

Review

# COSMOLOGICAL PROBES FOR SUPERSYMMETRY

Maxim Khlopov <sup>1,2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> APC laboratory 10, rue Alice Domon et Léonie Duquet  
75205 Paris Cedex 13, France

<sup>2</sup> National Research Nuclear University "MEPHI"  
(Moscow State Engineering Physics Institute),  
Kashirskoe Sh., 31, Moscow 115409, Russia

\* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; khlopov@apc.univ-paris7.fr, tel.  
+33676380567 fax+33 (0)157 279395

Received: 7 March 2015 / Accepted: 19 May 2015 / Published: 29 May 2015

---

**Abstract:** The multi-parameter character of supersymmetric dark-matter models implies the combination of their experimental studies with astrophysical and cosmological probes. The physics of the early Universe provides nontrivial effects of non-equilibrium particles and primordial cosmological structures. Primordial black holes (PBHs) are a profound signature of such structures that may arise as a cosmological consequence of supersymmetric (SUSY) models. SUSY-based mechanisms of baryosynthesis can lead to the possibility of antimatter domains in a baryon asymmetric Universe. In the context of cosmoparticle physics, which studies the fundamental relationship of the micro- and macro-worlds, the development of SUSY illustrates the main principles of this approach as the physical basis of the modern cosmology provides cross disciplinary tests in physical and astronomical studies.

**Keywords:** Cosmology; Particle physics; Cosmoparticle physics; Supersymmetry; Primordial Black holes; Non-equilibrium particles; Antimatter

---

## 1. Introduction

The multi-parameter space of Supersymmetric (SUSY) models inevitably implies a combination of direct and indirect studies, in which cosmological probes play an important role. Such probes provide

supplementary tools to specify the expected properties of SUSY particles both for their efficient search at accelerators and for their ability to explain cosmological dark matter. In the latter case the existence of new conservation laws in SUSY models, such as R-parity, leads to the stability of the lightest particle that possesses the corresponding conserved property. Created in the early Universe such particles should survive to the present day and play the role of dark-matter candidates. This is the simplest and most widely known cosmological impact of Supersymmetry.

However the fundamental basis of supersymmetric models contains a much wider field of cosmological consequences. This basis can naturally include physical mechanisms for inflation and baryosynthesis, and could become the physical grounds for modern inflationary cosmology with baryosynthesis and dark matter. Such mechanisms imply physics of the very early Universe, which can hardly be probed by direct experimental means, hence the necessity to extend the set of theoretical tools to study these phenomena. Moreover the absence of positive results in the experimental search for SUSY particles at accelerators can move their mass scale to values so high that only indirect methods of study would be appropriate.

Here, following the general framework of discussion of cosmological effects [1,2], we consider some nontrivial features of such effects in their possible application to supersymmetric models, paying special attention to predictions of nonequilibrium particles and primordial nonlinear structures, in which Primordial Black Holes (PBH), effects of PBH evaporation and even antimatter domains in the baryon asymmetrical Universe can provide nontrivial probes for Supersymmetry in astronomical observations and space experiments.

After a brief review of the cosmological traces of particle models and of their possible relationship with SUSY (Section 2), we turn to PBHs that can play the role of a unique theoretical tool in studies of physics of the very early Universe. We consider some mechanisms of PBH formation (Section 3) and possible effects of SUSY particles in PBH evaporation (Section 4). Metastable SUSY particles or evaporating PBHs are the source of nonequilibrium particles after Big Bang Nucleosynthesis that can influence the primordial chemical composition or provide a non-thermal production of dark matter particles (Section 5). A succession of phase transitions in the inflationary Universe can result in primordial nonlinear structures (Section 6). A profound signature of a nonhomogeneous SUSY-based mechanism for baryosynthesis is the appearance of antimatter domains in a baryon asymmetric Universe (Section 7). We conclude that the development of supersymmetric models perfectly illustrates the might of the basic principles of cosmoparticle physics [3–8], which studies the physical basis of the modern cosmology and combines probes from cosmology, astrophysics and experimental physics in a systematic way (Section 8).

## 2. Cosmological traces of particle models

Let's start following [1,2] (see also [9–13]) with a general discussion of a variety of cosmological consequences of particle models and of their possible implementation in the SUSY case.

If R-parity is conserved, the Lightest Supersymmetric Particle (LSP) should be stable. If these particles were created at the early stages of cosmological evolution, they should survive in the Universe

until the present time. Therefore the simplest cosmological effect of supersymmetric models is a gas of new stable massive particles, originated from the early Universe.

For particles with mass  $m$ , at high temperature <sup>1</sup>  $T > m$  the equilibrium condition,  $n \cdot \sigma v \cdot t > 1$  is valid, if their annihilation cross section  $\sigma > 1/(m m_{pl})$  is sufficiently large to establish equilibrium. At  $T < m$  such particles go out of equilibrium and their relative concentration freezes out. Weakly interacting species decouple from the plasma and the radiation at  $T > m$ , when  $n \cdot \sigma v \cdot t \sim 1$ , i.e. at  $T_{dec} \sim (\sigma m_{pl})^{-1}$ . This is the main idea of the calculation of primordial abundances for WIMP-like dark matter candidates such as the neutralino (see e.g. [5,8] for details).

The maximal temperature which is reached in an inflationary Universe is the reheating temperature,  $T_r$ , after inflation. So, very weakly interacting particles with annihilation cross section  $\sigma < 1/(T_r m_{pl})$ , as well as very heavy particles with mass  $m \gg T_r$  cannot be in thermal equilibrium, and the detailed mechanism of their production should be considered to calculate their primordial abundances. This is the case for the gravitino, which has a semigravitational interaction cross section.

By definition, primordial stable particles survive to the present day and should be present in the modern Universe. The net effect of their existence is given by their contribution to the total cosmological density. If they dominate at the matter dominated stage they play the role of cosmological dark matter that formed the large scale structure of the Universe. To be a dark matter candidate, stable particles should decouple from the plasma and the radiation before the beginning of matter-dominated (MD) stage. This can be satisfied for a very wide range of cross sections of particle interaction with matter - from superweak to strong. The miracle of Weakly Interacting Massive particles (such as neutralino) is that their annihilation cross section provides the frozen out concentration that explains the observed dark matter density. For the cross sections typical of weak interaction, a direct search for primordial dark-matter particles in underground experiments is possible (see [14–17] and references therein).

Dark-matter particles can annihilate in the Galaxy, contributing by their annihilation products to the flux of cosmic rays and gamma radiation. It was first found in [18] that such an effect of dark matter annihilation can provide a sensitive tool in indirect studies of dark matter by the measurements of cosmic positron and gamma background fluxes.

There may be several types of new stable particles. Then multi-component dark matter scenarios are realized. It is interesting that such a multi-component scenario can naturally arise in the heterotic-string phenomenology, which can embed both LSP and other possible types of stable particles or objects.

The mechanism of compactification and symmetry breaking leads to the prediction of homotopically stable objects [19] and shadow matter [20], giving a wide variety of new types of dark matter candidates.

The embedding of the symmetry of the Standard model and Supersymmetry within the heterotic string phenomenology can be also accompanied by the prediction of a fourth generation of quarks and leptons [21] with a stable massive 4th neutrino [22] and possibly a stable quark.

Indeed, the comparison between the rank of the unifying group  $E_6$  ( $r = 6$ ) and the rank of the Standard model ( $r = 4$ ) implies the existence of new conserved charges and new (possibly strict) gauge symmetries. A new strict gauge U(1) symmetry (similar to the U(1) symmetry of electrodynamics) is

---

<sup>1</sup> Throughout the paper we use the units  $\hbar = c = k = 1$ , if it is not specified otherwise .

possible, if it is ascribed to the fermions of the 4th generation. This hypothesis explains, in particular, the difference between the three known types of neutrinos and the neutrino of the 4th generation. The latter possesses a new gauge charge and, being a Dirac particle, cannot have a small Majorana mass due to see-saw mechanism. If the 4th neutrino is the lightest particle of the 4th quark-lepton family, the strict conservation of the new charge makes it absolutely stable. Following this hypothesis [21] the quarks and the leptons of the 4th generation are the source of a new long-range interaction ( $y$ -electromagnetism), similar to the electromagnetic interaction of ordinary charged particles.

New particles with an electric charge and/or a strong interaction can form anomalous atoms and be contained in the ordinary matter as anomalous isotopes. For example, if the lightest quark of 4th generation is stable, it can form stable charged hadrons, serving as nuclei of anomalous atoms of e.g. anomalous hydrogen and helium [23–28]. The experimental upper limits on the concentration of anomalous isotopes and especially of anomalous hydrogen severely constrains the possibility of new stable charged particles and practically rules out such particles with charges +1 or -1. However, particles with charge -2 can exist and avoid these constraints and be hidden in O-helium atoms - their neutral atom-like bound states with primordial helium nuclei. The O-helium hypothesis can explain some puzzles of dark matter searches, challenging experimental searches for stable doubly charged particles at the LHC [1,29]. The -2 charge component of O-helium can originate from stable anti-U quarks of a 4th generation, which can form a  $\bar{\Delta}$ -like ( $\bar{U}\bar{U}\bar{U}$ ) state bound by chromoelectric forces [27].

Therefore together with SUSY candidates some other types of dark matter candidates - massive stable 4th generation neutrinos, as well as nuclear-interacting O-helium dark atoms, made of stable (anti-)U quarks of the 4th generation, are possible in the heterotic string phenomenology, the framework of which favors a multicomponent analysis of dark-matter effects.

In the multi-component dark matter scenario a detailed study of the distribution of particles in space, of their condensation in galaxies, of their capture by stars, Sun and Earth, as well as of the effects of their interaction with matter and of their annihilation, provides a sensitive probe for the existence of even subdominant components. In particular, though stable neutrinos of the 4th generation with masses about 50 GeV are predicted to be the subdominant form of dark matter, contributing less than 0,1 % to the total dark matter density [18,22,30–36], this possibility can be ruled out by direct experimental searches for WIMPs (see [14–17] and references therein) and by studies of the effects of the annihilation of 4th generation neutrinos and antineutrinos in the Galaxy in the galactic gamma-background. 4th generation neutrino annihilation inside the Earth should also lead to a flux of underground monochromatic neutrinos of known types, which can be excluded by the analysis of the existing data from underground neutrino detectors.

Primordial unstable particles with a lifetime shorter than the age of the Universe,  $\tau < t_U$ , cannot survive to the present day. But, if their lifetime is sufficiently large to satisfy the condition  $\tau \gg (m_{pl}/m) \cdot (1/m)$ , their existence in the early Universe can lead to direct or indirect traces. The cosmological flux of decay products contributing to the cosmic- and gamma-ray backgrounds represents the direct trace of unstable particles. If the decay products do not survive to the present time their interaction with matter and radiation can cause indirect effects in the light element abundance or in the fluctuations of thermal radiation.

