

Exact results for Wilson loops in orbifold ABJM theory

Hao Ouyang*, Jun-Bao Wu† and Jia-ju Zhang‡

*Theoretical Physics Division, Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences,
19B Yuquan Rd, Beijing 100049, P. R. China*

*Theoretical Physics Center for Science Facilities, Chinese Academy of Sciences,
19B Yuquan Rd, Beijing 100049, P. R. China*

Abstract

We investigate the exact results for circular $1/4$ and $1/2$ BPS Wilson loops in the $d = 3$ $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Chern-Simons-matter theory that could be obtained by orbifolding Aharony-Bergman-Jafferis-Maldacena (ABJM) theory. In literature there is the partition function of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ orbifold ABJM theory, and we re-derive it in a slightly different method. We calculate the vacuum expectation values of the circular $1/4$ and $1/2$ BPS Wilson loops in both the saddle point approach and Fermi gas approach, and the results are in accord to the gravity ones.

arXiv:1507.00442v1 [hep-th] 2 Jul 2015

*ouyangh@ihep.ac.cn

†wujb@ihep.ac.cn

‡jjzhang@ihep.ac.cn

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Circular BPS Wilson loops	3
3	Partition function	5
4	Wilson loops in saddle point approach	6
5	Wilson loops in fermi gas approach	7
6	Conclusion and discussion	8
A	Results of ABJM theory	9
A.1	Partition function	9
A.2	Wilson loops	11
B	More general 1/2 BPS Wilson loops in orbifold ABJM theory?	13

1 Introduction

In AdS_5/CFT_4 correspondence [1–3], 1/2 BPS Wilson loops in $d = 4$ $\mathcal{N} = 4$ $SU(N)$ super Yang-Mills theory are dual to fundamental strings in type IIB string theory in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ spacetime [4–7]. When IIB string theory is weakly coupled and supergravity approximation is well defined, the $d = 4$ $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory is strongly coupled. To compare with gravity result, one needs to know the vacuum expectation value of the Wilson loops at strong coupling. To do this it was proposed that $d = 4$ $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory is related to Gaussian matrix model in [8, 9], and this was proved in [10] using localization techniques.

There is a similar story in AdS_4/CFT_3 correspondence. The M-theory in $AdS_4 \times S^7$ spacetime or type IIA string theory in $AdS_4 \times CP^3$ spacetime is dual to a $d = 3$ $\mathcal{N} = 6$ super Chern-Simons-matter (SCSM) theory with gauge group $U(N) \times U(N)$ and levels $(k, -k)$, which is known as Aharony-Bergman-Jafferis-Maldacena (ABJM) theory [11]. In ABJM theory there are 1/6 BPS [12–14] and 1/2 BPS [15] Wilson loops. The 1/6 BPS Wilson loops are closely related to the 1/2 BPS Wilson loops in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCSM theory in [16]. The ABJM theory can be localized to a matrix model [17–19], using which the partition function and the vacuum expectation values of Wilson loops at strong coupling could be calculated [15, 17, 20–22]. In [22] there is the saddle point solution of the ABJM matrix model at large N and finite k limit, and we will call such a method the saddle point approach. Furthermore, the ABJM matrix model could be reformulated as an ideal Fermi gas [23], and the vacuum expectation values of Wilson loops could be calculated using the Fermi gas approach [24].

There is $d = 3$ $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SCSM theory with levels $(k, -k, k, -k, \dots, k, -k)$ and gauge group $[U(N) \times U(N)]^r$ that could be got by Z_k orbifolding $U(rk) \times U(rk)$ ABJM theory, and it is dual to M-theory on

$AdS_4 \times S^7 / (Z_r \times Z_{rk})$ spacetime [25–28]. The partition function of the orbifold ABJM theory has been calculated using Fermi gas approach in [29], and in this paper we will re-derive it in a slightly different way. For the orbifold ABJM theory there are 1/4 and 1/2 BPS Wilson loops, and the 1/2 BPS Wilson loops should be dual to M2-branes with one dimension wrapping on the M-theory circle [30, 31]. In this paper we will calculate the leading contributions of vacuum expectation values of the Wilson loops using saddle point approach in the large N limit with k and r being finite. We will also calculate the perturbative part¹ of the vacuum expectation values of the Wilson loops using Fermi gas approach. The results are in agree with the gravity ones.

The rest of the paper is arranged as follows. In Section 2 we review the circular 1/4 and 1/2 BPS Wilson loops for the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ orbifold ABJM theory in Euclidean space. In Section 3 we investigate the partition function of the orbifold ABJM theory. In Section 4 we calculate the expectation values of Wilson loops using the saddle point approach. In Section 5 we calculate the expectation values of Wilson loops using the Fermi gas approach. We end with conclusion and discussion in Section 6. In Appendix A we review the results in ABJM theory, including the partition function and Wilson loops in saddle point approach and Fermi gas approach. The reader is suggested to read this appendix before the main part of this paper. In Appendix B we investigate if there are more general 1/2 BPS Wilson loops in orbifold ABJM theory other than the ones found in [30, 31]. We find no new ones.

2 Circular BPS Wilson loops

In this section we review the circular 1/4 and 1/2 BPS Wilson loops for the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ orbifold ABJM theory in Euclidean space [30, 31]. This theory is an SCSM theory with levels $(k, -k, k, -k, \dots, k, -k)$ and gauge group $[U(N) \times U(N)]^r$.² In $d = 3$ Euclidean space we use the convention of spinors in [32], and especially we have the coordinates $x^\mu = (x^1, x^2, x^3)$ and the gamma matrices

$$\gamma^\mu{}_\alpha{}^\beta = (-\sigma^2, \sigma^1, \sigma^3), \quad (2.1)$$

with $\sigma^{1,2,3}$ being the Pauli matrices. The circle is always parameterized as $x^\mu = (\cos \tau, \sin \tau, 0)$ in this paper.

Using every gauge field $A_\mu^{(2\ell+1)}$ with $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, r-1$ and matters that couple to it, one can define the 1/4 BPS Wilson loop

$$\begin{aligned} W_{1/4}^{(2\ell+1)} &= \text{Tr} \mathcal{P} \exp \left(-i \oint d\tau \mathcal{A}^{(2\ell+1)}(\tau) \right), \\ \mathcal{A}^{(2\ell+1)} &= A_\mu^{(2\ell+1)} \dot{x}^\mu + \frac{2\pi}{k} \left(M_j^i \phi_i^{(2\ell+1)} \bar{\phi}_{(2\ell+1)}^j + M_j^i \phi_i^{(2\ell)} \bar{\phi}_{(2\ell)}^j \right) |\dot{x}\rangle, \\ M_j^i &= M_{\hat{j}}^{\hat{i}} = \text{diag}(i, -i). \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

The conserved supersymmetries can be denoted as

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta^{1\hat{1}} &= i\gamma_3 \theta^{1\hat{1}}, & \vartheta^{2\hat{2}} &= -i\gamma_3 \theta^{2\hat{2}}, \\ \theta^{1\hat{2}} &= \theta^{2\hat{1}} = \vartheta^{1\hat{2}} = \vartheta^{2\hat{1}} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

¹By this we mean to include all of the $1/N$ corrections, putting aside the non-perturbative contributions.

²Without loss of generality, we assume k to be positive.

where the spinors $\theta^{i\hat{i}}$ and $\vartheta^{i\hat{i}}$ with $i = 1, 2$ and $\hat{i} = \hat{1}, \hat{2}$ denote the parameters of Poncaré and conformal supersymmetries, respectively.

