

Dirac or Inverse Seesaw Neutrino Masses from Gauged $B - L$ Symmetry

Ernest Ma^{1,*} and Rahul Srivastava^{2,†}

¹*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California,
Riverside, California 92521, USA*

²*The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Chennai 600 113, India*

Abstract

The gauged $B - L$ symmetry is one of the simplest and well studied extension of standard model. In the conventional case, addition of three singlet right handed neutrinos each transforming as -1 under the $B - L$ symmetry renders it anomaly free. It is usually assumed that the $B - L$ symmetry is spontaneously broken by a singlet scalar having two units of $B - L$ charge, resulting in a natural implementation of Majorana seesaw mechanism for neutrinos. However, as we discuss in this proceeding, there is another simple anomaly free solution which leads to Dirac or inverse seesaw masses for neutrinos. These new possibilities are explored along with an application to neutrino mixing with S_3 flavour symmetry.

I. INTRODUCTION

The nature of neutrinos i.e. whether they are Majorana or Dirac particles is one of the most important open questions in neutrino physics. Answering this question is essential to finding the underlying theory of neutrino masses and mixing. This issue can be potentially resolved by neutrinoless double beta decay experiments ($0\nu\beta\beta$). Currently several ongoing experiments are looking for signals of $0\nu\beta\beta$ but no such signal has been observed so far [1–3]. At present there is no compelling evidence from experiments or cosmological observations

*Electronic address: ma@phyun8.ucr.edu

†Electronic address: rahuls@imsc.res.in

in favor of either Dirac or Majorana nature of neutrinos. With our current understanding, Dirac neutrinos are as plausible as Majorana ones.

However, theoretically Majorana neutrinos have received considerably more attention than Dirac neutrinos. There are several mechanisms (e.g. seesaw mechanisms) which satisfactorily explain smallness of neutrino masses if neutrinos are Majorana particles. On the other hand Dirac neutrinos are not so well studied. There are only few models capable of providing a natural explanation for smallness of Dirac neutrino masses. In this proceeding we present one simple model for Dirac neutrinos with naturally small masses based on gauged $B - L$ symmetry. This proceeding is based on our work [4] and interested reader is referred to it for further details.

The plan of this proceeding is as follows. In Section II we will briefly review the familiar scenario of gauged $B - L$ symmetry leading to the Majorana neutrinos and seesaw mechanism. The possibility of Dirac neutrinos in such a scenario will also be briefly discussed. In Section III we will work out the new anomaly free solutions for gauged $B - L$ symmetry and show how it leads to Dirac neutrinos with naturally small masses. In Section IV we will expand on our results and using S_3 flavour symmetry will construct realistic neutrino mass matrices consistent with present oscillation data. In Section V we will discuss the possibility of inverse seesaw Majorana neutrinos masses arising from the new anomaly free solutions. We will conclude in Section VI.

II. MAJORANA NEUTRINOS FROM $B - L$ GAUGE SYMMETRY

Historically Baryon number (B) and Lepton numbers (L_i) were introduced to explain the stability of proton and absence of lepton flavour changing processes. In Standard Model (SM) the baryon and lepton numbers turn out to be accidentally conserved classical symmetries. The B and L currents are anomalous and only the combination $B - L$ is anomaly free. These accidental symmetries need not be conserved by beyond standard model (BSM) physics. For example if one adds a Majorana mass term for neutrinos then the $B - L$ symmetry gets broken by 2 units. The addition of right handed neutrinos provides the possibility to promote this global $B - L$ symmetry to a anomaly free gauged $B - L$ symmetry.

Now addition of any new $U(1)_x$ gauge symmetry implies that one needs to cancel anomalies [5–7]. The gauged $B - L$ symmetry can potentially induce both gauge as well as gauge-

gravitational anomalies. The triangular gauge anomalies arising from gauged $U(1)_{B-L}$ are:

- $\text{Tr} (U(1)_{B-L} [\text{SU}(2)_L]^2)$
- $\text{Tr} (U(1)_{B-L} [U(1)_Y]^2)$
- $\text{Tr} (U(1)_{B-L})^3$

With the particle content of SM the first two anomalies are automatically canceled. Moreover, if the right handed neutrinos ν_{iR} ; $i = 1, 2, 3$ transform as $\nu_{iR} \sim -1$ under $U(1)_{B-L}$, then $\sum U(1)_{B-L}^3 = 0$. The gauge - gravitational anomalies also vanish in this case as $-3(-1) = 3$.

