



Oscillations at low energies

D.A. Dwyer^a, L. Ludhova^b

^aLawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

^bIstituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Milano, 20133, Italy

Abstract

A concise summary of the “Oscillation at low energies” parallel session at the 2014 Neutrino Oscillation Workshop is provided. Plans to use man-made neutrinos and antineutrinos to determine the neutrino mass hierarchy, search for sterile neutrinos, and to observe coherent neutrino-nucleus scattering were discussed. Potential measurements of solar neutrinos, supernova neutrinos, and geoneutrinos are also summarized.

Keywords: neutrino oscillation, neutrino mass hierarchy, sterile neutrinos, reactor antineutrinos, solar neutrinos, supernova neutrinos, geoneutrinos

1. Introduction

Measurements of the oscillation of reactor, solar, accelerator, and atmospheric neutrinos have given us a fairly consistent picture of the flavor mixing of massive neutrinos [1]. The topics covered during the “Oscillation at low energies” parallel session of the Neutrino Oscillation Workshop focused on the questions addressed by future measurements using low energy neutrinos. Plans are proceeding toward determination of the neutrino mass hierarchy using medium-distance (60 km) oscillation of reactor antineutrinos. Multiple searches for oscillation to sterile states at short-distances (~ 10 m) from reactor or intense radioactive sources are expected to occur over the next few years. To constrain models of the emission of antineutrinos from nuclear reactors, theorists request additional measurements. Advancements in low-energy-threshold detectors allow for potential measurement of coherent neutrino-nucleus scattering. Solar neutrino measurements are an important ingredient for solar models and for testing the LMA-MSW solution for neutrino oscillations. Supernova neutrino detection would provide a lot of information about star collapse and neutrino physics, and thus several running and future experiments are focused toward this goal. The effects of decoherence by wave packet separation on complex collective phenomena which could mani-

fest in neutrino oscillations in the extreme supernovae environment have recently been studied. Neutrino geoscience is a new interdisciplinary field aiming to measure the Earth’s radiogenic heat, providing an important benchmark for geosciences. These topics are briefly summarized here.

2. Man-made sources of ν and $\bar{\nu}$

2.1. Neutrino mass hierarchy

Due to the non-zero value of θ_{13} , a signature of the neutrino mass hierarchy is present in the oscillation of antineutrinos emitted by nuclear reactors [2, 3]. The neutrino mass-squared differences $|\Delta m_{ji}^2| = m_j^2 - m_i^2$ cause energy-dependent $\bar{\nu}_e$ disappearance, introducing a distortion of the reactor $\bar{\nu}_e$ energy spectrum. The oscillation frequencies caused by $|\Delta m_{31}^2|$ and $|\Delta m_{32}^2|$ differ by only 3% according to measurements of $|\Delta m_{21}^2|$. Measurements of the mixing angles predict that the $\bar{\nu}_e$ oscillation amplitude from $|\Delta m_{31}^2|$ is twice as large as that from $|\Delta m_{32}^2|$. The mass hierarchy can be discerned by whether the smaller-amplitude $|\Delta m_{32}^2|$ oscillation is at a slightly lower (normal) or higher (inverted) frequency. Discrimination of these two frequencies from the distortion of the reactor $\bar{\nu}_e$ energy spectrum is most

pronounced at the first $|\Delta m_{21}^2|$ oscillation maximum, or roughly ~ 60 km from a reactor.

Two experiments have been proposed to determine the mass hierarchy using reactor $\bar{\nu}_e$: the Jiangmen Underground Neutrino Observatory (JUNO) [4] and the RENO-50 experiment [5]. The JUNO experiment will be located in a new underground laboratory (700 m rock overburden) in Kaiping city, Jiangmen region, Guangdong province in southern China. Reactor antineutrinos will be produced by the Yangjiang and Taishan commercial reactor facilities, each located 53 km from the planned site. Each facility will have six reactors, with a total power of 17.4 GW_{th} and 18.4 GW_{th} respectively. Both facilities are currently under construction, with 26.6 GW_{th} of power in operation by 2020.

As designed, the JUNO detector consists of a 20 kton target of liquid scintillator contained in a 35.4 m-diameter transparent acrylic sphere. Light produced by $\bar{\nu}_e$ interactions in the target is detected by 15000 20-inch photomultiplier tubes (PMTs) mounted on a stainless steel frame surrounding the target. The entire system is contained within an active water Cherenkov veto detector, instrumented with 1500 additional PMTs. A secondary planar veto detector rests above the Cherenkov detector. Approximately ~ 40 reactor $\bar{\nu}_e$ inverse beta decay interactions per day are predicted within the target volume. Preliminary estimates for background from accidentals, fast neutrons, and long-lived spallation isotopes are $\sim 10\%$, 0.4% , and 0.8% respectively.