If the particle lifetime is much shorter than 1 s multi-step indirect traces are possible, provided that particles dominate in the Universe before their decay. During the dust-like stage of their dominance black hole formation takes place, and the spectrum of such primordial black holes traces the particle properties (mass, frozen concentration, lifetime) [37]. Particle decay in the end of the dust-like stage influences the baryon asymmetry of the Universe. In any case logical chains within a given cosmic phenomenology link the predicted properties of even unstable new particles to the effects accessible in astronomical observations. Such effects may be important for the analysis of the observational data.

The parameters of new stable and metastable particles are also determined by the pattern of symmetry breaking. This pattern is reflected in a succession of phase transitions in the early Universe. First order phase transitions proceed through bubble nucleation, which can result in black hole formation [38] (see e.g. [39] and [40] for review and references). Phase transitions of the second order can lead to the formation of topological defects, such as walls, strings or monopoles. The observational data put severe constraints on magnetic monopoles [41,42] and cosmic wall production [43], as well as on the parameters of cosmic strings [44,45]. The structure of the cosmological defects can be changed in successive phase transitions. More complicated forms such as walls-surrounded-by-strings can appear. Such structures can be unstable, but their existence can leave a trace in the nonhomogeneous distribution of dark matter and give rise to large scale structures of nonhomogeneous dark matter such as *archioles* [46–48]. Primordial Black Holes, whose hypothetical existence was first formulated by Zeldovich and Novikov [49], represent a profound signature of such structures.

### 3. The reflection of high-energy physics in the PBH spectrum

Primordial Black Holes (PBHs) are a very sensitive cosmological probe of physics phenomena occurring in the early Universe. They could be formed by many different mechanisms (see e.g. [1,2] for a review and reference).

After formation PBHs should remain in the Universe and, if they survive to the present day, they should represent a specific form of dark matter (see e.g. [50]). PBH evaporation by Hawking radiation [51] makes them a source of products of evaporation, which contain any type of particles that can exist in our space-time, both known and unknown. For a wide range of parameters the predicted effect of PBHs contradicts the data and puts restrictions on the mechanisms of PBH formation and on the underlying physics of the very early Universe. On the other hand, for some fixed values of their parameters, PBHs or their evaporation can provide a nontrivial solution to some astrophysical problems.

Here we outline, following [1,2,50], the relationship of new physics with the mechanisms of PBH formation and the possible reflection of its parameters in the PBH spectrum.

#### 3.1. PBHs from superheavy metastable particles

The formation of a black hole is highly improbable in a homogeneous expanding Universe, since it implies metric fluctuations of order 1. For Gaussian metric fluctuations with a dispersion  $\langle \delta^2 \rangle \ll 1$ , the probability of such fluctuations is determined by the exponentially small tail of the high-amplitude part of the distribution. This probability can even be more suppressed in the case of non-Gaussian fluctuations [2].

If the Universe has an equation of state  $p = \gamma\epsilon$ , with the numerical factor  $\gamma$  being in the range  $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ , the probability to form a black hole from fluctuations within the cosmological horizon is given by [52]

$$W_{PBH} \propto \exp\left(-\frac{\gamma^2}{2\langle\delta^2\rangle}\right). \quad (1)$$

It provides an exponential sensitivity of the PBH spectrum to the softening of the equation of state in the early Universe ( $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ ) or to the increase of the ultraviolet part of the spectrum of density fluctuations ( $\langle\delta^2\rangle \rightarrow 1$ ). These phenomena can appear as cosmological consequence of particle theory.

It was first noticed in [53] that the dominance of superheavy metastable particles with lifetime  $\tau \ll 1$  s in the Universe before their decay at  $t \leq \tau$  can result in the formation of PBHs, remaining in the Universe after the particles have decayed and keeping some information on the particle properties in their spectrum.

After reheating, at  $T < T_0 = rm$ , particles with mass  $m$  and relative abundance  $r = n/n_r$  (where  $n$  is the frozen-out concentration of particles and  $n_r$  is the concentration of relativistic species) must dominate in the Universe before their decay. Dominance of these nonrelativistic particles at  $t > t_0$ , where  $t_0 = m_{pl}/T_0^2$ , corresponds to a dust-like stage with an equation of state  $p = 0$ , for which the particle density fluctuations grow as

$$\delta(t) = \frac{\delta\rho}{\rho} \propto t^{2/3} \quad (2)$$

and the development of gravitational instability results in the formation of gravitationally bound systems, which decouple from the general cosmological expansion at

$$t \sim t_f \approx t_i \delta(t_i)^{-3/2}, \quad (3)$$

with  $\delta(t_f) \sim 1$  for fluctuations, which enter the horizon at  $t = t_i > t_0$  with amplitude  $\delta(t_i)$ .

The formation of these systems can result in black-hole formation either immediately after the system decouples from the expansion or as a result of the evolution of initially formed nonrelativistic gravitationally bound systems [54,55].

If the density fluctuation is especially homogeneous and isotropic, it directly collapses to PBH as soon as the amplitude of fluctuation grows to 1 and the system decouples from the expansion. The probability for direct PBH formation in the collapse of such homogeneous and isotropic configurations gives a minimal estimate of PBH formation at the dust-like stage.

The mechanism [2,53] is effective for the formation of PBHs with masses in the interval

$$M_0 \leq M \leq M_{bhmax}. \quad (4)$$

The minimal mass corresponds to the mass within the cosmological horizon at time  $t \sim t_0$ , when particles start to dominate in the Universe and it is equal to [2,53]

$$M_0 = \frac{4\pi}{3} \rho t_0^3 \approx m_{pl} \left(\frac{m_{pl}}{rm}\right)^2. \quad (5)$$

The maximal mass is indirectly determined by the condition

$$\tau = t(M_{bhmax}) \delta(M_{bhmax})^{-3/2} \quad (6)$$

that fluctuations at the considered scale  $M_{bhmax}$ , entering the horizon at  $t(M_{bhmax})$  with an amplitude  $\delta(M_{bhmax})$ , can manage to grow to the nonlinear stage, decouple and collapse before particles decay at  $t = \tau$ . For a scale-invariant spectrum  $\delta(M) = \delta_0$  the maximal mass is given by [2]

$$M_{bhmax} = m_{pl} \frac{\tau}{t_{Pl}} \delta_0^{-3/2} = m_{pl}^2 \tau \delta_0^{-3/2}. \quad (7)$$

The direct mechanism of PBH formation can also be effective during a prereheating dust-like post-inflationary stage of inflaton field oscillations [56].

### 3.2. Spikes from phase transitions during an inflationary stage

A scale-dependent spectrum of fluctuations, in which the amplitude of small scale fluctuations is enhanced, can be another factor increasing the probability of PBH formation. The simplest functional form of such a spectrum is represented by a blue spectrum with a power law dispersion

$$\langle \delta^2(M) \rangle \propto M^{-k}, \quad (8)$$

with an amplitude of fluctuation growing at small  $M$  for  $k > 0$ . A realistic account of the existence of other scalar fields together with the inflaton during the period of inflation can give rise to spectra with distinctive scales, determined by the parameters of the considered fields and by their interaction.

In a chaotic inflation scenario, the interaction of a Higgs field  $\phi$  with the inflaton  $\eta$  can give rise to phase transitions during the inflationary stage, if this interaction induces a positive mass term  $+\frac{\nu^2}{2}\eta^2\phi^2$ . When in the course of slow rolling the amplitude of the inflaton decreases below a certain critical value  $\eta_c = m_\phi/\nu$  the mass term in the Higgs potential

$$V(\phi, \eta) = -\frac{m_\phi^2}{2}\phi^2 + \frac{\lambda_\phi}{4}\phi^4 + \frac{\nu^2}{2}\eta^2\phi^2 \quad (9)$$

changes sign and a phase transition takes place. Such a phase transitions during the inflationary stage lead to the appearance of characteristic spikes in the spectrum of initial density perturbations. These spike-like perturbations, at scales that cross the horizon from 60 to 1  $e$ -folds before the end of inflation reenter the horizon during the radiation or dust-like era and could in principle collapse to form primordial black holes. The possibility of such spikes in a chaotic inflation scenario was first pointed out in [57] and implemented in [58] as a mechanism of PBH formation.

If a phase transition takes place at  $e$ -folding  $N$  before the end of inflation, the spike re-enters the horizon at the radiation dominance (RD) stage and forms Black hole of mass

$$M \approx \frac{m_{Pl}^2}{H_0} \exp\{2N\}, \quad (10)$$

where  $H_0$  is the Hubble constant during the period of inflation.

If the spike re-enters the horizon during the matter dominance (MD) stage it should form black holes of mass

$$M \approx \frac{m_{Pl}^2}{H_0} \exp\{3N\}. \quad (11)$$

### 3.3. PBHs from a first-order phase transitions in the early Universe

First-order phase transitions go through bubble nucleation. The simplest way to describe first order phase transitions with bubble creation in the early Universe is based on a scalar field theory with two non degenerate vacuum states. Being stable at the classical level, the false vacuum state decays due to quantum effects, leading to a nucleation of bubbles of true vacuum and their subsequent expansion [2]. The potential energy of the false vacuum is converted into the kinetic energy of the bubble walls thus making them highly relativistic in a short time. The bubble expands till it collides with another one. As it was shown in [39] a black hole may be created in a collision of two bubbles.

Just after the collision, the mutual penetration of the walls up to a distance comparable with their width is accompanied by a significant increase in potential energy [2]. Then the walls reflect each other and accelerate backwards. The space between them gets filled by the field in the false vacuum state, converting the kinetic energy of the wall back to the energy of the false vacuum state and slowing down the velocity of the walls. Meanwhile the outer area of the false vacuum is absorbed by the outer wall, which expands and accelerates outwards. There is an instant when the central region of the false vacuum is causally separated from the walls [59]. If this false vacuum bag shrinks in its oscillations within its gravitational radius, a black hole is formed. The mass of this PBH is given by (see [39])

$$M_{BH} = \gamma_1 M_{bub} \quad (12)$$

where  $\gamma_1 \simeq 10^{-2}$  and  $M_{bub}$  is the mass that could be contained in the bubble volume at the epoch of collision for a full thermalization of bubbles.

If inflation ends with a first-order phase transition, collisions between bubbles of Hubble size in the percolation regime lead to copious PBH formation with masses

$$M_0 = \gamma_1 M_{end}^{hor} = \frac{\gamma_1}{2} \frac{m_{pl}^2}{H_{end}}, \quad (13)$$

where  $M_{end}^{hor}$  is the mass within the Hubble horizon at the end of inflation. According to ([39]) the initial mass fraction of these PBHs is given by  $\beta_0 \approx \gamma_1/e \approx 6 \cdot 10^{-3}$ . For example, for typical value of  $H_{end} \approx 4 \cdot 10^{-6} m_{pl}$  the initial mass fraction  $\beta$  corresponds to PBHs with masses  $M_0 \approx 1$  g.

