

Large- N scaling behavior of the quantum fisher information in the Dicke model

Yu-Yu Zhang*, Xiang-You Chen

Department of Physics, Chongqing University, Chongqing 400044, P. R. China

Quantum Fisher information (QFI) of the reduced two-atom state is employed to capture the quantum criticality of the superradiant phase transition in the Dicke model in the infinite size and finite- N systems respectively. The analytical expression of the QFI of its ground state is evaluated explicitly. And finite-size scaling analysis is performed with the large accessible system size due to the effective bosonic coherent-state technique. We also investigate the large-size scaling behavior of the scaled QFI of the reduced N -atom state and show the accurate exponent.

PACS numbers: 64.70.Tg, 03.67.-a, 42.50.Nn

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum Fisher information (QFI), one of the quantum information-based tools, is a basic concept in quantum estimation theory, which depicts the theoretical bound for the variance of an estimator [1–7]. The Fisher information is the central notion in parameter estimation due to the Cramér-Rao inequality, which sets a basic lower bound to the variance of any unbiased estimator in terms of the Fisher information [8]. Consider the problem of estimating a unknown parameter θ from a quantum state $\rho(\theta)$. The value of θ can be estimated from the measurement results of a proper physical observable. Since the precision of the estimation is limited by unavoidable measurement errors, the inverse of the QFI provides the lower bound of the error of the estimation of θ .

Latterly, a new emphasis has emerged in which QFI is related to properties of interacting many-body systems. This approach is being pursued most vigorously in connection with quantum phase transition (QPT) [9], as it is hoped that the QFI may shed light upon the dramatic effects occurring in critical systems. QPT and quantum-critical phenomena occur at zero temperature in many-body quantum systems. A dramatic change of order parameters exhibits at the critical point, which are induced by the change of parameters in quantum critical systems. There is much on-going interest to test the quantum criticality of the QFI in the proximity of phase transitions [10–13]. It is expected to characterize the singularity of the QPT from quantum estimation perspective by driving the system toward critical points. In this framework, we consider the scaling exponents of the QFI at the critical point in the Dicke model [14], which is a well-known quantum collective atoms model.

The Dicke model describes the interaction of N two-level atoms with a single bosonic mode. The QPT was explored in the Dicke model, exhibiting a superradiant phase transition in the thermodynamics limit [15, 16]. Although the Dicke model cannot be solved analytically, an extended bosonic coherent state approach can solve the Dicke model numerical accurately for large size systems [17]. For finite-size atoms Dicke model has been characterized in terms of entanglement of its ground

states [18–22], fidelity susceptibility [23] and the Berry phase [24]. However, the quantum criticality in terms of QFI has not been well analyzed, except preliminarily results for the QFI of the field mode and N -atom state in the ground state [25]. A convincing finite-size scaling behavior of the QFI is still lacking. To the best of our knowledge, the finite-size studies are limited to numerical diagonalization in the bosonic Fock state in small-size systems $N \leq 35$ [26, 27]. Our paper is intended to propose the QFI of the reduced two-atom state to study the quantum criticality of the QPT in the Dicke model and the finite-size scaling exponents.

In this paper, we study the QFI of the reduced two-atom state in the Dicke mode in infinite size and finite- N systems respectively, giving the accurate finite-size scaling exponents. The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we review the definition of the QFI and its physical signatures by the parameter estimation theory. In Sec. III we introduce the background of the Dicke model, and define the QFI of the reduced two-atom state to capture the quantum criticality in the large- N atoms system and in the thermodynamics limit. In Sec. IV the large N scaling behavior of both of the QFI of the two-atom state and N -atom state are calculated by the bosonic coherent-state technique, giving the accurate scaling exponents. Finally, we summarize our work in Sec. IV.

