

# Weak measurement amplification based on thermal noise effect

Gang Li<sup>\*</sup>,<sup>1</sup> Tao Wang<sup>†,2</sup> and He-Shan Song<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*School of Physics and Electronic Information, Yan'an University, Yanan 716000, China*

<sup>2</sup>*College of Physics, Tonghua Normal University, Tonghua 134000, China and*

<sup>3</sup>*School of Physics, Dalian University Of Technology, Dalian 116024, China*

(Dated: December 20, 2021)

Most studies for postselected weak measurement focus on using pure Gaussian state as a pointer, which can only give an amplification limit reaching the level of the ground state fluctuation. When the pointer is initialised in a thermal state, we find that the amplification limit after the postselection can reach the level of thermal fluctuation, indicating that the amplification effect achieving the level of thermal fluctuation is also increased with the temperature grow, and also give the amplification mechanism different from the one with pure Gaussian state pointer. To illustrate these results, we propose two schemes to implement room temperature amplification of the mechanical oscillator's displacement caused by a single photon in optomechanical system. The two schemes can both enhance the mechanical oscillator's original displacement by nearly seven orders of magnitude, attaining sensitivity to displacements of  $\sim 0.26$  nm. Such amplification effect can be used to observe the impact of a single photon on a room temperature mechanical oscillator which is hard to detect in traditional measurement.

PACS numbers: 42.50.Wk, 42.65.Hw, 03.65.Ta

## I. INTRODUCTION

Weak measurement (WM) with postselection, first proposed by Aharonov et al. [1], is an enhanced detection scheme where the system is weakly coupled to the pointer. The postselection on the system leads to an unusual effect: the average displacement of the postselection pointer is far beyond the the eigenvalue spectrum of the system observable, in contrast to von Neumann measurement. The mechanism behind this effect is the superposition (interference) between different postselection pointer states [2]. Much theoretical research based of weak value is shown in [3–5]. WM has been realized [6], and proven applicable to amplify tiny physical effects [7–11]. More experimental protocols have been proposed [12–20]. A Fock-state view for WM is given in [21], based on which a WM protocols combined with optomechanical system [22, 23] is proposed [24–27], and more applications of the field are reviewed in [28, 29].

In most previous studies the pointer is initialised in pure Gaussian state. It was an inherit assumption that the pointer has to be in the pure state at the inception of WM [1, 2]. A pointer can be easily represented with light in pure state [6–8], but with particles of efficient mass [30–33], it's difficult to initialize them in pure state due to environmental induced decoherence. Recently using squeezed pointer states combined with WM can also amplify small physical quantities [25]. Moreover, weak measurement based of thermal state pointer can also enhance parameter estimation in quantum metrology discussed in [34] which is very different from previous results [35–38].

The discussion of mixed state pointer in WM is given in [39–41]. However, they only focus on weak-value formalism (see [28, 29] for reviews) but not what extent the amplification value can be, i.e., the amplification limit. Needless to say, thermal state is easier to prepare, especially in optomechanical systems [24, 27]. One may naturally ask whether using thermal state pointer in WM can give a valid result for the amplification limit, and what kind of advantage it has than pure state pointer.

In the paper, we study the limits of amplifying tiny physical quantities or effects based on weak measurement. Our paper begins with a general discussion about weak measurement with a thermal state pointer, and show that the maximal displacement of the postselection pointer, proportional to the imaginary weak value, can reach the level of thermal fluctuation, which is much larger than the ground state fluctuation with pure state pointer [21, 24]. As the temperature grows, the amplification effect achieving the level of thermal fluctuation is also increased, thereby constantly improving the amplification limit, indicating that thermal noise effect of the pointer is beneficial for weak measurement amplification. This amplification is attributed to two probabilistic average results: one is the classical statistical properties of thermal state itself, and the other is the representation of quantum statistical probability, namely, the superposition of the number state  $|n\rangle$  and the state  $(c + c^\dagger)|n\rangle$  (unnormalized) of the postselection pointer. Such superposition is the generalization of the mechanism behind the amplification in Ref. [21, 24–26].

We apply the general idea to the field of optomechanical system. We find that the amplification of the mirror's displacement occurred at time near zero is very important for bad cavities with non-sideband resolved regime, and can overcome the shortcomings of difficultly observing the amplification effect due to dissipation [24]. Fi-

<sup>\*</sup>ligang0311@sina.cn

<sup>†</sup>suiyueqiaoqiao@163.com

nally we show that the unique advantage of our schemes is that the amplification at room temperature, with current experimental technologies, can be used to observe the impact of a single photon on a room temperature mechanical oscillator which is hard to detect in traditional measurement [42].

The structure of our paper is as follows. In Secs. II, we give a general discussion about weak measurement with a thermal state pointer. In Secs. III, we state the second main result of this work, including weak measurement amplification in optomechanical system using phase shifter  $\theta$  and using a displaced thermal state, respectively. In Secs. IV, we give the conclusion about the work, respectively.

## II. FOCK-STATE VIEW OF WEAK MEASUREMENT WITH A THERMAL STATE POINTER

In the standard scenario of WM, the interaction Hamiltonian between the system and the pointer is  $\hat{H} = \chi(t)\hat{A}\hat{q}$  (setting  $\hbar = 1$ ), where  $A$  is a system observable,  $q$  is the position observable of the pointer and  $\chi(t)$  is a narrow pulse function with interaction strength  $\chi$ . As in Ref. [21], if we define  $\hat{c} = \hat{q}/2\sigma + i\sigma\hat{p}$ , the interaction Hamiltonian can be rewritten as

$$\hat{H} = \chi(t)\sigma\hat{A}(\hat{c} + \hat{c}^\dagger), \quad (1)$$

where  $\hat{q} = \sigma(\hat{c} + \hat{c}^\dagger)$ ,  $\hat{p} = -i(c - c^\dagger)/(2\sigma)$ , and  $\sigma$  is the zero-point fluctuation. Suppose the initial system state is  $|\psi_i\rangle = (|a_1\rangle_s + |a_2\rangle_s)/\sqrt{2}$ , where  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  is eigenvalues of  $A$ . Then we consider the initial pointer state as

$$\rho_{th}(z) = (1 - z) \sum_{n=0} z^n |n\rangle_m \langle n|_m, \quad (2)$$

with  $z = e^{-\beta\omega_m}$  and  $\beta = 1/(k_B T)$ , where  $k_B$  is the Boltzmann constant and  $T$  is the temperature.

Next we make a postselection of the state of the measured system. Because of the linearity of  $\rho_{th}(z)$ , we need only look at the component number states  $|n\rangle_m$  are weakly coupled with  $|\psi_i\rangle$  using Eq. (2). Then we postselect the system into a final state  $|\psi_p\rangle = [\cos(\pi/4 - \varepsilon)|a_1\rangle_s - e^{i\varphi}\sin(\pi/4 - \varepsilon)|a_2\rangle_s]$  with  $\varphi \ll 1$  and  $\varepsilon \ll 1$ , which is nonorthogonal to  $|\psi_i\rangle$ , i.e.,  $\langle\psi_p|\psi_i\rangle \approx \varepsilon + i\varphi/2$ , then the reduced pointer state after the postselection for each  $n$  component of the pointer state is given by

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_m(n)\rangle &= \langle\psi_p|\exp[-i\eta\hat{A}(\hat{c} + \hat{c}^\dagger)]|\psi_i\rangle|n\rangle_m \\ &= ([\cos(\pi/4 - \varepsilon)D(-ia_1\eta) - e^{-i\varphi}\sin(\pi/4 - \varepsilon)D(-ia_2\eta)]|n\rangle_m)/\sqrt{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where  $\eta = \chi\sigma$  and  $D(\alpha) = \exp[\alpha\hat{c}^\dagger - \alpha^*\hat{c}]$  is a displacement operator.

When  $\varphi \ll 1$ ,  $\varepsilon \ll 1$  and  $\eta(2n+1)^{1/2} \ll 1$ , i.e.,  $\eta \ll 1$ , the approximation of Eq. (3) is (normalized)

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_m(n)\rangle_{\eta \ll 1} &\approx B_n[(2\varepsilon + i\varphi)|n\rangle_m + i\eta(a_2 \\ &\quad - a_1)(\hat{c} + \hat{c}^\dagger)|n\rangle_m]/2, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where  $B_n = 2[4\varepsilon^2 + \varphi^2 + \eta^2(a_2 - a_1)^2(2n+1)]^{-1/2}$  is a normalization coefficient for each state  $|\psi_m(n)\rangle_{\eta \ll 1}$ , and the final total pointer state after the postselection is (normalized)

$$\rho_{pm} = (1 - z) \sum_{n=0} z^n |\psi_m(n)\rangle_{\eta \ll 1} \langle\psi_m(n)|_{\eta \ll 1} / B_{tot}, \quad (5)$$

where  $B_{tot} = (\sigma^2\varphi^2 + 4\sigma^2\varepsilon^2 + \sigma_q^2(a_2 - a_1)^2\eta^2)/(4\sigma^2)$  is a normalized coefficient for  $\rho_{pm}$ , and  $\sigma_q = \coth^{1/2}(\beta\omega_m/2)\sigma$  represents thermal fluctuations of the position  $q$  space.

Special note is given here, we only discuss the problem beyond the weak-value amplification [43], which can reach the maximum amplification value. In addition, the discussion of the weak-value amplification with imaginary and real values can be seen in the Appendix B, respectively. For Eq. (4), when  $M = q$  and  $\varepsilon = 0$ , the displacement of the pointer for each state  $|\psi_m(n)\rangle_{\eta \ll 1}$  is (see Appendix A)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle q \rangle_n &= B_n^2 C \text{Tr}\{\{M, q\}|n\rangle_m \langle n|_m\}/\sigma \\ &= \sigma B_n^2 C(2n+1) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

with  $C = \varphi\eta(a_2 - a_1)/2$ , where  $\{\cdot\}$  denote anticommutation rules in quantum mechanics and  $\text{Tr}(\cdot\rho)$  as  $\langle\cdot\rangle_\rho$  with any state  $\rho$  for short throughout the paper. We note that  $(2n+1)\sigma$  is due to the anticommutation interaction between the superposition of the number states  $|n\rangle$  and  $(\hat{c} + \hat{c}^\dagger)|n\rangle$  (unnormalized) and the measured observable  $M$  ( $M = q$ ), i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle n|\{M, q\}|n\rangle &= \langle\psi_m(n)|_{\eta \ll 1} q |\psi_m(n)\rangle_{\eta \ll 1} / B_n C \\ &= (2n+1)\sigma. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

For Eq. (5), the average displacement of the pointer in position  $q$  space will be

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{q} \rangle &= \sum_{n=0} P_n \langle q \rangle_n \\ &= C\sigma_q^2/(\sigma B_{tot}) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

and  $\langle \hat{p} \rangle = 0$ , where  $P_n = z^n(1 - z)B_n^{-2}/B_{tot}$  is the classical statistical probability for each state  $|\psi_m(n)\rangle_{\eta \ll 1}$  in the ensemble of the pure state  $\{P_n, \psi_m(n)\}_{\eta \ll 1}$ . Multiplying the classical probability  $P_n$  and the corresponding displacement  $\langle q \rangle_n$ , we get

$$P_n \langle q \rangle_n = \sigma C(1 - z)z^n(2n+1)/B_{tot}. \quad (9)$$

We note that  $(1 - z)z^n$  in Eq. (9) is due to the classical statistical properties of thermal state itself. As the temperature  $T$  grows, there is an increased occupancy of the higher number states  $\{|n\rangle_m, (\hat{c} + \hat{c}^\dagger)|n\rangle_m\}$  in thermal pointer (5). These higher number states have more energy and so they can cause a higher displacement of the pointer than the lower number states. Therefore, the

average displacement of the pointer  $\langle \hat{q} \rangle$  in Eq. (8) is increased with the increase of the temperature  $T$ .

