Tellurium Hydrides at High Pressures: High-temperature Superconductors

Xin Zhong¹, Hui Wang¹, Jurong Zhang¹, Hanyu Liu¹, Shoutao Zhang¹, Hai-Feng Song^{4,5}, Guochun Yang^{2,1,*}, Lijun Zhang^{3,1,*}, Yanming Ma^{1,*}

¹State Key Laboratory of Superhard Materials, Jilin University, Changchun 130012, China.

²Faculty of Chemistry, Northeast Normal University, Changchun 130024, China.

³College of Materials Science and Engineering and Key Laboratory of Automobile Materials of MOE, Jilin University, Changchun

130012, China

⁴LCP, Institute of Applied Physics and Computational Mathematics, Beijing 100088, China

⁵Software Center for High Performance Numerical Simulation, China Academy of Engineering Physics, Beijing 100088, China

Observation of high-temperature superconductivity in sulfur hydrides at megabar pressures has generated an irresistible wave on searching for new superconductors in other compressed hydrogen-rich compounds. An immediate effort is towards exploration of the relevant candidate of tellurium hydrides, where tellurium is isoelectronic to sulfur but it has a heavier atomic mass and much weaker electronegativity. The hitherto unknown phase diagram of tellurium hydrides at high pressures was investigated by a first-principles swarm structure search. Four energetically stable and metallic stoichiometries of H_4Te , H_5Te_2 , HTe and HTe₃ were uncovered above 140 GPa, showing a distinct potential energy map of tellurium hydrides from those in sulfur and selenium hydrides. The two hydrogen-rich H_4Te and H_5Te_2 species adopt ionic structures containing exotic quasi-molecular H_2 and linear H_3 units, respectively. Strong electron-phonon couplings associated with the intermediate-frequency H-derived wagging and bending modes make them good superconductors with high T_c in the range of 46-104 K.

PACS numbers: 74.70.Ad, 74.10.+v, 74.25.Jb, 74.62.Fj

A recent breakthrough finding in the superconductivity field is the observation of remarkably high superconductivity (with T_{c} up to 190 K) in sulfur dihydride (H2S) under pressure [1]. This observation was achieved by a direct investigation on a prediction of high- T_c superconductivity theoretical in compressed solid H_2S within the framework of Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer (BCS) theory [2,3]. The superconductive mechanism of H2S and its possible decomposition at high pressures was then substantially explored [4-9]. Besides these efforts, findings of new superconductors in other relevant hydrogen-containing compounds have also attracted great attention. Selenium (Se) hydrides were already predicted to exhibit high T_c in the range of 40-131 K at megabar pressures [10, 11].

Tellurium (Te) is the next group-VI element isoelectronic to S and Se. However, Te adopts an even larger atomic core with a much weaker electronegativity, and therefore it exhibits a rather different chemistry from S and Se. As a result, stable H₂S [12] and H₂Se [13] gas molecules and their solid counterparts exist at ambient pressure, whereas H₂Te gas molecules are unstable and rapidly decompose into the constituent elements (above -2 °C) [14]. Thus far, there is lack of any report on stable Te hydrides.

Pressure can fundamentally modify chemical reactivity of elements, and overcome the reaction barrier of hydrogen and certain substances to form stable hydrides (*e.g.* noble metal hydrides [15,16], LiH₆ [17], NaH₉ [18], and CaH₆ [19], etc). There is a possibility that Te hydrides can be synthesized by compressing a mixture of Te + H₂. As to the superconductivity,

on one hand one may argue that Te hydrides might not be good candidates for high- T_c superconductors since the low Debye temperature caused by heavy Te can suppress the superconductivity. On the other hand, low-frequency vibrations (soft phonons) associated with a larger atomic mass can enhance electron-phonon coupling (EPC) [20] as seen from the predicted higher T_c (up to 80 K) in SnH₄ [21] than those in SiH₄ (up to 17 K) [22] and GeH₄ (up to 64 K) [23].

We herein extensively explored the high-pressure phase diagram of Te hydrides by using the swarm-intelligence based CALYPSO structural prediction calculations [24,25]. Distinct from S and Se hydrides, Te hydrides exhibit a unique potential energy landscape, where the unexpected stoichiometries of H₄Te, H₅T₂ and HTe₃ emerge as stable species at megabar pressures. H₄Te is so far the most H-rich stoichiometry reported in the family of chalcogen hydrides. The uncovered H-rich H₄Te and H₅T₂ compounds consist of unforeseen quasi-molecular H₂ and linear H₃ units, respectively, both of which are metallic and show high-temperature superconductivity with *T*_c reaching as high as 104 K at 170 GPa for H₄Te.

