Tests for and extraction of the Sivers, Boer-Mulders and transversity distributions in SIDIS reactions

Ekaterina Christova¹ and Elliot Leader²

¹ Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Sofia 1784, Bulgaria
² Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, UK

A major experimental program is presently underway worldwide to determine the fundamental non-perturbative functions, the Sivers, Boer-Mulders and transversity distributions, which are vital for an understanding of the internal structure of the nucleon. However, at present, many simplifying assumptions are used in extracting these functions from the data. We demonstrate that if the binning of the data in Q^2 is small enough so that Q^2 -evolution can be neglected inside a bin, then one can obtain stringent tests of these assumptions. Failure to satisfy these tests implies that the presently extracted non-perturbative functions are unreliable.

To this end we consider the measurement of the Sivers, Boer-Mulders and transversity difference asymmetries for hadrons with opposite charges in SIDIS reactions with unpolarized and transversely polarized deuteron and proton targets: $l + N \rightarrow l' + h + X$, $h = \pi^{\pm}, K^{\pm}, h^{\pm}$. Utilizing only charge and isospin invariance, and applying the above mentioned simplifying assumptions we obtain several testable relations amongst the measured asymmetries. If these tests are satisfied then the measured asymmetries determine two different combinations of the valence-quark transverse momentum dependent distributions, which can be determined separately without any contributions from the strange and other sea-quarks.

PACS numbers: 13.88.+e, 13.60.-r, 13.85.Ni

I. INTRODUCTION

The surprizingly large single-spin asymmetries found in semi-inclusive reactions, which were expected to be vanishingly small on the basis of perturbative QCD, have been explained by invoking relatively novel non-perturbative mechanisms: The Sivers and Boer-Mulders parton distributions, and the transversity distribution appear in conjunction with the transverse momentum dependent unpolarized and Collins fragmentation function. Knowledge of these functions, important for understanding of the internal structure of the nucleon, is obtained by extracting them from experimental data. At present, this extraction has been relatively simplistic, involving several key simplifying assumptions:

- 1) The analysis is in leading order in perturbative QCD
- 2) The transverse momentum dependent (TMD) parton distributions (PDFs) and fragmentation functions (FFs) which depend on parton intrinsic momentum k_{\perp} , are typically parametrized in a factorized form for the x_B/z_h and k_{\perp} -dependences:

$$\Delta f(x_B \text{ or } z_h, k_\perp^2) = \Delta f(x_B \text{ or } z_h) e^{-k_\perp^2/\langle k_\perp^2 \rangle}.$$
(1)

- 3) the $x_{\rm g}(z_h)$ -dependence is taken proportional to the collinear PDFs (FFs),
- 4) the Gaussian type k_{\perp} -dependence is assumed to be flavour independent.

The above are the most commonly used simplified parametrizations. We shall refer to them as the *standard* parametrizations. There is presently some discussion about the functional form of the TMD functions, and in a series of recent papers more general parametrizations have been suggested that allow flavour dependence of the partonic transverse momenta [1], and models constructed for the perturbative transverse-momentum dependent Q^2 -evolution [2], etc. Our aim is to suggest tests that would verify to what extend the standard parametrizations provide reliable information on the TMD distributions, and to show what information can be obtained if the tests are satisfied.

We show in the following that one can test these parametrizations directly in semi-inclusive deep inelastic scattering (SIDIS), provided there is sufficient data to study, what we call, the "difference" cross section asymmetries, i.e. combinations of the type:

$$A^{h-\bar{h}} \equiv \frac{\Delta \sigma^h - \Delta \sigma^{\bar{h}}}{\sigma^h - \sigma^{\bar{h}}} \tag{2}$$

where σ^h and $\Delta \sigma^h = \sigma^{h\uparrow} - \sigma^{h\downarrow}$ are the unpolarized and polarized cross sections respectively. The arrows indicate the polarization of the target, and h and \bar{h} are hadrons with opposite charges.

The expressions for the difference asymmetries are obtained using only charge (C) and isospin (SU(2)) invariance of the strong interactions. For kaons the additional assumption, made in all analysis, that the unfavoured FFs of d and \bar{d} -quarks into K^+ are the same, is used. There are two characteristic features of the difference cross sections:

- a) only the valence-quark parton densities and fragmentation function contribute and
- b) the expressions factorize into a product of parton densities and fragmentation functions. The latter appear particularly important for the asymmetries, as common factors in the numerator and the denominator in (2) cancel.

The tests we consider become particularly simple for deutrons. For final hadrons $h = \pi^{\pm}$, K^{\pm} and any unidentified charged hadrons h^{\pm} , the difference asymmetries always measure the sum of the valence quark contributions to each TMD function. The asymmetries on proton targets provide analogous tests, but with different combinations of valence-quark TMD densities for pions and kaons.

Presently, data on Sivers, Boer-Mulders (BM) and transversity asymmetries are presented as functions of only one of the kinematic variables x_B, z_h , sometimes with, in addition, the Q^2 and P_T dependence, with integration over the measured intervals of the other variables. We obtain analytic expressions for the x_B and x_h dependence of the asymmetries. These expressions strongly simplify if in the measured kinematic intervals the binning in Q^2 is small enough to allow the neglect of the Q^2 -dependence of the parton densities and FFs. We shall present both the general formulae and the formulae where this simplification is valid.

We shall demonstrate that measurements of the integrated asymmetries allow us to test the following assumptions currently used in addition to the standard simplifications in the extraction of the various non-perturbative functions:

- 1. At present, it is assumed that BM functions are proportional to the Sivers functions. In Sect. VI we show that this can be tested since it implies that the BM and Sivers difference asymmetries have the same the x_B -behaviour.
- 2. Since the BM and transversity asymmetries involve the same Collins fragmentation functions, we show that there is a relation between the z_h -behaviour of BM and Collins difference asymmetries, which can be used as a test of this basic assumption, Sect. X.

Previously[3, 4] we showed that the difference cross sections for SIDIS reactions with unpolarized and longitudinally polarized targets with charged final hadrons, in the simple collinear picture involve only the valence quark parton densities and fragmentation functions. Later we showed that this holds for unpolarized SIDIS in the non-collinear approach involving TMD parton densities and fragmentation functions as well [4].

Here we extend our studies to the Sivers, Boer-Mulders and transversity asymmetries for the difference cross sections in SIDIS of unpolarized leptons on a transversely polarized deuteron and proton targets. These asymmetries allow tests of the commonly used standard simplifications. If the analysis survive the tests, then measurements on deutrons provide direct information on the sum of the valence-quark BM, Sivers and transversity distributions, and measurements on protons provide information about another combination of the valence-quark TMD densities: on $(e_u^2 \Delta f_{u_V} - e_d^2 \Delta f_{d_V})$ with final pions, and on Δf_{u_V} with final kaons. Measurements on both protons and deutrons will determine the separate valence-quark densities without any contributions from the sea-quark densities. A first attempt to use this approach on the single-spin difference asymmetry for charged pions was recently presented by the HERMES collaboration [5] in an effort to isolate the valence-quark Sivers functions.

II. SIVERS DISTRIBUTION FUNCTIONS

The Sivers distribution function $\Delta^N f_{q/p^{\uparrow}}(x_B, k_{\perp})$ appears in the expression for the number density of unpolarized quarks q with intrinsic transverse momentum \mathbf{k}_{\perp} in a transversely polarized proton p^{\uparrow} with 3-momentum \mathbf{P} and

transverse spin $\mathbf{S_T}$ [6]:

$$f_{q/p^{\uparrow}}(x_{\scriptscriptstyle B}, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}) = f_{q/p}(x_{\scriptscriptstyle B}, k_{\perp}) + \frac{1}{2} \Delta^N f_{q/p^{\uparrow}}(x_{\scriptscriptstyle B}, k_{\perp}) \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{T}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{P}} \times \hat{\mathbf{k}}_{\perp})$$
(3)

where $f_{q/p}(x_B, k_\perp)$ are the unpolarized x_B and k_\perp -dependent parton densities and the triple product induces a definite azimuthal $\sin(\phi_h - \phi_S)$ -dependence, ϕ_h and ϕ_S are the azimuthal angles of the final hadron and the spin of the target, $x_B = Q^2/2(P.q)$ is the usual Bjorken variable.

Often the notation $f_{1T}^{\perp q}(x_{\!\scriptscriptstyle B},k_\perp)$ [7] and $\Delta f_{q/S_T}(x_{\!\scriptscriptstyle B},k_\perp)$ [8] is also used:

$$\Delta^{N} f_{q/p^{\uparrow}}(x_{B}, k_{\perp}) = \Delta f_{q/S_{T}}(x_{B}, k_{\perp}) = -\frac{2k_{\perp}}{m_{p}} f_{1T}^{\perp q}(x_{B}, k_{\perp})$$
(4)

where m_p is the proton mass.