Lets briefly discuss the possibility of Dirac neutrinos in this scenario before discussing the conventional case of Majorana neutrinos. The addition of right handed neutrinos with -1 charge under $U(1)_{B-L}$ allows one to have gauge invariant Yukawa coupling for neutrinos. The $SU(3)_C \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y \otimes U(1)_{B-L}$ invariant Yukawa coupling for neutrinos is then given by

$$- \mathcal{L}_Y^\nu = \sum_{i,j} y_{ij} \bar{L}_{iL} \hat{\Phi}^* \nu_{jR} + \text{h.c.} \quad (1)$$

where $\hat{\Phi}^* = i\tau_2 \Phi^*$ and $\Phi = (\phi^+, \phi^0)^T$ is the SM Higgs doublet. Since the right as well as left handed neutrinos transform non-trivially under the gauged $U(1)_{B-L}$ symmetry, this implies that the Majorana mass term for ν_{iR} is forbidden and neutrinos are Dirac particles. In this case the $U(1)_{B-L}$ symmetry remains unbroken¹. However, in such a scenario the smallness of neutrino masses requires unnaturally small Yukawa couplings and the model does not provide any explanation for their smallness. For more details and variants of this scenario we refer to [10–12].

A relatively better understanding of smallness of neutrino masses can be obtained if in addition to the right handed neutrinos one also adds a singlet scalar χ transforming as $\chi \sim 2$ under $U(1)_{B-L}$. In this case the $SU(3)_C \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y \otimes U(1)_{B-L}$ invariant Yukawa coupling for neutrinos is given by

¹ The Z' gauge boson associated with $U(1)_{B-L}$ can get mass via Stueckelberg mechanism without breaking the $B - L$ symmetry [8–10].

$$-\mathcal{L}_Y^\nu = \sum_{i,j} y_{ij} \bar{L}_{iL} \hat{\Phi}^* \nu_{jR} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} f_{ij} \bar{\nu}_{iR}^c \chi \nu_{jR} + \text{h.c.} \quad (2)$$

The spontaneous symmetry breaking (SSB) of χ then leads to breaking of $B-L$ symmetry. If $\langle \chi \rangle = u$ the right handed neutrinos get a Majorana mass $M_{ij} = \sqrt{2} f_{ij} u$. If $u \gg v$ i.e. the $B-L$ symmetry breaking scale is far greater than the electroweak scale, then $M_R \gg m_D$ leading to a natural implementation of Type I seesaw mechanism.

Before ending this section we like to remark that the gauged $B-L$ symmetry can be imbedded in other Beyond Standard Model scenarios e.g. it is an essential ingredient of Left-Right symmetric models. It can also be embedded in GUT groups e.g. $SO(10)$.

III. DIRAC NEUTRINOS FROM GAUGED $B-L$ SYMMETRY

In this section we look at the possibility of another simple choice of $B-L$ charges for right handed neutrinos which leads to anomaly free $U(1)_{B-L}$ gauge symmetry. Unlike the previous case, let the 3 right handed neutrinos transform as $\nu_{iR} = (+5, -4, -4)$ under $B-L$ symmetry [4, 13–15]. Since $\nu_{iR} \sim (+5, -4, -4)$, therefore

$$-(+5)^3 - (-4)^3 - (-4)^3 = +3 \quad (3)$$

$$-(5) - (-4) - (-4) = +3 \quad (4)$$

Thus in this case also the model is free from gauge as well as gauge-gravitational anomalies. Now the standard-model Higgs doublet $(\phi^+, \phi^0)^T$ does not connect ν_L with ν_R . Therefore the neutrinos do not get mass from the standard electroweak symmetry breaking. To generate neutrino masses let us add three heavy Dirac singlet fermions $N_{L,R}$ transforming as -1 under $B-L$ symmetry. They will not change the anomaly cancellation conditions and the model will remain anomaly free. Also let us add a singlet scalar χ_3 transforming as $+3$ under $B-L$.

