

Linking Leptonic CP violation to Quark Unitarity Triangle

Morimitsu Tanimoto^{*} and Kei Yamamoto[†]

Department of Physics, Niigata University, Niigata 950-2181, Japan

Abstract

We study the linking between the CP violating phase of the lepton sectors and the unitarity triangle of the B^0 meson system. Antusch, King, Malinsky and Spinrath have shown that the quark mass matrices with the negligible 1-3 mixing give an interesting relation between the phase of the quark mixing matrices and CP violating measure $\phi_2(\alpha)$. This approach is extended by considering the $SO(10)$ GUT including the Pati-Salam symmetry, which links the Yukawa matrices of the quark sector to the one of the lepton sector. We discuss the relation of the CP violating phases between both quark and lepton sectors as well as the mixing angles. Then, the leptonic CP violating phase is predicted in terms of the angle of the unitarity triangle of the B^0 meson system. The leptonic CP violating phase δ_{PMNS} is predicted in the region $-74^\circ \sim -89^\circ$, which is the consistent with the recent T2K results. Our predicted phase is sensitive to $\phi_2(\alpha)$ and $\phi_3(\gamma)$. These predictions will be clearly tested in the future neutrino experiments as well as the Belle-II experiment.

^{*}E-mail address: tanimoto@muse.sc.niigata-u.ac.jp

[†]E-mail address: yamamoto@muse.sc.niigata-u.ac.jp

1 Introduction

The neutrino oscillation experiments are going on the new step to reveal the CP violation in the lepton sector. The T2K experiment has confirmed the neutrino oscillation in the $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e$ appearance events [1], which provide us the new information of the CP violation of the lepton sector by combining the data of reactor experiments [2, 3, 4, 5]. Therefore, the detailed study of the neutrino mixing including the CP violating phase gives us clues to reach the flavor theory [6]-[28].

On the other hand, the CP violating phase is well determined in the quark sector since the unitarity triangle of the B^0 meson system has been confirmed. The measurements of the three angles $\phi_1(\beta)$, $\phi_2(\alpha)$ and $\phi_3(\gamma)$ will be improved considerably by the Belle-II experiment. In the extensions of the standard model (SM), the grand unified theories (GUTs) link the Yukawa matrices of the quark sector to that of the lepton sector. Therefore, one can study the relation of the CP violating phases between the quark and the lepton sectors as well as the mixing angles.

The Yukawa matrices which have the 1-3 texture zeros (negligible 1-3 mixing) in both up- and down-quark sectors give us a clear relation between the Yukawa phase and the CP violating measure $\phi_2(\alpha)$ as discussed by Antusch, King, Malinsky and Spinrath [29]. In this paper, we extend this approach of the CP violating phase to the lepton sector [30, 31] and discuss the link of the CP violating phase between the quark and lepton sectors.

By using some GUTs, the reactor angle of neutrinos is related to the quark mixing angle [32, 33, 34, 35]. Actually, one of the authors has examined the mixing angles of both quarks and the leptons in the $SO(10)$ GUT including the Pati-Salam symmetry [36, 37]. In this paper, we predict the leptonic CP violating phase in terms of the unitarity triangle of quarks by using the $SO(10)$ GUT with the Pati-Salam symmetry. The leptonic CP violating phase δ_{PMNS} is predicted to be $-74^\circ \sim -89^\circ$, which is the opposite sign against the quark CP violating phase δ_{CKM} . This prediction is consistent with the recent T2K results [1].

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we present the unitarity triangle, $\phi_1(\beta)$, $\phi_2(\alpha)$, $\phi_3(\gamma)$ and J_{CP} in terms of Yukawa phases, and examine these relations numerically by inputting the recent experimental data of the CKM matrix. The CP violating phase of the lepton sector is also discussed. In section 3, we discuss the linking between the quark CP violating phase and the leptonic CP violating phase by considering the $SO(10)$ GUT, and predict the magnitude of the leptonic CP violating phase in terms of the angle of the unitarity triangle. The Section 4 is devoted to the summary. In the Appendix, we present the general formula of the quark and the lepton mixing.

2 Mixing sum rules in quarks and leptons

2.1 Quark mixing angle sum rules

Let us start with discussing the quark mixing angle sum rules presented by Antusch, King, Malinsky and Spinrath [29]. The quark mass matrices are given in the Yukawa sector as:

$$\mathcal{L}_Y = -\bar{u}_L^i (M_u)_{ij} u_R^j - \bar{d}_L^i (M_d)_{ij} d_R^j, \quad (1)$$

where M_u and M_d are the mass matrices of the up- and the down-quarks, respectively. The mass matrices are diagonalized

$$V_{uL}^\dagger M_u V_{uR} = \text{diag}(m_u, m_c, m_t), \quad V_{dL}^\dagger M_d V_{dR} = \text{diag}(m_d, m_s, m_b), \quad (2)$$

where V_{uL} , V_{uR} , V_{dL} and V_{dR} are unitary 3×3 matrices. The CKM matrix U'_{CKM} is given

$$U'_{\text{CKM}} = V_{uL}^\dagger V_{dL}, \quad (3)$$

where the unphysical phases are included.

