\alpha}} Z_0 \Phi Z_{\bar{\alpha}} \Phi dV \\ &= -\frac{5n^2}{3(n+1)} (4\pi)^n \mathfrak{Q} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{r^{2n+3}t^2}{|t^2+i(1+r^2)|^{2n+4}} dr dt \\ &= -\frac{5n^2}{3(n+1)} (4\pi)^n \mathfrak{Q} N_1 (2n+4,2n+3,2) = -\frac{5n^2}{6(n+1)(n-1)} \mathfrak{Q} N_1 (2n+2,2n+1,0), \end{split}$$

by (5.9) and Lemma 5.4. So the second identity in (5.18) follows.

By (B.1), (5.9), (5.10), the fifth identity in (5.8) and Lemma 5.4, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathscr{H}^n} v_2^{00} Z_0 \Phi Z_0 \Phi dV &= \int_{\mathscr{H}^n} \frac{4}{9} Q_{\gamma\lambda}^{\bar{\beta}}(q) Q_{\bar{\sigma}\bar{\mu}}^{\beta}(q) z^{\gamma} z^{\lambda} z^{\bar{\sigma}} z^{\bar{\mu}} \frac{n^2 t^2}{|w+i|^{2n+4}} dV \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{4n^2}{3(n+1)} (4\pi)^n \mathfrak{Q} \frac{r^{2n+3} t^2}{|t^2+i(1+r^2)|^{2n+4}} dr dt \\ &= \frac{4n^2}{3(n+1)} (4\pi)^n N_1 (2n+4,2n+3,2) \mathfrak{Q} = \frac{2n^2}{3(n+1)(n-1)} (4\pi)^n N_1 (2n+2,2n+1,0) \mathfrak{Q}. \end{aligned}$$

FEIFAN WU AND WEI WANG

So the third identity in (5.18) follows.

References

- T. AUBIN, Équations différentielles non linéaires et probléme de Yamabe concernant la courbure scalaire, J. Math. Pures Appl. 55 (1976) 269-296.
- [2] R. L. BISHOP & S. GOLDBERG, Tensor Analysis on manifolds, Dover Publications, Inc. New York (1980).
- [3] D.E. BLAIR & S. DRAGOMIR, Pseudohermitian Geometry on Contact Riemannian Manifolds, *Rendiconti di Matematica, Serie VII* Vol. 22, (2002), 275-341.
- [4] S. DRAGOMIR & G. TOMASSINI, Differential Geometry and Analysis on CR manifold, Progress in Mathematics 246, Birkhäuser, Boston-Basel-Berlin, (2006).
- [5] G. B. FOLLAND & E. M. STEIN, Estimates for the $\bar{\partial}_b$ -complex and analysis on the Heisenberg group, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 27 (1974) 429-522.
- [6] N. GAMARA, The CR Yamabe conjecture the case n = 1, J. Eur. Math. Soc. 3, (2001) 105-137.
- [7] N. GAMARA & R. YACOUB, CR Yamabe conjecture the conformally flat case, Pac. J. Math. 201 (2001) 121-175.
- [8] S. P. IVANOV & D. N. VASSILEV, Extremals for the Sobolev inequality and the Quaternionic Contact Yamabe Problem, Imprial College Press Lecture Notes, World Scientific Publishing Co., NJ, (2011).
- [9] D. JERISON & J. M. LEE, The Yamabe Problem on CR Manifolds, J. Differrential Geometry 25 (1987), 167-197.
- [10] D. JERISON & J. M. LEE, Extremals for the Sobolev inequality on the Heisenberg group and the CR Yamabe problem, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 1 (1988).
- [11] D. JERISON & J. M. LEE, Instrinsic CR Normal Coordinates and the CR Yamabe Problem, J.Differrential Geometry 29 (1989), 303-343.
- [12] J. M. LEE & T. PARKER, The Yamabe Problem, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 17 (1987), 37-91.
- [13] R. PETIT, Spin^c-stuctures and Dirac operators on contact manifolds, Differential Geom. Appl. 22 (2005), no. 2, 229-252.
- [14] R. SCHOEN, Conformal deformation of a Riemannian metric to constant scalar curvature, J. Differential Geometry 20 (1984) 479-495.
- [15] N. SESHADRI, Approximately Einstein ACH metrics, volume renormalization, and an invariant for contact manifolds, Bull. Soc. Math. France 137 (2009), no. 1, 63-91.
- [16] N. TANAKA, A differential geometry study on strongly pseudo-convex manifolds, Kinokuniya, Tokyo (1975).
- [17] S. TANNO, Variational Problems on Contact Riemmannian Manifolds, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 314 (1989) 349-379.
- [18] N. TRUDINGER, Remarks concerning the conformal deformation of Riemmanian structures on compact manifolds, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) 22 (1968) 265-274.
- [19] W. WANG, Canonical contact forms on spherical CR manifolds, J. Eur. Math. Soc. 5 (2003) 245-273.
- [20] W. WANG, The Yamabe Problem on quaternionic contact manifolds, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., 186 (2) (2006) 359-380.
- [21] W. WANG, On a conformally invariant variational problem on differential forms, Nonlinear Anal., 68 (2008), no. 4, 828-844.
- [22] S. M. WEBSTER, Pseudohermitian structures on a real hypersurface, J. Differential Geometry 13 (1978) 25-41.
- [23] R. O. WELLS, Differential Analysis on Complex manifolds, Graduate Text in Mathematics 65, Spriger-Verlag, (1980).
- [24] F. WU & W. WANG, The Bochner-type formula and the first eigenvalue of the sub-laplacian on a contact Riemannian manifold, *Differential Geom. Appl.*, **37** (2014), 66-88.
- [25] H. YAMABE, On a deformation of Riemannian structures on compact manifolds, Osaka Math. J. 12 (1960) 21-37.
- [26] Y. ZHANG, The contact Yamabe flow on K-contact manifold, Sci. China Ser. A 52 (2009), no. 8, 1723-1732.