Bose-Einstein correlation to measure the size of event of different types

V.A. Schegelsky, and M.G. Ryskin

Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, NRC Kurchatov Institute, Gatchina, St. Petersburg, 188300, Russia

Abstract

Bose-Einstein correlations of identical hadrons produced in highenergy pp collisions at the LHC is a good instrument to probe the size of the domain which emits these hadrons in different classes of events. This provides an additional information on the dynamics of multiparticle production. In particular this way we may measure the radius of the colour tube/string which create the secondary pions.

1 Introduction

Identical particles correlation (BEC) may be used as a good instrument to measure the size of the region from which the secondaries radiated in one or another class of events [1, 2, 3, 4]. Indeed, according to Bose-Einstein statistics we have to sum the amplitude, M_a , where the particle with momentum p_1 is emitted at the point r_1 and the particle with momentum p_2 is emitted at the point r_2 with that, $|M_b| = |M_a|$, corresponding to the permutation: p_1 is emitted at r_2 and p_2 - at r_1 . The phase difference between this two amplitudes is $\exp i\vec{r}\vec{Q}$ with $\vec{r} = \vec{r_1} - \vec{r_2}$ and $\vec{Q} = \vec{p_1} - \vec{p_2}$. For a large Q >> 1/< r > the interference contribution is practically vanishes due to a strong oscillations during the integration over the r_1 and r_2 positions. However at a very small Q << 1/< r > the factor $\exp i\vec{r}\vec{Q} \simeq 1$ is close to unity and this fact doubles the cross section. By this reason we expect the peak at small Q in the two particle inclusive cross section

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d^3 p_1 d^3 p_2} \propto 2|M_a|^2 (1 + \langle e^{i\vec{r}\vec{Q}} \rangle) .$$
 (1)

The width of this peak in Q is the measure of the mean size of the radiation region.

2 Radius of source depends on the detail structure of event

At the beginning the size of high energy interaction was studied as the function of energy using the whole ensemble of secondaries without any selection of events. It was shown that the radius increases with energy. However studying the BEC in the interval $\sqrt{s} = 0.2$ to 0.9 TeV the UA1 group had shown that for a fixed value of charged particle density the size of the emitting region is independent on \sqrt{s} but increases with the particle densities [5]. In [6] this was interpreted as the fact that actually the size of domain which emits the pions in the central rapidity region depends not on the energy but on the number of Pomerons exchanged between the colliding protons. Strictly speaking we do not know from this experiment whether this were the Pomerons or some other objects of a relatively small transverse size (some times it is called the "hot spot") which mediate the high energy interaction.

At a low multiplicities we deal with the events with the only one Pomeron exchange (for simplicity here we will call this object - the Pomeron). Thus at a low multiplicity the BEC have measured the size of one individual Pomeron. Looking at the high multiplicity evens we select the configuration with the many Pomerons. Combinatorically dominates the contribution where the pions are radiated by two different Pomerons. In this case the radius, r, measured by BEC characterized the mean separation between the Pomerons. It should be larger than that in a low multiplicity events. Such a growth of < r > with N_{ch} was observed both at a lower (CERN-ISR) [5] and at the LHC energies [7]. It was predicted in [6] that at a larger multiplicities, when the number of the Pomerons become very large and these objects populate all the area where the two incoming proton overlap, there sholud be the "saturation" of the radius measured by BEC, that is $\langle r(N_{ch}) \rangle \rightarrow const$. Such a saturation, indeed, was observed in the ATLAS experiment (see Fig.3 of the recent paper [8]). Moreover the value of this constant ($\simeq 2.3$ fm) is in a good agreement with that, $const \simeq 2.2$ fm evaluated in [6] based on the t-slope of elastic pp-scattering $B_{el} = 20 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$.

3 Outlook

It would be interesting to study the BEC in more details selecting the different sorts of events. In particular, one may compare the radiuses measured in pion-pion and kaon-kaon correlations.

Another possibility is to study the correlations between two pions with relatively large transverse (with respect to the beam direction) momenta $k_T \sim 1 - 2$ GeV. At $k_T = 1$ GeV the inclusive cross section $d\sigma/d^3k$ falls down more than two orders of magnitude [9]. So there is small probability that these two pions with relatively large k_T flying in the same direction (in order to have a small Q corresponding to the peak in Bose-Einstein correlation) are radiated by two different Pomerons. The dominant contribution comes from the situation where two such pions are produced in the fragmentation of the same (mini)jet.

Recall that from the viewpoint of hadronization the pions originated by the Pomeron are produced in a non-perturbative regime by some 'tube' (or 'colour string') which transfer the antisymmetric colour octet flux from the incoming proton to that in the opposite beam (at least in the case of the LO BFKL Pomeron it is the antisymmetric colour octet flow). In the case of a high E_T jets at the LHC they are mainly the gluon jets which again forms the antisymmetric colour octet tube. That is it would be reasonable to expect the saturation of the value of $\langle r(k_T) \rangle \rightarrow const$ (now measured as the function of the quark transverse momenta) at more or less the same value as that measured in a low multiplicity (i.e. one Pomeron) events since in both cases the BEC have measured the size of the (almost) the same colour tube. The decrease of the radius with k_T increases from $k_T \sim 0.2$ GeV to $k_T \sim 1$ GeV was already observed at the LHC (see e,g, [7, 8, 10]). Now we expect that this decrease should be stopped and in the next interval $k_T = 1 - 2$ GeV the value of $\langle r \rangle$ should be approximately constant and practically does not depend on the particle density, N_{ch} , observe in this particular event.

Acknowledgements

The work of MGR was supported by the RSCF grant 14-22-00281.

References

- R. Hanbury-Brown and R.W. Twiss, Phil. Mag. 45, 663 (1954); Proc. Roy. Soc. 242A, 300 (1957); *ibid* 243A, 291 (1957).
- [2] G. Goldhaber, W.B. Fowler, S. Goldhaber et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 3, 181 (1959).
- [3] G.I. Kopylov and M.I. Podgoretskii, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 15, 219 (1972);
 18, 336 (1973).
- [4] G. Alexander, Rep. Prog. Phys. 66, 481 (2003).
- [5] UA1 Collaboration, Phys. Lett **B226**, 410 (1989).
- [6] V.A. Schegelsky, A.D. Martin, M.G. Ryskin, V.A. Khoze, Phys.Lett. B703 (2011) 288-291
- [7] CMS Collaboration: V. Khachatryan et al., JHEP 1105 (2011) 029 = arXiv:1101.3518.
- [8] ATLAS Collaboration (Georges Aad et al.) arXiv:1502.07947
- [9] CMS Collaboration (Vardan Khachatryan et al.). Phys.Rev.Lett. 105 (2010) 022002
 ATLAS Collaboration (G. Aad et al.) Phys.Rev. D83 (2011) 112001 [arXiv:1012.0791]
 ALICE Collaboration (K Aamodt et al.). Phys.Lett. B693 (2010) 53-68
- [10] ALICE Collaboration: K. Aamodt et al., arXiv:1101.3665.