According to Ref. [6], after six years of operation the JUNO experiment can discriminate between the two hierarchies with a $\Delta\chi^2 > 9$. By 2020, existing experiments may measure $|\Delta m_{32}^2|$ with 1.5% precision. Including this external constraint, the JUNO sensitivity is predicted to improve to $\Delta\chi^2 > 16$. The sensitivity of the experiment is strongly dependent on the energy resolution of the detector. Statistical fluctuation in the number of detected photons is predicted to limit the resolution. To achieve the desired energy resolution of $3\%/\sqrt{E[\text{MeV}]}$, the JUNO detector must collect an average of five times more photons than Daya Bay detectors. Current research and development is focused on increasing the collected light by scintillator purification to reduce light attenuation, exploring new designs for high efficiency PMTs, and maximizing the PMT surface coverage. The mechanical integrity, stresses, and aging of the acrylic target container are also under study. To reduce the cabling required for the large number of PMTs, the collaboration is testing prototype electronics for underwater installation close to the PMTs. Aside from the mass hierarchy, the JUNO experiment intends to measure θ_{12} , $|\Delta m_{21}^2|$, and $|\Delta m_{31}^2|$ to better than 1% pre-

cision. Measurements of solar neutrinos, atmospheric neutrinos, geoneutrinos, sterile neutrinos, proton decay, and other exotic models are being explored.

The JUNO experiment has obtained the land for the detector site and completed a geologic survey. The civil design is almost complete, and construction is expected to begin soon and complete in 2017. Detector construction is planned for 2017 through 2019. Filling of the detector with liquids and collection of the first data is planned for 2020.

2.2. Sterile neutrinos

Measurements of the the emission of antineutrinos from nuclear reactors show a deficit relative to recent estimates [7]. A similar deficit has been observed in geochemical measurements of neutrinos from intense radioactive sources [8]. Combined, these discrepancies have been interpreted as possible evidence of oscillation to hypothetical sterile neutrino states [9].

On average, six $\bar{\nu}_e$ are emitted by the beta decays of the fragment isotopes from a single fission. Direct calculation of reactor $\bar{\nu}_e$ emission is complicated by the lack of detailed knowledge of the energy levels and decay spectra for the ~ 10000 unique beta decays which contribute. Instead, existing models rely on prediction of the cumulative $\bar{\nu}_e$ flux using measurements of the corresponding cumulative β^- emitted by fissioning isotopes [10, 11]. These predictions account for weak magnetism, radiative, finite nuclear size, and screening corrections which impact the correspondence between the β^- and $\bar{\nu}_e$ energy spectra. Recent reevaluation increased the predicted $\bar{\nu}_e$ flux by 6% relative to previous estimates. Half of the increase is a result of improved modeling of the correlation between the cumulative energy spectra of β^- and $\bar{\nu}_e$ from fission fragments. The remaining increase is caused by inclusion of long-lived fission daughter isotopes, and updated measurements of the neutron lifetime which increase the predicted cross section for $\bar{\nu}_e$ interaction with the detector. Measurements of the reactor $\bar{\nu}_e$ flux are on average 6% lower than these recent predictions.

Preliminary measurements of the energy spectra of reactor $\bar{\nu}_e$ reported this past summer disagree with the prevailing models [13, 12, 14]. In particular, the data show a $\sim 10\%$ excess in the region of 5 to 7 MeV relative to prediction. An alternate model relying on direct calculation using the ENSDF nuclear database predicts a spectrum consistent with these measurements, although uncertainties in this calculation are significant [15]. Direct calculations of the corresponding β^- spectra also disagree with the measurements from Refs. [16, 17, 18].

The measured $\bar{\nu}_e$ and cumulative β^- spectra seem inconsistent, although including spectral corrections from forbidden beta decays may be able to accommodate both.

