Strengthening gold-gold bonds by complexing gold clusters with noble gases

Luca M. Ghiringhelli and Sergey V. Levchenko*

Fritz-Haber-Institut der Max-Planck-Gesellschaft, Faradayweg 4-6, D-14195 Berlin, Germany Received January 28, 2022; E-mail: ghiringhelli@fhi-berlin.mpg.de, levchenko@fhi-berlin.mpg.de

Abstract. We report an unexpectedly strong and complex chemical bonding of rare-gas atoms to neutral gold clusters. The bonding features are consistently reproduced at different levels of approximation within density-functional theory and beyond: from GGA, through hybrid and double-hybrid functionals, up to renormalized second-order perturbation theory. The main finding is that the adsorption of Ar, Kr, and Xe reduces electron-electron repulsion within gold dimer, causing strengthening of the Au-Au bond. Differently from the dimer, the rare-gas adsorption effects on the gold trimer's geometry and vibrational frequencies are mainly due to electron occupation of the trimer's lowest unoccupied molecular orbital. For the trimer, the theoretical results are also consistent with far-infrared multiple photon dissociation experiments.

Binding of rare-gas (RG) atoms to molecules and metal clusters has been studied intensively in the past years.^{1–8} Due to their very stable closed-shell electronic configuration, when interacting with neutral species and clusters, RG atoms are expected to interact via dispersion forces and polarization by multipole moments of the clusters.

However, a closer look to the binding of RG atoms to Au clusters reveals a much more complex nature of the interaction. 3,6,7 In most of the previous studies, electrostatic effects are expected to play a major role in the interaction between the RG atom(s) and Au, since the Au atom is either charged or is a part of a polar molecule (with a large dipole moment). Reports on theoretical analysis of the binding of RG atoms to bare neutral Au_M clusters are very scarce.¹⁰ We find that the present understanding of $RG-Au_M$ interaction is not conclusive due to the sensitivity of RG-Au_M electronic structure to the level of theory. Therefore, in this work we analyze bonding between RG atoms (Ne, Ar, Kr, and Xe) and the smallest Au_M clusters (M = 2, 3) using a variety of different theoretical approaches. In fact, we believe that interaction of this type has been overlooked so far, at least for this class of system. Our analysis explains several puzzling features of the observed spectra obtained with far-IR resonance-enhanced multiple photon dissociation (FIR-MPD) spectroscopy, which are reported in detail in Ref.⁹

In Fig. 1 we show, in the upper half of each panel, the FIR-MPD spectra of Au₃ complexed with one or two Kr atoms, at T=100 K. The first striking aspect of the measured spectra is that the adsorption of the second Kr changes the spectrum significantly. This fact suggests that the interaction of Kr with Au₃ cannot be treated as a perturbation.

To explain these findings, we have calculated the geometry, electronic structure, and vibrational frequencies of RG-Au complexes. The calculations were performed with FHI-aims¹¹ program package for an accurate all-electron description based on numeric atomcentered basis functions. The IR spectra at finite temperature were calculated by performing Born-Oppenheimer molecular dynamics simulations in the *canonical* ensemble and extracting from the trajectories the Fourier transform of the dipole-dipole autocorrelation function.⁹ The computational details are given in⁹ and SI.

We first address the simplest system where we observe an un-



Figure 1. FIR-MPD⁹ (upper panels) and theoretical IR spectra⁹ (lower panels) at T = 100 K. Theoretical harmonic spectra are also shown (as upside-down bars in the lower panels) for the two isomers of Au₃Kr (top) and Au₃Kr₂ (bottom). The yellow (dashed) lines refer to the obtuse-angled isomer, while the black lines refer to the acute-angled one.

usually strong bond between Kr and a neutral, non-polar species: Kr adsorbed on Au₂ (note that adsorption of Kr on a single neutral gold atom leads to a weakly bound van-der-Waals, vdW, complex). Au₂Kr's geometry is linear and the Kr-Au equilibrium distance is found to be around 2.7 Å, i.e., in the range of covalent bonding for gold atoms. Furthermore, upon adsorption of one Kr atom, the Au-Au distance is shortened by 0.3% and the IR spectrum becomes active (see Fig. 1 and Table I in SI), with a Au-Au stretching-mode frequency 5% blue-shifted with respect to the (IR-inactive) corresponding mode of the isolated dimer. We interpret these results as an indication of the strengthening of the Au-Au bond. To our surprise, both Mulliken and Hirshfeld analysis of the charge transfer (with both PBE and PBE0 functionals) agree in assigning a net charge transfer from Kr to the dimer by $0.1 e^{-1}$. Such transfer of negative charge from Kr to Au was also predicted in Ref.¹⁰ on the basis of natural bond orbital analysis. This charge transfer is inconsistent with the strengthening of the Au-Au bond; in fact, the Au-Au bond in the Au_2^- is weaker (i.e., longer and with softer stretching frequency, see SI) than in Au₂. We find similar contradiction for Au_3Kr_N complexes. Interestingly, the highest-frequency experimental peak (around 170 cm⁻¹) in Fig. 1 blue-shifts upon second Kr adsorption.