II. GENERAL FORMALISM FOR THE QFI

To begin with, we briefly review the parameter estimation theory and the QFI, which is applied to evaluate bounds of the variance of estimator for a parameter that we can get from a quantum state. To measure the precision of the estimator θ , we consider a quantum state $\rho(\theta)$. The generalized quantum Fisher information (QFI) F is defined as [5–7]

$$F_Q(\rho(\theta)) = \text{Tr}[\rho(\theta)L^2]. \quad (1)$$

The symmetric logarithmic derivative operator L is determined by

$$\partial_\theta \rho(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}[L\rho(\theta) + \rho(\theta)L]. \quad (2)$$

Assume that the spectral decomposition of the density operator is given by $\rho(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^s p_i |\varphi_i\rangle\langle\varphi_i|$ with eigenvalues p_i and eigenvectors $|\varphi_i\rangle$ of $\rho(\theta)$. And L can be solved by rewriting the above equation under the eigenbasis of $\rho(\theta)$. Then the QFI obtained can be written as

$$F_Q(\rho(\theta)) = 4 \sum_{i=1}^s p_i (\langle \partial_\theta \varphi_i | \partial_\theta \varphi_i \rangle - |\langle \varphi_i | \partial_\theta \varphi_i \rangle|^2) - \sum_{i \neq j}^s \frac{8p_i p_j}{p_i + p_j} |\langle \varphi_i | \partial_\theta \varphi_j \rangle|^2. \quad (3)$$

The value of parameter θ can be estimated through measuring $\rho(\theta)$. From the QFI, we obtain the lower bound of the variance of the estimator for the parameter θ , given by the quantum Cramér-Rao (QCR) theorem: [4, 5] $(\delta\theta)^2 \geq 1/F_Q(\rho(\theta))$. From this inequality, it is obvious that the variance of the estimation is small for a large value of $F_Q(\rho(\theta))$.

The estimation of parameters in general quantum metrology process consists of the following steps. First, we prepare a quantum state ρ_{in} . Then the system undergoes the θ -dependent process $e^{-i\theta U}$ with the phase-shift generator U , and evolves to the state $\rho(\theta) = e^{-i\theta U} \rho_{in} e^{i\theta U}$. Finally, we estimate the parameter θ by measuring $\rho(\theta)$. The variance of the estimation is bounded by the inverse of the QFI. As the eigenvalues of $\rho(\theta)$ and ρ_{in} are the same, the expression of QFI (3) is simplified as

$$F_Q(\rho(\theta), U) = 4 \sum_{i=1}^s p_i (\delta U)^2 - \sum_{i \neq j}^s \frac{8p_i p_j}{p_i + p_j} |\langle \varphi_i | U | \varphi_j \rangle|^2. \quad (4)$$

where $(\delta U)^2 = \langle \varphi_i | U^2 | \varphi_i \rangle - |\langle \varphi_i | U | \varphi_i \rangle|^2$. For a pure state $\rho_{in} = |\varphi\rangle\langle\varphi|$, and the QFI is simplified as $F_Q(\rho(\theta), \hat{U}) = 4 \sum_{i=1}^s p_i (\delta U)^2$. It is obvious that the QFI depends on the quantum state ρ_{in} and the choice of the phase-shift generator U . In our work, since the Dicke model is the collective atom model, the quantum state ρ_{in} is prepared in a specific class. For collective models, all two-level atoms are completely equivalent and the ground states of collective models are invariant under the permutation group. We discuss the QFI of the reduced two-atom state which is a symmetric state and permutation-invariant in the Dicke model. The QFI is expected to capture the quantum criticality and signal the presence of QPT. Moreover, it is significant to compute the finite-size scaling exponent of the QFI for the universality of the QPT.

III. THE QFI OF THE REDUCED TWO-ATOM STATE

We study the QFI and its scaling behavior for N two-level atoms system in the Dicke model. The Dicke Hamiltonian can be written in terms of the collective momen-

tum form [15, 17]

$$H = \omega a^\dagger a + \Delta J_z + \frac{2\lambda}{\sqrt{N}} (a^\dagger + a) J_x,$$

where a^\dagger and a are the bosonic annihilation and creation operators of the single-mode cavity, Δ and ω are the transition frequency of the two-level atom and the frequency of the single bosonic mode, λ is the coupling constant. J_x and J_z are the collective atomic operators as $J_\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \sigma_{i,\alpha}$ ($\alpha = x, y, z$). And the Hilbert space of this algebra is spanned by the Dicke state $\{|j, m\rangle, m = -j, -j+1, \dots, j-1, j\}$ with $j = N/2$, which is the eigenstate of J^2 and J_z .