From Eq. (8), we can see that  $\langle \hat{q} \rangle$  is non-zero in position  $q$  space, and get the maximal positive and negative values  $\pm\sigma_q$  (thermal fluctuation) when  $\varphi = \pm\sigma_q(a_2 - a_1)\eta/\sigma$ , respectively, which are much larger than that using the pure state pointer [1, 21, 24], i.e., the ground state fluctuation  $\sigma$ . We find that as the temperature increases, the maximum value  $\pm\sigma_q$  is further increased. Therefore, the  $|\psi_m(n)\rangle$  components corresponding to the maximal positive and negative amplification are, respectively,  $|\psi_m(n)\rangle_{\max, \eta \ll 1} = [\sigma_q/\sigma \pm (\hat{c} + \hat{c}^\dagger)]|n\rangle/2$ . Obviously, the key to understand the amplification is attributed to two probabilistic average results: one is the superposition of the number states  $|n\rangle$  and  $(\hat{c} + \hat{c}^\dagger)|n\rangle$  in a thermal postselection pointer, which originate from the representation of quantum statistical probability. This result reveals the more generalized law of causing amplification effect since it is regarded as a generalization of the mechanism behind the amplification in standard WM [1, 21], which is the superposition of the ground state  $|0\rangle$  and the one phonon state  $|1\rangle$  of the postselection pointer (see Appendix C); the other is the ensemble of the pure state  $\{P_n, \psi_m(n)\}_{\eta \ll 1}$ , which originated from the representation of the classical statistical properties of thermal state itself. In a word, thermal noise effect of the pointer is beneficial for the amplification of the displacement corresponding to the imaginary part of weak value. It is surprised that in [44] the approach above can also enhance parameter estimation in quantum metrology.

### III. WEAK MEASUREMENT AMPLIFICATION OF ONE PHOTON IN OPTOMECHANICAL SYSTEM

#### A. Optomechanical model

To show how the above results can be applied, we consider a March-Zehnder interferometer combined with optomechanical system where the optomechanical cavity (OC) A and the stationary Fabry-Perot cavity B is embedded in its one and another arm, respectively (see Fig. 1), the Hamiltonian is given by,

$$\hat{H} = \hbar\omega_c(a^\dagger a + b^\dagger b) + \hbar\omega_m c^\dagger c - \hbar g a^\dagger a (c + c^\dagger), \quad (10)$$

where  $\omega_c$  is the frequency of the optic cavity A, B of length  $L$  with corresponding annihilation operators  $\hat{a}$  and  $\hat{b}$ ,  $\omega_m$  is the angular frequency of mechanical system with corresponding annihilation operator  $\hat{c}$ , and the optomechanical coupling strength  $g = \omega_0\sigma/L$ ,  $\sigma = (\hbar/2m\omega_m)^{1/2}$  which is the zero point fluctuation and  $m$  is the mass of mechanical system. Here it is a weak measurement model where the mirror is used as the pointer to measure the number of photon in cavity A, with  $a^\dagger a$  of Eq. (10) corresponding to  $\hat{A}$  in Eq. (1) in the standard scenario of weak measurement (see Appendix E).




FIG. 1: The photon enters the first beam splitter of March-Zehnder interferometer, before entering an optomechanical cavity A and a conventional cavity B. The photon weakly excites the tiny mirror. After the second beam splitter, and dark port is detected, i.e., postselection acts on the case where the mirror has been excited by a photon, and fails otherwise.

#### B. Weak measurement amplification using a phase shifter $\theta$

As shown in Fig. 1, suppose one photon enters the interferometer, after the first beam splitter and a phase shifter  $\theta$  in the arm A of the interferometer, the initial state of the photon becomes  $|\psi_i(\theta)\rangle = (1/\sqrt{2})(e^{i\theta}|1\rangle_A|0\rangle_B + |0\rangle_A|1\rangle_B)$  with  $\theta \ll 1$ . The mirror is initialised in thermal state  $\rho_{th}(z)$ . After a weak interaction using Eq. (10), according to the results of the Hamiltonian in [45, 46], the state of the total system will be

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(z) = & (1-z) \sum_{n=0} z^n [|1\rangle_A|0\rangle_B e^{i(\phi(t)+\theta)} D(\xi) \\ & + |0\rangle_A|1\rangle_B] |n\rangle_m \langle n|_m [ \langle 1|_A \langle 0|_B e^{-i(\phi(t)+\theta)} \\ & D^\dagger(\xi) + \langle 0|_A \langle 1|_B ] / 2, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where  $\xi(t) = k(1 - e^{-i\omega_m t})$  and  $\phi(t) = k^2(\omega_m t - \sin \omega_m t)$  with  $k = g/\omega_m$ . Then the second beam splitter postselects for the photon state  $|\psi_p\rangle = (|1\rangle_A|0\rangle_B - |0\rangle_A|1\rangle_B)/2$ , which is nonorthogonal to  $|\psi_i(\theta)\rangle$ , i.e.,  $\langle \psi_p | \psi_i(\theta) \rangle \approx i\theta/2$  (imaginary), in other words, when a photon is detected at the dark port, the reduced state of the mirror after the postselection becomes (see Appendix D, unnormalized)

$$\rho_m^{pha} = (1-z) \sum_{n=0} z^n |\psi_1(n)\rangle \langle \psi_1(n)|, \quad (12)$$

where  $|\psi_1(n)\rangle = [e^{i(\phi(t)+\theta)} D(\xi)|n\rangle_m - |n\rangle_m]/2$  denotes the  $n$  phonon component state of the mirror.

Substituting (12) into the displacement expression of the pointer (A4) in Appendix A, and applying the identity of the associated Laguerre polynomial  $L_n^k(x)$  [47],

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} L_n^k(x) z^n = (1-z)^{-k-1} \exp[-xz/(1-z)], \quad (13)$$

the average displacement  $\langle q(t) \rangle$  of the mirror over all  $n$  phonon component states  $|\psi_1(n)\rangle$  is (see Appendix B for detail derivation)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle q(t) \rangle = & \sigma[\xi + \xi^* - (1-z)^{-1}(\Phi\xi + \Phi^*\xi^* \\ & - z[\Phi\xi^* + \Phi^*\xi])]/(2 - \Phi - \Phi^*), \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$




FIG. 2: (a) Average displacement  $\langle q(t) \rangle / \sigma$  versus time  $\omega_m t$  with  $\theta = 0.0005$ ,  $k = 0.005$  and  $z = 0.9$ . (b) Average displacement  $\langle q(t) \rangle_n / \sigma$  as function of  $n$  when  $\theta = (\frac{1+z}{1-z})^{1/2} k \omega_m t$  with  $\theta = 0.0005$ ,  $k = 0.005$  and  $z = 0.9$ .

where  $\Phi = \exp(-\sigma_q |\xi|^2 / (2\sigma) + i\phi(t) + i\Omega)$  with  $\Omega = \theta$ .

Figure 2(a) show that the average displacement  $\langle q(t) \rangle / \sigma$  of the mirror versus time  $\omega_m t$ . At time near  $\omega_m t = 0$  the maximal amplification can reach  $\sigma_q$  (thermal fluctuation) which is  $\sqrt{19}\sigma$  when  $z = 0.9$ . This result is beyond the strong-coupling limiting  $\sigma$  (the ground-state fluctuation) [48]. Therefore, thermal noise effect of the mirror is beneficial for the amplification of the mirror's displacement caused by one photon, which means that the impact of one photon on an mechanical oscillator with arbitrary temperature can be observed.

In order to observe the amplification effects appearing at time near  $T = 0$ , for Eq. (12) we can then perform a small quantity expansion about time  $T$  till the second order. Suppose that  $|\omega_m t - T| \ll 1$ , i.e.,  $\omega_m t \ll 1$ ,  $k \ll 1$  and  $\theta \ll 1$ , then the approximation of  $|\psi_1(n)\rangle$  is given by (normalized)

$$|\psi_1(n)\rangle_{\omega_m t \ll 1} = B_1(n)[i\theta + ik\omega_m t(c + c^\dagger)]|n\rangle/2, \quad (15)$$

where  $B_1(n) = 2[\theta^2 + k^2(\omega_m t)^2(2n+1)]^{-1/2}$  is a normalization coefficient for each state  $|\psi_1(n)\rangle_{\omega_m t \ll 1}$ . Note that in the ensemble of pure state  $\{P_n, |\psi_1(n)\rangle_{\omega_m t \ll 1}\}$  the classical statistical probability for each state  $|\psi_1(n)\rangle_{\omega_m t \ll 1}$  is  $P_n = z^n(1-z)B_1^{-2}(n)/B_1^{tot}$ , where  $B_1^{tot} = (\theta^2\sigma^2 + k^2(\omega_m t)^2\sigma_q^2)/(4\sigma^2)$  is a normalized coefficient for  $\rho_m^{pha}$  in Eq. (15).

For Eq. (15), the displacement  $\langle q(t) \rangle_n / \sigma$  for each  $n$  phonon component state  $|\psi_1(n)\rangle_{\omega_m t \ll 1}$  (see Appendix C) is

$$\langle q(t) \rangle_n = B_1^2(n)\sigma\theta k\omega_m t(2n+1)/2. \quad (16)$$

In Fig. 2(b), we plot the displacement  $\langle q(t) \rangle_n / \sigma$  for  $|\psi_1(n)\rangle_{\omega_m t \ll 1}$  as function of  $n$  when  $\theta = \sigma_q k \omega_m t / \sigma$ . This condition is to make achieve the maximal value. It shows the amplification values grow with the increase of  $n$ . Obviously, the superposition of  $|n\rangle$  and  $(c + c^\dagger)|n\rangle$  is the key to obtain amplification at time near  $\omega_m t = 0$ . Note that suppose the initial pointer state is  $|n\rangle_m$ , for the the displacement  $\langle q(t) \rangle_n / \sigma$  in Eq. (16) we can see that the maximal amplification can reach  $\pm(2n+1)^{1/2}\sigma$  (thermal fluctuation) if  $\theta = k\omega_m t(2n+1)^{1/2}$ , and its amplification value tends to  $\infty$  with the increase of  $n$ . This is different from the result in fig. 2(b). Summing the displacement  $\langle q(t) \rangle_n / \sigma$  for all  $n$  phonon component states

$|\psi_1(n)\rangle_{\omega_m t \ll 1}$ , the maximal values of the average displacement are  $\langle q(t) \rangle / \sigma = \pm\sigma_q / \sigma$  (thermal fluctuation) when  $\theta = \pm\sigma_q k \omega_m t / \sigma$ , respectively, and as the temperature  $T$  grows, the maximal values  $\pm\sigma_q$  is also increased.