The in-house developed CALYPSO structure prediction method designed to search for the stable structures of given compounds [24,25] has been employed for the investigation of phase stability of Te hydrides at high pressures. The most significant feature of this methodology is its capability of unbiasedly predicting the ground-state structure with only the knowledge of chemical composition. The details of the search algorithm and its several applications have been described elsewhere [24,26-28]. The underlying energetic calculations were performed within the framework of density functional theory using the plane-wave pseudopotential method as implemented in the VASP code [29]. The Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof generalized gradient approximation [30] was chosen for exchange-correlation functional. The electron-ion interaction was described bv projected-augmented-wave potentials with the $1s^1$ and $5s^25p^4$ configurations treated as valence electrons for H and Te, respectively. During the structure search, an economy set of parameters were used to evaluate the relative enthalpies of sampled structures on the potential energy surface, following which the kinetic cutoff energy of 500 eV and Monkhorst-Pack k-meshes with grid spacing of 2π \times 0.03 Å^{\text{-1}} were chosen to ensure the enthalpy converged to better than 1 meV/atom. The phonon spectrum and EPC of stable compounds were calculated within the framework of linear response theory through the Quantum-ESPRESSO code [31].

We focused our structure search on the H-rich compounds promising for higher- T_c superconductivity [32]. The energetic stabilities of a variety of $H_x Te_y$ (x = 1 - 8 and y = 1 - 3) compounds were evaluated through their formation enthalpies relative to the products of dissociation into constituent elements (ΔH) at 0, 50, 100, 200, and 300 GPa, as depicted in Fig. 1 and Supplementary Fig. S1. At 0 GPa, no stoichiometry is stable against the elemental dissociation, consistent with the fact of non-existence of any solidified H-Te phase at ambient condition. This situation preserves up to 100 GPa, but accompanied with much decreased ΔH magnitudes, implying a tendency of H-Te compounds being stabilized under further compression. Indeed at 200 GPa, all the stoichiometries become energetically favored over the elemental dissociation, and stable stoichiometries of H₄Te, H₅Te₂ and HTe emerge on the convex hull. At 300 GPa, in addition to these three species, another stable stoichiometry of HTe₃ appears in the H-poor regime. The finding of these unexpected stable H-Te stoichiometries is in sharp distinction from the actual stabilization of H₃S/Se, HS/Se, HS₂/Se₂ in S and Se hydrides [9,10]. As will be discussed later, all the four stable species are metallic in their stable pressure regions (Fig. 1b).

The most H-rich H₄Te was predicted to crystallize in a hexagonal structure (space group P6/mmm) above 162 GPa, consisting of H-sharing 12-fold TeH₁₂ octahedrons (Fig. 2a). The exotic structure feature of this phase is that the short H-H contact (~0.85 Å at 200 GPa) between two octahedrons forms the quasi-molecular H2-unit. Analysis of the electron localization function (ELF) between two H atoms within the H₂ unit shows a high value (~0.9, see Fig. S3a), indicating a strong H-H covalent bond. The longer H-H bond length than that of free H₂ molecule (0.74 Å) is attributed to the accepted charge of ~0.44e per H₂ donated by Te (Table S3), which resides in the anithonding orbital of H₂ and thus lengthens the intramolecular bond. Note that such charge transfer is a prerequisite on the formation of the quasi-molecular H2 in compressed hydrides, as also reported in LiH_n (n = 2, 6, and 8) [17], CaH₆ [19], GeH₄ [23], and SnH₄ [21,33]. No electron localization is found between H and Te in the ELF calculations, signaling an ionic H-Te bonding

Upon compression, the P6/mmm structure of H4Te transforms

into an energetically more favored *R*-3*m* structure at 234 GPa. This transition is a first-order in nature and is accompanied by an increased coordination number of Te from 12 to 14 with the formation of H-sharing TeH₁₄ octadecahedrons (Fig. 2b). There are two types of H atoms labeled as H1 and H2 (occupying two different 2*c* Wyckoff sites): the former is shared by four Te atoms, and the latter, while coordinated by three Te atoms, bonds with another H2 atom, forming also a quasi-molecular H₂-unit with a bond length of ~0.86 Å (at 300 GPa). We found a rather weak pressure dependence of the intramolecular H-H bond length for both *P6/mmm* and *R*-3*m* structures, similar to those reported in other compressed hydrides [17, 21, 23, 33].



Fig. 1 (color online). (a) Formation enthalpies (ΔH) of various H-Te compounds with respect to decomposition into constituent elemental solids at 100-300 GPa. Data points located on the convex hull (solid lines) represent stable species against any type of decomposition. (b) Pressure ranges in which the corresponding structures of different stoichiometries are stabilized. The phases I ($P3_121$), V (Im-3m), VI (I4/mmm) and VII (Fm-3m) of Te [34], and the $P6_3m$ and C2/c structures of solid H₂ [35] are used for calculating ΔH (Table S1). The hull data remain essentially unchanged with the inclusion of zero-point energies (Fig. S2).