Now for ν_{R2} and ν_{R3} , $(\bar{\nu}_L, \bar{N}_L)$ is linked to (ν_R, N_R) through the 2×2 mass matrix as follows

$$M_{\nu,N} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m_0 \\ m_3 & M \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

where m_0 comes from $\langle\phi^0\rangle$. Moreover, m_3 comes from $\langle\chi_3\rangle$, due to the Yukawa coupling $\bar{N}_L\nu_R\chi_3$. The invariant mass M is naturally large, so the Dirac seesaw [16] yields a small neutrino mass m_3m_0/M .

In the conventional $U(1)_{B-L}$ model, $\chi_2 \sim +2$ under $B-L$ is chosen to break the gauge symmetry, so that ν_R gets a Majorana mass and lepton number L is broken to $(-1)^L$. Here, $\chi_3 \sim +3$ means that it is impossible to construct an operator of any dimension for a Majorana mass term and L remains a conserved global symmetry, with $\nu_{L,R}$ and $N_{L,R}$ all having $L = 1$.

Since $\nu_{R1} \sim +5$ does not connect with ν_L or N_L directly, there is one massless neutrino in this case. The dimension-five operator $\bar{N}_L\nu_{R3}\chi_3^*\chi_3^*/\Lambda$ is allowed by $U(1)_{B-L}$ and would give it a small Dirac mass. Alternatively, one can add a second scalar $\chi_6 \sim 6$ to the model to account for mass of ν_{R1} .

IV. THE S_3 FLAVOUR SYMMETRY

The discussion in previous section was aimed primarily at mass generation for Dirac neutrinos. The $U(1)_{B-L}$ symmetry alone does not provide any explanation for the currently observed PMNS mixing pattern. In this section we will generalize our discussion and will construct phenomenologically viable lepton mass matrices. In order to understand the leptonic family structure consistent with present neutrino oscillation data, we will make use of the non-Abelian discrete symmetry group S_3 .

The S_3 group is the smallest non-Abelian discrete symmetry group and is the group of the permutation of three objects. It consists of six elements and is also isomorphic to the symmetry group of the equilateral triangle. It admits three irreducible representations 1, $1'$ and 2 with the tensor product rules.

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \otimes 1' &= 1', & 1' \otimes 1' &= 1, & 2 \otimes 1 &= 2, \\ 2 \otimes 1' &= 2, & 2 \otimes 2 &= 1 \oplus 1' \oplus 2 \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

In this proceeding we will use the complex representation of the S_3 group [17, 18]. In the complex representation, if

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{2} \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \phi_2^\dagger \\ \phi_1^\dagger \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \psi_2^\dagger \\ \psi_1^\dagger \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{2} \quad (7)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1\psi_2 + \phi_2\psi_1, \quad \phi_2^\dagger\psi_2 + \phi_1^\dagger\psi_1 &\in \mathbf{1} \\ \phi_1\psi_2 - \phi_2\psi_1, \quad \phi_2^\dagger\psi_2 - \phi_1^\dagger\psi_1 &\in \mathbf{1}' \\ \begin{pmatrix} \phi_2\psi_2 \\ \phi_1\psi_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1^\dagger\psi_2 \\ \phi_2^\dagger\psi_1 \end{pmatrix} &\in \mathbf{2} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

With this brief summary of S_3 group and its irreducible representations, we now move on to constructing an S_3 invariant lepton sector. The $B - L$ charge and S_3 assignment of the fields for the lepton sector is as shown in Table I.

Fields	$B - L$	S_3	Fields	$B - L$	S_3
L^e	-1	$1'$	e_R	-1	$1'$
L^μ	-1	$1'$	μ_R	-1	$1'$
L^τ	-1	1	τ_R	-1	1
N_L^1	-1	$1'$	N_R^1	-1	$1'$
N_L^2	-1	$1'$	N_R^2	-1	$1'$
N_L^3	-1	1	N_R^3	-1	1
Φ	0	1	ν_R^e	5	$1'$
$\begin{pmatrix} \nu_R^\mu \\ \nu_R^\tau \end{pmatrix}$	-4	2	$\begin{pmatrix} \chi_2 \\ \chi_3 \end{pmatrix}$	3	2

TABLE I: The $B - L$ and S_3 charge assignment for the fields.

where we denote the left handed lepton doublets by $L^\alpha = (\nu_L^\alpha, l_L^\alpha)^\text{T}$ where $\alpha = e, \mu, \tau$; the right handed charged leptons are denoted as e_R, μ_R, τ_R and the right handed neutrinos as $\nu_R^e, \nu_R^\mu, \nu_R^\tau$. Also, let us denote the heavy singlet fermions as $N_{L,R}^i$; $i = 1, 2, 3$. The ‘‘Standard Model like’’ scalar doublet is denoted by $\Phi = (\phi^+, \phi^0)^\text{T}$ and the singlet scalars are denoted by $\chi_{2,3}$.