### C. Weak measurement amplification using a displaced thermal state

Besides the above amplification scheme, as shown in Fig. 1, we can also provide an alternative where the mirror is initialised in the displaced thermal state [49] using classical light pulses drive,  $\rho_{th}(z, \alpha) = D(\alpha)\rho_{th}(z)D^\dagger(\alpha)$ . Without the phase shifter  $\theta$ , the initial state of the photon after the first beam splitter is  $|\psi_i\rangle = (|1\rangle_A|0\rangle_B + |0\rangle_A|1\rangle_B)/\sqrt{2}$ . Similar to the previous scheme in which weak measurement amplification can be performed by using a phase shifter  $\theta$ , when a photon is detected at the dark port, the reduced state of the mirror after the orthogonal postselection (i.e.,  $\langle\psi_p|\psi_i\rangle = 0$ ) is given by (see Appendix D, unnormalized)

$$\rho_m^{dis} = (1-z) \sum_{n=0} z^n |\psi_2(n)\rangle \langle \psi_2(n)|, \quad (17)$$

where  $|\psi_2(n)\rangle = [e^{i(\phi(t) + \phi(\alpha, t))} D(\xi(t))|n\rangle - |n\rangle]/2$  denotes the  $n$  phonon component state of the mirror and  $\phi(\alpha, t) = -i[\alpha\xi(t) - \alpha^*\xi^*(t)]$  is caused by noncommutativity of quantum mechanics [26]. Similar to the previous section, when substitute (17) into (A4) in Appendix A, the expression for the average displacement  $\langle q(t) \rangle$  of the mirror for  $\rho_m^{dis}$  is similar to Eq. (14) (see Appendix D for detail derivation), just with  $\phi(\alpha, t)$  instead of  $\theta$ .

Figure 3(a) shows that the average displacement  $\langle q(t) \rangle / \sigma$  of the mirror versus time  $\omega_m t$ . Obviously, at time near  $\omega_m t = 0$ , the maximal amplification can reach  $\sigma_q$  (thermal fluctuation) which is  $\sqrt{19}\sigma$  when  $z = 0.9$ . The meaning of this result is the same as the one using a phase shifter and a huge impact of a single photon on a high temperature mechanical oscillator can be observed.

Similar to Eq. (15), the approximation of  $|\psi_2(n)\rangle$  is




FIG. 3: (a) Average displacement  $\langle q(t) \rangle / \sigma$  versus time  $\omega_m t$  for  $|\alpha| = (\frac{1+z}{1-z})^{1/2}/2$ ,  $\beta = 0$  (blue line) and  $|\alpha| = 10(\frac{1+z}{1-z})^{1/2}$ ,  $\beta = \pi/2$  (red line). (b) Average displacement  $\langle q(t) \rangle / \sigma$  at time  $\omega_m t = 0.001$  as a function of  $\alpha = |\alpha|e^{i\beta}$ ; other parameters are the same as before, i.e.,  $k = 0.005$  and  $z = 0.9$

(see Appendix D, normalized)

$$|\psi_2(n)\rangle_{\omega_m t \ll 1} = B_2(n)[i2k|\alpha|\zeta|n\rangle + ik\omega_m t(c + c^\dagger)|n\rangle]/2 \quad (18)$$

when  $k \ll 1$  and  $2k|\alpha|\zeta \ll 1$ , where  $\zeta = [(\omega_m t)^2 \sin \beta]/2 + \omega_m t \cos \beta$  and  $B_2(n) = 2[4k^2|\alpha|^2\zeta^2 + k^2(\omega_m t)^2(2n+1)]^{-1/2}$  is a normalization coefficient for each state  $|\psi_2(n)\rangle_{\omega_m t \ll 1}$ . Note that in the ensemble of pure state  $\{P_n, |\psi_2(n)\rangle_{\omega_m t \ll 1}\}$  the classical statistical probability for each state  $|\psi_2(n)\rangle_{\omega_m t \ll 1}$  is  $P_n = z^n(1-z)B_2^{-2}(n)/B_2^{tot}$ , where  $B_2^{tot} = (4k^2|\alpha|^2\zeta^2\sigma^2 + k^2(\omega_m t)^2\sigma_q^2)/(4\sigma^2)$  is a normalized coefficient for  $\rho_m^{dis}$  in Eq. (17). This indicates that the superposition of  $|n\rangle$  and  $(c + c^\dagger)|n\rangle$  is the key to obtain amplification at time near  $\omega_m t = 0$ . Fig. 3(b) show that at time  $\omega_m t = 0.001$ , the average displacement  $\langle q(t) \rangle / \sigma$  of the mirror as a function of  $\alpha = |\alpha|e^{i\beta}$ , i.e., different displaced thermal states  $\rho_{th}(z, \alpha)$ .

#### D. Dissipation

When the mirror is considered in a thermal bath characterized by a damping constant  $\gamma_m$ , we have

$$d\rho(t)/dt = -i[H, \rho(t)]/\hbar + \gamma_m \mathcal{D}[c]/(1-z) + \gamma_m z \mathcal{D}[c^\dagger]/(1-z), \quad (19)$$

where  $\mathcal{D}[o] = o\rho(t)o^\dagger - o^\dagger o\rho(t)/2 - \rho(t)o^\dagger o/2$ . In Fig. 4(a) and Fig. 5(a), we show that at time  $t \ll 1$ , the average displacements of the mirror (see Appendix E) from the exact solution of Eq. (19) for the first and the second proposed schemes, respectively. They show that at room temperature 300K, even if the damping coefficient  $\gamma$  ( $\gamma = \gamma_m/\omega_m$ ) become very large, such as  $\gamma = 50$ , the average displacement of the mirror is the same as the one without dissipation,  $\gamma = 0$ , but actually the damping coefficient of the OC we use in [27] is  $5 \times 10^{-7}$ , which is no effect on the amplification.

#### E. Experimental requirements

First, we discuss the photon arrival rate versus time. Suppose a single photon in short-pulse limit enters to the cavity. The probability density of a photon being released from OC after time  $t$  is  $\kappa \exp(-\kappa t)$ , with  $\kappa$  being cavity decay rate. The successful post-selection probability being released after  $t$  is  $[2 - \exp[-\sigma_q^2|\xi(t)|^2/(2\sigma^2)]](e^{i(\phi(t)+\Omega)} + e^{-i(\phi(t)+\Omega)})/4$ , where  $\Omega = \theta$ ,  $\phi(\alpha, t)$ . For  $k \ll 1$ , this is approximately  $(\sigma_q^2|\xi(t)|^2/\sigma^2 + \Omega^2)/4$ . Multiplying  $(\sigma_q^2|\xi(t)|^2/\sigma^2 + \Omega^2)/4$  and  $\kappa \exp(-\kappa t)$  results in the photon arrival rate density  $D(t) = \kappa \exp(-\kappa t)(\sigma_q^2|\xi(t)|^2/\sigma^2 + \Omega^2)/(4P)$  in OC, where

$$P = (1/4) \int_0^\infty \kappa \exp(-\kappa t)(\sigma_q^2|\xi(t)|^2/\sigma^2 + \Omega^2) dt \quad (20)$$




FIG. 4: (a) Average displacement  $\langle q(t) \rangle / \sigma$  at time  $t \ll 1$  with  $k = 0.005$ ,  $\theta = 0.005$  and  $\omega_m = 9\pi$  kHz (room temperature 300K) for different  $\gamma = 0$  (yellow line), 0.005 (red line), 50 (blue line) and  $5 \times 10^3$  (black line). (b) Photon arrival probability density  $D(t)$  vs arrival time for  $\theta$  ( $\theta = k$ ) with  $\kappa = 1.2 \times 10^2 \omega_m$  (blue line),  $1.2 \times 10^3 \omega_m$  (red line) and  $1.2 \times 10^4 \omega_m$  (green line).

is the overall probability of a single photon successfully generating the superposition state of  $|n\rangle$  and  $(c + c^\dagger)|n\rangle$ . Figure 4(b) and Figure 5(b) show the photon arrival rate density  $D(t)$  for the first and the second proposed schemes, respectively. They show that in the bad-cavity limit  $\kappa > \omega_m$ , i.e., non-sideband resolved regime, as the decay rate  $\kappa$  of the cavity increases,  $D(t)$  become increasingly concentrated at time near  $t = 0$ .

For a repeated experimental set up with identical conditions, the "average" displacement of the pointer is given by

$$\overline{\langle q(t) \rangle} = \int_0^\infty D(t) \langle q(t) \rangle dt, \quad (21)$$

where  $\langle q(t) \rangle$  is the same as  $\langle q(t) \rangle$  in Eq. (14). At room temperature  $T = 300K$ , we use a mechanical resonator with mechanical frequency  $f_m = 4.5$  kHz and effective mass  $m = 100$  ng [27], indicating that  $z = 0.999999999$ ,  $\sigma = 4.32$  fm (femtometer). So the maximal amplification value  $\sigma_q = 0.26$  nm. If  $T = 1500K$ ,  $\sigma_q = 0.5$  nm [50]. For the first scheme, with  $\kappa = 1.2 \times 10^4 \omega_m$ ,  $\overline{\langle q(t) \rangle} = 11577\sigma$  if  $k = 0.005$ ,  $\theta = 0.005$ , and for the second scheme, with  $\kappa = 2 \times 10^4 \omega_m$ ,  $\overline{\langle q(t) \rangle} = 44704\sigma$  if  $k = 0.005$ ,  $|\alpha| = \sigma_q/(2\sigma)$ ,  $\beta = 0$ . Now we compare these amplification results with the maximal unamplified value  $4k\sigma = 86.4$  am (attometer) caused by the radiation pressure of single photon in cavity A (amplification without the postselection, see Appendix F), therefore the amplification factor is  $Q = \overline{\langle q(t) \rangle} / (4k\sigma)$  which are 578850 for the first scheme and 2235200 for the second scheme.

We then give the experimental requirements for the optomechanical device at room temperature  $T = 300K$ . According to Eq. (20),  $P$  that we need is common, though the precise value of which depend on the dark count rate of the detector and the stability of the setup. At room temperature  $T = 300K$ , for the first scheme,  $P$  is approximately  $6.94k^2$  (see Appendix H) for a device with  $\kappa = 1.2 \times 10^4 \omega_m$  when  $\theta = 0.005$ . The window that detectors need to open for photons is approximately  $1/\kappa$ , requiring the dark count rate being lower than  $6.94k^2\kappa$ . The dark count rate of the best silicon avalanche photodiode is about  $\sim 2$  Hz. So we require  $k \geq 0$  for a 4.5 kHz device, i.e. proposed device no. 2 from [27], but with






FIG. 5: (a) Average displacement  $\langle q(t) \rangle / \sigma$  at time  $t \ll 1$  with  $k = 0.005$ ,  $|\alpha| = (\frac{1+z}{1-z})^{1/2}/2$ ,  $\beta = 0$  and  $\omega_m = 9\pi$  kHz (room temperature 300K) for different  $\gamma = 0$  (yellow line), 0.005 (red line), 0.5 (blue line) and 50 (black line). (b) Photon arrival probability density  $D(t)$  vs arrival time for  $|\alpha| = (\frac{1+z}{1-z})^{1/2}/2$ ,  $\beta = 0$  with  $\kappa = 2 \times 10^2 \omega_m$  (blue line),  $2 \times 10^3 \omega_m$  (red line) and  $2 \times 10^4 \omega_m$  (green line).

optical finesse  $F$  reduced to 2800 and cavity length being 0.5 mm. For the second scheme,  $P$  is approximately  $5k^2$  (see Appendix H) for a device with  $\kappa = 2 \times 10^4 \omega_m$  when  $|\alpha| = \sigma_q/(2\sigma)$ ,  $\beta = 0$ . Because the dark count rate 2 Hz of the detector is lower than  $5k^2\kappa$ , we require  $k \geq 0.000026$  for the same 4.5 kHz device, but with optical finesse  $F$  reduced to 3000 and cavity length being 0.3 mm. Therefore, the implementation of the schemes provided here are feasible to observe the impact of a single photon on a room-temperature mechanical oscillator in experiment.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we considered using thermal state to enhance the amplification limit of the mechanical oscillator's displacement after the postselection, and the maximal amplification value can reach the level of thermal fluctuation, indicating that constantly improving the amplification limit with the temperature increasing. In other words, thermal noise effect of the pointer is beneficial for weak measurement amplification. The mechanism behind the amplification is attributed to the superposition between the number state  $|n\rangle$  and the state  $(c + c^\dagger)|n\rangle$  (unnormalized) of the postselection pointer and the classical statistical properties of thermal state itself. To this end, we proposed two different schemes for experimental implementations with optomechanical system, and show that the amplification that occurs at time near  $\omega_m t = 0$  is important for bad cavities with non-sideband resolved regime, which means that our proposed two schemes are feasible to observe the impact of a single photon on a room-temperature mechanical oscillator under current experimental condition. Moreover, we have provided enough theoretical toolbox [34, 51] to amplify the weaker effect in one-photon weak-coupling optomechanics, which may be employed to explore the faint gravitational effect.

