

# Imprints of energy limitation in transverse momentum distributions of jets

Maciej Rybczyński <sup>a</sup> and Zbigniew Włodarczyk <sup>b</sup>

Institute of Physics, Jan Kochanowski University, Świętokrzyska 15, 25-406 Kielce, Poland

Received: date / Revised version: date

**Abstract.** Using a Tsallis nonextensive approach, we analyse distributions of transverse spectra of jets. We discuss the possible influence of energy conservation laws on these distributions. Transverse spectra of jets exhibit a power-law behavior of  $1/p_T^n$  with the power indices  $n$  similar to those for transverse spectra of hadrons.

**PACS.** 12.40.Ee statistical models – 13.85.Hd inelastic scattering: many-particle final states – 24.60.-k statistical theory and fluctuations – 25.40.Ep inelastic proton scattering – 89.75.-k complex systems

## 1 Introduction

For some time now it is known that transverse momentum spectra of different kinds measured in multiparticle production processes can be described by a quasi power-law formula <sup>1</sup>,

$$h(p_T) = C \left(1 + \frac{p_T}{nT}\right)^{-n}, \quad (1)$$

which for large values of transverse momenta,  $p_T \gg nT$ , becomes scale free (independent of  $T$ ) power distribution,  $1/p_T^n$ . This was first proposed in [1,2] as the simplest formula extrapolating exponential behavior observed for low  $p_T$  to power behavior at large  $p_T$ . At present it is known as the QCD-inspired *Hagedorn formula* [3,4]. Distribution (1), nowadays, has been successfully used for a description of multiparticle production processes in a wide range of incident energy (from a few GeV up to a few of TeV) and in broad range of transverse momenta (see, for example, reviews [5,6,7]). In particular, it turned out that it successfully describes a transverse momenta of charged particles measured by the LHC experiments, the flux of which changes by over 14 orders of magnitude [8,9,10,11].

In many branches of physics Eq. (1), with  $n$  replaced by  $n = 1/(q-1)$ , it is widely known as the *Tsallis formula* [12,13,14]. In this form, Eq. (1) is usually supposed to represent a nonextensive generalization of the Boltzmann-Gibbs exponential distribution,  $\exp(-p_T/T)$ , used in a statistical description of multiparticle production processes. Such an approach is known as nonextensive statistics [12,

13,14] in which the nonextensivity parameter  $q$  summarily describes all features causing a departure from the usual Boltzmann-Gibbs statistics. In particular it can be shown that the nonextensivity parameter  $q$  is directly related to the fluctuations of the parameter  $T$  identified with the "temperature" of the hadronizing fireball [15,16].

It was shown there that such a situation can occur when the heat bath is not homogeneous and must be described by a local temperature,  $T'$ , fluctuating from point to point around some equilibrium value,  $T$ . Assuming some simple diffusion picture as being responsible for equalization of this temperature [5,15,16] one obtains the evolution of  $T'$  in the form of a Langevin stochastic equation with the distribution of  $1/T'$ ,  $g(1/T')$ , emerging as a solution of the corresponding Fokker-Planck equation. It turns out that in this case  $g(1/T')$  takes the form of a gamma distribution,

$$g(1/T') = \frac{nT}{\Gamma(n)} \left(\frac{nT}{T'}\right)^{n-1} \exp\left(-\frac{nT}{T'}\right). \quad (2)$$

After convolution of the usual Boltzmann-Gibbs exponential factor  $\exp(-p_T/T')$  with Eq. (2) one immediately obtains a Tsallis distribution, Eq. (1), with

$$n = \frac{\langle T' \rangle^2}{\text{Var}(T')}, \quad (3)$$

directly connected to the variance of  $T'$ . This idea was further developed in [19,20,21] (where problems connected with the notion of temperature in such cases were addressed). The above makes a basis for the so-called *superstatistics* [22,23].

Applications of Tsallis distributions to multiparticle production processes are now numerous. To those quoted previously in [5] one should add some new results from [17,

<sup>a</sup> e-mail: maciej.rybczynski@ujk.edu.pl

<sup>b</sup> e-mail: zbigniew.wlodarczyk@ujk.edu.pl


<sup>1</sup> Throughout the whole article a natural units (Boltzmann constant and speed of light) are used by setting its values to unity.