Multiple experiments are searching for evidence of sterile neutrino oscillation at low energies. The NUCIFER experiment attempted an initial measurement of the total flux of $\bar{\nu}_e$ at 7 m from the 70 MW_{th} Osiris reactor in Saclay, France [19]. Light from nubar inverse beta decay interactions with a 850 liter target of liquid scintillator was detected using 16 PMTs. The scintillator was loaded with 0.2% Gadolinium in order to identify neutrons by the resulting ~ 8 MeV of gamma rays emitted following neutron capture on these nuclei. Pulse shape discrimination improved identification of $\bar{\nu}_e$ interactions from background due to energetic neutrons. With a detection efficiency of $\sim 30\%$, a rate of 305 ± 29 $\bar{\nu}_e$ interactions per day was measured from April to May 2013. The sensitivity was limited by a large background of high energy gamma rays in close proximity to the reactor.

The Stereo experiment is a successor to the Nucifer experiment. It will search for oscillation to sterile states using $\bar{\nu}_e$ emitted by the 58 MW_{th} compact highly-enriched uranium core at the Institut Laue-Langevin (ILL) in Grenoble, France. The detector is sensitive to oscillation via the relative rates and energy spectra measured by six 0.3 m^3 cells of Gd-loaded liquid scintillator arranged along the direction of $\bar{\nu}_e$ emission. Four PMTs collect the light produced by interactions in each cell. Based on Geant4 simulations, the detector will have 11% energy resolution for 2 MeV positrons, and have $\sim 60\%$ efficiency for neutron detection. Validation of a prototype detector is planned for Sep. 2014. Extensive gamma ray and neutron shielding (lead, polyethylene, B₄C) will be used to mitigate backgrounds from the research neutron beam lines at ILL. Two additional scintillator cells, one at the front and one at back of the detector, will veto external background and improve containment of gamma rays generated in the target. An signal rate of 400 $\bar{\nu}_e$ per day is expected. With 300 days of data, sensitivity to 5% sterile mixing with $|\Delta m_{41}^2|$ of 2 eV^2 at the 95% confidence level is predicted. The experiment plans to begin operation in Spring 2015.

The PROSPECT experiment proposes to search for disappearance of reactor $\bar{\nu}_e$ from the High Flux Isotope Reactor (HFIR) at Oak Ridge National Laboratory [20]. This reactor uses a compact (~ 0.5 m diameter) 85 MW highly-enriched uranium core. The first phase of the experiment consists of a 2.5 ton optically-segmented liquid scintillator detector located at ~ 7 m from the reac-

tor core. The estimated signal rate is ~ 1000 $\bar{\nu}_e$ inverse beta decay interactions per day within this detector. Using the variation in the measured signal between detector segments over one year, 5% sterile mixing with $|\Delta m_{41}^2| \approx 2 \text{ eV}^2$ can be tested at the 3σ confidence level. The second phase adds an additional 20 ton detector at ~ 18 m from the reactor, with 5σ sensitivity over the region suggested by existing anomalies. The $\bar{\nu}_e$ energy spectrum from the HFIR reactor is dominated by fission of ^{235}U , and measurement would provide a stringent test of $\bar{\nu}_e$ emission models. Neutron and gamma ray detectors have been used to make recent measurements of the background radiation at the HFIR site. Significant spatial and temporal variations in the backgrounds have been found. Observed gamma rays with energies up to 10 MeV are attributed to thermal neutron capture on materials in the vicinity of the reactor. Lithium-doped liquid scintillator has been developed to improve localization of the $\bar{\nu}_e$ interaction position via $n + {}^6\text{Li} \rightarrow \alpha + {}^3\text{H}$. Initial tests using a ^{252}Cf radioactive source also show significant pulse-shape discrimination of neutron captures on ${}^6\text{Li}$ from gamma ray interactions, suggesting high-efficiency background rejection for the reactor $\bar{\nu}_e$ measurement.

Instead of using reactor $\bar{\nu}_e$, the SOX experiment will use $\bar{\nu}_e$ and ν_e emitted by intense radioactive sources to test sterile neutrino models [21]. The existing Borexino detector at the Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso (LNGS) provides a convenient instrument to measure the neutrinos emitted by radioactive sources. The detector consists of a 300 ton spherical target of liquid scintillator suspended within a transparent nylon vessel. The target is surrounded by a 2.4 m thick transparent layer of buffer liquid shielding it from external radioactivity. Scintillation light is detected by 2212 PMTs. This inner detector is contained within a 2.1 kton water cherenkov veto detector to reject cosmic ray induced backgrounds. Intense radioactive sources of $\bar{\nu}_e$ and ν_e will be placed in a cavity 8.5 m below the center of the detector. The initial measurement will use a ^{144}Ce - ^{144}Pr antineutrino source. The slow beta decay of ^{144}Ce gives the source a relatively long half-life of 285 days. The subsequent fast beta decay of ^{144}Pr emits $\bar{\nu}_e$ with a maximum energy of 3.0 MeV, above the 1.8 MeV threshold for detection via inverse beta decay. A 100 kCi source is currently being manufactured by reprocessing spent nuclear fuel at the Mayak facility in Russia. Measurement is planned to begin at the end of 2015, and is predicted to be sensitive to 5% sterile mixing at the 95% confidence level after a year of operation. A ^{51}Cr source emits monoenergetic 0.75 MeV neutrinos via electron capture with a half-life of 27.7 days. These neutrinos can be detected