The energetically favored structure of H_5Te_2 (stable above 165 GPa) has a C2/m symmetry (Fig. 2c), consisting of puckered layers made up of three-fold coordinated Te atoms (at the 4*i* sites) surrounded by two inequivalent H atoms (both at the 4*i* sites, labeled as H1 and H3). Another type of H atoms (at the 2*c* sites, labeled as H2) is accommodated in between two puckered layers and connects with two H1 atoms, forming unexpected linear quasi-molecular H₃ units. The two H-H covalent bonds have length of ~0.92 Å with a calculated maximal bonding ELF at ~0.8 (Fig. S3b) at 200 GPa. The formation of H₃ units accompanies with a similar charge transfer from Te to H (Table S3). Note that such linear H₃ units were often found in gas molecules [36-39], but rarely observed in solid phases (*e.g.* BaH₆ [40] and H-rich Rb compounds [41]).



Fig. 2 (color online). Structures of stable H-Te compounds at high pressures derived from the CALYPSO structure search: (a, b) H_4 Te in the *P6/mmm* and *R-3m* structures, respectively; (c) H_3 Te₂ in the *C2/m* structure; (d, e) HTe in the *P4/mmm* and *P6₃/mmc* structures, respectively; (f) HTe₃ in the *C2/c* structure. Small and large spheres represent H and Te atoms. Solid lines depict the unit cells of the structures. See Table S2 for more detailed structural information.

Turning to the HTe stoichiometry (stable above 140 GPa), it adopts a PbO-type structure (space group P4/nmm, Fig. 2d) composed of edge-sharing TeH₄-tetrahedron layers, isostructural to that of Fe-based superconductor FeSe [42] and Se hydride of HSe [10]. With increasing pressures, a NiAs-type structure (space group $P6_3/mmc$, Fig. 2e) was stabilized at 286 GPa with an increased coordination number from 4 to 6. For the H-poor HTe₃ stoichiometry (stable above 260 GPa), the stable structure has a C2/c symmetry (Fig. 2f), in which two inequivalent Te atoms (at the 4c and 8f sites) and H atoms (at the 4e sites) form interpenetrating polymeric networks. Each H atom is six-fold coordinated by Te.

The electronic band structure and density of states (DOS) of all above H-Te structures (Fig. 3 and Fig. S4) exhibit metallic features. To probe the role of Te played in the electronic structures of H-rich species (e.g. H₄Te), we calculated the band structure of a hypothetical H₄Te₀ system by removing Te out of the lattice, where H sublattice remains unchanged. A uniform compensated background charge (6e/Te) is applied to preserve the total valence electrons of the system. The resultant band structure (red dash lines in Fig. 3a) is essentially similar to the realistic one of H₄Te. The indication is that the Te atoms mainly act as electron donors, consistent with the ionic bonding nature of H-Te bonds as described above. This is in contract to the S and Se hydrides where the strong covalent H-S/Se bonding dominates [4,10]. For both H₄Te (Fig. 3a) and H₅Te₂ (Fig. 3b), we see a strong DOS peak originating from Te-p and substantial H-derived states around the Fermi level (E_f) . Meanwhile, there appears "flat band-steep band" characteristic [43] around the $E_{\rm f}$. These are typical features favorable for strong EPC and thus

high-T_c superconductors.



Fig. 3 (color online). Electronic band structure and projected density of states for (a) H_4Te in the *P6/mmm* structure and (b) H_5Te_2 in the *C2/m* structure at 200 GPa. In (a), the red dash lines represent the band structure of the H sublattice with a uniform compensated background charge.



Fig. 4 (color online). Calculated projected phonon density of states (lower panels), Eliashberg EPC spectral function $\alpha^2 F(\omega)$ and its integral $\lambda(\omega)$ (upper panels) of (a) H₄Te (*P6/mmm*) at 200 GPa, (b) H₄Te (*R-3m*) at 300 GPa, and (c) H₅Te₂ (*C2/m*) at 300 GPa.

We then performed phonon and EPC calculations for three H-rich species of H_4Te , H_5Te_2 and HTe to probe their potential superconductivity. The absence of any imaginary frequency in their phonon spectra clearly indicates dynamical stability of all the predicted H-Te structures (Fig. S5). The calculated EPC parameter λ for H_4Te , H_5Te_2 and HTe (at 200 GPa) are 1.46, 1.14, and 0.58, respectively. The former two are comparable to the predicted values in H_2S [2] and H_3S/H_3Se [4,10], indicating the fairly strong EPC in Te hydrides. Interestingly, λ almost reduces linearly with the decreased H contents in the sequential order of H_4Te , H_5Te_2 , and HTe (Fig. S6). This underlies the dominant role of H played in controlling the EPC of H-rich hydrides [32].