The unitary matrix V_{qL} ($q = u, d$) can be written in terms of three angles θ_{ij}^q and three phases δ_{ij}^q as:

$$V_{qL} = U_{23}^{qL} U_{13}^{qL} U_{12}^{qL}, \quad (4)$$

where U_{12}^{qL} , U_{23}^{qL} and U_{13}^{qL} are given as,

$$U_{12}^{qL} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{12}^q & s_{12}^q e^{-i\delta_{12}^q} & 0 \\ -s_{12}^q e^{i\delta_{12}^q} & c_{12}^q & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad U_{23}^{qL} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_{23}^q & s_{23}^q e^{-i\delta_{23}^q} \\ 0 & -s_{23}^q e^{i\delta_{23}^q} & c_{23}^q \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5)$$

and U_{13}^{qL} is also analogously written. Here c_{ij}^q , s_{ij}^q are abbreviations for $\cos \theta_{ij}^q$ and $\sin \theta_{ij}^q$, where θ_{ij}^q is always made positive by the suitable choice of the phase δ_{ij}^q . Then, we can express the CKM matrix in terms of the up- and the down-mixing matrices as:

$$U'_{\text{CKM}} = U_{12}^{uL\dagger} U_{13}^{uL\dagger} U_{23}^{uL\dagger} U_{23}^{dL} U_{13}^{dL} U_{12}^{dL}. \quad (6)$$

We can also express the CKM matrix by the products of three unitary matrices as follows:

$$U'_{\text{CKM}} = U_{23} U_{13} U_{12}, \quad (7)$$

where

$$U_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{12} & s_{12} e^{-i\delta_{12}} & 0 \\ -s_{12} e^{i\delta_{12}} & c_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (8)$$

and so on. Three mixing angles θ_{ij} and three phases δ_{ij} appear in U'_{CKM} of Eq.(7), but two of three phases are removed away by multiplying the phase matrix in the right-hand side. On the other hand, in the PDG parametrization [38], the CKM matrix is given in terms of three mixing angles θ_{ij} , which are same ones in Eq.(8), and one phase δ_{CKM} as follows:

$$U_{\text{CKM}} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13}e^{-i\delta_{\text{CKM}}} \\ -s_{12}c_{23} - c_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta_{\text{CKM}}} & c_{12}c_{23} - s_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta_{\text{CKM}}} & s_{23}c_{13} \\ s_{12}s_{23} - c_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta_{\text{CKM}}} & -c_{12}s_{23} - s_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta_{\text{CKM}}} & c_{23}c_{13} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (9)$$

where c_{ij} and s_{ij} denote $\cos \theta_{ij}$ and $\sin \theta_{ij}$, respectively. Consequently, the CP violating phase δ_{CKM} is expressed in terms of δ_{12} , δ_{23} and δ_{13} as:

$$\delta_{\text{CKM}} = \delta_{13} - \delta_{23} - \delta_{12} . \quad (10)$$

Let us consider the phenomenological viable textures for the up- and the down-quark mass matrices, which have the 1-3 texture zeros (negligible 1-3 mixing). Therefore, taking $\theta_{13}^d = \theta_{13}^u = 0$, we can express the CKM matrix

$$U'_{\text{CKM}} = U_{12}^{uL\dagger} U_{23}^{uL\dagger} U_{23}^{dL} U_{12}^{dL} , \quad (11)$$

where U_{13}^{qL} is the unit matrix. By using Eqs.(7) and (11), we can derive the following relations,

$$\theta_{23} e^{-i\delta_{23}} = \theta_{23}^d e^{-i\delta_{23}^d} - \theta_{23}^u e^{-i\delta_{23}^u} , \quad (12)$$

$$\theta_{13} e^{-i\delta_{13}} = -\theta_{12}^u e^{-i\delta_{12}^u} (\theta_{23}^d e^{-i\delta_{23}^d} - \theta_{23}^u e^{-i\delta_{23}^u}) , \quad (13)$$

$$\theta_{12} e^{-i\delta_{12}} = \theta_{12}^d e^{-i\delta_{12}^d} - \theta_{12}^u e^{-i\delta_{12}^u} , \quad (14)$$

where we take the leading order in the small mixing angle by putting $c_{ij}^{u,d} \simeq 1$ and $s_{ij}^{u,d} \simeq \theta_{ij}^{u,d}$. In order to see the effect of non-vanishing θ_{13}^u and θ_{13}^d , we present the general formula and discussions in the Appendix.

By using Eqs.(12) and (13), one obtains

$$\theta_{12}^u = \frac{\theta_{13}}{\theta_{23}} . \quad (15)$$

By combining Eqs.(12), (13) and (14), one gets

$$\theta_{12}^d e^{-i(\delta_{12}^d - \delta_{12})} = \theta_{12} - \frac{\theta_{13}}{\theta_{23}} e^{-i(\delta_{13} - \delta_{23} - \delta_{12})} , \quad (16)$$

which gives

$$\theta_{12}^d = \left| \theta_{12} - \frac{\theta_{13}}{\theta_{23}} e^{-i\delta_{\text{CKM}}} \right| . \quad (17)$$

One also gets a relation by using Eqs. (12), (13) and (14):

$$\frac{\theta_{13}\theta_{12}}{\theta_{23}} e^{i\delta_{\text{CKM}}} = -\theta_{12}^u [\theta_{12}^d e^{-i(\delta_{12}^d - \delta_{12}^u)} - \theta_{12}^u] . \quad (18)$$

Therefore, one obtains the phase sum rule [29]

$$\delta_{\text{CKM}} = \text{Arg} \left[1 - \frac{\theta_{12}^d}{\theta_{12}^u} e^{-i(\delta_{12}^d - \delta_{12}^u)} \right] , \quad (19)$$

which is just the angle $\phi_3(\gamma)$ of the unitarity triangle as seen later.