The Hilbert space of the total system can be expressed in terms of the basis $\{|n\rangle \otimes |j, m\rangle\}$, where $|n\rangle$ is the Fock state containing n bosons. To our best knowledge, it is very difficult to obtain convergent results for large number of atoms based on usual basis of the Fock states [28, 29]. An extended coherent state technique [17] has been proposed to the Dicke model up to large N -atom system, which has been confirmed recently by comparing with the results in terms of basis of the Fock states [28]. In the extended coherent state approach, the wave function can be expressed in terms of the basis $\{|\varphi_m\rangle_b \otimes |j, m\rangle\}$, where $|\varphi_m\rangle_b$ is the bosonic extended coherent state

$$|\varphi_m\rangle_b = \sum_{k=0}^{N_{tr}} c_{m,k} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k!}} (a^\dagger + g_m)^k e^{-g_m a^\dagger - g_m^2/2} |0\rangle_a, \quad (5)$$

where $g_m = 2m\lambda/(\omega\sqrt{N})$, N_{tr} is the truncated bosonic number in the space of the new operator $A_m = a + g_m$, $|0\rangle_a$ is the vacuum as $a|0\rangle_a = 0$, and the coefficient $c_{m,k}$ can be determined through the exact diagonalization. Then the ground state for the finite- N system takes the form of

$$|G\rangle = \sum_{m=-j}^j |\varphi_m\rangle_b \otimes |j, m\rangle. \quad (6)$$

In the ground state it undergoes a transition from the normal to the super-radiant phase when increasing the coupling λ through a critical value of $\lambda_c = \sqrt{\omega\Delta}/2$ [15–17, 26, 27, 30]. In the super-radiant phase, the atomic ensemble spontaneously emits with an intensity proportional to N^2 rather than N .

Since there is on going interest in studying the connection between the QFI and QPT. The QFI is expected to shed light on the dramatic effects occurring at the critical point, providing to signal the presence of QPT. It is necessary to study the QFI in the ground state of the Dicke model.

In the quantum metrology process, we choose the reduced two-atom state ρ associated with arbitrary two atoms as a prepared state, which is obtained by tracing out the density matrix over all other $N-2$ atoms and field mode. For collective atom ensemble, the reduced two-atom state is particularly well suited since it

does not depend on the two atoms selected, all atoms being completely equivalent. Since the N -atom state is the Dicke state $|j, m\rangle$, which is a symmetric state. The reduced two-atom state can be extracted from the symmetric multi-atom Dicke state $|j, m\rangle$. Due to the symmetry of the state of N -atoms under exchange of atoms, the reduced two-atom state ρ is invariant under the permutation Group. The matrix elements of the reduced two-atom state ρ can be expressed in terms of the averages of the collective atomic operators, which has been addressed by Wang and J.Vidal in Ref. [31, 32]. Then the reduced two-atom state can be given in the basis of two atoms $\{|\downarrow\downarrow\rangle, |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle, |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle, |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle\}$ (with $\sigma_z|\uparrow\rangle = |\uparrow\rangle$ and $\sigma_z|\downarrow\rangle = -|\downarrow\rangle$) as

$$\rho = \begin{pmatrix} v_+ & x_+^* & x_+^* & u^* \\ x_+ & w & y & x_-^* \\ x_+ & y & w & x_-^* \\ u & x_- & x_- & v_- \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7)$$

where the matrix elements can be represented by the expected values of the collective spin operators

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\pm} &= \frac{N^2 - 2N + 4\langle J_z^2 \rangle \pm 4(N-1)\langle J_z \rangle}{4N(N-1)}, \\ x_{\pm} &= \frac{(N-1)\langle J_+ \rangle \pm \langle [J_+, J_z]_+ \rangle}{2N(N-1)}, \\ w &= \frac{N^2 - 4\langle J_z^2 \rangle}{4N(N-1)}, y = \frac{\langle J_x^2 + J_y^2 \rangle - N/2}{N(N-1)}, \\ u &= \frac{\langle J_+^2 \rangle}{N(N-1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where $[A, B]_+ = AB + BA$, and $w = y$ for $\sum_{\alpha=x,y,z} J_{\alpha}^2 = J^2 = \frac{N}{2}(\frac{N}{2} + 1)$. $\langle J_{\alpha} \rangle$ and $\langle J_{\alpha}^2 \rangle$ ($\alpha = x, y, z, +$) mean the averages of the collective atomic operators over the ground state $|G\rangle$, Eq.(6). Thus, we can calculate the expected values of the collective atomic operators to determine the elements of the reduced two-atom state.