## 4. Gravitino production by PBH evaporation

Presently there is no observational evidence proving the existence of PBHs. However, even the absence of PBHs provides a very sensitive theoretical tool to study the physics of the early Universe. PBHs represent a nonrelativistic form of matter and their density decreases with the scale factor  $a$  as  $a^{-3} \propto T^3$ , while the total density is  $\propto a^{-4} \propto T^4$  in the period of radiation dominance (RD). As it must be formed within the horizon, a PBH of mass  $M$  can be formed only after

$$t(M) = \frac{M}{m_{pl}} t_{pl} = \frac{M}{m_{pl}^2}. \quad (14)$$



If they are formed during the RD stage, the smaller are the masses of PBHs, the larger their relative contribution to the total density during the modern MD stage. Therefore, even the modest constraint on the density of PBHs of mass  $M$

$$\Omega_{PBH}(M) = \frac{\rho_{PBH}(M)}{\rho_c} \quad (15)$$

in units of critical density  $\rho_c = 3H^2/(8\pi G)$  from the condition that their contribution  $\alpha(M)$  to the total density

$$\alpha(M) \equiv \frac{\rho_{PBH}(M)}{\rho_{tot}} = \Omega_{PBH}(M) \quad (16)$$

for  $\rho_{tot} = \rho_c$  does not exceed that of dark matter

$$\alpha(M) = \Omega_{PBH}(M) \leq \Omega_{DM} = 0.23 \quad (17)$$

converts into a severe constraint on their contribution

$$\beta \equiv \frac{\rho_{PBH}(M, t_f)}{\rho_{tot}(t_f)} \quad (18)$$

during the period  $t_f$  of their formation. If formed during the RD stage at  $t_f = t(M)$ , given by (14), which corresponds to the temperature  $T_f = m_{pl}\sqrt{m_{pl}/M}$ , PBHs contribute to the total density at the end of the RD stage at  $t_{eq}$ , corresponding to  $T_{eq} \approx 1$  eV, by a factor  $a(t_{eq})/a(t_f) = T_f/T_{eq} = m_{pl}/T_{eq}\sqrt{m_{pl}/M}$  larger than in the period of their formation. The constraint on  $\beta(M)$ , following from Eq.(17) is then given by

$$\beta(M) = \alpha(M) \frac{T_{eq}}{m_{pl}} \sqrt{\frac{M}{m_{pl}}} \leq 0.23 \frac{T_{eq}}{m_{pl}} \sqrt{\frac{M}{m_{pl}}}. \quad (19)$$

The possibility of PBH evaporation, revealed by S. Hawking [51], strongly influences the effects of PBHs. In the strong gravitational field near gravitational radius  $r_g$  of the PBH, the quantum effect of creation of particles with momentum  $p \sim 1/r_g$  is possible. Due to this effect, the PBH becomes a black-body source of particles with temperature (in the units  $\hbar = c = k = 1$ )

$$T = \frac{1}{8\pi GM} \approx 10^{13} \text{GeV} \frac{1\text{g}}{M}. \quad (20)$$

The evaporation time scale can be written in the following form

$$\tau_{BH} = \frac{M^3}{g_* m_{pl}^4} \quad (21)$$

where  $g_*$  is the number of effective massless degrees of freedom. For  $M \leq 10^{14}$  g, it is less, than the age of the Universe. Such PBHs can not survive to the present day and the magnitude of Eq.(17) should be re-defined as the contribution to the total density at the moment of PBH evaporation. For PBHs formed during the RD stage and evaporated during the RD stage at  $t < t_{eq}$ , the relationship Eq.(19) between  $\beta(M)$  and  $\alpha(M)$  is given by [37,60]

$$\beta(M) = \alpha(M) \frac{m_{pl}}{M}. \quad (22)$$

The relationship between  $\beta(M)$  and  $\alpha(M)$  has a more complicated form if PBHs are formed during early dust-like stages [5,37,61,62], or if such stages take place after the PBH formation[5,62]. The

relative contribution of PBHs to the total density does not grow during the dust-like stage and the relationship between  $\beta(M)$  and  $\alpha(M)$  is model-dependent. A minimal model-independent factor  $\alpha(M)/\beta(M)$  follows from the enhancement taking place only during the RD stage between the first second of expansion and the end of RD stage at  $t_{eq}$ , since radiation dominance in this period is supported by the light element abundances and by the spectrum of the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) [5,8,37,61,62]

The effects of PBH evaporation make astrophysical data much more sensitive to the existence of PBHs. Constraining the abundance of primordial black holes can lead to invaluable information on cosmological processes, particularly as they are probably the only viable probe of the power spectrum at very small scales, which remain far from the CMB and from the Large Scale Structures (LSS) sensitivity ranges. To date, only PBHs with initial masses between  $\sim 10^9$  g and  $\sim 10^{16}$  g have led to stringent limits (see *e.g.* [37,63–66]) from the consideration of the entropy per baryon, the deuterium destruction, the  $^4\text{He}$  destruction and the cosmic rays currently emitted by the Hawking process [51]. The existence of light PBHs should lead to important observable constraints, either through the direct effects of the evaporated particles (for initial masses between  $10^{14}$  g and  $10^{16}$  g) or through the indirect effects of their interaction with matter and radiation in the early Universe (for PBH masses between  $10^9$  g and  $10^{14}$  g).

Several constraints on the density of PBHs have been derived in different mass ranges assuming the evaporation to standard model particles only: for  $10^9$  g  $< M < 10^{13}$  g the entropy per baryon at nucleosynthesis was used [67] to obtain  $\beta < (10^9 \text{ g}/M)$ , for  $10^9$  g  $< M < 10^{11}$  g the production of  $n\bar{n}$  pairs at nucleosynthesis was used [68] to obtain  $\beta < 3 \times 10^{-17}(10^9 \text{ g}/M)^{1/2}$ , for  $10^{10}$  g  $< M < 10^{11}$  g deuterium destruction was used [69] to obtain  $\beta < 3 \times 10^{-22}(M/10^{10} \text{ g})^{1/2}$ , for  $10^{11}$  g  $< M < 10^{13}$  g spallation of  $^4\text{He}$  was used [62,70] to obtain  $\beta < 3 \times 10^{-21}(M/10^9 \text{ g})^{5/2}$ , for  $M \approx 5 \times 10^{14}$  g the gamma and cosmic rays were used [71,72] to obtain  $\beta < 10^{-28}$ . Slightly more stringent limits were obtained in [73], leading to  $\beta < 10^{-20}$  for masses between  $10^9$  g and  $10^{10}$  g and in [74], leading to  $\beta < 10^{-28}$  for  $M = 5 \times 10^{11}$  g. Gamma rays and antiprotons were also recently re-analyzed in [75] and [76], improving a little the previous estimates. Such constraints, related to phenomena occurring after nucleosynthesis, apply only for black holes with initial masses above  $\sim 10^9$  g. Below this value, the only limits for a long time was the very weak entropy constraint (related with the photon-to-baryon ratio).

However, since the evaporation products are created by the gravitational field, any quantum with a mass lower than the black hole temperature should be emitted, independently of the strength of its interaction. This could provide a copious production of superweakly interacting particles that cannot be in equilibrium with the hot plasma of the very early Universe. It makes evaporating PBHs a unique source of all the species which can exist in the Universe.

To derive a limit in the initial mass range  $m_{pl} < M < 10^{11}$  g, gravitinos emitted by black holes<sup>2</sup> were considered in [78]. Gravitinos are expected to be present in all local supersymmetric models, which are regarded as the more natural extensions of the standard model of high energy physics (see, *e.g.*, [79] for an introductory review).

---

<sup>2</sup> The limits on the abundance of PBHs from gravitino production were first calculated in [77].

Following [5,8,62,80] and [77,81] (but in a different framework and using more stringent constraints), limits on the mass fraction of black holes at the time of their formation ( $\beta \equiv \rho_{PBH}/\rho_{tot}$ ) were derived in [78] using the production of gravitinos during the evaporation process. Depending on whether gravitinos are expected to be stable or metastable, limits are obtained using the requirement that they do not overclose the Universe or that the formation of light nuclei by the interactions of  ${}^4\text{He}$  nuclei with a nonequilibrium flux of D,T, ${}^3\text{He}$  and  ${}^4\text{He}$  does not contradict the observations. This approach is more constraining than the usual study of photo-dissociation induced by photons-photinos pairs emitted by decaying gravitinos. It opened a new window for the upper limits on  $\beta$  below  $10^9$  g.

## 5. Nonequilibrium particles

Superweakly interacting gravitinos could not be in thermal equilibrium and thus the mechanisms of their production gives rise to appearance of nonequilibrium particles during the radiation dominated stage. Metastable particles, decaying via hadronic or electromagnetic channels after Big Bang nucleosynthesis, result in fluxes of photons and electron-positron pairs with energies much larger than the thermal energies in this period. Nucleon-antinucleon pairs from such decays represent a profound example of the creation of species that cannot be in equilibrium in the considered period. The interaction of primordial nuclei with nonequilibrium particles from electromagnetic and hadronic cascades leads to recoil nuclei and nuclear fragments with energies above the Coulomb barrier, and makes successive nuclear reactions possible.

In the framework of minimal Supergravity (mSUGRA), the gravitino mass is, by construction, expected to lie around the electroweak scale, *i.e.* in the 100 GeV range. In this case, the gravitino is *metastable* and decays after nucleosynthesis, leading to important modifications of the nucleosynthesis paradigm. Instead of using the usual photon-photino decay channel, the study of [78] relied on the more sensitive gluon-gluino channel. Based on [82–86], the antiprotons produced by the fragmentation of gluons emitted by decaying gravitinos were considered as a source of nonequilibrium light nuclei resulting from collisions of those antiprotons on equilibrium nuclei. Then,  ${}^6\text{Li}$ ,  ${}^7\text{Li}$  and  ${}^7\text{Be}$  nuclei production by the interactions of the nonequilibrium nuclear flux with  ${}^4\text{He}$  nuclei in equilibrium was taken into account and compared with data (this approach is supported by several recent analyses [87,88] which lead to similar results). The resulting Monte-Carlo estimates [85] lead to the following constraint on the concentration of gravitinos:  $n_{3/2} < 1.1 \times 10^{-13} m_{3/2}^{-1/4}$ , where  $m_{3/2}$  is the gravitino mass in GeV. This constraint has been successfully used to derive an upper limit on the reheating temperature [85]:  $T_R < 3.8 \times 10^6$  GeV. The consequences of this limit on cosmic-rays emitted by PBHs was considered, *e.g.*, in [89]. In the approach of [78] this stringent constraint on the gravitino abundance was related to the density of PBHs through direct gravitino emission. The usual Hawking formula [51] was used for the number of particles of type  $i$  emitted per unit of time  $t$  and per unit of energy  $Q$ . Introducing the temperature defined by Eq. (20)  $T = hc^3/(16\pi^2 kGM) \approx (10^{13}\text{g})/M$  GeV, taking the relativistic approximation for  $\Gamma_s$ , and integrating over time and energy, the total number of quanta of type  $i$  can be estimated as:

$$N_i^{TOT} = \frac{27 \times 10^{24}}{64\pi^3 \alpha_{SUGRA}} \int_{T_i}^{T_{Pl}} \frac{dT}{T^3} \int_{m/T}^x \frac{x^2 dx}{e^x - (-1)^s} \quad (23)$$

where  $T$  is in GeV,  $m_{pl} \approx 10^{-5}$  g,  $x \equiv Q/T$ ,  $m$  is the particle mass and  $\alpha_{SUGRA}$  accounts for the number of degrees of freedom through  $M^2 dM = -\alpha_{SUGRA} dt$  where  $M$  is the black hole mass. Once the PBH temperature is higher than the gravitino mass, gravitinos will be emitted with a weight related to their number of degrees of freedom. Computing the number of emitted gravitinos as a function of the PBH initial mass and matching it with the limit on the gravitino density imposed by nonequilibrium nucleosynthesis of light elements leads to an upper limit on the PBH number density. If PBHs are formed during a radiation dominated stage, this limit can easily be converted into an upper limit on  $\beta$  by evaluating the energy density of the radiation at the formation epoch. The resulting limit is shown on Fig. 1 and leads to an important improvement over previous limits, nearly independently of the gravitino mass in the interesting range. This opens a new window on the very small scales in the early Universe.

