

SEMI-LEPTONIC DECAY OF LAMBDA-B IN THE STANDARD MODEL AND WITH NEW PHYSICS

A Thesis

presented in partial fulfillment of requirements

for the degree of Master of Science

in the Department of Physics and Astronomy

The University of Mississippi

by

WANWEI WU

April 2015

ABSTRACT

Heavy quark decays provide a very advantageous investigation to test the Standard Model (SM). Recently, promising experiments with b quark, as well as the analysis of the huge data sets produced at the B factories, have led to an increasing study and sensitive measurements of relative b quark decays. In this thesis, I calculate various observables in the semi-leptonic decay process $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \tau \bar{\nu}_\tau$ both in the SM and in the presence of New Physics (NP) operators with different Lorentz structures. The results are relevant for the coming measurement of this semi-leptonic decay at LHC b experiment in CERN, and also provide theoretical predictions to refine the physics beyond the SM.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my advisor Dr. Alakabha Datta for his continuous support. Without his guidance, I could not have finished this thesis. His valuable advice and comments, as well as his patience and immense knowledge, helped me in all the time with my study and research. I would like to thank the rest of my thesis committees: Dr. Lucien Cremaldi and Dr. Luca Bombelli for their insightful comments and precious time.

Also, my sincere thanks go to Dr. Emanuele Berti, Dr. Donald Summers, Dr. Breese Quinn, Dr. Murugeswaran Duraisamy, Dr. Preet Sharma, and Shanmuka Shivashankara. In particular, I would like to thank Hongkai Liu for studying together and helpful discussions.

In addition, I would like to thank my parents. As simple and kind-hearted farmers, they try to understand and support me all the way.

This work was financially supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant No.NSF PHY-1414345.

CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	vii
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Standard Model	2
1.2 Weak Interactions	3
1.3 QCD	4
2 FORMALISM	5
2.1 Decay Process	5
2.2 Partial Decay Rate	6
2.3 Feynman Amplitude	6
2.3.1 SM	8
2.3.2 NP Effects	8
2.4 Observables	10
3 NUMERICAL RESULTS	11
3.1 NP Couplings	11
3.2 Form Factors	12

3.3 Result Graphs	13
4 CONCLUSION	18
BIBLIOGRAPHY	19
LIST OF APPENDICES	22
A NP OPERATORS EXPRESSED IN TERMS OF FORM FACTORS	23
B KINEMATICS	25
C $\bar{B} \rightarrow D^*$ FORM FACTORS	28
C.1 $\bar{B} \rightarrow D^* \tau^- \bar{\nu}_\mu$ Angular Distribution	29
C.2 $\bar{B} \rightarrow D^* \tau^- \bar{\nu}_\mu$ Form Factors	29
C.3 $\bar{B} \rightarrow D^*$ Kinematics	30
C.4 $\bar{B} \rightarrow D^*$ Amplitudes	32
D $\bar{B} \rightarrow D \tau \bar{\nu}_\tau$ FORM FACTORS	35
D.1 $\bar{B} \rightarrow D \tau \bar{\nu}_\tau$ Angular Distribution	36
D.2 $\bar{B} \rightarrow D \tau \bar{\nu}_\tau$ Amplitudes	36
D.3 $\bar{B} \rightarrow D \tau \bar{\nu}_\tau$ Form Factors	36
VITA	38

LIST OF TABLES

3.1	Various Choices of Form Factors ($t = q^2$)	13
3.2	Values of R_{Λ_b} in the SM	13
3.3	Minimum and Maximum Values for the Averaged R_{Λ_b}	17

LIST OF FIGURES

1.1	The SM of Elementary Particles (matter fermions in the first three generations, gauge bosons in the fourth column, and the Higgs boson in the fifth) .	3
2.1	Λ_b Decay Process in the SM and with NP(some new mediating particles) . .	6
3.1	$\bar{B} \rightarrow D^{(*)}\tau^-\bar{\nu}_\tau$ Decay Process	11
3.2	The figures show the constraints on the NP couplings taken one at a time at the 95% CL limit. When the couplings contribute to both $R(D)$ and $R(D^*)$ the green areas indicate constraints from $R(D)$ and the orange ones from $R(D^*)$	12
3.3	The graphs on the left-side (right-side) show the compared results between the standard model and new physics with only g_L (g_R) present. The top and bottom row of graphs depict $R_{\Lambda_b} = BR[\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \tau \bar{\nu}_\tau] / BR[\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \ell \bar{\nu}_\ell]$ and the ratio of differential distributions $B_{\Lambda_b}(q^2) = \frac{d\Gamma}{dq^2}(\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \tau \bar{\nu}_\tau) / \frac{d\Gamma}{dq^2}(\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \ell \bar{\nu}_\ell)$ as a function of q^2 , respectively for the various form factors in Table 3.1. The middle graphs depict the average differential decay rate with respect to q^2 for the process $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \tau \bar{\nu}_\tau$. Some representative values of the couplings have been chosen.	14

- 3.4 The figures show the compared results between the standard model and new physics with only g_S present. The top and bottom row of graphs depict $R_{\Lambda_b} = BR[\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \tau \bar{\nu}_\tau] / BR[\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \ell \bar{\nu}_\ell]$ and the ratio of differential distributions $B_{\Lambda_b}(q^2) = \frac{d\Gamma}{dq^2}(\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \tau \bar{\nu}_\tau) / \frac{d\Gamma}{dq^2}(\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \ell \bar{\nu}_\ell)$ as a function of q^2 , respectively for the various form factors in Table 3.1. The middle graphs depict the average differential decay rate with respect to q^2 for the process $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \tau \bar{\nu}_\tau$. Some representative values of the couplings have been chosen. 15
- 3.5 The figures show the compared results between the standard model and new physics with only g_P present. The top and bottom row of graphs depict $R_{\Lambda_b} = BR[\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \tau \bar{\nu}_\tau] / BR[\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \ell \bar{\nu}_\ell]$ and the ratio of differential distributions $B_{\Lambda_b}(q^2) = \frac{d\Gamma}{dq^2}(\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \tau \bar{\nu}_\tau) / \frac{d\Gamma}{dq^2}(\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \ell \bar{\nu}_\ell)$ as a function of q^2 , respectively for the various form factors in Table 3.1. The middle graphs depict the average differential decay rate with respect to q^2 for the process $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \tau \bar{\nu}_\tau$. Some representative values of the couplings have been chosen. 16

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

For the past several decades, the Standard Model (SM) has been the most successful theory concerning the fundamental particles and most of their interactions, namely the electromagnetic, weak and strong forces. It has not only successfully explained almost all the elementary particle experiment results so far, but precisely predicted a very wide variety of phenomena, leading us to a better understanding of the fundamental structure of matter. Specially, the discovery of the Higgs boson [1, 2], which is a scalar particle, makes the SM a remarkably successful description of the subatomic world.

However, there are some things the SM still cannot explain, i.e., the mass of neutrino, the dark matter and the dark energy, and even the most familiar force in our everyday life—gravity. Therefore, finding physics beyond the SM becomes a major part of particle physics. In this explorative process of New Physics (NP), both the third generation charged leptons and the third generation quarks play important roles since they are comparatively heavier and also relatively more sensitive to NP. In addition, the constraints on NP involving the third generation leptons (τ and ν_τ) and quarks (b and t) are somewhat weaker, leading to possible larger NP effects.

Heavy quark decays provide a very advantageous investigation to test the SM. Recently, the BaBar Collaboration has reported their measurements of the ratio of the branching fractions of $\bar{B} \rightarrow D^{(*)}\tau^-\bar{\nu}_\tau$ to $\bar{B} \rightarrow D^{(*)}\ell^-\bar{\nu}_\ell$ [3, 4]:

$$\begin{aligned} R(D) &\equiv \frac{\mathcal{B}(\bar{B} \rightarrow D^+\tau^-\bar{\nu}_\tau)}{\mathcal{B}(\bar{B} \rightarrow D^+\ell^-\bar{\nu}_\ell)} = 0.440 \pm 0.058 \pm 0.042, \\ R(D^*) &\equiv \frac{\mathcal{B}(\bar{B} \rightarrow D^{*+}\tau^-\bar{\nu}_\tau)}{\mathcal{B}(\bar{B} \rightarrow D^{*+}\ell^-\bar{\nu}_\ell)} = 0.332 \pm 0.024 \pm 0.018, \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\ell = e, \mu$. However, the SM predictions for $R(D)$ and $R(D^*)$ are [3, 5, 6]

$$\begin{aligned} R(D) &= 0.297 \pm 0.017, \\ R(D^*) &= 0.252 \pm 0.003, \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

which deviate from the BaBar measurements by 2σ and 2.7σ , respectively. (The BaBar Collaboration itself reported a 3.4σ deviation from SM when the two measurements of Eq. (1.1) are taken together.) These non-universality deviations could be providing a hint of NP [7, 8, 9, 10]. Another possible test of such a non-universality can be in the semi-leptonic $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \tau \bar{\nu}_\tau$ decay, which has not been measured experimentally though it might be measured at LHC b experiment in CERN soon. In both \bar{B} meson and Λ_b baryon decays, the

underlying quark level transition $b \rightarrow c\tau^-\bar{\nu}_\tau$ can be probed, as both \bar{B} meson and Λ_b baryon contain a b quark which will decay here.

In this thesis, I calculate various observables in the semi-leptonic decay process $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c\tau\bar{\nu}_\tau$ both in the SM and in the presence of NP operators with different Lorentz structures by using constraints on the NP couplings obtained by using Eq. (1.1). Since the calculations involve the structures of both \bar{B} meson and Λ_b baryon, the Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) for the strong interactions between quarks and gluons (specially, the form factors), will be briefly introduced as well as the SM and the weak interactions.

1.1 Standard Model

The Standard Model of particle physics, formulated in the 1970s, is a theory of fundamental particles and their interactions. It is based on the quantum theory of fields and provides the most accurate description of nature at the subatomic level so far. According to this model, all matter is built from a small number of fundamental spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particles, called *fermions*: six *quarks* and six *leptons*, which follow the Fermi-Dirac statistics; while the carriers of the interactions are characterized as *bosons*, which possess integer spin (either 0 or 1) and follow the Bose-Einstein statistics. There are seventeen named particles in the SM, which are organized in Fig. 1.1. The Higgs boson, as the last particle in the SM, was discovered in 2012 [1, 2].