Since there is a conserved parity Π in the Dicke model, such that $[H, \Pi] = 0$, which is given by $\Pi = e^{i\pi\hat{N}}$ with the excitation number $\hat{N} = a^\dagger a + J_z + N/2$. The parity Π possesses two eigenvalues ± 1 , depending on whether the number of quanta is even or odd. Then the Hilbert space of the total system is split into two noninteracting subspaces, resulting $\langle G | J_{\pm} | G \rangle = 0$. Then it is easily to find $x_{\pm} = 0$ in Eq.(8). Hence the reduced two-atom state can be shown in X form as

$$\rho = \begin{pmatrix} v_+ & 0 & 0 & u^* \\ 0 & w & y & 0 \\ 0 & y & w & 0 \\ u & 0 & 0 & v_- \end{pmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

The reduced two-atom state facilitates the analytical evaluation of the QFI of the two-atom state in the following.

We consider an estimation of the parameter θ introduced by the following unitary transformation $S =$

$\exp(-i\theta\sigma_z^\theta)$ with the phase-shift generator $\sigma_z^\theta = \sigma_z \otimes I$ [33]. Here I is the 2×2 identity matrix and σ_z is the pauli matrix. From the definition in Eq. (4), the analytical expression of the QFI are obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} F_Q(\rho, \sigma_z^\theta) &= 4 \sum_{i=1}^s p_i (\delta\sigma_z^\theta)^2 - \sum_{i \neq j}^s \frac{8p_i p_j}{p_i + p_j} |\langle \varphi_i | \sigma_z^\theta | \varphi_j \rangle|^2 \\ &= 16 \left(\frac{u^2}{v_+ + v_-} + \frac{w}{2} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

which are evaluated in detail in Appendix. We calculate the QFI in the infinite and finite size systems as $F_{Q,\infty}$ and $F_{Q,N}$ respectively.

We begin to discuss the QFI of the reduced two-atom state in X form by evaluating the expected values of matrix elements in the thermodynamics limit, in which the number of atoms becomes infinite. In this limit analysis, we first apply the Holstein-Primakoff transformation to change the collective angular operators to the boson operators $b(b^\dagger)$ by $J_+ = b^\dagger \sqrt{N - b^\dagger b}$, $J_- = \sqrt{N - b^\dagger b} b$, and $J_z = b^\dagger b - N/2$, where $[b, b^\dagger] = 1$ [15]. Then the displacements of the boson operators are introduced to depict the behaviors of super-radiation phase as $c^\dagger = a^\dagger + \sqrt{N}\alpha$ and $d^\dagger = b^\dagger - \sqrt{N}\beta$. By means of the boson expansion approach, we expand the Hamiltonian with respect to the new operator c^\dagger and d^\dagger as power series in $1/N$,

$$H = NH_0 + N^{1/2}H_1 + \dots, \quad (11)$$

where $H_0 = \omega\alpha^2 - 4\lambda\alpha\beta\sqrt{1-\beta^2} + \Delta(\beta^2 - 1/2)$ and $H_1 = -\alpha\omega + 2\lambda\beta(1-\beta^2/2)(c^\dagger + c) + \Delta\beta(d^\dagger + d)$. By using large N expansions of H up to the $1/N$, we obtain the ground state energy $E_G(\alpha, \beta)$ as

$$\frac{E_G(\alpha, \beta)}{N} = \omega\alpha^2 - 4\lambda\alpha\beta\sqrt{1-\beta^2} + \Delta(\beta^2 - \frac{1}{2}). \quad (12)$$

Minimizing the ground state energy gives

$$\begin{aligned} \omega\alpha - 2\lambda\beta\sqrt{1-\beta^2} &= 0 \\ 2\alpha\lambda\sqrt{1-\beta^2} - \frac{2\alpha\lambda\beta^2}{\sqrt{1-\beta^2}} - \beta\Delta &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta^2 &= \max\{0, \frac{1}{2}(1-\mu)\}, \\ \alpha &= \frac{2\lambda}{\omega}\beta\sqrt{1-\beta^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where $\mu = 1$ in the normal phase and $\mu = (\lambda_c/\lambda)^2$ in the superradiant phase with the critical point $\lambda_c = \sqrt{\omega\Delta}/2$. Next we can derive the matrix elements of the reduced two-atom state ρ in Eq. (9) up to $O(1)$

$$\begin{aligned} v_+ &= \beta^4, v_- = (1-\beta^2)^2, \\ w &= y = \beta^2(1-\beta^2), \\ u &= \beta^2(1-\beta^2). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

In the thermodynamics limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, the QFI of the reduced two-atom state $F_{Q,\infty}$ can be expressed as

$$F_{Q,\infty} = \frac{8\beta^2(1-\beta^2)}{\beta^4 + (1-\beta^2)^2}. \quad (16)$$

We know that $\beta^4 + (1-\beta^2)^2 \geq 2\beta^2(1-\beta^2)$, then one can find that the maximum QFI is $F_{Q,\infty}^{max} = 4$, which gives the minimum value of variance of the estimator $\delta\theta = 1/2$.