It is also possible to consider limits arising in Gauge-Mediated-Susy-Breaking (GMSB) models [90]. Those alternative scenarios, incorporating a natural suppression of the rate of flavor-changing neutral currents due to the low energy scale, predict the gravitino to be the Lightest Supersymmetric Particle (LSP). The LSP is stable if R-parity is conserved. In this case, a limit was obtained [78] by requiring  $\Omega_{3/2,0} < \Omega_{M,0}$ , *i.e.* by requiring that the current gravitino density does not exceed the matter density. It can easily be derived from the previous method, by taking into account the dilution of gravitinos in the period of PBH evaporation and the conservation of gravitino to keep specific entropy ratio, that [78]:

$$\beta \leq \frac{\Omega_{M,0}}{N_{3/2} \frac{m_{3/2}}{M} \left(\frac{t_{eq}}{t_f}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \quad (24)$$

where  $N_{3/2}$  is the total number of gravitinos emitted by a PBH with initial mass  $M$ ,  $t_{eq}$  is the end of the RD stage and  $t_f = \max(t_{form}, t_{end})$  is the time at which a non-trivial equation of state for the period of PBH formation is considered, *e.g.* a dust-like phase which ends at  $t_{end}$  [61]. The limit (24) does not imply the thermal equilibrium of the relativistic plasma in the period before PBH evaporation and is valid even for low reheating temperatures provided that the equation of state during the preheating stage is close to relativistic. With the present matter density  $\Omega_{M,0} \approx 0.30$  [91] this leads to the limit shown in Fig. 2 for  $m_{3/2} = 10$  GeV. Following (24) this limit scales with gravitino mass as  $m_{3/2}^{-1}$ . Models of gravitino dark matter with  $\Omega_{3/2,0} = \Omega_{CDM,0}$ , corresponding to the case of equality in the above formula, were considered in [92,93].

## 6. Massive Primordial Black Holes from the collapse of closed walls

A wide class of particle models possesses a symmetry breaking pattern, which can be effectively described by pseudo-Nambu–Goldstone (PNG) fields and which corresponds to the formation of an unstable topological defect structure in the early Universe (see [40] for a review and references). The Nambu–Goldstone nature of such an effective description reflects the spontaneous breaking of a global U(1) symmetry, resulting in a continuous degeneracy of vacua. The explicit symmetry breaking at a smaller energy scale changes this continuous degeneracy to a discrete vacuum degeneracy. The characteristics of the formed structures is different for phase transitions taking place during post-inflationary or inflationary stages.

**Figure 1.** Constraints of [78] on the fraction of the Universe going into PBHs (adapted from [37,63–65]). The two curves obtained with gravitino emission in mSUGRA correspond to  $m_{3/2} = 100$  GeV (lower curve in the high mass range) and  $m_{3/2} = 1$  TeV (upper curve in the high mass range)

**Figure 2.** Constraints of [78] on the fraction of the Universe going into PBHs (adapted from [37,63–65]). The curve obtained with gravitinos emission in GMSB correspond to  $m_{3/2} = 10$  GeV and scales with gravitino mass as  $\propto m_{3/2}^{-1}$ .

### 6.1. Structures from a succession of $U(1)$ phase transitions

At high temperatures such a symmetry breaking pattern implies a succession of second order phase transitions. In the first transition, continuous degeneracy of vacua leads, at scales exceeding the correlation length, to the formation of topological defects in the form of a string network; in the second phase transition, continuous transitions in space between degenerate vacua form surfaces: domain walls surrounded by strings. This last structure is unstable, but, as was shown in the example of the invisible axion [46–48], it is reflected in the large-scale inhomogeneity of the distribution of energy density of coherent PNG (axion) field oscillations. This energy density is proportional to the initial value of the phase, which acquires the dynamical meaning of the amplitude of the axion field, when the axion mass is switched on in the result of the second phase transition.

The value of the phase changes by  $2\pi$  around the string. This strong nonhomogeneity of phase leads to a corresponding nonhomogeneity of the energy density of coherent PNG (axion) field oscillations. The usual argument (see e.g. [94] and references therein) is essential only on scales corresponding to the mean distance between strings. This distance is small, being of the order of the scale of the cosmological horizon in the period during which PNG field oscillations start. However, since the nonhomogeneity of the phase follows the pattern of the axion string network, this argument misses large-scale correlations in the distribution of the energy density of oscillations'.

Indeed, a numerical analysis of string networks (see review in [95]) indicates that large string loops are strongly suppressed and that a fraction of about 80% of string length, corresponding to long loops, remains virtually the same at all large scales. This property is the other side of the well known scale invariant character of string networks. Therefore the correlations in the energy density should persist on large scales, as was shown in [46–48].

The large-scale correlations in topological defects and their imprints in primordial inhomogeneities constitute an indirect effect of inflation, if phase transitions take place after the reheating of the Universe. Inflation provides in this case identical conditions for the phase transitions which takes place in causally disconnected regions.

If phase transitions take place during the inflationary stage, new forms of primordial large scale correlations appear. The value of the phase after the first phase transition is inflated over the region corresponding to the period of inflation, while during inflation fluctuations of this phase change its initial value within regions of smaller size. Owing to such fluctuations, for a fixed value of  $\theta_{60}$  in the period of inflation with  $e$ -folding  $N = 60$  corresponding to the part of the Universe within the modern cosmological horizon, strong deviations from this value appear at smaller scales, corresponding to later periods of inflation with  $N < 60$ . If  $\theta_{60} < \pi$ , the fluctuations can move the value of  $\theta_N$  to  $\theta_N > \pi$  in some regions of the Universe. After reheating as a result of the second phase transition these regions, which correspond to a vacuum with  $\theta_{vac} = 2\pi$ , are surrounded by the bulk of the volume with vacuum  $\theta_{vac} = 0$ . As a result, massive walls are formed at the border between the two vacua. Since regions with  $\theta_{vac} = 2\pi$  are confined, the domain walls are closed. As they fully enter the horizon, closed walls can collapse into black holes.

This mechanism can lead to the formation of primordial black holes of arbitrarily large mass (up to the mass of AGNs [96], see for latest review [97]). Such black holes appear in the form of primordial

black hole clusters, exhibiting a fractal distribution in space [40,98,99]. They can shed new light on the problem of galaxy formation [40,100].

## 6.2. Formation of closed walls in an inflationary Universe

To describe a mechanism for the appearance of massive walls of a size essentially greater than the horizon at the end of inflation, let us consider a complex scalar field with the potential [40,96,98,99]

$$V(\varphi) = \lambda(|\varphi|^2 - f^2/2)^2 + \delta V(\theta), \quad (25)$$

where  $\varphi = r e^{i\theta}$ . This field coexists with an inflaton field which drives the Hubble constant  $H$  during the inflationary stage. The term

$$\delta V(\theta) = \Lambda^4 (1 - \cos \theta), \quad (26)$$

reflecting the contribution of instanton effects to the Lagrangian renormalization (see for example [101]), is negligible during the inflationary stage and during some period in the FLRW expansion. The omitted term (26) becomes significant when the temperature falls below the values  $T \sim \Lambda$ . The mass of the radial field component  $r$  is assumed to be sufficiently large with respect to  $H$ , which means that the complex field is in the ground state even before the end of inflation. Since the term (26) is negligible during inflation, the field has the form  $\varphi \approx f/\sqrt{2} \cdot e^{i\theta}$  and the quantity  $f\theta$  acquires the meaning of a massless field.

At the same time, the well established behavior of quantum field fluctuations on de Sitter background [102] implies that the wavelength of a vacuum fluctuation of every scalar field grows exponentially, having a fixed amplitude. Namely, when the wavelength of a particular fluctuation, in the inflating Universe, becomes greater than  $H^{-1}$ , the average amplitude of this fluctuation freezes out at some non-zero value because of the large friction term in the equation of motion of the scalar field, whereas its wavelength grows exponentially. Such a frozen fluctuation is equivalent to the appearance of a classical field that does not vanish after averaging over macroscopic space intervals. Because the vacuum must contain fluctuations at every wavelength, inflation leads to the creation of more and more new regions containing a classical field of specific amplitude with a scale greater than  $H^{-1}$ . In the case of an effectively massless Nambu–Goldstone field considered here, the averaged amplitude of the phase fluctuations generated during each e-fold (time interval  $H^{-1}$ ) is given by

$$\delta\theta = H/2\pi f. \quad (27)$$

Let us assume that the part of the Universe observed inside the contemporary horizon  $H_0^{-1} = 3000h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$  was inflating, over  $N_U \simeq 60$  e-folds, from a single causally connected domain of size  $H^{-1}$ , for which the average value of the phase is  $\theta_0$ . When inflation begins in this region, after one e-fold, the volume of the Universe increases by a factor  $e^3$ . The typical wavelength of the fluctuation  $\delta\theta$  generated during every e-fold is equal to  $H^{-1}$ . Thus, the whole domain  $H^{-1}$ , containing  $\theta_0$ , after the first e-fold effectively becomes divided into  $e^3$  separate, causally disconnected domains of size  $H^{-1}$ . Each domain corresponds to an almost homogeneous phase value  $\theta_0 \pm \delta\theta$ . Thereby, more and more domains appear with time, in which the phase differs significantly from the initial value  $\theta_0$ . A crucial point is the appearance of domains with a phase  $\theta > \pi$ . Appearing only after a certain period of time during which



the Universe exhibited exponential expansion, these domains turn out to be surrounded by a space with phase  $\theta < \pi$ . The coexistence of domains with phases  $\theta < \pi$  and  $\theta > \pi$  leads, in the following, to the formation of a large-scale structure of topological defects.