Fig. 4 shows the projected phonon DOS, Eliashberg EPC spectral function $\alpha^2 F(\omega)$ and its integral $\lambda(\omega)$ for H₄Te and H₅Te₂. For the P6/mmm-H₄Te (Fig. 4a), the low-frequency translational vibrations from heavy Te atoms (below 10 THz) contribute to 22% of the total λ . Regrettably, the high-frequency stretching modes associated with the quasi-molecular H₂ units (70-80 THz) contribute to only 5% of λ . The main contributor to the EPC (72% of λ) originates from the mid-lying H-Te wagging and H-Te-H bending vibrations (10-50 THz). In the same manner, for the R-3m-H₄Te (Fig. 4b), the high-frequency vibrations from H₂ units, intermediate-lying H-derived wagging and bending modes contribute to 3% and 73% of the total λ , respectively. The similar trend applies to H₅Te₂ (Fig. 4c), where λ mainly originates from the contribution of mid-lying H-derived phonons (15-60 THz, 62%), with a quite small contribution (<5%) from the high-frequency vibrations of the linear H₃ units (70-80 THz). These results highlight that intermediate-frequency H-derived phonons and low-frequency vibrations from Te atoms are mainly responsible for the EPC in H-Te systems. The involvement of massive Te atoms softens these phonon modes, from which the strong EPCs originate. This superconductive mechanism is not unusual by seeing those in SnH₄ [21,33] and CaH₆ [19] that contain similar

quasi-molecular H_2/H_4 -units. However, it is apparently contrasted to those in H-S and H-Se systems, where the high-frequency H-stretching vibrations dominate the overall EPC [2,4,10].

The superconducting T_c of the predicted H-rich compounds at varied pressures is evaluated through the Allen-Dynes modified McMillan equation [44] by using the calculated logarithmic average frequency ω_{log} and a typical choice of Coulomb pseudopotential $\mu^* = 0.1$ (as summarized in Table S4). The resultant T_c values are 99 K, 58 K and 19 K for H₄Te, H₅Te₂ and HTe at 200 GPa, respectively. The high T_c of H₄Te is attributed to the strong EPC ($\lambda = 1.46$) and a reasonably high ω_{log} (929.1 K). For H₄Te and H₅Te₂, we found a negative pressure dependence of T_c , which is resulted from the decreased λ under compression, even though ω_{log} increases due to phonon hardening. In the established stable pressure regions for all the compounds (Fig. 1b), the maximum T_c of 104 K occurs in H₄Te at 170 GPa.

Despite of the isoelectronic nature of Te to S/Se, we found via unbiased structure searches an entirely different potential energy landscape of Te hydrides. This sharp distinction originates from fundamentally different chemical bonding behaviors of H-Te and H-S/Se. In S/Se hydrides, S and Se have smaller atomic cores and thus are favorable for forming strong covalent bonds with H. Since electronegativities of S (2.58 by Pauling scale) and Se (2.55) are higher than that of H (2.2), the bonds are polarized towards S/Se (being anion-like). There is no covalent bonding between cation-like H atoms other than weak hydrogen bonds. As for Te hydrides, however, our calculations revealed that the formation of H-Te covalent bonds is energetically unfavorable (evidenced by the strong instability of TeH₂ at ambient pressure). Owing to the weaker electronegativity of Te (2.1) than that of H, there exists a notable charge transfer from Te to H. The compressed Te hydrides are stabilized by the formation of ionic H-Te bonds. Upon appearance of the additional charges on H atoms, substantial energy is reduced via the formation of H-H covalent bonds. This might be the physical mechanism on the stabilization of quasi-molecular "H₂/H₃" units in the H-rich compounds. The existence of quasi-molecular units facilitates accommodating more H, and this explains the emergence of the highest known H-content of H₄Te in chalcogen hydrides.

The two H-rich hydrides of H_4Te and H_5Te_2 are promising superconductors with the highest T_c up to 104 K and 58 K, respectively. Different from the superconductors of S/Se hydrides, current results unraveled an intriguing superconductive mechanism where the intermediate-frequency H-derived vibrations, and low-frequency phonons from massive Te atoms contribute mostly to the EPC. Our finding will inevitably stimulate future experimental study on synthesis of Te hydrides and explore their high- T_c superconductivity at high pressures.

The authors acknowledge the funding supports from China 973 Program under Grant No. 2011CB808200, National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 11274136, 2012 Changjiang Scholar of Ministry of Education and the Postdoctoral Science Foundation of China under grant 2013M541283. L.Z. acknowledges funding support from the Recruitment Program of Global Experts (the Thousand Young Talents Plan).

*Author to whom correspondence should be addressed: yanggc468@nenu.edu.cn, lijun_zhang@jlu.edu.cn or mym@jlu.edu.cn.