18,6] and also presented in [21]. The most recent applications of this approach come from the STAR and PHENIX Collaborations at RHIC [24,25] and from CMS [26,27], ALICE [28,29] and ATLAS [30] Collaborations at LHC (see also a recent compilations [31,32]).

It is now empirically well-documented that transverse spectra of both hadrons and jets exhibit a power-law behavior of  $1/p_T^n$  at high  $p_T$ . This observation (usually interpreted in terms of non-extensive Tsallis statistics) meets difficulty. Whereas in [9,10] it has been advocated that the power indices  $n$  for hadrons are systematically greater than those for jets, in [33] the values of corresponding power indices were found to be similar, strongly indicating the existence of a common mechanism behind all these processes.

In Section 2 the influence of constraints, forcing the use of conditional probabilities for Tsallis distribution (and the case of Boltzmann statistics in Appendix) is discussed. In Section 3 we report the results concerning the consequences of the use of conditional Tsallis distribution for description of transverse spectra of jets. Section 4 is our summary and discussion of results.


## 2 Conditional probability - an influence of conservation laws



**Fig. 1.** (Color online) Conditional probability distribution,  $h(E_i|U)$ , as a function of  $E_i/U$  for Tsallis statistics ( $n = 7$  and  $T = 1$  GeV).

Let  $\{E_1, \dots, E_\nu\}$  be a set of  $\nu$  independent identically distributed random variables described by some parameter  $T$ . For independent energies,  $\{E_{i=1, \dots, \nu}\}$ , each distributed according to the simple Boltzmann distribution:

$$g_1(E_i) = \frac{1}{T} \exp\left(-\frac{E_i}{T}\right), \quad (4)$$



**Fig. 2.** (Color online) Ratio of the conditional distribution function  $h(E_i|U)$  and a single particle distribution  $h_1(E_i)$  as a function of  $E_i/U$ , for Tsallis statistics ( $n = 7$  and  $T = 1$  GeV).

the sum  $U = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} E_i$  is then distributed according to the distribution,  $g_\nu(U) = [T\Gamma(\nu)]^{-1} (U/T)^{\nu-1} \exp(-U/T)$ .

If the available energy  $U$  is limited, for example if  $U = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} E_i = \text{const}$ , then we have the following conditional probability for the single particle distribution,  $g(E_i)$ :

$$g(E_i|U) = \frac{g_1(E_i) g_{\nu-1}(U - E_i)}{g_\nu(U)} = \frac{(\nu-1)}{U} \left(1 - \frac{E_i}{U}\right)^{\nu-2}. \quad (5)$$

In the above consideration the value of the  $T$  parameter *does not fluctuate*. More details is given in Appendix and review [34].

Now let us consider a situation in which the parameter  $T$  in the joint probability distribution

$$g(\{E_1, \dots, E_\nu\}) = \prod_{i=1}^{\nu} g_1(E_i) \quad (6)$$

fluctuates according to a gamma distribution, Eq. (2). In this case we have a single particle Tsallis distribution

$$h_i(E_i) = \frac{n-1}{nT} \left(1 + \frac{E_i}{nT}\right)^{-n} \quad (7)$$

and a distribution of  $U = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} E_i$  is given by (cf. [35]):

$$h_\nu(U) = \frac{\Gamma(\nu+n-1)}{U\Gamma(\nu)\Gamma(n-1)} \left(\frac{U}{T}\right)^\nu \left(1 + \frac{U}{nT}\right)^{1-\nu-n}. \quad (8)$$

If the energy is limited, i.e., if  $U = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} E_i = \text{const}$ , we have the following conditional probability:

$$h(E_i|U) = \frac{h_1(E_i) h_{\nu-1}(U - E_i)}{h_\nu(U)} =$$

$$= \frac{(\nu-1)(n-1)}{(n-2+\nu)} \frac{(nT+U)}{nTU} \left( \frac{U-E_i}{U} \right)^{\nu-1} \times \\ \times \left( 1 + \frac{E_i}{nT} \right)^{-n} \left( 1 - \frac{E_i}{nT+U} \right)^{2-\nu-n}. \quad (9)$$


For  $n \rightarrow \infty$  Eq. (9) reduces to Eq. (5). On the other hand, for large energy ( $U \rightarrow \infty$ ) and large number of degrees of freedom ( $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ ), the conditional probability distribution (9) reduces to the single particle distribution given by Eq. (7).

