

Probing Baryon Asymmetry of the Universe by Using Lepton Universality*

Takehiko Asaka

Department of Physics, Niigata University, Niigata 950-2181, Japan

Shintaro Eijima

*Institut de Théorie des Phénomènes Physiques,
École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland*

Kazuhiro Takeda

Graduate School of Science and Technology, Niigata University, Niigata 950-2181, Japan

We study the model with three right-handed neutrinos which masses are smaller than the weak scale $\mathcal{O}(10^2)$ GeV (called as the ν MSM). The model can explain the origin of neutrino masses by the seesaw mechanism, offer a candidate of dark matter and realize the baryogenesis via neutrino oscillation. The seesaw mechanism at such energy scales can induce phenomenon which are observable by experiments. As an example, we discuss the lepton universality of charged kaon decays in this model. It is shown that the heavy neutral leptons accounting for the neutrino masses and the cosmic baryon asymmetry can give a significant correction to the lepton universality, and that the deviation from the Standard Model prediction can be large as $\mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$ which will be probed by near future experiments.

I. INTRODUCTION

Right-handed neutrinos are well-motivated particles beyond the Standard Model (SM). They can offer solutions to various problems inherent in the SM. When their Majorana masses are much heavier than the weak scale $\Lambda_W = \mathcal{O}(10^2)$ GeV, they give a natural explanation (the seesaw mechanism [2]) for tiny masses of active neutrinos observed in oscillation experiments. Remarkably, such right-handed neutrinos can explain the origin of the baryon asymmetry of the universe (BAU) by the leptogenesis [3]. The canonical scenario of the leptogenesis requires that the Majorana masses should be heavier than $\mathcal{O}(10^9)$ GeV. It is then almost impossible to test such particles by direct experiments in near future.

Here we consider another possibility, called as the ν MSM (neutrino Minimal SM) [4, 5]. It is an extension of the SM by three right-handed neutrinos ν_{RI} ($I = 1, 2, 3$) with Majorana masses M_I , which Lagrangian is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{SM}} + i\overline{\nu_{RI}}\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\nu_{RI} - F_{\alpha I}\overline{L}_\alpha\Phi\nu_{RI} - \frac{M_I}{2}\overline{\nu_{RI}^c}\nu_{RI} + h.c., \quad (1)$$

where \mathcal{L}_{SM} denotes the SM Lagrangian. The model requires the hierarchies of neutrino masses as $|M_D|_{\alpha I} = |F_{\alpha I}\langle\Phi\rangle \ll M_I$ to realize the seesaw mechanism, and in addition Majorana masses are taken as $M_I \lesssim \Lambda_W$. In this model three heavy neutral leptons N_I ($I = 1, 2, 3$) are present in addition to the usual three active neutrinos ν_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$). The mixings of these states are represented as $\nu_{L\alpha} = U_{\alpha i}\nu_i + \Theta_{\alpha I}N_I^c$ with the PMNS mixing matrix U for active neutrinos and $\Theta_{\alpha I} = F_{\alpha I}\langle\Phi\rangle/M_I$ for heavy neutral leptons. The lightest one N_1 can be a dark matter candidate, known as the so-called “sterile neutrino dark matter” (see, for example, [6]). The heavier ones N_2 and N_3 are responsible to the seesaw mechanism for neutrino masses and also the baryogenesis via neutrino oscillation [5, 7].

One notable feature of the model is that heavy neutral leptons can be tested by various experiments and also cosmological observations [8–10]. In this talk based on the work [1] we discuss the possible impacts of heavy neutral leptons to the two-body decays of charged kaon and the testability of the ν MSM by using the lepton universality of kaon decays. (See Ref. [1] for the lepton universality of charged pion decays.)

* This contribution is for a talk given by T. Asaka at “Toyama International Workshop on Higgs as a Probe of New Physics 2015 (HPNP 2015)” (Toyama University, Japan, February 2015) and is based on the work [1].

