

Inflation in a modified radiative seesaw model

Shoichi Kashiwase

Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kanazawa University, Kanazawa 920-1192, JAPAN

A radiative seesaw model with an inert doublet dark matter is a promising candidate which could explain the existence of neutrino masses, dark matter and baryon number asymmetry of the Universe, simultaneously. In addition to these issues, inflation should also be explained since the recent CMB observations suggest the existence of the inflationary era at the early stage of the Universe. Thus, we extend it by a complex scalar field with a specific potential. This scalar could also be related to the neutrino mass generation at a TeV scale. We show that the inflation favored by the CMB observations could be realized even if inflaton takes sub-Planckian values during inflation.

I. INTRODUCTION

Recent discovery of a Higgs-like particle [1] suggests that the framework of the standard model (SM) can describe Nature well up to the weak scale. On the other hand, we have experimental results which cannot be explained within it, that is, the existence of small neutrino masses [3], the existence of dark matter (DM) [4], and baryon number asymmetry in the Universe [5]. They require some extension of the SM. In our previous work, we show that a radiative seesaw model with an inert doublet [6] could be a promising candidate which can explain these problems, simultaneously [7]. The recent CMB observations suggest that the exponential expansion of the Universe occurs in the very early Universe. These results can constrain severely the allowed inflation models now [8]. Some inflationary models require the trans-Planckian field value for inflaton to realize the sufficient e-foldings. In this case, the Planck-suppressed operators become dominant and spoil the flatness of the inflaton potential. Thus, we consider its modification to realize the results from Planck by introducing inflaton with the sub-Planckian field value. We also show that the inflaton could play a crucial role for the neutrino mass generation other than the inflation.

II. AN EXTENDED MODEL

The extended radiative seesaw model with a Z_2 odd complex scalar singlet S is defined by the following Z_2 invariant terms [9]:

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathcal{L}_O &= -h_{\alpha i} \bar{N}_i H_2^\dagger L_\alpha - h_{\alpha i}^* \bar{L}_\alpha H_2 N_i + \frac{1}{2} m_{N_i} \bar{N}_i N_i^c + \frac{1}{2} m_{N_i}^* \bar{N}_i^c N_i, \\ &+ m_1^2 |H_1|^2 + m_2^2 |H_2|^2 + \lambda_1 |H_1|^4 + \lambda_2 |H_2|^4 + \lambda_3 |H_1|^2 |H_2|^2 + \lambda_4 |H_1^\dagger H_2|^2 + \frac{\lambda_5}{2} [(H_1^\dagger H_2)^2 + h.c.], \\ -\mathcal{L}_S &= \tilde{m}_S^2 S^\dagger S + \frac{1}{2} m_S^2 S^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_S^2 S^{\dagger 2} - \mu S H_2^\dagger H_1 - \mu^* S^\dagger H_1^\dagger H_2 \\ &+ \kappa_1 (S^\dagger S)^2 + \kappa_2 (S^\dagger S)(H_1^\dagger H_1) + \kappa_3 (S^\dagger S)(H_2^\dagger H_2), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where L_α is a left-handed lepton doublet and H_2 is an inert doublet scalar. Since H_2 and right-handed neutrinos N_i are assigned odd parity of Z_2 symmetry and all the SM contents including the ordinary Higgs doublet scalar H_1 are assigned even parity, neutrino Dirac mass terms are forbidden at tree level. Neutrino masses are generated through a one-loop diagram as shown in Fig. 1. In this diagram, φ_a represents component fields of S which are defined as $S = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2)$. Their masses are found to be $\tilde{m}_1^2 = \tilde{m}_S^2 + m_S^2$ and $\tilde{m}_2^2 = \tilde{m}_S^2 - m_S^2$. Since Z_2 is considered as an exact symmetry, $\tilde{m}_S^2 > m_S^2$ should be satisfied. If we assume the condition $\tilde{m}_S \gg m_S, m_2, m_{N_i}$ is satisfied, the neutrino mass induced through the diagram in Fig. 1 can be estimated as