The three angles of the unitarity triangle $\phi_1(\beta)$, $\phi_2(\alpha)$, $\phi_3(\gamma)$ can be expressed in terms of $\delta_{12}^d - \delta_{12}^u$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_1(\beta) &= \text{Arg} \left[-\frac{U_{cd}U_{cb}^*}{U_{td}U_{tb}^*} \right] = \text{Arg} \left[1 - \frac{\theta_{12}^u}{\theta_{12}^d} e^{-i(\delta_{12}^d - \delta_{12}^u)} \right], \\
\phi_2(\alpha) &= \text{Arg} \left[-\frac{U_{td}U_{tb}^*}{U_{ud}U_{ub}^*} \right] = \delta_{12}^d - \delta_{12}^u, \\
\phi_3(\gamma) &= \text{Arg} \left[-\frac{U_{ud}U_{ub}^*}{U_{cd}U_{cb}^*} \right] = \text{Arg} \left[1 - \frac{\theta_{12}^d}{\theta_{12}^u} e^{-i(\delta_{12}^d - \delta_{12}^u)} \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

where the CKM matrix elements U_{ij} 's are expressed in terms of θ_{ij}^q and δ_{ij}^q by using Eq.(11). We can easily check $\phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 = \pi$. It is noticed that $\phi_3(\gamma)$ is just δ_{CKM} . Thus, the CP violating phases are given by $\delta_{12}^d - \delta_{12}^u$ and $\theta_{12}^d/\theta_{12}^u$ in this scheme. We can also give another CP violating measure, the Jarlskog invariant J_{CP} [39], as;

$$J_{CP} = \text{Im} [U_{us}U_{cb}U_{ub}^*U_{cs}^*] = |U_{cb}|^2 \theta_{12}^u \theta_{12}^d \sin(\delta_{12}^d - \delta_{12}^u). \tag{21}$$

Let us show numerical results in order to test the consistency of this scheme. We input the PDG data [38] for the CKM mixing elements and phase as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
|U_{us}| &= 0.22536 \pm 0.00061, \quad |U_{ub}| = 0.00355 \pm 0.00015, \quad |U_{cb}| = 0.0414 \pm 0.0012, \\
\delta_{CKM} = \phi_3(\gamma) &= 68.0^{+8.0^\circ}_{-8.5^\circ}.
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Then we can obtain $\delta_{12}^d - \delta_{12}^u$ and $\theta_{12}^d/\theta_{12}^u$ by using Eqs. (15), (17) and (19), and so we can calculate $\sin 2\phi_1(\beta)$, $\phi_2(\alpha)$ and J_{CP} by using Eqs. (20) and (21).

Taking account of the experimental error with 90% C.L. for the input data, we plot the calculated regions of $\sin 2\phi_1(\beta)$, $\phi_2(\alpha)$ and J_{CP} on the planes of $\sin 2\phi_1(\beta)$ - $\phi_2(\alpha)$, $\sin 2\phi_1(\beta)$ - J_{CP}




Figure 1: The predicted region on the $\sin 2\phi_1(\beta)$ - $\phi_2(\alpha)$ plane. The solid and dashed lines denote the central values and the errors with 90% C.L. of the experimental data, respectively.




Figure 2: The predicted region on the $\sin 2\phi_1(\beta)$ - J_{CP} plane. The solid and dashed lines denote the central values and the errors with 90% C.L. of the experimental data, respectively.




Figure 3: The predicted region on the $\phi_2(\alpha)$ - J_{CP} plane. The solid and dashed lines are same in Figs. 1 and 2.




Figure 4: The allowed region of $\delta_{12}^d - \delta_{12}^u$ and $\theta_{12}^d/\theta_{12}^u$ with the constraint of the unitarity triangle.

and $\phi_2(\alpha)$ - J_{CP} in Figures 1, 2 and 3, respectively. In these figures, the following experimental bounds with 90% C.L. are also shown:

$$\sin 2\phi_1(\beta) = 0.682 \pm 0.019, \quad \phi_2(\alpha) = 85.4^{+3.9^\circ}_{-3.8^\circ}, \quad J_{CP} = 3.06^{+0.21}_{-0.20} \times 10^{-5}. \quad (23)$$

The calculated $\sin 2\phi_1(\beta)$, $\phi_2(\alpha)$ and J_{CP} are consistent with the experimental data although some regions are excluded by the experimental bounds. Thus, the CP violation is successfully expressed in terms of $\delta_{12}^d - \delta_{12}^u$ and $\theta_{12}^d/\theta_{12}^u$, which come from the quark mass matrices. By imposing constraints of the experimental data of the unitarity triangle in Eq.(23), we finally obtain the allowed region of $\delta_{12}^d - \delta_{12}^u$ and $\theta_{12}^d/\theta_{12}^u$ as shown in Figure 4. Taking this allowed region of $\delta_{12}^d - \delta_{12}^u$, we will discuss the CP violating phase in the lepton sector.

2.2 Lepton mixing angle sum rules

Similar discussions lead to sum rules for the mixing angles and the CP violating phase in the lepton sector [30, 31]. For the lepton mixing [40, 41], we write a general unitarity matrix U'_{PMNS} including the Majorana phases φ_1, φ_2 and the Dirac phase δ_{PMNS} as follows:

$$U'_{\text{PMNS}} = \text{diag}(e^{i\delta_e}, e^{i\delta_\mu}, e^{i\delta_\tau}) \cdot U_{\text{PMNS}} \cdot \text{diag}(e^{-i\varphi_1/2}, e^{-i\varphi_2/2}, 1). \quad (24)$$

Here U_{PMNS} is the lepton mixing matrix corresponding to the quark one of Eq.(9), in which θ_{ij} and δ_{ij} are redefined in the lepton sector. We replace the quark mixing angles and the phases $\theta_{ij}^d, \theta_{ij}^u, \delta_{ij}^d, \delta_{ij}^u$ with the lepton ones $\theta_{ij}^e, \theta_{ij}^\nu, \delta_{ij}^e, \delta_{ij}^\nu$, where superscripts e and ν correspond to the charged lepton and the neutrino, respectively.