via elastic scattering on e^- in the scintillator target. Capsules containing a total of 36 kg of chromium, enriched to 38% of ^{50}Cr , will be neutron-activated to ~ 5 MCi at the High Flux Isotope Reactor (HFIR) at Oak Ridge National Laboratory. Given the lower cross-section and larger background rate for ν_e detection, the source will need to be activated and measured twice to reach sensitivity similar to the ^{144}Ce experiment. Transport of these intense sources from the production sites to LNGS requires significant planning.

2.3. Coherent neutrino scattering

Coherent scattering of neutrinos on nuclei (CENNS) is a yet unobserved weak interaction process [22]. The coherent recoil of all nucleons in the nucleus enhances the cross-section as N^2 . The resulting signal is a low energy nuclear recoil, visible as ~ 1 keV electron-equivalent ionization in a detector. Measurement of CENNS could be relevant to a wide range of topics including supernova dynamics [23], sterile neutrinos [24], and precision tests of the weak interaction [26, 25]. A collaboration has recently been formed to organize disparate detector development toward a coordinated measurement of CENNS using the Spallation Neutron Source (SNS) at Oak Ridge National Laboratory. The decay-at-rest of spallation pions and muons provide a flux of 2×10^7 neutrinos $\text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ at a distance of 20 m from the SNS. Backgrounds uncorrelated with the SNS pulses are negligible due to the low duty factor $\sim 4 \times 10^{-5}$. Background from energetic neutrons produced by the SNS are significant. Measurements of the neutron flux using a coded aperture neutron detector array identified the SNS basement as promising detector location at 20 m from the source. Additional background is predicted from neutrons produced by neutrino inelastic excitation of lead commonly used for detector shielding. A in-situ measurement of this background is imminent, with an expected detection of ~ 300 neutrons over 60 days of operation. Measurement of this process is relevant for the HALO supernova neutrino detector [27], as well as for supernova dynamics [28, 29]. Measurement of neutrino-inelastic neutron emission for other elements (Fe, W, Cu, Bi, etc.) is also being considered.

3. Natural sources of ν and $\bar{\nu}$

3.1. Solar neutrinos

The theoretical solar neutrino fluxes are predicted by the Standard Solar Model (SSM) [30], based on

the stellar structure equations, solar chemical evolution paradigm, and observational constraints, including the surface composition. A reevaluation of the latter (AGSS09 [31]) led to substantially lower abundances of heavy elements ($Z > 2$) with respect to the previous work GS98 [32]. The solar metallicity problem arose: the new AGSS09 compositional model, to the contrary of the older GS98, leads to a strong discrepancy between helioseismological data and the SSM predictions. No fully satisfactory solution to this puzzle has been found yet, including attempts to vary solar opacity or to consider non-standard chemical evolution of the Sun. Since the predicted solar-neutrino fluxes depend on the solar metallicity, their measurement can help in solving this puzzle. Recently, the abundances of volatiles (C, N, O, Ne) and refractories (Mg, Si, S, Fe) has been inferred from helioseismic and solar neutrino data [33]. The best-fit abundances are consistent at 1σ with GS98, however it is not possible to disentangle the degeneracies among individual elements.

The primary reaction of the so called pp -cycle, a sequence of nuclear reactions that converts hydrogen into helium and powers the Sun, is the fusion of two protons with the emission of a low-energy neutrino. These so-called pp neutrinos constitute nearly the entirety of the solar neutrino flux. Borexino collaboration has reported the first spectral observation of these neutrinos [34] with 11% precision, demonstrating that about 99% of the power of the Sun, 3.8431033 ergs per second, is generated by the proton-proton fusion process. This measurement also confirms the solar stability at 10^5 -years time scale, by showing that at this level of precision the photon and neutrino luminosities are in agreement.