For  $E_i \ll U$  the conditional probability (9) can be rewritten as

$$h(E_i|U) \simeq \frac{(\nu+2)(n-1)}{nT(n-2+\nu)} \left( 1 + \frac{E_i}{nT} \right)^{-n} \quad (10)$$


which, when additionally  $\nu \gg 1$ , reduces to Eq. (7).

The results presented here are summarized in Figs. 1 and 2 which show how large are differences between the *conditional* Tsallis distribution  $h(E_i|U)$  and the *usual*  $h_1(E_i)$ .



**Fig. 4.** (Color online) Jet differential yields as a function of  $p_T/\sqrt{s}$  or  $E_T/\sqrt{s}$  ( $p_T$  and  $E_T$  distributions are shown by full and open symbols, respectively) fitted by the conditional Tsallis distribution given by Eq. (9).

### 3 Imprints of constrains in transverse spectra




**Fig. 3.** (Color online) Transverse spectra for jets ( $p_T$  and  $E_T$  distribution are shown by full and open symbols, respectively) fitted by the conditional Tsallis distribution given by Eq. (9).

Now we concentrate on the experimental distributions of transverse momenta

$$f(p_T) = \frac{d^2\sigma}{p_T dp_T d\eta} \quad (11)$$

of jets observed at midrapidity and in a narrow jet cone defined by  $R = \sqrt{\Delta\eta^2 + \Delta\phi^2}$  (where  $\Delta\phi$  and  $\Delta\eta$  are, respectively, the azimuthal angle and the pseudorapidity of hadrons relative to that of the jet). Data on  $p+\bar{p}$  and  $p+p$  interactions, covering a wide energy range from 0.54 TeV



**Fig. 5.** (Color online) Jet differential yields as a function of  $p_T/U$  or  $E_T/U$  ( $p_T$  and  $E_T$  distribution are shown by full and open symbols, respectively) fitted by the conditional Tsallis distribution given by Eq. (9).

up to 7 TeV, obtained by CMS [36], CDF II [37], D0 [38] and UA2 [39] experiments was used for the analysis (cf. Table 1 for more details). Because data, which we analyze, are presented at midrapidity (i.e., for  $\eta \sim 0$ ) and for large transverse momenta,  $p_T \gg \mu$ , the energy  $E$  of a produced jets is roughly equal to its transverse momentum  $p_T$  (and transverse energy  $E_T$ ), which we shall use in what follows.

Transverse spectra for jets  $f(p_T)$ , fitted by the conditional Tsallis distribution  $h(p_T|U)$  given by Eq. (9), are shown in Fig. 3. Parameters of the Tsallis distribution used to fit the data are shown in Table 2. An available

**Table 1.** Jet production in  $p + \bar{p}$  and  $p + p$  interactions.

| Exp.   | Reaction      | Variable | $\sqrt{s}$ [TeV] | $R$ | $ \eta $ |
|--------|---------------|----------|------------------|-----|----------|
| CMS    | $p + p$       | $p_T$    | 7.0              | 0.5 | $< 0.5$  |
| CDF II | $p + \bar{p}$ | $p_T$    | 1.96             | 0.7 | $< 0.1$  |
| D0     | $p + \bar{p}$ | $E_T$    | 1.8              | 0.7 | $< 0.5$  |
| D0     | $p + \bar{p}$ | $E_T$    | 0.63             | 0.7 | $< 0.5$  |
| UA2    | $p + \bar{p}$ | $p_T$    | 0.54             | -   | $< 0.85$ |