The observed mixing angles θ_{23} and θ_{12} are order one while θ_{13} is order of the Cabibbo angle in the experimental data. Therefore, it is reasonable to suppose $\theta_{13}^e = \theta_{13}^\nu = 0$ as well as in the quark sector. So, we can take the PNMS matrix to be

$$U'_{\text{PMNS}} = U_{12}^{eL\dagger} U_{23}^{eL\dagger} U_{23}^{\nu L} U_{12}^{\nu L}. \quad (25)$$

Then, the PMNS mixing angles θ_{ij} 's are given in terms of θ_{ij}^e , θ_{ij}^ν , and δ_{ij}^e , δ_{ij}^ν as follows:

$$c_{13}s_{23}e^{-i\delta_{23}} = s_{23}^\nu e^{-i\delta_{23}^\nu} - \theta_{23}^e c_{23}^\nu e^{-i\delta_{23}^e}, \quad (26)$$

$$\theta_{13}e^{-i\delta_{13}} = -\theta_{12}^e e^{-i\delta_{12}^e} (s_{23}^\nu e^{-i\delta_{23}^\nu} - \theta_{23}^e c_{23}^\nu e^{-i\delta_{23}^e}), \quad (27)$$

$$c_{13}s_{12}e^{-i\delta_{12}} = s_{12}^\nu e^{-i\delta_{12}^\nu} - \theta_{12}^e c_{23}^\nu c_{12}^\nu e^{-i\delta_{12}^e}, \quad (28)$$

where we take $\cos\theta_{ij}^e \simeq 1$, $\sin\theta_{ij}^e \simeq \theta_{ij}^e$ and $\sin\theta_{13} \simeq \theta_{13}$. The leptonic CP phases can be extracted via

$$\delta_{12} = \frac{1}{2}(\varphi_2 - \varphi_1), \quad \delta_{23} = -\frac{1}{2}\varphi_2, \quad \delta_{13} = \delta_{\text{PMNS}} - \frac{1}{2}\varphi_1. \quad (29)$$

In this situation, the neutrino large mixing angles come from the neutrino mass matrix, and the reactor angle θ_{13} is given by the correction from the charged lepton sector. By Eqs.(26) and (27), we obtain

$$\theta_{13}e^{-i\delta_{13}} = -\theta_{12}^e c_{13}s_{23}e^{-i(\delta_{12}^e + \delta_{23})}, \quad (30)$$

which gives us simple sum rules for mixing angles and phases

$$\theta_{13} = \theta_{12}^e s_{23}, \quad \delta_{13} = \delta_{12}^e + \delta_{23} - \pi. \quad (31)$$

Supposing $\theta_{23}^e \ll 0.1$ in Eq.(26), we obtain

$$s_{23} \simeq s_{23}^\nu, \quad \delta_{23} = \delta_{23}^\nu. \quad (32)$$

On the other hand, Eq.(28) turns to

$$c_{13}s_{12}e^{-i\delta_{12}} = -\theta_{12}^e c_{23}^\nu c_{12}^\nu e^{-i\delta_{12}^e} \left(1 - \frac{s_{12}^\nu}{\theta_{12}^e c_{23}^\nu c_{12}^\nu} e^{-i(\delta_{12}^\nu - \delta_{12}^e)} \right), \quad (33)$$

which leads to

$$s_{12} = \left| s_{12}^\nu e^{-i(\delta_{12}^\nu - \delta_{12}^e)} - \theta_{12}^e c_{23}^\nu c_{12}^\nu \right|, \quad \delta_{12} = \delta_{12}^e - \phi - \pi, \quad (34)$$

where ϕ is given by

$$\phi = \text{Arg} \left[1 - \frac{s_{12}^\nu}{\theta_{12}^e c_{23}^\nu c_{12}^\nu} e^{-i(\delta_{12}^\nu - \delta_{12}^e)} \right]. \quad (35)$$

Therefore, the leptonic CP violating phase δ_{PMNS} is given as

$$\delta_{\text{PMNS}} = \delta_{13} - \delta_{23} - \delta_{12} = \phi - 2\pi \equiv \phi. \quad (36)$$

Thus, the leptonic CP violating phase is given by $\delta_{12}^e - \delta_{12}^\nu$, which is similar to δ_{CKM} of the quark sector in Eq.(19). It is remarked that δ_{PMNS} is given by the replacement of $d \rightarrow \nu$ and $u \rightarrow e$ in δ_{CKM} . This situation is understandable since the CKM matrix is $V_{uL}^\dagger V_{dL}$ while the PMNS matrix is $V_{eL}^\dagger V_{\nu L}$.

3 Linking leptons to quarks

3.1 GUT relation between quarks and leptons

The GUT models relate θ_{12}^e to the quark mixing angle. Especially, $\theta_{12}^e \simeq \theta_C$ with θ_C being the Cabibbo angle, comes from the GUT relations between the down-type Yukawa matrix Y_d and the charged lepton Yukawa matrix Y_e , and then one obtains the successful relation $\theta_{13} \simeq \theta_C/\sqrt{2}$ from Eq.(31) [30, 31, 32, 33].