#### V. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Shaanxi Province (Grant No. 2018JQ1056), the Doctoral Scientific Research Foundation of Yan'an University (Grant No. YDBK2016-04) and Youth Foundation of Yan'an University (Grant No. YDQ2017-09).

#### Appendix A: Amplification displacement of postselected weak measurement with any state pointer

The interaction Hamiltonian between the system and the pointer is

$$H_{int} = \chi(t)A \otimes q. \quad (A1)$$

Suppose the initial state of the system is  $|\Phi_i\rangle = (|a_1\rangle + |a_2\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ , and the initial state of the pointer is  $\rho_m$ . The system is postselected in the state  $|\Phi_p\rangle = \cos\theta_p|a_1\rangle - e^{i\varphi}\sin\theta_p|a_2\rangle$  after the interaction (A1), and the pointer collapses to the state (unnormalized)

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{pm} &= \langle \Phi_p | \exp(-i\chi A q) | \Phi_i \rangle \langle \Phi_i | \rho_m \exp(i\chi A q) | \Phi_p \rangle \\ &= [\cos\theta_p \exp(-i\chi a_1 q) - e^{-i\varphi} \sin\theta_p \\ &\quad \exp(-i\chi a_2 q)] \rho_m [\cos\theta_p \exp(i\chi a_1 q) \\ &\quad - e^{i\varphi} \sin\theta_p \exp(i\chi a_2 q)] / 2, \end{aligned} \quad (A2)$$

where  $\hat{q} = \sigma(\hat{c} + \hat{c}^\dagger)$ ,  $\sigma$  is the zero-point fluctuation. The success postselection probability is  $P_s = \text{Tr}(\rho_{pm})$ . However, if  $\chi \ll 1$  and  $\varphi \ll 1$ , and when  $\theta_p = \pi/4 - \varepsilon$  with  $\varepsilon \ll 1$ ,  $\rho_{pm}$  (A2) is approximately

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{pm} &\approx (1/4)[2\varepsilon + i\varphi + i\chi(a_2 - a_1)q] \rho_m [2\varepsilon \\ &\quad - i\varphi - i\chi(a_2 - a_1)q]. \end{aligned} \quad (A3)$$

The average displacement of the pointer observable  $M$  ( $M = p, q$ ) is

$$\langle M \rangle = \text{Tr}(M \rho_{pm}) / \text{Tr}(\rho_{pm}) - \text{Tr}(M \rho_m). \quad (A4)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(M \rho_{pm}) &\approx [(4\varepsilon^2 + \varphi^2) \langle M \rangle_{\rho_m} + i2\varepsilon\chi(a_2 - a_1) \\ &\quad \langle [M, q] \rangle_{\rho_m} + \varphi\chi(a_2 - a_1) \langle \{M, q\} \rangle_{\rho_m} \\ &\quad + \chi^2(a_2 - a_1)^2 \langle q M q \rangle_{\rho_m}] / 4, \end{aligned} \quad (A5)$$

and the normalized coefficient is

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &= \text{Tr}(\rho_{pm}) \approx [(4\varepsilon^2 + \varphi^2) + 2\varphi\chi(a_2 \\ &\quad - a_1) \langle q \rangle_{\rho_m} + \chi^2(a_2 - a_1)^2 \langle q^2 \rangle_{\rho_m}] / 4, \end{aligned} \quad (A6)$$

where  $\text{Tr}(\cdot \rho_m)$  as  $\langle \cdot \rangle_{\rho_m}$  for short throughout the paper.

By substituting (A5) and (A6) into (A4), we find that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle M \rangle &= A_0^{-1}[(4\varepsilon^2 + \varphi^2)\langle M \rangle_{\rho_m} + i2\varepsilon\chi(a_2 - a_1) \\ &\quad \langle [M, q] \rangle_{\rho_m} + \varphi\chi(a_2 - a_1)\langle \{M, q\} \rangle_{\rho_m} \\ &\quad + \chi^2(a_2 - a_1)^2\langle qMq \rangle_{\rho_m}]/4 - \langle M \rangle_{\rho_m},\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A7})$$

where  $[\cdot]$  and  $\{\cdot\}$  denote commutation and anticommutation rules, respectively. (A7) is the average displacement of the any pointer. If the initial state of the pointer  $\rho_m$  satisfy the symmetry condition, i.e,  $F(-x) = F(x)$ , the expression (A7) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\langle M \rangle &= A^{-1}(i2\varepsilon\chi(a_2 - a_1)\langle [M, q] \rangle_{\rho_m} \\ &\quad + \varphi\chi(a_2 - a_1)\langle \{M, q\} \rangle_{\rho_m})/4,\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A8})$$

where  $A = [4\varepsilon^2 + \varphi^2 + \chi^2(a_2 - a_1)^2\langle q^2 \rangle_{\rho_m}]/4$  is a normalized coefficient. It is obvious that the displacement is determined by  $i2\varepsilon\chi(a_2 - a_1)\langle [M, q] \rangle_{\rho_m}$  and  $\varphi\chi(a_2 - a_1)\langle \{M, q\} \rangle_{\rho_m}$ . The former and latter are both caused by interference term of this state (A3). In other words, the key to understand the amplification is the coherence (superposition) between the different states in the pointer after the postselection.

There are two cases for Eq. (A8): one is that when  $\varphi = 0$  and  $\varepsilon \neq 0$ , (A8) becomes

$$\langle M \rangle = A_1^{-1}i2\varepsilon\chi(a_2 - a_1)\langle [M, q] \rangle_{\rho_m}, \quad (\text{A9})$$

where  $A_1 = [4\varepsilon^2 + \chi^2(a_2 - a_1)^2\langle q^2 \rangle_{\rho_m}]/4$  is a normalized coefficient. (A9) correspond to the displacement space proportional to real weak value, the result is holds up if and only if  $M = p$ ; the other is that when  $\varphi \neq 0$  and  $\varepsilon = 0$ , (A8) becomes

$$\langle M \rangle = A_2^{-1}\varphi\chi(a_2 - a_1)\langle \{M, q\} \rangle_{\rho_m}, \quad (\text{A10})$$

where  $A_2 = [\varphi^2 + \chi^2(a_2 - a_1)^2\langle q^2 \rangle_{\rho_m}]/4$  is a normalized coefficient. (A10) correspond to the displacement space proportional to imaginary weak value, the result is holds up if and only if  $M = q$ .

### Amplification displacement based on a thermal pointer

If we consider  $\rho_m$  is a thermal state  $\rho_{th}(z)$  (2) in the main text, the final total pointer state after the postselection is (normalized)

$$\rho_{pm} = B_{tot}^{-1}(1-z) \sum_{n=0} z^n |\psi_m(n)\rangle_{\eta \ll 1} \langle \psi_m(n)|_{\eta \ll 1}, \quad (\text{A11})$$

where  $B_{tot} = (\sigma^2\varphi^2 + 4\sigma^2\varepsilon^2 + \sigma_q^2(a_2 - a_1)^2\eta^2)/4\sigma^2$  is a normalized coefficient for  $\rho_{pm}$ , and  $\sigma_q = \coth^{1/2}(\beta\omega_m/2)\sigma$  represents thermal fluctuations of the position  $q$  space.

Substituting  $|\psi_m(n)\rangle_{\eta \ll 1}$  into Eq. (A4) and  $M = q$ , when  $\varphi \neq 0$  and  $\varepsilon = 0$ , we obtain the displacement of the pointer for  $\psi_m(n)_{\eta \ll 1}$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle q \rangle_n &= \text{Tr}(q|\psi_m(n)\rangle_{\eta \ll 1} \langle \psi_m(n)|_{\eta \ll 1}) \\ &= B_n^2 C \text{Tr}(\{M, q\}|n\rangle_m \langle n|_m)/\sigma \\ &= \sigma B_n^2 C(2n + 1),\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A12})$$

where  $C = \varphi\eta(a_2 - a_1)/2$  and  $B_n = 2[\varphi^2 + \eta^2(a_2 - a_1)^2(2n + 1)]^{-1/2}$  is a normalization coefficient for  $|\psi_m(n)\rangle_{\eta \ll 1}$ . Therefore, the above formula is the same as Eq. (6) in main text.

For Eq. (A11), the average displacement of the pointer in position  $q$  space will be

$$\begin{aligned}\langle q \rangle &= \sum_{n=0} P_n \langle q \rangle_n \\ &= C\sigma_q^2/(\sigma B_{tot}),\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A13})$$

where  $P_n = z^n(1-z)B_n^{-2}/B_{tot}^2$  is the classical statistical probability for each state  $|\psi_m(n)\rangle_{\eta \ll 1}$  in the ensemble of the pure state  $\{P_n, \psi_m(n)\}_{\eta \ll 1}$ , and  $\langle p \rangle = 0$ .

Special note is given here, suppose that  $\rho_m = |0\rangle\langle 0|$  (ground state) or  $|\alpha\rangle\langle \alpha|$  (coherent state), the maximal amplification value of (A10) is the ground state fluctuation  $\sigma$ , which are exactly confirmed by Eq. (17) in Ref. [24] and Eq. (25) in Ref. [26], respectively. When  $\rho_m = S(\xi)|\alpha\rangle\langle \alpha|S^\dagger(\xi)$ ,  $S(\xi) = \exp(\xi^*a^2/2 - \xi a^{\dagger 2}/2)$  with  $\xi = re^{i\theta}$ , the maximal amplification value of (A10) is the squeezing ground-state fluctuation  $\pm e^r\sigma$ , which is exactly confirmed by Eq. (15) in Ref. [34].