In the thermodynamics limit, there is no excitation of the system in the normal phase, and the atoms part of the ground state is the pure Dicke state $|j, -j\rangle = \prod_{k=1}^N |\downarrow\rangle_k$, with $\sigma_k^z |\downarrow\rangle_k = -|\downarrow\rangle_k$. Then the QFI of the reduced two-atom state in Eq.(10) is simplified by $F_Q(\rho, \sigma_z^\theta) = 4(\delta\sigma_z^\theta)^2$. For the ground state $|j, -j\rangle$, the variance of $(\delta\sigma_z^\theta)^2$ equals to 0 and hence one obtain the minimum value of the QFI $F_{Q,\infty}^{min} = 0$. The minimum value leads to the maximum variance of the estimator $\delta\theta \rightarrow \infty$ in the normal phase. It also can be easily obtained $F_{Q,\infty} = 0$ from Eq.(16) with $\beta = 0$. In the superradiant phase for a large coupling strength $\lambda \gg \lambda_c$ with $\beta \rightarrow 1/2$, the QFI of the reduced two-atom state approaches to the maximum value $F_{Q,\infty} \rightarrow 4$. In the strong coupling limit, the ground state (6) in the J_x -representation can be described by

$|G\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[(\prod_{k=1}^N |e_x\rangle_k)|0\rangle_{A_{-N/2}} + (\prod_{k=1}^N |g_x\rangle_k)|0\rangle_{A_{N/2}}]$, where $\sigma_k^x |e_x\rangle_k = |e_x\rangle_k$ and $\sigma_k^z |g_x\rangle_k = -|g_x\rangle_k$. The vacuum coherent states $|0\rangle_{A_{\pm N/2}}$ satisfy $A_{\pm N/2}|0\rangle_{A_{\pm N/2}} = 0$. It yields the variance of $(\delta\sigma_z^\theta)^2 = 1$ and the QFI $F_{Q,\infty}$ equals to 4. It indicates that the variance of the estimator $\delta\theta$ is minimum in the ground state in the superradiant phase, which can be distinguished from that in the normal phase.

Fig.(1)(a) displays the QFI of the reduced two-atom state in the thermodynamics limit for detunings $D = \Delta/\omega = 1$. In the normal phase the QFI equals to zero. As the coupling strength enters into the super-radiant phase $\lambda > \lambda_c$, the QFI shows monotonous increasing behaviors, demonstrating the existence of the QPT at the critical point $\lambda_c = \sqrt{\Delta\omega}/2$. As λ approaches $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ limit, the QFI $F_{Q,\infty}$ tends to the maximum value 4.

IV. FINITE-SIZE SCALING BEHAVIOR OF THE QFI

To study the universality of the superradiant phase transition, the exact finite-size scaling law is quite important. We illustrate the scaling behavior of the QFI of the reduced two-atom state. The finite-size scaling ansatz for the singular functions $F_{Q,N}^{sing}$ of the QFI $F_{Q,N}$ in the vicinity of the critical point is [19]

$$F_{Q,N}^{sing}(\lambda) \simeq \frac{(\lambda - \lambda_c)^\xi}{N^n} f[N(\lambda - \lambda_c)^{3/2}], \quad (17)$$

where f is a function depending on the scaling variable $N(\lambda - \lambda_c)^{3/2}$ and ξ, n are exponents. To cure the sin-




FIG. 1: (Color online) QFI $F_{Q,N}$ of the reduced two-atom state in the Dicke model as a function of the coupling constant λ with different sizes $N = 20, 256$ and $N \rightarrow \infty$ for $D = 1$. (b) Scaling of $F_{Q,N}$ as a function of N on a log-log scale at the critical point λ_c for $D = 0.5$ and 1, and the solid line scales as $N^{-0.65 \pm 0.01}$.

gularity coming from $(\lambda - \lambda_c)^\xi$, one has $f(x) \sim x^{-2\xi/3}$, which leads to $F_{Q,N}^{sing}(\lambda_c) \sim N^{-(n+2\xi/3)}$.