II. LEPTON UNIVERSALITY IN THE ν MSM

We consider the lepton universality of charged kaon decays, which is given by [11, 12]

$$R_K = \frac{\Gamma(K^+ \rightarrow e^+\nu)}{\Gamma(K^+ \rightarrow \mu^+\nu)}. \quad (2)$$

In the SM the expression of R_K is estimated as

$$R_K^{\text{SM}} = \left(\frac{m_e}{m_\mu}\right)^2 \left(\frac{m_K^2 - m_e^2}{m_K^2 - m_\mu^2}\right)^2 (1 + \delta R_K), \quad (3)$$

where δR_K denotes the radiative correction. It should be noted that the hadronic uncertainty in the width is canceled considerably by taking the ratio, and then its theoretical prediction is very precise $R_K^{\text{SM}} = (2.477 \pm 0.001) \times 10^{-5}$ [13, 14]. On the other hand, the measurements of R_K have been done at high precision by experiments [15–18]. The recent result gives $R_K^{\text{exp}} = (2.488 \pm 0.010) \times 10^{-5}$ [18]. It is found that the deviation of the lepton universality from the SM prediction is small as

$$\Delta r_K = \frac{R_K}{R_K^{\text{SM}}} - 1 = (4 \pm 4) \times 10^{-3}, \quad (4)$$

and hence it can be used as the significant probe for physics beyond the SM. (See, for example, the recent analysis in Refs. [19, 20].)

In the ν MSM, K^+ decays into not only active neutrinos but also heavy neutral leptons if kinematically allowed

$$R_K = \frac{\sum_{i=1,2,3} \Gamma(K^+ \rightarrow e^+\nu_i) + \sum_{I=1,2,3} \Gamma(K^+ \rightarrow e^+N_I)}{\sum_{i=1,2,3} \Gamma(K^+ \rightarrow \mu^+\nu_i) + \sum_{I=1,2,3} \Gamma(K^+ \rightarrow \mu^+N_I)}, \quad (5)$$

and the deviation is given by [11]

$$\Delta r_K = \frac{\sum_{i=1,2,3} |U_{ei}|^2 + \sum_{I=1,2,3} |\Theta_{eI}|^2 G_{eI}}{\sum_{i=1,2,3} |U_{\mu i}|^2 + \sum_{I=1,2,3} |\Theta_{\mu I}|^2 G_{\mu I}} - 1, \quad (6)$$

where $G_{\alpha I} = 0$ if $M_I > m_K - m_{\ell_\alpha}$; and $G_{\alpha I} = \frac{r_\alpha + r_I - (r_\alpha - r_I)^2}{r_\alpha(1 - r_\alpha)^2} \sqrt{1 - 2(r_\alpha + r_I) + (r_\alpha - r_I)^2}$ otherwise. Here $r_\alpha = m_{\ell_\alpha}^2/m_K^2$ and $r_I = M_I^2/m_K^2$. We should note that the mixing elements of active neutrinos and heavy neutral leptons satisfy the unitarity condition

$$\sum_{i=1,2,3} |U_{\alpha i}|^2 + \sum_{I=1,2,3} |\Theta_{\alpha I}|^2 = 1, \quad (7)$$

and hence we can write Δr_K as

$$\Delta r_K = \frac{1 + \sum_{I=1,2,3} |\Theta_{eI}|^2 [G_{eI} - 1]}{1 + \sum_{I=1,2,3} |\Theta_{\mu I}|^2 [G_{\mu I} - 1]} - 1. \quad (8)$$

Therefore, we find that the deviation Δr_K in the ν MSM is determined by the masses M_I and mixing elements $\Theta_{\alpha I}$ of heavy neutral leptons. It has been shown in Ref. [1] that heavy neutral lepton N_1 gives a negligible contribution to Δr_K (8) by imposing the severe constraints on $\Theta_{\alpha 1}$ from cosmological observations and we shall neglect it from now on. Further, N_2 and N_3 should be quasi-degenerate in mass to generate enough BAU, and we take $M_2 = M_3 = M_N$ since the mass difference has no significant effect on Δr_K [1].

Let us first consider the case when $M_N < m_K - m_\mu$ (both $K^+ \rightarrow \mu^+N_I$ and $K^+ \rightarrow e^+N_I$ are kinematically allowed). It is interesting to note that the decay width of $K^+ \rightarrow \ell_\alpha^+N_I$ is suppressed by $|\Theta_{\alpha I}|^2$, but is enhanced by $(M_N/m_{\ell_\alpha})^2$ compared with $K^+ \rightarrow \ell_\alpha^+\nu_\alpha$ due to the helicity suppression [11]. Since this enhancement factor is much larger for the decay into e^+ than that into μ^+ , the considering model gives a positive Δr_K

$$\Delta r_K \simeq \sum_{I=2,3} |\Theta_{eI}|^2 \frac{M_N^2}{m_e^2} \left(1 - \frac{M_N^2}{m_K^2}\right)^2. \quad (9)$$




FIG. 1: Δr_K in the ν MSM for the NH case (left panel) and IH case (right panel) [1]. Possible regions are shown by the shaded regions with red-solid line or red-dashed line for the case with the cosmological lifetime bound $\tau_{N_{2,3}} < 0.1$ s or $\tau_{N_{2,3}} < 1$ s. The horizontal (black dotted) lines are $\Delta r_K = 4 \times 10^{-3}$ (current central value [18]) and $\Delta r_K = 10^{-3}$ (which will be reached by the near future experiments).