The S_3 and $B - L$ invariant Yukawa interaction \mathcal{L}_Y can then be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_Y = \mathcal{L}_{L^\alpha l_R} + \mathcal{L}_{L^\alpha N_R} + \mathcal{L}_{N_L N_R} + \mathcal{L}_{N_L \nu_R} \quad (9)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{L^\alpha l_R} &= y'_e \bar{L}^e \Phi e_R + y'_{12} \bar{L}^e \Phi \mu_R + y'_{21} \bar{L}^\mu \Phi e_R + y'_\mu \bar{L}^\mu \Phi \mu_R + y_\tau \bar{L}^\tau \Phi \tau_R \\ \mathcal{L}_{L^\alpha N_R} &= g'_{11} \bar{L}^e \hat{\Phi}^* N_R^1 + g'_{12} \bar{L}^e \hat{\Phi}^* N_R^2 + g'_{21} \bar{L}^\mu \hat{\Phi}^* N_R^1 + g'_{22} \bar{L}^\mu \hat{\Phi}^* N_R^2 \\ &\quad + g_{33} \bar{L}^\tau \hat{\Phi}^* N_R^3 \\ \mathcal{L}_{N_L N_R} &= M'_{11} \bar{N}_L^1 N_R^1 + M'_{12} \bar{N}_L^1 N_R^2 + M'_{21} \bar{N}_L^2 N_R^1 + M'_{22} \bar{N}_L^2 N_R^2 + M_{33} \bar{N}_L^3 N_R^3 \\ \mathcal{L}_{N_L \nu_R} &= \frac{f'_{11}}{\Lambda} (\bar{N}_L^1 \nu_R^e) \otimes \left[\begin{pmatrix} \chi_3^* \\ \chi_2^* \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} \chi_3^* \\ \chi_2^* \end{pmatrix} \right]_1 + \frac{f'_{21}}{\Lambda} (\bar{N}_L^2 \nu_R^e) \otimes \left[\begin{pmatrix} \chi_3^* \\ \chi_2^* \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} \chi_3^* \\ \chi_2^* \end{pmatrix} \right]_1 \\ &\quad + f'_{12} \bar{N}_L^1 \otimes \left[\begin{pmatrix} \nu_R^\mu \\ \nu_R^\tau \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} \chi_2 \\ \chi_3 \end{pmatrix} \right]_{1'} + f'_{22} \bar{N}_L^2 \otimes \left[\begin{pmatrix} \nu_R^\mu \\ \nu_R^\tau \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} \chi_2 \\ \chi_3 \end{pmatrix} \right]_{1'} \\ &\quad + f_{33} \bar{N}_L^3 \otimes \left[\begin{pmatrix} \nu_R^\mu \\ \nu_R^\tau \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} \chi_2 \\ \chi_3 \end{pmatrix} \right]_1 \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

In writing (10), we have used the notation $\hat{\Phi}^* = i\tau_2 \Phi^* = (\phi^0, \phi^-)^T$. Here, y_α are the Yukawa couplings of the charged leptons whereas f_{ij} , g_{ij} and M_{ij} denote the dimensionless coupling constants between the leptons and the heavy fermions.

At this point we like to remark that in \mathcal{L}_Y there is still a freedom to redefine a few fields (i.e. the pairs $N_L^1 - N_L^2$, $N_R^1 - N_R^2$ and $e_R - \mu_R$) in a way that certain couplings can be made equal to zero. For sake of later convenience we choose to use this freedom of field redefinition to make $f'_{11} = M'_{12} = y'_{21} = 0$. Moreover, we relabel the remaining non-zero couplings of these redefined fields as $f'_{ij} \rightarrow f_{ij}$, $g'_{ij} \rightarrow g_{ij}$, $M'_{ij} \rightarrow M_{ij}$.