Geometries and binding energies obtained via PBE+vdW (i.e., the PBE12 functional, corrected for long-range vdW interactions via the Tkatchenko-Scheffler (TS) scheme¹³) are compared for Au₂ and Au2Kr to PBE0+vdW and B3LYP hybrid functionals, M06-2X functional, ¹⁶ XYG3 doubly hybrid functional, ¹⁴ renormalized second-order perturbation theory (rPT2), ¹⁵ MP2, and CCSD(T). The molecular orbitals of $Au_M Kr_N$ clusters were also calculated with many-body perturbation theory methods G_0W_0 @PBE0 and self-consistent GW (sc-GW), as implemented in FHI-aims.^{17,18} CCSD(T) calculations were performed using Gaussian03 code¹⁹ with the aug-cc-pVTZ-PP basis set²⁰ and small-core relativistic pseudopotentials.²¹

The calculated Au2Kr binding energies and geometries are summarized in Table 1. Compared to CCSD(T), we find that PBE+vdW performs reasonably well (3 % error in binding energies, and 1% in error bond lengths) and the XYG3 functional performs remarkably well.

Table 1. Calculated properties of Au₂ and Au₂Kr. The structure is relaxed at each level of theory, for the collinear geometry. The distance d_{Au-Kr} is the distance between Kr and the closest Au atom. The binding energy of Au₂ is $E_b(Au_2) = E(Au_2) - 2E(Au)$. The adsorption energy of the Kr atom(s) onto the Au₂ is $\Delta E_b(Au_2Kr) = E(Au_2Kr) - E(Au_2) - E(Kr)$, where E(.) is the total energy of the *relaxed* system. For all methods except PBE+vdW the energies are counterpoise corrected for the basis set superposition error.

	Au ₂		Au ₂ Kr			
	E_b	d _{Au-Au}	d _{Au-Au}	d _{Au-Kr}	ΔE_b	
	[eV]	[Å]	[Å]	[Å]	[eV]	
PBE+vdW ⁹	2.354	2.509	2.503	2.728	0.222	
PBE0+vdW ⁹	2.050	2.520	2.514	2.773	0.161	
B3LYP	2.055	2.530	2.528	2.878	0.070	
M06-2X	1.432	2.538	2.528	3.030	0.130	
XYG3 ⁹	2.296	2.486	2.480	2.740	0.215	
rPT2@PBE0 ⁹	2.202	2.500	2.496	2.785	0.208	
MP2 ⁹	2.445	2.429	2.421	2.620	0.379	
CCSD(T) ⁹	2.292	2.484	2.477	2.685	0.320	
Exp. 22,23	2.30 ± 0.1	2.470	-	-	-	

All the employed methods agree in predicting shortening of the Au-Au bond upon Kr attachment. Consistently, the harmonic vibrational frequency of the Au-Au stretch is increased (see Table I in SI). The binding energy of Kr to Au₂ is in the range 0.2-0.3 eV, which is much stronger than typical vdW binding (0.02 eV per Au-Kr pair, for this system, according to the TS scheme)

The adsorption of other RG species was also considered. We find that Ne, Ar, Kr, and Xe bind by 0.02, 0.11, 0.22, 0.43 eV, respectively (with PBE0+vdW). In all cases the adsorption leads to a small but systematic shortening of the Au-Au bond and increase of the stretching frequency.

To explain the above results, we analyze the changes in Kohn-Sham molecular orbitals upon Kr binding to Au₂. As can be seen from Fig. 2, the 4p orbitals of Kr atom are close in energy to the σ_{d_2} orbital of Au₂, i.e., the linear combination of Au's d_{z^2} orbitals. However, the overlap of these orbitals alone cannot lead to a covalent bonding, because both orbitals are fully occupied. This picture is not an artifact of a particular functional: in fact it is confirmed at the PBE0, G₀W₀@PBE0 (Figs. 4 and 5 in SI), and sc-GW (Fig. 3) levels. Following the RG series, we note (see Fig. 2 in SI) that the p valence orbital of Ar, Kr, and Xe, has an energy (with respect to the vacuum level) that is close to the energy of the σ_{d_2} orbital of the dimer. This is not the case for Ne and, as explained below, this is the reason for the much weaker (vdW only) bonding of Ne to Au₂.