References

[1] A. P. Drozdov, M. I. Eremets and I. A. Troyan, arXiv:1412.0460 (2014).

- [2] Y. W. Li et al., J. Chem. Phys. 140, 174712 (2014).
- [3] E. Cartlidge, Nature, doi:10.1038/nature.2014.16552 (2014).
- [4] D. Duan et al., Scientific reports 4, 6968 (2014).
- [5] N. Bernstein et al., arXiv:1501.00196 (2014).
- [6] D. Duan et al., arXiv:1501.01784 (2015).
- [7] D. A. Papaconstantopoulos *et al.*, arXiv:1501.03950 (2015).

[8] A. P. Durajski, R. Szczesniak and Y. Li, arXiv:1412.8640 (2015).

- [9] I. Errea *et al.*, arXiv:1502.02832 (2015).
- [10] S. T. Zhang et al., arXiv:1502.02607 (2015).
- [11] J. A. Flores-Livas, A. Sanna and E. K. U. Gross, arXiv:1501.06336 (2015).
- [12] M. Collins, C. Ratcliffe and J. Ripmeester, J. Phys. Chem. 93, 7495 (1989).
- [13] J. H. Loehlin, P. G. Mennitt and J. S. Waugh, J. Chem. Phys. 44, 3912 (1966).
- [14] D. R. Lide, CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics (87th ed.). Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press., ISBN 0-8493-0487-8493 (2006).
- [15] G. Y. Gao et al., J. Phys. Chem. C 116, 1995 (2012).
- [16] D. Y. Kim *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 117002 (2011).
- [17] E. Zureka *et al.*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **106**, 17640 (2009).
- [18] P. Baettig and E. Zurek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 237002 (2011).

- [19] H. Wang *et al.*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **109**, 6463 (2011).
- [20] L. R. Testardi. Phys. Rev. B 5, 4342 (1972).
- [21] J. S. Tse, Y. Yao and K. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 117004 (2007).
- [22] M. I. Eremets et al., Science 319, 1506 (2008).
- [23] G. Gao et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 107002 (2008).
- [24] Y. Wang et al., Phys. Rev. B 82, 094116 (2010).
- [25] Y. Wang, et al., Comput. Phys. Commun. 183, 2063 (2012).
- [26] Y. Wang et al., Nat. Commun. 2, 563 (2011).
- [27] L. Zhu et al., Nat. Chem. 6, 645 (2014).
- [28] J. Lv et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 015503 (2011).
- [29] G. Kresse and J. Furthmuller, Phys. Rev. B 54, 11169. (1996).
- [30] J. P. Perdew et al., Phys. Rev. B 46, 6671 (1992).
- [31] P. Giannozzi et al., J. Phys-Condens. Mat. 21, 395502 (2009).
- [32] N. W. Ashcroft, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 187002 (2004).
- [33] G. Gao, et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 107, 1317 (2010).
- [34] T. Sugimoto, *et al.*, Journal of Physics: Conference Series **500**, 192018 (2014).
- [35] C. J. Pickard and R. J. Needs, Nat. Phys. 3, 473 (2007).
- [36] R. Golser *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 223003 (2005).
- [37] H. Gnaser and R. Golser, Phys. Rev. A 73, 021202 (2006).
- [38] W. Wang et al., Chem. Phys. Lett. 377, 512 (2003).
- [39] Z. Wang *et al.*, Chem. Sci. 6, 522 (2015).
- [40] J. Hooper et al., J. Phys. Chem. C 117, 2982 (2013).
- [41] J. Hooper and E. Zurek, Chem. Eur. J. 18, 5013 (2012).
- [42] F. C. Hsu, *et al.*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **105**, 14262 (2008).
- [43] A. Simon, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 36, 1788 (1997).
- [44] P. B. Allen and R. C. Dynes, Phys. Rev. B 12, 905 (1975).

Supplementary Information for the paper entitled "Tellurium

Hydrides at High Pressures: High-temperature Superconductors"

Xin Zhong¹, Hui Wang¹, Jurong Zhang¹, Hanyu Liu¹, Shoutao Zhang¹, Hai-Feng Song^{4,5}, Guochun Yang^{2,1}, Lijun Zhang^{3,1}, Yanming Ma¹

 ¹State Key Laboratory of Superhard Materials, Jilin University, Changchun 130012, China.
 ²Faculty of Chemistry, Northeast Normal University, Changchun 130024, China.
 ³College of Materials Science and Engineering and Key Laboratory of Automobile Materials of MOE, Jilin University, Changchun 130012, China
 ⁴LCP, Institute of Applied Physics and Computational Mathematics, Beijing 100088, China
 ⁵Software Center for High Performance Numerical Simulation, China Academy of Engineering Physics, Beijing 100088, China

