arXiv:1505.02922v1 [hep-ph] 12 May 2015

Quark properties from the Hadron Resonance Gas* **

E. Ruiz Arriola, L.L. Salcedo

Departamento de Física Atómica, Molecular y Nuclear
and Instituto Carlos I de Física Teórica y Computacional,
Universidad de Granada, E-18071 Granada, Spain

AND

E. Megías

Max-Planck-Institut für Physik (Werner-Heisenberg-Institut), Föhringer Ring 6,
D-80805 Munich, Germany

We show how the quark free energy can be determined from a string and the Hadron Resonance Gas model with one heavy quark below the de-confinement phase transition. We discuss the interesting problem of identification of degrees of freedom at increasing temperatures, as well as the relevance of string breaking and avoided crossings.

PACS numbers: 12.38.Lg, 11.30, 12.38.-t

1. Introduction

What is the maximum temperature where the bulk of QCD thermodynamics can be described in hadronic terms, with no explicit reference to the underlying quarks and gluons ? In this contribution we analyze to what extent can one describe the QCD thermodynamics from the hadronic spectrum. We thus hope to identify the nature of non-hadronic precursors of the crossover to the quark-gluon plasma in terms of low temperature partonic expansions [1, 2] (see [3] for a review). The relation between spectrum and partition function provides the thermodynamic free energy F

$$Z(T) = \sum_n e^{-E_n/T} \equiv e^{-F/T} \,. \tag{1}$$

* Talk by ERA at *Excited QCD 2015*, 8-14 March 2015 Tatranska Lomnica, Slovakia.
** Report MPP-2015-100. Supported by Junta de Andalucía grant FQM225, and the European Union (FP7-PEOPLE-2013-IEF), project PIEF-GA-2013-623006.

The example of a Hydrogen gas in QED illustrates the situation and motivates the discussion regarding the completeness of states which ultimately are interacting protons and electrons. At low temperatures, states are molecular, i.e. H_2 states which behave as compact and structureless. When we heat up the system a rovibrational spectrum of states H_2^*, H_2^{**} emerges and eventually the molecule is disociated into atoms $H_2 \leftrightarrow 2H$. At higher temperatures, atoms are excited $H^*, H^{**}, \ldots$ and eventually ionized into a plasma of p and e^- in the continuum where the p and e^- constituents becomes manifest. Clearly, neutral states (atomic or molecular) are not effectively complete at all temperatures and residual interactions corresponding to background non-resonant scattering become relevant [4].

2. QCD vs the Hadron Resonance Gas

In QCD on the lattice F is determined by integrating the trace anomaly,

$$\mathcal{A}(T) \equiv \frac{\epsilon - 3P}{T^4} = T\frac{\partial}{\partial T}\left(\frac{P}{T^4}\right) , \tag{2}$$

with respect to the temperature and *assuming* a reference value, ideally $P(T_0) = P_\pi(T_0)$ with $T_0 \ll m_\pi$. Once this condition is imposed we expect that at sufficiently low temperatures all the observables are described in terms of hadronic degrees of freedom; the quark-gluon underlying constituents are disclosed at very high temperatures. This quark-hadron duality *at low temperature* has been confirmed by the most recent and accurate lattice calculations at finite temperature [5,6] are confronted with the Hadron Resonance Gas (HRG), a rather simple system of non-interacting point-like and structureless hadrons

$$\mathcal{A}_{\rm HRG}(T) = \frac{1}{T^4}\int dN(M) \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{E(p) - \vec{p}\cdot\nabla_p E_(p)}{e^{E(p)/T} + \eta} . \tag{3}$$

Here, the sum is over *all* hadronic states including spin-isospin and anti-particle degeneracies, $\eta = \mp 1$ for mesons and baryons respectively, $E(p) = \sqrt{p^2 + M^2}$ is the energy and the cumulative number of states (degeneracy included) $N(M) = \sum_n \theta(M - M_n)$ where M_n are the hadron masses. The spectrum can be taken either directly from the PDG [7] or the relativized quark model (RQM) Refs. [8,9]. Indeed, requiring

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\rm dat}} \left[\frac{\mathcal{A}_{\rm Lat}(T_i) - \mathcal{A}_{\rm HRG}(T_i)}{\Delta\mathcal{A}_{\rm Lat}(T_i)}\right]^2 \sim N_{\rm dat} \pm \sqrt{2N_{\rm dat}} , \tag{4}$$

we get $T \lesssim 175$MeV for $N_{\rm dat} = 10$ lattice points [5,6] both for PDG and RQM, showing that they can be used to saturate the hadronic spectrum




Fig. 1. Left: Total cumulative number $N(M) = \sum_n \theta(M - M_n)$ for PDG (full), RQM (dotted) and a fit (dashed), Eq. (4) with $N(M) = A[e^{M/T_H}\theta(M_{\rm th} - M) + e^{M_{\rm th}/T_H}\theta(M - M_{\rm th})]$. For $M_{\rm th} = 2$GeV gives $A = 1.5$ and $T_H = 300$MeV with $\chi^2/\nu = 0.94$ and $T_{\rm max} = 185$MeV. Right: The single contribution of the deuteron $^3S_1$-channel to $N(M)$ as a function of the invariant NN mass.

for light quarks provided $M \lesssim 2.1$GeV. This RQM saturation will be assumed also to take place when heavy quarks are involved in which case the PDG lacks many of the needed states. This of course suggests to determine *directly* $N(M)$ from the $\mathcal{A}$ data, as illustrated in Fig. 1 (left panel).