**Table 2.** Parameters of the Tsallis distribution used to fit the data.

| Exp.   | $\sqrt{s}$ [TeV] | $n$  | $m$  | $T$ [GeV] | $U$ [GeV] |
|--------|------------------|------|------|-----------|-----------|
| CMS    | 7.0              | 6.95 | 1900 | 1.08      | 2200      |
| CDF II | 1.96             | 7.55 | 1340 | 1.08      | 1190      |
| D0     | 1.8              | 7.7  | 1050 | 1.08      | 960       |
| D0     | 0.63             | 8.0  | 800  | 1.08      | 500       |
| UA2    | 0.54             | 8.3  | 800  | 1.08      | 490       |

energy per degree of freedom

$$\frac{U}{\nu} \cong 0.22 \cdot \ln \left( \frac{\sqrt{s}}{33} \right) \quad (12)$$

increase logarithmically with energy  $\sqrt{s}$ , while  $\nu/\sqrt{s} \cong 12.7 - 2.73 \ln \sqrt{s} + 0.15 (\ln \sqrt{s})^2$  and  $U/\sqrt{s} = 2.35 - 0.23 \ln \sqrt{s}$ . The slope parameters decrease with energy as

$$n = 12.25 (\sqrt{s})^{-0.064} \quad (13)$$

and numerically are comparable with  $n(s) = 1/(q(s) - 1)$  for  $q(s)$  evaluated for transverse spectra of charged particles (for charge particles  $n = 14.78(\sqrt{s})^{-0.09}$ ) [40].

Of course many other parametrisations, than this given by Eq. (13), is possible in our limited interval of energy (0.5 TeV - 7 TeV). For example  $n = 4 + 10(\sqrt{s})^{-0.137}$  for jets and  $n = 4 + 15.6(\sqrt{s})^{-0.2}$  for charged particles. However all parametrisations lead to conclusions that  $n_{jet} = n_{char}$  at TeV energies (1.2 TeV - 1.4 TeV).

The flux at given  $p_T$  (or  $E_T$ ) increase with interaction energy  $\sqrt{s}$  as

$$f(p_T = 100 \text{ GeV}) = 5.5 \cdot 10^{-6} (\sqrt{s})^{1.85} - 0.6. \quad (14)$$

However a comparison of fluxes at transverse momenta close to the interaction energy (cf. Fig. 4 show decrease with energy

$$f(p_T/\sqrt{s} = 0.1) = 10^{14} (\sqrt{s})^{-4.7}. \quad (15)$$


With increasing interaction energy, jets are produced with lower relative transverse momenta and paradoxically for lower  $\sqrt{s}$  we are closer to the kinematical limits. For transverse momenta close to available energy  $U$ , presented in Fig. 5, fluxes of jets show rapid decrease with  $p_T/U \rightarrow 1$ .

## 4 Summary

Transverse momentum spectra of jets, spanning over 12 orders of magnitude in the observed cross sections, can be

successfully described by the conditional Tsallis distribution. Those spectra exhibit a power-law behavior of  $1/p_T^n$  for which  $n \approx 7 - 8$  ( $n \approx 8$  at 800 GeV and decrease with energy,  $n = 8(\sqrt{s}/800)^{-0.064}$ ). Observed deviation from the power-law at tail of distribution is caused by kinematical constraints. Fluxes of jets for  $p_T/\sqrt{s} = \text{const}$  are higher for lower incident energies, and are comparable for high  $p_T/U$  where fluxes have been lowering seemingly.

Comparison of power indices  $n$  for jets with those for charged particles produced in minimum bias collisions is shown in Fig. 6. The values of the corresponding power indices are similar, indicating the existence of a common mechanism behind all these processes [33]. The suggestion that power indices for jets are almost 2 times smaller than those for hadrons [9,10], comes mainly from a different parametrisation of hadrons and jets spectra (not a simple Tsallis distribution) and can not be accepted by looking directly at the corresponding distributions.



**Fig. 6.** (Color online) Slope parameters  $n$  extracted from the fits to the transverse spectra of jets (full symbols) in comparison with slope parameters for distributions of charged particles (open symbols: CMS [26,27] and WWCT [11]).