III. SIVERS DIFFERENCE ASYMMETRIES

To access the Sivers TMDs one considers the $\sin(\phi_h - \phi_S)$ azimuthal moment of the transverse single-spin $A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h - \phi_S)}$ asymmetry in SIDIS [9]:

$$A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h - \phi_S),h} = \frac{1}{S_T} \frac{\int d\phi_h d\phi_S \left[d^6 \sigma^{\uparrow} - d^6 \sigma^{\downarrow} \right]^h \sin(\phi_h - \phi_S)}{\int d\phi_h d\phi_S \left[d^6 \sigma^{\uparrow} + d^6 \sigma^{\downarrow} \right]^h}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\frac{A(y)}{Q^4} F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h - \phi_S),h}}{\frac{A(y)}{Q^4} F_{UU}^h}, \quad A(y) = [1 + (1 - y)^2]. \tag{5}$$

Here $d^6\sigma^{\uparrow,\downarrow}$ stands for the differential cross section of SIDIS with an unpolarized lepton beam on a transversely polarized target in the kinematic region $P_T \simeq k_{\perp} \ll Q$ at order (k_{\perp}/Q) , the arrows (\uparrow,\downarrow) stand for the transverse target polarization:

$$\left[d^6\sigma^{\uparrow,\downarrow}\right]^h \equiv \frac{d\sigma^{\ell\,p^{\uparrow,\downarrow}\to\ell'hX}}{dx_B\,dQ^2\,dz_h\,dP_T^2\,d\phi_h\,d\phi_S},\tag{6}$$

 P_T is the transverse momentum of the final hadron in the $\gamma^* - p$ c.m. frame, and z_h , Q^2 and y are the usual measurable SIDIS quantities:

$$z_h = \frac{(P.P_h)}{(P.q)}, \quad Q^2 = -q^2, \quad q = l - l', \quad y = \frac{(P.q)}{(P.l)}$$
 (7)

with l and l', P and P_h the 4-momenta of the initial and final leptons, and initial and final hadrons. Note that

$$Q^2 = 2MEx_{\scriptscriptstyle B}y \tag{8}$$

where M is the target mass (in this paper the deuteron mass) and E the lepton laboratory energy. Throughout the paper we follow the notation and kinematics of ref. [9].

The Sivers asymmetry for the difference cross section we define analogously:

$$A_{UT}^{Siv,h-\bar{h}}(x_B,Q^2,z_h,P_T^2) = \frac{1}{S_T} \frac{\int d\phi_h d\phi_S \left[d^6 \sigma^{\uparrow} - d^6 \sigma^{\downarrow} \right]^{h-h} \sin(\phi_h - \phi_S)}{\int d\phi_h d\phi_S \left[d^6 \sigma^{\uparrow} + d^6 \sigma^{\downarrow} \right]^{h-\bar{h}}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\frac{A(y)}{Q^4} F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h - \phi_S),h-\bar{h}}}{\frac{A(y)}{Q^4} F_{UU}^{h-\bar{h}}}$$
(9)

The structure functions $F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h-\phi_S),h-\bar{h}}$ involve convolutions of the Sivers and unpolarized TMD fragmentation functions, while the $F_{UU}^{h-\bar{h}}$ are convolutions of the unpolarized TMD parton densities and fragmentation functions. We consider the difference asymmetries on deuteron and proton targets separately.

A. The standard parametrizations for deutrons

In general, in the difference cross sections only the valence-quark TMD functions enter. When a deuteron target is used, a further simplification occurs – independently of the final hadrons, only one parton density enters – the sum of the valence quarks:

$$\Delta f_{Q_V/S_T}(x_B, k_\perp) \equiv \Delta f_{u_V/S_T}(x_B, k_\perp) + \Delta f_{d_V/S_T}(x_B, k_\perp) \tag{10}$$

In extracting the Sivers distributions from experiment they are assumed proportional to the unpolarized densities $f_{q/p}(x_B, k_\perp)$ with additional x_B - and k_\perp -dependencies in a factorized form [9]. Following this convention, we adopt the following parametrization for valence-quark Sivers function:

$$\Delta f_{Q_V/S_T}(x_B, k_\perp) = \Delta f_{Q_V/S_T}(x_B) \sqrt{2e} \frac{k_\perp}{M_S} \frac{e^{-k_\perp^2/\langle k_\perp^2 \rangle_S}}{\pi \langle k_\perp^2 \rangle}$$
(11)

where

$$\Delta f_{Q_V/S_T}(x_B, Q^2) = 2 \mathcal{N}_{Sin}^{Q_V}(x_B) Q_V(x_B, Q^2)$$
(12)

and Q_V is the sum of the collinear valence PDFs:

$$Q_V = u_V + d_V (13)$$

 $M_{\scriptscriptstyle S}$ is an unknown, fitting parameter (sometimes denoted by M_1 [10]), $\mathcal{N}_{Siv}^{Q_{\scriptscriptstyle V}}(x_{\scriptscriptstyle B})$ is an unknown function and

$$\langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle_S = \frac{\langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle M_S^2}{\langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle + M_S^2} \,. \tag{14}$$

The parameter $\langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle$ enters the *unpolarized* parton densities $f_{Q_V/p}(x_B, k_{\perp}, Q^2)$, which are conventionally expressed in terms of the collinear parton densities times a Gaussian-type transverse momentum dependent function:

$$f_{Q_V/p}(x_B, k_\perp, Q^2) = Q_V(x_B, Q^2) \frac{e^{-k_\perp^2/\langle k_\perp^2 \rangle}}{\pi \langle k_\perp^2 \rangle}.$$
 (15)

Here $\langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle$ is assumed known from a study of multiplicities in unpolarized SIDIS [11]. On the other hand $\mathcal{N}_{Siv}^{Q_V}(x_{\scriptscriptstyle B})$ and $M_{\scriptscriptstyle S}$ in Eqs. (11) and (12) are unknowns.

Analogously, the unpolarized FFs are conventionally factorized into a product of the collinear FFs times a Gaussiantype transverse momentum dependent function:

$$D_{qV}^{h}(z_{h}, p_{\perp}, Q^{2}) = D_{qV}^{h}(z_{h}, Q^{2}) \frac{e^{-p_{\perp}^{2}/\langle p_{\perp}^{2} \rangle}}{\pi \langle p_{\perp}^{2} \rangle}, \quad q = u, d$$
 (16)

where $D_{q_V}^h(z_h)$ are the collinear valence FFs. Multiplicities provide information about the parameter $\langle p_{\perp}^2 \rangle$.

B. The structure functions for deuterons

With the above parametrisations we obtain the expressions for $F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h-\phi_S),h-\bar{h}}$. They differ for the different final hadrons only by the known factorized collinear FFs:

$$F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h - \phi_S), \pi^+ - \pi^-} = \mathcal{K}_S(z_h, P_T^2) \, \Delta f_{Q_V/S_T}(x_B, Q^2) \, (e_u^2 - e_d^2) \, D_{u_V}^{\pi^+}(z_h)$$
(17)

$$F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h - \phi_S), K^+ - K^-} = \mathcal{K}_S(z_h, P_T^2) \Delta f_{Q_V/S_T}(z_h, Q^2) e_u^2 D_{u_V}^{K^+}(z_h)$$
(18)

$$F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h - \phi_S), h^+ - h^-} = \mathcal{K}_S(z_h, P_T^2) \, \Delta f_{Q_V/S_T}(x_{_B}, Q^2) \left[e_u^2 \, D_{u_V}^{h^+}(z_h) + e_d^2 \, D_{d_V}^{h^+}(z_h) \right] \tag{19}$$

where $\mathcal{K}_{\scriptscriptstyle S}(z_h,P_T^2)$ is a common flavour independent factor:

$$\mathcal{K}_{S}(z_{h}, P_{T}^{2}) = \frac{\sqrt{2e}}{2} A_{Siv} P_{T} \frac{e^{-P_{T}^{2}/\langle P_{T}^{2}\rangle_{Siv}}}{\pi \langle P_{T}^{2}\rangle_{Siv}^{2}} z_{h}, \qquad A_{Siv} = \frac{\langle k_{\perp}^{2}\rangle_{S}^{2}}{M_{S} \langle k_{\perp}^{2}\rangle}, \tag{20}$$

$$\langle P_T^2 \rangle_S = \langle p_\perp^2 \rangle + z_h^2 \langle k_\perp^2 \rangle_S. \tag{21}$$

We recall the expressions for $F_{UU}^{h-\bar{h}}$ that enter as normalizing factors in the considered asymmetry [4]:

$$F_{UU}^{\pi^{+}-\pi^{-}} = Q_{V}(x_{B}) \left(e_{u}^{2} - e_{d}^{2}\right) D_{u_{V}}^{\pi^{+}}(z_{h}) \frac{e^{-P_{T}^{2}/\langle P_{T}^{2} \rangle}}{\pi \langle P_{T}^{2} \rangle}$$
(22)

$$F_{UU}^{K^{+}-K^{-}} = Q_{V}(x_{B}) e_{u}^{2} D_{u_{V}}^{K^{+}}(z_{h}) \frac{e^{-P_{T}^{2}/\langle P_{T}^{2} \rangle}}{\pi \langle P_{T}^{2} \rangle}$$
(23)

$$F_{UU}^{h^+ - h^-} = Q_V(x_B) \left[e_u^2 D_{uV}^{h^+}(z_h) + e_d^2 D_{dV}^{h^+}(z_h) \right] \frac{e^{-P_T^2/\langle P_T^2 \rangle}}{\pi \langle P_T^2 \rangle}$$
(24)

where

$$\langle P_T^2 \rangle = \langle p_\perp^2 \rangle + z_h^2 \langle k_\perp^2 \rangle \,. \tag{25}$$

Thus, the Sivers difference asymmetries $A_{UT}^{Siv,h-\bar{h}}$, $h=\pi^+,K^+,h^+$, independently of the final hadrons, determine the same valence-quark Sivers function $\Delta f_{QV/ST}(x_B)$ without any contributions from the sea-quark Sivers densities. The only unknowns are M_S (involved in the definition of $\langle k_\perp^2 \rangle_S$) and $\mathcal{N}_{Siv}^{QV}(x_B)$.