There are four known fundamental interactions in the universe: the gravitational, the electromagnetic, the weak and the strong interactions. They work over different ranges and have different strengths. Gravity, acting between all types of particle, is the weakest but it has an infinite range. It is supposedly mediated by exchange of a spin-2 boson, the *graviton*, which has not been observed. Even though it is universal and is dominant on the scale of the universe, gravity is not included in the SM because it is much weaker than the other forces and can be neglected at the level of individual subatomic particles. The electromagnetic interaction acts between all charged particles and is mediated by *photon* (γ) exchange. It also has infinite range but it is many times stronger than gravity. The weak and strong interactions are effective only over a very short range and dominate only at the level of subatomic particles. The weak interaction is associated with the exchange of elementary spin-1 bosons between quarks and/or leptons. These mediators are W^\pm and Z^0 bosons, with masses of order 100 times the proton mass. The strong interaction, as its name suggests, is the strongest of all four fundamental interactions. It is responsible for binding the quarks in the neutron and proton, and the neutrons and protons within nuclei. The strong force is mediated by spin-1, massless particles known as *gluons*, which couple to color charge, rather like the photons couple to electromagnetic charge.

Fermions are fundamental matter particles in the SM. These twelve particles (six *leptons* and six *quarks*) can be grouped into three generations. The lightest and most stable particles make up the first generation, whereas the heavier and less stable particles belong to the second and third generations. The *leptons* carry integral electric charge. The charged *leptons* are the electron, muon and tau, while the neutral leptons are the corresponding neutrinos. A different “flavour” of neutrino is paired with each “flavour” of charged lepton, as indicated by the subscript, i.e., (e, ν_e) , (μ, ν_μ) and (τ, ν_τ) . The charged muon and tau




Figure 1.1: The SM of Elementary Particles (matter fermions in the first three generations, gauge bosons in the fourth column, and the Higgs boson in the fifth)

are both unstable and decay spontaneously to electrons, neutrinos and other particles. The mean lifetime of the muon is 2.2×10^{-6} s, that of the tau only 2.9×10^{-13} s. Neutrinos were postulated by Pauli in 1930 in order to account for the energy and momentum missing in the process of nuclear β -decay. They experience the weak interactions only. The *quarks* carry fractional electric charges, of $+\frac{2}{3}e$ or $-\frac{1}{3}e$. The quark “flavour” is denoted by a symbol: u for ‘up’, d for ‘down’, s for ‘strange’, c for ‘charmed’, b for ‘bottom’ and t for ‘top’. While leptons exist as free particles, quarks are not found to do so. The bound states of quarks are called *hadrons*, which can be categorized into two families: baryons (made of three quarks) and mesons (made of one quark and one anti-quark). Each quark carries one of the three colors(or color charges): r , g and b . Quarks are bound together by *gluons*, which are also colored. Fig.1.1 shows that the three lepton pairs are exactly matched by the three quark pairs.

1.2 Weak Interactions

The weak interaction is mediated by three massive bosons, the charged W^\pm and the neutral Z^0 . The W^+ and W^- are anti-particles of each other, while the Z^0 , like the photon, is its own anti-particle. Depending on whether leptons and/or hadrons are involved, the weak interaction can be conventionally divided into three categories: (i) purely leptonic processes, e.g., $\mu^- \rightarrow e^- + \bar{\nu}_e + \nu_\mu$, (ii) semi-leptonic processes involving both hadrons and leptons, e.g., neutron β -decay $n \rightarrow p + e^- + \bar{\nu}_e$, and (iii) purely hadronic processes, e.g., $\Lambda \rightarrow p + \pi^-$. Perturbation theory is valid for weak and electromagnetic interactions. In

the 1960s, a theory of electroweak interactions was developed by Sheldon Glashow, Abdus Salam and Steven Weinberg that can unify the electromagnetic and weak interactions.

So far, the experimental data on a wide range of leptonic and semi-leptonic processes are consistent with the assumption that the lepton fields enter the interaction only in the combinations

$$\begin{aligned} J_\alpha(x) &= \sum_l \bar{\psi}_l(x) \gamma_\alpha (1 - \gamma_5) \psi_l(x), \\ J_\alpha^\dagger(x) &= \sum_l \bar{\psi}_{\nu_l}(x) \gamma_\alpha (1 - \gamma_5) \psi_l(x), \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

where $J_\alpha(x)$ and $J_\alpha^\dagger(x)$ are called leptonic currents, $l = e, \mu, \tau$, ψ_l and ψ_{ν_l} are the corresponding quantized fields in Eq. (1.3). We can describe the weak interaction as due to the transmission of quanta, i.e., W^\pm . For example, the interaction Hamiltonian density of quantum electrodynamics (QED), according to the intermediate vector boson (IVB) theory can be given by

$$\mathcal{H}_I(x) = g_W J^{\alpha\dagger}(x) W_\alpha(x) + g_W J^\alpha(x) W_\alpha^\dagger(x), \quad (1.4)$$

where g_W is a dimensionless coupling constant and the field $W_\alpha(x)$ describes the W bosons in Eq. (1.4). This interaction is known as a “V-A” interaction, since the current $J^\alpha(x)$ can be written as the difference of a vector part (γ^μ) and an axial vector part ($\gamma^\mu \gamma^5$).

1.3 QCD

Quantum chromodynamics (QCD) is the standard theory to describe the strong interactions, in which the color quantum number has been introduced as an extra degree of freedom. The color charge of a quark has three possible values, r , g and b , while anti-quarks carry anti-colors, \bar{r} , \bar{g} and \bar{b} . The mediating bosons of the quark-quark interactions are called *gluons*, each carrying a color and an anti-color and postulated to belong to an octet of states.

Quarks and gluons are observed indirectly, which means that the evidence of their existence inside hadrons exists but these particles have not been observed singly. Experiments to study the strong interactions are performed with hadrons, not with the quarks and gluons that are described by quantum field theory (QFT). To explore or determine the quark and gluon structure of hadrons, structure functions are introduced to give the properties of a certain particle interaction without including all of the underlying physics. The experimental technique is to measure the angular distribution of some processes and compare it to that from a point particle, then the structure of the hadron can be deduced from some form factors (functions of the transferred momentum square). As an example, a charge distribution with electrons can be probed by measuring the cross section for scattering electrons:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{point} |F(q)|^2, \quad (1.5)$$

where q is the transferred momentum and $F(q)$ is the corresponding form factor.

CHAPTER 2

FORMALISM

The physics of the decay process $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \tau \bar{\nu}_\tau$ can be described by an effective Hamiltonian. In the presence of NP, the effective Hamiltonian for the quark-level transition $b \rightarrow cl^- \bar{\nu}_l$ can be written in the form [11, 12]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{eff} = & \frac{G_F V_{cb}}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \left[\bar{c} \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) b + g_L \bar{c} \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) b + g_R \bar{c} \gamma_\mu (1 + \gamma_5) b \right] \bar{l} \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma_5) \nu_l \right. \\ & \left. + \left[g_S \bar{c} b + g_P \bar{c} \gamma_5 b \right] \bar{l} (1 - \gamma_5) \nu_l + h.c \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where $G_F = 1.1663787 \times 10^{-5} GeV^{-2}$ is the Fermi coupling constant, V_{cb} is the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix element, $g_{L,R,S,P}$ are NP couplings and I use $\sigma_{\mu\nu} = i[\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu]/2$. In this thesis, I have assumed the neutrinos to be always left chiral and the NP effect is mainly for the τ lepton. Here, I do not consider tensor operators in my work. Moreover, I do not assume any relation between $b \rightarrow ul^- \nu_l$ and $b \rightarrow cl^- \bar{\nu}_l$ transitions and hence the analysis does not include constraints from $B \rightarrow \tau \nu_\tau$. As it is expected, the SM effective Hamiltonian corresponds to $g_L = g_R = g_S = g_P = 0$.

In Refs. [9, 10], the authors had parametrized the NP in terms of the couplings g_S , g_P , $g_V = g_R + g_L$ and $g_A = g_R - g_L$ while in this thesis I have used g_L and g_R instead of g_V and g_A to align the analysis closer to realistic models [13]. The couplings $g_{L,R,P}$ contribute to $R(D^*)$ while $g_{L,R,S}$ contribute to $R(D)$. The NP couplings are considered one at a time and the constraints on these couplings are obtained from $R(D^{(*)})$.

2.1 Decay Process

Λ_b is a baryon of three quarks: u , d and b , while Λ_c is a baryon with u , d and c quarks. The semi-leptonic decay process under consideration is

$$\Lambda_b(p) \rightarrow \tau^-(p_1) + \bar{\nu}_\tau(p_2) + \Lambda_c(p_3),$$

where p , p_1 , p_2 and p_3 are four energy-momentum vectors respectively. Technically, the decay process $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \tau \bar{\nu}_\tau$ transits the b -quark to the c -quark, as is shown in Fig. 2.1. In the SM, the mediating boson is W^- , which will subsequently decay into a τ lepton and τ anti-neutrino. With NP, instead of W^- , the mediator can be a new particle, i.e., another new vector particle W'^- or a scalar (or Higgs) particle H^- . I will consider these NP effects and compare the results with those from the SM.




Figure 2.1: Λ_b Decay Process in the SM and with NP(some new mediating particles)

2.2 Partial Decay Rate

The partial decay rate of a particle of mass m into n bodies in its rest frame is given by

$$d\Gamma = \frac{(2\pi)^4}{2m} |M|^2 d\Phi_n(p; p_1, \dots, p_n), \quad (2.2)$$

where M is the Feynman amplitude and $d\Phi_n$ is an element of n -body phase space given by

$$d\Phi_n(p; p_1, \dots, p_n) = \delta^4(p - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i) \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{d^3 p_i}{(2\pi)^3 2E_i}. \quad (2.3)$$

This phase space element can be generated recursively

$$d\Phi_n(p; p_1, \dots, p_n) = d\Phi_j(q; p_1, \dots, p_j) \times d\Phi_{n-j+1}(p; q, p_{j+1}, \dots, p_n) (2\pi)^3 dq^2, \quad (2.4)$$

where $q^2 = (\sum_{i=1}^j E_i)^2 - |\sum_{i=1}^j p_i|^2$. This form is particularly useful in the case where a particle decays into another particle that subsequently decays, e.g., $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c W^- \rightarrow \Lambda_c \tau^- \bar{\nu}_\tau$. A useful method to achieve the integration of $d\Gamma$ is given in Appendix B.