Supplementary Methods

Our structural prediction approach is based on a global minimization of free energy surfaces of given compounds by combining *ab initio* total-energy calculations with the particle swarm optimization (PSO) algorithm.^{1,2} The structure search of each $H_x Te_v$ (x = 1-8 and y = 1-3) stoichiometry is performed with simulation cells containing 1-4 formula units. In the first generation, a population of structures belonging to certain space group symmetries are randomly constructed. Local optimizations of candidate structures are done by using the conjugate gradients method through the VASP code³, with an economy set of input parameters and an energy convergence threshold of 1×10^{-5} eV per cell. Starting from the second generation, 60% structures in the previous generation with the lower enthalpies are selected to produce the structures of next generation by the PSO operators. The 40% structures in the new generation are randomly generated. A structure fingerprinting technique of bond characterization matrix is employed to evaluate each newly generated structure, so that identical structures are strictly forbidden. These procedures significantly enhance the diversity of sampled structures during the evolution, which is crucial in driving the search into the global minimum. For most of cases, the structure search for each chemical composition converges (evidenced by no structure with the lower energy emerging) after 1000 ~ 1200 structures investigated (*i.e.* in about $20 \sim 30$ generations).

The energetic stabilities of different H_xTe_y stoichiometries are evaluated by their formation enthalpies relative to the products of dissociation into constituent elements (*i.e.* solidified phases of H_2 and Te):

$$\Delta H = [h(H_x Te_y) - xh(H) - yh(Te)]/(x+y)$$
(1)

where *h* represents absolute enthalpy. By regarding H and Te as the binary variables, with these ΔH values we can construct the convex hull at each pressure (Fig. 1a in the main text). It is known that the zero-point energy plays an important role in determining the phase stabilities of the compounds containing light elements such as

H. We hence examine the effect of zero-point energy on the stability of the stoichiometries on the convex hull (as shown in Fig. S2), by using the calculated phonon spectrum with the supercell approach⁶ as implemented in the Phonopy code⁷.

The electron-phonon coupling calculations are carried out with the density functional perturbation (linear response) theory as implemented in the QUANTUM ESPRESSO package.⁸ We employ the norm-conserving pseudopotentials with the $1s^1$ and $5s^25p^4$ configurations as valence electrons for H and Te. The kinetic energy cutoff for wave-function expansion is chosen as 80 Ry. To reliably calculate electron-phonon coupling in metallic systems, we need to sample dense *k*-meshes for electronic Brillouin zone integration and enough *q*-meshes for evaluating average contributions from all the phonon modes. Dependent on specific structures of stable compounds, different *k*-meshes and *q*-meshes are used: $16 \times 16 \times 16 \times 16 \times 5 \times 5 \times 2 q$ -meshes for H₄Te in the *P6/mmm* structure, 20 x 20 x 20 *k*-meshes and 5 x 5 x 2 *q*-meshes for H₅Te₂ in the *C2/m* structure, $16 \times 16 \times 20 \times 8 \times 5 \times 5 \times 4 q$ -meshes for HTe with the *P4/mmm* structure.

The reliabilities of the projected-augmented-wave (PAW) pseudopotentials for H and Te at high pressures, which are used for all the energetic calcualtions, are crosschecked with the full-potential linearized augmented plane-wave (LAPW) method as implemented in the WIEN2k code⁹. By using two different methods, we calculate total energies of H₄Te in the *P6/mmm* structure at varied pressures, and then fit the obtained energy-volume data into the Birch-Murnaghan equation of states. Fig. S0 shows the resulted fitted equation of states. We can see the results derived from two methods are almost identical. This clearly indicates the suitability of the PAW pseudopotentials for describing the energetic stabilities of Te hydrides at megabar pressures.



Figure S0. Comparison of the fitted Birch-Murnaghan equation of states for H_4Te in the *P6/mmm* structure by using the calculated results from the PAW pseudopotentials and the full-potential LAPW method.

0.12 0 GPa HTe₃ H₅Te₂ HTe AH (eV/atom) 0.08 H₄Te₃ HTe H₇Te H₃Te₂ 0.04 H_.Te H_.Te H_sTe H_{Te} H₄Te H₂Te₃ HTe 0.00 k 0.0 $x \begin{bmatrix} 0.4 & 0.6 \\ n_{\rm H2}/(n_{\rm H2}+n_{\rm Te}) \end{bmatrix}$ 0.8 0.2 1.0 0.15 -- 50GPa H Te HTe, H₅Te ∆H (eV/atom) H₄Te 0.10 HTe H_T H₂Te H₃Te₂H₂Te₃ HTe2 H₈Te Te 0.05 0.00 $x \begin{bmatrix} 0.4 & 0.6 \\ n_{\rm H2}^2 / (n_{\rm H2}^2 + n_{\rm Te}) \end{bmatrix}$ 0.2 0.8 1.0

Supplementary Figures

Figure S1. The phase stabilities (represented by the convex hulls) of various H-Te compounds at the low pressure range of 0 (upper panel) and 50 GPa (lower panel).