After symmetry breaking the scalar fields get VEVs $\langle \phi^0 \rangle = v$, $\langle \chi_i \rangle = u_i$; $i = 2, 3$. Then the mass matrix relevant to charged leptons is given by

$$\mathcal{M}_l = v \begin{pmatrix} y_e & y_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & y_\mu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & y_\tau \end{pmatrix} \quad (11)$$

This mass matrix can be readily diagonalized by bi-unitary transformation. In the limit of $y_e \ll y_\mu$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_{12}^l &\approx \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{-y_{12}}{y_\mu} \right); & m_e &\approx v y_e \cos \theta_{12}^l \\ m_\mu &\approx v (y_\mu \cos \theta_{12}^l - y_{12} \sin \theta_{12}^l); & m_\tau &\approx v y_\tau\end{aligned}\quad (12)$$

If $y_{12} = y_\mu$ then maximal mixing is achieved i.e. $\theta_{12}^l = -\frac{\pi}{4}$, with $m_\mu = \sqrt{2} v y_\mu$.

Also, the 6×6 mass matrix spanning $(\bar{\nu}_L^e, \bar{\nu}_L^\mu, \bar{\nu}_L^\tau, \bar{N}_L^1, \bar{N}_L^2, \bar{N}_L^3)$ and $(\nu_R^e, \nu_R^\mu, \nu_R^\tau, N_R^1, N_R^2, N_R^3)^T$ of neutrinos and the heavy fermions is given by

$$\mathcal{M}_{\nu, N} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & g_{11} v^* & g_{12} v^* & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & g_{21} v^* & g_{22} v^* & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & g_{33} v^* \\ 0 & f_{12} u_3 & -f_{12} u_2 & M_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{f_{21}}{\Lambda} u_2^* u_3^* & f_{22} u_3 & -f_{22} u_2 & M_{21} & M_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & f_{33} u_3 & f_{33} u_2 & 0 & 0 & M_3 \end{pmatrix}\quad (13)$$

As remarked earlier, the mass terms M_{ij} between the heavy fermions can be naturally large, so we can block diagonalize the mass matrix assuming that $f_{ij}, g_{ij} \ll M_{ij}$. The block diagonalized mass matrix of light neutrinos is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_\nu &= m_{N_L \nu_R} (M_{N_L N_R})^{-1} m_{L^\alpha N_R} \\ &= v^* \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(g_{21} M_{11} - g_{11} M_{21}) f_{12} u_2}{M_{11} M_{22}} & \frac{(g_{22} M_{11} - g_{12} M_{21}) f_{12} u_2}{M_{11} M_{22}} & \frac{-f_{12} g_{33} u_3}{M_{33}} \\ \frac{(g_{21} M_{11} - g_{11} M_{21}) f_{22} u_2 + f_{21} g_{11} M_{22} u_6}{M_{11} M_{22}} & \frac{(g_{22} M_{11} - g_{12} M_{21}) f_{22} u_2 + f_{21} g_{12} M_{22} u_6}{M_{11} M_{22}} & \frac{-f_{22} g_{33} u_3}{M_{33}} \\ \frac{(g_{21} M_{11} - g_{11} M_{21}) f_{33} u_2}{M_{11} M_{22}} & \frac{(g_{22} M_{11} - g_{12} M_{21}) f_{33} u_2}{M_{11} M_{22}} & \frac{f_{33} g_{33} u_3}{M_{33}} \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}\quad (14)$$

where we have written $u_6 = \frac{u_2^* u_3^*}{\Lambda}$. Also, the 3×3 mass matrices $m_{L^\alpha N_R}$, $M_{N_L N_R}$ and $m_{N_L \nu_R}$ are obtained from the terms $\mathcal{L}_{L^\alpha N_R}$, $\mathcal{L}_{N_L N_R}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{N_L \nu_R}$ respectively. This light neutrino mass matrix can be further diagonalized by the bi-unitary transformation.

