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ABSTRACT. In this work we prove a residue formula for the Morita-Futaki-Bott invariant with respect to any holomorphic vector field, with isolated (possibly degenerated) singularities in terms of Grothendieck’s residues.

Résumé.

Une formule résiduelle pour l’invariant de Morita-Futaki-Bott sur une orbifold
On obtient, en utilisant les résidus de Grothendieck, une formule résiduelle pour l’invariant de Morita-Futaki-Bott par rapport à un champ de vecteurs holomorphe avec singularités isolées, dégénérées ou non.

INTRODUCTION

Let \( X \) be a compact complex orbifold of dimension \( n \). That is, \( X \) is a complex space endowed with the following property: each point \( p \in X \) possesses a neighborhood which is the quotient \( \tilde{U}/G_p \), where \( \tilde{U} \) is a complex manifold, say of dimension \( n \), and \( G_p \) is a properly discontinuous finite group of automorphisms of \( \tilde{U} \), so that locally we have a quotient map \( (\tilde{U}, \tilde{p}) \to (\tilde{U}/G_p, \tilde{p}) \). See [1].

Let \( \eta(X) \) be the complex Lie algebra of all holomorphic vector fields of \( X \). Choose any hermitian metric \( h \) on \( X \) and let \( \nabla \) and \( \Theta \) be the Hermitian connection and the curvature form with respect to \( h \), respectively. Let \( \xi \) be a global holomorphic vector field on \( X \) and consider the operator

\[
L(\xi) := [\xi, \cdot] - \nabla_\xi(\cdot) : T^{1,0}X \to T^{1,0}X.
\]

Let \( \phi \) be an invariant polynomial of degree \( n + k \); the Futaki-Morita integral invariant is defined by

\[
f_\phi(\xi) = \int_X \bar{\phi}(L(\xi), \ldots, L(\xi), \underbrace{i 2\pi \Theta, \ldots, i 2\pi \Theta}_{k \text{ times}}),
\]

where \( \bar{\phi} \) denotes the polarization of \( \phi \). Morita and Futaki proved in [7] that the definition of \( f_\phi(\xi) \) does not depend on the choice of Hermitian metric \( h \). It is well known that the Futaki-Morita integral invariant can be calculated via a Bott type residue formula for non-degenerated holomorphic vector fields, see [10], [7], [8] and [4] in the orbifold case.

In this work we prove a residue formula for holomorphic vector fields with isolated and possibly degenerated singularities in terms of Grothendieck’s residues (see [5] Chapter 5).
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Moreover, note that if \( p \) is given such that \( \xi(p) = 0 \) and \( (\tilde{U}, \tilde{p}) \) is a germ of coordinate system on \( (\tilde{U}, \tilde{p}) \), then (see \([9]\))

\[
\text{Res}_{\tilde{p}} \left\{ \phi(J\tilde{\xi}) \frac{d\tilde{z}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\tilde{z}_n}{\tilde{\xi}_1 \cdots \tilde{\xi}_n} \right\} = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n (a_i - 1)!} \left( \frac{\partial^n}{\partial\tilde{z}_1^{a_1} \cdots \tilde{z}_n^{a_n}}(\text{Det}(b_{ij})(J\tilde{\xi})) \right)(\tilde{p}).
\]

Moreover, note that if \( p \in \text{Sing}(\xi) \) is a non-degenerated singularity of \( \xi \) then

\[
\text{Res}_{\tilde{p}} \left\{ \phi(J\tilde{\xi}) \frac{d\tilde{z}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\tilde{z}_n}{\tilde{\xi}_1 \cdots \tilde{\xi}_n} \right\} = \frac{\phi(J\tilde{\xi}(\tilde{p}))}{\text{Det}(J\tilde{\xi}(\tilde{p}))}.
\]

Theorem 1 allows us to calculate the Morita-Futaki invariant for holomorphic vector fields with possible degenerated singularities. For instance, in recent work \([6]\) the Futaki-Bott residue for vector fields with degenerated singularities, on the blowup of Kähler surfaces, was calculated by Li and Shi. Compare the equation (1) with Lemma 3.6 of \([6]\).