In order to link the lepton mass matrices to the quark mass matrices, we consider the SO(10) GUT, which is broken down to the SM gauge group through the Pati-Salam symmetry [36, 37]. In this setup, the quark Yukawa matrices Y_d , Y_u , the charged lepton Yukawa matrix Y_e and the Dirac neutrino Yukawa matrix Y_ν are symmetric ones, and $Y_d = Y_e$ and $Y_u = Y_\nu$ are guaranteed except for the group theoretical Clebsch-Gordan (CG) factors in each element, which depends on the GUT operators. The left-handed Majorana neutrino mass matrix is not directly obtained from the up-quark Yukawa matrix Y_u since it is related with the Dirac neutrino mass matrix with the seesaw mechanism, and we therefore have some freedom coming from the right-handed neutrino mass matrix M_R . The remarkable difference of the mixing angles between the CKM matrix and the PMNS matrix is due to the flavor structure in the right-handed neutrino mass matrix M_R .

Now, one can take [32, 33]

$$\theta_{12}^e = \theta_{12}^d, \quad (37)$$

which leads to $\theta_{13} \simeq \theta_C/\sqrt{2}$. This relation was examined by the renormalization group running [30], and then, it is justified to be a good approximation at low energies. Therefore, we use it as the low energy relation in our work.

As well as the mixing angles, the phases of the Yukawa matrix elements are also related with each other in both quark and lepton sectors. Since $Y_d = Y_e$ and $Y_u = Y_\nu$ except for CG factors, we can discuss the relation of the Dirac phases between the quark and the lepton sectors. In order to simplify the discussion, we take the real base for Y_u and Y_ν , that is $\delta_{12}^u = \delta_{12}^\nu = 0$ and $\delta_{23}^u = \delta_{23}^\nu = 0$. One can take this base in general as follows. Through multiplying both side of Eq.(12), Eq.(13) and Eq.(14) by the phase factors $e^{i\delta_{23}^u}$, $e^{i(\delta_{12}^u + \delta_{23}^u)}$ and $e^{i\delta_{12}^u}$, respectively, the phases $e^{i\delta_{12}^u}$ and $e^{i\delta_{23}^u}$ are removed in the up-quark mixing, while the down-quark phases turn to $\delta_{ij}^d - \delta_{ij}^u$ as seen in the right-hand sides. The phases of the left-hand sides can be again redefined as δ_{ij} . In the lepton sector, the same discussion is available in Eqs.(26), (27) and (28).

Due to $Y_d = Y_e$ with the real Y_u and Y_ν , we obtain

$$\delta_{12}^e = \delta_{12}^d. \quad (38)$$

The neutrino flavor mixing is much different from the up-quark flavor mixing because of the seesaw mechanism. If the right-handed neutrino mass matrix M_R is real apart from the Majorana phases in this base, Dirac phases do not appear in the neutrino mixing matrix. The CP violating Dirac phases appear only in the charged lepton sector. A typical example is the tri-bimaximal mixing, which is the real mixing with $\theta_{13}^\nu = 0$ [42, 43]. Then, the leptonic CP violating phase comes from the charged lepton sector as well as the non-vanishing θ_{13}^ν .

Taking account of the phase redefinition, we rewrite Eq.(38) as

$$\delta_{12}^e - \delta_{12}^\nu = \delta_{12}^d - \delta_{12}^u, \quad (39)$$

which is the link of the CP violating phase between quarks and leptons. By using this relation, we predict the CP violating phase of leptons, δ_{PMNS} .

3.2 Predicting CP violating in lepton sectors

Let us consider the case, in which the mixing angles and the phases of the quark and lepton Yukawa matrices satisfy the relations in Eqs. (37) and (39), respectively. Then, the δ_{PMNS} is given in terms of $\phi_2(\alpha)$ by using Eq.(36):

$$\delta_{\text{PMNS}} = \phi = \text{Arg} \left[1 - \frac{s_{12}^\nu}{\theta_{12}^e c_{23}^\nu c_{12}^\nu} e^{i\phi_2(\alpha)} \right]. \quad (40)$$

We can calculate δ_{PMNS} without assuming the flavor structure of the neutrino sector since the values of θ_{23}^ν and θ_{12}^ν are obtained by the experimental data as seen in Eqs. (32) and (34), while θ_{12}^e is fixed by Eq.(37). For input data in our calculations, we use the results of the global analysis of the neutrino oscillation experiments for θ_{12} , θ_{23} and θ_{13} [44, 45, 46].

Now we predict δ_{PMNS} in terms of the CP violating phase of the quarks by using Eq.(40). We obtain δ_{PMNS} in the region of $-74^\circ \sim -89^\circ$ which is consistent with the recent T2K results with 90% C.L. [1]; $-1.18\pi < \delta_{CP} < 0.15\pi$ ($-0.91\pi < \delta_{CP} < -0.08\pi$) for the normal (inverted) hierarchy of neutrinos. The predict δ_{PMNS} is the opposite sign against δ_{CKM} , which is due to that δ_{PMNS} is given by replacement of $d \rightarrow \nu$ and $u \rightarrow e$ in δ_{CKM} .

We show the predicted δ_{PMNS} versus $\phi_2(\alpha)$, $\sin 2\phi_1(\beta)$, $\phi_3(\gamma)$ and J_{CP} in Figures 5, 6, 7 and 8, respectively. As seen in Figure 5, δ_{PMNS} has a linear dependence of $\phi_2(\alpha)$ with somewhat uncertainty, which is due to the error of input data, θ_{12} and θ_{23} , as seen in Eqs. (32), (34) and (40). The more precise data of $\phi_2(\alpha)$ in the B^0 meson system gives the more accurate prediction of δ_{PMNS} . If the $\phi_2(\alpha)$ is just a right angle, δ_{PMNS} should be $-75^\circ \sim -80^\circ$.