The data on Sivers asymmetries are usually presented as function of only one of the kinematic variables (x_B, z_h, P_T) , integrated over the measured intervals of the other variables. In the following we consider the x_B and z_h -dependent Sivers asymmetries. The expressions simplify dramatically if we assume that the binning of the data in Q^2 is fine enough so that we can neglect the Q^2 -dependence of the collinear functions inside each bin.

C. The integrated Sivers asymmetries on deutrons

We perform the P_T integration analytically. The general expression is presented in the Appendix. Integrating further we obtain the x_B - and z_h -dependent Sivers asymmetries.

1. The z_h -dependent Sivers asymmetries

For the z_h -dependence of Sivers difference asymmetry for $h = \pi^+, K^+, h^+$ we obtain:

$$A_{UT}^{Siv,h-\bar{h}}(z_h) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\int dQ^2 dx_B dP_T^2 \frac{A(y)}{Q^4} F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h - \phi_S),h-\bar{h}}(x_B, Q^2, z_h, P_T^2)}{\int dQ^2 dx_B dP_T^2 \frac{A(y)}{Q^4} F_{UU}^{h-\bar{h}}(x_B, Q^2, z_h, P_T^2)}$$

$$= \mathcal{B}_{Siv}^h(z_h) \frac{z_h}{\sqrt{\langle p_+^2 \rangle + z_h^2 \langle k_+^2 \rangle_S}},$$
(26)

Here $\mathcal{B}_{Siv}^h(z_h)$ for $h=\pi^+, K^+$ are:

$$\mathcal{B}_{Siv}^{h}(z_{h}) = \frac{\sqrt{e\pi}}{4\sqrt{2}} A_{Siv} \frac{\int dx_{B} \int dQ^{2} \frac{1 + (1 - y)^{2}}{Q^{4}} \Delta f_{Q_{V}/S_{T}}(x_{B}, Q^{2}) D_{u_{V}}^{h}(z_{h}, Q^{2})}{\int dx_{B} \int dQ^{2} \frac{1 + (1 - y)^{2}}{Q^{4}} Q_{V}(x_{B}, Q^{2}) D_{u_{V}}^{h}(z_{h}, Q^{2})}.$$
(27)

For unidentified charged hadrons h^{\pm} , $\mathcal{B}_{Siv}^{h^{+}}(z_h)$ is obtained via the following replacement:

$$D_{u_V}^{h^+}(z_h, Q^2) \to e_u^2 D_{u_V}^{h^+}(z_h, Q^2) + e_d^2 D_{d_V}^{h^+}(z_h, Q^2)$$
(28)

For bins corresponding to a reasonably small interval ΔQ^2 in Q^2 , we replace the integral over Q^2 by ΔQ^2 times the Q^2 -dependent functions evaluated at the mean value \bar{Q}^2 for the bin. Then the FFs cancel and (26) becomes particularly simple:

$$A_{UT}^{Siv,h-\bar{h}}(z_h,\bar{Q}^2) = \bar{B}_{Siv}(\bar{Q}^2) \frac{z_h}{\sqrt{\langle p_\perp^2 \rangle + z_h^2 \langle k_\perp^2 \rangle_S}}.$$
 (29)

where \bar{B}_{Siv} is independent of z_h and h:

$$\bar{B}_{Siv}(\bar{Q}^2) = \frac{\sqrt{e\pi}}{4\sqrt{2}} A_{Siv} \frac{\int dx_B \left[1 + (1 - \bar{y})^2\right] \Delta f_{Q_V/S_T}(x_B, \bar{Q}^2)}{\int dx_B \left[1 + (1 - \bar{y})^2\right] Q_V(x_B, \bar{Q}^2)}. \quad \forall h$$
(30)

and

$$\bar{y} = \frac{\bar{Q}^2}{2MEx_B} \tag{31}$$

Thus, (29) is a remarkably strong prediction both, for the explicit z_h -behaviour and for the independence on h. It provides a stringent test of the assumptions made in the extraction of the Sivers function from experiment. Failure to satisfy the test implies that the present information on the Sivers function is unreliable. If the test is satisfied one obtains straightforward information about $\langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle_s$.

2. The x_B -dependent Sivers asymmetries

The $x_{\scriptscriptstyle B}$ -dependent Sivers difference asymmetry is directly proportional to $\mathcal{N}^{qv}_{Siv}(x_{\scriptscriptstyle B})$:

$$A_{UT}^{Siv,h-\bar{h}}(x_B) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\int dQ^2 dz_h dP_T^2 \frac{A(y)}{Q^4} F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h-\phi_S),h-\bar{h}}(x_B, Q^2, z_h, P_T^2)}{\int dQ^2 dz_h dP_T^2 \frac{A(y)}{Q^4} F_{UU}^{h-\bar{h}}(x_B, Q^2, z_h, P_T^2)}$$

$$= C_{Siv}^h(x_B) \mathcal{N}_{Siv}^{QV}(x_B), \qquad (32)$$

where $C_{Siv}^h(x_B)$, $h = \pi^+, K^+$ is given by:

$$C_{Siv}^{h}(x_{B}) = \frac{\sqrt{e\pi}}{2\sqrt{2}} A_{Siv} \frac{\int dQ^{2} \frac{1+(1-y)^{2}}{Q^{4}} Q_{V}(x_{B}, Q^{2}) \int dz_{h} z_{h} \left[D_{uV}^{h}(z_{h}, Q^{2}) \right] / \sqrt{\langle P_{T}^{2} \rangle_{S}}}{\int dQ^{2} \frac{1+(1-y)^{2}}{Q^{4}} Q_{V}(x_{B}, Q^{2}) \int dz_{h} D_{uV}^{h}(z_{h}, Q^{2})}.$$
(33)

For unidentified charged hadrons h^{\pm} , $C_{Siv}^{h^{+}}(z_h)$ is obtained via replacement (28).

For small enough bins in Q^2 , as discussed in Section (2.3.1), the asymmetry becomes:

$$A_{UT}^{Siv,h-\bar{h}}(x_{\scriptscriptstyle B},\bar{Q}^2) = \bar{C}_{Siv}^h(\bar{Q}^2) \mathcal{N}_{Siv}^{Q_V}(x_{\scriptscriptstyle B}), \qquad (34)$$

where \bar{C}^h_{Siv} are independent of $x_{\!\scriptscriptstyle B}$ and known from multiplicities.

$$\bar{C}_{Siv}^{h}(\bar{Q}^{2}) = \frac{\sqrt{e\pi}}{2\sqrt{2}} A_{Siv} \frac{\int dz_{h} z_{h} \left[D_{u_{V}}^{h}(z_{h}, \bar{Q}^{2}) \right] / \sqrt{\langle P_{T}^{2} \rangle_{S}}}{\int dz_{h} D_{u_{V}}^{h}(z_{h}, \bar{Q}^{2})}, \quad h = \pi^{+}, K^{+}$$
(35)

and

$$\bar{C}_{Siv}^{h^{+}}(\bar{Q}^{2}) = \frac{\sqrt{e\pi}}{2\sqrt{2}} A_{Siv} \frac{\int dz_{h} z_{h} \left[e_{u}^{2} D_{uv}^{h^{+}} + e_{d}^{2} D_{dv}^{h^{+}} \right] / \sqrt{\langle P_{T}^{2} \rangle_{s}}}{\int dz_{h} \left[e_{u}^{2} D_{uv}^{h^{+}} + e_{d}^{2} D_{dv}^{h^{+}} \right]}.$$
 (36)

where $\langle P_T^2 \rangle_s$ is given in Eq. (21).

It follows from Eq. (34) that the ratio for different hadrons should be independent of x_B – a very strong test of the commonly used assumptions for extracting the TMD functions.

In summary the tests above are important for assessing the reliability of the extraction of the Sivers function and are a strong motivation for measurements using reasonably small bins in Q^2 where the slow Q^2 -dependence of the PDFs and FFs can be neglected. In addition, if the tests are satisfied one obtains direct information on $\mathcal{N}_{Siv}^{Q_V}(x_B)$.

D. Sivers difference asymmetries on protons

Crucial for the tests on a deuteron target was that the PDFs and FFs factorize, i.e. there is no sum over quark flavours. For a deuteron target this was a general feature valid for all final hadrons. For a proton target this holds only for final pions and kaons ($\pi^+ - \pi^-$) and ($K^+ - K^-$), and does not hold for unidentified final hadrons.