Substituting Eq. (5) into Eq. (A4) and  $M = p$ , when  $\varphi = 0$  and  $\varepsilon \neq 0$ , we obtain the average displacement of the pointer in momentum  $p$  space

$$\langle p \rangle = (a_2 - a_1)\varepsilon\eta/(2\sigma B_{tot}), \quad (\text{A14})$$

which is the asymptotic solution and  $\langle q \rangle = 0$ . From Eq. (A14), we can still get the maximal positive value  $1/(2\sigma_q)$  when  $\varepsilon = \sigma_q(a_2 - a_1)\eta/(2\sigma)$  and the maximal negative value  $-1/(2\sigma_q)$  when  $\varepsilon = -\sigma_q(a_2 - a_1)\eta/(2\sigma)$ , respectively. Because  $\coth^{-1}(\beta\omega_m/2) < 1$ , so  $|\langle p \rangle| < 1/(2\sigma)$  (zero-point fluctuation), implying that the maximal amplification of the pointer's displacement in momentum space is less than zero-point fluctuation, in sharp contrast to Eq. (C4) in the following Section II which indicate that  $\langle p \rangle = \pm 1/(2\sigma)$  when  $\varepsilon = \pm(a_2 - a_1)\eta/2$ . Therefore, thermal noise effect of the pointer has a negative effect for the amplification of the displacement proportional to reack weak value.

Although the displacement proportional to reack weak value has been amplified using thermal state pointer, but it is far less than the larger uncertainty (thermal fluctuation) of the pointer, indicating that mixed state pointer with larger fluctuation is infeasible for the displacement proportional to reack weak value. In other words, if mixed state pointer (e.g., thermal state) didn't have any advantage over pure state pointer, it would be pointless to study amplification with mixed state pointer.

## Appendix B: Weak value based on a thermal state pointer

According to the definition of weak value [1]

$$A_w = \frac{\langle \psi_p | A | \psi_i \rangle}{\langle \psi_p | \psi_i \rangle}, \quad (\text{B1})$$

where  $|\psi_i\rangle$  and  $|\psi_p\rangle$  is the preselected and postselected state. In this case of using thermal state as a pointer, the weak-value regime satisfies the condition  $\eta(2n+1)^{1/2} \ll \varphi, \varepsilon \ll 1$ . When the postselection state of the system  $|\psi_p\rangle = (\cos(\pi/4 - \varepsilon)|a_1\rangle_s - e^{i\varphi} \sin(\pi/4 - \varepsilon)|a_2\rangle_s)$  is performed for the total system (3):

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{pm} &= \langle \psi_p | \exp[-i\eta A(\hat{c} + \hat{c}^\dagger)] | \psi_i \rangle \langle \psi_i | \rho_{th} \exp[i\eta A(\hat{c} + \hat{c}^\dagger)] | \psi_p \rangle \\ &\approx (1-z) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n [\langle \psi_p | \psi_i \rangle - i\eta \langle \psi_p | A | \psi_i \rangle (\hat{c} + \hat{c}^\dagger)] |n\rangle_m \langle n|_m [\langle \psi_i | \psi_p \rangle + i\eta \langle \psi_i | A | \psi_p \rangle (\hat{c} + \hat{c}^\dagger)] \\ &\approx (1-z) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n \langle \psi_p | \psi_i \rangle \langle \psi_i | \psi_p \rangle \exp[-i\eta A_w(\hat{c} + \hat{c}^\dagger)] |n\rangle_m \langle n|_m \exp[i\eta A_w^*(\hat{c} + \hat{c}^\dagger)] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B2})$$

with

$$A_w \approx \text{Re } A_w + i \text{Im } A_w, \quad (\text{B3})$$

where  $\text{Re } A_w = 2\varepsilon(a_1 - a_2)/(4\varepsilon^2 + \varphi^2)$  and  $\text{Im } A_w = -\varphi(a_1 - a_2)/(4\varepsilon^2 + \varphi^2)$ .

Substituting Eq. (B2) into Eq. (A4) and  $\varepsilon = 0$ , the average displacement of the pointer in position  $q$  space is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle q \rangle &= \sigma(1-z) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n \langle n|_m \exp[i\eta A_w^*(\hat{c} + \hat{c}^\dagger)] (c + c^\dagger) \exp[-i\eta A_w(\hat{c} + \hat{c}^\dagger)] |n\rangle_m / [(1-z) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n \langle n|_m \exp[-i\eta(A_w - A_w^*)(\hat{c} + \hat{c}^\dagger)] |n\rangle_m]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B4})$$

Changing to the  $q$  representation in rectangular coordinate, this becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \langle q \rangle &= (1-z) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n dq (q \phi_n^2(q) \exp[-i\eta(A_w - A_w^*)q/\sigma]) / [(1-z) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n dq \phi_n^2(q) \exp[-i\eta(A_w - A_w^*)q/\sigma]], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B5})$$

and  $\phi_n(q)$  is defined as

$$\phi_n(q) = (2^n n!)^{-1/2} H_n(q/\sqrt{2}\sigma) \phi_0(q), \quad (\text{B6})$$

where  $\phi_0(q) = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-1/4} \exp[-q^2/(4\sigma^2)]$  and  $H_n$  is Hermite Polynomial.

Using Mehler's Hermite Polynomial Formula [47]

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H_n(x) H_n(y) w^n (2^n n!)^{-1} = (1-w^2)^{-1/2} \exp[(2xyw - (x^2 + y^2)w^2)/(1-w^2)], \quad (\text{B7})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx (x \exp[-x^2] \exp[mx]) \\ &= \frac{d}{dm} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx (\exp[-x^2] \exp[mx]), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B8})$$

then Eq. (B5) becomes

$$\langle q \rangle = 2\chi \text{Im } A_w \sigma_q^2. \quad (\text{B9})$$

From Eq. (B9), it can be seen that  $\langle q \rangle$  is proportional to the square of thermal fluctuation and is imaginary in position  $q$  space, which is the generalization of the result of Eq. (10) in [52]. Therefore, thermal noise effect of the pointer is beneficial for weak measurement amplification. But  $\langle q \rangle$  for the weak-value amplification is not the optimal displacement, i.e., not the maximal amplification value. The maximal amplification value is  $\pm\sigma_q$  (thermal fluctuation) in the main text.

Substituting Eq. (B2) into Eq. (A4) and  $\varphi = 0$ , the average displacement of the pointer in momentum  $p$  space is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p \rangle &= -i(2\sigma)^{-1}(1-z) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n \langle n|_m \exp[i\eta A_w^*(\hat{c} + \hat{c}^\dagger)] (c - c^\dagger) \exp[-i\eta A_w(\hat{c} + \hat{c}^\dagger)] |n\rangle_m \\ &= -\chi \text{Re } A_w, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B10})$$

which is exactly the same weak values as a pure Gaussian pointer state [1].

## Appendix C: Fock state view of the standard weak measurement with a ground state pointer

We consider the Hamiltonian (1) in the main text. If the initial state of the system is  $|\psi_i\rangle = (|a_1\rangle + |a_2\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ , where  $|a_1\rangle$  and  $|a_2\rangle$  is eigenstates of  $A$ . Any Gaussian can be seen as the ground state of a fictional harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian [53]. Suppose the initial pointer state is the ground state  $|0\rangle_m$ . Then weakly couples them using the interaction Hamiltonian (A1), the time evolution of the total system is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &U(t)|\psi_i\rangle|0\rangle_m \\ &= \exp[-i\eta A(\hat{c} + \hat{c}^\dagger)] |\psi_i\rangle|0\rangle_m \\ &= [|a_1\rangle D(-ia_1\eta) + |a_2\rangle D(-ia_2\eta)] |0\rangle_m / \sqrt{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C1})$$

where  $U(t) = e^{-ix\hat{A}\hat{q}}$ .

When the postselection  $|\psi_p\rangle = [\cos(\pi/4 - \varepsilon)|a_1\rangle - e^{i\varphi} \sin(\pi/4 - \varepsilon)|a_2\rangle]$  with  $\varepsilon \ll 1$  is performed for the



total system (C1), i.e.,  $\langle \psi_p | \psi_i \rangle \approx \varepsilon + i\varphi/2$ , then the final state of the pointer is

$$(1/\sqrt{2})[\cos(\pi/4 - \varepsilon)D(-ia_1\eta) - e^{-i\varphi} \sin(\pi/4 - \varepsilon)D(-ia_2\eta)]|0\rangle_m. \quad (C2)$$

For Eq. (C2), when  $\varphi \ll 1$ ,  $\varepsilon \ll 1$  and  $\eta \ll 1$ , we can then perform a small quantity expansion about  $\eta$  and  $\varepsilon$  till the second order, and then obtain

$$[(2\varepsilon + i\varphi)|0\rangle_m + i\eta(a_2 - a_1)|1\rangle_m]/2. \quad (C3)$$

Substituting Eq. (C3) into Eq. (A4), in this case of the near-orthogonal postselection, i.e.,  $\langle \psi_p | \psi_i \rangle \approx \varepsilon$  (real), we can find that

$$\langle \hat{p} \rangle = 2(a_2 - a_1)\varepsilon\eta/(4\varepsilon^2\sigma + (a_2 - a_1)^2\eta^2\sigma). \quad (C4)$$

and  $\langle \hat{q} \rangle = 0$ .

When  $2\varepsilon = \pm(a_2 - a_1)\eta$ , we will have the largest displacement  $\pm 1/(2\sigma)$  in momentum  $p$  space and when  $\varepsilon = 0$ , indicating that the postselected state of the system is orthogonal to the initial state of the system, i.e.,  $\langle \psi_p | \psi_i \rangle = 0$ , the displacement of the pointer in momentum  $p$  space is 0. This amplification result is due to the superposition of  $|0\rangle_m$  and  $|1\rangle_m$ . However, the displacement of the pointer in position  $q$  space is always 0.

Substituting Eq. (C3) into Eq. (A4), in this case of the near-orthogonal postselection, i.e.,  $\langle \psi_p | \psi_i \rangle \approx i\varphi/2$  (imaginary), we obtain

$$\langle q \rangle = 2\sigma(a_2 - a_1)\varphi\eta/[\varphi^2 + (a_2 - a_1)^2\eta^2] \quad (C5)$$

and  $\langle p \rangle = 0$ .

When  $\varphi = \pm(a_2 - a_1)\eta$  we will have the largest displacement  $\pm\sigma$  in position  $q$  space and when  $\varphi = 0$ , indicating that the postselected state of the system is orthogonal to the initial state of the system, i.e.,  $\langle \psi_p | \psi_i \rangle = 0$ , the displacement of the pointer in position  $q$  space is 0. This amplification result is due to the superposition of  $|0\rangle_m$  and  $|1\rangle_m$ . However, the displacement of the pointer in momentum  $p$  space is always 0.

Obviously, the mechanism behind the amplification with Gaussian pointer [1] is also regarded as the superposition of  $|0\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle$  of the pointer in fock space. Therefore, the standard scenario of weak measurement [1] can be also shown and understood by the Fock-state view where the initial state of the pointer is a ground state [21]. It give a view of the relationship between the weak measurement and other measurement techniques.

#### Appendix D: Amplification using a phase shifter $\theta$ in optomechanics

According to the results of Ref. [45, 46], the time evolution operator of the Hamiltonian (10) in the main text is given by

$$U(t) = \exp[-ir(a^\dagger a + b^\dagger b)\omega_m t] \exp[i(a^\dagger a)^2\phi(t)] \exp[a^\dagger a(\xi(t)c^\dagger - \xi^*(t)c)] \exp[-ic^\dagger c\omega_m t], \quad (D1)$$

where  $\phi(t) = k^2(\omega_m t - \sin\omega_m t)$ ,  $\xi(t) = k(1 - e^{-i\omega_m t})$ ,  $r = \omega_0/\omega_m$ ,  $k = g/\omega_m$  is the scaled coupling parameter.