The potential (25) possesses a  $U(1)$  symmetry which is spontaneously broken at least after some period of inflation. Note that the phase fluctuations during the first e-folds may, generally speaking, transform into fluctuations of the cosmic microwave radiation, and this will lead to restrictions on the scaling parameter  $f$ . This difficulty can be avoided by taking into account the interaction of the field  $\varphi$  with the inflaton field (i.e. by making parameter  $f$  a variable [40]). This spontaneous breakdown is holding through the condition of smallness of the radial mass,  $m_r = \sqrt{\lambda_\phi} > H$ . At the same time the condition

$$m_\theta = \frac{2f^2}{\Lambda} \ll H \quad (28)$$

on the angular mass provides the freezing out of the phase distribution until some moment of the FRW epoch. After the violation of condition (28) the term (26) contributes significantly to the potential (25) and explicitly breaks the continuous symmetry along the angular direction. Thus, the potential (25) eventually has a number of discrete degenerate minima in the angular direction at the points  $\theta_{min} = 0, \pm 2\pi, \pm 4\pi, \dots$ .

As soon as the angular mass  $m_\theta$  is of the order of the Hubble rate, the phase starts oscillating about the potential minimum, with different initial values being in various space domains. Moreover, in the domains with the initial phase  $\pi < \theta < 2\pi$ , the oscillations proceed around the potential minimum at  $\theta_{min} = 2\pi$ , whereas the phase in the surrounding space tends to a minimum at the point  $\theta_{min} = 0$ . At the end of the decaying phase oscillations, the system contains domains characterized by the phase  $\theta_{min} = 2\pi$  surrounded by space with  $\theta_{min} = 0$ . Apparently, if we move in any direction from the inside to the outside of the domain, we will unavoidably pass through a point where  $\theta = \pi$  because the phase varies continuously. This implies that a closed surface characterized by the phase  $\theta_{wall} = \pi$  must exist. The size of this surface depends on the moment of the domain formation in the inflation period, while the shape of the surface may be arbitrary. The key point for the subsequent considerations is that the surface is closed. After reheating of the Universe, the evolution of domains with the phase  $\theta > \pi$  proceeds on the background of the Friedman expansion and is described by the relativistic equation of state. When the temperature falls down to  $T_* \sim \Lambda$ , an equilibrium state between the "vacuum" phase  $\theta_{vac} = 2\pi$  inside the domain and the  $\theta_{vac} = 0$  phase outside of it is established. Since the equation of motion corresponding to potential (26) admits a kink-like solution (see [95] and references therein), which interpolates between two adjacent vacua  $\theta_{vac} = 0$  and  $\theta_{vac} = 2\pi$ , a closed wall corresponding to the transition region at  $\theta = \pi$  is formed. The surface energy density of a wall of width  $\sim 1/m \sim f/\Lambda^2$  is of the order<sup>3</sup> of  $\sim f\Lambda^2$ .

Note that if the coherent phase oscillations do not decay for a long time, their energy density can play the role of CDM. This is the case, for example, in the cosmology of the invisible axion (see [94] and references therein).

It is clear that immediately after the end of inflation, the size of domains which contain a phase  $\theta_{vac} > 2\pi$  exceeds by far the horizon size. This situation is replicated in the size distribution of vacuum

---

<sup>3</sup> The existence of such domain walls in the theory of the invisible axion was first pointed out in [103].

walls, which appear at the temperature  $T_* \sim \Lambda$  whence the angular mass  $m_\theta$  starts to build up. Those walls, which are larger than the cosmological horizon, still follow the general FLRW expansion until the moment when they get causally connected as a whole; this happens as soon as the size of a wall becomes equal to the horizon size  $R_h$ . Evidently, internal stresses developed in the wall after crossing the horizon initiate processes tending to minimize the wall surface. This implies that the wall tends, first, to acquire a spherical shape and, second, to contract toward its centre. For simplicity, we will consider below the motion of closed spherical walls <sup>4</sup>.

The wall energy is proportional to its area at the instant of crossing the horizon. At the moment of maximum contraction, this energy is almost completely converted into kinetic energy [106]. Should the wall at the same moment be localized within the gravitational radius, a PBH is formed.

A detailed study of black hole (BH) formation was made in [96]. The results of these calculations are sensitive to the parameter  $\Lambda$  and to the initial phase  $\theta_U$ . As the  $\Lambda$  value decreases to  $\sim 1$  GeV, still greater PBHs appear with masses of up to  $\sim 10^{40}$  g. A change in the initial phase leads to sharp variations in the total number of black holes. As was shown above, each domain generates a family of subdomains in its close vicinity. The total mass of such a cluster is only 1.5–2 times that of the largest initial black hole in this space region. Thus, the calculations confirm the possibility of formation of clusters of massive PBHs ( $\sim 100M_\odot$  and above) in the earliest stages of the evolution of the Universe at a temperature of  $\sim 1 - 10$  GeV. These clusters represent stable energy density fluctuations around which baryonic matter (and cold dark matter) may concentrate in the subsequent stages, followed by the evolution into galaxies.

It should be noted that additional energy density is supplied by closed walls of small sizes. Indeed, because of the smallness of their gravitational radius, they do not collapse into BHs. After several oscillations such walls disappear, leaving coherent fluctuations of the PNG field. These fluctuations contribute to a local energy density excess, thus facilitating the formation of galaxies.

The mass range of formed PBHs is constrained by the fundamental parameters of the model  $f$  and  $\Lambda$ . The maximal BH mass is determined by the condition that the wall does not dominate locally before it enters the cosmological horizon. Otherwise, local wall dominance leads to a superluminal  $a \propto t^2$  expansion for the corresponding region, separating it from the other parts of the Universe. This condition corresponds to the mass [40]

$$M_{max} = \frac{m_{pl}}{f} m_{pl} \left( \frac{m_{pl}}{\Lambda} \right)^2. \quad (29)$$

The minimal mass follows from the condition that the gravitational radius of BH exceeds the width of the wall and is equal to [40,98]

$$M_{min} = f \left( \frac{m_{pl}}{\Lambda} \right)^2. \quad (30)$$

Closed wall collapse leads to a primordial gravitational wave spectrum, peaked at [2]

$$\nu_0 = 3 \cdot 10^{11} (\Lambda/f) \text{ Hz} \quad (31)$$

with energy density up to

$$\Omega_{GW} \approx 10^{-4} (f/m_{pl}). \quad (32)$$

<sup>4</sup> The motion of closed vacuum walls has been derived analytically in [104,105].

At  $f \sim 10^{14}$  GeV this primordial gravitational wave background can reach  $\Omega_{GW} \approx 10^{-9}$ . For the physically reasonable values of

$$1 < \Lambda < 10^8 \text{ GeV} \quad (33)$$

the maximum of the spectrum is at

$$3 \cdot 10^{-3} < \nu_0 < 3 \cdot 10^5 \text{ Hz}. \quad (34)$$

Another profound signature of the considered scenario consists in gravitational wave signals from the merging of PBHs in the PBH cluster. These effects can provide tests of the considered approach in the eLISA experiment.

## 7. Antimatter in a baryon-asymmetric Universe?

Primordial strong inhomogeneities can also appear in the baryon charge distribution. The appearance of antibaryon domains in the baryon asymmetrical Universe, reflecting the inhomogeneity of baryosynthesis, is a profound signature of such a strong inhomogeneity [107]. In the model of spontaneous baryosynthesis (see Ref. [108] for a review) the possibility of the existence of antimatter domains, surviving to the present day in an inflationary Universe with inhomogeneous baryosynthesis was considered in [109].

The mechanism of spontaneous baryogenesis [110] implies the existence of a complex scalar field  $\chi = (f/\sqrt{2}) \exp(i\theta)$  carrying the baryonic charge. The  $U(1)$  symmetry, which corresponds to the baryon charge, is spontaneously and explicitly broken. The explicit breakdown of the  $U(1)$  symmetry is caused by the phase-dependent term

$$V(\theta) = \Lambda^4(1 - \cos \theta). \quad (35)$$

The possible baryon- and lepton-number violating interaction of the field  $\chi$  with matter fields can have the following structure [108]

$$\mathcal{L} = g\chi\bar{Q}L + \text{h.c.}, \quad (36)$$

where the fields  $Q$  and  $L$  represent a heavy quark and a lepton, coupled to the ordinary matter fields. In the early Universe, at a time when the friction term, induced by the Hubble constant, becomes comparable to the angular mass  $m_\theta = \frac{\Lambda^2}{f}$ , the phase  $\theta$  starts to oscillate around the minima of the potential and decays into matter fields according to (36). The coupling (36) gives rise to the following [108]: as the phase starts to roll down in the clockwise direction (Fig. 3), it preferentially creates an excess of baryons over antibaryons, while the opposite is true as it starts to roll down in the opposite direction.

The fate of such antimatter regions depends on their size. If their physical size is larger than the critical size  $L_c = 8h^2$  kpc [109], they survive annihilation with surrounding matter. The evolution of sufficiently dense antimatter domains can lead to the formation of antimatter globular clusters [112]. The existence of such a cluster in the halo of our Galaxy should lead to the pollution of the galactic halo by antiprotons. Their annihilation can reproduce [113] the observed galactic gamma background in the range of tens to hundreds of MeV. The prediction of an antihelium component in cosmic rays [114],

**Figure 3.** The inflationary evolution of the phase (taken from [111]). The phase  $\theta_{60}$  sits in the range  $[\pi, 0]$  at the beginning of inflation and makes Brownian step  $\delta\theta_{eff} = H_{infl}/(2\pi f_{eff})$  at each e-fold. The typical wavelength of the fluctuation  $\delta\theta$  is equal to  $H_{infl}^{-1}$ . The whole domain  $H_{infl}^{-1}$ , containing the phase  $\theta_N$  gets divided, after one e-fold, into  $e^3$  causally disconnected domains of radius  $H_{infl}^{-1}$ . Each new domain contains an almost homogeneous phase value  $\theta_{N-1} = \theta_N \pm \delta\theta_{eff}$ . Every successive e-fold, this process repeats in every domain.

accessible to searches for cosmic ray antinuclei in the AMS02 experiment, as well as of antimatter meteorites [115] provides a direct experimental test for this hypothesis. The possibility of formation of dense antistars within an extension of the Affleck-Dine scenario of baryogenesis and the strategies for their search were recently considered in [116].

So primordial strong inhomogeneities in the distribution of total, dark matter and baryon density in the Universe are a new important phenomenon in cosmological models based on physics beyond the Standard model.