2.3 Feynman Amplitude

The Feynman amplitude M includes all the physical processes. In fact, the key point to calculate the decay rate is to evaluate the $|M|^2$ appearing in Eq. (2.2).

The total Feynman amplitude here is

$$M_{total} = M_{SM} + M_{gL} + M_{gR} + M_{gS} + M_{gP}, \quad (2.5)$$

where $M_{g_{L,R,S,P}}$ are corresponding to the NP couplings $g_{L,R,S,P}$.

In the SM, the Feynman amplitude for this process is given by

$$M_{SM} = \frac{G_F V_{cb}}{\sqrt{2}} L^\mu H_\mu, \quad (2.6)$$

where the leptonic and hadronic currents are

$$\begin{aligned} L^\mu &= \bar{u}_\tau(p_1) \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma_5) v_{\nu_\tau}(p_2), \\ H_\mu &= \langle \Lambda_c | \bar{c} \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) | \Lambda_b \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

The hadronic current is expressed in terms of six form factors,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Lambda_c | \bar{c} \gamma_\mu b | \Lambda_b \rangle &= \bar{u}_{\Lambda_c} (f_1 \gamma_\mu + i f_2 \sigma_{\mu\nu} q^\nu + f_3 q_\mu) u_{\Lambda_b}, \\ \langle \Lambda_c | \bar{c} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 b | \Lambda_b \rangle &= \bar{u}_{\Lambda_c} (g_1 \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 + i g_2 \sigma_{\mu\nu} q^\nu \gamma_5 + g_3 q_\mu \gamma_5) u_{\Lambda_b}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Here $q = p - p_3$ is the transferred momentum and the form factors are functions of q^2 .

When NP operators appear, we can obtain the hadronic current by considering the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} q^\mu \langle \Lambda_c | \bar{c} \gamma_\mu b | \Lambda_b \rangle &= q^\mu \bar{u}_{\Lambda_c} (f_1 \gamma_\mu + i f_2 \sigma_{\mu\nu} q^\nu + f_3 q_\mu) u_{\Lambda_b}, \\ q^\mu \langle \Lambda_c | \bar{c} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 b | \Lambda_b \rangle &= q^\mu \bar{u}_{\Lambda_c} (g_1 \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 + i g_2 \sigma_{\mu\nu} q^\nu \gamma_5 + g_3 q_\mu \gamma_5) u_{\Lambda_b}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Using the equations of motion, we'll finally get (the details are shown in Appendix A)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Lambda_c | \bar{c} b | \Lambda_b \rangle &= \bar{u}_{\Lambda_c} (f_1 \frac{\not{q}}{m_b - m_c} + f_3 \frac{q^2}{m_b - m_c}) u_{\Lambda_b}, \\ \langle \Lambda_c | \bar{c} \gamma_5 b | \Lambda_b \rangle &= \bar{u}_{\Lambda_c} (-g_1 \frac{\not{q} \gamma_5}{m_b + m_c} - g_3 \frac{q^2 \gamma_5}{m_b + m_c}) u_{\Lambda_b}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

where m_b and m_c are the masses of the b quark and c quark.

To obtain the corresponding unpolarized decay rate, we need to average $|M|^2$ over all initial polarization states and sum it over all final polarization states,

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{M}|^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{spin} |M|^2 \\ &= \frac{G_f^2 |V_{cb}|^2}{4} L^{\mu\nu} H_{\mu\nu}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

where $L^{\mu\nu}$ stands for the leptonic part and $H_{\mu\nu}$ stands for the hadronic part ($L^{\mu\nu}$ and $H_{\mu\nu}$ are tensors in the SM and with a vector NP effect). In the following part of this section, I will give the details of how to obtain $|\bar{M}|^2$ both in the SM and with NP effects. However, from Eq. (2.5) we know that there should be some crossing terms between the SM and NP effects. We are not going to consider the crossing term between two different NP effects since we just consider one NP coupling at a time. To get the final result form of Eq. (2.11), we have to consider the kinematics of the decay process. The kinematics here is considered in the rest frame of Λ_b , and details are given in Appendix B.

2.3.1 SM

In the SM, the leptonic tensor in Eq. (2.11) is

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{spin} L_{SM}^{\mu\nu} &= \sum_{spin} [\bar{u}_\tau(p_1)\gamma^\mu(1-\gamma_5)v_{\nu_\tau}(p_2)][\bar{v}_{\nu_\tau}(p_2)\gamma^\nu(1-\gamma_5)u_\tau(p_1)] \\
&= Tr[(\not{p}_1 + m_1)\gamma^\mu(1-\gamma_5)(\not{p}_2 - m_2)\gamma^\nu(1-\gamma_5)] \\
&= 8(-g^{\mu\nu}p_1 \cdot p_2 - i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}p_{1\rho}p_{2\sigma} + p_1^\mu p_2^\nu + p_1^\nu p_2^\mu).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Here, m_1 is the mass of τ lepton and m_2 is the mass of tau neutrino. I already treat the neutrino as massless, $m_2 \rightarrow 0$. The hadronic tensor in Eq. (2.11) in the SM is

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{spin} H_{SM\mu\nu} &= \sum_{spin} H_{SM\mu} H_{SM\nu}^* \\
&= \sum_{spin} (A - B)(A^* - B^*) \\
&= \sum_{spin} (AA^* - AB^* - BA^* + BB^*),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= \bar{u}_{\lambda_c}(f_1\gamma_\mu + if_2\sigma_{\mu\nu}q^\nu + f_3q_\mu)u_{\lambda_b}, \\
B &= \bar{u}_{\lambda_c}(g_1\gamma_\mu\gamma_5 + ig_2\sigma_{\mu\nu}q^\nu\gamma_5 + g_3q_\mu\gamma_5)u_{\lambda_b}, \\
A^* &= \bar{u}_{\lambda_b}(f_1\gamma_\nu - if_2\sigma_{\nu\delta}q^\delta + f_3q_\nu)u_{\lambda_c}, \\
B^* &= \bar{u}_{\lambda_b}(g_1\gamma_\nu\gamma_5 + ig_2\sigma_{\nu\delta}q^\delta\gamma_5 - g_3q_\nu\gamma_5)u_{\lambda_c}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

2.3.2 NP Effects

Let's consider the NP effects for one NP coupling at a time and set the others to zero. For the vector NP effects, we consider the case with only g_L present and the case with only g_R present. For the scalar/pseudoscalar NP effects, we consider the case with only g_S or g_P present.

For the vector NP effect with only g_L present, the NP coupling will appear in the hadronic current part in the Feynman amplitude and the leptonic current part is the same as that in the SM. Therefore, the leptonic tensor $L_{g_L}^{\mu\nu} = L_{SM}^{\mu\nu}$ has the form in Eq. (2.12). The hadronic tensor with only g_L present is

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{SM+g_L\mu\nu} &= \sum_{spin} H_{SM+g_L\mu} H_{SM+g_L\nu}^* \\
&= \sum_{spin} (H_{SM\mu} H_{SM\nu}^* + H_{SM\mu} H_{g_L\nu}^* + H_{g_L\mu} H_{SM\nu}^* + H_{g_L\mu} H_{g_L\nu}^*) \\
&= \sum_{spin} (1 + g_L^* + g_L + |g_L|^2)(A - B)(A^* - B^*).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

With the g_R present, we will have $L_{g_R}^{\mu\nu} = L_{SM}^{\mu\nu}$ for the same reason as with g_L . The corre-

sponding hadronic tensor is

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{SM+g_R\mu\nu} &= \sum_{spin} H_{SM+g_R\mu} H_{SM+g_R\nu}^* \\
&= \sum_{spin} (H_{SM\mu} H_{SM\nu}^* + H_{SM\mu} H_{g_R\nu}^* + H_{g_R\mu} H_{SM\nu}^* + H_{g_R\mu} H_{g_R\nu}^*) \\
&= \sum_{spin} [(A-B)(A^*-B^*) + g_R^*(A-B)(A^*+B^*) \\
&\quad + g_R(A+B)(A^*-B^*) + |g_R|^2(A+B)(A^*+B^*)].
\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

The A , B , A^* and B^* appearing in Eq. (2.15) and Eq. (2.16) are given in Eq. (2.14).

For the scalar and pseudoscalar NP effects, the Feynman amplitudes are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{g_S} &= g_S \frac{G_F V_{cb}}{\sqrt{2}} L_S C, \\
M_{g_P} &= g_P \frac{G_F V_{cb}}{\sqrt{2}} L_S D,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

where L_S is the leptonic current, C and D are the corresponding hadronic currents. Then the $|\bar{M}|^2$ in Eq. (2.11) with NP effect with only g_S present becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
|\bar{M}|_{SM+g_S}^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{spin} (|M_{SM}|^2 + M_{SM} M_{g_S}^* + M_{g_S} M_{SM}^* + |M_{g_S}|^2) \\
&= \frac{G_f^2 |V_{cb}|^2}{4} \sum_{spin} [LL^*(A-B)(A^*-B^*) + g_S^* LL_S^*(A-B)C^* \\
&\quad + g_S L_S L^* C(A^*-B^*) + |g_S|^2 L_S L_S^* C C^*],
\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

and the $|\bar{M}|^2$ with only g_P present becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
|\bar{M}|_{SM+g_P}^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{spin} (|M_{SM}|^2 + M_{SM} M_{g_P}^* + M_{g_P} M_{SM}^* + |M_{g_P}|^2) \\
&= \frac{G_f^2 |V_{cb}|^2}{4} \sum_{spin} [LL^*(A-B)(A^*-B^*) + g_P^* LL_S^*(A-B)D^* \\
&\quad + g_P L_S L^* D(A^*-B^*) + |g_P|^2 L_S L_S^* D D^*].
\end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

Here, L is the leptonic current in Eq. (2.7) and L^* is its conjugate part. In addition,

$$\begin{aligned}
L_S &= \bar{u}_\tau(p_1)(1-\gamma_5)v_{\nu_\tau}(p_2), \\
C &= \bar{u}_{\lambda_c}(f_1 \frac{\not{q}}{m_b - m_c} + f_3 \frac{q^2}{m_b - m_c})u_{\lambda_b}, \\
D &= \bar{u}_{\lambda_c}(-g_1 \frac{\not{q}\gamma_5}{m_b + m_c} - g_3 \frac{q^2\gamma_5}{m_b + m_c})u_{\lambda_b},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

and their corresponding conjugate parts are

$$\begin{aligned}
L_S^* &= \bar{v}_{\nu_\tau}(p_2)(1 + \gamma_5)u_\tau(p_1), \\
C^* &= \bar{u}_{\lambda_b}(f_1 \frac{\not{q}}{m_b - m_c} + f_3 \frac{q^2}{m_b - m_c})u_{\lambda_c}, \\
D^* &= \bar{u}_{\lambda_b}(-g_1 \frac{\not{q}\gamma_5}{m_b + m_c} + g_3 \frac{q^2\gamma_5}{m_b + m_c})u_{\lambda_c}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

The cross terms between two different NP couplings are zero since we consider one NP coupling at a time, as Eq. (2.5) indicates.