Suppose that one photon is input into the interferometer, and after the first beam splitter and a phase shifter  $\theta$  the initial state of the photon is  $|\psi_i(\theta)\rangle = (1/\sqrt{2})(e^{i\theta}|1\rangle_A|0\rangle_B + |0\rangle_A|1\rangle_B)$ . The mirror is initialised in thermal state  $\rho_{th}(z)$ . After weakly coupled interacting (D1) between one photon and the mirror, the time evolution of the total system leads to a state given by

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(z) = & (1-z) \sum_{n=0} z^n [|1\rangle_A|0\rangle_B e^{i(\phi(t)+\theta)} D(\xi) \\ & + |0\rangle_A|1\rangle_B] |n\rangle_m \langle n|_m [ \langle 1|_A \langle 0|_B e^{-i(\phi(t)+\theta)} \\ & + D^\dagger(\xi) \langle 0|_A \langle 1|_B ] / 2. \end{aligned} \quad (D2)$$

When a photon is detected in the dark port, in the language of weak measurement the postselected state of one photon is  $|\psi_p\rangle = (|1\rangle_A|0\rangle_B - |0\rangle_A|1\rangle_B)/\sqrt{2}$ , which is nonorthogonal to  $|\psi_i(\theta)\rangle$ , i.e.,  $\langle \psi_f | \psi_i(\theta) \rangle \approx i\theta/2$ . Then the reduced state of the mirror after the postselection for each  $n$  component of the pointer state is

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_1(n)\rangle = & \langle \psi_p | (|1\rangle_A|0\rangle_B e^{i(\phi(t)+\theta)} D(\xi) \\ & + |0\rangle_A|1\rangle_B) |n\rangle_m / \sqrt{2} \\ = & [e^{i(\phi(t)+\theta)} D(\xi) |n\rangle_m - |n\rangle_m] / 2. \end{aligned} \quad (D3)$$

Therefore, this is Eq. (12) in the main text.

For Eq. (D3), over all  $n$  component, then the final total state of the pointer is  $\rho_m^{pha} = (1-z) \sum_{n=0} z^n |\psi_1(n)\rangle \langle \psi_1(n)|$ . Substituting Eq. (D3) into Eq. (A4), we can follow a two-step procedure to obtain the average displacement of the mirror: first, calculate the numerator of equation (A4), then calculate the denominator of equation (A4).

For the numerator of Eq. (A4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle n | D^\dagger(\xi) q D(\xi) | n \rangle &= \sigma \langle n | D^\dagger(\xi) (c + c^\dagger) D(\xi) | n \rangle \\ &= \sigma(\xi(t) + \xi^\dagger(t)), \end{aligned} \quad (D4)$$

using  $D^\dagger(\alpha)cD(\alpha) = c + \alpha$ ,  $D^\dagger(\alpha)c^\dagger D(\alpha) = c^\dagger + \alpha^*$ , and

$$\langle n | q | n \rangle = \sigma \langle n | (c + c^\dagger) | n \rangle = 0, \quad (D5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{i(\phi(t)+\theta)} \langle n | q D(\xi) | n \rangle \\ &= \sigma e^{i(\phi(t)+\theta)} \langle n | (c + c^\dagger) D(\xi) | n \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (D6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-i(\phi(t)+\theta)} \langle n | D^\dagger(\xi) q | n \rangle \\ &= \sigma e^{-i(\phi(t)+\theta)} \langle n | D^\dagger(\xi) (c + c^\dagger) | n \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (D7)$$

For Eq. (D6), and using

$$\begin{aligned} \langle l | D(\alpha) | n \rangle &= \sqrt{n!/l!} \alpha^{(l-n)} \exp(-|\alpha|^2/2) \times \\ & L_n^{(l-n)}(|\alpha|^2), (l \geq n), \end{aligned} \quad (D8)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle l|D^\dagger(\alpha)|n\rangle &= \sqrt{n!l!}(-\alpha)^{(l-n)} \exp(-|\alpha|^2/2) \times \\ L_n^{(l-n)}(|\alpha|^2), (l \geq n), \end{aligned} \quad (D9)$$

where  $L_n^k(x)$  is an associated Laguerre polynomial [47], we find that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{i(\phi(t)+\theta)} \langle n|qD(\xi)|n\rangle &= \sigma e^{i(\phi(t)+\theta)} [(n+1)^{1/2} \langle n+1| \\ D(\xi)|n\rangle + n^{1/2} \langle n-1|D(\xi)|n\rangle] \\ &= \sigma e^{i(\phi(t)+\theta)} D_{n+1,n} + \sigma e^{i(\phi(t)+\theta)} D_{n,n-1}^{\dagger*} \end{aligned} \quad (D10)$$

with

$$D_{n+1,n} = \xi \exp(-|\xi|^2/2) L_n^1(|\xi|^2), n \geq 0 \quad (D11)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} D_{n,n-1}^{\dagger} &= -\xi \exp(-|\xi|^2/2) L_n^1(|\xi|^2), \\ n &\geq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (D12)$$

Using identity

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} L_n^k(x) z^n = (1-z)^{-k-1} \exp(-xz/(1-z)), \quad (D13)$$

we have the following result

$$\begin{aligned} (1-z) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n D_{n+1,n} \\ = \xi \exp[-\sigma_q^2 |\xi|^2 / (2\sigma^2)] / (1-z) \end{aligned} \quad (D14)$$

Setting  $n = n' + 1$  and using Eq. (D13),

$$\begin{aligned} (1-z) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n D_{n,n-1}^{\dagger*} \\ = (1-z) \sum_{n'=0}^{\infty} z^{n'+1} D_{n'+1,n'}^{\dagger*} \\ = -z \xi^* \exp[-\sigma_q^2 |\xi|^2 / (2\sigma^2)] / (1-z) \end{aligned} \quad (D15)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1-z) e^{i(\phi(t)+\theta)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle n|qD(\xi)|n\rangle &= \sigma [\xi(t) \\ \exp(-\sigma_q^2 |\xi|^2 / (2\sigma^2) + i\phi(t) + i\theta) / (1-z) - z \\ \xi^* \exp(-\sigma_q^2 |\xi|^2 / (2\sigma^2) + i\phi(t) + i\theta) / (1-z)] \end{aligned} \quad (D16)$$

Next, for the denominator of Eq. (A4), and using Eq. (D8) and Eq. (D9), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{i(\phi(t)+\theta)} \langle n|D(\xi)|n\rangle \\ = e^{i(\phi(t)+\theta)} \exp(-|\xi|^2/2) L_n^0(|\xi|^2/2), n \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (D17)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-i(\phi(t)+\theta)} \langle n|D^\dagger(\xi)|n\rangle \\ = e^{-i(\phi(t)+\theta)} \exp(-|\xi|^2/2) L_n^0(|\xi|^2/2), n \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (D18)$$

For Eq. (D17), using identity (D13), we have the following result

$$\begin{aligned} (1-z) e^{i(\phi(t)+\theta)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle n|D(\xi)|n\rangle \\ = e^{i(\phi(t)+\theta)} \exp(-\sigma_q^2 |\xi|^2 / (2\sigma^2)) \end{aligned} \quad (D19)$$

So we can obtain the average displacement of the mirror

$$\begin{aligned} \langle q(t) \rangle &= (1-z) \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle n|D^\dagger(\xi)qD(\xi)|n\rangle - e^{i(\phi(t)+\theta)} \right. \\ &\quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle n|qD(\xi)|n\rangle - e^{-i(\phi(t)+\theta)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle n|D^\dagger(\xi)q|n\rangle \left. \right] / [2 \\ &\quad - (1-z) e^{i(\phi(t)+\theta)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle n|D(\xi)|n\rangle - (1-z) \\ &\quad e^{-i(\phi(t)+\theta)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle n|D^\dagger(\xi)|n\rangle] \\ &= \sigma [\xi + \xi^* - (1-z)^{-1} [\Phi \xi + \Phi^* \xi^* \\ &\quad - z(\Phi \xi^* + \Phi^* \xi)]] / (2 - \Phi - \Phi^*), \end{aligned} \quad (D20)$$

where  $\Phi = \exp(-\sigma_q^2 |\xi|^2 / (2\sigma^2) + i\phi(t) + i\Omega)$  with  $\Omega = \theta$ . Therefore, this is Eq. (14) in the main text. Note that the denominator  $(2 - \Phi - \Phi^*)/4$  is the successful posts-election probability being released from optomechanical cavity after the time  $t$ .

### Small quantity expansion about time for amplification

However, in order to observe the amplification effects appearing at time near  $T = 0$ , for Eq. (D3) we can then perform a small quantity expansion about time  $T$  till the second order. Suppose that  $|\omega_m t - T| \ll 1$ , i.e.,  $\omega_m t \ll 1$ ,  $k \ll 1$  and  $\theta \ll 1$ , then we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1(n)_{\omega_m t \ll 1} &\approx [(1+i\theta)(1+ik\omega_m t(c+c^\dagger))|n\rangle \\ &\quad - |n\rangle] / 2 \\ &= B_1(n) [i\theta|n\rangle + ik\omega_m t(c+c^\dagger)|n\rangle] / 2, \end{aligned} \quad (D21)$$

where  $B_1(n) = 2[\theta^2 + k^2(\omega_m t)^2(2n+1)]^{-1/2}$  is a normalization coefficient for each state  $|\psi_1(n)\rangle_{\omega_m t \ll 1}$ . Therefore, this is Eq. (15) in the main text.

Substituting Eq. (D21) into Eq. (A4), then

$$\langle q(t) \rangle_n = \sigma B_1^2(n) \theta k \omega_m t (2n+1) / 2. \quad (D22)$$

Therefore, this is the average displacement  $\langle q(t) \rangle_n$  for  $\psi_1(n)_{\omega_m t \ll 1}$  plotted in Figure 2(b) in main text.

For Eq. (D21), over all  $n$  component, then the final total state of the pointer is  $\rho_m^{pha} = (1 - z) \sum_{n=0} z^n \psi_1(n)_{\omega_m t \ll 1} \langle \psi_1(n) |_{\omega_m t \ll 1} / B_1^{tot}$  and substituting it into Eq. (A4), then

$$\langle q(t) \rangle_{\omega_m t \ll 1} = \theta k \omega_m t \sigma_q^2 / (\sigma B_1^{tot}), \quad (D23)$$

where  $B_1^{tot} = [\theta^2 \sigma^2 + k^2 (\omega_m t)^2 \sigma_q^2] / (4\sigma^2)$  is a normalized coefficient for  $\rho_m^{pha}$ . Based on Eq. (D23), we then obtain the maximal positive value  $\sigma_q$  (thermal fluctuation) or negative value  $-\sigma_q$  when  $\theta = \pm k \omega_m t \sigma_q / \sigma$ , respectively. Therefore, the  $|\psi(n)\rangle$  components corresponding to the maximal positive and negative amplification, respectively, are  $|\psi_1(n)\rangle_{\max, \omega_m t \ll 1} = [\sigma_q / \sigma \pm (c + c^\dagger)] |n\rangle / \sqrt{2}$  (unnormalized). Then the mirror state achieving the maximal positive and negative amplification, respectively, are  $\rho_m^{pha} = (1 - z) \sum_{n=0} z^n |\psi_1(n)\rangle_{\max, \omega_m t \ll 1} \langle \psi_1(n) |_{\max, \omega_m t \ll 1} / (4B_1^{tot})$ . It is obvious that the amplification with thermal state pointer is much larger than that with pure state pointer [1, 21, 24, 26] since its maximal value is the ground state fluctuation  $\sigma$ . Therefore, thermal noise effect of the pointer (mirror) is beneficial for the amplification of the mirror's displacement.