## Appendix A: Conditional Boltzmann distribution

For independent energies,  $\{E_{i=1,\dots,\nu}\}$ , each distributed according to the simple Boltzmann distribution, Eq. (4) the sum

$$U = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} E_i \quad (A-1)$$

is distributed accordingly to the following gamma distribution,

$$g_{\nu}(U) = \frac{1}{T(\nu-1)!} \left( \frac{U}{T} \right)^{\nu-1} \exp \left( -\frac{U}{T} \right). \quad (A-2)$$

If the available energy  $U$  is limited, for example if  $U = \text{const}$ , then we have the following *conditional probability*

for the single particle distribution (cf. Eq. (5)):

$$g(E_i|U) = \frac{(\nu-1)}{U} \left(1 - \frac{E_i}{U}\right)^{\nu-2}. \quad (\text{A-3})$$

This is nothing else than the well-known Tsallis distribution

$$g(E_i|U) = \frac{2-q}{T} \left[1 - (1-q)\frac{E_i}{T}\right]^{\frac{1}{1-q}} \quad (\text{A-4})$$

with nonextensivity parameter

$$q = \frac{\nu-3}{\nu-2} \quad (\text{A-5})$$

which is always less than unity and  $T = U/(\nu-1)$ .

Actually, such distributions emerge directly from the calculus of probability for situation known as *induced partition* [41]. In short:  $\nu-1$  randomly chosen independent points  $\{U_1, \dots, U_{\nu-1}\}$  breaks segment  $(0, U)$  into  $\nu$  parts, length of which is distributed according to Eq. (A-3). The length of the  $k^{\text{th}}$  such part corresponds to the value of energy  $E_k = U_{k+1} - U_k$  (for ordered  $U_k$ ). One could think of some analogy in physics to the case of random breaks of string in  $\nu-1$  points in the energy space.

We end this part by a reminder of how Tsallis distribution with  $q < 1$  arises from *statistical physics considerations*. Consider an isolated system with energy  $U = \text{const}$  and with  $\nu$  degrees of freedom. Choose a single degree of freedom with energy  $E$  (i.e. the remaining or reservoir energy is  $E_r = U - E$ ). If this degree of freedom is in a single, well defined state, then the number of states in the whole system equals  $\Omega(U - E)$ . The probability that the energy of the chosen degree of freedom equals to  $E$  is then  $P(E) \propto \Omega(U - E)$ . Expanding (slowly varying)  $\ln \Omega(E)$  around  $U$ ,

$$\ln \Omega(U - E) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\partial^{(k)} \ln \Omega}{\partial E_r^{(k)}}, \quad (\text{A-6})$$

and (because  $E \ll U$ ) keeping only the two first terms one gets  $\ln P(E) \propto \ln \Omega(E) \propto -\beta E$ , i.e.,  $P(E)$  is a Boltzmann distribution given by Eq. (4) with

$$\frac{1}{T} = \beta \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\partial \ln \Omega(E_r)}{\partial E_r}. \quad (\text{A-7})$$

On the other hand, because one usually expects that  $\Omega(E_r) \propto (E_r/\nu)^{\alpha_1 \nu - \alpha_2}$  (where  $\alpha_{1,2}$  are of the order of unity and we put  $\alpha_1 = 1$  and, to account for diminishing the number of states in the reservoir by one,  $\alpha_2 = 2$ ) [42, 43], one can write

$$\frac{\partial^k \beta}{\partial E_r^k} \propto (-1)^k k! \frac{\nu-2}{E_r^{k+1}} = (-1)^k k! \frac{\beta^{k-1}}{(\nu-2)^k} \quad (\text{A-8})$$

and write the full series for probability of choosing energy  $E$ :

$$P(E) \propto \frac{\Omega(U - E)}{\Omega(U)} = \exp \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k+1} \frac{(-\beta E)^{k+1}}{(\nu-2)^k} \right] =$$

$$= C \left(1 - \frac{1}{\nu-2} \beta E\right)^{(\nu-2)} = \beta(2-q)[1 - (1-q)\beta E]^{\frac{1}{1-q}}; \quad (\text{A-9})$$

(where we have used the equality  $\ln(1+x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k [x^{k+1}/(k+1)]$ ). This result, with  $q = (\nu-3)/(\nu-2) \leq 1$ , coincides with the results from conditional probability and the induced partition.