The neutrino masses and the mixing angles so obtained will be dependent on the specific values of the coupling constants f_{ij}, g_{ij}, M_{ij} as well as the VEVs v, u_i ; $i = 2, 3$. In the simplifying case of $g_{ij} = g$ and $M_{ij} = M$ we get

$$\mathcal{M}_\nu = \frac{gv^*}{M} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -f_{12}u_3 \\ f_{21}u_6 & f_{21}u_6 & -f_{22}u_3 \\ 0 & 0 & f_{33}u_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad (15)$$

Diagonalizing the mass matrix we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{12}^\nu &\approx 0; & \theta_{13}^\nu &\approx \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{f_{12}}{f_{33}} \right); & \theta_{23}^\nu &\approx \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{f_{22}}{\sqrt{f_{12}^2 + f_{33}^2}} \right) \\ m_1^\nu &\approx 0; & m_2^\nu &\approx \frac{\sqrt{2(f_{12}^2 + f_{33}^2)} f_{21} g |v|}{M \sqrt{f_{12}^2 + f_{22}^2 + f_{33}^2}} |u_6|; \\ m_3^\nu &\approx \frac{\sqrt{f_{12}^2 + f_{22}^2 + f_{33}^2} g |v|}{M} |u_3| \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Since, $u_6 \ll u_3$, we have a normal hierarchy pattern with two nearly massless neutrinos and one relatively heavy neutrino. Moreover, the massless neutrino will also gain small mass, if any of the M_{ij} 's or g_{ij} 's are not equal to M or g respectively. Also, if they deviate significantly from these values then one can possibly recover degenerate or inverted hierarchy patterns also. Now, if U_l and U_ν are the mixing matrices of the charged leptons and neutrinos respectively, then the PMNS mixing matrix is given by

$$U_{\text{PMNS}} = U_l^\dagger U_\nu \quad (17)$$

Taking $y_{12} = y_\mu$, $f_{12} = -\frac{f_{33}}{2}$ and $f_{22} = \sqrt{f_{12}^2 + f_{33}^2}$ in (12), (16) we get $\theta_{23}^\nu = -\theta_{12}^\nu = \frac{\pi}{4}$ and $\theta_{13}^\nu = \tan^{-1}(-\frac{1}{2})$ which gives PMNS mixing angles consistent with present $3 - \sigma$ limits of global fits obtained from experiments [19].

In our minimal model with only one doublet scalar, the quark sector can be accommodated in a simple way if both the left handed quark doublets $Q_L^i = (u_L^i, d_L^i)^\text{T}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ and the right handed quark singlets u_R^i, d_R^i ; $i = 1, 2, 3$ transform as 1 of S_3 . A better understanding of the quark sector can be obtained if, to our minimal model, we add more doublet scalars transforming non-trivially under S_3 . One such example for quark sector, albeit in context of a different model for lepton sector, has already been worked out in [17, 18]. We are currently working on a similar extension of our minimal model.

V. INVERSE SEESAW

Apart from the Dirac neutrinos, other possibilities can also arise depending on the particle content [4, 15]. For example instead of adding the singlet scalar $\chi_3 \sim 3$ under $U(1)_{B-L}$, one can add two complex scalar fields $\chi_2 \sim 2$ and $\chi_6 \sim 6$ under $U(1)_{B-L}$. In this case, ν_L is not connected to $\nu_{R1,R2} \sim -4$. It is connected however to $N_{L,R}$ through the mass matrix spanning $(\bar{\nu}_L, \bar{N}_R^c, \bar{N}_L)$ as follows:

$$M_{\nu,N} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m_0 & 0 \\ m_0 & m'_2 & M \\ 0 & M & m_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (18)$$

where m_2 and m'_2 come from the Yukawa couplings with χ_2 . This leads to an inverse seesaw [20–22], i.e. $m_\nu \simeq m_0^2 m_2 / M^2$. In the case of $\nu_{R3} \sim +5$, the corresponding mass matrix spanning $(\bar{\nu}_L, \bar{N}_R^c, \bar{N}_L, \bar{\nu}_{R3}^c)$ is given by

$$M_{\nu,N} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m_0 & 0 & 0 \\ m_0 & m'_2 & M & 0 \\ 0 & M & m_2 & m_6 \\ 0 & 0 & m_6 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (19)$$

where m_6 comes from the Yukawa coupling with χ_6 . Thus ν_{R3} also gets an inverse seesaw mass $\simeq m_0^2 m'_2 / M^2$ which is the 4×4 analog of the 3×3 lopsided seesaw discussed in [23]. In this scheme, ν_L and ν_{R3} get small masses via inverse seesaw mechanism. Also, $N_{1,2,3}$ become heavy pseudo-Dirac fermions. However, $\nu_{R1,R2}$ remain massless. They can be given mass by adding extra scalars e.g. by adding a third scalar $\chi_8 \sim 8$ under $B - L$.