Also using every gauge field $\hat{A}_\mu^{(2\ell)}$ with $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, r-1$ and matters that couple to it, one can define the 1/4 BPS Wilson loop

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{W}_{1/4}^{(2\ell)} &= \text{Tr} \mathcal{P} \exp \left(-i \oint d\tau \hat{\mathcal{A}}^{(2\ell)}(\tau) \right), \\ \hat{\mathcal{A}}^{(2\ell)} &= \hat{A}_\mu^{(2\ell)} \dot{x}^\mu + \frac{2\pi}{k} \left(N_i^j \bar{\phi}_{(2\ell-1)}^i \phi_j^{(2\ell-1)} + N_{\hat{i}}^{\hat{j}} \bar{\phi}_{(2\ell)}^{\hat{i}} \phi_{\hat{j}}^{(2\ell)} \right) |\dot{x}|, \\ N_i^j &= N_{\hat{i}}^{\hat{j}} = \text{diag}(i, -i).\end{aligned}\tag{2.4}$$

This kind of 1/4 BPS Wilson loop preserves the same supersymmetries as the previous one (2.3).

Using two adjacent gauge groups $\hat{A}_\mu^{(2\ell)}, A_\mu^{(2\ell+1)}$ in quiver diagram and matters couple to them, one can define the 1/2 BPS Wilson loop

$$\begin{aligned}W_{1/2}^{(\ell)} &= \text{Tr} \mathcal{P} \exp \left(-i \oint d\tau L_{1/2}^{(\ell)}(\tau) \right), \quad L_{1/2}^{(\ell)} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}^{(2\ell+1)} & \bar{f}_1^{(2\ell)} \\ f_2^{(2\ell)} & \hat{\mathcal{A}}^{(2\ell)} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathcal{A}^{(2\ell+1)} &= A_\mu^{(2\ell+1)} \dot{x}^\mu + \frac{2\pi}{k} \left(M_j^i \phi_i^{(2\ell+1)} \bar{\phi}_{(2\ell+1)}^j + M_{\hat{j}}^{\hat{i}} \phi_{\hat{i}}^{(2\ell)} \bar{\phi}_{(2\ell)}^{\hat{j}} \right) |\dot{x}|, \\ \hat{\mathcal{A}}^{(2\ell)} &= \hat{A}_\mu^{(2\ell)} \dot{x}^\mu + \frac{2\pi}{k} \left(N_i^j \bar{\phi}_{(2\ell-1)}^i \phi_j^{(2\ell-1)} + N_{\hat{i}}^{\hat{j}} \bar{\phi}_{(2\ell)}^{\hat{i}} \phi_{\hat{j}}^{(2\ell)} \right) |\dot{x}|, \\ \bar{f}_1^{(2\ell)} &= \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{k}} \bar{\eta}^{(2\ell)} \psi_{(2\ell)}^1 |\dot{x}|, \quad f_2^{(2\ell)} = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{k}} \bar{\psi}_1^{(2\ell)} \eta_{(2\ell)} |\dot{x}|, \\ M_j^i &= N_i^j = \text{diag}(i, -i), \quad M_{\hat{j}}^{\hat{i}} = N_{\hat{i}}^{\hat{j}} = \text{diag}(-i, -i), \\ \bar{\eta}^{(2\ell)\alpha} &= \bar{\beta}(e^{i\tau/2}, e^{-i\tau/2}), \quad \eta_{(2\ell)\alpha} = (e^{-i\tau/2}, e^{i\tau/2})\beta, \quad \bar{\beta}\beta = i.\end{aligned}\tag{2.5}$$

Note that $\bar{\beta}$ and β are Grassmann even constants. The conserved supersymmetries can be denoted as

$$\vartheta^{1\hat{i}} = i\gamma_3 \theta^{1\hat{i}}, \quad \vartheta^{2\hat{i}} = -i\gamma_3 \theta^{2\hat{i}}, \quad \hat{i} = \hat{1}, \hat{2}.\tag{2.6}$$

In the terminology of [31], the above 1/2 BPS Wilson loop is called ψ_1 -loop, since it is coupled to fields $\psi_{(2\ell)}^1$ and $\bar{\psi}_1^{(2\ell)}$.

Similarly there can be 1/2 BPS ψ_2 -loop that is coupled to fields $\psi_{(2\ell)}^2$ and $\bar{\psi}_2^{(2\ell)}$ [31]. In conventions of [30] such 1/2 BPS Wilson loop can be constructed as

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{W}_{1/2}^{(\ell)} &= \text{Tr} \mathcal{P} \exp \left(-i \oint d\tau \tilde{L}_{1/2}^{(\ell)}(\tau) \right), \quad \tilde{L}_{1/2}^{(\ell)} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}^{(2\ell+1)} & \bar{f}_1^{(2\ell)} \\ f_2^{(2\ell)} & \hat{\mathcal{A}}^{(2\ell)} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathcal{A}^{(2\ell+1)} &= A_\mu^{(2\ell+1)} \dot{x}^\mu + \frac{2\pi}{k} \left(M_j^i \phi_i^{(2\ell+1)} \bar{\phi}_{(2\ell+1)}^j + M_{\hat{j}}^{\hat{i}} \phi_{\hat{i}}^{(2\ell)} \bar{\phi}_{(2\ell)}^{\hat{j}} \right) |\dot{x}|, \\ \hat{\mathcal{A}}^{(2\ell)} &= \hat{A}_\mu^{(2\ell)} \dot{x}^\mu + \frac{2\pi}{k} \left(N_i^j \bar{\phi}_{(2\ell-1)}^i \phi_j^{(2\ell-1)} + N_{\hat{i}}^{\hat{j}} \bar{\phi}_{(2\ell)}^{\hat{i}} \phi_{\hat{j}}^{(2\ell)} \right) |\dot{x}|, \\ \bar{f}_1^{(2\ell)} &= \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{k}} \bar{\eta}^{(2\ell)} \psi_{(2\ell)}^2 |\dot{x}|, \quad f_2^{(2\ell)} = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{k}} \bar{\psi}_2^{(2\ell)} \eta_{(2\ell)} |\dot{x}|, \\ M_j^i &= N_i^j = \text{diag}(i, -i), \quad M_{\hat{j}}^{\hat{i}} = N_{\hat{i}}^{\hat{j}} = \text{diag}(i, i), \\ \bar{\eta}^{(2\ell)\alpha} &= \bar{\beta}(e^{i\tau/2}, -e^{-i\tau/2}), \quad \eta_{(2\ell)\alpha} = (e^{-i\tau/2}, -e^{i\tau/2})\beta, \quad \bar{\beta}\beta = i.\end{aligned}\tag{2.7}$$

The ψ_2 -loop preserves the same supersymmetries as the the ψ_1 -loop (2.6).

It was conjectured that the Wilson loops $W_{1/2}^{(\ell)}$ and $\hat{W}_{1/2}^{(\ell)}$ with $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, r-1$ are 1/2 BPS classically, and only a special linear combination of them is 1/2 BPS quantum mechanically [31]. If it is the case, we may denote such a true 1/2 BPS Wilson loop as

$$W_{1/2} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{r-1} \left(c_\ell W_{1/2}^{(\ell)} + \tilde{c}_\ell \tilde{W}_{1/2}^{(\ell)} \right), \quad (2.8)$$

with c_ℓ and \tilde{c}_ℓ being some unfixed constants.

3 Partition function

The computation of the partition function of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ orbifold ABJM theory can be localized to the matrix model [17–19]

$$\begin{aligned} Z_r(N) = \frac{1}{N!^{2r}} \int \prod_{\ell=0}^{r-1} \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{d\mu_{\ell,i}}{2\pi} \frac{d\nu_{\ell,i}}{2\pi} \prod_{\ell} \frac{\prod_{i<j} \left(2 \sinh \frac{\mu_{\ell,i} - \mu_{\ell,j}}{2} \right)^2 \left(2 \sinh \frac{\nu_{\ell,i} - \nu_{\ell,j}}{2} \right)^2}{\prod_{i,j} \left(2 \cosh \frac{\mu_{\ell,i} - \nu_{\ell,j}}{2} \right) \left(2 \cosh \frac{\nu_{\ell,i} - \mu_{\ell+1,j}}{2} \right)} \\ \times \exp \left[\frac{ik}{4\pi} \sum_{\ell,i} (\mu_{\ell,i}^2 - \nu_{\ell,j}^2) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

When $r = 1$ it is reduced to the ABJM matrix model (A.1). It can be written as canonical ensemble partition function of N -particle fermi gas with one-particle density matrix [23]

$$\hat{\rho}_r = e^{-r\hat{H}}, \quad (3.2)$$

with the Hamiltonian \hat{H} being the same as the one of ABJM theory in (A.2).