In finite-size atom system, the QFI of the reduced two-atom state is calculated by the expected value of the collective atomic operators in Eq.(8) in the ground state $|G\rangle$ using the bosonic coherent state technique. Fig.(1)(a) shows the behavior of $F_{Q,N}$ for different system sizes $N = 20$ and 256. In the normal phase, it tends to zero as the number of atoms N increase. And in the superradiant phase, $\lambda > \lambda_c$, the QFI increases from zero to the maximum value 4, which are consistent with those in the thermodynamics limit. For the large system size $N = 256$, there is nearly no deviation of the behavior of the QFI from that in the thermodynamic limit. It facilitates the calculation of the scaling behavior at the critical point by the bosonic coherent state approach.

We plot the QFI $F_{Q,N}$ as a function of N on a log-log scale at the critical point λ_c for different detunings $D = 0.5$ and 1, as shown in Fig.(1)(b). It is very interesting to observe a power law scaling $F_Q(\lambda_c) \propto N^\nu$. Due to the advantage of the bosonic coherent state technique [17], we are able to study the atom number up to $N = 4000$ atoms. The asymptotic slop in the log-log scale for the finite size systems gives a exponent $\nu = -0.65 \pm 0.01$.

Moreover, the QFI $F_{Q,N}$ in Eq.(10) can be given explicitly in terms of the collective atomic operators as

$$F_{Q,N} = \frac{32\langle J_+^2 \rangle^2}{N(N-1)(N^2 - 2N + 4\langle J_z^2 \rangle)} + \frac{2N^2 - 8\langle J_z^2 \rangle}{N(N-1)}. \quad (18)$$

Since the finite-size scaling exponents of the collective spin operators in the Dicke model have been derived by Vidal and Dusuel [19], such as $\langle J_z^2 \rangle/N^2 \sim N^{-2/3}$, $\langle J_y^2 \rangle/N^2 \sim N^{-4/3}$ and $\langle J_x^2 \rangle/N^2 \sim N^{-2/3}$. Thus the finite-size scaling exponent of the QFI in Eq.(18) can be directly checked. From those, it is easily to obtain the finite-size scaling behavior of QFI exactly as $F_{Q,N} \sim N^{-2/3}$. The result is in consistent with numerical accurate exponent. To the best of our knowledge,

such a finite size scaling for the QFI itself has never been reported in Dicke model.

In general quantum metrology process, the QFI depends on the prepared quantum state and the choice of the unitary operator. The QFI of the reduced N -atom state ρ_A , which is the reduced atomic density matrix by tracing over the field degree of the freedom, has been studied in small-size systems $N = 20$ in the Dicke model [25]. It is very difficult to predict the finite-size scaling exponent due to the too small system sizes investigated based on the basis of the Fock states. Here we focus on the exponent of the QFI of the reduced atomic subsystem for a large number of atoms based on the ground state in Eq.(6). The QFI F_A of the reduced atomic state $\rho_A(\theta) = e^{-i\theta J_z} \rho_A e^{i\theta J_z}$ can be given by Eq.(4), where the phase-shift generator U is replaced by J_z and $|\varphi_i\rangle$ are the corresponding eigenvectors of ρ_A with nonzero eigenvalues $\{p_i\}$. In the thermodynamics limit, the analytical results of the scaled QFI for the atomic subsystem is [25]

$$F_{A,\infty}/N = \frac{2\mu\Delta}{\varepsilon_+ + \varepsilon_- + (\Delta^2/\mu^2 - \omega^2)/(\varepsilon_+ + \varepsilon_-)}, \quad (19)$$

where the excitation energies is given by $\varepsilon_{\pm}^2 = \frac{1}{2}(\omega^2 + \Delta^2/\mu^2) \pm \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(\omega^2 - \Delta^2/\mu^2)^2 + 16\lambda^2\omega\Delta\mu}$. As the coupling strength λ approaches to the critical point as $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_c$, the excitation energy of ε_+ tends towards a value of $\sqrt{\omega^2 + \Delta^2}$ and ε_- vanishes, $\varepsilon_- \rightarrow 0$. The critical exponents of the QPT can be manifested in the behavior of the scaled QFI

$$\frac{F_{A,\infty}}{N}(\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_c) \simeq \frac{\sqrt{\omega^2 + \Delta^2}}{\Delta} + \sqrt{\frac{32\omega^2\lambda_c^3}{\Delta^2(16\lambda_c^4 + \omega^4)}}|\lambda_c - \lambda|^{1/2}. \quad (20)$$