## 8. Supersymmetry in the context of Cosmoparticle physics

Observational cosmology offers strong evidence favoring the existence of new physics, and suggests experimental approaches to their investigation. Cosmoparticle physics [3–5,8], studying the physical, astrophysical and cosmological impact of new laws of Nature, explores new forms of matter and their physical properties. The development of SUSY models follows the main principles of Cosmoparticle physics and offers a great challenge for theoretical and experimental research. Physics of dark matter in all its aspects plays important role in this process.

The necessity to extend the Standard model by supersymmetry has serious theoretical reasons. Aesthetically, because it helps to achieve full unification for the Standard model; practically, because it removes its internal tensions. Supersymmetry can also provide a complete physical basis for cosmology. It can pretend to explain inflation, baryosynthesis and nonbaryonic dark matter. The white spots in the representations of supersymmetric models correspond to new unknown particles. The extension of the symmetry of the gauge group introduces new gauge fields, mediating new interactions. Global symmetry breaking results in the existence of Goldstone boson fields.

In particle physics, direct experimental probes for the predictions of particle theory are the most attractive. The predicted supersymmetric partners of known particles are accessible to experimental

search at accelerators if their masses are within a few-TeV range. However, the predictions related to higher energy scale need non-accelerator or indirect means for their test.

Cosmoparticle physics offers complementary tools via indirect and non-accelerator direct searches for new physics and its possible properties. In experimental cosmoarcheology, data can be obtained, which links the new physics with astrophysical observations (see [117]). In experimental cosmoparticle physics the parameters, fixed from the consistency of cosmological models and observations, define the level at which the new types of particle processes should be searched for (see [118]). These basic principles of cosmoparticle physics have been widely implemented in the development of supersymmetric models.

The theories of everything should provide a complete physical basis for cosmology and naturally involve supersymmetry. The problem is that string theory [119] is now in the form of "theoretical theory", for which many doubt that experimental probes exist. cosmoparticle physics can remove these doubts.

For a long time, scenarios with Primordial Black holes belonged dominantly to cosmological "fantasies", as they provided restrictions on physics of the very early Universe from the contradiction of their predictions with observational data. Even this negative type of information makes PBHs an important theoretical tool. Being formed in the very early Universe as an initially nonrelativistic form of matter, PBHs should have increased their contribution to the total density during the RD stage of expansion, while the effect of PBH evaporation should have strongly increased the sensitivity of astrophysical data to their presence. Indeed, astrophysical constraints on hypothetical sources of cosmic or gamma rays, on light element abundances and on the spectrum of the CMB can be linked to restrictions on superheavy particles in the early Universe and on first- and second-order phase transitions, thus making astrophysical data a sensitive probe of particle symmetry structure and of the pattern of its breaking at superhigh energy scales.

The gravitational mechanism of particle creation in PBH evaporation makes evaporating PBHs a unique source of any species of particles which can exist in our space-time. At least theoretically, PBHs can be treated as the source of such particles, which are strongly suppressed in any other astrophysical mechanism of particle production, either due to a very large mass of these species, or owing to their superweak interaction with ordinary matter.

By construction, astrophysical constraints exclude effects predicted to be larger than observed. At the edge such constraints convert into an alternative mechanism for the observed phenomenon. At some fixed values of parameters, the PBH spectrum can play a positive role and shed new light on old astrophysical problems.

Common sense dictates that PBHs should have small sub-stellar mass. The formation of PBHs within the cosmological horizon, which was very small in the very early Universe, seems to argue for this viewpoint. However, phase transitions during the inflationary stage can provide spikes in the spectrum of fluctuations at any scale, or lead to the formation of closed massive domain walls of any size.

In the latter case, the existence of primordial clouds of massive black holes around an intermediate-mass or supermassive black hole is possible. Such clouds have a fractal spatial distribution. This approach suggests a radically new scenario for galaxy formation. Traditionally, the Big Bang model assumes a homogeneous distribution of matter at all scales, whereas the appearance of observed inhomogeneities is related to the growth of small initial density perturbations. However, the analysis of the cosmological consequences of the particle theory indicates the possible existence of strongly

inhomogeneous primordial structures in the distribution of both dark matter and baryons. These primordial structures represent a new factor in galaxy formation theory. Topological defects such as the cosmological walls and filaments, primordial black holes, archioles in the models of axionic CDM, and inhomogeneous baryosynthesis (leading to the formation of antimatter domains in a baryon-asymmetric Universe [5,8,40,107,109,110,112–115,120–125]) are an incomplete list of possible primary inhomogeneities inferred from the existing elementary particle models.

We can conclude that, within the modern cosmological paradigm, from the very beginning to the present time, the evolution of the Universe was governed by physical laws which we still don't fully know. These laws must come from a fundamental particle symmetry beyond the Standard model and they imply the use of methods of cosmoparticle physics for their study. Cosmoparticle physics originates from the well-established relation between the microscopic and the macroscopic descriptions in theoretical physics. This is reminiscent of the links between statistical physics and thermodynamics, or between electrodynamics and the theory of the electron. At the end of the XXth Century, a new instance of this kind of relationship was realized. It came both from the cosmological necessity to go beyond the world of known elementary particles to settle the physical grounds for inflationary cosmology with baryosynthesis and dark matter, and from the necessity for particle theory to use cosmological tests as an important and in many cases unique way to probe its predictions. The development of supersymmetric models perfectly reflects this direction of fundamental knowledge.

**Acknowledgments** I express my gratitude to Prof. D.Cline for the kind invitation to contribute to this Special issue and to all my co-authors of the original papers, on which the present review is based. I am grateful to Prof. J.R. Cudell for reading the manuscript and valuable comments. The work on initial cosmological conditions was supported by the Ministry of Education and Science of Russian Federation, project 3.472.2014/K and the work on the forms of dark matter was supported by grant RFBR 14-22-03048.

### Conflicts of Interest

The author declares no conflict of interest.

### References

1. Khlopov, M.Yu. Fundamental Particle Structure in the Cosmological Dark Matter. *Int. J. of Mod. Phys. A* **2013**, *28*, 1330042 (60 pages), DOI: 10.1142/S0217751X13300421
2. Khlopov, M.Yu. Primordial Black Holes. *Res.Astron.Astrophys.* **2010**, *10*, 495-528, DOI: 10.1088/1674-4527/10/6/001
3. Sakharov, A.D. Cosmoparticle physics - a multidisciplinary science. *Vestnik AN SSSR* **1989**, *4*, 39-40.
4. Khlopov, M.Yu. Fundamental cross-disciplinary studies of microworld and Universe. *Vestnik of Russian Academy of Sciences* **2001**, *71*, 1133-1137.
5. Khlopov, M.Yu. *Cosmoparticle physics*, World Scientific, New York -London-Hong Kong - Singapore, 1999.

6. Khlopov, M.Yu. Beyond the standard model in particle physics and cosmology: Convergence or divergence? in *Neutrinos and Explosive Events in the Universe*, Springer, Berlin, 2005, pp. 73-82.
7. Khlopov, M.Yu. Cosmoparticle physics: Cross-disciplinary study of physics beyond the standard model. *Bled Workshops in Physics* **2006**, *7*, 51-62.
8. Khlopov, M.Yu. *Fundamentals of Cosmoparticle physics* CISP-Springer, Cambridge, 2012.
9. Bertone, G. *Particle dark matter: Observations, models and searches*, Cambridge Univ. Pr., UK, 2010.
10. Frenk, C. S.; White, S. D. M. Dark matter and cosmic structure *Ann. Phys.* **2012** *524*, 507-534, DOI: 10.1002/andp.201200212
11. Gelmini, G. B. Search for Dark Matter. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **2008**, *23* 4273-4288, DOI: 10.1142/S0217751X08042729
12. Aprile, E.; Profumo, S. Focus on dark matter and particle physics. *New J. Phys.* **2009**, *11*, 105002 (4 pages), DOI: 10.1088/1367-2630/11/10/105002
13. Feng, J. L. Dark Matter Candidates from Particle Physics and Methods of Detection. *Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* **2010**, *48*, 495-545. DOI: 10.1146/annurev-astro-082708-101659
14. Bernabei, R., et al. Dark Matter investigation by DAMA at Gran Sasso. *Int. J. of Mod. Phys. A* **2013**, *28*, 1330022 (73 pages), DOI: 10.1142/S0217751X13300226
15. CDMS Collaboration ( Agnese, R. *et al.*) Silicon detector results from the first five-tower run of CDMS II. *Phys.Rev. D***2013**, *88*, 031104 (5 pages), DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.88.059901, 10.1103/PhysRevD.88.031104
16. XENON100 Collaboration (Aprile, E. *et al.*) Dark Matter Results from 225 Live Days of XENON100 Data. *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **2012**, *109*, 181301 (5 pages), DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.181301
17. LUX Collaboration (Akerib, D. S. *et al.*), First results from the LUX dark matter experiment at the Sanford Underground Research Facility. *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **2014**, *112*, 091303 (7 pages), DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.091303
18. Zeldovich, Ya.B.; Klypin, A.A.; Khlopov, M.Yu.; and Chechetkin, V.M. Astrophysical bounds on the mass of heavy stable neutral leptons. *Sov.J.Nucl.Phys.* **1980**, *31*, 664-669.
19. Kogan, Ia.I.; Khlopov, M.Yu. Homotopically stable particles in superstring theory. *Sov.J.Nucl.Phys.* **1987**, *64*, 193-194.
20. Kogan, Ia.I.; Khlopov, M.Yu. Cosmological consequences of the E(8)xE(8)' superstring models. *Sov.J.Nucl.Phys.* **1986**, *44*, 873-874.
21. Khlopov, M.Yu.; Shibaev, K.I. New physics from superstring phenomenology. *Gravitation and Cosmology Suppl.* **2002**, *8*, 45-52.
22. Golubkov, Yu.A.; Fargion, D.; Khlopov, M.Yu.; Konoplich, R.V.; Mignani, R. Possible effects of the existence of the fourth generation neutrino. *JETP Letters***1999**, *69*, 434-440, DOI: 10.1134/1.568047
23. Belotsky, K.M.; Khlopov, M.Yu. Cosmoparticle physics of the 4th generation neutrino. *Gravitation and Cosmology***2001**, *7*, 189-192.
24. Belotsky, K.M.; Fargion, D.; Khlopov, M.Yu.; Konoplich, R.V.; Ryskin, M.G.; Shibaev, K.I. Heavy hadrons of 4th family hidden in our Universe and close to detection? *Grav.Cosm.Suppl.* **2005**, *11*, 3-15.