### Appendix E: Amplification using a displaced thermal state in optomechanics

Suppose that one photon is input into the interferometer, and after the first beam splitter the initial state of the photon is  $|\psi_i\rangle = (|1\rangle_A |0\rangle_B + |0\rangle_A |1\rangle_B) / \sqrt{2}$ . The mirror is initialised in displaced thermal state  $\rho_{th}(z, \alpha)$ . When the photon interact weakly with the optomechanical system through (D1), the evolution state of the total system is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(z) = & (1 - z) \sum_{n=0} z^n [|1\rangle_A |0\rangle_B e^{i\phi(t)} D(\xi) \\ & + |0\rangle_A |1\rangle_B D(\varphi) |n\rangle_m \langle n|_m D^\dagger(\varphi) [e^{-i\phi(t)} \\ & D^\dagger(\xi) |1\rangle_A |0\rangle_B + \langle 0|_A |1\rangle_B] / 2, \end{aligned} \quad (E1)$$

where  $\xi(t) = k(1 - e^{-i\omega_m t})$  and  $\phi(t) = k^2(\omega_m t - \sin \omega_m t)$  with  $k = g/\omega_m$ .

When a photon is detected in the dark port, in the language of weak measurement the postselected state of the one photon is  $|\psi_p\rangle = (|1\rangle_A |0\rangle_B - |0\rangle_A |1\rangle_B) / \sqrt{2}$ , which is orthogonal to  $|\psi_i\rangle$ , i.e.,  $\langle \psi_p | \psi_i \rangle = 0$ . Then the reduced state of the mirror after the postselection for each  $n$  component of the pointer state is given by

$$\begin{aligned} |\chi_2(n)\rangle = & [\langle \psi_p | [|1\rangle_A |0\rangle_B e^{i\phi(t)} D(\xi) D(\varphi) \\ & + |0\rangle_A |1\rangle_B D(\varphi)] |n\rangle_m] / \sqrt{2} \\ = & [e^{i\phi(t)} D(\xi) D(\varphi) |n\rangle_m - D(\varphi) |n\rangle_m] / 2. \end{aligned} \quad (E2)$$

In order to make the analysis simple, we can displace the above state to the origin point in phase space, defining  $|\psi_2(n)\rangle = D^\dagger(\varphi) |\chi_2(n)\rangle$  and we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_2(n)\rangle = & [e^{i\phi(t)} D^\dagger(\varphi) D(\xi) D(\varphi) \\ & - D^\dagger(\varphi) D(\varphi)] |n\rangle_m / 2 \\ = & [e^{i(\phi(t) + \phi(\alpha, t))} D(\xi) |n\rangle_m - |n\rangle_m] / 2, \end{aligned} \quad (E3)$$

where  $\phi(\alpha, t) = -i[\alpha \xi - \alpha^* \xi^*]$  is obtained by using the property of the displacement operators  $D(\alpha) D(\beta) = \exp[\alpha \beta^* - \alpha^* \beta] D(\beta) D(\alpha)$ , due to noncommutativity of quantum mechanics [26].

For Eq. (E3), over all  $n$  component, then the final total state of the pointer is

$$\rho_m^{dis} = (1 - z) \sum_{n=0} z^n |\psi_2(n)\rangle \langle \psi_2(n)|. \quad (E4)$$

Therefore, this is Eq. (17) in main text.

Substituting Eq. (E4) into Eq. (A4), then we show the average displacement of the mirror's position

$$\begin{aligned} \langle q(t) \rangle = & \sigma [\xi + \xi^* - (1 - z)^{-1} [\Phi \xi \\ & + \Phi^* \xi^* - z(\Phi \xi^* + \Phi^* \xi)]] / (2 - \Phi - \Phi^*), \end{aligned} \quad (E5)$$

where  $\Phi = \exp(-\sigma_q^2 |\xi|^2 / (2\sigma^2) + i\phi(t) + i\Omega)$  with  $\Omega = \phi(\alpha, t)$ . In order to obtain the above result, here we use two equations,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle l | D(\alpha) | n \rangle = & \sqrt{n! / l!} \alpha^{l-n} \exp(-|\alpha|^2 / 2) \\ & \times L_n^{(l-n)}(|\alpha|^2), \quad (l \geq n) \end{aligned} \quad (E6)$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} L_n^k(x) z^n = (1 - z)^{-k-1} \exp(-xz / (1 - z)), \quad (E7)$$

where  $L_n^k(x)$  is an associated Laguerre polynomial [47]. Note that the denominator of Eq. (E5)  $(2 - \Phi - \Phi^*) / 4$  is the successful postselection probability being released from optomechanical cavity after the time  $t$ .

Therefore, Eq. (E5) is the average displacement  $\langle q(t) \rangle$  of the mirror for the state  $|\psi_2(n)\rangle$  plotted in Figure 3(a) in main text.

### Small quantity expansion about time for amplification

However, in order to observe the amplification effects appearing at time near  $T = 0$ , for Eq. (E3) we can then perform a small quantity expansion about time  $T$  till the second order. Suppose that  $|\omega_m t - T| \ll 1$ , i.e.,  $\omega_m t \ll 1$ ,  $k \ll 1$  and  $2k|\alpha|\zeta \ll 1$ , then we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_2(n)\rangle_{\omega_m t \ll 1} \approx & [(1 + i2k|\alpha|\zeta)(1 + ik\omega_m t(c + c^\dagger)) |n\rangle \\ & - |n\rangle] / 2 \\ = & B_2(n) [i2k|\alpha|\zeta |n\rangle + ik\omega_m t(c + c^\dagger) |n\rangle] / 2, \end{aligned} \quad (E8)$$

where  $B_2(n) = 2[4k^2|\alpha|^2\zeta^2 + k^2(\omega_m t)^2(2n+1)]^{-1/2}$  is a normalization coefficient for each state  $|\psi_2(n)\rangle_{\omega_m t \ll 1}$  and  $\zeta = [(\omega_m t)^2 \sin \beta]/2 + \omega_m t \cos \beta$ .

Therefore, this is Eq. (18) in main text.

For Eq. (E8), over all  $n$  component, then the final total state of the pointer is  $\rho_m^{dis} = (1 - z) \sum_{n=0} z^n \psi_2(n) \rangle_{\omega_m t \ll 1} \langle \psi_2(n) |_{\omega_m t \ll 1} / B_2^{tot}$ , and substituting it into Eq. (A4), then

$$\langle q(t) \rangle_{\omega_m t \ll 1} = k^2 |\alpha| \zeta \omega_m t \sigma_q^2 / (\sigma B_2^{tot}) \quad (E9)$$

where  $B_2^{tot} = (4k^2|\alpha|^2\zeta^2\sigma^2 + k^2(\omega_m t)^2\sigma_q^2)/(4\sigma^2)$  is a normalized coefficient for  $\rho_m^{dis}$ .

Based on Eq. (E9), we then obtain the maximal positive value  $\sigma_q$  or negative value  $-\sigma_q$  when  $2|\alpha|\zeta = \pm \omega_m t \sigma_q / \sigma$ , respectively. Therefore, the  $|\psi_2(n)\rangle$  components corresponding to the maximal positive and negative amplification, respectively, are  $|\psi_2(n)\rangle_{\max, \omega_m t \ll 1} = [\sigma_q / \sigma \pm (c + c^\dagger)]|n\rangle / \sqrt{2}$  (unnormalized). Then the mirror state achieving the maximal positive and negative amplification, respectively, are  $\rho_m^{dis} = (1 - z) \sum_{n=0} z^n |\psi_2(n)\rangle_{\max, \omega_m t \ll 1} \langle \psi_2(n) |_{\max, \omega_m t \ll 1} / 4$ . It is obvious that the amplification with displacement thermal state pointer is much larger than that with pure state pointer [1, 21, 24, 26] since its maximal value is the ground state fluctuation  $\sigma$ . Therefore, thermal noise effect of the pointer (mirror) is beneficial for the amplification of the mirror's displacement.

#### Appendix F: Dissipation effect in optomechanical system

The master equation (19) in the main text is given by

$$d\rho(t)/dt = -i[H, \rho(t)]/\hbar + \gamma_m \mathcal{D}[c]/(1-z) + \gamma_m z \mathcal{D}[c^\dagger]/(1-z), \quad (F1)$$

where  $\mathcal{D}[o] = o\rho(t)o^\dagger - o^\dagger o\rho(t)/2 - \rho(t)o^\dagger o/2$ .

For the amplification scheme using a phase shifter  $\theta$ , at time  $t \ll 1$ , if we perform a Taylor expansion about  $t = 0$  till the second order, the solution of the master equation is approximately

$$\rho(t) = \rho(0) + td\rho(t)/dt + (t^2/2!)d^2\rho(t)/dt^2. \quad (F2)$$

When the initial state of the total system is  $\rho(0) = |\psi_i(\theta)\rangle\langle\psi_i(\theta)| \otimes \rho_{th}(z)$  and after the postselecting state  $|\psi_p\rangle$  is performed for the system in Eq. (F2) and substituting it into Eq. (A4), by carefully calculation, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle q(t) \rangle_{\omega_m t \ll 1} &= [2\sigma_q^2 k \omega_m t \sin \theta + k(\omega_m t)^2(1 - \cos \theta) \\ &\quad - (1/2)\gamma\sigma_q^2 k(\omega_m t)^2 \sin \theta]/[2 - 2\cos \theta \\ &\quad + \sigma_q^2 k(\omega_m t)^2 \cos \theta]/\sigma, \end{aligned} \quad (F3)$$

where  $\gamma = \gamma_m / \omega_m$ .

This is the average displacement  $\langle q(t) \rangle_{\omega_m t \ll 1}$  of the mirror after postselection plotted in Figure 4(a) in main text.

For the amplification scheme using the displaced thermal state, at time  $t \ll 1$ , if we perform a Taylor expansion about  $t = 0$  till the third order, the solution of the master equation is approximately

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(t) &= \rho(0) + td\rho(t)/dt + (t^2/2!)d^2\rho(t)/dt^2 \\ &\quad + (t^3/3!)d^3\rho(t)/dt^3. \end{aligned} \quad (F4)$$

When the initial state of the total system is  $\rho(0) = |\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i| \otimes \rho_{th}(z, \alpha)$  and after the postselecting state  $|\psi_p\rangle$  is performed for the system in Eq. (F4) and substituting it into Eq. (A4), by carefully calculation, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle q(t) \rangle_{\omega_m t \ll 1} &= [3\sigma_q^2 k^2 (\omega_m t)^2 |\alpha| \cos \theta / \sigma^2 + 4k^2 (\omega_m t)^2 \\ &\quad (|\alpha| \cos \theta)^3 - 5\sigma_q^2 \gamma k^2 (\omega_m t)^3 |\alpha| \cos \theta / (3\sigma^2) - 3\gamma k^2 (\omega_m t)^3 \\ &\quad (|\alpha| \cos \theta)^3] / [\sigma_q^2 k^2 (\omega_m t)^2 / (2\sigma^2) + 2k^2 (\omega_m t)^2 (|\alpha| \cos \theta)^2 \\ &\quad - \gamma k^2 (\omega_m t)^3 (|\alpha| \cos \theta)^2 - \sigma_q^2 \gamma k^2 (\omega_m t)^3 / (12\sigma^2)] \\ &\quad - 2|\alpha| \cos \theta. \end{aligned} \quad (F5)$$

This is the average displacement  $\langle q(t) \rangle_{\omega_m t \ll 1}$  of the mirror after postselection plotted in Figure 5(a) in main text.