We calculate the partition function in fermi gas approach. We firstly have

$$n_r(\mu) = \int \frac{dqdp}{2\pi\hbar} \theta(\mu - r\hat{H})_W = \int \frac{dqdp}{2\pi\hbar} \theta\left(\frac{\mu}{r} - \hat{H}\right)_W = n\left(\frac{\mu}{r}\right), \quad (3.3)$$

with $n(\mu)$ being the same function as (A.8). And then there is

$$N_r(\mu) = \pi \partial_\mu \csc(\pi \partial_\mu) n_r(\mu) = \frac{\sin(r\pi \partial_\mu)}{r \sin(\pi \partial_\mu)} N\left(\frac{\mu}{r}\right). \quad (3.4)$$

Then using (A.12) we can get

$$J_r(\mu) = \int_{-\infty}^{\mu} N_r(u) du = \frac{\sin(r\pi \partial_\mu)}{\sin(\pi \partial_\mu)} J\left(\frac{\mu}{r}\right). \quad (3.5)$$

Note that there is expansion

$$\frac{\sin(r\pi \partial_\mu)}{r \sin(\pi \partial_\mu)} = 1 - \frac{\pi^2(r^2 - 1)}{6} \partial_\mu^2 + \frac{\pi^4(3r^4 - 10r^2 + 7)}{360} \partial_\mu^4 + O(\partial_\mu^6) \quad (3.6)$$

Formula (3.5) is a convenient way to get grand potential $J_r(\mu)$ with Hamiltonian $r\hat{H}$ from grand potential $J(\mu)$ with Hamiltonian \hat{H} , including both the perturbative and nonperturbative parts.

From results of ABJM theory we have

$$\begin{aligned} n_r^{\text{pt}}(\mu) &= C_r \mu^2 + n_0^r, \\ N_r^{\text{pt}}(\mu) &= C_r \mu^2 + B_r, \\ J_r^{\text{pt}}(\mu) &= \frac{C_r}{3} \mu^3 + B_r \mu + A_r, \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} C_r &= \frac{C}{r^2} = \frac{2}{\pi^2 r^2 k}, \quad n_0^r = n_0 = -\frac{1}{3k} + \frac{k}{24}, \\ B_r &= B - \frac{\pi^2 C (r^2 - 1)}{3r^2} = -\frac{r^2 - 2}{3r^2 k} + \frac{k}{24}, \quad A_r = rA. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Then there is the perturbative part of the partition function

$$Z_r^{\text{pt}}(N) = \left(\frac{C}{r^2} \right)^{-1/3} e^{rA} \text{Ai} \left[\left(\frac{C}{r^2} \right)^{-1/3} \left(N - B + \frac{\pi^2 C (r^2 - 1)}{3r^2} \right) \right]. \tag{3.9}$$

This is in accord with the result in [29], and here we rederive it in a different way.

The nonperturbative part of the grand potential for ABJM theory $J_{\text{np}}(\mu)$ is a summation of terms of the form [23]

$$(a\mu^2 + b\mu + c)e^{-d\mu}, \tag{3.10}$$

with a, b, c, d being constant and $d > 0$. Correspondingly in the grand potential of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SCSM theory $J_r^{\text{np}}(\mu)$ there is the term

$$\frac{\sin(r\pi\partial_\mu)}{\sin(\pi\partial_\mu)} \left(\frac{a}{r^2} \mu^2 + \frac{b}{r} \mu + c \right) e^{-\frac{d}{r}\mu} = (a_r \mu^2 + b_r \mu + c_r) e^{-\frac{d}{r}\mu}, \tag{3.11}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} a_r &= \frac{a}{r^2} f_r \left(\frac{d}{r} \right), \quad b_r = \frac{b}{r} f_r \left(\frac{d}{r} \right) - \frac{2a}{r^2} f_r' \left(\frac{d}{r} \right), \\ c_r &= c f_r \left(\frac{d}{r} \right) - \frac{b}{r} f_r' \left(\frac{d}{r} \right) + \frac{a}{r^2} f_r'' \left(\frac{d}{r} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Here we have defined the function

$$f_r(x) = \frac{\sin(r\pi x)}{\sin(\pi x)}. \tag{3.13}$$

Note that when $x = s$ is an integer we have

$$f_r(s) = r(-)^{(r-1)s}, \quad f_r'(s) = 0, \quad f_r''(s) = -\frac{r\pi^2}{3} (-)^{(r-1)s} (r^2 - 1). \tag{3.14}$$

4 Wilson loops in saddle point approach

In the matrix model (3.1), the expectation values of circular 1/4 BPS Wilson loops (2.2) and (2.4) and 1/2 BPS Wilson loops (2.5) and (2.7) in fundamental representation can be written as [15, 17, 30, 31]

$$\begin{aligned} \langle W_{1/4}^{(2\ell+1),n} \rangle &= \left\langle \sum_i e^{n\mu_{\ell,i}} \right\rangle, \quad \langle \hat{W}_{1/4}^{(2\ell),n} \rangle = \left\langle \sum_i e^{n\nu_{\ell,i}} \right\rangle, \\ \langle W_{1/2}^{(\ell),n} \rangle &= \langle \tilde{W}_{1/2}^{(\ell),n} \rangle = \left\langle \sum_i [e^{n\mu_{\ell,i}} - (-)^n e^{n\nu_{\ell,i}}] \right\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

with n being the winding number.

We firstly calculate the Wilson loop expectation values in the saddle point approach. For the matrix model (3.1), at the saddle point there are [22]

$$\mu_\ell = \mu(x), \quad \nu_\ell = \nu(x), \quad (4.2)$$

with $\mu(x)$ and $\nu(x)$ being the same as (A.21). And then the 1/4 and 1/2 BPS Wilson loops have leading contributions of the vacuum expectation values the same as the ABJM case

$$\begin{aligned} \langle W_{1/4}^{(2\ell+1),n} \rangle &\approx \frac{i^n k}{2n\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2}} e^{n\pi\sqrt{2\lambda}}, \\ \langle W_{1/2}^{(\ell),n} \rangle &= \langle \tilde{W}_{1/2}^{(\ell),n} \rangle \approx \frac{i^{n-1} k}{4n\pi} e^{n\pi\sqrt{2\lambda}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Note that there is no ℓ or r dependence in this result. If the Wilson loops (2.5) and (2.7) are not 1/2 BPS quantum mechanically, we can not use the matrix model to calculate their vacuum expectation values [31]. However we can always repeat the above process for the true 1/2 BPS Wilson loops (2.8), and we have

$$\langle W_{1/2}^n \rangle \approx \frac{i^{n-1} ck}{4n\pi} e^{n\pi\sqrt{2\lambda}}, \quad (4.4)$$

with

$$c = \sum_{\ell=0}^{r-1} (c_\ell + \tilde{c}_\ell). \quad (4.5)$$

5 Wilson loops in fermi gas approach

We calculate the Wilson loops expectation values in fermi gas approach. We firstly calculates

$$m_r(\mu) = \int \frac{dqdp}{2\pi\hbar} \theta(\mu - r\hat{H})_W e^{\frac{n(q+p)}{k}} = \int \frac{dqdp}{2\pi\hbar} \theta\left(\frac{\mu}{r} - \hat{H}\right)_W e^{\frac{n(q+p)}{k}} = m\left(\frac{\mu}{r}\right). \quad (5.1)$$