The GS98 and AGSS09 models predict the flux of 0.862 keV ^7Be solar neutrinos which differs by about 9% [30, 33]. The best value of the 5% precision measurement of the interaction rate of these neutrinos, achieved by Borexino [35], falls just in between the two predictions; thus, new measurements are still important. After an extensive purification of the 1 kton of liquid scintillator in years 2008-2009, the KamLAND collaboration has performed the second direct measurement of the ^7Be neutrinos [36] with 15% precision. Utilizing a global three flavour oscillation analysis, the observed rate of 582 ± 90 (kton \cdot day) $^{-1}$ corresponds to the ^7Be solar neutrino flux of $(5.82 \pm 0.98) \times 10^9 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$, which is consistent with both SSM predictions.

The main goal of the SNO $^+$ experiment [37], placed in the SNOLAB in Canada, is the measurement of the neutrino-less double-beta decay ($0\nu - \beta\beta$) by means of 0.3% loading of scintillator with 800 kg of ^{130}Te (using natural Te) planned for spring 2016. The detec-

tor will be filled with water in spring 2015, while with 1 kton of LAB scintillator in fall 2015. ^8B solar neutrinos are one of the irreducible backgrounds for the $0\nu-\beta\beta$ measurement and are planned to be measured by SNO⁺. Assuming that radio-purity similar to Borexino is reached, a broader solar neutrino program is planned after the ^{130}Te measurement. A major advantage for the SNO⁺ measurement of *pep* and CNO neutrinos is the 6000 m.w.e of shielding provided by the rock overburden, reducing the ^{11}C cosmogenic background by a factor of 100 with respect to Borexino.

Solar neutrinos have played a fundamental historical role in the discovery of the phenomenon of neutrino oscillations and thus non-zero neutrino mass. Even today, their study provides an important insight not only to solar and stellar physics, but also into the physics of the neutrino itself. Solar neutrino physics is pinning down the LMA-MSW oscillation solution and is testing non-standard neutrino interaction models by measuring the energy-dependent electron neutrino survival probability P_{ee} and matter effects. The latter can be tested by comparing the day and night rates of solar neutrinos, since only during the night the neutrinos cross the Earth. After the exclusion of a day-night asymmetry at 1% precision level at ^7Be -neutrino energies performed by Borexino [38], Super-Kamiokande has successfully provided the first direct indication that neutrino oscillation probabilities are modified by the presence of matter by measuring the night-regeneration of electron flavour for higher-energy ^8B solar neutrinos [39]. They determined the day-night asymmetry, defined as the difference of the average day and night rates, divided by their average, to be $(-3.2 \pm 1.1 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.5 \text{ (syst)})\%$, which deviates from zero by 2.7σ . This asymmetry is consistent with neutrino oscillations for $4 \times 10^{-5} \text{eV}^2 \leq \Delta m_{21}^2 \leq 7 \times 10^{-5} \text{eV}^2$ and large mixing values of θ_{12} , at the 68% C.L. For what concerns P_{ee} , the vacuum-dominated low-energy region $< 1 \text{ MeV}$ is directly tested by Borexino data [40] while the matter-dominated high-energy region is tested at best by SNO [41] and Super-Kamiokande [42] ^8B data. It is also worth mention that the current best fit values of Δm_{12}^2 based on solar neutrino data and KamLAND reactor antineutrino data [43] are in a slight tension at about 2σ level [42].

3.2. Supernova neutrinos

A supernova (SN) explosion happens when a star at least 8 times more massive than the Sun collapses. Neutrinos with energies up to several tens of MeV carry away in a burst lasting few seconds almost 99% of the released gravitational energy of the order of $\sim 3 \times 10^{53} \text{ erg}$ [44]. Supernova neutrino detection would provide

significant information about star collapse physics and also about neutrino physics, by studying the energy spectra, time profile, and flavour composition of SN neutrinos.