## References

1. C. Michael, L. Vanryckeghem, J. Phys. G **3**, L151 (1977).
2. C. Michael, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **2**, 1 (1979).
3. G. Arnison et al. (UA1 Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B **118**, 167 (1982).
4. R. Hagedorn, Riv. Nuovo Cimento **6**, 1 (1983).
5. G. Wilk, Z. Włodarczyk, Eur. Phys. J. A **40**, 299 (2009).
6. G. Wilk, Z. Włodarczyk, Cent. Eur. J. Phys. **10**, 568 (2012).
7. G. Wilk, Z. Włodarczyk, Eur. Phys. J. A **48**, 261 (2012).
8. C. Y. Wong, G. Wilk, Acta Phys. Pol. B **43**, 2047 (2012).
9. C. Y. Wong, G. Wilk, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 114007 (2013).
10. C.-Y. Wong, G. Wilk, L. J. L. Cirto, C. Tsallis, *From QCD-based hard-scattering to nonextensive statistical mechanical descriptions of transverse momentum spectra in high-energy pp and p\bar{p} collisions*, arXiv:1505.02022 [hep-ph].
11. L. J. L. Cirto, C. Tsallis, C.-Y. Wong, G. Wilk, Eur. Phys. J. Web of Conferences **90**, 04002 (2015).
12. C. Tsallis, Stat. Phys. **52**, 479 (1988).
13. C. Tsallis, Eur. Phys. J. A **40**, 257 (2009).
14. C. Tsallis, *Introduction to Nonextensive Statistical Mechanics* (Springer, 2009).
15. G. Wilk, Z. Włodarczyk, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 2770 (2000).
16. G. Wilk, Z. Włodarczyk, Chaos, Solitons Fractals **13**, 581 (2001).
17. G. Wilk, Z. Włodarczyk, Phys. Rev. C **79**, 054903 (2009).
18. T. T. Biró, G. Purcel, K. Ürmösy, Eur. Phys. J. A **40**, 325 (2009).
19. T. S. Biró, A. Jakovác, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 132302 (2005).
20. T. S. Biró, G. Purcel, K. Ürmösy, Eur. Phys. J. A **40**, 325 (2009).
21. T. S. Biró, *Is there a temperature? Conceptual Challenges at High Energy, Acceleration and Complexity*, (Springer 2011).
22. C. Beck, E. G. D. Cohen, Physica A **322**, 267 (2003).
23. F. Sattin, Eur. Phys. J. B **49**, 219 (2006).
24. B. I. Abelev et al. (STAR Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C **75**, 064901 (2007).
25. A. Adare et al. (PHENIX Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **83**, 052004 (2011).
26. V. Khachatryan et al. (CMS Collaboration), JHEP **02**, 041 (2010).
27. V. Khachatryan et al. (CMS Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 022002 (2010).
28. K. Aamodt et al. (ALICE Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B **693**, 53 (2010).
29. K. Aamodt et al. (ALICE Collaboration), Eur. Phys. J. C **71**, 1594 and 1655 (2011).
30. G. Aad et al., (ATLAS Collaboration) New J. Phys. **13**, 053033 (2011).
31. Ming Shao, Li Yi, Zebo Tang, Hongfang Chen, Cheng Li, Zhangbu Xu (2010) J. Phys. G **37**, 085104 (2010).

- 32. J. Cleymans, D. Worku, J. Phys. G **39**, 025006 (2012).
- 33. G. Wilk, Z. Włodarczyk, Phys. Lett. B **727**, 163 (2013).
- 34. G. Wilk, Z. Włodarczyk, *Quasi-power law ensembles*, arXiv: 1501.01936 [cond-mat.stat-mech]; to be published in Acta Phys. Pol. (2015).
- 35. G. Wilk, Z. Włodarczyk, Physica A **390**, 3566 (2011).
- 36. S. Chatrchyan *et al.* [CMS Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 132001 (2011) [arXiv:1106.0208 [hep-ex]].
- 37. T. Aaltonen *et al.* [CDF Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **78**, 052006 (2008) [Phys. Rev. D **79**, 119902 (2009)] [arXiv:0807.2204 [hep-ex]].
- 38. B. Abbott *et al.* [D0 Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **64**, 032003 (2001) [hep-ex/0012046].
- 39. P. Bagnaia *et al.* [UA2 Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **138**, 430 (1984).
- 40. T. Wibig, J. Phys. G **37**, 115009 (2010).
- 41. W. Feller, *An introduction to probability theory and its applications*, Vol. II, John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York 1966.
- 42. F. Reif, *Statistical Physics*, (McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York (1967)).
- 43. R. P. Feynman, *Statistical Mechanics. A set of Lectures*, (W.A. Benjamin Inc. Menlo Park, California, 1972).