On the other hand, δ_{PMNS} is insensitive to $\sin 2\phi_1(\beta)$. As seen in Eq.(20), $\sin 2\phi_1(\beta)$ depends on $\theta_{12}^u/\theta_{12}^d$, where the uncertainty of θ_{12}^d and θ_{12}^u comes from the experimental error-bar of the CKM matrix elements $|U_{ub}|$, $|U_{cb}|$ and $\phi_3(\gamma)$ as seen in Eq.(17). Therefore, the




Figure 5: Predicted δ_{PMNS} vs. $\phi_2(\alpha)$.




Figure 6: Predicted δ_{PMNS} vs. $\sin 2\phi_1(\beta)$.




Figure 7: Predicted δ_{PMNS} vs. $\phi_3(\gamma)$.




Figure 8: Predicted δ_{PMNS} vs. J_{CP} .

$\sin 2\phi_1(\beta)$ dependence of δ_{PMNS} will be seen after the more precise determination of the CKM matrix elements $|U_{ub}|$, $|U_{cb}|$ and $\phi_3(\gamma)$ in the future experiments.

It is found that δ_{PMNS} is sensitive to $\phi_3(\gamma)$, which also depends on $\theta_{12}^d/\theta_{12}^u$. In this case, since θ_{12}^d is given for the fixed $\phi_3(\gamma)$, the uncertainty of θ_{12}^d is significantly reduced. The predicted δ_{PMNS} is insensitive to J_{CP} .

4 Summary

We have discussed the linking between the CP violating phase of the lepton sector and the unitarity triangle of the quark sector. The 1-3 texture zeros (negligible 1-3 mixing) in the up- and the down-quark mass matrices have given us an interesting relation between the Yukawa phase and CP violating measure $\phi_2(\alpha)$ [29]. We have examined this relation by using the recent data of three angles of the unitarity triangle in the B^0 meson system and the CP violating measure J_{CP} . We have found that the CP violation is successfully expressed in terms of $\delta_{12}^d - \delta_{12}^u$ and $\theta_{12}^d/\theta_{12}^u$, which come from the quark mass matrices.

We have extended this approach by considering the $SO(10)$ GUT including the Pati-Salam symmetry, which links the Yukawa couplings of the quark sector to the one of the lepton sector. Then, there is a simple relation of the CP violating Dirac phases between both quark and lepton sectors as well as the mixing angles. We have predicted the leptonic CP violating phase in terms of the angle of the unitarity triangle of quarks. The leptonic CP violating phase δ_{PMNS} is in the region $-74^\circ \sim -89^\circ$, which is the opposite sign against δ_{CKM} . The predicted value is consistent with the recent T2K results. Our predicted δ_{PMNS} is sensitive to $\phi_2(\alpha)$ and $\phi_3(\gamma)$, on the other hand, insensitive to $\sin 2\phi_1(\beta)$ and J_{CP} . Our predictions will be tested in the future neutrino experiments and the Belle-II experiment.

Acknowledgment

M.T. and K.Y. are supported by JSPS Grand-in-Aid for Scientific Research, No.24654062. and No.25-5222, respectively. M.T. would like to thank Sin Kyu Kang for helpful discussion.

Appendix : General mixing of Quarks and Leptons

The CKM matrix is given in general as:

$$U'_{\text{CKM}} = U_{12}^{uL\dagger} U_{13}^{uL\dagger} U_{23}^{uL\dagger} U_{23}^{dL} U_{13}^{dL} U_{12}^{dL} . \quad (41)$$

Then, we have mixing sum rules:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{23} e^{-i\delta_{23}} &= (s_{23}^d e^{-i\delta_{23}^d} - s_{23}^u e^{-i\delta_{23}^u}) + s_{12}^u e^{i\delta_{12}^u} (s_{13}^d e^{-i\delta_{13}^d} - s_{13}^u e^{-i\delta_{13}^u}) , \\ \theta_{13} e^{-i\delta_{13}} &= -s_{12}^u e^{-i\delta_{12}^u} (s_{23}^d e^{-i\delta_{23}^d} - s_{23}^u e^{-i\delta_{23}^u}) + (s_{13}^d e^{-i\delta_{13}^d} - s_{13}^u e^{-i\delta_{13}^u}) , \\ \theta_{12} e^{-i\delta_{12}} &= (s_{12}^d e^{-i\delta_{12}^d} - s_{12}^u e^{-i\delta_{12}^u}) + s_{13}^u e^{-i\delta_{13}^u} (s_{23}^d e^{-i\delta_{23}^d} - s_{23}^u e^{-i\delta_{23}^u}) , \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

where we keep the second order of the small mixing angles by putting $c_{ij}^{u,d} \simeq 1$ and $s_{ij}^{u,d} \ll 1$.