Hence we consider Sivers difference asymmetries on protons only for π^{\pm} and K^{\pm} . The corresponding expressions are obtained from the expressions for deuteron target with the following replacements:

• for
$$\underline{\pi^+ - \pi^-}$$

In the numerators, determined by $F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h - \phi_S), \pi^+ - \pi^-}$ (eq. (17)):

$$(e_u^2 - e_d^2) \Delta f_{Q_V/S_T}(x_B, Q^2) \to \Delta f_{Siv}^V(x_B, Q^2)$$
 (37)

where

$$\Delta f_{Siv}^{V}(x_{B}, Q^{2}) \equiv 2 \left[e_{u}^{2} \mathcal{N}_{Siv}^{u_{V}}(x_{B}) u_{V}(x_{B}, Q^{2}) - e_{d}^{2} \mathcal{N}_{Siv}^{d_{V}}(x_{B}) d_{V}(x_{B}, Q^{2}) \right]$$
(38)

and in the denominator, determined by $F_{UU}^{\pi^+-\pi^-}$ (eq. (22)):

$$(e_u^2 - e_d^2) Q_V(x_B, Q^2) \to f^V(x_B, Q^2)$$
 (39)

where

$$f^{V}(x_{B}, Q^{2}) \equiv e_{u}^{2} u_{V}(x_{B}, Q^{2}) - e_{d}^{2} d_{V}(x_{B}, Q^{2})$$

$$\tag{40}$$

• for $\underline{K^+ - K^-}$

In the numerators, determined by $F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h-\phi_S),K^+-K^-}$, eq. (18):

$$\Delta f_{Q_V/S_T}(x_B, Q^2) \to \Delta f_{u_V/S_T}(x_B, Q^2) \tag{41}$$

where

$$\Delta f_{u_V/S_T}(x_B, Q^2) = 2 \mathcal{N}_{Siv}^{u_V}(x_B) u_V(x_B, Q^2), \tag{42}$$

and in the denominator, determined by $F_{UU}^{K^+-K^-}$ (eq. (23)):

$$Q_V(x_{\scriptscriptstyle B}, Q^2) \to u_V(x_{\scriptscriptstyle B}, Q^2) \cdot \tag{43}$$

We shall not present all results, but only those for small enough ranges of Q^2 , where the Q^2 -evolution of q_V and $D_{u_V}^h$ can be neglected.

1a) The z_h -dependent asymmetries on protons have the same z_h -behaviour for $\pi^+ - \pi^-$ and $K^+ - K^-$. Moreover, this behaviour is the same as for deuteron targets and reflects the chosen Gaussian dependence on k_{\perp} and p_{\perp} . Instead of (29) and (30) for deuterons, the analogous expressions for protons are:

$$A_{UT,p}^{Siv,\pi^{+}-\pi^{-}}(z_{h},\bar{Q}^{2}) = \bar{B}_{Siv}^{\pi}(\bar{Q}^{2}) \frac{z_{h}}{\sqrt{\langle p_{\perp}^{2}\rangle + z_{h}^{2}\langle k_{\perp}^{2}\rangle_{S}}} A_{UT,p}^{Siv,K^{+}-K^{-}}(z_{h},\bar{Q}^{2}) = \bar{B}_{Siv}^{K}(\bar{Q}^{2}) \frac{z_{h}}{\sqrt{\langle p_{\perp}^{2}\rangle + z_{h}^{2}\langle k_{\perp}^{2}\rangle_{S}}}.$$
(44)

They differ only in the constant common factors:

$$\bar{B}_{Siv}^{\pi}(\bar{Q}^{2}) = \frac{\sqrt{e\pi}}{2\sqrt{2}} A_{S} \frac{\int dx_{B} (1 + (1 - \bar{y})^{2}) \Delta f_{Siv}^{V}(x_{B}, \bar{Q}^{2})}{\int dx_{B} (1 + (1 - \bar{y})^{2}) f^{V}(x_{B}, \bar{Q}^{2})}
\bar{B}_{Siv}^{K}(\bar{Q}^{2}) = \frac{\sqrt{e\pi}}{\sqrt{2}} A_{S} \frac{\int dx_{B} (1 + (1 - \bar{y})^{2}) \mathcal{N}_{Siv}^{u_{V}}(x_{B}) u_{V}(x_{B}, \bar{Q}^{2})}{\int dx_{B} (1 + (1 - \bar{y})^{2}) u_{V}(x_{B}, \bar{Q}^{2})} \tag{45}$$

1b) The x_B -dependent Sivers asymmetries on protons are analogous to those on deuterons, but with different combinations of valence-quark densities for pions and kaons. We have:

$$A_{UT,p}^{Siv,\pi^{+}-\pi^{-}}(x_{B},\bar{Q}^{2}) = \bar{C}_{Siv}^{\pi}(\bar{Q}^{2}) \frac{\Delta f_{Siv}^{V}(x_{B},\bar{Q}^{2})}{2 f^{V}(x_{B},\bar{Q}^{2})}$$

$$A_{UT,d}^{Siv,K^{+}-K^{-}}(x_{B},\bar{Q}^{2}) = \bar{C}_{Siv}^{K}(\bar{Q}^{2}) \mathcal{N}_{Siv}^{uv}(x_{B})$$

$$(46)$$

where $f^V(x_B)$ is known from DIS, eq. (40). They provide information about Δf^V_{Siv} and $\mathcal{N}^{u_V}_{Siv}$. Note that the coefficients \bar{C}^h_{Siv} are the same as for deuterons, eq.(35). This allows us to form different ratios, for example:

$$\left[\frac{A_{UT,d}}{A_{UT,p}}\right]^{\pi^{+}-\pi^{-}} = \frac{\mathcal{N}_{Siv}^{Q_{V}}(x_{B})}{\Delta f_{Siv}^{V}(x_{B}, \bar{Q}^{2})} 2f^{V}(x_{B}, \bar{Q}^{2})$$

$$\left[\frac{A_{UT,d}}{A_{UT,p}}\right]^{K^{+}-K^{-}} = \frac{\mathcal{N}_{Siv}^{Q_{V}}(x_{B})}{\mathcal{N}_{Siv}^{u_{V}}(x_{B})} \tag{47}$$

in which the r.h. sides are independent of the transversity parameters $\langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle_s, \langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle, \langle p_{\perp}^2 \rangle$.

It should be remembered however, that in contrast to deuteron targets, these results do not hold for unidentified charged hadrons.

IV. BOER-MULDERS DISTRIBUTIONS

The extraction of the Boer-Mulders (BM) and transversity distributions is more complicated compared to the Sivers case. The reason is that the Sivers functions enter the cross section in convolution with the unpolarized TMD fragmentation functions, known from multiplicities. The BM and transversity functions enter the cross sections in convolution with the transversely polarized TMD FFs, the so called Collins functions. The latter can, in principle, be extracted from $e^+e^- \to h_1h_2X$, but at present are rather poorly known.

In our analysis we shall consider Collins functions, alongside with BM and transversity functions, as unknown quantities. In the difference cross sections only the valence quark functions of both parton densities and fragmentation functions enter the expressions.

The distribution of transversely polarized quarks q^{\uparrow} in an unpolarized proton p determines the Boer-Mulders function [13]:

$$\Delta^{N} f_{q\uparrow/p}(x_B, k_{\perp}) \equiv \Delta f_{s_y/p}^{q}(x_B, k_{\perp}) = -\frac{k_{\perp}}{m_p} h_1^{\perp}(x_B, k_{\perp})$$

$$\tag{48}$$

It is accessed by measuring the BM $\cos 2\phi_h$ -asymmetry in unpolarized SIDIS [9]:

$$\langle \cos 2\phi_h \rangle^h \equiv \frac{d^4 \langle \cos 2\phi_h \rangle}{dx_B dQ^2 dz_h dP_T^2} = \frac{\int d\phi_h \cos 2\phi_h d^5 \sigma^h}{\int d\phi_h d^5 \sigma^h}$$

$$= \frac{\frac{(1-y)}{Q^4} F_{UU}^{\cos 2\phi_h}(x_B, Q^2, z_h, P_T^2)}{\frac{1+(1-y)^2}{Q^4} F_{UU}(x_B, Q^2, z_h, P_T^2)},$$
(49)

where $d^5\sigma^h$ is a short hand notation for the unpolarized differential SIDIS cross section:

$$d^{5}\sigma^{h} = \frac{d^{5}\sigma_{p}^{h}}{dx_{B} dQ^{2} dz_{h} dP_{T}^{2} d\phi_{h}}$$
 (50)

The structure function $F_{UU}^{\cos 2\phi_h}$ is a convolution of the Boer-Mulder (BM) distribution function $\Delta f_{s_y/p}^q(x,k_\perp)$ and the Collins fragmentation function $\Delta^N D_{h/q\uparrow}(z,p_\perp)$. The Collins fragmentation function represents the spin dependent part of the fragmentation function of a transversely polarized quark with spin polarization \mathbf{s} and 3-momentum \mathbf{p}_q into an unpolarized hadron h with transverse momentum \mathbf{p}_\perp relative to it [14]:

$$D_{h/q,s}(z,p_{\perp}) = D_{h/q}(z,p_{\perp}) + \frac{1}{2} \Delta^N D_{h/q\uparrow}(z,p_{\perp}) \,\hat{\mathbf{s}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{p}}_q \times \hat{\mathbf{p}}_{\perp}) \,. \tag{51}$$

Often the Collins function is written as $H_1^{\perp}(z_h, p_{\perp})$ [15]:

$$\Delta^N D_{h/q\uparrow}(z, p_\perp) = \frac{2p_\perp}{z_h m_h} H_1^\perp(z, p_\perp)$$
 (52)

where m_h is the mass of the final hadron.