Fig.(2)(a) displays the maximum value $\sqrt{\omega^2 + \Delta^2}/\Delta$ of the scaled QFI $F_{A,\infty}/N$ at the critical point λ_c . As addressed in Ref. [25], the scaled QFI is larger than 1 in the normal phase, and then decreases to zero in the superradiant phase.

It is interesting to observe the finite-size scaling behavior of the scaled QFI F_A/N in the Dicke model. We calculate F_A/N by the ground state $|G\rangle$ in Eq.(6) using the bosonic coherent-state technique. Fig.(2)(a) displays the scaled QFI F_A/N for finite atom ensemble $N = 20$ and $N = 256$. The scaling behavior of $(F_{A,\infty} - F_A)/N$ as a function of N at the critical point λ_c is shown in Fig.(2)(b) for different values of $D = 0.5$ and 1 on a log-log scale. A power-law behavior exists at large N . One can see that the finite-size exponents extracted from all curves tend to a converging value -0.33 ± 0.01 in Fig.(2)(b). The precise estimate of the exponent for the QFI is very significant to help clarify the universality of the QPT.

V. CONCLUSION

In summary, we have proposed the QFI of the reduced two-atom state to capture the quantum criticality of the




FIG. 2: (Color online) (a) The scaled QFI F_A/N of the reduced N -atom state as a function of λ with different sizes $N = 20, 256$ and $N \rightarrow \infty$ for $D = 1$. (b) Scaling of the scaled QFI $(F_{A,\infty} - F_A)/N$ as a function of N on a log-log scale at the critical point λ_c for $D = 0.5$ and 1, and the solid line scales as $N^{-0.33 \pm 0.01}$.

QPT in the Dicke model from the quantum estimation perspective. The QFI are obtained analytically in the thermodynamics limit. The behavior of the QFI of the reduced two-atom state shows that the ground state undergoes a superradiant phase transition at the critical regime in the infinite size system. And Finite-size scaling exponents of the QFI are calculated up to a large atom number $N = 4000$ by the bosonic coherent technique. Power law scaling behavior at the critical point is observed. Moreover, large- N scaling behavior of the scaled QFI of the reduced N -atom state is also calculated, giving the accurate exponent. Such a scaling behavior of QFI has not been reported in the critical systems of Dicke model, as far as we know. These salient features might be used for quantum metrology and quantum estimation in some experimentally realized systems to the quantum information science and the quantum computing.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Julien Vidal for helpful discussion. This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants No. 11104363 and No.11274403), and Research Fund for the Central Universities (No. CQDXWL-2013-Z014).

Appendix

For the reduced two-atom state ρ in Eq.(9), the corresponding eigenvalues are given by

$$p_1 = 2w, p_2 = 0, p_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(v_+ + v_- \pm \sqrt{\gamma}), \quad (21)$$

where $\gamma = (v_+ - v_-)^2 + 4|u|^2$. The corresponding eigenstates to p_1 and p_{\pm} are

$$|\phi_1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (22)$$

$$|\phi_{\pm}\rangle = \epsilon_{\pm} \begin{pmatrix} (v_+ - v_- \pm \sqrt{\gamma})/2u \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (23)$$

with $\epsilon_{\pm}^2 = 2u/\sqrt{\gamma \pm (v_+ - v_-)\sqrt{\gamma}}$. For the unitary operation $S = \exp(-i\theta\sigma_z^{\theta})$, the QFI of the reduced two-atom

state can be evaluated as

$$F_Q = 4p_{\pm}\langle\delta\sigma_z^{\theta}\rangle_{\pm}^2 + 4p_1\langle\delta\sigma_z^{\theta}\rangle_1^2 - \frac{16p_+p_-}{p_+ + p_-}|\langle\phi_+|\sigma_z^{\theta}|\phi_-\rangle|^2 - \sum_{i=\pm} \frac{16p_i p_1}{p_i + p_1}|\langle\phi_i|\sigma_z^{\theta}|\phi_1\rangle|^2, \quad (24)$$

where the variance of operator σ_z^{θ} is $\langle\delta\sigma_z^{\theta}\rangle_i^2 = \langle\phi_i|(\sigma_z^{\theta})^2|\phi_i\rangle - |\langle\phi_i|\sigma_z^{\theta}|\phi_i\rangle|^2$. Substituting the values of $p_{\pm,1}$ and $|\phi_{\pm,1}\rangle$ into the above equation, the QFI can be given analytically.