$$\mathcal{M}_{\alpha\beta}^\nu = \sum_{i=1}^3 h_{\alpha i} h_{\beta i} \frac{\langle H_1 \rangle^2}{8\pi^2} \frac{m_S^2 \mu^2}{\tilde{m}_S^4} \frac{m_{N_i}}{m_{H_2}^2 - m_{N_i}^2} \left(1 + \frac{m_{N_i}^2}{m_{H_2}^2 - m_{N_i}^2} \ln \frac{m_{N_i}^2}{m_{H_2}^2} \right), \quad (2)$$

where $m_{H_2}^2 = m_2^2 + (\lambda_3 + \lambda_4) \langle H_1 \rangle^2$. It is equivalent to the neutrino mass formula in the original model if $\frac{m_S^2 \mu^2}{\tilde{m}_S^4}$ is identified with the coupling constant λ_5 for a $(H_2^\dagger H_1)^2$ term.

This correspondence might be found in an effective theory obtained at energy regions smaller than \tilde{m}_S by integrating out S . The origin of small λ_5 which is the key nature to explain the smallness of the neutrino masses




FIG. 1: One-loop diagrams which contribute to the neutrino mass generation. The left diagram generates neutrino masses in the present model. The dimensionful coupling μ_a is defined as $\mu_1 = \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $\mu_2 = \frac{i\mu}{\sqrt{2}}$ by using μ in eq. (1). Right one is the diagram in the original model [6].

is now translated to the hierarchy problem between μ , m_S and \tilde{m}_S in this extension. If we leave the origin of this hierarchy to a complete theory at high energy regions, all the neutrino masses, the DM abundance and the baryon number asymmetry could be also explained in this extended model at TeV regions just as in the same way discussed in the previous articles [7]. Following the results obtained in these studies, the value of $\frac{m_S^2 \mu^2}{\tilde{m}_S^2}$ could be constrained by the simultaneous explanation of these.

III. INFLATION DUE TO THE COMPLEX SCALAR S

We consider an inflation scenario which could work even for sub-Planckian values of S , following proposal in [10]. It is possible as long as the existence of specific nonrenormalizable terms is assumed in the potential for S . As such a potential, we suppose that the complex scalar S has Z_2 invariant additional terms such as

$$\begin{aligned} V &= c_1 \frac{(S^\dagger S)^n}{M_{\text{pl}}^{2n-4}} \left[1 + c_2 \left\{ \left(\frac{S}{M_{\text{pl}}} \right)^{2m} \exp \left(i \frac{S^\dagger S}{\Lambda^2} \right) + \left(\frac{S^\dagger}{M_{\text{pl}}} \right)^{2m} \exp \left(-i \frac{S^\dagger S}{\Lambda^2} \right) \right\} \right], \\ &= c_1 \frac{\varphi^{2n}}{2^n M_{\text{pl}}^{2n-4}} \left[1 + 2c_2 \left(\frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{2} M_{\text{pl}}} \right)^{2m} \cos \left(\frac{\varphi^2}{2\Lambda^2} + 2m\theta \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where both n and m are positive integers and M_{pl} is the reduced Planck mass. Here we assume the condition $\Lambda \ll \varphi \ll M_{\text{pl}}$. We use the polar coordinate expression $S = \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{2}} e^{i\theta}$ in the second equality of eq. (3). In the left panel of Fig. 2, we show a typical shape of the potential as a function of φ for a fixed θ . We assume that inflaton moves along this local minimum. In the right panel of Fig. 2, we show an example for the evolution of the inflaton in the $(\frac{\varphi_1}{\sqrt{2} M_{\text{pl}}}, \frac{\varphi_2}{\sqrt{2} M_{\text{pl}}})$ plane. In this calculation, we assume that $\varphi_{1,2}$ initially stay at the local minimum. The figure shows that the inflaton evolves along an aperiodic circle. During this evolution, the value




FIG. 2: The left panel shows the potential V defined by $n = 3$ and $m = 1$. Other Parameters in V are fixed as $c_1 = 1.65 \times 10^{-6}$, $c_2 = 0.7$ and $\Lambda/M_{\text{pl}} = 0.04$. The right panel depicts the time evolution of the inflaton in the $(\frac{\varphi_1}{\sqrt{2} M_{\text{pl}}}, \frac{\varphi_2}{\sqrt{2} M_{\text{pl}}})$ plane for the potential V shown in the left panel. φ is related to $\varphi_{1,2}$ by $\varphi^2 = \varphi_1^2 + \varphi_2^2$.