2.4 Observables

The calculation is based on integration of Eq. (2.2), which gives us the decay rate of the process $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \tau \bar{\nu}_\tau$ directly. In this thesis, we'll define the following observables.

$$R_{\Lambda_b} = \frac{BR[\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \tau \bar{\nu}_\tau]}{BR[\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \ell \bar{\nu}_\ell]}. \tag{2.22}$$

Here ℓ represents μ or e . The branching ratio BR for a specific decay process is defined by

$$BR_i = \frac{\Gamma_i}{\sum \Gamma_i}, \tag{2.23}$$

where Γ_i is the decay rate for this process and $\sum \Gamma_i$ is the total decay rate.

The differential distributions with respect to the transferred momentum square q^2 will be shown in the results ($d\Gamma/dq^2$). Also, we will define the ratio of differential distributions

$$B_{\Lambda_b}(q^2) = \frac{d\Gamma[\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \tau \bar{\nu}_\tau]}{dq^2} / \frac{d\Gamma[\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \ell \bar{\nu}_\ell]}{dq^2}. \tag{2.24}$$

The results will show that these observables are not very sensitive to variations in the hadronic form factors.

CHAPTER 3

NUMERICAL RESULTS

In this section, I will present the constraints on the NP couplings, then I will discuss and show the form factors used in this work. Finally, I will present the result graphs of the observables defined in section 2.4.

3.1 NP Couplings

The NP constraints on the NP couplings are obtained from $R(D^{(*)})$ in Eq. (1.1) and Eq. (1.2). The relative decay processes are

$$\bar{B} \rightarrow D\tau^-\bar{\nu}_\tau, \text{ and } \bar{B} \rightarrow D^*\tau^-\bar{\nu}_\tau.$$

The two main reasons we use the NP constraints from the decay process $\bar{B} \rightarrow D^{(*)}\tau^-\bar{\nu}_\tau$ here are: the experimental results of $R(D^{(*)})$ from the BaBar Collaboration deviating from those in SM provide a hint of NP [3, 4], and both Λ_b baryon decay and \bar{B} meson decay involve the transition $b \rightarrow c l^- \bar{\nu}_l$, as shown in Fig. 2.1 and in Fig. 3.1. The formalism to constrain the NP couplings here is

$$R_{exp} = R_{SM} \left(1 + g_{NP} \frac{M_{NP} M_{SM}^*}{|M_{SM}|^2} + g_{NP}^* \frac{M_{SM} M_{NP}^*}{|M_{SM}|^2} + |g_{NP}|^2 \frac{|M_{NP}|^2}{|M_{SM}|^2} \right), \quad (3.1)$$

where g_{NP} stands for $g_{L,R,S,P}$.




Figure 3.1: $\bar{B} \rightarrow D^{(*)}\tau^-\bar{\nu}_\tau$ Decay Process




Figure 3.2: The figures show the constraints on the NP couplings taken one at a time at the 95% CL limit. When the couplings contribute to both $R(D)$ and $R(D^*)$ the green areas indicate constraints from $R(D)$ and the orange ones from $R(D^*)$.

The NP coupling g_S only contributes to $R(D)$ and g_P only contributes to $R(D^*)$ while $g_{L,R}$ contributes to both $R(D)$ and $R(D^*)$. These couplings can be derived from Eq.(3.1) and their allowed regions are shown in Fig. 3.2. To get them, we need the form factors of $\bar{B} \rightarrow D^{(*)}$ decay, given in the Appendix C and D.

3.2 Form Factors

One of the main inputs in our calculations are the form factors. As first principle, lattice calculations of the form factors are not yet available. The form factors we use here are from QCD sum rules, which is a well known approach to compute non-perturbative effects like form factors for systems with both light and heavy quarks [14, 15]. Another approach to investigate the heavy quark systems involving quark flavour and spin symmetry, called Heavy Quark Effective Theory (HQET) [16]. The form factors we choose are consistent with HQET.

There are different values of the relative parameters for the kinematic region ascribed to the continuum model. This gives us some choices of the form factors. In Ref. [15] various

parametrizations of the form factors are given. They are shown below.

<i>continuum model</i>	κ	$F_1^V(t) = f_1$	$F_2^V(t)(GeV^{-1}) = f_2$
<i>rectangular</i>	1	$6.66/(20.27 - t)$	$-0.21/(15.15 - t)$
<i>rectangular</i>	2	$8.13/(22.50 - t)$	$-0.22/(13.63 - t)$
<i>triangular</i>	3	$13.74/(26.68 - t)$	$-0.41/(18.65 - t)$
<i>triangular</i>	4	$16.17/(29.12 - t)$	$-0.45/(19.04 - t)$

Table 3.1: Various Choices of Form Factors ($t = q^2$)

The form factors in Table 3.1 are based on four continuum models indicated by $\kappa = 1, 2, 3, 4$. However, the differences of the results from these models are very small, which can be shown in the result graphs. Moreover, these form factors in Table 3.1 satisfy the HQET relation in the $m_b \rightarrow \infty$ limit. They have the following relations:

$$f_1 = g_1, \quad f_2 = g_2, \quad f_3 = g_3 = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

3.3 Result Graphs

I have used the following masses in my calculations. The masses of the particles are $m = 5.6195$ GeV, $m_\tau = 1.77682$ GeV, $m_\mu = 0.10565837$ GeV, $m_3 = 2.28646$ GeV, $m_b = 4.66$ GeV and $m_c = 1.275$ GeV [17]. In the following I will present the results for observables R_{Λ_b} , $d\Gamma/dq^2$ and $B_{\Lambda_b}(q^2)$. For the first and third observables I use different models of the form factors given in Table 3.1. For the differential distribution $d\Gamma/dq^2$, I present the average results over the form factors.

continuum model	1	2	3	4	Average	Ref. [19]	Ref. [20]
$R_{\Lambda_b}(SM)$	0.31	0.29	0.28	0.27	0.29	0.29	0.31

Table 3.2: Values of R_{Λ_b} in the SM

In Table 3.2, the prediction for R_{Λ_b} in the SM are given for the various choices of the form factors in Table 3.1. I also compare our results with other calculations of this quantity by other groups using different form factors. The average value we found for R_{Λ_b} in the SM is $R_{\Lambda_b,SM} = 0.29$. This agrees very well with values for this quantity obtained in Ref. [19], which uses a covariant confined quark model for the form factors, and Ref. [20] which uses the form factor model in Ref. [21]. These results indicate that the ratio R_{Λ_b} is largely free from form factor uncertainties making it an excellent probe to find new physics.

Now I give the discussions of the results. From Eq. (2.5), we can make some general observations. We start with the case where only g_L is present. In this case the NP Feynman amplitude has the same structure as the SM one and the total Feynman amplitude is just the SM amplitude modified by the factor $(1 + g_L)$. Hence, if only g_L is present, then

$$R_{\Lambda_b} = R_{\Lambda_b,SM} |1 + g_L|^2. \quad (3.3)$$

Therefore, in this case, $R_{\Lambda_b} \geq R_{\Lambda_b,SM}$ and we find the range of R_{Λ_b} to be $0.31 \sim 0.44$. The




Figure 3.3: The graphs on the left-side (right-side) show the compared results between the standard model and new physics with only g_L (g_R) present. The top and bottom row of graphs depict $R_{\Lambda_b} = BR[\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \tau \bar{\nu}_\tau] / BR[\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \ell \bar{\nu}_\ell]$ and the ratio of differential distributions $B_{\Lambda_b}(q^2) = \frac{d\Gamma}{dq^2}(\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \tau \bar{\nu}_\tau) / \frac{d\Gamma}{dq^2}(\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \ell \bar{\nu}_\ell)$ as a function of q^2 , respectively for the various form factors in Table 3.1. The middle graphs depict the average differential decay rate with respect to q^2 for the process $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \tau \bar{\nu}_\tau$. Some representative values of the couplings have been chosen.




Figure 3.4: The figures show the compared results between the standard model and new physics with only g_S present. The top and bottom row of graphs depict $R_{\Lambda_b} = BR[\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \tau \bar{\nu}_\tau] / BR[\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \ell \bar{\nu}_\ell]$ and the ratio of differential distributions $B_{\Lambda_b}(q^2) = \frac{d\Gamma}{dq^2}(\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \tau \bar{\nu}_\tau) / \frac{d\Gamma}{dq^2}(\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \ell \bar{\nu}_\ell)$ as a function of q^2 , respectively for the various form factors in Table 3.1. The middle graphs depict the average differential decay rate with respect to q^2 for the process $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \tau \bar{\nu}_\tau$. Some representative values of the couplings have been chosen.




Figure 3.5: The figures show the compared results between the standard model and new physics with only g_P present. The top and bottom row of graphs depict $R_{\Lambda_b} = BR[\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \tau \bar{\nu}_\tau] / BR[\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \ell \bar{\nu}_\ell]$ and the ratio of differential distributions $B_{\Lambda_b}(q^2) = \frac{d\Gamma}{dq^2}(\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \tau \bar{\nu}_\tau) / \frac{d\Gamma}{dq^2}(\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \ell \bar{\nu}_\ell)$ as a function of q^2 , respectively for the various form factors in Table 3.1. The middle graphs depict the average differential decay rate with respect to q^2 for the process $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \tau \bar{\nu}_\tau$. Some representative values of the couplings have been chosen.

shape of the differential distribution $d\Gamma/dq^2$ is the same as in the SM. In the left-side figures of Fig. 3.3, we show the plots for R_{Λ_b} , $d\Gamma/dq^2$ and $B_{\Lambda_b}(q^2)$ when only g_L is present. We then consider the case where only g_R is present. If only g_R is present, then from Eq. (2.13) and Eq. (2.16) we can deduce that no clear relation between R_{Λ_b} and $R_{\Lambda_b SM}$ can be obtained in this case. However, for the allowed g_R couplings, we find R_{Λ_b} is greater than the SM value and is in the range $0.30 \sim 0.51$. The shape of the differential distribution $d\Gamma/dq^2$ is also the same as that of the SM. In the right-side figures of Fig. 3.3, we show the plots for R_{Λ_b} , $d\Gamma/dq^2$ and $B_{\Lambda_b}(q^2)$ when only g_R is present.