World-wide, several detectors currently running (Ice-Cube, KamLAND, Super-Kamiokande, Baksan, LVD, Borexino, HALO) or nearing completion (SNO⁺) are sensitive to a core-collapse supernovae neutrino signal in the Milky Way (expected $\sim 3 \text{ SN}/100 \text{ years}$). The neutrino signal emerges promptly from a supernova's core, whereas it may take hours for the first photons to be visible. Putting several detectors in coincidence can provide the astronomical community with a very high confidence early warning of the supernova's occurrence. For this purpose, an international SNEWS alarm system has been set-up [45] and soon will include also gravitational wave experiments. The Super-Kamiokande 32 kton water Cherenkov detector would detect ~ 7300 inverse beta decay interactions and ~ 300 elastic scatterings from a 10 kpc distant SN, providing statistics sufficient to test SN models [46]. In spite of the multi-GeV energy threshold of Ice-Cube, the burst of galactic SN neutrinos would be recognized as a coincident increase in single PMT count rate. Liquid scintillator detectors expect about 700 events / kton, dominated by the inverse beta-decay and neutral-current $\nu + \text{proton}$ interactions.

The expected rate of SN from neighboring galaxy clusters (Mpc scale) is quite high, about 1 SN per year. However, for their detection, next generation multi-Mton detectors would be required.

Neutrinos emitted from the past SN at Gpc scale are red-shifted by cosmic expansion and are often referred to as supernova diffuse or relic neutrinos (DSN or RSN). The expected flux of $\bar{\nu}_e$ [47] of about $20 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ would lead to the detection of few events per year per 20 kton (the size of the JUNO detector planned to start data-taking in 2020) in 10-30 MeV energy range. For their successful detection, an efficient technique to suppress the background from neutral current interaction of atmospheric neutrinos and fast neutrons is necessary.

Super-Kamiokande's potential to detect RSN [48] via inverse beta decay is limited mostly due to the low efficiency of detection of 2.2 MeV gammas from neutron capture on protons. The EGADS experiment is an ongoing R&D program for the GAZOOKS! project [49], in which Super-Kamiokande would be doped with Gadolinium. Gadolinium has a large cross section for thermal neutron capture, after which 3-4 γ 's of 8 MeV total energy are emitted and can be efficiently detected.

The so called pre-supernova neutrinos are expected to be emitted during the Si-burning phase [50]. Their emission should start a few days before the core-

collapse SN and their flux should gradually increase up to the SN burst. Due to the reduced flux, their detection would be possible only from relatively close stars, such as Antares (170 pc) or Betelgeuse (150 pc) and could be used as an early alarm of core-collapse burst. The study of their possible detection at KamLAND and GADZOOKS! is ongoing [46].

In dense neutrino backgrounds present in supernovae and in the early Universe, neutrino oscillations may exhibit complex collective phenomena, such as synchronized oscillations, bipolar oscillations, and spectral splits and swaps. The effects of decoherence by wave packet separation on these phenomena have been studied for the first time by Akhmedov et al. [51]. It was shown that decoherence may modify the oscillation pattern significantly and can lead to qualitatively new effects, like for example strong flavour conversion, absent in the case of the usual MSW oscillations.

3.3. Geoneutrinos

Geoneutrinos, electron antineutrinos from natural β -decays of long-lived radioactive elements inside the Earth, bring to the surface unique information about our planet. The new techniques in neutrino detection opened a door into a completely new inter-disciplinary field at the border of particle physics and geology. The main goal of this study is to estimate the Earth's radiogenic heat, that is, the amount of heat released in the decays along the ^{238}U and ^{232}Th chains and that of ^{40}K : a key parameter for both geophysical and geochemical models. The upper limit for the possible radiogenic heat is the measured integrated surface heat flux of 47 ± 2 TW [52]. The total radiogenic power contributing to this flux is not well known; different so-called Bulk Silicate Earth's models, summarized for example in [53], predict a wide range of possible values in the range of 18 ± 9 TW. While the crustal radiogenic heat is relatively well known to be $6.8^{+1.4}_{-1.1}$ TW [54], the mantle contribution is poorly known: its determination is the principal goal of geoneutrino studies.

The current experimental technique to detect geoneutrinos, using large-volume liquid scintillator detectors, is based on the inverse beta-decay reaction $\bar{\nu}_e + p \rightarrow e^+ + n$, enabling a space-time coincidence tag between the prompt (positron) and delayed (γ from neutron capture) signal, strongly suppressing the background. The kinematic threshold for this reaction is 1.8 MeV and thus only a high-energy tail of ^{238}U and ^{232}Th geoneutrinos can be detected, while ^{40}K signal is completely out of reach. In geoneutrino measurements, the most critical background sources are the antineutrinos from reactor power plants, while the dominant non-antineutrino

backgrounds mimicking the coincidence tag are cosmogenically produced ^9Li - ^8He decaying ($\beta + n$), (α, n) interactions, and accidental coincidences.