TABLE I: The spectral index and the tensor-to-scalar ratio for typical examples of three parameters in the potential (3) defined by $n = 3$ and $m = 1$. These model parameters are fixed to realize the observed value for the scalar perturbation amplitude $\Delta_{\mathcal{R}}^2$ at $k_* = 0.002 \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$.

	c_1	c_2	$\frac{\Lambda}{M_{\text{Pl}}}$	$\frac{\varphi_*}{\sqrt{2}M_{\text{Pl}}}$	N_*	n_s	r
A	1.66×10^{-6}	0.7	0.04	0.378	59.0	0.971	0.107
	2.04×10^{-6}	0.7	0.04	0.371	54.2	0.968	0.119
	2.42×10^{-6}	0.7	0.04	0.366	49.1	0.965	0.131
B	0.257	6.0	0.002	0.0512	60.4	0.969	0.124
	0.305	6.0	0.002	0.0505	55.0	0.966	0.136
	0.364	6.0	0.002	0.0498	50.0	0.962	0.149
C	0.82×10^{-6}	1.4	0.05	0.425	66.6	0.966	0.066
	1.52×10^{-6}	1.4	0.05	0.406	48.3	0.960	0.101

of inflaton changes by an amount larger than the Planck scale for the small change of φ in the sub-Planckian range. From this figure, we find that the single inflaton scenario could be realized in this model as long as we assume that the conditions mentioned above are satisfied and also the fields $\varphi_{1,2}$ start to evolve from a local minimum.

In order to see the feature of the inflation in this model, we calculate the quantities which characterize the inflation, that is, the e-foldings N , the spectral index n_s and the tensor-to-scalar ratio r (See more details in [9]). In Table 1, we show typical examples which are calculated numerically for different values for the model parameters c_1 , c_2 and Λ . These examples suggest that sufficiently large e-foldings such as $N_* = 50 - 60$ could be realized as long as $\Lambda \ll \varphi_*$ is satisfied even for the sub-Planckian inflaton value $\varphi_* < M_{\text{Pl}}$. The predicted values of n_s and r are also listed in each case. In Fig. 3, we plot the predicted points in the (n_s, r) plane for $N_* = 50 - 60$ in the cases A, B and C given in Table 1. As we can see from this figure, both cases A and B which were favored by BICEP2 [11] have been excluded by the recent Planck data [8]. On the other hand, the case C is in the region of the 95% CL due to the Planck results. We will examine the viable region in this model more extensively in [12].

IV. REHEATING AFTER THE END OF INFLATION

In this model, the Universe could be reheated up through inflaton decay after the end of inflation. Since $\tilde{m}_S \gg m_S$ is assumed to be satisfied here, the reheating temperature realized through this process could be




FIG. 3: Predicted values of (n_s, r) for several parameter sets $(c_2, \frac{\Lambda}{M_{\text{Pl}}})$ given in Table 1. A dotted line represents the prediction by the quadratic chaotic inflation model and the crosses correspond to the points for $N_* = 50$ and 60. Contours given as Fig. 54 in Planck Collaboration XX [8] are used here.

estimated as

$$T_R \simeq 1.6 \times 10^8 \left(\frac{|\lambda_5|}{10^{-6}} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\tilde{m}_S}{m_S} \right) \left(\frac{\tilde{m}_S}{10^6 \text{ GeV}} \right)^{1/2} \text{ GeV}. \quad (4)$$