The angle of the CP violation $\phi_2(\alpha)$ is defined in terms of the CKM matrix elements as

$$\phi_2(\alpha) = \text{Arg} \left[-\frac{U_{td} U_{tb}^*}{U_{ud} U_{ub}^*} \right] \simeq \text{Arg} \left[-\frac{U_{td}}{U_{ub}^*} \right] , \quad (43)$$

where U_{ub} is given by $\theta_{13} e^{-i\delta_{13}}$ in Eq.(42). On the other hand, U_{td} is expressed as

$$U_{td} = s_{12}^d e^{i\delta_{12}^d} (s_{23}^d e^{i\delta_{23}^d} - s_{23}^u e^{i\delta_{23}^u}) + (s_{13}^u e^{i\delta_{13}^u} - s_{13}^d e^{i\delta_{13}^d}) . \quad (44)$$

Then, $\phi_2(\alpha)$ is obtained as follows:

$$\phi_2(\alpha) = \text{Arg} \left[\frac{s_{12}^d e^{i\delta_{12}^d} (s_{23}^d e^{i\delta_{23}^d} - s_{23}^u e^{i\delta_{23}^u}) + (s_{13}^u e^{i\delta_{13}^u} - s_{13}^d e^{i\delta_{13}^d})}{s_{12}^u e^{i\delta_{12}^u} (s_{23}^d e^{i\delta_{23}^d} - s_{23}^u e^{i\delta_{23}^u}) + (s_{13}^u e^{i\delta_{13}^u} - s_{13}^d e^{i\delta_{13}^d})} \right] . \quad (45)$$

We obtain easily $\phi_2(\alpha) = \delta_{12}^d - \delta_{12}^u$ if $s_{13}^u = s_{13}^d = 0$ is put. In the case of non-vanishing s_{13}^u and s_{13}^d , $\phi_2(\alpha)$ depends on the extra parameters of the (1-3) mixing in addition to $\delta_{12}^d - \delta_{12}^u$. Even if s_{13}^d has a non-vanishing value with $s_{13}^u = 0$, this situation is not changed, and vice versa. Thus, we lose the predictive power for the CP violating phase unless $s_{13}^u = s_{13}^d = 0$.

Instead of $s_{13}^u = s_{13}^d = 0$, if we put the $s_{12}^u = s_{12}^d = 0$ or $s_{23}^u = s_{23}^d = 0$ we get $\phi_2(\alpha) = 0$ as seen in Eq.(45), which is inconsistent with the experimental data of the CKM mixing. In conclusion, $s_{13}^u = s_{13}^d = 0$ is the minimal choice in the standpoint of the texture zeros to discuss the CP violation of the CKM mixing.

Let us discuss the PMNS matrix, which is given as,

$$U'_{\text{PMNS}} = U_{12}^{eL\dagger} U_{13}^{eL\dagger} U_{23}^{eL\dagger} U_{23}^{\nu L} U_{13}^{\nu L} U_{12}^{\nu L} . \quad (46)$$

Taking $s_{ij}^e \ll 1$ in the charged leptons and the mixing angles with order one in the neutrinos, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c_{13} s_{23} e^{-i\delta_{23}} &= c_{13}^{\nu} s_{23}^{\nu} e^{-i\delta_{23}^{\nu}} - s_{23}^e c_{23}^{\nu} c_{13}^{\nu} e^{-i\delta_{23}^e} + s_{12}^e s_{13}^{\nu} e^{-i(\delta_{13}^e - \delta_{12}^e)} , \\ s_{13} e^{-i\delta_{13}} &= -s_{12}^e c_{13}^{\nu} e^{-i\delta_{12}^e} (s_{23}^{\nu} e^{-i\delta_{23}^{\nu}} - s_{23}^e c_{23}^{\nu} e^{-i\delta_{23}^e}) + s_{13}^{\nu} e^{-i\delta_{13}^{\nu}} - s_{13}^e c_{13}^{\nu} c_{23}^{\nu} e^{-i\delta_{13}^e} , \\ c_{13} s_{12} e^{-i\delta_{12}} &= c_{13}^{\nu} s_{12}^{\nu} e^{-i\delta_{12}^{\nu}} - s_{12}^e c_{12}^{\nu} c_{23}^{\nu} e^{-i\delta_{12}^e} + s_{13}^e s_{23}^{\nu} c_{12}^{\nu} e^{-i(\delta_{13}^e - \delta_{23}^{\nu})} . \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

Since the observed s_{23} and s_{12} are large, we cannot take $s_{23}^{\nu} = 0$ and $s_{12}^{\nu} = 0$ if the charged lepton mixing angles is assumed to be order of the quark ones through the GUT model. Therefore, the input of $s_{13}^{\nu} = 0$ in addition to $s_{13}^e = 0$ is a reasonable one. If we take $s_{13}^{\nu} \neq 0$, it is an extra parameter as seen in Eq.(47). Then, we lose the predictive power for θ_{13} and the CP violating phase δ_{PMNS} .