25. Fargion, D.; Khlopov, M.Yu. Tera-Leptons Shadows over Sinister Universe. *Gravitation and Cosmology*, **2013**, *19*, 219–231, DOI: 10.1134/S0202289313040063
26. Fargion, D.; Khlopov, M.Yu.; Stephan, C.A. Cold dark matter by heavy double charged leptons? *Class. Quantum Grav.* **2006**, *23*, 7305-7354, DOI: 10.1088/0264-9381/23/24/008
27. Khlopov, M.Yu. Composite dark matter from 4th generation. *JETP Letters* **2006**, *83*, 1-4, DOI: 10.1134/S0021364006010012
28. Belotsky, K.M.; Khlopov, M.Yu.; Shibaev, K.I. Composite Dark Matter and its Charged Constituents. *Gravitation and Cosmology* **2006**, *12*, 1-7.
29. Khlopov, M.Yu. Physics of Dark Matter in the Light of Dark Atoms. *Mod. Phys. Lett. A*, **2011** *26*, 2823-2839, DOI: 10.1142/S0217732311037194
30. Fargion, D.; Khlopov, M.Yu.; Konoplich, R.V.; Mignani, R. Bounds on very heavy relic neutrinos by their annihilation in the Galactic halo. *Phys. Rev. D* **1995**, *52*, 1828-1836.
31. Fargion, D.; Konoplich, R.V.; Grossi, M.; Khlopov, M.Yu. Galactic Gamma Halo by Heavy Neutrino annihilations? *Astropart. Phys.* **2000**, *12*, 307-314, DOI: 10.1016/S0927-6505(99)00094-8
32. Belotsky, K.M.; Khlopov, M.Yu. Astrophysical Signature of the 4th Neutrino. *Gravitation and Cosmology Suppl.* **2002**, *8*, 112-117.
33. Belotsky, K.M.; Fargion, D.; Khlopov, M.Yu.; Konoplich, R.V. May Heavy neutrinos solve underground and cosmic ray puzzles? *Phys. Atom. Nucl.* **2008**, *71*, 147-161, DOI: 10.1007/s11450-008-1016-9
34. Belotsky, K.M.; Khlopov, M.Yu.; Shibaev, K.I. Monochromatic neutrinos from massive fourth generation neutrino annihilation in the Sun and in the Earth. *Part. Nucl. Lett.* **2001**, *108*, 5-17.
35. Belotsky, K.M.; Khlopov, M.Yu.; Shibaev, K.I. Monochromatic neutrinos from the annihilation of fourth-generation massive stable neutrino in the Sun and in the Earth. *Phys. Atom. Nucl.* **2002**, *65*, 382-391, DOI: 10.1134/1.1451957
36. Belotsky, K.M.; Damour, T.; Khlopov, M.Yu. Implications of a solar-system population of massive 4th generation neutrinos for underground searches of monochromatic neutrino-annihilation signals. *Phys. Lett.* **2002**, *B529*, 10-18, DOI: 10.1016/S0370-2693(02)01234-0
37. Polnarev, A.G.; Khlopov, M.Yu. Cosmology, Primordial Black Holes, And Supermassive Particles. *Sov. Phys. Uspekhi* **1985**, *28*, 213-232, DOI: 10.1070/PU1985v028n03ABEH003858
38. Hawking, S.W.; Moss, I.G.; Stewart, J.M. Bubble Collisions in the Very Early Universe *Phys. Rev. D* **1982**, *26*, 2681-2693, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.26.2681
39. Konoplich, R.V.; Rubin, S.G.; Sakharov, A.S.; Khlopov, M.Yu. Formation of black holes in the first order phase transitions as cosmological test of mechanisms of symmetry breaking. *Phys. Atom. Nucl.* **1999**, *62*, 1593-1600.
40. Khlopov, M.Yu.; Rubin, S.G., *Cosmological pattern of microphysics in inflationary universe*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2004.
41. Zeldovich, Ya.B.; Khlopov, M.Yu. On the concentration of relic magnetic monopoles in the Universe. *Phys. Lett.* **1978**, *B79*, 239-242, DOI: 10.1016/0370-2693(78)90232-0
42. Preskill, J.P. Cosmological Production of Superheavy Magnetic Monopoles. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1979**, *43*, 1365-1374, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.43.1365



43. Zeldovich, Ya.B.; Kobzarev, I.Yu.; Okun, L.B. Cosmological Consequences of the Spontaneous Breakdown of Discrete Symmetry *Sov. Phys. JETP* **1975**, *40*, 1-5
44. Zeldovich, Ya.B. Cosmological fluctuations produced near a singularity. *Mon. Not. Roy. Astr. Soc.* **1980**, *192*, 663-667.
45. Vilenkin, A. Cosmological density fluctuations produced by vacuum strings. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1981**, *46*, 1169-1172.
46. Khlopov, M.Yu.; Sakharov, A. S. The nonhomogeneity problem for the primordial axion field. *Phys. Atom. Nucl.* **1994** *57* 485-487.
47. Khlopov, M.Yu.; Sakharov, A.S.; Sokoloff, D.D. Large scale modulation of the distribution of coherent oscillations of a primordial axion field in the Universe. *Phys. Atom. Nucl.* **1996** *59* 1005-1010.
48. Khlopov, M.Yu.; Sakharov, A.S.; Sokoloff D. D. The nonlinear modulation of the density distribution in standard axionic CDM and its cosmological impact. *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **1999** *72* 105-109, DOI: 10.1016/S0920-5632(98)00511-8
49. Zeldovich, Ya.B.; Novikov, I.D. The Hypothesis of Cores Retarded during Expansion and the Hot Cosmological Model *Sov. Astronomy* **1967**, *10*, 602-609.
50. Belotsky, K.M. *et al.* Signatures of primordial black hole dark matter. *Mod. Phys. Lett. A*, **2014** *29*, 1440005 (15 pages), DOI 10.1142/S0217732314400057
51. Hawking, S.W. Particle Creation by Black Holes. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **1975**, *43*, 199-220. DOI: 10.1007/BF02345020
52. Carr, B.J. The primordial black hole mass spectrum. *Astroph. J.* **1975**, *201*, 1-19. DOI: 10.1086/153853
53. Khlopov, M.Yu.; Polnarev, A.G. Primordial Black Holes As A Cosmological Test Of Grand Unification. *Phys. Lett* **1980**, *B97*, 383-387, DOI: 10.1016/0370-2693(80)90624-3
54. Kalashnikov, O.K.; Khlopov, M.Yu. On the possibility of checking the cosmology of asymptotically free SU(5) theory. *Phys. Lett.* **1983**, *127B*, 407-422, DOI: 10.1016/0370-2693(83)90281-2
55. Kadnikov, A.F.; Maslyankin, V.I.; Khlopov, M.Yu. Modelling of evolution of quasi-stellar systems, formed by particles and antiparticles in early Universe. *Astrophysics* **1990**, *31*, 523-531, DOI:10.1007/BF01004401
56. Khlopov, M.Yu.; Malomed, B.A.; Zel'dovich, Ya.B. Gravitational instability of scalar fields and formation of primordial black holes. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **1985**, *215*, 575-589.
57. Kofman, L.A.; Linde, A.D. Generation of Density Perturbations in the Inflationary Cosmology. *Nucl. Phys. B* **1987** *282*, 555-626. DOI: 10.1016/0550-3213(87)90698-5
58. Sakharov, A.S.; Khlopov, M.Yu. Cosmological signatures of family symmetry breaking in multicomponent inflation models. *Phys. Atom. Nucl.* **1993**, *56*, 412-417.
59. Dymnikova, I.; Khlopov, M.Yu.; Koziel, L.; Rubin, S.G. Quasilumps from first-order phase transitions. *Gravitation and Cosmology* **2000**, *6*, 311-318.
60. Novikov, I.D.; Polnarev, A.G.; Starobinski, A.A.; Zeldovich, Ya.B. Primordial black holes. *Astron. Astrophys.* **1979**, *80*, 104-109.
61. Polnarev, A.G.; Khlopov, M.Yu. Dustlike Stages in the Early Universe and Constraints on the Primordial Black-Hole Spectrum. *Sov. Astron.* **1982**, *26*, 391-395.

62. Chechetkin, V.M.; Khlopov, M.Y.; Sapozhnikov, M.G. Anti-proton Interactions With Light Elements As A Test Of Gut Cosmology. *Riv. Nuovo Cim.* **1982**, *5*, 1-79, DOI: 10.1007/BF02740484
63. Carr, B.J.; Gilbert, J.H.; Lidsey, J.E. Black hole relics and inflation: Limits on blue perturbation spectra. *Phys. Rev. D* **1994**, *50*, 4853-4867, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.50.4853
64. Carr, B.J.; MacGibbon, J.H. Cosmic rays from primordial black holes and constraints on the early universe. *Phys. Rept.* **1998**, *307*, 141-154, DOI: 10.1016/S0370-1573(98)00039-8
65. Liddle, A.R.; Green, A.M. Cosmological constraints from primordial black holes. *Phys. Rept.* **1998**, *307*, 125-131, DOI: 10.1016/S0370-1573(98)00069-6
66. Cline, D.B. Primordial black holes and short gamma-ray bursts. *Phys. Rept.* **1998**, *307*, 173-180, DOI: 10.1016/S0370-1573(98)00046-5
67. Miyama, S.; Sato, K. The Upper Bound Of The Number Density Of Primordial Black Holes From The Big Bang Nucleosynthesis. *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **1978**, *59*, 1012-1018. DOI: 10.1143/PTP.59.1012
68. Zeldovich, Ya.B.; Starobinski, A.A.; Khlopov, M.Yu.; Chechetkin, V.M. Primordial black holes and the problem of deuterium. *Sov. Astron. Lett.* **1977**, *3*, 110-112.
69. Lindley, D. Primordial black holes and the deuterium abundance. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **1980**, *193*, 593-601.
70. Vainer, B.V.; Dryzhakova, D.V.; Nasselskii, P.D. Primordial black holes and cosmological nucleosynthesis. *Sov. Astron. Lett.* **1978**, *4*, 185-187.
71. MacGibbon, J.H.; Carr, B.J. Cosmic rays from primordial black holes. *Astrophys. J.* **1991**, *371*, 447-469, DOI: 10.1086/169909
72. Barrau, A.; Blais, D.; Boudoul, G.; Polarski, D. Galactic cosmic rays from pbhs and primordial spectra with a scale. *Phys. Lett. B* **2003**, *551*, 218-225, DOI: 10.1016/S0370-2693(02)03060-5
73. Kohri, K.; Yokoyama, J. Primordial black holes and primordial nucleosynthesis. 1. Effects of hadron injection from low mass holes. *Phys. Rev. D* **2000**, *61*, 023501 (16 pages), DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.61.023501
74. Barrau, A.; Boudoul, G.; Derome, L. An improved gamma-ray limit on the density of pbhs. In *Proc. 28th ICRC conference*, Tsukuba **2003**, Cosmic Ray, pp.1697-1699
75. Barrau, A., Boudoul, G.; Donato, F.; Maurin, D.; Salati, P.; Taillet, R. Antiprotons from primordial black holes. *Astron. Astrophys.* **2002**, *388*, 676-687, DOI: 10.1051/0004-6361:20020313
76. Custodio, P.S.; Horvath, J.E. Bounds on the cosmological abundance of primordial black holes from diffuse sky brightness: Single mass spectra. *Phys. Rev. D* **2002**, *65*, 024023 (8 pages), DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.65.024023
77. Lemoine, M. Moduli constraints on primordial black holes, *Phys. Lett. B* **2000**, *481*, 333-338., DOI: 10.1016/S0370-2693(00)00469-X
78. Khlopov, M.Yu.; Barrau, A.; Grain, J. Gravitino production by Primordial Black Hole evaporation and constraints on the inhomogeneity of the early Universe. *Class. Quantum Grav* **2006**, *23*, 1875-1882. DOI: 10.1088/0264-9381/23/6/004
79. Olive, K.A. Introduction to supersymmetry: Astrophysical and phenomenological constraints. In *The Primordial Universe*, Eds. P. Binetruy, R. Schaffer, J. Silk, F. David. Berlin, Germany, Springer-Verlag, 2001, pp. 221-293, DOI: 10.1007/3-540-45334-2-5