In this estimation, we take account of the constraint from the neutrino mass generation as discussed in the previous part. Here we also note that $|\lambda_5|$ should be larger than $O(10^{-6})$, which is imposed by the present bound of DM direct search since we suppose that the lightest neutral component of H_2 is DM and its mass is ~ 1 TeV [7]. We find that the reheating temperature could take values in a wide range such as $10^5 \text{ GeV} \lesssim T_R \lesssim 10^{15} \text{ GeV}$ depending on a value of \tilde{m}_S . This temperature is high enough to produce thermal right-handed neutrinos in the present model since the masses of right-handed neutrinos are assumed to be of $O(1)$ TeV. If the right-handed neutrino masses are sufficiently degenerate, the baryon number asymmetry could be generated through the resonant leptogenesis as discussed in [7]. Right-handed neutrinos need not to be light but they could have large mass such as $O(10^9)$ GeV in a consistent way with this neutrino mass model [7]. Even in that case, eq. (4) shows that the reheating temperature could be high enough for leptogenesis to work well without the resonant effect.

V. CONCLUSION

We have considered an extension of the radiative seesaw model with a complex singlet scalar to realize the inflation of the Universe keeping favorable features of the original model, that is, the simultaneous explanation of the small neutrino masses, the DM abundance and the baryon number asymmetry in the Universe. This singlet scalar plays a crucial role not only for inflation but also for the small neutrino mass generation. In this scenario, the inflaton trajectory follows an aperiodic circle during the inflation. This feature makes it possible that sub-Planckian values of the relevant field induce trans-Planckian change of the inflaton value which is needed for the sufficient e-foldings. The model could be free from the serious problem caused by trans-Planckian field values. Both the spectral index n_s and the tensor-to-scalar ratio r could have values which are favorable from the recent CMB observations. The roughly estimated reheating temperature could be high enough for leptogenesis.

Acknowledgments

This work is collaboration with Romy H. S. Budhi and Daijiro Suematsu. The author would like to thank them for their support. S. K. is supported by Grant-in-Aid for JSPS fellows (26-5862).

-
- [1] ATLAS Collaboration, G. Aad, *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B716** (2012) 1; CMS Collaboration, S. Chatrchyan, *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B716** (2012) 30.
 - [2] Super-Kamiokande Collaboration, Y. Fukuda, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81** (1998) 1562; SNO Collaboration, Q. R. Ahmad, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89** (2002) 011301; KamLAND Collaboration, K. Eguchi, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90** (2003) 021802; K2K Collaboration, M. H. Ahn, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90** (2003) 041801;
 - [3] T2K Collaboration, K. Abe, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107** (2011) 041801; Double Chooz Collaboration, Y. Abe, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108** (2012) 131801; RENO Collaboration, J. K. Ahn, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108** (2012) 191802; The Daya Bay Collaboration, F. E. An, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108** (2012) 171803.
 - [4] WMAP Collaboration, D. N. Spergel, *et al.*, Astrophys. J. **148** (2003) 175; SDSS Collaboration, M. Tegmark, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D69** (2004) 103501.
 - [5] A. Riotto and M. Trodden, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **49** (1999) 35; W. Bernreuther, Lect. Notes Phys. **591** (2002) 237; M. Dine and A. Kusenko, Rev. Mod. Phys. **76** (2003) 1.
 - [6] E. Ma, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 077301 (2006).
 - [7] S. Kashiwase and D. Suematsu, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 053001 (2012); S. Kashiwase and D. Suematsu, Eur. Phys. J. C **73**, 2484 (2013).
 - [8] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], arXiv:1502.02114 [astro-ph.CO].
 - [9] R. H. S. Budhi, S. Kashiwase and D. Suematsu, Phys. Rev. D **90** (2014) 11, 113013.
 - [10] J. McDonald, JCAP **1409** (2014) 09, 027.
 - [11] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [BICEP2 Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **112** (2014) 24, 241101.
 - [12] Romy H. S. Budhi, S. Kashiwase and Daijiro Suematsu, in preparation.