# Entropy and thermopower in the 2D t-J model

W O Putikka

Physics Department, The Ohio State University, 1760 University Dr, Mansfield, OH 44906, USA

E-mail: putikka.1@osu.edu

Abstract. The entropy of the two-dimensional t-J model is investigated using its 12th order high temperature series. A direct Padé extrapolation of the entropy series doesn't converge well for temperatures below  $T \sim J$ . The series coefficients are exact polynomials so the series convergence can be improved by modifying the series that is extrapolated. By subtracting a scaled version of the series for the entropy of the Heisenberg antiferromagnet from the t-Jentropy series the low temperature convergence is greatly improved. Using this technique results are obtained for the full range of electron densities and temperatures. The electron density is an adjustable parameter in the series coefficients allowing the density dependence of the entropy and the density derivative at fixed temperature  $\partial S/\partial n|_T$  to be determined accurately. The density derivative depends strongly on temperature, unlike noninteracting models. The density derivative is also an approximation to the experimentally measured thermopower.

#### 1. Introduction

The two-dimensional t-J model has been studied for many years as a model for the copper oxide planes found in high temperature superconductors. Despite considerable effort [1] the basic thermodynamic properties of this model are still not well understood. The calculation reported here investigates the entropy by means of its high temperature series calculated to 12th order in inverse temperature  $\beta$ . In principle, knowing the full temperature dependence of the entropy and the value of the free energy at one temperature is sufficient to fully determine the free energy and thus all the thermodynamic properties of the model. This more general calculation is left for a future publication. In this paper our attention is restricted to the entropy per site S and its density derivative at fixed temperature  $\partial S/\partial n|_T$ .

The t-J model Hamiltonian is given by

$$H = -t \sum_{\sigma \langle ij \rangle} \left( c^{\dagger}_{i\sigma} c_{j\sigma} + c^{\dagger}_{j\sigma} c_{i\sigma} \right) + J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}j, \tag{1}$$

along with the constraint of no double occupancy. The high temperature series for the Helmholtz free energy per site is calculated by a linked cluster expansion, taking the form

$$\beta F = \sum_{i=0}^{N} f_i (\beta J)^i, \tag{2}$$

where the  $f_i(n, t/J)$  are exact polynomials in terms of the electron density n and the ratio of the model coupling constants t/J. For the calculation reported here N = 12 and we fix t/J = 2.5.

The series for the entropy per site is also calculated in terms of the  $f_i$  as

$$S = k_{\rm B} \sum_{i=0}^{N} (i-1) f_i (\beta J)^i$$
(3)

and the energy per site is

$$\beta E = \sum_{i=0}^{N} i f_i (\beta J)^i.$$
(4)

## 2. Details of the Calculation

To reach low temperatures, the series expansion for the entropy needs to be extrapolated by Padé approximants. With the available 12th order series the direct extrapolation of the t-J entropy only converges for  $T \gtrsim J$ . A low temperature scale remains unresolved in this calculation. To improve the convergence we can try to extract this low temperature scale by forming the series for

$$\Delta S(n,T) = S_{tJ}(n,T) - n_{AF}^* S_{AF}(J^*,T) - \alpha^* S_{TB}(n,T),$$
(5)

where  $S_{AF}$  is the entropy of the Heisenberg antiferromagnet (found by setting n = 1 in the t-J entropy),  $S_{TB}$  is the tight-binding model entropy and the starred parameters are adjusted to produce a function  $\Delta S$  with a simple temperature dependence that extrapolates well to low temperatures. A least squares fit of the [6/6] Padé to a fourth order polynomial is calculated to extend  $\Delta S$  to T = 0. For  $n \ge 0.55$  a reasonable  $\Delta S$  can be found by setting  $n_{AF}^* = n$ ,  $\alpha^* = 0$  and adjusting  $J^*$ . To extract  $S_{tJ}$  we need to know  $S_{AF}(T)$  which is determined by



**Figure 1.** a) Heisenberg model entropy vs. temperature. b) An example of the fitting procedure described in the text for the *t*-*J* model entropy at n = 0.88. The *t*-*J* entropy is the sum  $S_{tJ} = nS_{AF}(J^*) + \Delta S$ , with  $J^* = 0.638173J$ .