And then we can get

$$\begin{aligned} m_r^{\text{pt}}(\mu) &= \left(\frac{D}{r}\mu + E\right) e^{\frac{2n\mu}{rk}}, \\ M_r^{\text{pt}}(\mu) &= \frac{2\pi n}{rk} \csc \frac{2\pi n}{rk} \left[\left(\mu + \frac{rk}{2n} - \pi \cot \frac{2\pi n}{rk}\right) \frac{D}{r} + E \right] e^{\frac{2n\mu}{rk}}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

with D and E being the same as (A.30). Then the 1/4 BPS Wilson loop expectation value is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle W_{1/4}^{(2\ell+1),n} \rangle_{\text{pt}} &= - \left(\frac{2}{\pi^2 r^2 k}\right)^{-1/3} F_r \frac{\text{Ai}' \left[\left(\frac{2}{\pi^2 r^2 k}\right)^{-1/3} \left(N - \frac{k}{24} + \frac{r^2 - 6nr - 2}{3r^2 k}\right) \right]}{\text{Ai} \left[\left(\frac{2}{\pi^2 r^2 k}\right)^{-1/3} \left(N - \frac{k}{24} + \frac{r^2 - 2}{3r^2 k}\right) \right]} \\ &+ G_r \frac{\text{Ai} \left[\left(\frac{2}{\pi^2 r^2 k}\right)^{-1/3} \left(N - \frac{k}{24} + \frac{r^2 - 6nr - 2}{3r^2 k}\right) \right]}{\text{Ai} \left[\left(\frac{2}{\pi^2 r^2 k}\right)^{-1/3} \left(N - \frac{k}{24} + \frac{r^2 - 2}{3r^2 k}\right) \right]}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

where

$$F_r = \frac{2\pi n}{rk} \csc \frac{2\pi n}{rk} \frac{D}{r}, \quad G_r = \frac{2\pi n}{rk} \csc \frac{2\pi n}{rk} \left[\left(\frac{rk}{2n} - \pi \cot \frac{2\pi n}{rk}\right) \frac{D}{r} + E \right]. \quad (5.4)$$

The 1/2 BPS Wilson loops expectation values are

$$\langle W_{1/2}^{(\ell),n} \rangle_{\text{pt}} = \langle \tilde{W}_{1/2}^{(\ell),n} \rangle_{\text{pt}} = \frac{i^{n-1}}{2r} \text{csc} \frac{2\pi n}{rk} \frac{\text{Ai} \left[\left(\frac{2}{\pi^2 r^2 k} \right)^{-1/3} \left(N - \frac{k}{24} + \frac{r^2 - 6nr - 2}{3r^2 k} \right) \right]}{\text{Ai} \left[\left(\frac{2}{\pi^2 r^2 k} \right)^{-1/3} \left(N - \frac{k}{24} + \frac{r^2 - 2}{3r^2 k} \right) \right]}. \quad (5.5)$$

If the Wilson loops (2.5) and (2.7) are not 1/2 BPS, we can still get expectation value of the true 1/2 BPS Wilson loop (2.8) as

$$\langle W_{1/2}^n \rangle_{\text{pt}} = \frac{i^{n-1} c}{2r} \text{csc} \frac{2\pi n}{rk} \frac{\text{Ai} \left[\left(\frac{2}{\pi^2 r^2 k} \right)^{-1/3} \left(N - \frac{k}{24} + \frac{r^2 - 6nr - 2}{3r^2 k} \right) \right]}{\text{Ai} \left[\left(\frac{2}{\pi^2 r^2 k} \right)^{-1/3} \left(N - \frac{k}{24} + \frac{r^2 - 2}{3r^2 k} \right) \right]}, \quad (5.6)$$

with c being (4.5).

We expand in the limit $N \gg k \gg 1$ with r being fixed, and now for the 't Hooft coupling $\lambda = N/k$ there is

$$\lambda \gg 1. \quad (5.7)$$

We make expansion of large λ and large k . For the 1/4 BPS Wilson loop we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle W_{1/4}^{(2\ell+1),n} \rangle_{\text{pt}} &= \frac{i^n k}{2n\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2}} e^{n\pi\sqrt{2\lambda}} \left[\left(1 + \frac{2n^2\pi^2}{3r^2 k^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{k^4}\right) \right) - \left(\frac{12\pi i + n\pi^2 + 24H_n}{2\pi} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{n\pi (12n\pi i + 24 + n^2\pi^2 - 12r^2 + 36rn - 48r + 24nH_n)}{3r^2 k^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{k^4}\right) \right) \frac{1}{12\sqrt{2\lambda}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

For the 1/2 BPS Wilson loops we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle W_{1/2}^{(\ell),n} \rangle_{\text{pt}} = \langle \tilde{W}_{1/2}^{(\ell),n} \rangle_{\text{pt}} &= \frac{i^{n-1} k}{4n\pi} e^{n\pi\sqrt{2\lambda}} \left[\left(1 + \frac{2n^2\pi^2}{3r^2 k^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{k^4}\right) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{n^2\pi^2 + 36rn - 12r^2 + 24}{3r^2 k^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{k^4}\right) \right) \frac{n\pi}{12\sqrt{2\lambda}} + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

These are in accord with the results in saddle point approach (4.3). Note that for the leading contribution of large k , i.e. the genus zero part, there is no r dependence.

6 Conclusion and discussion

In this paper we have calculated the vacuum expectation values of the circular BPS Wilson loops in the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SCSM theory that could be got by orbifolding ABJM theory. We used both the saddle point approach in [22] and the Fermi gas approach in [23, 24], and the results agree with the gravity ones in [30, 31].

In this paper we only considered Wilson loops in fundamental representation, and it would be nice to study these Wilson loops in arbitrary representations as done in [33] for Wilson loops in ABJM theory. There are also other $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SCSM theories [28, 34–37] and $\mathcal{N} = 3$ SCSM theories [38–41].

Recently there are investigations of partition functions of these theories in Fermi gas approach [42,43]. There are also 1/2 BPS Wilson loops in general $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SCSM theory [31]. It would be interesting to investigate the expectation values of supersymmetric Wilson loops in these theories.

The expectation values of the 1/4 and 1/2 BPS Wilson loops of orbifold ABJM theory in weak coupling can be calculated directly in the matrix model, like the ABJM theory case in [17]. Also one can calculate the expectation values of Wilson loops perturbatively using Feynman rules in the orbifold ABJM theory in weak coupling, like the ABJM theory case in [12–14, 44–46]. It would be nice to compare the results of matrix model to the results of Feynman rules. In fact it was proposed in [31] that a perturbative calculation of expectation values of the 1/2 BPS Wilson loops using Feynman rules would be helpful in fixing the coefficients in the true 1/2 BPS Wilson loop (2.8).

Acknowledgments

The work was in part supported by NSFC Grant No. 11105154 and No. 1222549. JW also gratefully acknowledges the support of K. C. Wong Education Foundation and Youth Innovation Promotion Association of CAS (2011016).

A Results of ABJM theory

In this appendix we review some results in ABJM theory. This includes the partition function and vacuum expectation values of circular BPS Wilson loops. We focus on what will be used in the main part of the paper, and it will be brief here.