Figure S2. Relative formation enthalpies of the uncovered stable stoichiometries, *e.g.* H_4Te , H_5Te_2 , HTe and HTe_3 at 200 and 300 GPa with (filled symbols and solid lines) and without (open symbols and dot lines) the inclusion of zero-point energy contribution. One clearly sees that the introduction of zero-point energy does not change the essential energetic stabilities of these stable stoichiometries.



Figure S3. Three-dimensional plots of the electron localization function (ELF) for $H_4Te [P6/mmm]$ (a) and $H_5Te_2[C2/m]$ (b) at 200 GPa (isosurface value = 0.8).



Figure S4. Calculated electronic band structure (left panels) and projected density of states (right panels) for the H-rich compounds at the lower boundary of respective stable pressure region: (a) H₄Te [*P6/mmm*] at 162 GPa, (b) H₅Te₂ [*C2/m*] at 165 GPa, and (c) HTe [*P4/mmm*] at 141 GPa.



Figure S5. Calculated phonon dispersion curves for (a) H_4Te [*P6/mmm*] at 200 GPa, (b) H_4Te [*R-3m*] at 300 GPa, (c) H_5Te_2 [*C2/m*] at 300 GPa, (d) HTe [*P4/nmm*] at 200 GPa, (e) HTe [*P6₃/mmc*] at 300 GPa and (f) HTe₃ [*C2/c*] at 300 GPa. These results unambiguously demonstrate lattice dynamical stabilities of the uncovered stable compounds in view of the absence of any imaginary phonon mode.



Figure S6. Dependence of the total electron-phonon coupling parameter λ on the H content of three H-rich compounds at 200 GPa. The red line represents a linear fitting and r² is the linear correlation coefficient.

Supplementary Tables

Phase	Pressure	Lattice	Atomic coordinates			
	(GPa)	parameters (Å)	(fractional)			
Те	0	a = b = 4.5084	Te(1a)	0.000	0.730	0.167
I (P3 ₁ 21)		<i>c</i> =5.957				
		$\alpha = \beta = 90.000$				
		<i>γ</i> =120.000				
Те	50	a = b = c = 3.3961	Te(1a)	0.500	0.500	0.500
V(<i>Im-3m</i>)		$\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90.000$				
Те	100	a = b = 2.8553	Te(1a)	0.500	0.500	0.000
VI(<i>I4/mmm</i>) ^a		c = 4.0789				
		$\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90.000$				
Te	200	a = b = c = 3.8226	Te(1a)	0.000	0.000	0.500
VII (<i>Fm-3m</i>)		$\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90.000$				
H-P6 ₃ m	50	a = b = 5.1680	H(1a)	0.333	0.667	0.840
		c = 4.1700				
		$\alpha = \beta = 90.000$				
		<i>γ</i> =120.000				
H- <i>C2/c</i>	200	a = 3.000	H(8f)	0.660	0.224	0.626
		<i>b</i> =5.186				
		<i>c</i> = 6.115				
		$\alpha = \gamma = 90$				
		$\beta = 119.50$				

Table S1. Detailed structural information of solidified Te and H_2 phases used for calculating the decomposition enthalpy.

^aThe structure of the Te VI phase is not experimentally confirmed yet. Here, we take the lowest-enthalpy *I4/mmm* structure from the structure search we carried out.

Phase	Pressure	Lattice	Atomic coordinates			
	(GPa)	parameters	(fractional)			
		(Å)				
HTe ₃ -C2/c	300	<i>a</i> = 4.514	H(4e)	0.500	0.576	0.250
		b = 7.985	Te(8f)	0.240	0.586	0.994
		c = 4.505	Te(4c)	0.250	0.750	0.500
		$\alpha = \gamma = 90.000$				
		$\beta = 71.804$				
HTe-P4/nmm	200	a = b = 3.376	H(2b)	0.500	0.500	0.500
		c = 2.705	Te(2c)	0.500	0.000	0.801
		$\alpha = \beta = \gamma =$				
		90.000				
НТе- <i>Р</i> 6 ₃ ∕ <i>ттс</i>	300	a = b = 2.621	H(2a)	0.000	0.000	0.000
		c = 4.549	Te(2d)	0.333	0.667	0.750
		$\alpha = \beta = 90.000$				
H_5Te_2-C2/m	200	<i>a</i> = 10.092	H1(4i)	0.230	0.000	0.897
		b = 3.186	H2(2c)	0.000	0.000	0.500
		c = 5.550	H3(4i)	0.160	0.500	0.257
		$\alpha = \gamma = 90.000$	Te(4i)	0.738	0.000	0.714
		$\beta = 156.680$				
H ₄ Te- <i>P6/mm</i>	200	a = b = 2.944	H(4h)	0.333	0.667	0.659
т		c = 2.686	Te(1a)	0.000	0.000	0.000
		$\alpha = \beta = 90.000$				
		$\gamma = 120.000$				
H ₄ Te- <i>R</i> -3 <i>m</i>	300	$a = b = c = 3.\overline{051}$	H(2c)	0.555	0.555	0.555
		$\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 54.712$	H(2c)	0.222	0.222	0.222
			Te(a)	0.000	0.000	0.000

Table S2. Detailed structural information of the uncovered stable H-Te compounds at selected pressures.