We now move to the case when only $g_{S,P}$ are present. Using Eq. (2.5), Eq. (2.6) and Eq. (2.17), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\Lambda_b} &= R_{\Lambda_b SM} + |g_S|^2 A_S + 2\text{Re}(g_S) B_S, \\ R_{\Lambda_b} &= R_{\Lambda_b SM} + |g_P|^2 A_P + 2\text{Re}(g_P) B_P, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

since the physics of the decay process only underlies in the Feynman amplitudes. The quantities $A_{S,P}$ and $B_{S,P}$ depend on masses and form factors and they are positive. Hence, for $\text{Re}(g_P) \geq 0$ or $\text{Re}(g_S) \geq 0$, R_{Λ_b} is always greater than or equal to $R_{\Lambda_b SM}$. But, for $\text{Re}(g_P) < 0$ or $\text{Re}(g_S) < 0$, R_{Λ_b} can be possibly less than the SM value. However, for the given constraints on g_S here, we can make R_{Λ_b} only slightly less than the SM value while for g_P it is always larger than the SM value. We find R_{Λ_b} is in the range $0.28 \sim 0.36$ when only g_S is present and in the range $0.30 \sim 0.42$ when only g_P is present. In Fig. 3.4 we show the plots for R_{Λ_b} , $d\Gamma/dq^2$ and $B_{\Lambda_b}(q^2)$ when only g_S is present. The shape of the differential distribution $d\Gamma/dq^2$ can be different from that of the SM. In Fig. 3.5 we show the plots for R_{Λ_b} , $d\Gamma/dq^2$ and $B_{\Lambda_b}(q^2)$ when only g_P is present. In this case also the shape of the differential distribution $d\Gamma/dq^2$ can be different from that of the SM.

NP	$R_{\Lambda_b, min}$	$R_{\Lambda_b, max}$
Only g_L	0.31, $g_L = -0.065 + 0.447 i$	0.44, $g_L = -0.144 + 0.903 i$
Only g_R	0.30, $g_R = -0.033 + 0.119 i$	0.51, $g_R = 0.182 + 0.914 i$
Only g_S	0.28, $g_S = -1.442$	0.36, $g_S = 0.443$
Only g_P	0.30, $g_P = 0.587$	0.42, $g_P = -5.859$

Table 3.3: Minimum and Maximum Values for the Averaged R_{Λ_b} .

In Table 3.3, we show the minimum and maximum values for the averaged R_{Λ_b} with the corresponding NP couplings.

CHAPTER 4

CONCLUSION

In this thesis, I calculated the SM and the NP predictions for the decay $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \tau \bar{\nu}_\tau$. Motivation to study this decay comes from the recent hints of lepton flavor non-universality observed by the BaBar Collaboration in $R(D^{(*)}) \equiv \frac{\mathcal{B}(\bar{B} \rightarrow D^{(*)} + \tau^- \bar{\nu}_\tau)}{\mathcal{B}(\bar{B} \rightarrow D^{(*)} + \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell)}$ ($\ell = e, \mu$). I used a general parametrization of the NP operators and fixed the new physics couplings from the experimental measurements of $R(D)$ and $R(D^*)$. The predictions for R_{Λ_b} (Eq.(2.22)), $\frac{d\Gamma}{dq^2}$, and $B_{\Lambda_b}(q^2)$ (Eq.(2.24)) are made by taking one of the various NP couplings at a time. We found the interesting results that $g_{L,R,P}$ couplings gave predictions larger than the SM values for all the three observables while the g_S couplings gave predictions which could be larger or smaller than the SM values.

This thesis is related to our recent work of $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \tau \bar{\nu}_\tau$ decay [22].

BIBLIOGRAPHY

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] G. Aad, *et al.*, “Observation of a new particle in the search for the Standard Model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC”, Physics Letters B 716.1 (2012): 1-29. APA, [arXiv:1207.7214 [hep-ex]]
- [2] S. Chatrchyan, *et al.*, “Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC”, Physics Letters B 716.1 (2012): 30-61, [arXiv:1207.7235 [hep-ex]]
- [3] J. P. Lees *et al.*, [BaBar Collaboration], “Evidence for an excess of $\bar{B} \rightarrow D^{(*)}\tau^{-}\bar{\nu}_{\tau}$ decays”, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 101802 (2012), [arXiv:1205.5442 [hep-ex]]
- [4] J. P. Lees *et al.*, [BaBar Collaboration], “Measurement of an Excess of $B \rightarrow D^{(*)}\tau^{-}\bar{\nu}_{\tau}$ Decays and Implications for Charged Higgs Bosons”, Phys. Rev. D**88**, 072012 (2013), [arXiv:1303.0571 [hep-ex]]
- [5] S. Fajfer, J. F. Kamenik and I. Nisandzic, “On the $B \rightarrow D^{*}\tau\bar{\nu}_{\tau}$ Sensitivity to New Physics”, [arXiv:1203.2654 [hep-ph]]
- [6] Y. Sakaki and H. Tanaka, “Constraints of the Charged Scalar Effects Using the Forward-Backward Asymmetry on $B \rightarrow D^{(*)}\tau\bar{\nu}_{\tau}$ ”, [arXiv:1205.4908 [hep-ph]]
- [7] S. Fajfer, J. F. Kamenik, I. Nisandzic and J. Zupan, “Implications of Lepton Flavor Universality Violations in B Decays”, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 161801 (2012), [arXiv:1206.1872 [hep-ph]]
- [8] A. Crivellin, C. Greub and A. Kokulu, “Explaining $B \rightarrow D\tau\nu$, $B \rightarrow D^{*}\tau\nu$ and $B \rightarrow \tau\nu$ in a two Higgs doublet model of type III”, Phys. Rev. D**86**, 054014 (2012), [arXiv:1206.2634 [hep-ph]]
- [9] A. Datta, M. Duraisamy and D. Ghosh, “Diagnosing New Physics in $b \rightarrow c\tau\nu_{\tau}$ decays in the light of the recent BaBar result”, Phys. Rev. D**86**, 034027 (2012), [arXiv:1206.3760 [hep-ph]]
- [10] M. Duraisamy and A. Datta, “The Full $B \rightarrow D^{*}\tau^{-}\bar{\nu}_{\tau}$ Angular Distribution and CP violating Triple Products”, JHEP **1309**, 059 (2013), [arXiv:1302.7031 [hep-ph]]
- [11] T. Bhattacharya, V. Cirigliano, S. D. Cohen, A. Filipuzzi, M. Gonzalez-Alonso, M. L. Graesser, R. Gupta and H. -W. Lin, “Probing Novel Scalar and Tensor Interactions from (Ultra) Cold Neutrons to the LHC”, Phys. Rev. D**85**, 054512 (2012), [arXiv:1110.6448 [hep-ph]]

- [12] C. -H. Chen and C. -Q. Geng, “Lepton angular asymmetries in semileptonic charmful B decays”, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 077501 (2005), [arXiv:0503123 [hep-ph]]
- [13] B. Bhattacharya, A. Datta, D. London and S. Shivashankara, “Simultaneous Explanation of the R_K and $R(D^{(*)})$ Puzzles”, Phys. Lett. B **742**, 370 (2015), [arXiv:1412.7164 [hep-ph]]
- [14] M.A.Shifman, A.I. Vainshtein and V.I. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. **B147**, 385(1979); 448
- [15] RSM De Carvalho, *et al.*, “Form factors and decay rates for heavy Λ semileptonic decays from QCD sum rules”, Phys. Rev. D **60**, 034009 (1999), [arXiv:9903326 [hep-ph]]
- [16] M. Neubert, “Heavy-quark symmetry”, Phy. Rep. **245**, 259 (1994), [arXiv:9306320 [hep-ph]]
- [17] K.A. Olive *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Chin. Phys. C, 38, 090001 (2014)
- [18] T. Hurth, F. Mahmoudi and S. Neshatpour, “Global fits to $b \rightarrow sll$ data and signs for lepton non-universality”, [arXiv:1410.4545 [hep-ph]].
- [19] T. Gutsche, M. A. Ivanov, J. G. Korner, V. E. Lyubovitskij, P. Santorelli and N. Haby, “The semileptonic decay $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c + \tau^- + \bar{\nu}_\tau$ in the covariant confined quark model”, [arXiv:1502.04864 [hep-ph]]
- [20] R. M. Woloshyn, “Semileptonic decay of the Λ_b baryon”, PoS (Hadron 2013) **203**, (2013)
- [21] M. Pervin, W. Roberts and S. Capstick, “Semileptonic decays of heavy lambda baryons in a quark model”, Phys. Rev. C **72**, 035201 (2005), [arXiv:0503030 [nucl-ex]]
- [22] S. Shivashankara, W. Wu and A. Datta, “ $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \tau \bar{\nu}_\tau$ Decay in the Standard Model and with New Physics”, [arXiv: 1502.07230 [hep-ph]]
- [23] M. Beneke and T. Feldmann, “Symmetry-breaking corrections to heavy-to-light B meson form-factors at large recoil”, Nucl. Phys. B **592**, 3 (2001), [arXiv:0008255[hep-ph]]
- [24] I. Caprini, L. Lellouch and M. Neubert, “Dispersive Bounds on the Shape of $\bar{B} \rightarrow D^{(*)} \ell \bar{\nu}$ Form Factors”, Nucl. Phys. B **530**, 153 (1998), [arXiv:9712417 [hep-ph]]
- [25] W. Dungen *et al.*, [Belle Collaboration], “Measurement of the form factors of the decay $B^0 \rightarrow D^{*-} \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ and determination of the CKM matrix element $|V_{cb}|$ ”, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 112007 (2010), [arXiv:1010.5620 [hep-ex]]
- [26] B. Aubert *et al.*, [BABAR Collaboration], “Measurement of $|V_{cb}|$ and the Form-Factor Slope in $\bar{B} \rightarrow D \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$ Decays in Events Tagged by a Fully Reconstructed B Meson”, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 011802 (2010), [arXiv:0904.4063 [hep-ex]]