*Corresponding author. Email:yuyuzh@cqu.edu.cn.

-
- [1] V. Giovannetti, S. Lloyd, and L. Maccone, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 010401 (2006).
[2] A. W. Chin, S. F. Huelga, and M. B. Plenio, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 233601 (2012).
[3] D. C. Brody, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **44**, 252002 (2011).
[4] A. S. Holevo, Probabilistic and Statistical Aspects of Quantum Theory (NorthHolland, Amsterdam, 1982).
[5] C. W. Helstrom, Quantum Detection and estimation theory, Academic, New York (1976).
[6] J. Liu, X. Jing, and X. Wang, Phys. Rev. A **88**, 042316 (2013).
[7] Y. N. Zhang, X. W. Li, W. Yang, and G. R. Jin, Phys. Rev. A **88**, 043832 (2013).
[8] T. M. Cover, and J. A. Thomas, Elements of Information Theory, (Wiley, New York, 2006).
[9] S. Sachdev, Quantum phase transitions (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1999).
[10] G. Salvatori, A. Mandarino and M. G. A. Paris, Phys. Rev. A **90**, 022111 (2014).
[11] P. Zanardi, M. G. A. Paris, and L. Campos Venuti, Phys. Rev. A **78**, 042105 (2008).
[12] C. Invernizzi, M. Korbman, L. C. Venuti, and M. G. A. Paris, Phys. Rev. A **78**, 042106 (2008).
[13] C. Invernizzi, and M. G. A. Paris, J. Mod. Opt. **57**, 198 (2010).
[14] R. H. Dicke, Phys. Rev. **93**, 99 (1954).
[15] C. Emary and T. Brandes, Phys. Rev. E **67**, 066203 (2003); Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 044101 (2003).
[16] N. Lambert, C. Emary, and T. Brandes, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 073602 (2004).
[17] Q. H. Chen, Y. Y. Zhang, T. Liu, and K. L. Wang, Phys. Rev. A **78**, 051801(R) (2008).
[18] J. Vidal, G. Palacios, and R. Mosseri, Phys. Rev. A, **69**, 022107 (2004).
[19] J. Vidal, and S. Dusuel, Europhys. Lett. **74**, 817 (2006).
[20] J. Vidal, and S. Dusuel, and T. Barthel, J. Stat. Mech. P01015 (2007).
[21] G. Liberti, F. Plastina, and F. Piperno, Phys. Rev. A. **74**, 022324 (2006).
[22] C. Wang, Y. Y. Zhang, and Q. H. Chen, Phys. Rev. A **85**, 052112 (2012).
[23] T. Liu, Y. Y. Zhang, Q. H. Chen, and K. L. Wang, Phys. Rev. A **80**, 023810 (2009).
[24] G. Chen, J. Li, and J. Q. Liang, Phys. Rev. A **74**, 054101 (2006).
[25] T. L. Wang, L. N. Wu, W. Yang, G. R. Jin, N. Lambert, and F. Nori, New. J. Phys. **16**, 063039 (2014).
[26] C. Emary, and T. Brandes, Phys. Rev. E. **67**, 066203 (2003).
[27] N. Lambert, C. Emary, and T. Brandes, Phys. Rev. A. **71**, 053804 (2005); Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 073602 (2004).
[28] M. A. Bastarrachea-Magnani and J. G. Hirsch, arXiv:1108.0703 (2011).
[29] N. Lambert, C. Emary, and T. Brandes, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 073602 (2004).
[30] M. G. Benedict, et al., Super-Radiance (IOP, Bristol, 1996).
[31] X. Wang and K. Mølmer, Eur. Phys. J. D. **18**, 385 (2002).
[32] J. Vidal, Phys. Rev. A **73**, 062318 (2006).
[33] J. Liu, H. N. Xiong, F. Song, and X. G. Wang, Physica A **410**, 167 (2014).