using a combination of high precision Monte Carlo data [2, 3] and series expansion results for  $E_{AF}(T)$ . The entropy is found from the energy by using the thermodynamic relations E = F + TS and  $F_{AF}(T) = E_{0AF} - \int_0^T S_{AF}(T')dT'$ . The integal is evaluated by the trapezoidal rule with step size  $\Delta T = 10^{-5}J$  and the temperature dependence of the energy is given by  $\tilde{E}_{AF}(T) = E_{AF}(T) - E_{0AF}$  with ground state energy  $E_{0AF} = -0.669437J$  [4]. Using these expressions the temperature dependence of the entropy  $S_{AF}(T)$  is determined iteratively by

$$S_{AF}(j\Delta T) = \frac{2\tilde{E}_{AF}(j\Delta T)}{(2j-1)\Delta T} + \frac{2}{(2j-1)}\sum_{i=1}^{j-1}S_{AF}(i\Delta T),$$
(6)

where j runs from 1 to 500,000 to cover the range  $0 \leq T \leq 5J$  and  $\tilde{E}_{AF}(0) = S_{AF}(0) = 0$ . Fig(1a) shows the result for the temperature dependence of  $S_{AF}(T)$ . Using the relation above between  $F_{AF}(T)$ ,  $S_{AF}(T)$  and the value  $F_{AF}(5J) = -3.5043614J$  determined from the series expansion the ground state energy found by integrating  $S_{AF}(T)$  given by Eq(6) is  $E_{0AF}^{est} = -0.66947J$  and  $E_{0AF}^{est} - E_{0AF} = -3.3 \times 10^{-5}J$ , comparable to the error estimates in the Monte Carlo data [2,3].



Figure 2. A selection of data plotted as S/T vs. n. a)  $0 \le T/J \le 0.45$  in steps of 0.05. The dashed line in the inset is the tight-binding model  $\gamma$ . b)  $0.5 \le T/J \le 1.5$  in steps of 0.1. c)  $1.6 \le T/J \le 2.6$  in steps of 0.1. d)  $2.8 \le T/J \le 5$  in steps of 0.2.

The temperature dependence of the Heisenberg entropy  $S_{AF}(T)$  can be used as a known function to reverse the  $\Delta S$  calculation and extract  $S_{tJ}(n,T)$ . Fig(1b) gives an example of this procedure for n = 0.88. Two temperature scales emerge from this analysis: a high temperature scale  $T \sim t$  and a low temperature scale  $T \sim J^*$ . For n < 0.55 the t-J entropy crosses over to the tight-binding model entropy and all the starred parameters in Eq(5) are required. A complete discussion of this more complicated fitting procedure at low n is deferred to a future publication, though here we note to order n the series coefficients for the t-J model free energy are exactly the same as the tight-binding model free energy so as  $n \to 0$  we have  $S_{tJ} \to S_{TB}$  at all temperatures.

## 3. Results

The extrapolation in temperature to find  $S_{tJ}(n,T)$  is done separately for each n. For  $0.10 \leq n \leq 0.92$  the spacing of densities is  $\Delta n = 0.01$ , while tighter spacing is used for the highest and lowest density ranges. Data were accumulated for 226 densities, with 5,000 temperature values for each density with a uniform spacing of  $\Delta T = 0.001J$ . A selection of the entropy data is shown in Fig(2), with  $S_{tJ}/T$  plotted as a function of n for a range of temperatures.

From the entropy data an interesting quantity to calculate is the density derivative at fixed temperature  $\partial S/\partial n|_T$ . This derivative has been investigated in earlier calculations [1], but here we have greatly improved density resolution allowing a more detailed investigation than before. The entropy initially grows upon doping away from half filling and at high temperatures the configurational entropy goes through a maximum at n = 2/3. For noninteracting systems the configurational entropy maximum remains true for all temperatures. For example, the maximum entropy for the tight-binding model is found at n = 1 for all temperatures. Even for hard core bosons the entropy maximum remains fixed at n = 0.5 for all temperatures. The entropy maximum for the t-J model is strongly temperature dependent as shown in Fig(3). As the temperature decreases from  $T \sim 5J$  to  $T \sim J$  the entropy maximum moves up to