80. Khlopov, M.Yu.; Chechetkin, V.M. Antiprotons in the Universe as a cosmological test of Grand Unification. *Sov. J. Part. Nucl.* **1987**, *18*, 267-288.
81. Green, A.M. Supersymmetry and primordial black hole abundance constraints. *Phys. Rev. D* **1998**, *60*, 063516 (8 pages), DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.60.063516
82. Khlopov, M.Yu.; Linde, A.D. Is it easy to save gravitino? *Phys. Lett.* **1984**, *138B*, 265-268, DOI: 10.1016/0370-2693(84)91656-3
83. Balestra, F.; Piragino, G.; Pontecorvo, D.B.; Sapozhnikov, M.G.; Falomkin, I.V.; Khlopov, M.Yu. Low-energy Anti-p He-4 Annihilation And Problems Of The Modern Cosmology, Gut And Susy Models. *Sov.J.Nucl.Phys.* **1984** *39*, 626-631, DOI: 10.1007/BF02831163
84. Levitan, Yu.L.; Sobol, I.M.; Khlopov, M.Yu.; Chechetkin, V.M. Production of light elements in cascades from energetic antiprotons in early Universe and problems of nuclear cosmoarcheology. *Sov.J.Nucl.Phys.* **1988**, *47*, 109-115.
85. Khlopov, M.Yu., Levitan, Yu.L., Sedelnikov, E.V., and Sobol, I.M. Nonequilibrium cosmological nucleosynthesis of light elements: Calculations by Monte Carlo method *Phys. Atom. Nucl.* **1994**, *57*, 1393-1397.
86. Sedel'nikov, E.V.; Filippov, S.S.; Khlopov, M.Yu. Kinetic theory of nonequilibrium cosmological nucleosynthesis *Phys. Atom. Nucl.* **1995**, *58*, 235-242.
87. Jedamzik, K. Did something decay, evaporate, or annihilate during Big Bang nucleosynthesis? *Phys. Rev. D* **2004**, *70*, 063524 (7 pages). DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.70.063524
88. Kawasaki, M.; Kohri, K.; Moroi, T. Hadronic decay of late - decaying particles and Big-Bang Nucleosynthesis *Phys.Lett.* **2005** *B625*, 7-12 DOI: 10.1016/j.physletb.2005.08.045
89. Barrau, A.; Ponthieu, N. Could the next generation of cosmology experiments exclude supergravity? *Phys. Rev. D* **2004**, *69*, 105021 (8 pages). DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.69.105021
90. Kolda, C.F. Gauge mediated supersymmetry breaking: Introduction, review and update *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **1998**, *62*, 266-275. DOI: 10.1016/S0920-5632(97)00667-1
91. Planck Collaboration: Ade, P. A. R. *et al.* Planck 2015 results. XIII. Cosmological parameters. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1502.01589>
92. Jedamzik, K.; Lemoine, M.; Moultaqa, G. Gravitino dark matter in gauge mediated supersymmetry breaking *Phys.Rev.* **2006** *D73*, 043514 (21 pages) DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.73.043514
93. Lemoine, M., Moultaqa, G., and Jedamzik, K. Natural gravitino dark matter in SO(10) gauge mediated supersymmetry breaking *Phys.Lett.* **2007**, *B645*, 222-227 DOI: 10.1016/j.physletb.2006.12.025
94. Kim, J.E. Light Pseudoscalars, Particle Physics and Cosmology *Phys. Rept.* **1987**, *150*, 1-177, DOI: 10.1016/0370-1573(87)90017-2
95. Vilenkin, A.; Shellard, E.P.S. *Cosmic Strings and other Topological Defects*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1994.
96. Rubin, S.G.; Sakharov, A.S.; Khlopov, M.Yu. Formation of primordial galactic nuclei at phase transitions in the early Universe. *JETP* **2001**, *92*, 921-929.
97. Dokuchaev, V.; Eroshenko, Y.; Rubin, S. Early formation of galaxies initiated by clusters of primordial black holes. *Astron.Rep.* **2008** *52* 779-789. DOI: 10.1134/S1063772908100016

98. Rubin S G; Khlopov, M.Yu.; Sakharov, A.S. Primordial nonlinear structures and massive black holes from early Universe. *Gravitation and Cosmology Suppl.* **2000**, *6*, 51-58.
99. Khlopov, M.Yu.; Rubin, S.G.; Sakharov, A.S. Primordial Structure of Massive Black Hole Clusters. *Astropart. Phys.* **2005**, *23*, 265-277, DOI: 10.1016/j.astropartphys.2004.12.002
100. Dokuchaev, V.; Eroshenko, Y.; Rubin, S. Quasars formation around clusters of primordial black holes. *Grav. Cosmol.* **2005**, *11*, 99-104.
101. Adams, F.C.; Bond, J.R.; Freese, K.; Frieman, J.A.; Olinto, A.V. Natural inflation: Particle physics models, power law spectra for large scale structure, and constraints from COBE. *Phys. Rev. D* **1993**, *47*, 426-455, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.47.426
102. Starobinsky, A. A new type of isotropic cosmological models without singularity. *Phys. Lett.* **1980**, *91B*, 99-102, DOI: 10.1016/0370-2693(80)90670-X
103. Sikivie, P. Axions, Domain Walls, and the Early Universe. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1982**, *48*, 1156-1159, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.48.1156
104. Berezin, V.A.; Kuzmin, V.A.; Tkachev, I.I. Thin Wall Vacuum Domains Evolution. *Phys. Lett.* **1983**, *120B*, 91-96, DOI: 10.1016/0370-2693(83)90630-5
105. Ipser, J.; Sikivie, P. Gravitationally repulsive domain wall. *Phys. Rev. D* **1984**, *30*, 712-719, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.30.712
106. Rubin, S.G. Expansion of true vacuum bubbles at the end of inflation. *Gravitation and Cosmology* **1999**, *5*, 127-134,
107. Chechetkin, V.M.; Khlopov, M.Yu.; Sapozhnikov, M.G.; Zeldovich, Ya.B. Astrophysical aspects of antiproton interaction with He (Antimatter in the Universe). *Phys. Lett. B* **1982**, *118*, 329-332, DOI: 10.1016/0370-2693(82)90196-4
108. Dolgov, A. D. Matter and antimatter in the universe. *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **2002** *113*, 40-49. DOI: 10.1016/S0920-5632(02)01821-2
109. Khlopov, M.Yu.; Rubin, S.G.; Sakharov, A.S. Possible origin of antimatter regions in the baryon dominated universe. *Phys. Rev. D* **2000**, *62*, 083505 (10 pages), DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.62.083505
110. Dolgov, A.D.; Freese, K.; Rangarajan, R.; Srednicki, M. Baryogenesis during reheating in natural inflation and comments on spontaneous baryogenesis. *Phys. Rev. D* **1997**, *56*, 6155-6165, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.56.6155
111. Khlopov, M. Yu. Primordial nonlinear structures and massive black holes from early Universe. *Journal of Physics. Conference series.* **2007** *66*, P.012032 (10 pages).
112. Khlopov, M.Yu. An antimatter globular cluster in our galaxy: A probe for the origin of matter, *Gravitation and Cosmology* **1998** *4*, 69-72.
113. Golubkov, Yu.A.; Khlopov, M.Yu. Anti-protons annihilation in the galaxy as a source of diffuse gamma background. *Phys. Atom. Nucl.* **2001** *64*, 1821-1829, DOI: 10.1134/1.1414930
114. Belotsky, K.M.; Golubkov, Yu.A.; Khlopov, M.Yu.; Konoplich, R.V.; Sakharov, A.S. Anti-helium flux as a signature for antimatter globular clusters in our galaxy. *Phys. Atom. Nucl.* **2000**, *63*, 233-239, DOI: 10.1134/1.855627
115. Fargion, D.; Khlopov, M.Yu. Antimatter bounds by anti-asteroids annihilations on planets and sun. *Astropart.Phys.* **2003**, *19*, 441-446, DOI: 10.1016/S0927-6505(02)00241-4

116. Blinnikov, S. I.; Dolgov, A. D.; Postnov, K. A. Antimatter and antistars in the universe and in the Galaxy. arXiv:1409.5736 [astro-ph.HE], 2014.
117. Khlopov, M.Yu., Cosmoarcheology. Direct and indirect astrophysical effects of hypothetical particles and fields. in *Cosmion-94*, Editions Frontieres, Paris, 1996, pp. 67-76.
118. Belotsky, K.M. *et al.*, Experimental cosmoparticle physics: experimental probes for dark matter physics at particle accelerators. *Gravitation and Cosmology Suppl.* **1998** 4, 70-78.
119. Green, M.; Schwarz, J.; Witten, E. *Superstring theory*, (Cambridge University Press, 1989).
120. Cohen, A.G.; Kaplan, D.B. Thermodynamic Generation of the Baryon Asymmetry. *Phys. Lett.* **1987**, B199, 251-258, DOI: 10.1016/0370-2693(87)91369-4
121. Stecker, F.W.; Puget, J.-L. Galaxy formation from annihilation-generated turbulence in the baryon symmetric big bang cosmology *Astrophys. J.* **1972**, 178, 57-72.
122. Steigman, G.A. Observational tests of antimatter cosmologies. *Annu. Rev. Astron. and Astrophys.* **1976**, 14, 339-372, DOI: 10.1146/annurev.aa.14.090176.002011
123. Cohen, A.G.; De Rujula, A.; Glashow, S.L. A Matter - antimatter universe? *Astrophys. J.* **1998**, 495, 539-549, DOI: 10.1086/305328
124. Kinney, W.H.; Kolb, E.W.; Turner, M.S. Ribbons on the CBR sky: A Powerful test of a baryon symmetric universe. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1997**, 79, 2620-2623, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.79.2620
125. Khlopov, M.Yu.; Konoplich, R. V.; Mignani, R.; Rubin, S.G.; Sakharov, A. Evolution and observational signature of diffused antiworld. *Astropart. Phys.* **2000**, 12, 367-372, DOI: 10.1016/S0927-6505(99)00099-7

© 2015 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).