3. Counting hadrons

The partition function and its comparison with hadronic states through the trace anomaly $\mathcal{A}$, displays explicitly the connection between spectrum and thermodynamics yielding the statistically significant identification of $N_{\rm QCD}$ with $N_{\rm HRG}$ using PDG or RQM where only $\bar{q}q$ and qqq states contribute. But, which hadronic states count into the calculation of the thermodynamic properties ?. As argued long ago [10], counting hadronic states implicitly averages over some scale, and so states such as the deuteron (made of $6q$) generate fluctuations in a smaller nuclear scale. The cumulative number in a given channel α with bound states $M_{n,\alpha}$ below the threshold $M_{\rm th}$ is in general (see e.g. [4])

$$N_\alpha(M) = \sum_n \theta(M - M_{n,\alpha}) + \frac{1}{\pi}\left[\delta_\alpha(M) - \delta_\alpha(M_{\rm th})\right] , \qquad (5)$$

which becomes $N(\infty) = n_B + [\delta(\infty) - \delta(M_{\rm th})]/\pi = 0$ due to Levinson's theorem. This fluctuation is shown in Fig. 1 for the NN channel where $M_{\rm th} = 2M_N$, and the deuteron mass is $M_d = 2M_N - B_d$ ($B_d = 2.2$MeV). Thus, the deuteron doesn't count. This is a general feature of weakly bound states, which for the copious new X,Y,Z states, might affect the thermodynamics if the HRG was blindly identified with the PDG (with *all* X,Y,Z's).

4. Quark potential, string breaking and avoided crossing

The linearly growing static energy of two $\bar{Q}$ and Q sources in the fundamental representation of the SU(N_c)-group placed at a distance r is often identified with confinement with a string tension $\sigma = (0.42\text{GeV})^2$. Including the short distance (perturbative) Coulomb-like behaviour yields the venerable Cornell potential for the ground state

$$V_{Q\bar{Q}}(r) \; = \; \sigma\, r - \frac{4\alpha_s}{3r} + \cdots \, , \tag{6}$$

Actually, the mass of a $\bar{Q}Q$ state, becomes unstable at a critical distance r_c when a light $\bar{q}q$ pair is created from the vacuum and the heavy-light meson-antimeson $\bar{B}B \equiv (\bar{Q}q)(Q\bar{q})$ channel opens

$$M_{\bar{Q}Q}(r_c) = V_{Q\bar{Q}}(r_c) + m_{\bar{Q}} + m_Q = M_{\bar{B}} + M_B \, , \tag{7}$$

where the weak van der Waals like meson exchange interaction in the $\bar{B}B$ sector is neglected [1]. We have a diabatic crossing structure which turns into an adiabatic avoided crossing when the transition strength $V_{\bar{Q}Q\to\bar{B}B}$ is included. In general, one has excited meson states, $V^{(n,m)}_{\bar{Q}q,\bar{q}Q}(r) = \Delta^{(n)}_{q\bar{Q}} + \Delta^{(m)}_{\bar{q}Q}$ where $\Delta^{(n)}_{\bar{q}Q} = M^{(n)}_B - m_Q$ and in Fig. 2 we show the resulting adiabatic spectrum based on the RQM [8] when a mixing strength of 50MeV is implemented.

5. Heavy $\bar{Q}Q$ free energy

Much of our understanding of the QCD dynamics at finite temperature is linked to the Helmholtz free energy defined as the maximum work the system can exchange at fixed temperature between two heavy $\bar{Q}Q$ at separation r. In the confined phase, the correlator between Polyakov loops becomes [3]

$$e^{-F_{\rm ave}(r,T)/T} = \langle \text{Tr}_F \Omega(\vec{r}) \text{Tr}_F \Omega(0)^\dagger \rangle = \sum_{n,m} e^{-V^{(n,m)}_{\bar{Q}Q}(r)/T} \, , \tag{8}$$

where, before mixing, one has the crossing among the energy levels up to $\bar{q}q$ pair creation. Neglecting the avoided crossing, Eq. (8) yields

$$e^{-F_{\rm ave}(r,T)/T} = e^{-V_{\bar{Q}Q}(r)/T} + \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_n e^{-\Delta_n/T} \right)^2 \, , \tag{9}$$

[1] A rough estimate of r_c proceeds as follows. Due to spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking the quarks acquire an additive *dynamical* mass M_0 contribution to the total mass $M_i = M_0 + m_i$ where m_i is the *current* mass. For light $\bar{q}q$-mesons $M_\rho = 2M_0 + 2m_q$ whereas for light-heavy ($\bar{q}Q$) mesons $M_B = 2M_0 + m_Q + m_{\bar{q}}$ and thus $V_{Q\bar{Q}}(r_c) = 4M_0 + 2m_q$ which yields $r_c \sim 4M_0/\sigma \sim 1.2 - 1.4$fm in fair agreement with the lattice estimate [11].