V. BM DIFFERENCE ASYMMETRIES

Here we shall work with the difference asymmetries $\langle \cos 2\phi \rangle^{h-\bar{h}}$, that we define, in analogy to (49), through the difference cross sections:

$$\langle \cos 2\phi \rangle^{h-\bar{h}} = \frac{\int d\phi_h \cos 2\phi_h d^5 \sigma^{h-\bar{h}}}{\int d\phi_h d^5 \sigma^{h-\bar{h}}} = \frac{\frac{(1-y)}{Q^4} F_{UU}^{\cos 2\phi_h, h-\bar{h}}(x_B, Q^2, z_h, P_T^2)}{\frac{1+(1-y)^2}{Q^4} F_{UU}^{h-\bar{h}}(x_B, Q^2, z_h, P_T^2)}$$
(53)

As shown in [4], the difference cross sections are determined only by the valence quark densities. We shall consider the BM asymmetries on deuteron and proton targets separately.

A. The standard parametrization for the deuteron

The expressions for the difference asymmetries are especially simple if we consider SIDIS on a deuteron target. Then

i) independently of the final hadrons $h - \bar{h}$, it is always only the sum of the valence quark BM distribution that enters:

$$\Delta f_{s_{y}/p}^{Q_{V}}(x_{B}, k_{\perp}) \equiv \Delta f_{s_{y}/p}^{u_{V}}(x_{B}, k_{\perp}) + \Delta f_{s_{y}/p}^{d_{V}}(x_{B}, k_{\perp})$$
(54)

ii) for each final hadron h, only one combination of valence-quark Collins functions appears

Thus we need one parametrization for the valence BM function $\Delta f_{s_y/p}^{Q_V}$ and one for the valence-quark Collins function $\Delta^N D_{h/u_V\uparrow}$, or their combination $e_u^2 \Delta^N D_{h/u_V\uparrow} + e_d^2 \Delta^N D_{h/d_V\uparrow}$.

In the currently available extractions the BM and Collins functions are parametrized proportional to the corresponding unpolarized transverse-momentum independent collinear functions, with factorized flavour independent transverse momentum dependence, and flavour-dependent x_B or z_h functions [9, 12]. In accordance with this we write:

$$\Delta f_{s_y/p}^{Q_V}(x_B, k_\perp, Q^2) = \Delta f_{s_y/p}^{Q_V}(x_B, Q^2) \sqrt{2e} \frac{k_\perp}{M_{BM}} \frac{e^{-k_\perp^2/\langle k_\perp^2 \rangle_{BM}}}{\pi \langle k_\perp^2 \rangle_{BM}}$$

$$\Delta f_{s_y/p}^{Q_V}(x_B, Q^2) = 2 \mathcal{N}_{BM}^{Q_V}(x_B) Q_V(x_B, Q^2)$$
(55)

$$\Delta^{N} D_{h/u_{V}\uparrow}(z_{h}, p_{\perp}, Q^{2}) = \Delta^{N} D_{h/u_{V}\uparrow}(z_{h}, Q^{2}) \sqrt{2e} \frac{p_{\perp}}{M_{C}} \frac{e^{-p_{\perp}^{2}/\langle p_{\perp}^{2}\rangle_{C}}}{\pi \langle p_{\perp}^{2}\rangle_{C}}, \quad h = \pi^{+}, K^{+}$$

$$\Delta^{N} D_{h/u_{V}\uparrow}(z_{h}, Q^{2}) = 2 \mathcal{N}_{C}^{h/u_{V}}(z_{h}) D_{u_{V}}^{h}(z_{h}, Q^{2})$$
(56)

where $\Delta f_{s_y/p}^{Q_v}(x_{\!\scriptscriptstyle B})$, $\Delta^N D_{h/u_V\uparrow}(z_h)$, $\mathcal{N}_{\scriptscriptstyle BM}^{Q_V}(x_{\!\scriptscriptstyle B})$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\scriptscriptstyle C}^{h/u_V}(z_h)$) are unknown functions, $M_{\scriptscriptstyle BM}$ and $M_{\scriptscriptstyle C}$ are unknown, fitted parameters (often $M_{\scriptscriptstyle C}$ is denoted by M [16] or M_h [9, 17]), and

$$\langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle_{\scriptscriptstyle BM} = \frac{\langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle M_{\scriptscriptstyle BM}^2}{\langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle + M_{\scriptscriptstyle BM}^2} \,, \qquad \langle p_{\perp}^2 \rangle_{\scriptscriptstyle C} = \frac{\langle p_{\perp}^2 \rangle M_{\scriptscriptstyle C}^2}{\langle p_{\perp}^2 \rangle + M_{\scriptscriptstyle C}^2} \,. \tag{57}$$

B. The structure functions on deuterons

For the expressions $F_{UU}^{\cos2\phi_h,h-\bar{h}}$ we obtain:

$$F_{UU}^{\cos 2\phi_h, \pi^+ - \pi^-} = \mathcal{K}_{BM}(z_h, P_T^2) \, \Delta f_{s_y/p}^{Q_V}(x_B, Q^2) \left[(e_u^2 - e_d^2) \, \Delta^N D_{\pi^+/u_V \uparrow}(z_h) \right] \tag{58}$$

$$F_{UU}^{\cos 2\phi_h, K^+ - K^-} = \mathcal{K}_{BM}(z_h, P_T^2) \, \Delta f_{s_y/p}^{Q_V}(x_B, Q^2) \left[e_u^2 \, \Delta^N D_{K^+/u_V \uparrow}(z_h) \right]$$
 (59)

$$F_{UU}^{\cos 2\phi_h, h^+ - h^-} = \mathcal{K}_{BM}(z_h, P_T^2) \, \Delta f_{s_y/p}^{Q_V}(x_B, Q^2)$$

$$\times \left[e_u^2 \, \Delta^N D_{h^+/u_V \uparrow}(z_h) + e_d^2 \, \Delta^N D_{h^+/d_V \uparrow}(z_h) \right]$$
(60)

where $\mathcal{K}_{\scriptscriptstyle BM}$ is a common flavour-independent factor:

$$\mathcal{K}_{BM}(z_h, P_T^2) = -e A_{BM} A_{Coll} P_T^2 \frac{e^{-P_T^2/\langle P_T^2 \rangle_{BM}}}{\pi \langle P_T^2 \rangle_{BM}^3} z_h . \tag{61}$$

Here $A_{\scriptscriptstyle BM}$ and A_{Coll} are flavour and z_h -independent factors, determined by the transverse-momentum dependencies:

$$A_{BM} = \frac{\langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle_{BM}^2}{M_{BM} \langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle}, \qquad A_{Coll} = \frac{\langle p_{\perp}^2 \rangle_C^2}{M_C \langle p_{\perp}^2 \rangle}. \tag{62}$$

and

$$\langle P_T^2 \rangle_{BM} = \langle p_\perp^2 \rangle_C + z_h^2 \langle k_\perp^2 \rangle_{BM} \cdot . \tag{63}$$

The expressions in (60) differ only by the unknown functions $\Delta^N D_{h/q_V\uparrow}(z_h)$.

Data on $\langle \cos 2\phi \rangle$ are always presented as function of one of the kinematic variables (x_B, z_h, Q^2) or P_T^2 , integrated over the other three. We shall next consider the x_B and z_h -dependencies separately.

C. The integrated BM asymmetries on deuterons

Again for simplicity we perform the P_T integrations analytically. The general expressions are presented in the Appendix. Integrating further we obtain the x_B - and z_h -dependent BM asymmetries.

1. The z_h -dependent BM asymmetries

For the z_h -dependent BM asymmetries with $h = \pi^+, K^+$ we obtain:

$$\langle \cos 2\phi_h \rangle^{h-\bar{h}}(z_h) = \mathcal{B}_{BM}^h(z_h) \frac{z_h}{\langle p_\perp^2 \rangle_C + z_h^2 \langle k_\perp^2 \rangle_{BM}} \mathcal{N}_C^{h/u_V}(z_h), \quad h = \pi^+, K^+$$

$$(64)$$

where

$$\mathcal{B}_{BM}^{h}(z_{h}) = -2e A_{BM} A_{Coll} \frac{\int dx_{B} \int dQ^{2} \frac{1-y}{Q^{4}} \Delta f_{s_{y}/p}^{Q_{V}}(x_{B}, Q^{2}) D_{u_{V}}^{h}(z_{h}, Q^{2})}{\int dx_{B} \int dQ^{2} \frac{1+(1-y)^{2}}{Q^{4}} Q_{V}(x_{B}, Q^{2}) D_{u_{V}}^{h}(z_{h}, Q^{2})}.$$
(65)

As before, for small enough bins in Q^2 so that the Q^2 -dependence of the collinear parton densities and FFs can be neglected, the FFs cancel and the formula strongly simplifies:

$$\langle \cos 2\phi_h \rangle^{h-\bar{h}}(z_h, \bar{Q}^2) = \bar{B}_{BM}(\bar{Q}^2) \frac{z_h}{\langle p_\perp^2 \rangle_C + z_h^2 \langle k_\perp^2 \rangle_{BM}} \mathcal{N}_C^{h^+/u_V}(z_h), \quad h = \pi^+, K^+$$
 (66)

where $\bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\scriptscriptstyle BM}$ is independent of z_h and h:

$$\bar{B}_{BM}(\bar{Q}^2) = -e A_{BM} A_{Coll} \frac{2 \int dx_B (1 - \bar{y}) \Delta f_{s_y/p}^{Q_V}(x_B, \bar{Q}^2)}{\int dx_B [1 + (1 - \bar{y})^2] Q_V(x_B, \bar{Q}^2)}.$$
(67)

where \bar{Q}^2 is the average Q^2 -value in the bin and \bar{y} is given in Eq. (31). The dependence on h in Eq. (66) is only in the z_h -dependent part of Collins function [see Eq. (56)].