Futaki showed in \([10]\) that if \( X \) admits a Kähler-Einstein metric then \( f_{C_1} \equiv 0 \), where \( C_1 = \text{Tr} \) denotes the trace, i.e., the first elementary symmetric polynomial. Taking \( \phi = C_1^{n+1} = \text{Tr}^{n+1} \), we obtain the following corollary of Theorem 1.

**Corollary 2.** Let \( \xi \in \eta(X) \) with only isolated singularities, then

\[
f_{C_1}^{n+1}(\xi) = \frac{-1}{(n+1)^2} \sum_{p \in \text{Sing}(\xi)} \frac{1}{\#G_p} \text{Res}_{\tilde{p}} \left\{ \frac{\text{Tr}^{n+1}(J\tilde{\xi}) d\tilde{z}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\tilde{z}_n}{\tilde{\xi}_1 \cdots \tilde{\xi}_n} \right\}.
\]

This result generalizes the Proposition 1.2 of \([4]\). It is well known that projective planes are Kähler-Einstein. However, the non-existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics on singular weighted projective planes was shown in previous works, see for example \([12]\). As an application of Theorem 1 we will give, in Section 1 a new proof of this fact.

**1. Non-existence of Kähler-Einstein Metric on Weighted Projective Planes**

Here we consider weighted complex projective planes with only isolated singularities, which we briefly recall.

Let \( w_0, w_1, w_2 \) be positive integers two by two co-primes, set \( w := (w_0, w_1, w_2) \) and \( |w| := w_0 + w_1 + w_2 \). Define an action of \( \mathbb{C}^* \) in \( \mathbb{C}^3 \setminus \{0\} \) by

\[
\lambda(z_0, z_1, z_2) \mapsto (\lambda^{w_0} z_0, \lambda^{w_1} z_1, \lambda^{w_2} z_2)
\]
and let $\mathbb{P}^2_w := \mathbb{C}^3 \setminus \{0\} / \sim$. The weights are chosen to be pairwise co-primes in order to assure a finite number of singularities and to give $\mathbb{P}^2_w$ the structure of an effective, abelian, compact orbifold of dimension 2. The singular locus is:

$$\text{Sing}(\mathbb{P}^2_w) = \{ [1 : 0 : 0]_w, [0 : 1 : 0]_w, [0 : 0 : 1]_w \}.$$

We have the canonical projection

$$\pi : \mathbb{C}^3 \setminus \{0\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2_w \quad (z_0, z_1, z_2) \longmapsto [z_0^{w_0} : z_1^{w_1} : z_2^{w_2}]_w$$

and the natural map

$$\varphi^w : \mathbb{P}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n_w \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 : 1 : 0 \end{bmatrix} \longmapsto \begin{bmatrix} z_0^{w_0} : z_1^{w_1} : z_2^{w_2} \end{bmatrix}_w$$

degree $\deg \varphi^w = w_0w_1w_2$. The map $\varphi^w$ is good in the sense of [11], which means, among other things, that $\mathcal{V}$-bundles behave well under pullback. It is shown in [11] that there is a line $\mathcal{V}$-bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_w}(1)$ on $\mathbb{P}^n_w$, unique up to isomorphism, such that

$$\varphi^w_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_w}(1) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$$

and, by naturality, $c_1(\varphi^w_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_w}(1)) = c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)) = \varphi^w_* c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_w}(1))$, from which we obtain the Chern number

$$[\mathbb{P}^2_w] \sim (c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_w}(1)))^n = \int_{\mathbb{P}^n_w} (c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_w}(1)))^2 = \frac{1}{w_0w_1w_2}$$

since

$$1 = \int_{\mathbb{P}^2_w} (c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)))^2 = \int_{\mathbb{P}^2_w} \varphi^w_* (c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_w}(1)))^2 = (\deg \varphi^w) \int_{\mathbb{P}^2_w} (c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)))^2.$$

There exist an Euler type sequence on $\mathbb{P}^n_w$,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=0}^{2} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_w}(w_i) \longrightarrow T\mathbb{P}^2_w \longrightarrow 0,$$

where

1. $1 \longmapsto (w_0z_0, w_1z_1, w_2z_2)$.
2. $(P_0, P_1, P_2) \longmapsto \pi_* \left( \sum_{i=0}^{2} P_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \right)$.