It is seen that Δr_K is bounded from above by taking into account the experimental upper bounds on the mixing elements $|\Theta_{eI}|$. PS191 experiment gives the severest bound $|\Theta_{eI}|^2 < \mathcal{O}(10^{-9})$ – $\mathcal{O}(10^{-8})$ for $M_N \simeq 200$ – 400 MeV [21], and then it is expected that $\Delta r_K < \mathcal{O}(10^{-4})$ – $\mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$ by considering the enhancement factor of $(M_N/m_e)^2 \sim 10^5$. When $K^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ N_I$ is forbidden, the correction Δr_K is very similar to the above case as long as $K^+ \rightarrow e^+ N_I$ is open.

On the other hand, even when $K^+ \rightarrow e^+ N_I$ is kinematically forbidden, the deviation Δr_K can be present because of the non-unitarity of the PMNS matrix (see Eq. (7)) as

$$\Delta r_K \simeq \sum_{I=2,3} (|\Theta_{\mu I}|^2 - |\Theta_{eI}|^2). \quad (10)$$

In this case the sign of Δr_K is determined according to the relative sizes of $|\Theta_{\mu I}|^2$ and $|\Theta_{eI}|^2$ and the magnitude is $|\Delta r_K| \lesssim |\Theta|^2 = \mathcal{O}(10^{-9})$ – $\mathcal{O}(10^{-7})$.

Now, we are at the point to present the numerical prediction of Δr_K in the ν MSM by using the exact formula (6). In this analysis we impose the constraints from direct search experiments and cosmological lifetime bound $\tau_{N_{2,3}} < 0.1$ s [22]. (See the details in Ref. [1].) The predicted range of Δr_K by varying all the free parameters is shown in Fig. 1. We should mention that, as demonstrated in Refs. [23, 24], enough BAU can be generated by N_2 and N_3 in this mass region. It is found that $\Delta r_K = \mathcal{O}(10^{-7})$ – $\mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$ for the NH case, and $\Delta r_K = \mathcal{O}(10^{-6})$ – $\mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$ for the IH case, where we have considered $M_N < 450$ MeV and $\tau_{N_{2,3}} < 0.1$ s. The predicted region becomes wider if the lifetime bound is relaxed as $\tau_{N_{2,3}} < 1$ s.

III. CONCLUSIONS

We have investigated the lepton universality of charged kaon decays in the framework of the ν MSM. Among three heavy neutral leptons of the model, N_2 and N_3 , which are responsible to the seesaw mechanism of neutrino masses and the baryogenesis via neutrino oscillation, can give significant contributions to kaon decays and modify the ratio R_K of the partial widths $K^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu$ and $K^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu$ from the prediction by the SM.

It has been shown that the deviation of the lepton universality in kaon decays can be large as $\Delta r_K = \mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$ even if we apply the constraints on the mixing elements for heavy neutral leptons N_2 and N_3 from the direct search experiments and the lifetime bound $\tau_{N_{2,3}} < 0.1$ s from the Big Bang nucleosynthesis. Such a large deviation can be obtained when the mass is $M_N \sim 180$ MeV. (Such a large value can also be obtained when

M_N is just above 450 MeV [1].) We have found that the sign of Δr_K is positive if the decays $K^+ \rightarrow e^+ N_{2,3}$ are open. Note that, if we relax the lifetime bound as $\tau_{N_{2,3}} < 1$ s, then the deviation can be large as $\mathcal{O}(10^{-2})$.

Interestingly, such a large deviation of the lepton universality in kaon decays will be explored by near future experiments as NA62 [25] and TREK/E36 [26] experiments. Thus, these facilities will offer the test for the origin of neutrino masses and BAU via physics of heavy neutral leptons N_2 and N_3 in the ν MSM.

Acknowledgments

T.A. was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 25400249 and 26105508, and S.E. was supported by Swiss National Science Foundation. T.A. expresses thanks to the organizers of HPNP 2015 for their warm hospitality.