A.1 Partition function

The partition function of ABJM theory with levels $(k, -k)$ and gauge group $U(N) \times U(N)$ can be localized to be the ABJM matrix model [17–19]

$$Z(N) = \frac{1}{N!^2} \int \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{d\mu_i}{2\pi} \frac{d\nu_i}{2\pi} \frac{\prod_{i<j} \left(2 \sinh \frac{\mu_i - \mu_j}{2}\right)^2 \left(2 \sinh \frac{\nu_i - \nu_j}{2}\right)^2}{\prod_{i,j} \left(2 \cosh \frac{\mu_i - \nu_j}{2}\right)^2} \exp \left[\frac{ik}{4\pi} \sum_i (\mu_i^2 - \nu_i^2) \right]. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

The partition function of the matrix model (A.1) can be written as the canonical partition function $Z(N)$ of N -particle free fermi gas with one-particle density matrix being [23]

$$\hat{\rho} = e^{-\hat{H}}, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

whose explicit form will not be used in this paper. Note that \hat{H} is the one-particle Hamiltonian operator. To calculate $Z(N)$, one can firstly calculate the grand partition function

$$\Xi(\mu) = \sum_{N=0}^{+\infty} z^N Z(N), \quad (\text{A.3})$$

with $Z(0) = 1$, $z = e^\mu$ being the fugacity and μ being the chemical potential. The grand potential is defined as

$$J(\mu) = \log \Xi(\mu). \quad (\text{A.4})$$

And then there is

$$Z(N) = \int_{-\pi i}^{\pi i} \frac{d\mu}{2\pi i} e^{J(\mu) - \mu N}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

One can define $j(\mu)$ according to [47]

$$e^{J(\mu)} = \sum_{s=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{j(\mu+2\pi i s)}, \quad (\text{A.6})$$

and then

$$Z(N) = \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{d\mu}{2\pi i} e^{j(\mu) - \mu N}. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

One adopts phase space formulation of quantum mechanics, and defines

$$n(\mu) = \int \frac{dq dp}{2\pi \hbar} \theta(\mu - \hat{H})_W, \quad (\text{A.8})$$

with $\hbar = 2\pi k$, $\theta(x)$ being the Heaviside step function, and W being Wigner transformation. It counts the number of one-particle states whose energy is less than μ . Using Sommerfeld expansion one can get the expectation of particle number $N(\mu)$ under the grand canonical ensemble

$$N(\mu) = \pi \partial_\mu \csc(\pi \partial_\mu) n(\mu). \quad (\text{A.9})$$

It is standard in grand canonical ensemble that

$$N(\mu) = \frac{\partial J(\mu)}{\partial \mu}, \quad (\text{A.10})$$

and so there is

$$J(\mu) = \int_{-\infty}^{\mu} N(u) du. \quad (\text{A.11})$$

There is useful result when $\mu \rightarrow -\infty$

$$\partial_\mu^s N(\mu) \rightarrow 0, \quad s = 0, 1, 2, \dots. \quad (\text{A.12})$$

Note that the way from $n(\mu)$ to $N(\mu)$ and then to $J(\mu)$ is a slightly different method of getting $J(\mu)$ to the one in the original paper [23].

In the large μ (i.e. large N) limit, one can split a quantity into the perturbative part and nonperturbative part. The perturbative part can be written as polynomial of μ or N , and it is denoted as pt. The nonperturbative part is exponentially depressed in the large μ (i.e. large N) limit, and it is denoted as np. In this paper we will mainly focus on the perturbative part. It turns out that [23]

$$n_{\text{pt}}(\mu) = C\mu^2 + n_0, \quad (\text{A.13})$$

with

$$C = \frac{2}{\pi^2 k}, \quad n_0 = -\frac{1}{3k} + \frac{k}{24}. \quad (\text{A.14})$$

And then there are

$$\begin{aligned} N_{\text{pt}}(\mu) &= C\mu^2 + B, \\ J_{\text{pt}}(\mu) &= \frac{C}{3}\mu^3 + B\mu + A, \end{aligned} \tag{A.15}$$

where

$$B = n_0 + \frac{\pi^2 C}{3} = \frac{1}{3k} + \frac{k}{24}. \tag{A.16}$$

Here A appears as an integral constant, and its exact form depends on the full form of $N(\mu)$ which is beyond reach of this paper. There is

$$j_{\text{pt}}(\mu) = J_{\text{pt}}(\mu), \tag{A.17}$$

and then there is the perturbative part of the partition function [23, 48]

$$Z_{\text{pt}}(N) = C^{-1/3} e^A \text{Ai}[C^{-1/3}(N - B)], \tag{A.18}$$

with $\text{Ai}(x)$ being the Airy function.

A.2 Wilson loops

In the matrix model (A.1), the circular 1/6 and 1/2 BPS Wilson loops in fundamental representation can be written as [15, 17]

$$\langle W_{1/6}^n \rangle = \left\langle \sum_i e^{n\mu_i} \right\rangle, \quad \langle \hat{W}_{1/6}^n \rangle = \left\langle \sum_i e^{n\nu_i} \right\rangle, \quad \langle W_{1/2}^n \rangle = \left\langle \sum_i [e^{n\mu_i} - (-)^n e^{n\nu_i}] \right\rangle, \tag{A.19}$$

with n being the winding number of the circle. For their expectation values there is the relation

$$\langle W_{1/2}^n \rangle = \langle W_{1/6}^n \rangle - (-)^n \langle \hat{W}_{1/6}^n \rangle = \langle W_{1/6}^n \rangle - (-)^n \langle W_{1/6}^n \rangle^*, \tag{A.20}$$

with $*$ being ordinary complex conjugate.

In the large N limit with finite k , i.e. M-theory limit, the values μ_i and ν_i at saddle point can be denoted as a continuous distribution [22]

$$\mu(x) = \sqrt{N}x + i\frac{kx_*}{4\pi}x, \quad \nu(x) = \sqrt{N}x - i\frac{kx_*}{4\pi}x, \tag{A.21}$$

with the density

$$\rho(x) = \frac{1}{2x_*}, \tag{A.22}$$

and

$$x \in [-x_*, x_*], \quad x_* = \pi\sqrt{\frac{2}{k}}. \tag{A.23}$$

In the saddle point the Wilson loop expectation values can be calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle W_{1/6}^n \rangle &\approx N \int_{-x_*}^{x_*} e^{n\mu(x)} \rho(x) dx \approx \frac{i^n k}{2n\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2}} e^{n\pi\sqrt{2\lambda}}, \\ \langle W_{1/2}^n \rangle &\approx N \int_{-x_*}^{x_*} [e^{n\mu(x)} - (-)^n e^{n\nu(x)}] \rho(x) dx \approx \frac{i^{n-1} k}{4n\pi} e^{n\pi\sqrt{2\lambda}}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.24}$$

The exponentially depressed terms are omitted here. Note that one can only get the leading contribution of large N in the saddle point approach.

The Wilson loops expectation values can also be calculated in fermi gas approach [24]. One firstly calculates

$$m(\mu) = \int \frac{dqdp}{2\pi\hbar} \theta(\mu - \hat{H})_W e^{\frac{n(q+p)}{k}}, \quad (\text{A.25})$$

and then using using Sommerfeld expansion one gets the 1/6 BPS Wilson loop expectation value in grand canonical ensemble

$$M(\mu) = \pi \partial_\mu \csc(\pi \partial_\mu) m(\mu). \quad (\text{A.26})$$

Then the 1/6 BPS Wilson loop expectation value in canonical ensemble is

$$\langle W_{1/6}^n \rangle = \frac{1}{Z(N)} \int_{-\pi i}^{\pi i} \frac{d\mu}{2\pi i} e^{J(\mu) - \mu N} M(\mu). \quad (\text{A.27})$$

Similar to the partition function, there is

$$\langle W_{1/6}^n \rangle_{\text{pt}} = \frac{1}{Z_{\text{pt}}(N)} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{d\mu}{2\pi i} e^{J_{\text{pt}}(\mu) - \mu N} M_{\text{pt}}(\mu), \quad (\text{A.28})$$

with nonperturbative contributions being neglected. It turns out that

$$\begin{aligned} m_{\text{pt}}(\mu) &= (D\mu + E) e^{\frac{2n\mu}{k}}, \\ M_{\text{pt}}(\mu) &= \frac{2\pi n}{k} \csc \frac{2\pi n}{k} \left[\left(\mu + \frac{k}{2n} - \pi \cot \frac{2\pi n}{k} \right) D + E \right] e^{\frac{2n\mu}{k}}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.29})$$

with

$$D = \frac{i^n}{2\pi^2 n}, \quad E = -\frac{i^{n+1} k}{4\pi^2 n} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - iH_n \right). \quad (\text{A.30})$$