It is well known that the non-singular weighted projective planes admit Kähler-Einstein metrics. On the other side, singular weighted projective spaces do not admit Kähler-Einstein metrics, see [12]. We give a simple proof of the non-existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics on singular $\mathbb{P}^2_w$ by using Corollary 2.

**Theorem 3.** The singular weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}^2_w$ does not admit any Kähler-Einstein metric

**Proof.** Choose $a_0, a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $a_i w_j \neq a_j w_i$, for all $i \neq j$. Suppose, without loss of generality, that $1 \leq w_0 \leq w_2 < w_1$. Consider the holomorphic vector field on $\mathbb{P}^2_w$ given by

$$\xi = \sum_{k=0}^{2} a_k Z_k \frac{\partial}{\partial Z_k} \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^2_w, T\mathbb{P}^2_w),$$
where \((Z_0, Z_1, Z_3)\) denotes the homogeneous coordinate system. The local expression of \(\xi\) over \(U_i = \{ [Z_0 : Z_1 : Z_3] \in \mathbb{P}^2 ; \ Z_i \neq 0 \}\) is given by

\[
\xi_{a|U_i} = \sum_{k=0}^{2} \frac{a_k - a_i w_k}{w_i} Z_k \frac{\partial}{\partial Z_k},
\]

Therefore, the singular set \(Sing(\xi|_{U_i})\) is reduced to \(\{0\}\) and it is nondegenerate. In general

\[
Sing(\xi_a) = \{ [1 : 0 : 0]_w, [0 : 1 : 0]_w, [0 : 0 : 1]_w \} = Sing(\mathbb{P}^2_w).
\]

It follows from Corollary 2 that

\[
f(\xi_a) = \frac{-1}{3^2} \sum_{i=0}^{2} \frac{1}{w_i^2} \left( \frac{\sum_{k \neq i} (a_k w_i - a_i w_k)}{\prod_{k \neq i} (a_k w_i - a_i w_k)} \right)^3.
\]

Thus

\[
\zeta(a_0, a_1, a_2) = -3^2 w_0^2 w_1^3 w_2^2 \prod_{0 \leq i < j \leq 2} (a_i w_j - a_j w_i) f(\xi_a) =
\]

\[
(3 w_1^4 w_2^2 w_0 - 3 w_1^4 w_2^3 w_0 + 3 w_1^3 w_2^4 w_0 + 3 w_1^3 w_2^5 w_0 - 3 w_1^2 w_2^6 w_1 + 3 w_0^3 w_2^3 w_1 + 6 w_0^2 w_1^2 w_2 +
\]

\[
+3 w_0^3 w_1^2 w_2 - 3 w_0^3 w_1^3 w_2^2 - 6 w_0^2 w_1^4 w_2^2) \cdot a_1 a_2 a_0^2 + \cdots
\]

is a homogeneous polynomial of degree 4 in the variables \(a_0, a_1, a_2\). Suppose by contradiction that \(\zeta(a_0, a_1, a_2) \equiv 0\). In particular the coefficient of the monomial \(a_0^2 a_1 a_2^2\) is zero. Thus, we have the following equation

\[
w_2(w_1 w_2 + w_2^2 + w_0^2 + 2 w_0 w_2) = w_1(w_1 w_2 + w_1^2 + w_0^2 + 2 w_0 w_1).
\]

This contradicts \(1 \leq w_0 \leq w_2 < w_1\). Thus the non-vanishing of \(\zeta(a_0, a_1, a_2)\) implies that \(f(\xi_a)\) is not zero. Therefore \(\mathbb{P}_w^2\) does not admit Kähler-Einstein metrics.

\[\square\]

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

For the proof we will use Bott-Chern’s transgression method, see [2] and [3].