VI. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

The idea that $B - L$ should be a gauge symmetry has been around for some time. However most of the work on gauged $B - L$ symmetry has been done for the case of the three right handed neutrinos transforming as -1 under $U(1)_{B-L}$. In this work we looked at another possible anomaly free solution for gauged $B - L$ interaction with the three right handed neutrinos transforming as $(+5, -4, -4)$ under $U(1)_{B-L}$. We showed how these assignments can be used to obtain seesaw Dirac neutrino masses, as well as inverse seesaw

Majorana neutrino masses. We then showed that imposition of S_3 flavour symmetry to the first case can lead to realistic neutrino and charged-lepton mass matrices with a mixing pattern consistent with experiments. In our model the $B - L$ symmetry breaking scale can be as low as in TeV range. This raises the possibility of testing it in future runs of LHC or in other future colliders. We are planning to look for the phenomenological consequences of our model. The phenomenology of the Z' boson would be of particular interest. The cosmological implications of our model will also be interesting.

Acknowledgments

RS will like to thank the organizers for inviting and giving opportunity to present this work at International Conference on Massive Neutrinos - 2015, Singapore. EM is supported in part by the U. S. Department of Energy under Grant No. de-sc0008541.

-
- [1] M. Auger *et al.* [EXO Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 032505 (2012) [arXiv:1205.5608 [hep-ex]].
 - [2] M. Agostini *et al.* [GERDA Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 122503 (2013) [arXiv:1307.4720 [nucl-ex]].
 - [3] A. Gando *et al.* [KamLAND-Zen Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, no. 6, 062502 (2013), arXiv:1211.3863.
 - [4] E. Ma and R. Srivastava, Phys. Lett. B **741**, 217 (2015) [arXiv:1411.5042 [hep-ph]].
 - [5] E. Ma, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **17**, 535 (2002) [hep-ph/0112232].
 - [6] E. Ma, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 041801 (2002) [hep-ph/0201083].
 - [7] M. C. Chen, A. de Gouvea and B. A. Dobrescu, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 055009 (2007) [hep-ph/0612017].
 - [8] E. C. G. Stueckelberg, Helv. Phys. Acta **11**, 225 (1938).
 - [9] D. Feldman, P. Fileviez Perez and P. Nath, JHEP **1201**, 038 (2012) [arXiv:1109.2901 [hep-ph]].
 - [10] J. Heeck, Phys. Lett. B **739**, 256 (2014) [arXiv:1408.6845 [hep-ph]].
 - [11] J. Heeck and W. Rodejohann, Europhys. Lett. **103**, 32001 (2013) [arXiv:1306.0580 [hep-ph]].
 - [12] J. Heeck, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 076004 (2013) [arXiv:1307.2241 [hep-ph]].

- [13] J. C. Montero and V. Pleitez, Phys. Lett. B **675**, 64 (2009) [arXiv:0706.0473 [hep-ph]].
- [14] A. C. B. Machado and V. Pleitez, Phys. Lett. B **698**, 128 (2011) [arXiv:1008.4572 [hep-ph]].
- [15] A. C. B. Machado and V. Pleitez, J. Phys. G **40**, 035002 (2013) [arXiv:1105.6064 [hep-ph]].
- [16] P. Roy and O. U. Shanker, Phys. Rev. Lett. **52**, 713 (1984) [Erratum-ibid. **52**, 2190 (1984)].
- [17] S. L. Chen, M. Frigerio and E. Ma, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 073008 (2004) [Erratum-ibid. D **70**, 079905 (2004)] [hep-ph/0404084].
- [18] E. Ma and B. Melic, Phys. Lett. B **725**, 402 (2013) [arXiv:1303.6928 [hep-ph]].
- [19] F. Capozzi, G. L. Fogli, E. Lisi, A. Marrone, D. Montanino and A. Palazzo, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 093018 (2014) [arXiv:1312.2878 [hep-ph]].
- [20] D. Wyler and L. Wolfenstein, Nucl. Phys. B **218**, 205 (1983).
- [21] R. N. Mohapatra and J. W. F. Valle, Phys. Rev. D **34**, 1642 (1986).
- [22] E. Ma, Phys. Lett. B **191**, 287 (1987).
- [23] E. Ma, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **24**, 2161 (2009) [arXiv:0904.1580 [hep-ph]].