Only two underground experiments have succeeded in detecting geoneutrinos: Borexino in Italy and KamLAND in Japan, very far away from each other and placed in different geological environments. Borexino with its about 280 tons of liquid scintillator is about 3 times smaller with respect to KamLAND, but has much better radiopurity, so its non-antineutrino background is completely negligible. Both collaborations updated their results in 2013. Borexino has detected 14.3 ± 4.4 geoneutrinos in $(3.69 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{31}$ proton · year exposure collected from December 2007 to August 2012 [55]. KamLAND has detected 116^{+28}_{-27} geoneutrino events in $(4.9 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{32}$ proton · year exposure collected from March 2002 and November 2012 [43]. A convenient unit for the measured signal is the normalized event rate, expressed as the so called Terrestrial Neutrino Unit (TNU), defined as the number of interactions detected during one year on a target of 10^{32} protons (~ 1 kton of liquid scintillator) and with 100% detection efficiency. The Borexino and KamLAND results, expressed in this way, are 38.8 ± 12 and 30 ± 7 TNU, respectively.

The total abundance of the long-lived radioactive elements and the radiogenic heat are in a well fixed ratio, while the geoneutrino flux at the Earth's surface depends also on the distribution of the radioactive elements in the Earth interior. Thus, to extract from a measured geoneutrino flux the radiogenic heat is not straightforward and does include assumptions about the distribution of the heat producing elements. Both existent results are compatible with geological expectations, confirming that the new interdisciplinary field is born. However, mostly due to limited statistics, firm geologically significant results are not available yet. Keeping this in mind, the first indications of a measured mantle signal and the radiogenic heat do exist, as it was shown for example in [56] combining both Borexino and KamLAND data. Both experiments will continue to take data, and some other experiments have geoneutrinos among their scientific goals (1 kton SNO⁺ in Canada [57] starting soon and 20 kton JUNO in China planned for 2020). A real breakthrough will come from the next generation of experiments, eventually placed in a carefully selected locations in which the crustal contribution to the signal can be well predicted [58] or is small, like for example on the oceanic crust (Hanohano project [59]). Measuring geoneutrinos around the globe has also its importance because it can test the homogeneity of the Earth's interior.

4. Summary

Measurements of low energy neutrinos and antineutrinos have revealed the character of our natural world, and are expected to continue to produce interesting results. Not only do measurements address the fundamental nature of these weakly-interacting particles, but they can also serve as unique probes of stars, supernovae, and the Earth. The topics discussed during the “Oscillation at low energies” parallel session of the Neutrino Oscillation Workshop 2014 suggest that the coming years of neutrino research will continue to be fruitful.