Here H_n is the harmonic number. Then the 1/6 BPS Wilson loops expectation value is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle W_{1/6}^n \rangle_{\text{pt}} &= - \left(\frac{2}{\pi^2 k} \right)^{-1/3} F \frac{\text{Ai}' \left[\left(\frac{2}{\pi^2 k} \right)^{-1/3} \left(N - \frac{k}{24} - \frac{6n+1}{3k} \right) \right]}{\text{Ai} \left[\left(\frac{2}{\pi^2 k} \right)^{-1/3} \left(N - \frac{k}{24} - \frac{1}{3k} \right) \right]} \\ &\quad + G \frac{\text{Ai} \left[\left(\frac{2}{\pi^2 k} \right)^{-1/3} \left(N - \frac{k}{24} - \frac{6n+1}{3k} \right) \right]}{\text{Ai} \left[\left(\frac{2}{\pi^2 k} \right)^{-1/3} \left(N - \frac{k}{24} - \frac{1}{3k} \right) \right]}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.31})$$

where

$$F = \frac{2\pi n}{k} \csc \frac{2\pi n}{k} D, \quad G = \frac{2\pi n}{k} \csc \frac{2\pi n}{k} \left[\left(\frac{k}{2n} - \pi \cot \frac{2\pi n}{k} \right) D + E \right]. \quad (\text{A.32})$$

The 1/2 BPS Wilson loops expectation value is

$$\langle W_{1/2}^n \rangle_{\text{pt}} = \frac{i^{n-1}}{2} \csc \frac{2\pi n}{k} \frac{\text{Ai} \left[\left(\frac{2}{\pi^2 k} \right)^{-1/3} \left(N - \frac{k}{24} - \frac{6n+1}{3k} \right) \right]}{\text{Ai} \left[\left(\frac{2}{\pi^2 k} \right)^{-1/3} \left(N - \frac{k}{24} - \frac{1}{3k} \right) \right]}. \quad (\text{A.33})$$




Figure 1: Quiver diagram for the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ orbifold ABJM theory. Here it just shows two adjacent gauge fields $A_\mu^{(2\ell+1)}$ and $\hat{A}_\mu^{(2\ell)}$ and fields that couple to them.

B More general 1/2 BPS Wilson loops in orbifold ABJM theory?

For two adjacent gauge fields in the quiver diagram and fields that couple to them of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ orbifold ABJM theory, one can have two kinds of 1/2 BPS Wilson loops, i.e. the ψ_1 -loop [30, 31] and the ψ_2 -loop [31]. In this appendix we will investigate if there is more general 1/2 BPS Wilson loop that preserves the same supersymmetries as ψ_1 -loop and ψ_2 -loop.

There is no spacelike BPS Wilson loop in Minkowski spacetime [32]. The BPS Wilson loops of straight line in Euclidean space are just the timelike BPS Wilson loops of straight line in Minkowski spacetime after Wick rotation. The circular BPS Wilson loops in Euclidean space can be got by the conformal transformation of the BPS Wilson loops of infinite straight line. Also, for straight line the cases for Poncaré supersymmetries and conformal supersymmetries are very similar. So it will be enough to just consider the Poncaré supersymmetries of the 1/2 BPS Wilson loops of timelike infinite straight line in Minkowski spacetime.

We use the conventions in [30, 32]. Especially we choose the coordinates $x^\mu = (x^0, x^1, x^2)$, and we use the gamma matrices

$$\gamma^\mu{}_\alpha{}^\beta = (i\sigma^2, \sigma^1, \sigma^3), \quad (\text{B.1})$$

with $\sigma^{1,2,3}$ being the Pauli matrices. For the infinite straight line $x^\mu = (\tau, 0, 0)$, we want to get a 1/2 BPS Wilson loop that preserves the Poncaré supersymmetries

$$\gamma_0 \theta^{1\hat{i}} = i\theta^{1\hat{i}}, \quad \gamma_0 \theta^{2\hat{i}} = -i\theta^{2\hat{i}}. \quad (\text{B.2})$$

We only use the gauge fields $A_\mu^{(2\ell+1)}$ and $\hat{A}_\mu^{(2\ell)}$ and fields that couple to them, as shown in the quiver diagram Fig. 1. A general Wilson loop would be of the form

$$\begin{aligned} W_{1/2}^{(\ell)} &= \mathcal{P} \exp \left(-i \int d\tau L_{1/2}^{(\ell)}(\tau) \right), \quad L_{1/2}^{(\ell)} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}^{(2\ell+1)} & \bar{f}_1^{(2\ell)} \\ f_2^{(2\ell)} & \hat{\mathcal{A}}^{(2\ell)} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathcal{A}^{(2\ell+1)} &= A_\mu^{(2\ell+1)} \dot{x}^\mu + \frac{2\pi}{k} \left(M_j^i \phi_i^{(2\ell+1)} \bar{\phi}_{(2\ell+1)}^j + M_j^i \phi_i^{(2\ell)} \bar{\phi}_{(2\ell)}^j \right) |\dot{x}|, \\ \hat{\mathcal{A}}^{(2\ell)} &= \hat{A}_\mu^{(2\ell)} \dot{x}^\mu + \frac{2\pi}{k} \left(N_i^j \bar{\phi}_{(2\ell-1)}^i \phi_j^{(2\ell-1)} + N_i^j \bar{\phi}_{(2\ell)}^i \phi_j^{(2\ell)} \right) |\dot{x}|, \\ \bar{f}_1^{(2\ell)} &= \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{k}} \bar{\eta}_i^{(2\ell)} \psi_{(2\ell)}^i |\dot{x}|, \quad f_2^{(2\ell)} = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{k}} \bar{\psi}_i^{(2\ell)} \eta_i^{(2\ell)} |\dot{x}|, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.3})$$

with $\bar{\eta}_i^{(2\ell)}$ and $\eta_i^{(2\ell)}$ being Grassmann even spinors. To make the loop BPS we must find $\bar{g}_1^{(2\ell)}$ and $g_2^{(2\ell)}$

that satisfy [49]

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\mathcal{A}^{(2\ell+1)} &= i(\bar{f}_1^{(2\ell)}g_2^{(2\ell)} - \bar{g}_1^{(2\ell)}f_2^{(2\ell)}), \\
\delta\hat{\mathcal{A}}^{(2\ell)} &= i(f_2^{(2\ell)}\bar{g}_1^{(2\ell)} - g_2^{(2\ell)}\bar{f}_1^{(2\ell)}), \\
\delta\bar{f}_1^{(2\ell)} &= \mathcal{D}_\tau\bar{g}_1^{(2\ell)} \equiv \partial_\tau\bar{g}_1^{(2\ell)} + i\mathcal{A}^{(2\ell+1)}\bar{g}_1^{(2\ell)} - i\bar{g}_1^{(2\ell)}\hat{\mathcal{A}}^{(2\ell)}, \\
\delta f_2^{(2\ell)} &= \mathcal{D}_\tau g_2^{(2\ell)} \equiv \partial_\tau g_2^{(2\ell)} + i\hat{\mathcal{A}}^{(2\ell)}g_2^{(2\ell)} - ig_2^{(2\ell)}\mathcal{A}^{(2\ell+1)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{B.4}$$