Let \(p_1, \ldots, p_m\) be the zeros of \(\xi\). Let \(\{U_{\beta}\}\) be an open cover orbifold of \(X (\varphi_{\beta} : \tilde{U}_{\beta} \to U_{\beta} \subset X\) coordinate map). Suppose that \(\{U_{\beta}\}\) is a trivializing neighborhood for the holomorphic tangent orbibundle \(TX\)(see [1] section 2.3) of \(X\) and that we have disjoint neighborhoods coordinates \(U_\alpha\) with \(p_\alpha \in U_\alpha\) and \(p_\alpha \not\in U_{\beta}\) if \(\alpha \neq \beta\). On each \(\tilde{U}_\alpha\), take local coordinates \(\tilde{z}^\alpha = (\tilde{z}_1^\alpha, \ldots, \tilde{z}_n^\alpha)\) and the holomorphic frame \(\{\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{z}_1^\alpha}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{z}_n^\alpha}\}\) of \(TX\). Thus, we have a local representation

\[
\tilde{\xi}^\alpha = \sum \tilde{\xi}_i^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{z}_i^\alpha},
\]

where \(\tilde{\xi}_i^\alpha\) are holomorphic functions in \(\tilde{U}_\alpha\), \(1 \leq i \leq n\). Let \(\tilde{h}_\alpha\) the Hermitian metric in \(\tilde{U}_\alpha\) defined by \(\langle \partial/\partial \tilde{z}_1^\alpha, \partial/\partial \tilde{z}_2^\alpha \rangle = \delta_j^\alpha\). Also consider \(\tilde{U}_{\alpha}' \subset \tilde{U}_\alpha\) and \(U_{\alpha}' = \varphi_{\alpha}(\tilde{U}_{\alpha}')\) for each \(\alpha\). Take a Hermitian metric \(h_0\) in any \(X \setminus \bigcup_\alpha \{p_\alpha\}\) and \(\{\rho_0, \rho_\alpha\}\) a partition of unity subordinate to the cover \(\{X \setminus \bigcup_\alpha \{p_\alpha\}, U_\alpha\}_\alpha\). Define a Hermitian metric \(h = \rho_0 h_0 + \sum \rho_\alpha h_\alpha\) in \(X\). Then we have that for every \(\alpha\), the metric curvature \(\Theta \equiv 0\) in \(U_{\alpha}'\).
Consider the matrix of the metric connection $\nabla$ in the open $\tilde{U}^\beta$ given by $\theta^\beta = (\sum_k \Gamma^\beta_{ik} dz^\beta_k)$. The local expression of $L(\xi)$ is given by $\tilde{E}^\beta = (\tilde{E}^\beta_{ij})$ such that
\[
\tilde{E}^\beta_{ij} = -\frac{\partial \xi^\beta_i}{\partial z^\beta_j} - \sum_s \Gamma^\beta_{js} \bar{\xi}^\beta_s,
\]
see [2] and [5]. We indicate by $A^{p,q}(X)$ the vector space of complex-valued $(p+q)$-forms on $X$ of type $(p,q)$. Define
\[
\phi_r := \binom{n+k}{r} \tilde{\phi}(E,\ldots,E,\Theta,\ldots,\Theta) \in A^{r,r}(X) \quad r = 0,\ldots,n.
\]
Let $\omega \in A^{1,0}(X)$ in $X \setminus Sing(\xi)$, with $\omega(\xi) = 1$. Following the Bott’s idea (see [2]), it is sufficient to show that there exists $\psi$ such that $i(\xi)(\bar{\partial} \psi + \phi_n) = 0$ on $X \setminus Sing(\xi)$. We take $\psi = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \psi_r$ such that
\[
\psi_r = \omega \wedge (\bar{\partial} \omega)^{n-r-1} \wedge \phi_r \in A^{n,n-1}(X) \quad r = 0,\ldots,n-1.
\]
The following formulas hold (see [2] or [5]):