References

- [1] K. Abe *et al.* [T2K Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **112** (2014) 061802 [arXiv:1311.4750 [hep-ex]].
- [2] F. P. An *et al.* [DAYA-BAY Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **108** (2012) 171803 [arXiv:1203.1669 [hep-ex]].
- [3] J. K. Ahn *et al.* [RENO Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **108** (2012) 191802 [arXiv:1204.0626 [hep-ex]].
- [4] P. Adamson *et al.* [MINOS Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **110** (2013) 25, 251801 [arXiv:1304.6335 [hep-ex]].
- [5] Y. Abe *et al.* [Double Chooz Collaboration], arXiv:1401.5981 [hep-ex].
- [6] S. K. Kang, C. S. Kim and J. D. Kim, Phys. Rev. D **62** (2000) 073011 [hep-ph/0004020].
- [7] M. Fukugita and M. Tanimoto, Phys. Lett. B **515** (2001) 30 [hep-ph/0107082].
- [8] C. Giunti and M. Tanimoto, Phys. Rev. D **66** (2002) 113006 [hep-ph/0209169].
- [9] Z. -z. Xing, Phys. Lett. B **533** (2002) 85 [hep-ph/0204049].
- [10] B. Adhikary and A. Ghosal, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 073020 (2007) [arXiv:hep-ph/0609193].
- [11] B. Adhikary and A. Ghosal, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 073007 (2008) [arXiv:0803.3582 [hep-ph]].
- [12] G. C. Branco, R. G. Felipe and F. R. Joaquim, Rev. Mod. Phys. **84** (2012) 515 [arXiv:1111.5332 [hep-ph]].
- [13] G. C. Branco, R. Gonzalez Felipe, F. R. Joaquim and H. Serodio, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 076008 (2012) [arXiv:1203.2646 [hep-ph]].
- [14] Y. H. Ahn and S. K. Kang, Phys. Rev. D **86** (2012) 093003 [arXiv:1203.4185 [hep-ph]].
- [15] H. Ishimori, S. Khalil and E. Ma, Phys. Rev. D **86** (2012) 013008 [arXiv:1204.2705 [hep-ph]].
- [16] H. Ishimori and E. Ma, Phys. Rev. D **86** (2012) 045030 [arXiv:1205.0075 [hep-ph]].
- [17] W. Rodejohann and H. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D **86** (2012) 093008 [arXiv:1207.1225 [hep-ph]].
- [18] D. Marzocca, S. T. Petcov, A. Romanino and M. C. Sevilla, JHEP **1305** (2013) 073 [arXiv:1302.0423 [hep-ph]].
- [19] P. Ballett, S. F. King, C. Luhn, S. Pascoli and M. A. Schmidt, Phys. Rev. D **89** (2014) 1, 016016 [arXiv:1308.4314 [hep-ph]].

- [20] P. Ballett, S. F. King, C. Luhn, S. Pascoli and M. A. Schmidt, JHEP **1412** (2014) 122 [arXiv:1410.7573 [hep-ph]].
- [21] S. F. King, A. Merle, S. Morisi, Y. Shimizu and M. Tanimoto, arXiv:1402.4271 [hep-ph].
- [22] Z. -z. Xing and S. Zhou, arXiv:1404.7021 [hep-ph].
- [23] G. C. Branco, M. N. Rebelo, J. I. Silva-Marcos and D. Wegman, Phys. Rev. D **91** (2015) 013001 [arXiv:1405.5120 [hep-ph]].
- [24] S. T. Petcov, Nucl. Phys. B **892** (2015) 400 [arXiv:1405.6006 [hep-ph]].
- [25] S. K. Kang and C. S. Kim, Phys. Rev. D **90** (2014) 7, 077301 [arXiv:1406.5014 [hep-ph]].
- [26] Y. Shimizu, M. Tanimoto and K. Yamamoto, Mod. Phys. Lett. A, **30** (2015) 1550002 [arXiv:1405.1521 [hep-ph]].
- [27] I. Girardi, S. T. Petcov and A. V. Titov, arXiv:1410.8056 [hep-ph].
- [28] S. K. Kang and M. Tanimoto, arXiv:1501.07428 [hep-ph].
- [29] S. Antusch, S. F. King, M. Malinsky and M. Spinrath, Phys. Rev. D **81** (2010) 033008 [arXiv:0910.5127 [hep-ph]].
- [30] S. Antusch, C. Gross, V. Maurer and C. Sluka, Nucl. Phys. B **866** (2013) 255 [arXiv:1205.1051 [hep-ph]].
- [31] S. Antusch, C. Gross, V. Maurer and C. Sluka, Nucl. Phys. B **877** (2013) 772 [arXiv:1305.6612 [hep-ph]].
- [32] S. Antusch and V. Maurer, Phys. Rev. D **84** (2011) 117301 [arXiv:1107.3728 [hep-ph]].
- [33] D. Marzocca, S. T. Petcov, A. Romanino and M. Spinrath, JHEP **1111** (2011) 009 [arXiv:1108.0614 [hep-ph]].
- [34] P. S. Bhupal Dev, R. N. Mohapatra and M. Severson, Phys. Rev. D **84** (2011) 053005 [arXiv:1107.2378 [hep-ph]].
- [35] P. S. Bhupal Dev, B. Dutta, R. N. Mohapatra and M. Severson, Phys. Rev. D **86** (2012) 035002 [arXiv:1202.4012 [hep-ph]].
- [36] M. Bando, S. Kaneko, M. Obara and M. Tanimoto, Phys. Lett. B **580** (2004) 229 [hep-ph/0309310].
- [37] M. Bando, S. Kaneko, M. Obara and M. Tanimoto, Prog. Theor. Phys. **112** (2004) 533 [hep-ph/0405071].
- [38] J. Beringer *et al.* [Particle Data Group Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **86** (2012) 010001.
- [39] C. Jarlskog, Phys. Rev. Lett. **55** (1985) 1039.

- [40] Z. Maki, M. Nakagawa and S. Sakata, Prog. Theor. Phys. **28** (1962) 870.
- [41] B. Pontecorvo, Sov. Phys. JETP **26** (1968) 984 [Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **53** (1967) 1717].
- [42] P. F. Harrison, D. H. Perkins, W. G. Scott, Phys. Lett. B **530** (2002) 167 [hep-ph/0202074].
- [43] P. F. Harrison, W. G. Scott, Phys. Lett. B **535** (2002) 163-169 [hep-ph/0203209].
- [44] M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni and T. Schwetz, JHEP **1411** (2014) 052 [arXiv:1409.5439 [hep-ph]].
- [45] D. V. Forero, M. Tortola and J. W. F. Valle, arXiv:1205.4018 [hep-ph].
- [46] G. L. Fogli, E. Lisi, A. Marrone, D. Montanino, A. Palazzo and A. M. Rotunno, Phys. Rev. D **86** (2012) 013012 [arXiv:1205.5254 [hep-ph]].