Upon Kr adsorption, we find a reduction in the contribution of



Figure 2. Molecular-orbital picture based on atom- and angularmomentum-projected (PBE) electronic density of states, calculated for Kr bonding to Au2. The dashed lines link similar orbitals in different molecular arrangements. The labels 1 to 4 denote orbitals used to calculate the change in the partial electron density upon addition of two Kr to Au₂, shown in Fig. 4



Figure 3. Evolution of self-consistent GW spectra from isolated Au₂ and Kr to relaxed Au2Kr.

Au 5s orbitals into the σ_{d_2} orbital of Au₂(see Fig. 2). By analyzing differences of orbital densities, we conclude that this reduction corresponds to a polarization of the σ_{d_2} orbital towards Kr. The polarization results in accumulation of the electronic charge between Kr and Au₂. The polarisation does not occur for Ne because the mixing of Ne 2p and Au2 σ_{d_2} is inhibited by the large difference in energies between these two orbitals.

In order to quantify this accumulation, we integrated the total electron density difference between Au₂Kr and Au₂ (at the geometry of Au₂Kr), within two planes passing through Au and Kr atoms and perpendicular to the molecular axis. With PBE, the integrated accumulation is $0.012e^{-}$.

At the same time, the contribution of the σ_{5s}^* antibonding orbital to the $\sigma_{d_2}^*$ antibonding orbital increases. This is particularly clear for the linear Au₂Kr₂ complex, that has the same symmetry $(D_{\infty h})$ as Au₂. The adsorption energy of two Kr atoms, ΔE_b , is 0.47 eV, slightly less than twice the adsorption energy of one Kr atom. The Au-Au bond is further strengthened: its length is decreased, and the Au-Au stretching frequency is increased (see Table I in SI). Fig. 4 shows that the increased mixing of the occupied and unoccupied antibonding orbitals of Au2 results in the partial removal of the $\sigma_{d_2}^*$ electrons and placing them to a more diffuse orbital. In other words, the electron-electron repulsion is reduced upon Kr attachment, allowing for more electron density to accumulate between the Au atoms. Overall, this redistribution of orbital electron densities leads to an increase of the total density between the Au atoms. Indeed, using the same method as for the Au-Kr bond, we find an accumulation of $0.030e^-$ between Au atoms, consistent with the bond strengthening. We attribute the apparent charge transfer from

Kr to Au₂, as given by both Mulliken and Hirshfeld analysis, to the diffuse nature of the Au₂ orbitals, resulting in a misleading assignment of charges to atoms.

We now focus on Au₃, that has two isomers,⁹ both isosceles triangles, one obtuse-angled (*oa*), with a bond angle of about 140° and the other acute-angled (*aa*, bond angle of $\sim 66^{\circ}$). With PBE+vdW, the oa isomer is more stable by 0.12 eV. By adsorbing one or two Kr atoms to these two isomers, the two resulting structures have approximately the same total energy (aa isomer less stable by 0.03 eV, with PBE+vdW). The final structures of Au₃Kr and Au₃Kr₂ are shown in the insets of Fig. 1. The comparison of theoretical and experimental spectra of Au3 complexed with one and two Kr atoms has been already presented in Ref.⁹ Here, we focus on the explanation of the blue-shift of the higher frequency peak, related to the aa isomer, when going from one to two adsorbed Kr (see Fig. 1.

Attachment of one Kr to the aa isomer shortens the opposite Au-Au bond by 0.08 Å, while adsorption of two Kr atoms shortens the adjacent Au-Au bond by 0.32 Å. The corresponding adsorption energies are 0.23 and 0.34 eV. In order to explain the calculated binding energies, the changes in geometry, and the blue-shift of the highest-frequency mode upon Kr attachment to Au₃, we perform the analysis similar to the Au2Kr case. The highest-occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) of Au₃ is singly occupied. Its character is bonding for the two short bonds and antibonding for the long bond (opposite to the 66° angle). The lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) of (relaxed) Au₃ is antibonding for the short bonds, and bonding for the other bond. In this case, the 4p orbital of single added Kr mixes with the LUMO of Au₃, leading to the increased LUMO-d-manifold gap, decreased Au-Au distance for the long bond, and increased Au-Au distances for the equivalent short bonds (see Fig. 7 in SI). Kr 4p orbital does not mix with HOMO of Au₃ by symmetry. Thus, contrary to Au₂ complexes, the bonding can be described as partial donation of a Kr electron pair to the Au₃ cluster. Addition of the second Kr atom leads to swapping of the LUMO and HOMO orbitals (so that the former LUMO becomes singly occupied), and a large reduction (-11%)of the bond distance of the longest bond in Au₃ (see Table I and Fig. 6 in the Suppl. Material). The vibrational analysis shows that it is the strengthening of this bond that leads to the blue shift of the observed high-frequency peak.