Fig. 2. Left:Potential as a function of distance for the model including heavy-light mesons with a charm quark [8], up to $\Delta = 1.8$ GeV. We include only a $g = 50\,\mathrm{MeV}$ mixing between the fundamental and the excited states. Right: Heavy $\bar{Q}Q$ potential as a function of distance extracted from the lattice data of Ref. [12] for temperatures $T/T_c = 0.76$, 0.81, 0.90 and 0.96 using Eq. (9). The dashed (red) line is the result for the $\bar{Q}Q$ Cornell potential of Eq. (6) with $\sigma = (0.4\,\mathrm{GeV})^2$.

where $\Delta_n = \Delta^{(n)}_{q\bar{Q}} = \Delta^{(n)}_{\bar{q}Q}$. We show in Fig. 3 the heavy $\bar{Q}Q$ free energy obtained with Eq. (9) by using the spectrum of heavy-light mesons with a charm (bottom) quark, and no strangeness, obtained with the Isgur model of Ref. [8] up to $\Delta = 3.19\,\mathrm{GeV}$. We have considered $\sigma = (0.4\,\mathrm{GeV})^2$ and $\alpha = \pi/16$ (no fit). In Fig. 3 we also show the Polyakov loop computed as

$$L(T) \equiv \lim_{r\to\infty} e^{-F_{\rm ave}(r,T)/(2T)} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_n e^{-\Delta_n/T}\,. \tag{10}$$

The agreement with lattice data is quite good for $T < 0.8T_c$. Thus the spectrum both for the PDG and the RQM saturates the sum rules at these temperatures [2] whereas the finite temperature does not resolve avoided crossings making them effectively diabatic passages.

6. Conclusions

While much of the effort has been directed towards a description of the hadron-to-quark-gluon crossover, at present there is no understanding on the mechanism, perhaps because confinement itself is not really well understood. Our analysis of the free energy suggests that lowest temperatures where

[2] By multiplying $L(T)$ by a factor $e^{C/T}$ with $C = -40$MeV, we get good agreement with the lowest temperature lattice point. This kind of ambiguity comes from renormalization effects. Like in [12] we assume $F_{\rm ave}(r,T) \sim V_{\bar{Q}Q}(r)$ for $rT \ll 1$. The recent evaluation of Ref. [13], unlike [12] is based on a different renormalization condition and $N_f = 2+1$ for the free energy and the role of avoiding crossing will be analyzed elsewhere.




Fig. 3. Left: Color averaged heavy $\bar{Q}Q$ free energy as a function of distance. We show as dots the lattice data for $N_f = 2$, $T = 0.76T_c$ taken from Ref. [12], and as continuous lines the result by using Eq. (9) with the spectrum of heavy-light mesons with a charm quark (red) and bottom quark (blue), with no strangeness, obtained with the Isgur model of Ref. [8], as well the spectrum obtained from the PDG (green). Right: Renormalized Polyakov loop as a function of temperature. We show as dots the lattice data for $T = 0.76T_c$ taken from Ref. [12], and as continuous lines the result by using Eq. (10).

purely hadronic states account for QCD observables is rather large $T \sim 170 - 180$MeV and close to the critical temperature.

REFERENCES

[1] E. Megias, E. Ruiz Arriola and L. Salcedo, Phys.Rev.Lett. 109 (2012) 151601.
[2] E. Megias, E. Ruiz Arriola and L. Salcedo, Phys.Rev. D89 (2014) 076006.
[3] E. Ruiz Arriola, L. Salcedo and E. Megias, Acta Phys.Polon. B45 (2014) 2407.
[4] R. Rajaraman, Mesons in nuclei 3 (1979).
[5] S. Borsanyi et al., Phys.Lett. B730 (2014) 99.
[6] HotQCD Collaboration, A. Bazavov et al., Phys. Rev. D90 (2014) 094503.
[7] Particle Data Group, K. Nakamura et al., J. Phys. G37 (2010) 075021.
[8] S. Godfrey and N. Isgur, Phys.Rev. D32 (1985) 189.
[9] S. Capstick and N. Isgur, Phys.Rev. D34 (1986) 2809.
[10] R.F. Dashen and G.L. Kane, Phys.Rev. D11 (1975) 136.
[11] SESAM Collaboration, G.S. Bali et al., Phys.Rev. D71 (2005) 114513.
[12] O. Kaczmarek and F. Zantow, Phys.Rev. D71 (2005) 114510.
[13] S. Borsanyi et al., JHEP 1504 (2015) 138.