References

- [1] K.A. Olive et al. (Particle Data Group), *Chin. Phys. C* **38** (2014) 090001.
- [2] S.T. Petcov and M. Piai, *Phys. Lett. B* **533** (2002) 94.
- [3] J. Learned, S.T. Dye, S. Pakvasa, and R.C. Svoboda, *Phys. Rev. D* **78** (2008) 071302.
- [4] Y. Wang, *Proc. of Sci. Neutel 2013* (2014) 030.
- [5] S.-B. Kim, Presented at the International Workshop on RENO-50 toward Neutrino Mass Hierarchy (2013).
- [6] Y.-F. Li, J. Cao, Y. Wang, and L. Zhan, *Phys. Rev. D* **88** (2013) 013008.
- [7] G. Mention et al., *Phys. Rev. D* **83** (2011) 073006.
- [8] J.N. Abdurashitov et al. (SAGE Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. C* **73** (2006) 045805.
- [9] K.N. Abazajian et al., FERMILAB-PUB-12-881-PPD [arXiv:1204.5379] (2012).
- [10] Th.A. Mueller et al., *Phys. Rev. C* **83** (2011) 054615.
- [11] P. Huber, *Phys. Rev. C* **84** (2011) 024617.
- [12] Y. Abe et al. (Double CHOOZ Collaboration), *JHEP* **10** (2014) 86.
- [13] S.-H. Seo (for the RENO Collaboration), Presented at the XXVIth International Conference on Neutrino Physics and Astrophysics (2014).
- [14] W.L. Zhong (for the Daya Bay Collaboration), Presented at the 37th International Conference on High Energy Physics (2014).
- [15] D.A. Dwyer and T.J. Langford, Accepted by *Phys. Rev. Lett.* (2014).
- [16] F. Von Feilitzsch, A.A. Hahn, and K. Schreckenbach, *Phys. Lett. B* **118** (1982) 162.
- [17] K. Schreckenbach, G. Colvin, W. Gelletly, and F. Von Feilitzsch, *Phys. Lett. B* **160** (1985) 325.
- [18] A.A. Hahn et al., *Phys. Lett. B* **218** (1989) 365.
- [19] A. Porta (for the Nucifer Collaboration), *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **203** (2010) 012092.
- [20] J. Ashenfelter et al., White paper prepared for Snowmass-2013 (2013) arXiv:1309.7647 [physics.ins-det].
- [21] G. Bellini et al. (Borexino Collaboration), *JHEP* **08** (2013) 038.
- [22] D. Freedman, *Phys. Rev. D* **9** (1974) 1389.
- [23] J. Wilson, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **32** (1974) 849.
- [24] A. Drukier and L. Stodolsky, *Phys. Rev. D* **30** (1984) 2295.
- [25] K. Scholberg, *Phys. Rev. D* **73** (2006) 033005.
- [26] J. Barranco, O.G. Miranda, and T.I. Rashba, *JHEP* **12** (2005) 021.
- [27] T.C. Shantz, M.Sc. Thesis, Laurentian University (2010).
- [28] Y.-Z. Qian, W.C. Haxton, K. Langanke, and P. Vogel, *Phys. Rev. C* **55** (1997) 1532.
- [29] G.C. McLaughlin, *Phys. Rev. C* **70** (2004) 045804.
- [30] A.M. Serenelli, W.C. Haxton, and C. Peña-Garay, *Astrophys. J.* **743** (2011) 24.
- [31] M. Asplund et al., *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **705** (2009) 123.
- [32] N. Grevesse and A.J. Sauval, *Space Sci. Rev.* **85** (1998) 161.
- [33] F.L. Villante et al., *Astrophys. J.* **787** (2014) 1.
- [34] G. Bellini et al. (Borexino Collaboration), *Nature* **512** (2014) 383.
- [35] G. Bellini et al. (Borexino Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107** (2011) 141302.
- [36] A. Gando et al. (KamLAND Collaboration), arXiv:1405.6190 submitted to *Phys. Rev. C* (2014).
- [37] M.C. Chen, *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **145** (2005) 65.
- [38] G. Bellini et al. (Borexino Collaboration), *Phys. Lett. B* **707** (2012) 22.
- [39] A. Renshaw et al. (Super-Kamiokande), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112** (2014) 091805.
- [40] G. Bellini et al. (Borexino Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. D* **89** (2014) 112007.
- [41] B. Aharmim et al. (SNO collaboration), *Phys. Rev. C* **88** (2013) 025501.
- [42] M. Smy et al. (Super-Kamiokande Collaboration), see this volume.
- [43] A. Gando et al., (KamLAND Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. D* **88** (2013) 033001.
- [44] T. Totani et al., *Astrophys. J.* **496** (1998) 216.
- [45] <http://snews.bnl.gov/>
- [46] T. Mori, see this volume.
- [47] S. Horiuchi, J.F. Beacom, and E. Dwek, *Phys. Rev. D* **79** (2009) 083013.
- [48] K. Bays et al. (Super-Kamiokande Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. D* **85** (2012) 052007.
- [49] J.F. Beacom and M.R. Vagins, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93** (2004) 171101.
- [50] M. Kutschera, A. Odrzywolek, and M. Misiaszek, *Acta Phys. Pol. B* **40** (2009) 3063.
- [51] E. Akhmedov, J. Kopp, and M. Lindner, arXiv:1405.7275 (2014).
- [52] J.H. Davies and D.R. Davies, *Solid Earth* **1** (2010) 5.
- [53] O. Šrámek et al., *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **361** (2013) 356.
- [54] Y. Huang et al., *Geoche. Geophys. Geosys.* **14** (2013) 1.
- [55] G. Bellini et al. (Borexino Collaboration), *Phys. Lett. B* **722** (2013) 295.
- [56] L. Ludhova and S. Zavatarelli, *Adv. High. Ener. Phys.* **2013** (2013) 425693.
- [57] M. Chen, *Earth, Moon and Planets* **99** (2006) 221.
- [58] C. Jaupart and J. C. Mareschal (2014). In: R.L. Rudnick (ed) *Treatise on Geochemistry, The Crust*, vol 4, 2nd edn, Elsevier, New York, pp 5373.
- [59] J. G. Learned, S.T. Dye, and S. Pakvasa, arXiv: 0810.4975 (2008).