2. The $x_{\!\scriptscriptstyle B}$ -dependent BM asymmetries

For the x_B -dependence for each $h = \pi^+, K^+, h^+$ we obtain:

$$\langle \cos 2\phi_h \rangle^{h-\bar{h}}(x_B) = \mathcal{C}_{BM}^h(x_B) \mathcal{N}_{BM}^{Q_V}(x_B), \qquad \forall h$$
(68)

where for $h = \pi^+, K^+$ we have:

$$C_{BM}^{h}(x_{B}) = -4e A_{BM} A_{Coll} \frac{\int \int dQ^{2} dz_{h} \frac{1-y}{Q^{4}} Q_{V}(x_{B}) z_{h} \Delta^{N} D_{h/u_{V}\uparrow}(z_{h}) / \langle P_{T}^{2} \rangle_{BM}}{\int \int dQ^{2} dz_{h} \frac{1+(1-y)^{2}}{Q^{4}} Q_{V}(x_{B}) D_{u_{V}}^{h}(z_{h})}$$
(69)

For unidentified charged hadrons $h = h^{\pm}$ the formula is obtained with the replacement:

$$\Delta^N D_{h^+/u_V\uparrow} \to e_u^2 \Delta^N D_{h^+/u_V\uparrow} + e_d^2 \Delta^N D_{h^+/d_V\uparrow} \tag{70}$$

and replacement (28) in the denominator.

As before, for small enough bins in Q^2 this simplifies and one has

$$\langle \cos 2\phi_h \rangle^{h-\bar{h}}(x_B, \bar{Q}^2) = \frac{1-\bar{y}}{1+(1-\bar{y})^2} \, \bar{C}^h_{_{BM}}(\bar{Q}^2) \, \mathcal{N}^{Q_V}_{_{BM}}(x_B), \quad h = \pi^+, K^+, h^+$$
 (71)

where \bar{y} is given in (31) and $\bar{C}^h_{_{BM}}$ is $x_{_{\!B}}$ -independent:

$$\bar{C}_{BM}^{h}(\bar{Q}^{2}) = -2 e A_{BM} A_{Coll} \frac{\int dz_{h} z_{h} \left[\Delta^{N} D_{h/u_{V}\uparrow}(z_{h}, \bar{Q}^{2}) \right] / \langle P_{T}^{2} \rangle_{BM}}{\int dz_{h} D_{u_{V}}^{h}(z_{h}, \bar{Q}^{2})}, \quad h = \pi^{+}, K^{+}$$
(72)

$$\bar{C}_{BM}^{h^{+}}(\bar{Q}^{2}) = -2 e A_{BM} A_{Coll} \frac{\int dz_{h} z_{h} \left[e_{u}^{2} \Delta^{N} D_{h^{+}/u_{V}\uparrow}(z_{h}) + e_{d}^{2} \Delta^{N} D_{h^{+}/u_{V}\uparrow}(z_{h}) \right] / \langle P_{T}^{2} \rangle_{BM}}{\int dz_{h} \left[e_{u}^{2} D_{u_{V}}^{h^{+}} + e_{d}^{2} D_{d_{V}}^{h^{+}} \right]}.$$
 (73)

In summary, note that

- a) as we shall see later, comparison of $\langle\cos2\phi_h\rangle^{h-\bar{h}}(z_h,\bar{Q}^2)$, $h=\pi^+,K^+$ with the analogous expressions for transversity, where the same Collins function enterS (see Sect. X), provides a test of the factorization scheme approach currently adopted for the extraction of the TMD functions. If the test is satisfied, then (66) provides a direct measurement for $\mathcal{N}_C^{h/u_V}(z_h)$.
- b) $\langle \cos 2\phi \rangle^{h-\bar{h}}(x_B, \bar{Q}^2)$ for $h = \pi^+, K^+, h^+$ provide 3 independent algebraic equations for the x_B -behaviour of BM function $\mathcal{N}^{Q_V}_{BM}(x_B)$: the shape of $\langle \cos 2\phi \rangle^{h-\bar{h}}(x_B)$ is universal for all final hadrons; the coefficients of proportionality are different for the different final hadrons and are determined by the valence-quark Collins FFs.

VI. RELATIONS BETWEEN BM AND SIVERS ASYMMETRIES ON DEUTERONS

In the current analysis an additional simplifying assumption is made, namely the BM function is assumed proportional to its chiral-even partner – the Sivers function [12, 18]. In our case this reads:

$$\Delta f_{s_y/p}^{Q_V}(x, k_\perp) = \frac{\lambda_{Q_V}}{2} \Delta f_{Q_V/S_T}(x, k_\perp), \tag{74}$$

where λ_{Q_V} is a fitting parameter. This implies that

$$\langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle_{\scriptscriptstyle BM} = \langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle_{\scriptscriptstyle S}, \qquad A_{\scriptscriptstyle BM} = A_{Siv}, \qquad \mathcal{N}_{\scriptscriptstyle BM}^{\scriptscriptstyle Q\scriptscriptstyle V}(x_{\scriptscriptstyle B}) = \frac{\lambda_{\scriptscriptstyle Q\scriptscriptstyle V}}{2} \mathcal{N}_{Siv}^{\scriptscriptstyle Q\scriptscriptstyle V}(x_{\scriptscriptstyle B}) \, \cdot$$
 (75)

and

$$\langle P_T^2 \rangle_{\scriptscriptstyle BM} = \langle P_T^2 \rangle_{\widetilde{BM}} \equiv \langle p_\perp^2 \rangle_{\scriptscriptstyle C} + z_h^2 \langle k_\perp^2 \rangle_{\scriptscriptstyle S} \, \cdot$$
 (76)

Under assumption (74), the Sivers and BM asymmetries are expressed in terms of the same valence-quark $\Delta f_{Q_V/S_T}$ Sivers function and differ only by the TMD fragmentation functions. This leads to definite relations between their x_B -dependencies, which we suggest as possible tests for this assumption.

A. General Relations

For the x_B -dependence the Sivers and BM asymmetries for each $h=\pi^+,K^+,h^+$ provide independent information about the same x_B -dependence $\mathcal{N}^{QV}_{Siv}(x_B)$ of the Sivers distribution $\Delta f_{QV/ST}$. This leads to the following relation between these asymmetries:

$$\mathcal{R}_1^h(x_B) \equiv \frac{\langle \cos \phi_h \rangle^{h-\bar{h}}(x_B)}{A_{UT}^{Siv,h-\bar{h}}(x_B)} = \frac{\lambda_{QV}}{2} \left[\frac{\mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{BM}}(x_B)}{\mathcal{C}_{Siv}(x_B)} \right]^h, \quad h = \pi^+, K^+, h^+$$
 (77)

For $h = \pi^+, K^+$ we have:

$$\left[\frac{\mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{BM}}(x_{B})}{\mathcal{C}_{Siv}(x_{B})}\right]^{h} = \frac{-4\sqrt{2e}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\int \int dQ^{2} dz_{h} \frac{1-y}{Q^{4}} Q_{V}(x_{B}, Q^{2}) z_{h} \Delta^{N} D_{h/u_{V}\uparrow}(z_{h}, Q^{2}) / \langle P_{T}^{2} \rangle_{\widetilde{BM}}}{\int \int dQ^{2} dz_{h} \frac{1+(1-y)^{2}}{Q^{4}} Q_{V}(x_{B}, Q^{2}) z_{h} D_{u_{V}}^{h}(z_{h}, Q^{2}) / \sqrt{\langle P_{T}^{2} \rangle_{Siv}}}$$
(78)

where $\langle P_T^2 \rangle_S$ and $\langle P_T^2 \rangle_{\widetilde{BM}}$ are given in (21) and (76). For unidentified charged hadrons h^{\pm} , the result is obtained with the replacement (28) and the analogous one for $\Delta^N D_{h^+/u_V \uparrow}$, Eq. (70).

The expression for \mathcal{R}_1^h allows a check of the assumption (74) between the Sivers and BM functions, adopted in current analysis, without requiring knowledge of these functions. Only the Collins and the collinear valence-quark functions enter Eq. (78).