**Figure 3.** Red line:  $\partial S/\partial n = 0$  on a temperature vs. density plot. Green line: configurational entropy maximum at n = 2/3, independent of temperature. Blue line: approximate temperature scale T = t for the deviation of  $\partial S/\partial n = 0$  from the configurational entropy maximum. Magenta line: T = 0.2J, approximately room temperature where  $\partial S/\partial n = 0$  at n = 0.796.

n = 0.84. In this temperature range the interactions in the t-J model are decreasing the



Figure 4. Plot of  $\partial \mu / \partial T$  vs. temperature for the density range  $0.7 \le n \le 0.95$ . The spacing of the densities is 0.01.

entropy at higher densities than would be expected from the configurational entropy. This is an indication of correlations developing in this density and temperature range. The temperatures are too high for these to be antiferromagnetic correlations and the density and temperature ranges don't match the pseudogap found in high temperature superconductors. For  $T \leq J$  the entropy maximum reverses and moves back to lower densities, with the temperature dependence decreasing sharply down to n = 0.8 and a low temperature tail below T = 0.2J extending down to n = 0.62. Shastry [5] has shown that  $\partial S/\partial n$  is an approximation for the thermopower. In experiments [6,7] on cuprate superconductors the thermopower is observed to change sign as a function of doping. In particular the thermopower is zero at room temperature for 23% doping in many cuprate superconductors [6,7]. For T = 0.2J (corresponding to room temperature) the t-J model entropy maximum is at 20.4% doping.

Further information on the correlations developing at lower temperatures in the t-J model can be found by considering the full temperature and density dependence of  $\partial S/\partial n$ . By the equality of the mixed partial derivatives of the free energy we also have

$$\left. \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial T} \right|_n = - \left. \frac{\partial S}{\partial n} \right|_T,\tag{7}$$

where  $\mu$  is the chemical potential. The relation in Eq(7) provides another means to interpret the derivative. Fig(4) shows  $\partial \mu / \partial T$  as a function of temperature for the range of densities where  $\partial S / \partial n = 0$ . The low temperature positive peak in  $\partial \mu / \partial T$  for  $n \gtrsim 0.8$  is due to the attractive antiferromagnetic interaction between oppositely oriented spins decreasing the chemical potential at low temperatures. It costs less energy to add an electron to the system when the temperature falls below the effective spin interaction energy. For  $n \lesssim 0.8$  in Fig(4) the opposite happens: a fairly broad negative peak in  $\partial \mu / \partial T$  develops. Below this peak it costs more energy to add an electron to the system than for temperatures above the peak. For the full range of densities shown in Fig(4) the high temperature limit of  $\partial \mu / \partial T$  is positive.

## 4. Conclusions

The strong temperature dependence of  $\partial S/\partial n = 0$  shows the interactions in the *t-J* model are producing competing correlations. For  $n \gtrsim 0.84$  antiferromagnetic fluctuations are dominant at low temperatures. For  $n \lesssim 0.8$  the dominant fluctuations at low temperature are d-wave pair fluctuations as shown in Ref. 8. For densities  $0.8 \lesssim n \lesssim 0.84$  there is a crossover between the two dominant fluctuations. The different fluctuations also give very different temperature dependences to  $\partial \mu/\partial T$ . The antiferromagnetic fluctuations reduce the energy needed to add an electron at low temperatures while the d-wave pair fluctuations increase the energy needed to add an electron at low temperatures.

## Acknowledgments

The author acknowledges the support of the Ohio Supercomputer Center.

### References

- [1] Jaklič J and Prelovšek P 2000 Adv. in Phys. 49 1
- [2] Sandvik A 2010 AIP Conf. Proc. 1297 135
- [3] Sandvik A 2011 Preprint arXiv:1101.3281
- [4] Sandvik A W 1997 Phys. Rev. B 56 11678
- [5] Peterson M R and Shastry B S 2010 Phys. Rev. B 82 195105
- [6] Obertelli S, Cooper J R and Tallon J L 1992 Phys. Rev. B 46 14928
- [7] Honma T and Hor P H 2008 Phys. Rev. B 77 184520
- [8] Putikka W O and Luchini M U 2006 Phys. Rev. Lett. 96 247001