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: NP OPERATORS EXPRESSED IN TERMS OF FORM FACTORS

If we consider the hadronic current:

$$\langle \Lambda_c | \bar{c} \gamma_\mu b | \Lambda_b \rangle = \bar{u}_{\lambda_c} (f_1 \gamma_\mu + i f_2 \sigma_{\mu\nu} q^\nu + f_3 q_\mu) u_{\lambda_b}, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

then ($q = p - p_3$)

$$q^\mu \langle \Lambda_c | \bar{c} \gamma_\mu b | \Lambda_b \rangle = q^\mu \bar{u}_{\lambda_c} (f_1 \gamma_\mu + i f_2 \sigma_{\mu\nu} q^\nu + f_3 q_\mu) u_{\lambda_b}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

The Left-Hand-Side of Eq. (A.2) is

$$\begin{aligned} q^\mu \langle \Lambda_c | \bar{c} \gamma_\mu b | \Lambda_b \rangle &= \langle \Lambda_c | \bar{c} q^\mu \gamma_\mu b | \Lambda_b \rangle \\ &= \langle \Lambda_c | \bar{c} \not{q} b | \Lambda_b \rangle \\ &= \langle \Lambda_c | \bar{c} (\not{p} - \not{p}_3) b | \Lambda_b \rangle \\ &= (m_b - m_c) \langle \Lambda_c | \bar{c} b | \Lambda_b \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where I used the equation of motion: $\not{p}b = m_b b$ and $\bar{c}\not{p}_3 = m_c \bar{c}$, while the Right-Hand-Side of Eq. (A.2) is

$$q^\mu \bar{u}_{\lambda_c} (f_1 \gamma_\mu + i f_2 \sigma_{\mu\nu} q^\nu + f_3 q_\mu) u_{\lambda_b} = \bar{u}_{\lambda_c} (f_1 \not{q} + 0 + f_3 q^2) u_{\lambda_b}. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Thus, we can get:

$$\langle \Lambda_c | \bar{c} b | \Lambda_b \rangle = \bar{u}_{\lambda_c} \left(f_1 \frac{\not{q}}{m_b - m_c} + f_3 \frac{q^2}{m_b - m_c} \right) u_{\lambda_b}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Now, consider

$$\langle \Lambda_c | \bar{c} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 b | \Lambda_b \rangle = \bar{u}_{\lambda_c} (g_1 \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 + i g_2 \sigma_{\mu\nu} q^\nu \gamma_5 + g_3 q_\mu \gamma_5) u_{\lambda_b}, \quad (\text{A.6})$$

then

$$q^\mu \langle \Lambda_c | \bar{c} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 b | \Lambda_b \rangle = q^\mu \bar{u}_{\lambda_c} (g_1 \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 + i g_2 \sigma_{\mu\nu} q^\nu \gamma_5 + g_3 q_\mu \gamma_5) u_{\lambda_b}. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

The Left-Hand-Side of Eq. (A.7) is

$$\begin{aligned} q^\mu \langle \Lambda_c | \bar{c} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 b | \Lambda_b \rangle &= \langle \Lambda_c | \bar{c} q^\mu \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 b | \Lambda_b \rangle \\ &= \langle \Lambda_c | \bar{c} \not{q} \gamma_5 b | \Lambda_b \rangle \\ &= \langle \Lambda_c | \bar{c} (\not{p} - \not{p}_3) \gamma_5 b | \Lambda_b \rangle \\ &= -(m_b + m_c) \langle \Lambda_c | \bar{c} \gamma_5 b | \Lambda_b \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Where I used the equation of motion: $\not{p}b = m_b b$ and $\bar{c}\not{p}_3 = m_c \bar{c}$, and $\not{p}\gamma_5 = -\gamma_5 \not{p}$. The Right-Hand-Side of Eq. (A.7) is

$$q^\mu \bar{u}_{\lambda_c} (g_1 \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 + i g_2 \sigma_{\mu\nu} q^\nu \gamma_5 + g_3 q_\mu \gamma_5) u_{\lambda_b} = \bar{u}_{\lambda_c} (g_1 \not{q} \gamma_5 + 0 + g_3 q^2 \gamma_5) u_{\lambda_b}. \quad (\text{A.9})$$

Thus, we can get:

$$\langle \Lambda_c | \bar{c} \gamma_5 b | \Lambda_b \rangle = \bar{u}_{\lambda_c} \left(-g_1 \frac{\not{q} \gamma_5}{m_b + m_c} - g_3 \frac{q^2 \gamma_5}{m_b + m_c} \right) u_{\lambda_b}. \quad (\text{A.10})$$

APPENDIX B: KINEMATICS

In the rest frame of Λ_b , we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
p &= (m, 0, 0, 0), \\
p_1 &= (E_1, \vec{p}_1), \\
p_2 &= (E_2, \vec{p}_2), \\
p_3 &= (E_3, \vec{p}_3).
\end{aligned} \tag{B.1}$$

The transferred momentum $q = p_1 + p_2 = p - p_3$. We have $p_3 = p - p_1 - p_2$. By considering the Lorentz invariance, we can find out the following kinematic relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
p^2 &= m^2, \\
p_1^2 &= m_1^2, \\
p_2^2 &= 0, \\
p_3^2 &= m_3^2, \\
p \cdot p_1 &= mE_1, \\
p \cdot p_2 &= mE_2, \\
p \cdot p_3 &= mE_3, \\
p \cdot q &= mE_1 + mE_2, \\
p_1 \cdot q &= \frac{1}{2}(q^2 + m_1^2), \\
p_1 \cdot p_2 &= \frac{1}{2}(q^2 - m_1^2), \\
p_1 \cdot p_3 &= mE_1 - \frac{1}{2}m_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}q^2, \\
p_2 \cdot q &= \frac{1}{2}(q^2 - m_1^2), \\
p_2 \cdot p_3 &= mE_2 + \frac{1}{2}m_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}q^2, \\
p_3 \cdot q &= \frac{1}{2}(q^2 - m_3^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{B.2}$$

To achieve the integration of differential decay rate, let's define $p_{ij} = p_i + p_j$ and $m_{ij}^2 = p_{ij}^2$. Then $m_{12}^2 + m_{23}^2 + m_{13}^2 = m^2 + m_1^2 + m_2^2 + m_3^2$ and $m_{12}^2 = (p - p_3)^2 = m^2 + m_3^2 - 2mE_3$, where E_3 is the energy of particle 3 in the rest frame of m .

From $m_{23}^2 = (p - p_1)^2 = m^2 + m_1^2 - 2mE_1$, we have

$$E_1 = \frac{m^2 + m_1^2 - m_{23}^2}{2m}. \tag{B.3}$$

From $m_{13}^2 = (p - p_2)^2 = m^2 + m_2^2 - 2mE_2$ and $m_{12}^2 + m_{23}^2 + m_{13}^2 = m^2 + m_1^2 + m_2^2 + m_3^2$, we have

$$E_2 = \frac{m_{12}^2 + m_{23}^2 - m_1^2 - m_3^2}{2m}. \tag{B.4}$$

From $m_{12}^2 = (p - p_3)^2 = m^2 + m_3^2 - 2mE_3$, we have

$$E_3 = \frac{m^2 + m_3^2 - m_{12}^2}{2m}. \quad (\text{B.5})$$

Using the standard form for the Dalitz plot, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} d\Gamma &= \frac{(2\pi)^4}{2m} |\bar{M}|^2 d\Phi_n(p; p_1, \dots, p_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{8m} |\bar{M}|^2 dE_1 dE_2 \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{32m^3} |\bar{M}|^2 dm_{12}^2 dm_{23}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.6})$$

Here, the Dalitz plot: for a given value of m_{12}^2 , the range of m_{23}^2 is determined by its values when \vec{p}_2 is parallel or anti-parallel to \vec{p}_3 :

$$\begin{aligned} (m_{23}^2)_{max} &= (E_2^* + E_3^*)^2 - (\sqrt{E_2^{*2} - m_2^2} - \sqrt{E_3^{*2} - m_3^2})^2, \\ (m_{23}^2)_{min} &= (E_2^* + E_3^*)^2 - (\sqrt{E_2^{*2} - m_2^2} + \sqrt{E_3^{*2} - m_3^2})^2, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.7})$$

where $E_2^* = (m_{12}^2 - m_1^2 + m_2^2)/2m_{12}$ and $E_3^* = (m^2 - m_{12}^2 - m_3^2)/2m_{12}$ are the energies of particles 2 and 3 in the m_{12} rest frame. Since $m_{12}^2 = q^2$, the differential decay rate with respect to dq^2 is:

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dq^2} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{32m^3} |\bar{M}|^2 dm_{23}^2. \quad (\text{B.8})$$

APPENDIX C: $\bar{B} \rightarrow D^*$ FORM FACTORS

C.1 $\bar{B} \rightarrow D^* \tau^- \bar{\nu}_\mu$ Angular Distribution

The full $\bar{B} \rightarrow D^* \tau^- \bar{\nu}_\mu$ angular distribution is given by [9]

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\Gamma^{D^*}}{dq^2 d\cos\theta_l} &= N |p_{D^*}| \left[2|\mathcal{H}_0|^2 \sin^2\theta_l + (|\mathcal{H}_\parallel|^2 + |\mathcal{H}_\perp|^2)(1 + \cos\theta_l)^2 - 4\text{Re}[\mathcal{A}_\parallel \mathcal{H}_\perp^*] \cos\theta_l \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{m_\tau^2}{q^2} \left(2|\mathcal{H}_0 \cos\theta_l - \mathcal{H}_{tP}|^2 + (|\mathcal{H}_\parallel|^2 + |\mathcal{H}_\perp|^2) \sin^2\theta_l \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.1})$$

where θ_l is the angle between the D^* meson and the τ lepton three-momenta in the q^2 rest frame, $N = \frac{G_F^2 |V_{cb}|^2 q^2}{256\pi^3 m_B^2} \left(1 - \frac{m_l^2}{q^2}\right)^2$ and the amplitude $\mathcal{H}_{0,\parallel,\perp,t,P}$ are given in Sec. C.4. Also, the definition of \mathcal{H}_{tP} is

$$\mathcal{H}_{tP} = \left(\mathcal{H}_t + \frac{\sqrt{q^2}}{m_\tau} \mathcal{H}_P \right). \quad (\text{C.2})$$