a) $\bar{\partial} \Theta = 0$, $\bar{\partial} E = i(\xi) \Theta$

b) $\bar{\partial} \phi_r = i(\xi) \phi_{r+1}$ \quad $r = 0,\ldots,n+1$

c) $i(\xi) \bar{\partial} \omega = 0$

Let us prove b) : since $\bar{\partial} \Theta = 0$ and $\bar{\partial} E = i(\xi) \Theta$, we have
\[
\bar{\partial} \phi_r = \binom{n+k}{r} \sum_{i=1}^{n+k-r} \tilde{\phi}(E,\ldots,i(\xi)\Theta,\ldots,E,\Theta,\ldots,\Theta) = i(\xi) \phi_{r+1}.
\]
Therefore, a), b) and c) implies that on $X \setminus Sing(\xi)$ we get
\[
i(\xi)(\bar{\partial} \psi + \phi_n) = 0.
\]
Therefore $d\psi = \bar{\partial} \psi = -\phi_n$ on $X \setminus Sing(\xi)$. Thus, by Satake-Stokes Theorem we have
\[
\binom{n+k}{n} f_{\phi}(\xi) = \left(\frac{i}{2\pi}\right)^n \int_X \phi_n = \left(\frac{i}{2\pi}\right)^n \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{X \setminus \cup_{\alpha} B_\epsilon(p_\alpha)} \phi_n
\]
\[
= -\left(\frac{i}{2\pi}\right)^n \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{X \setminus \cup_{\alpha} B_\epsilon(p_\alpha)} d\psi = \left(\frac{i}{2\pi}\right)^n \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \sum_{\alpha} \int_{\partial B_\epsilon(p_\alpha)} \psi^\alpha,
\]
where is $B_\epsilon(p_\alpha) = B_\epsilon(\tilde{p}_\alpha)/G_{p_\alpha}$ and $B_\epsilon(\tilde{p}_\alpha)$ is an Euclidean ball centered at $\tilde{p}_\alpha$ such that $B_\epsilon(\tilde{p}_\alpha) \subset U_\alpha'$. Since our metric is Euclidean in $B_\epsilon(\tilde{p}_\alpha)$, its connection is zero and
\[
\tilde{E}^\alpha_{ij} = -\frac{\partial \xi^\alpha_i}{\partial z^\alpha_j}.
\]
Now, by our choice of metric, $\Theta$ and hence $\phi_r$, for $r > 0$, vanishes identically in $B_\epsilon(\tilde{p}_\alpha)$. Then, we have
\[
\tilde{\psi}^\alpha = \tilde{\psi}_0^\alpha = \omega \wedge (\bar{\partial} \omega)^{n-1} \phi(\tilde{E}^\alpha) = (-1)^{n+k} \omega \wedge (\bar{\partial} \omega)^{n-1} \phi(J\tilde{\xi}^\alpha)
\]
on $B_\epsilon(\tilde{p}_\alpha)$. Therefore
\[
\tilde{\psi}^\alpha = (-1)^k \omega \wedge (\bar{\partial} \omega)^{n-1} \phi(J\tilde{\xi}^\alpha),
\]
Consider the map $\Phi : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ given by $\Phi(\tilde{z}) = (\tilde{z} + \tilde{\xi}(\tilde{z}), \tilde{z})$. There is a $(2n, 2n - 1)$ closed form $\beta_n$ in $\mathbb{C}^{2n}\setminus\{0\}$ (the Bochner-Martinelli kernel) such that

$$\Phi^* \beta_n = \left(\frac{i}{2\pi}\right)^n \omega \wedge (\overline{\omega})^{n-1}. \quad (4)$$

Finally, if we substitute (3) and (4) in (2), and by using Martinelli’s formula (\textit{\cite{5}, pg. 655})

$$\int_{\partial B_r(\tilde{p}_a)} \phi(J\tilde{\xi}^\alpha) \Phi^* \beta_n = \text{Res}_{\tilde{p}_a} \left\{ \phi(J\tilde{\xi}^\alpha) \frac{d\tilde{z}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\tilde{z}_n}{\xi_1 \cdots \xi_n} \right\}$$

we obtain

$$\left(\frac{n+k}{n}\right) f_\phi(\xi) = (-1)^k \frac{1}{\#G_{\tilde{p}_a}} \text{Res}_{\tilde{p}_a} \left\{ \phi(J\tilde{\xi}^\alpha) \frac{d\tilde{z}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\tilde{z}_n}{\xi_1 \cdots \xi_n} \right\}.$$ 

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.** We are grateful to Marcio Soares and Marcos Jardim for many stimulating conversations on this subject.

**REFERENCES**