Here fields $\phi_i^{(2\ell+1)}$, $\psi_{(2\ell+1)}^{\hat{i}}$, $\bar{\phi}_{(2\ell+1)}^{\bar{i}}$ and $\bar{\psi}_{\hat{i}}^{(2\ell+1)}$ do not couple to $\hat{A}_\mu^{(2\ell)}$, and so they should cancel in the variation of $A_\mu^{(2\ell+1)}$. Similarly $\phi_i^{(2\ell-1)}$, $\psi_{(2\ell-1)}^{\hat{i}}$, $\bar{\phi}_{(2\ell-1)}^{\bar{i}}$ and $\bar{\psi}_{\hat{i}}^{(2\ell-1)}$ should cancel in the variation of $\hat{A}_\mu^{(2\ell)}$. This forces us to choose

$$M_j^i = N_i^j = \text{diag}(-1, 1). \tag{B.5}$$

If we take the ansatz

$$\bar{\eta}_i^{(2\ell)} = \bar{\eta}^{(2\ell)}\delta_i^1, \quad \eta_{(2\ell)}^i = \eta_{(2\ell)}\delta_1^i, \tag{B.6}$$

we would get the ψ_1 -loop with

$$\begin{aligned}
M_j^i &= N_i^j = \text{diag}(1, 1), \\
\bar{\eta}^{(2\ell)\alpha} &= \bar{\beta}(-i, 1), \quad \eta_{(2\ell)\alpha} = (i, 1)\beta, \quad \bar{\beta}\beta = -i.
\end{aligned} \tag{B.7}$$

Or else we take the ansatz

$$\bar{\eta}_i^{(2\ell)} = \bar{\eta}^{(2\ell)}\delta_i^2, \quad \eta_{(2\ell)}^i = \eta_{(2\ell)}\delta_2^i, \tag{B.8}$$

we would get the ψ_2 -loop with

$$\begin{aligned}
M_j^i &= N_i^j = \text{diag}(-1, -1), \\
\bar{\eta}^{(2\ell)\alpha} &= \bar{\beta}(i, 1), \quad \eta_{(2\ell)\alpha} = (-i, 1)\beta, \quad \bar{\beta}\beta = -i.
\end{aligned} \tag{B.9}$$

We wonder if there is more general 1/2 BPS Wilson loop, at least classically. From (B.4) and the variation of $\bar{f}_1^{(2\ell)}$ we must have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\bar{\eta}_i^{(2\ell)}\theta^{i\hat{i}} \left(\phi_j^{(2\ell)}\bar{\phi}_{(2\ell)}^{\bar{j}}\phi_i^{(2\ell)} - \phi_i^{(2\ell)}\bar{\phi}_{(2\ell)}^{\bar{j}}\phi_j^{(2\ell)} \right) \\
&= \left(\bar{\eta}_1^{(2\ell)}\theta^{1\hat{i}} - \bar{\eta}_2^{(2\ell)}\theta^{2\hat{i}} \right) \left(M_{\hat{i}\hat{k}}^{\hat{k}}\phi_{\hat{k}}^{(2\ell)}\bar{\phi}_{(2\ell)}^{\bar{l}}\phi_i^{(2\ell)} - \phi_i^{(2\ell)}N_{\hat{k}}^{\hat{i}}\bar{\phi}_{(2\ell)}^{\bar{k}}\phi_{\hat{l}}^{(2\ell)} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{B.10}$$

This forces that

$$M_j^i = \hat{m}\delta_j^i, \quad N_i^j = \hat{n}\delta_i^j, \quad \hat{m} = \hat{n}, \tag{B.11}$$

and furthermore that

$$\bar{\eta}_i^{(2\ell)}\theta^{i\hat{i}} = \hat{m} \left(\bar{\eta}_1^{(2\ell)}\theta^{1\hat{i}} - \bar{\eta}_2^{(2\ell)}\theta^{2\hat{i}} \right). \tag{B.12}$$

Since $\theta^{1\hat{i}}$ and $\theta^{2\hat{i}}$ are nonvanishing, general and linearly independent, this cannot hold unless $\bar{\eta}_1^{(2\ell)} = 0$ or $\bar{\eta}_2^{(2\ell)} = 0$. When

$$\bar{\eta}_i^{(2\ell)} = \bar{\eta}^{(2\ell)}\delta_i^1 \tag{B.13}$$

there is

$$M_{\hat{j}}^i = N_i^{\hat{j}} = \text{diag}(1, 1). \quad (\text{B.14})$$

When

$$\bar{\eta}_i^{(2\ell)} = \bar{\eta}^{(2\ell)} \delta_i^2 \quad (\text{B.15})$$

there is

$$M_{\hat{j}}^i = N_i^{\hat{j}} = \text{diag}(-1, -1). \quad (\text{B.16})$$

Similarly, from (B.4) and the variation of $f_2^{(2\ell)}$, we must have

$$\eta_{(2\ell)}^i = \eta_{(2\ell)} \delta_1^i, \quad M_{\hat{j}}^i = N_i^{\hat{j}} = \text{diag}(1, 1), \quad (\text{B.17})$$

or

$$\eta_{(2\ell)}^i = \eta_{(2\ell)} \delta_2^i, \quad M_{\hat{j}}^i = N_i^{\hat{j}} = \text{diag}(-1, -1). \quad (\text{B.18})$$

In summary we have no choice other than the ψ_1 -loop and ψ_2 -loop that satisfies the following conditions.

- It is constructed by two adjacent gauge fields $A_\mu^{(2\ell+1)}$ and $\hat{A}_\mu^{(2\ell)}$ in quiver diagram and fields that couple to them in the general form (B.3).
- It preserves the same supersymmetries as the ψ_1 -loop and ψ_2 -loop (B.2), at least classically.

References

- [1] J. M. Maldacena, “The Large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity,” *Adv.Theor.Math.Phys.* **2** (1998) 231–252, [arXiv:hep-th/9711200](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [2] S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov, and A. M. Polyakov, “Gauge theory correlators from noncritical string theory,” *Phys.Lett.* **B428** (1998) 105–114, [arXiv:hep-th/9802109](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [3] E. Witten, “Anti-de Sitter space and holography,” *Adv.Theor.Math.Phys.* **2** (1998) 253–291, [arXiv:hep-th/9802150](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [4] J. M. Maldacena, “Wilson loops in large N field theories,” *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **80** (1998) 4859–4862, [arXiv:hep-th/9803002](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [5] S.-J. Rey and J.-T. Yee, “Macroscopic strings as heavy quarks in large N gauge theory and anti-de Sitter supergravity,” *Eur.Phys.J.* **C22** (2001) 379–394, [arXiv:hep-th/9803001](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [6] D. E. Berenstein, R. Corrado, W. Fischler, and J. M. Maldacena, “The Operator product expansion for Wilson loops and surfaces in the large N limit,” *Phys.Rev.* **D59** (1999) 105023, [arXiv:hep-th/9809188](#) [[hep-th](#)].