C.2 $\bar{B} \rightarrow D^* \tau^- \bar{\nu}_\mu$ Form Factors

The relevant form factors for the $B \rightarrow D^*$ matrix elements of the vector $V_\mu = \bar{c}\gamma^\mu b$ and axial-vector $A_\mu = \bar{c}\gamma^\mu \gamma_5 b$ currents are defined as [23]

$$\begin{aligned} \langle D^* | V_\mu | \bar{B} \rangle &= \frac{2iV(q^2)}{m_B + m_{D^*}} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \epsilon^{*\nu} p_{D^*}^\rho p_B^\sigma, \\ \langle D^* | A_\mu | \bar{B} \rangle &= 2m_{D^*} A_0(q^2) \frac{\epsilon^* \cdot q}{q^2} q_\mu + (m_B + m_{D^*}) A_1(q^2) \left[\epsilon_\mu^* - \frac{\epsilon^* \cdot q}{q^2} q_\mu \right] \\ &\quad - A_2(q^2) \frac{\epsilon^* \cdot q}{(m_B + m_{D^*})} \left[(p_B + p_{D^*})_\mu - \frac{m_B^2 - m_{D^*}^2}{q^2} q_\mu \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.3})$$

In the Heavy Quark Effective Theory (HQET), the form factors in Eq. (C.3) are given by [5, 24, 25]

$$\begin{aligned} A_0(q^2) &= \frac{R_0(w)}{R_{D^*}} h_{A_1}(w), \\ A_1(q^2) &= R_{D^*} \frac{w+1}{2} h_{A_1}(w), \\ A_2(q^2) &= \frac{R_2(w)}{R_{D^*}} h_{A_1}(w), \\ V(q^2) &= \frac{R_1(w)}{R_{D^*}} h_{A_1}(w), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.4})$$

where $R_{D^*} = 2\sqrt{m_B m_{D^*}^*}/(m_B + m_{D^*}^*)$. The summary results of w dependence of the form

factors [5, 24] are

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{A_1}(w) &= h_{A_1}(1) \left[1 - 8\rho^2 z + (53\rho^2 - 15)z^2 - (231\rho^2 - 91)z^3 \right], \\
R_1(w) &= R_1(1) - 0.12(w-1) + 0.05(w-1)^2, \\
R_2(w) &= R_2(1) + 0.11(w-1) - 0.06(w-1)^2, \\
R_0(w) &= R_0(1) - 0.11(w-1) + 0.01(w-1)^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{C.5}$$

where $z = (\sqrt{w+1} - \sqrt{2})/(\sqrt{w+1} + \sqrt{2})$. The numerical values of the free parameters ρ^2 , $h_{A_1}(1)$, $R_1(1)$ and $R_2(1)$ are [25]

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{A_1}(1)|V_{cb}| &= (34.6 \pm 0.2 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-3}, \\
\rho^2 &= 1.214 \pm 0.034 \pm 0.009, \\
R_1(1) &= 1.401 \pm 0.034 \pm 0.018, \\
R_2(1) &= 0.864 \pm 0.024 \pm 0.008,
\end{aligned} \tag{C.6}$$

and $R_0(1) = 1.14$ is taken from Ref. [5]. In the numerical analysis, we may allow 10% uncertainties in the $R_0(1)$ value to account higher order corrections.

Therefore, in the HQET the amplitudes in Eq. (C.1) become

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_{tP} &= -m_B(1+r_*) \sqrt{\frac{r_*(w^2-1)}{1+r_*^2-2r_*w}} h_{A_1}(w) R_0(w) \left[(1-g_A) + \frac{m_B^2(1+r_*^2-2r_*w)}{m_l(m_b+m_c)} g_P \right], \\
\mathcal{H}_0 &= -\frac{m_B(1-r_*)(w+1)\sqrt{r_*}}{\sqrt{(1+r_*^2-2r_*w)}} h_{A_1}(w) \left[1 + \frac{(w-1)(1-R_2(w))}{(1-r_*)} \right] (1-g_A), \\
\mathcal{H}_{\parallel} &= m_B \sqrt{2r_*(w+1)} h_{A_1}(w) (1-g_A), \\
\mathcal{H}_{\perp} &= m_B \sqrt{2r_*(w^2-1)} h_{A_1}(w) R_1(w) (1+g_V),
\end{aligned} \tag{C.7}$$

where $r_* = m_{D^*}/m_B$.

C.3 $\bar{B} \rightarrow D^*$ Kinematics

Here, for $a = (a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3)$ and $b = (b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3)$, we have $a \cdot b = a_0 b_0 - (a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_2 + a_3 b_3)$.

In the rest frame of \bar{B} :

$$\begin{aligned}
p_B &= (m_B, 0, 0, 0), \\
p_{D^*} &= (E_{D^*}, 0, 0, |p_{D^*}|), \\
q &= (q_0, 0, 0, -|p_{D^*}|),
\end{aligned} \tag{C.8}$$

where $q = p_B = p_{D^*}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{D^*} &= (m_B^2 + m_{D^*}^2 - q^2)/(2m_B), \\
|p_{D^*}| &= \sqrt{\left(\frac{m_B^2 + m_{D^*}^2 - q^2}{2m_B}\right)^2 - m_{D^*}^2}, \\
q_0 &= (m_B^2 - m_{D^*}^2 + q^2)/(2m_B).
\end{aligned} \tag{C.9}$$

The polarization vectors of D^* are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon_0 &= \frac{1}{m_{D^*}}(|p_{D^*}|, 0, 0, E_{D^*}), \\
\epsilon_{\pm} &= \mp \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0, 1, \pm i, 0).
\end{aligned} \tag{C.10}$$

The polarization vector of virtual gauge boson are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\epsilon}_0 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{q^2}}(|p_{D^*}|, 0, 0, -q_0), \\
\bar{\epsilon}_{\pm} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0, \pm 1, -i, 0), \\
\bar{\epsilon}_t &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{q^2}}(q_0, 0, 0, -|p_{D^*}|).
\end{aligned} \tag{C.11}$$

To get the amplitudes, we have the following useful relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\epsilon}_0^* \cdot q &= 0, \\
\bar{\epsilon}_0^* \cdot p_{D^*} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{q^2}}(|p_{D^*}|E_{D^*} + |p_{D^*}|q_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{q^2}}m_B|p_{D^*}|,
\end{aligned} \tag{C.12}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon_0^* \cdot q &= \frac{1}{m_{D^*}}(|p_{D^*}|E_{D^*} + |p_{D^*}|q_0) = \frac{m_B|p_{D^*}|}{m_{D^*}}, \\
\epsilon_0^* \cdot \bar{\epsilon}_0^* &= \frac{1}{m_{D^*}\sqrt{q^2}}(|p_{D^*}|^2 + E_{D^*}q_0),
\end{aligned} \tag{C.13}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\epsilon}_t^* \cdot q &= \sqrt{q^2}, \\
\epsilon_t^* \cdot \bar{\epsilon}_t^* &= \frac{1}{m_{D^*}}(|p_{D^*}|E_{D^*} + |p_{D^*}|q_0) = \frac{1}{m_{D^*}}m_B|p_{D^*}|,
\end{aligned} \tag{C.14}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\epsilon}_+^* \cdot \epsilon_+^* &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0, 1, i, 0) \cdot \left[-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0, 1, -i, 0)\right] = -\frac{1}{2}(0 - 1 - 1 - 0) = 1, \\
\bar{\epsilon}_- \cdot \epsilon_-^* &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0, -1, i, 0) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0, 1, i, 0) = \frac{1}{2}(0 + 1 + 1 + 0) = 1,
\end{aligned} \tag{C.15}$$

$$\bar{\epsilon}_\pm^* \cdot q = 0. \tag{C.16}$$

C.4 $\bar{B} \rightarrow D^*$ Amplitudes

In Ref. [10], Eq. (A.6) (the V-part) used $\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$. I used $\epsilon_{0123} = 1$, which I think should agree with in Ref. [23] where the authors used $\epsilon^{0123} = -1$.

1. \mathcal{H}_0 :

$$\begin{aligned}
V_0 &= 0, \\
A_0 &= \bar{\epsilon}_0^* \langle D^* | A_\mu | \bar{B} \rangle \\
&= (m_B + m_{D^*}) A_1(q^2) \epsilon_0^* \cdot \bar{\epsilon}_0^* - \frac{\epsilon_0^* \cdot q}{m_B + m_{D^*}} A_2(q^2) (2p_{D^*} \cdot \bar{\epsilon}_0^*) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2m_{D^*} \sqrt{q^2}} [(m_B^2 - m_{D^*}^2 - q^2)(m_B + m_{D^*}) A_1(q^2) - \frac{4m_B^2 |p_{D^*}|^2}{m_B + m_{D^*}} A_2(q^2)]
\end{aligned} \tag{C.17}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_0 &= (V_0 - A_0)(1 - g_A) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2m_{D^*} \sqrt{q^2}} [(m_B^2 - m_{D^*}^2 - q^2)(m_B + m_{D^*}) A_1(q^2) \\
&\quad - \frac{4m_B^2 |p_{D^*}|^2}{m_B + m_{D^*}} A_2(q^2)] (1 - g_A).
\end{aligned} \tag{C.18}$$

Here, $|p_{D^*}|^2 + q_0 E_{D^*} = \frac{m_B^2 - m_{D^*}^2 - q^2}{2}$.