B. Simplification for small Q^2 -bins

If the bins in Q^2 are small enough so as to neglect the Q^2 -evolution of the collinear functions inside a bin, then this ratio \mathcal{R}_1^h becomes independent of x_B . We have:

$$\bar{\mathcal{R}}_{1}^{h}(x_{B}, \bar{Q}^{2}) = \frac{\lambda_{Q_{V}}}{2} \frac{1 - \bar{y}}{1 + (1 - \bar{y})^{2}} \bar{C}^{h}(\bar{Q}^{2})$$
(79)

where \bar{y} is given in (31) and \bar{C}^h is independent of x_B :

$$\bar{C}^{h}(\bar{Q}^{2}) \equiv \frac{-8\sqrt{2e}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\int dz_{h} \ z_{h} \ \Delta^{N} D_{h/u_{V}\uparrow}(z_{h}, \bar{Q}^{2}/\langle P_{T}^{2}\rangle_{\widetilde{BM}})}{\int dz_{h} \ z_{h} \ D_{u_{V}}^{h}(z_{h}, \bar{Q}^{2})/\sqrt{\langle P_{T}^{2}\rangle_{S}}}$$
(80)

If data exist for a range of x_B values at the same \bar{Q} then eq. (79) is a remarkably strong requirement and should allow a stringent test of the assumed connection between the Boer-Mulders and Sivers functions.

VII. BM DIFFERENCE ASYMMETRIES ON PROTONS

We consider Boer-Mulders difference asymmetries on proton targets only for π^{\pm} and K^{\pm} . The expressions are obtained from the corresponding expressions for deutrons with the following replacements:

• for
$$\underline{\pi^+ - \pi^-}$$

In the numerators, determined by $F_{UU}^{\cos 2\phi_h, \pi^+ - \pi^-}$ (eq. (58)):

$$(e_u^2 - e_d^2) \, \Delta f_{s_y/p}^{Q_V}(x_{\!\scriptscriptstyle B}, Q^2) \, \to \, \Delta f_{s_y/p}^V(x_{\!\scriptscriptstyle B}, Q^2)$$
 (81)

where

$$\Delta f_{s_u/p}^V(x_B, Q^2) \equiv 2 \left[e_u^2 \mathcal{N}_{BM}^{u_V}(x_B) u_V(x_B, Q^2) - e_d^2 \mathcal{N}_{BM}^{d_V}(x_B) d_V(x_B, Q^2) \right]$$
(82)

• for
$$\underline{K^+ - K^-}$$

In the numerators, determined by $F_{UU}^{\cos 2\phi_h, K^+ - K^-}$ (eq. (59)):

$$\Delta f_{s_u/p}^{Q_V}(x_B, Q^2) \to \Delta f_{s_u/p}^{u_V}(x_B, Q^2)$$
 (83)

where

$$\Delta f_{s_u/p}^{u_V}(x_B, Q^2) = 2 \mathcal{N}_{BM}^{u_V}(x_B) u_V(x_B, Q^2), \tag{84}$$

In the denominators the replacements for $\pi^+ - \pi^-$ and $K^+ - K^-$ are (39) and (43), respectively.

Bellow we shall not present all results, but only those for small enough ranges of Q^2 , where the scale dependence of the parton densities and FFs can be neglected.

1a) Again for the z_h -dependence we obtain the same behaviour as for deuterons:

$$\langle \cos 2\phi_h \rangle_p^{h-\bar{h}}(z_h, \bar{Q}^2) = \bar{B}_{BM}^h(\bar{Q}^2) \frac{z_h}{\langle p_\perp^2 \rangle_C + z_h^2 \langle k_\perp^2 \rangle_{BM}} \mathcal{N}_C^{h/u_V}(z_h), \quad h = \pi^+, K^+$$
 (85)

The difference is only in the constant common factors:

$$\bar{B}_{BM}^{\pi^{+}}(\bar{Q}^{2}) = -e A_{BM} A_{C} \frac{2 \int dx_{B} (1 - \bar{y}) \Delta f_{s_{y}/p}^{V}(x_{B}, \bar{Q}^{2})}{\int dx_{B} [1 + (1 - \bar{y})^{2}] f_{V}(x_{B}, \bar{Q}^{2})}$$

$$\bar{B}_{BM}^{K^{+}}(\bar{Q}^{2}) = -e A_{BM} A_{C} \frac{2 \int dx_{B} (1 - \bar{y}) \Delta f_{s_{y}/p}^{u_{V}}(x_{B}, \bar{Q}^{2})}{\int dx_{B} [1 + (1 - \bar{y})^{2}] u_{V}(x_{B}, \bar{Q}^{2})}.$$
(86)

1b) The x_B -dependent BM asymmetries on protons are analogous as those on deuterons, but with different combinations of valence-quark densities for pions and kaons. We have:

$$\langle \cos 2\phi_h \rangle_p^{\pi^+ - \pi^-}(x_B, \bar{Q}^2) = \frac{1 - \bar{y}}{1 + (1 - \bar{y})^2} \, \bar{C}_{BM}^{\pi}(\bar{Q}^2) \frac{\Delta f_{s_y/p}^V(x_B, \bar{Q}^2)}{2 \, f^V(x_B, \bar{Q}^2)},$$

$$\langle \cos 2\phi_h \rangle_p^{K^+ - K^-}(x_B, \bar{Q}^2) = \frac{1 - \bar{y}}{1 + (1 - \bar{y})^2} \, \bar{C}_{BM}^K(\bar{Q}^2) \, \mathcal{N}_{BM}^{u_V}(x_B), \tag{87}$$

where \bar{y} is given in (31) and $\bar{C}^h_{\scriptscriptstyle BM}$ are $x_{\scriptscriptstyle B}$ -independent and the same as for deuterons, eq.(72), $f^V(x_{\scriptscriptstyle B})$ is known from DIS. These measurements provide information about $\Delta f^V_{\scriptscriptstyle BM}$ and $\mathcal{N}^{u_V}_{\scriptscriptstyle BM}$.

In Sect.VI we suggested the ratio of the x_B -dependent BM and Sivers asymmetries on a deuteron target as a simple test for the used assumption of the proportionality of the BM and Sivers functions. On a proton target, however, such a test is not possible for all final hadrons. If the BM and Sivers functions are proportional, then only the kaon BM and Sivers difference asymmetries will measure the same $\mathcal{N}^{uv}_{Siv}(x_B)$, which implies that the ratio of $A^{K^+-K^-}_{Siv,p}(x_B)$ and $\langle\cos 2\phi_h\rangle_p(x_B)^{K^+-K^-}$ should be independent of x_B .

VIII. TRANSVERSITY DISTRIBUTIONS

The distribution of transversely polarized quarks q^{\uparrow} in a transversely polarized proton p^{\uparrow} defines the transversity distributions $h_{1q}(x)$ or $\Delta_T q(x_B)$:

$$\Delta_T q(x_{_B}) = h_{1q}(x_{_B}) = \int d^2 \mathbf{k}_{\perp} h_{1q}(x_{_B}, k_{\perp}). \tag{88}$$

where $h_{1q}(x_B, k_\perp)$ is the transversity distribution depending on the parton transverse momentum \mathbf{k}_\perp , $k_\perp = |\mathbf{k}_\perp|$.

The transversity distributions $h_{1q}(x)$ are one of the three fundamental parton densities, alongside with the unpolarized q(x) and helicity $\Delta q(x)$ distributions, that describe partons in polarized nucleon. Transversity distributions are the least known distributions as, being chiral-odd, they cannot be measured in DIS [19].

IX. COLLINS DIFFERENCE ASYMMETRIES

At present, knowledge about transversity comes from the single-spin Collins asymmetries in SIDIS with transversely polarized target $l + p^{\uparrow} \rightarrow l + h + X$:

$$A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h + \phi_S),h} = \frac{1}{S_T} \frac{\int d\phi_h d\phi_S \left[d^6 \sigma^{\uparrow} - d^6 \sigma^{\downarrow} \right]^h \sin(\phi_h + \phi_S)}{\int d\phi_h d\phi_S \left[d^6 \sigma^{\uparrow} + d^6 \sigma^{\downarrow} \right]^h}$$

$$= \frac{\frac{[1-y]}{Q^4} F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h + \phi_S),h}}{\frac{[1+(1-y)^2]}{Q^4} F_{UU}^h}.$$
(89)

The projection using $\sin(\phi_h + \phi_S)$ singles out the structure function $F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h + \phi_S),h}$, which depends on a convolution of the transversity distribution $h_{1q}(x,k_\perp)$ and the Collins fragmentation function $\Delta^N D_{h/q\uparrow}(z,p_\perp)$.

The Collins asymmetry for the difference cross section we define analogously:

$$A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_{h}+\phi_{S}),h-\bar{h}} = \frac{1}{S_{T}} \frac{\int d\phi_{h} d\phi_{S} \left[d^{6}\sigma^{\uparrow} - d^{6}\sigma^{\downarrow} \right]^{h-\bar{h}} \sin(\phi_{h} + \phi_{S})}{\int d\phi_{h} d\phi_{S} \left[d^{6}\sigma^{\uparrow} + d^{6}\sigma^{\downarrow} \right]^{h-\bar{h}}}$$

$$= \frac{\frac{[1-y]}{Q^{4}} F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_{h}+\phi_{S}),h-\bar{h}}}{\frac{[1+(1-y)^{2}]}{Q^{4}} F_{UU}^{h-\bar{h}}}$$
(90)

The expressions for the structure functions depend on parametrizations for the transversity and Collins functions, and are different targets. Again we here consider only a deuteron target.