	Atom	Charge	σ (<i>e</i>)
H ₄ Te	Те	5.10	0.90
	Н	1.22	-0.22
H ₅ Te ₂	Те	5.37	0.63
	H1	1.31	-0.31
	H2	1.14	-0.11
	H3	1.25	-0.21

Table S3. Residual charges on H and Te atoms based on the Bader charge analysis in $H_4Te [P6/mmm]$ and $H_5Te_2 [C2/m]$ at 200 GPa. σ represents the charge transferred from Te to H.

Table S4. Calculated electron-phonon coupling parameter λ , logarithmic average frequency ω_{log} and critical temperature T_c of the uncovered H-rich compounds: H₄Te in the *P6/mmm* structure (170, 200 and 230 GPa) and the *R-3m* structure (270 and 300 GPa); H₅Te₂ (200 and 300 GPa); HTe in the *P4/nmm* structure (150 and 200 GPa) and the *P6₃/mmc* structure (300 GPa).

	P (GPa)	λ	ω _{log} (K)	<i>T_c</i> (K)
H ₄ Te	170	1.461	940.249	104.47
	200	1.403	929.110	99.18
	230	1.422	844.188	91.33
	270	0.923	1237.535	75.66
	300	0.842	1307.098	67.70
H ₅ Te ₂	200	1.135	790.315	57.98
	300	0.772	1058.281	46.00
НТе	150	0.712	781.559	28.28
	200	0.579	914.586	18.71
	300	1.0723	573.634	44.26

Reference

(1) Wang, Y.; Lv, J.; Zhu, L.; Ma, Y. Crystal Structure Prediction via Particle-Swarm Optimization. *Phys. Rev. B* **2010**, *82*, 094116.

(2) Wang, Y.; Lv, J.; Zhu, L.; Ma, Y. CALYPSO: A Method for Crystal Structure Prediction. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **2012**, *183*, 2063.

(3) Kresse, G.; Furthmuller, J. Efficient Iterative Schemes for ab initio Total-Energy Calculations Using a Plane-Wave Basis Set. *Phys. Rev. B* **1996**, *54*, 11169.

(4) Perdew, J. P.; Chevary, J. A.; Vosko, S. H.; Jackson, K. A.; Pederson, M. R.; Singh, D. J.; Fiolhais, C. Atoms, Molecules, Solids, and Surfaces: Applications of the Generalized Gradient Gpproximation for Exchange and Correlation. *Phys. Rev. B* **1992**, *46*, 6671.

(5) Feng, J.; Hennig, R. G.; Ashcroft, N. W. & Hoffmann, R. Emergent reduction of electronic state dimensionality in dense ordered Li–Be alloys. *Nature*, **2008**, *451*, 445.

(6) Parlinski, K.;Li, Z. & Kawazoe, Y. First-Principles Determination of the Soft

Mode in Cubic ZrO2. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1997, 78, 4063.

(7) Togo, A.; Oba, F. & Tanaka, I. First-principles calculations of the ferroelastic

transition between rutile-type and CaCl₂-type SiO₂ at high pressures. *Phys. Rev. B* **2008**, 78, 134106.

(8) Giannozzi, P.; Baroni, S.; Bonini, N.; Calandra, M.; Car, R.; Cavazzoni, C.; Ceresoli, D.; Chiarotti, G.; Cococcioni, M.; Dabo, I.; Corso, A.; de Gironcoli, S.; Fabris, S.; Fratesi, G.; Gebauer, R.; Gerstmann, U.; Gougoussis, C.; Kokalj, A.; Lazzeri, M.; Martin-Samos, L.; Marzari, N.; Mauri, F.; Mazzarello, R.; Paolini, S.; Pasquarello, A.; Paulatto, L.; Sbraccia, C.; Scandolo, S.; Sclauzero, G.; Seitsonen, A.; Smogunov, A.; Umari, P.; Wentzcovitch, R. QUANTUM ESPRESSO: a Modular and Open-source Software Project for Quantum Simulations of Materials. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter.* **2009**, *21*, 395502.

(9) Kunes, J.; Arita, R.; Wissgott, P.; Toschi, A.; Ikeda H.; Held, K. Comp. Phys. Commun. 2010, 181, 1888–1895.