#### Appendix G: The amplification without postselection in optomechanics

The time evolution operator of the Hamiltonian (10) in the main text is given by Eq. (D1). As shown Fig. 1 in the main text, we use only single cavity A. When thermal state  $\rho_{th}(z)$  is considered as a pointer in cavity A, and if one photon is weakly coupled with the mirror using (D1), it can be found that the mirror will be changed from  $\rho_{th}(z)$  to a displacement thermal state,

$$\rho_{th}(z, \xi) = D(\xi(t))\rho_{th}(z)D^\dagger(\xi(t)). \quad (G1)$$

According to the expression of the displacement

$$\langle q \rangle = Tr(\rho_{th}(z, \xi)\hat{q}) - Tr(\rho_{th}(z)\hat{q}) \quad (G2)$$

with  $\hat{q} = \sigma(c + c)$ , the average position displacement of the pointer without the postselection is given by

$$\langle q \rangle = 2k(1 - \cos \omega_m t)\sigma. \quad (G3)$$

However, when displacement thermal state  $\rho_{th}(z, \alpha)$  is considered as a pointer in cavity A, and if one photon is weakly coupled with the mirror (D1), it can be found that the mirror will be changed from  $\rho_{th}(z, \alpha)$  to a displacement thermal state,

$$\rho_{th}(z, \varphi, \xi) = D(\xi(t))\rho_{th}(z, \varphi)D^\dagger(\xi(t)), \quad (G4)$$

where  $\varphi(t) = \alpha e^{-i\omega_m t}$ . According to the expression of the displacement

$$\langle q \rangle = \text{Tr}(\rho_{th}(z, \varphi, \xi) \hat{q}) - \text{Tr}(\rho_{th}(z, \varphi) \hat{q}) \quad (\text{G5})$$

with  $\hat{q} = \sigma(c + c)$ , the average position displacement of the pointer without the postselection is the same as Eq. (G3).

From Eq. (G3), it can be seen that the position displacement of the mirror caused by radiation pressure of one photon can not more than  $4k\sigma$  for any time  $t$ . In the literature [48], we know that if the displacement of the mirror can be detected experimentally it should be not smaller than  $\sigma$ , implying that the displacement of the mirror reach strong-coupling limit, so  $k = g/\omega_m$  can not be bigger than 0.25 in weak coupling condition [48]. When  $k = g/\omega_m \leq 0.25$  in weak-coupling regime, the maximal displacement of the mirror  $4k\sigma$  can not be more than  $\sigma_q$ , i.e., thermal fluctuation of the mirror, therefore the displacement of the mirror caused by one photon can not be detected.

## Appendix H: Probability $P$

The overall probability of a single photon (20) in the main text, generating the superposition state of  $|n\rangle$  and  $(c + c^\dagger)|n\rangle$ , is given by

$$P = (1/4) \int_0^\infty \kappa \exp(-\kappa t) (\sigma_q^2 |\xi(t)|^2 / \sigma^2 + \Omega^2) dt. \quad (\text{H1})$$

where  $\Omega = \theta, \phi(\alpha, t)$ .

For the first scheme,  $P = \sigma_q^2 k^2 \omega_m^2 / [2\sigma^2(\kappa^2 + \omega_m^2)] + \theta^2/4$ , and for the second scheme, let  $|\alpha| = \sigma_q/(2\sigma)$  and  $\beta = 0$ , then  $P = \sigma_q^2 k^2 \omega_m^2 (2\kappa^2 + 5\omega_m^2) / [2\sigma(\kappa^4 + 5\kappa^2 \omega_m^2 + 4\omega_m^4)]$ . Therefore, for the first scheme,  $P$  is approximately  $6.94k^2$  with  $\kappa = 1.2 \times 10^4 \omega_m$ ,  $\theta = 0.005$  and  $z = 0.999999999$ , and for the second scheme,  $P$  is approximately  $5k^2$  with  $\kappa = 2 \times 10^4 \omega_m$ ,  $z = 0.999999999$ .

- 
- [1] Y. Aharonov, D. Z. Albert, and L. Vaidman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 1351 (1988).
  - [2] I. M. Duck, P. M. Stevenson, and E. C. G. Sudarshan, Phys. Rev. D **40**, 2112 (1989).
  - [3] R. Jozsa, Phys. Rev. A **76**, 044103 (2007).
  - [4] Y. Shikano and A. Hosoya, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **43**, 025304 (2010).
  - [5] Y. Turek, H. Kobayashi, T. Akutsu, C. P. Sun and Y. Shikano, New J. Phys. **17**, 083029 (2015).
  - [6] N. W. M. Ritchie, J. G. Story, and R. G. Hulet, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 1107 (1991).
  - [7] O. Hosten and P. Kwiat, Science **319**, 787 (2008).
  - [8] P. B. Dixon, D. J. Starling, A. N. Jordan, and J. C. Howell, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 173601 (2009).
  - [9] Y. Gorodetski, K. Y. Bliokh, B. Stein, C. Genet, N. Shitrit, V. Kleiner, E. Hasman, and T. W. Ebbesen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 013901 (2012).
  - [10] D. J. Starling, P. B. Dixon, A. N. Jordan, and J. C. Howell, Phys. Rev. A **80**, 041803 (2009).
  - [11] D. J. Starling, P. B. Dixon, N. S. Williams, A. N. Jordan, and J. C. Howell, Phys. Rev. A **82**, 011802(R) (2010).
  - [12] A. Romito, Y. Gefen, and Y. M. Blanter, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 056801 (2008).
  - [13] V. Shpitalnik, Y. Gefen and A. Romito, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 226802 (2008).
  - [14] N. Brunner and C. Simon, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 010405 (2010).
  - [15] O. Zilberberg, A. Romito, and Y. Gefen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 080405 (2011).
  - [16] S. J. Wu and M. Zukowski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 080403 (2012).
  - [17] G. Strübi and C. Bruder, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 083605 (2013).
  - [18] M. Bula, K. Bartkiewicz, A. Černocho, and K. Lemr, Phys. Rev. A **87**, 033826 (2013).
  - [19] E. Meyer-Scott, M. Bula, K. Bartkiewicz, A. Černocho, J. Soubusta, T. Jennewein, and K. Lemr, Phys. Rev. A **88**, 012327 (2013).
  - [20] J. Dressel, K. Lyons, A. N. Jordan, T. M. Graham, and P. G. Kwiat, Phys. Rev. A **88**, 023821 (2013).
  - [21] C. Simon and E. S. Polzik, Phys. Rev. A **83**, 040101(R) (2011).
  - [22] F. Marquardt and S. M. Girvin, Physics **2**, 40 (2009).
  - [23] M. Aspelmeyer, T. Kippenberg and F. Marquardt, Rev. Mod. Phys. **86**, 1391 (2014).
  - [24] G. Li, T. Wang, and H. S. Song, Phys. Rev. A **90**, 013827 (2014).
  - [25] G. Li, L. B. Chen, X. M. Lin, and H. S. Song, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. **48**, 165504 (2015).
  - [26] G. Li, M. Y. Ye, X. M. Lin, and H. S. Song, coherent state, submitted.
  - [27] B. Pepper, R. Ghobadi, E. Jeffrey, C. Simon, and D. Bouwmeester, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 023601 (2012).
  - [28] A. G. Kofman, S. Ashhab, and F. Fori, Phys. Rep. **520**, 43 (2012).
  - [29] J. Dresse, M. Malik, F. M. Miatto, A. N. Jordan, and R. W. Boyd, Rev. Mod. Phys. **86**, 307 (2014).
  - [30] M. Kleckner and A. Ron, Phys. Rev. A **63**, 022110 (2001).
  - [31] M. Arndt, O. Nairz, J. Vos-Andreae, C. Keller, G. V. der Zouw, and A. Zeilinger, Nature (London) **401**, 680 (1999).
  - [32] L. Hackermüller, K. Hornberger, B. Brezger, A. Zeilinger, and M. Arndt, Nature (London) **427**, 711 (2004).
  - [33] J.-F. Riou, W. Guerin, Y. Le Coq, M. Fauquembergue, V. Josse, P. Bouyer, and A. Aspect, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 070404 (2006).
  - [34] G. Li, M. Y. Ye, and H. S. Song, arXiv: **1508.05705** (2015).
  - [35] S. Tanaka and N. Yamamoto, Phys. Rev. A **88**, 042116 (2013).
  - [36] G. C. Knee, and E. M. Gauger, Phys. Rev. X, **4**, 011032 (2014).
  - [37] L. J. Zhang, A. Datta, and I. A. Walmsley, Phys. Rev.

- Lett. **114**, 210801 (2015).
- [38] G. C. Knee, J. Combes, C. Ferrie, and E. M. Gauger, Weak-value amplification: state of play, *Quantum Meas. Quantum Metrol.* **3**, 32 (2016).
  - [39] L. M. Johansen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 120402 (2004).
  - [40] S. Tamate, T. Nakanishi and M. Kitano, arXiv: **1211.4292** (2012).
  - [41] Y. W. Cho, H. T. Lim, Y. S. Ra, and Y. H. Kim, *New J. Phys.* **12**, 023036 (2010).
  - [42] Quantum optomechanical system usually refers to a high finesse cavity with a movable mirror where the light in the cavity can give a force on the mirror [22, 23]. When there is only one photon in the cavity, the displacement of the mirror caused by the photon is hard to detect in traditional measurement since it is much smaller than the spread of the mirror wave packet (quantum fluctuation). Of course, if the mirror is in thermal state (thermal fluctuation), the mirror's displacement caused by the photon is even more hard to detect in traditional measurement.
  - [43] If  $\langle \psi_f | \psi_i \rangle$  is real and imaginary number, the amplification of weak measurement with thermal state pointer is given in Appendix B.
  - [44] G. Li, L. B. Chen, T. wang, Z. H. He and H. S. Song, *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **52**, 155501 (2019).
  - [45] S. Bose, K. Jacobs, and P. L. Knight, *Phys. Rev. A* **56**, 4175 (1997).
  - [46] S. Mancini, V. I. Manko, and P. Tombesi, *Phys. Rev. A* **55**, 3042 (1997).
  - [47] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series and Products* (Academic, New York, 1965); *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, edited by M. Abramovitz and I. A. Stegun (Dover, New York, 1972)
  - [48] W. Marshall, C. Simon, R. Penrose and D. Bouwmeester, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 130401-1 (2003).
  - [49] H. Sairo and H. Hyuga, *J. Phys. Soci. Jap.* **65**, 1648 (1996).
  - [50] If the resistance to high temperature materials about optomechanics is created in the future, the amplification of the displacement caused by one photon can achieve nanometer category and even micron category.
  - [51] G. Li, T. Wang, H. S. Song, arXiv: **1507.00850** (2015).
  - [52] R. Josza, *Phys. Rev. A* **76**, 044103 (2007).
  - [53] M. O. Scully and M. S. Zubairy, *Quantum Optics*. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1997)