In conclusion, we find that RG atoms bind covalently to small neutral Au clusters. For closed-shell clusters (Au₂ in particular), rather exotic features of this binding become prominent: it involves a redistribution of electrons among the d- and s-derived antibonding orbitals, leading to the strengthening of the Au-Au bonds. In the open-shell complexes (such as Au₃Kr), however, mainly the partial donation of electrons from Kr to the unoccupied orbitals of the Au cluster determines the bonding. Beside fully explaining fine details in the FIR-MPD of the gold trimer, our findings suggest that weakly adsorbing species can fine-tune the electronic properties of very small clusters, which may be of interest for the use of gold



Figure 4. Contour plot of the linear combination of the density of the molecular orbitals (MO) of Au2Kr2 and Au2, as labeled in Fig. 2: $(MO_3+MO_4)-(MO_1+MO_2)$. The isolevels are equally spaced by $0.002e^{-}/\text{Å}^{3}$.

clusters as catalysts.

References

- (1) Bartlett, N. Proc. Chem. Soc. 1962, 218, 123.
- Halloway, J.; Hope, E. G. Adv. Inorg. Chem. 1999, 46, 51. Pyykkö, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 2067–2070. (2) (3)
- Graham, L.; Graudejus, O.; Jha, N.; Bartlett, N. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2000, (4)197.321
- (5)Khriachtchev, L.; Pettersson, M.; Runeberg, N.; Lundell, J.; Rasanen, M. Nature 2000, 406, 874.
- Breckenridge, W. H.; Ayles, V. L.; Wright, T. G. J. Phys. Chem. A 2008, (6)112, 4209–14.
- Belpassi, L.; Infante, I.; Tarantelli, F.; Visscher, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, (7)130. 1048-60.
- Gruene, P.; Rayner, D. M.; Redlich, B.; van Der Meer, A. F. G.; Lyon, J. T.; Meijer, G.; Fielicke, A. Science 2008, 321, 674-6.
- Ghiringhelli, L. M.; Gruene, P.; Lyon, J. T.; Meijer, G.; Fielicke, A.; Schef-(9)fler, M. New J. Phys. 2013, 15, 083003.
- Jamshidi, Z.; Fakhraei Far, M.; Maghari, A. J. Phys. Chem. A 2012. (10)
- Blum, V.; Gehrke, R.; Hanke, F.; Havu, P.; Havu, V.; Ren, X.; Reuter, K.; (11)Scheffler, M. Comp. Phys. Comm. 2009, 180, 2175.
- Perdew, J. P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1996, 77, 3865. (12)
- (13)Tkatchenko, A.; Scheffler, M. Physical Review Letters 2009, 102, 6-9.
- (14)Zhang, Y.; Xua, X.; Goddard III, W. A. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2008, 106, 4963.
- (15)Ren, X.; Rinke, P.; Joas, C.; Scheffler, M. J. Mater. Sci. 2012, 47, 7447.
- Zhao, Y.; G., T. D. Theor Chem Account 2008, 120, 215
- (17)Ren, X.; Rinke, P.; Blum, V.; Wieferink, J.; Tkatchenko, A.; Sanfilippo, A.; Reuter, K.; Scheffler, M. New J. Phys. 2012, 14, 053200. Caruso, F.; Rinke, P.; Ren, X.; Scheffler, M.; Rubio, A. Phys. Rev. B 2012,
- (18)86, 081102(R).
- (19) Frisch, M. J. et al. Gaussian 03, Revision D.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford, CT, 2004.
- Peterson, K.; Puzzarini, C. Theor. Chem. Acc. 2005, 114, 283. (20)
- Figgen, D.; Rauhut, G.; Dolg, M.; Stoll, H. Chem. Phys. 2005, 311, 227. James, A.; Kowalczyk, P.; Simard, B.; Pinegar, J.; Morse, M. J. Mol. Spec-(21)(22)
- trosc. 1994, 168, 248. (23) Lombardi, J. R.; Davis, B. Chem. Rev. 2002, 102, 2431.