- [7] N. Drukker, D. J. Gross, and H. Ooguri, “Wilson loops and minimal surfaces,” *Phys.Rev.* **D60** (1999) 125006, [arXiv:hep-th/9904191](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [8] J. Erickson, G. Semenoff, and K. Zarembo, “Wilson loops in N=4 supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory,” *Nucl.Phys.* **B582** (2000) 155–175, [arXiv:hep-th/0003055](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [9] N. Drukker and D. J. Gross, “An Exact prediction of N=4 SUSYM theory for string theory,” *J.Math.Phys.* **42** (2001) 2896–2914, [arXiv:hep-th/0010274](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [10] V. Pestun, “Localization of gauge theory on a four-sphere and supersymmetric Wilson loops,” *Commun.Math.Phys.* **313** (2012) 71–129, [arXiv:0712.2824](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [11] O. Aharony, O. Bergman, D. L. Jafferis, and J. Maldacena, “N=6 superconformal Chern-Simons-matter theories, M2-branes and their gravity duals,” *JHEP* **0810** (2008) 091, [arXiv:0806.1218](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [12] N. Drukker, J. Plefka, and D. Young, “Wilson loops in 3-dimensional N=6 supersymmetric Chern-Simons Theory and their string theory duals,” *JHEP* **0811** (2008) 019, [arXiv:0809.2787](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [13] B. Chen and J.-B. Wu, “Supersymmetric Wilson Loops in N=6 Super Chern-Simons-matter theory,” *Nucl.Phys.* **B825** (2010) 38–51, [arXiv:0809.2863](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [14] S.-J. Rey, T. Suyama, and S. Yamaguchi, “Wilson Loops in Superconformal Chern-Simons Theory and Fundamental Strings in Anti-de Sitter Supergravity Dual,” *JHEP* **0903** (2009) 127, [arXiv:0809.3786](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [15] N. Drukker and D. Trancanelli, “A Supermatrix model for N=6 super Chern-Simons-matter theory,” *JHEP* **1002** (2010) 058, [arXiv:0912.3006](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [16] D. Gaiotto and X. Yin, “Notes on superconformal Chern-Simons-Matter theories,” *JHEP* **0708** (2007) 056, [arXiv:0704.3740](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [17] A. Kapustin, B. Willett, and I. Yaakov, “Exact Results for Wilson Loops in Superconformal Chern-Simons Theories with Matter,” *JHEP* **1003** (2010) 089, [arXiv:0909.4559](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [18] D. L. Jafferis, “The Exact Superconformal R-Symmetry Extremizes Z,” *JHEP* **1205** (2012) 159, [arXiv:1012.3210](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [19] N. Hama, K. Hosomichi, and S. Lee, “Notes on SUSY Gauge Theories on Three-Sphere,” *JHEP* **1103** (2011) 127, [arXiv:1012.3512](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [20] M. Marino and P. Putrov, “Exact Results in ABJM Theory from Topological Strings,” *JHEP* **1006** (2010) 011, [arXiv:0912.3074](#) [[hep-th](#)].

- [21] N. Drukker, M. Marino, and P. Putrov, “From weak to strong coupling in ABJM theory,” *Commun.Math.Phys.* **306** (2011) 511–563, [arXiv:1007.3837 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [22] C. P. Herzog, I. R. Klebanov, S. S. Pufu, and T. Tesileanu, “Multi-Matrix Models and Tri-Sasaki Einstein Spaces,” *Phys.Rev.* **D83** (2011) 046001, [arXiv:1011.5487 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [23] M. Marino and P. Putrov, “ABJM theory as a Fermi gas,” *J.Stat.Mech.* **1203** (2012) P03001, [arXiv:1110.4066 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [24] A. Klemm, M. Marino, M. Schiereck, and M. Soroush, “Aharony-Bergman-JafferisCMaldacena Wilson loops in the Fermi gas approach,” *Z.Naturforsch.* **A68** (2013) 178–209, [arXiv:1207.0611 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [25] M. Benna, I. Klebanov, T. Klose, and M. Smedback, “Superconformal Chern-Simons Theories and AdS(4)/CFT(3) Correspondence,” *JHEP* **0809** (2008) 072, [arXiv:0806.1519 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [26] Y. Imamura and K. Kimura, “On the moduli space of elliptic Maxwell-Chern-Simons theories,” *Prog.Theor.Phys.* **120** (2008) 509–523, [arXiv:0806.3727 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [27] S. Terashima and F. Yagi, “Orbifolding the Membrane Action,” *JHEP* **0812** (2008) 041, [arXiv:0807.0368 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [28] Y. Imamura and K. Kimura, “N=4 Chern-Simons theories with auxiliary vector multiplets,” *JHEP* **0810** (2008) 040, [arXiv:0807.2144 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [29] M. Honda and S. Moriyama, “Instanton Effects in Orbifold ABJM Theory,” *JHEP* **1408** (2014) 091, [arXiv:1404.0676 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [30] H. Ouyang, J.-B. Wu, and J.-j. Zhang, “Supersymmetric Wilson loops in N=4 super Chern-Simons-matter theory,” [arXiv:1506.06192 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [31] M. Cooke, N. Drukker, and D. Trancanelli, “A profusion of 1/2 BPS Wilson loops in N=4 Chern-Simons-matter theories,” [arXiv:1506.07614 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [32] H. Ouyang, J.-B. Wu, and J.-j. Zhang, “BPS Wilson Loops in Minkowski Spacetime and Euclidean Space,” [arXiv:1504.06929 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [33] Y. Hatsuda, M. Honda, S. Moriyama, and K. Okuyama, “ABJM Wilson Loops in Arbitrary Representations,” *JHEP* **1310** (2013) 168, [arXiv:1306.4297 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [34] D. Gaiotto and E. Witten, “Janus Configurations, Chern-Simons Couplings, And The theta-Angle in N=4 Super Yang-Mills Theory,” *JHEP* **1006** (2010) 097, [arXiv:0804.2907 \[hep-th\]](#).

- [35] K. Hosomichi, K.-M. Lee, S. Lee, S. Lee, and J. Park, “N=4 Superconformal Chern-Simons Theories with Hyper and Twisted Hyper Multiplets,” *JHEP* **0807** (2008) 091, [arXiv:0805.3662 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [36] F.-M. Chen and Y.-S. Wu, “Construction of New D=3, N=4 Quiver Gauge Theories,” *JHEP* **1302** (2013) 016, [arXiv:1210.1169 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [37] F.-M. Chen and Y.-S. Wu, “Fusion of Superalgebras and D=3, N=4 Quiver Gauge Theories,” [arXiv:1212.6650](#).
- [38] D. L. Jafferis and A. Tomasiello, “A Simple class of N=3 gauge/gravity duals,” *JHEP* **0810** (2008) 101, [arXiv:0808.0864 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [39] S. Hohenegger and I. Kirsch, “A Note on the holography of Chern-Simons matter theories with flavour,” *JHEP* **0904** (2009) 129, [arXiv:0903.1730 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [40] D. Gaiotto and D. L. Jafferis, “Notes on adding D6 branes wrapping RP^3 in $AdS(4) \times CP^3$,” *JHEP* **1211** (2012) 015, [arXiv:0903.2175 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [41] Y. Hikida, W. Li, and T. Takayanagi, “ABJM with Flavors and FQHE,” *JHEP* **0907** (2009) 065, [arXiv:0903.2194 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [42] S. Moriyama and T. Nosaka, “Partition Functions of Superconformal Chern-Simons Theories from Fermi Gas Approach,” *JHEP* **1411** (2014) 164, [arXiv:1407.4268 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [43] Y. Hatsuda, M. Honda, and K. Okuyama, “Large N non-perturbative effects in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superconformal Chern-Simons theories,” [arXiv:1505.07120 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [44] M. S. Bianchi, G. Giribet, M. Leoni, and S. Penati, “1/2 BPS Wilson loop in N=6 superconformal Chern-Simons theory at two loops,” *Phys.Rev.* **D88** (2013) 026009, [arXiv:1303.6939 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [45] M. S. Bianchi, G. Giribet, M. Leoni, and S. Penati, “The 1/2 BPS Wilson loop in ABJ(M) at two loops: The details,” *JHEP* **1310** (2013) 085, [arXiv:1307.0786 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [46] L. Griguolo, G. Martelloni, M. Poggi, and D. Seminara, “Perturbative evaluation of circular 1/2 BPS Wilson loops in N = 6 Super Chern-Simons theories,” *JHEP* **1309** (2013) 157, [arXiv:1307.0787 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [47] Y. Hatsuda, S. Moriyama, and K. Okuyama, “Instanton Effects in ABJM Theory from Fermi Gas Approach,” *JHEP* **1301** (2013) 158, [arXiv:1211.1251 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [48] H. Fuji, S. Hirano, and S. Moriyama, “Summing Up All Genus Free Energy of ABJM Matrix Model,” *JHEP* **1108** (2011) 001, [arXiv:1106.4631 \[hep-th\]](#).

- [49] K.-M. Lee and S. Lee, “1/2-BPS Wilson Loops and Vortices in ABJM Model,” *JHEP* **1009** (2010) 004, [arXiv:1006.5589 \[hep-th\]](#).