2. \mathcal{H}_t :

$$\begin{aligned}
V_t &= 0, \\
A_t &= \bar{\epsilon}_t^* \langle D^* | A_\mu | \bar{B} \rangle \\
&= \frac{2m_{D^*} A_0(q^2) \epsilon_t^* \cdot q}{q^2} \sqrt{q^2} + (m_B + m_{D^*}) A_1(q^2) (\epsilon_t^* \cdot \bar{\epsilon}_t - \frac{\epsilon_t^* \cdot q}{\sqrt{q^2}}) \\
&\quad - \frac{A_2(q^2) \epsilon_t^* \cdot q}{m_B + m_{D^*}} \left(\frac{m_B^2 - m_{D^*}^2}{\sqrt{q^2}} - \frac{m_B^2 - m_{D^*}^2}{\sqrt{q^2}} \right) \\
&= \frac{2}{\sqrt{q^2}} m_B |p_{D^*}| A_0(q^2),
\end{aligned} \tag{C.19}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_t = (V_t - A_t)(1 - g_A) = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{q^2}}m_B|p_{D^*}|A_0(q^2)(1 - g_A). \quad (\text{C.20})$$

3. H_{\pm} :

$$\begin{aligned} V_+ &= \bar{\varepsilon}_+^* \langle D^* | V_\mu | \bar{B} \rangle \\ &= \frac{2iV(q^2)}{m_B + m_{D^*}} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\delta} \bar{\varepsilon}_+^{*\mu} \epsilon^{*\nu} p_{D^*}^\rho p_B^\delta \\ &= \frac{2iV(q^2)}{m_B + m_{D^*}} (\varepsilon_{1230} \bar{\varepsilon}_+^{*1} \epsilon^{*2} + \varepsilon_{2130} \bar{\varepsilon}_+^{*2} \epsilon^{*1}) p_{D^*}^3 p_B^0 \\ &= \frac{2iV(q^2)}{m_B + m_{D^*}} \left[-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \right) + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \right] |p_{D^*}| m_B \\ &= \frac{2V(q^2)m_B|p_{D^*}|}{m_B + m_{D^*}}, \\ A_+ &= \bar{\varepsilon}_+^* \langle D^* | A_\mu | \bar{B} \rangle \\ &= (m_B + m_{D^*}) A_1(q^2) \epsilon_+^* \cdot \bar{\varepsilon}_+^* - \frac{A_2(q^2) \epsilon^* \cdot q}{m_B + m_{D^*}} * 0 \\ &= (m_B + m_{D^*}) A_1(q^2), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.21})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_+ &= V_+(1 + g_V) - A_+(1 - g_A) \\ &= \frac{2V(q^2)m_B|p_{D^*}|}{m_B + m_{D^*}} (1 + g_V) - (m_B + m_{D^*}) A_1(q^2)(1 - g_A). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.22})$$

$$\begin{aligned} V_- &= \bar{\varepsilon}_-^* \langle D^* | V_\mu | \bar{B} \rangle \\ &= \frac{2iV(q^2)}{m_B + m_{D^*}} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\delta} \bar{\varepsilon}_-^{*\mu} \epsilon^{*\nu} p_{D^*}^\rho p_B^\delta \\ &= \frac{2iV(q^2)}{m_B + m_{D^*}} (\varepsilon_{1230} \bar{\varepsilon}_-^{*1} \epsilon^{*2} + \varepsilon_{2130} \bar{\varepsilon}_-^{*2} \epsilon^{*1}) p_{D^*}^3 p_B^0 \\ &= \frac{2iV(q^2)}{m_B + m_{D^*}} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \right) + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \right] |p_{D^*}| m_B \\ &= -\frac{2V(q^2)m_B|p_{D^*}|}{m_B + m_{D^*}}, \\ A_- &= \bar{\varepsilon}_-^* \langle D^* | A_\mu | \bar{B} \rangle \\ &= (m_B + m_{D^*}) A_1(q^2) \epsilon_-^* \cdot \bar{\varepsilon}_-^* - \frac{A_2(q^2) \epsilon^* \cdot q}{m_B + m_{D^*}} * 0 \\ &= (m_B + m_{D^*}) A_1(q^2), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.23})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_- &= V_-(1 + g_V) - A_-(1 - g_A) \\ &= -\frac{2V(q^2)m_B|p_{D^*}|}{m_B + m_{D^*}} (1 + g_V) - (m_B + m_{D^*}) A_1(q^2)(1 - g_A). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.24})$$

Here, $\varepsilon_{1230} = -1$, $\varepsilon_{2130} = 1$.

4. $\mathcal{H}_{(\parallel, \perp)}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_{\perp} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\mathcal{H}_+ - \mathcal{H}_-) \\ &= 2\sqrt{2} \frac{V(q^2)m_B|p_{D^*}|}{m_B + m_{D^*}}(1 + g_V),\end{aligned}\tag{C.25}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_{\parallel} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\mathcal{H}_+ + \mathcal{H}_-) \\ &= -\sqrt{2}(m_B + m_{D^*})A_1(q^2)(1 - g_A).\end{aligned}\tag{C.26}$$

5. \mathcal{H}_P :

$$\begin{aligned}q^\mu \langle D^* | \bar{c} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 b | \bar{B} \rangle &= \langle D^* | \bar{c} \not{q} \gamma_5 b | \bar{B} \rangle \\ &= \langle D^* | \bar{c} (\not{p}_B - \not{p}_{D^*}) \gamma_5 b | \bar{B} \rangle \\ &= -(m_B + m_{D^*}) \langle D^* | \bar{c} \gamma_5 b | \bar{B} \rangle.\end{aligned}\tag{C.27}$$

Here, I already used the equation of motion. Also,

$$\begin{aligned}q^\mu \langle D^* | \bar{c} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 b | \bar{B} \rangle &= 2m_{D^*} |p_{D^*}| A_0(q^2) \epsilon^* \cdot q \\ &= 2m_{D^*} |p_{D^*}| A_0(q^2) \frac{m_B |p_{D^*}|}{m_{D^*}},\end{aligned}\tag{C.28}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_P &= \langle D^* | \bar{c} \gamma_5 b | \bar{B} \rangle g_P \\ &= \frac{-2m_B |p_{D^*}| A_0(q^2)}{m_B + m_{D^*}} g_P.\end{aligned}\tag{C.29}$$

APPENDIX D: $\bar{B} \rightarrow D\tau\bar{\nu}_\tau$ FORM FACTORS

D.1 $\bar{B} \rightarrow D\tau\bar{\nu}_\tau$ Angular Distribution

The $\bar{B} \rightarrow D\tau\bar{\nu}_\tau$ angular distribution (the differential decay rate) for the lepton helicity $\lambda_\tau = \pm\frac{1}{2}$ are

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d\Gamma^D[\lambda_\tau = -1/2]}{dq^2 d\cos\theta_l} &= 2N|p_D||H_0|^2 \sin^2\theta_l, \\ \frac{d\Gamma^D[\lambda_\tau = 1/2]}{dq^2 d\cos\theta_l} &= 2N|p_D|\frac{m_\tau^2}{q^2}|H_0 \cos\theta_l - H_{tS}|^2.\end{aligned}\tag{D.1}$$

The differential decay rate corresponding to the helicity $\lambda_\tau = 1/2$ vanishes for the light leptons (e, μ) as their mass is much smaller.

D.2 $\bar{B} \rightarrow D\tau\bar{\nu}_\tau$ Amplitudes

The methods to get the amplitudes from the $B \rightarrow D$ matrix elements is the same as for $B \rightarrow D^*$. Here, I didn't include the details.

The amplitudes are

$$\begin{aligned}H_0 &= \frac{2m_B|p_D|}{\sqrt{q^2}}F_+(q^2)(1 + g_V), \\ H_t &= \frac{m_B^2 - m_D^2}{\sqrt{q^2}}F_0(q^2)(1 + g_V), \\ H_S &= \frac{m_B^2 - m_D^2}{m_b - m_c}F_0(q^2)g_S.\end{aligned}\tag{D.2}$$

Also, the definition of H_{tS} is

$$H_{tS} = H_t + \frac{\sqrt{q^2}}{m_\tau}H_S.\tag{D.3}$$

D.3 $\bar{B} \rightarrow D\tau\bar{\nu}_\tau$ Form Factors

The form factors $F_+(q^2)$ and $F_0(q^2)$ of the $B \rightarrow D$ matrix elements are defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\langle D|\bar{c}\gamma^\mu b|\bar{B}\rangle &= F_+(q^2)\left[p_B^\mu + p_D^\mu - \frac{m_B^2 - m_D^2}{q^2}q^\mu\right] + F_0(q^2)\frac{m_B^2 - m_D^2}{q^2}q^\mu, \\ \langle D|\bar{c}b|\bar{B}\rangle &= \frac{m_B^2 - m_D^2}{m_b(\mu) - m_c(\mu)}F_0(q^2).\end{aligned}\tag{D.4}$$

In the heavy quark effective theory, the form factors in Eq.(D.4) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} F_+(q^2) &= \frac{V_1(w)}{R_D}, \\ F_0(q^2) &= \frac{(1+w)R_D}{2}S_1(w), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.5})$$

where $R_D = 2\sqrt{m_B m_D}/(m_B + m_D)$ and $r = m_D/m_B$. The parametrization of the form factor $V_1(w)$ is given by [24]

$$V_1(w) = V_1(1)[1 - 8\rho_1^2 z + (51\rho_1^2 - 10)z^2 - (252\rho_1^2 - 84)z^3], \quad (\text{D.6})$$

where $z = (\sqrt{w+1} - \sqrt{2})/(\sqrt{w+1} + \sqrt{2})$. The numerical values of the free parameters are [26]

$$\begin{aligned} V_1(1)|V_{cb}| &= (43.0 \pm 1.9 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-3}, \\ \rho_1^2 &= 1.20 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.04. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.7})$$

The parametrization of form factor $S_1(w)$ is given by [6]

$$S_1(w) = 1.0036[1 - 0.0068(w-1) + 0.0017(w-1)^2 - 0.0013(w-1)^3]V_1(w). \quad (\text{D.8})$$

In the HQET, the amplitudes in Eq. (D.2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 &= m_B(1+r)\sqrt{\frac{r(w^2-1)}{(1+r^2-2rw)}}V_1[w](1+g_V), \\ H_{tS} &= \frac{m_B(1-r)\sqrt{r}(w+1)}{\sqrt{(1+r^2-2rw)}}S_1[w]\left[(1+g_V) + \frac{m_B^2(1+r^2-2rw)}{m_l(m_b(\mu)-m_c(\mu))}g_S\right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.9})$$

VITA

Wanwei Wu

Email: wwul@go.olemiss.edu

EDUCATION

- M.S. in Physics, University of Mississippi, Oxford, MS, August 2013-May 2015
Thesis: *Semi-leptonic Decay of Lambda-b in the Standard Model and With New Physics*
- B.S. in Physics, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China, September 2006-June 2010

TEACHING EXPERIENCE

- Teaching Assistant, August 2013-May 2015
Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Mississippi
Courses: Phys223 (Physics Lab), Phys652 (Mathematical Physics)

SUMMER SCHOOL

- The General Theory of Relativity—Theory and Experiment Graduate Summer School
Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China, June-July 2009

PROGRAMMING SKILLS

- Mathematica, MATLAB, Python, C/C++, Fortran

INTEREST

- Literature, Chess, Travelling, Woodworking