-
- [1] T. Asaka, S. Eijima and K. Takeda, Phys. Lett. B **742** (2015) 303 [arXiv:1410.0432 [hep-ph]].
- [2] P. Minkowski, Phys. Lett. B **67** (1977) 421; T. Yanagida, in *Proc. of the Workshop on the Unified Theory and the Baryon Number in the Universe*, Tsukuba, Japan, Feb. 13-14, 1979, p. 95, eds. O. Sawada and S. Sugamoto, (KEK Report KEK-79-18, 1979, Tsukuba); Progr. Theor. Phys. **64** (1980) 1103 ; M. Gell-Mann, P. Ramond and R. Slansky, in *Supergravity*, eds. P. van Nieuwenhuizen and D. Z. Freedman (North Holland, Amsterdam 1980); P. Ramond, in *Talk given at the Sanibel Symposium*, Palm Coast, Fla., Feb. 25-Mar. 2, 1979, preprint CALT-68-709 (retroprinted as hep-ph/9809459); S. L. Glashow, in *Proc. of the Cargèse Summer Institute on Quarks and Leptons*, Cargèse, July 9-29, 1979, eds. M. Lévy et. al. , (Plenum, 1980, New York), p707.
- [3] M. Fukugita and T. Yanagida, Phys. Lett. B **174** (1986) 45.
- [4] T. Asaka, S. Blanchet and M. Shaposhnikov, Phys. Lett. B **631** (2005) 151.
- [5] T. Asaka and M. Shaposhnikov, Phys. Lett. B **620** (2005) 17.
- [6] A. Boyarsky, O. Ruchayskiy and M. Shaposhnikov, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **59** (2009) 191 [arXiv:0901.0011 [hep-ph]].
- [7] E. K. Akhmedov, V. A. Rubakov and A. Y. Smirnov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81** (1998) 1359 [hep-ph/9803255].
- [8] D. Gorbunov and M. Shaposhnikov, JHEP **0710** (2007) 015 [Erratum-ibid. **1311** (2013) 101] [arXiv:0705.1729 [hep-ph]].
- [9] A. Kusenko, S. Pascoli and D. Semikoz, JHEP **0511** (2005) 028 [arXiv:hep-ph/0405198].
- [10] A. Atre, T. Han, S. Pascoli and B. Zhang, JHEP **0905** (2009) 030 [arXiv:0901.3589 [hep-ph]].
- [11] R. E. Shrock, Phys. Rev. D **24** (1981) 1232.
- [12] R. E. Shrock, Phys. Rev. D **24** (1981) 1275.
- [13] M. Finkemeier, Phys. Lett. B **387** (1996) 391 [hep-ph/9505434].
- [14] V. Cirigliano and I. Rosell, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99** (2007) 231801 [arXiv:0707.3439 [hep-ph]].
- [15] F. Ambrosino *et al.* [KLOE Collaboration], Eur. Phys. J. C **64** (2009) 627 [Erratum-ibid. **65** (2010) 703] [arXiv:0907.3594 [hep-ex]].
- [16] E. Goudzovski [NA62 Collaboration], Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **210-211** (2011) 163 [arXiv:1008.1219 [hep-ex]].
- [17] C. Lazzeroni *et al.* [NA62 Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **698** (2011) 105 [arXiv:1101.4805 [hep-ex]].
- [18] C. Lazzeroni *et al.* [NA62 Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **719** (2013) 326 [arXiv:1212.4012 [hep-ex]].
- [19] A. Abada, D. Das, A. M. Teixeira, A. Vicente and C. Weiland, JHEP **1302** (2013) 048 [arXiv:1211.3052 [hep-ph]].
- [20] A. Abada, A. M. Teixeira, A. Vicente and C. Weiland, JHEP **1402** (2014) 091 [arXiv:1311.2830 [hep-ph]].
- [21] G. Bernardi *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **166** (1986) 479; G. Bernardi *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **203** (1988) 332; J.-M. Levy, *Production et désintégrations de neutrinos massifs*, Doctoral thesis, University of Paris (1986).
- [22] A. D. Dolgov, S. H. Hansen, G. Raffelt and D. V. Semikoz, Nucl. Phys. B **580**, 331 (2000) [arXiv:hep-ph/0002223]; A. D. Dolgov, S. H. Hansen, G. Raffelt and D. V. Semikoz, Nucl. Phys. B **590**, 562 (2000) [arXiv:hep-ph/0008138].
- [23] L. Canetti and M. Shaposhnikov, JCAP **1009** (2010) 001 [arXiv:1006.0133 [hep-ph]].
- [24] T. Asaka and S. Eijima, PTEP **2013** (2013) 11, 113B02 [arXiv:1308.3550 [hep-ph]].
- [25] E. Goudzovski [NA48/2 and NA62 Collaborations], arXiv:1208.2885 [hep-ex].
- [26] M. Kohl [TREK Collaboration], AIP Conf. Proc. **1563** (2013) 147.