A. The standard parametrization and structure functions for deuterons

In the difference cross sections on a deuteron target only the sum of the valence-quark transversity distribution enters:

$$h_{1Q_V}(x_B, k_\perp) \equiv h_{1u_V}(x_B, k_\perp) + h_{1d_V}(x_B, k_\perp)$$
 (91)

We follow the standard parametrization presently used in extracting the transversity from SIDIS data. This implies a standard Gaussian-type transverse-momentum dependence [16]:

$$h_{1Q_V}(x_B, k_\perp) = h_{1Q_V}(x_B) \frac{e^{-k_\perp^2/\langle k_\perp^2 \rangle_T}}{\pi \langle k_\perp^2 \rangle_T},$$
 (92)

where $\langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle_T$ is a new parameter and the following parametrization is used for the distribution $h_{1Q_V}(x_B)$ [20]:

$$h_{1Q_V}(x_B) = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{N}_T^{Q_V}(x_B) \left[Q_V(x_B) + \Delta Q_V(x_B) \right]$$
(93)

where, as earlier, $Q_V(x_B) = u_V + d_V$ and $\Delta Q_V(x_B) = \Delta u_V + \Delta d_V$ are the sum of the collinear unpolarized and longitudinally polarized valence-quark parton densities, while $\mathcal{N}_T^{Q_V}(x_B)$ is the new unknown function.

The parametrization for the Collins function was given in (56). With these currently used standard parametrizations we obtain:

$$F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h + \phi_S), \pi^+ - \pi^-} = \mathcal{K}_T(z_h, P_T^2) h_{1Q_V}(x_B, Q^2) \left[(e_u^2 - e_d^2) \Delta^N D_{\pi^+/u_V \uparrow}(z_h, Q^2) \right]$$
(94)

$$F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h + \phi_S), K^+ - K^-} = \mathcal{K}_T(z_h, P_T^2) h_{1Q_V}(x_B, Q^2) \left[e_u^2 \Delta^N D_{K^+/u_V \uparrow}(z_h, Q^2) \right]$$
(95)

$$F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h + \phi_S), h^+ - h^-} = \mathcal{K}_T(z_h, P_T^2) h_{1Q_V}(x_B, Q^2) \times \left[e_u^2 \Delta^N D_{\pi^+/u_V \uparrow}(z_h, Q^2) + e_d^2 \Delta^N D_{\pi^+/u_V \uparrow}(z_h, Q^2) \right]$$

(96)

where

$$\mathcal{K}_{T}(z_{h}, P_{T}^{2}) = \frac{\sqrt{2e}}{2} A_{Coll} P_{T} \frac{e^{-P_{T}^{2}/\langle P_{T}^{2} \rangle_{T}}}{\pi \langle P_{T}^{2} \rangle_{T}^{2}},
\langle P_{T}^{2} \rangle_{T} = \langle p_{\perp}^{2} \rangle_{C} + z_{h}^{2} \langle k_{\perp}^{2} \rangle_{T}.$$
(97)

Here $\langle p_{\perp}^2 \rangle_C$ was given in Eq. (57) and A_{Coll} in (62). The unknown quantities are $\langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle_T$ and $\langle p_{\perp}^2 \rangle_C$ in $\mathcal{K}_T(z_h, P_T^2)$, and $h_{1Q_V}(x_B)$. They are the same for all final hadrons.

In the next Sections we discuss the x_B - and z_h -dependent Collins asymmetries.

B. The integrated Collins asymmetries on deuterons

The integration over P_T we perform analytically. The expressions are given in the Appendix.

1. The z_h -dependent Collins asymmetries

For the z_h -dependence of Collins asymmetry with $h = \pi^+, K^+$ we obtain:

$$A_{UT}^{Coll,h-\bar{h}}(z_h) = \frac{\mathcal{B}_T^h(z_h)}{\sqrt{\langle p_+^2 \rangle_C + z_h^2 \langle k_+^2 \rangle_T}} \mathcal{N}_C^{h/u_V}(z_h), \quad h = \pi^+, K^+$$
(98)

where

$$\mathcal{B}_{T}^{h}(z_{h}) = \sqrt{\frac{e\pi}{2}} A_{Coll} \frac{\int \int dx_{B} dQ^{2} \frac{1-y}{Q^{4}} h_{1Q_{V}}(x_{B}, Q^{2}) D_{u_{V}}^{h}(z_{h}, Q^{2})}{\int \int dx_{B} dQ^{2} \frac{1+(1-y)^{2}}{Q^{4}} Q_{V}(x_{B}, Q^{2}) D_{u_{V}}^{h}(z_{h}, Q^{2})}$$
(99)

As before, for reasonably small bins in Q^2 , the expressions for the z_h -dependence of the asymmetries considerably simplify:

$$A_{UT}^{Coll,h-\bar{h}}(z_h,\bar{Q}^2) = \frac{\bar{B}_T(\bar{Q}^2)}{\sqrt{\langle p_+^2 \rangle_C + z_h^2 \langle k_+^2 \rangle_T}} \mathcal{N}_C^{h/u_V}(z_h), \quad h = \pi^+, K^+$$
(100)

where B_T is independent of both z_h and h:

$$\bar{B}_{T}(\bar{Q}^{2}) = \sqrt{\frac{e\pi}{2}} A_{Coll} \frac{\int dx_{B} (1 - \bar{y}) h_{1Q_{V}}(x_{B}, \bar{Q}^{2})}{\int dx_{B} [1 + (1 - \bar{y})^{2}] Q_{V}(x_{B}, \bar{Q}^{2})}$$
(101)

Thus, the z_h -behaviour of the Collins asymmetry provides independent measurements for $\mathcal{N}_C^{h/u_V}(z_h)$, for $h = \pi^+, K^+$. The dependence on the type of the final hadron is only in \mathcal{N}_C^{h/u_V} .

2. The x_B -dependent Collins asymmetries

For the x_B -dependent Collins asymmetry for any $h = \pi^+, K^+, h^+$ we have:

$$A_{UT}^{Coll,h-\bar{h}}(x_B) = \mathcal{C}_T^h(x_B) \, \mathcal{N}_T^{Q_V}(x_B), \quad h = \pi^+, K^+, h^+.$$
 (102)

For $h = \pi^+, K^+$ the functions $\mathcal{C}_{\scriptscriptstyle T}^h(x_{\scriptscriptstyle B})$ are:

$$C_T^h(x_B) = \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{e\pi}{2}} A_{Coll} \frac{\int dQ^2 \frac{1-y}{Q^4} (Q_V + \Delta Q_V)(x_B) \int dz_h \left[\Delta^N D_{h/u_V \uparrow}(z_h) \right] / \sqrt{\langle P_T^2 \rangle_T}}{\int dQ^2 \frac{1+(1-y)^2}{Q^4} Q_V(x_B, Q^2) \int dz_h D_{u_V}^h(z_h)}.$$
 (103)

For unidentified charged hadrons $C_T^{h^+}(x_B)$ is obtained with the replacements (70) and (28). Again, for small enough bins in Q^2 we obtain

$$A_{UT}^{Coll,h-\bar{h}}(x_B,\bar{Q}^2) = \bar{C}_T^h(\bar{Q}^2) \frac{1-\bar{y}}{1+(1-\bar{y})^2} \left[1 + \frac{\Delta Q_V(x_B,\bar{Q}^2)}{Q_V(x_B,\bar{Q}^2)} \right] \mathcal{N}_T^{Q_V}(x_B). \tag{104}$$

The dependence on the final hadrons is only in the constant factor \bar{C}_{π}^h :

$$\bar{C}_{T}^{h}(\bar{Q}^{2}) = \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{e\pi}{2}} A_{Coll} \frac{\int dz_{h} \left[\Delta^{N} D_{h/u_{V}\uparrow}(z_{h}, \bar{Q}^{2}) \right] / \sqrt{\langle P_{T}^{2} \rangle_{T}}}{\int dz_{h} D_{u_{V}}^{h}(z_{h}, \bar{Q}^{2})}, \quad h = \pi^{+}, K^{+}$$
(105)

$$\bar{C}_{T}^{h^{+}}(\bar{Q}^{2}) = \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{e\pi}{2}} A_{Coll} \frac{\int dz_{h} \left[e_{u}^{2} \Delta^{N} D_{h^{+}/u_{V}\uparrow} + e_{u}^{2} \Delta^{N} D_{h^{+}/u_{V}\uparrow} \right] / \sqrt{\langle P_{T}^{2} \rangle_{T}}}{\int dz_{h} \left[e_{u}^{2} D_{uV}^{h^{+}} + e_{d}^{2} D_{dV}^{h^{+}} \right]},$$
(106)

where $\langle P_T^2 \rangle_T$ is given in Eq. (97). In general, the asymmetries $A_{UT}^{Coll,h-\bar{h}}(z_h)$ and $A_{UT}^{Coll,h-\bar{h}}(x_B)$ for each final hadron provide 2 independent measurements for the 2 unknown quantities, the BM and Collins functions, without any assumptions about the sea-quark TMD densities and fragmentation functions; also only the best known valence-quark collinear densities enter the expressions.

RELATIONS BETWEEN BM AND COLLINS ASYMMETRIES ON DEUTERONS Χ.

Common for BM and transversity distributions is that they enter SIDIS cross section convoluted to the same Collins fragmentation functions. Using the standard parametrizations, this implies that in BM and transversity difference asymmetries only one Collins function enters, the same in both asymmetries – $\Delta D_{\pi^+/u_V\uparrow}^N$ and $\Delta D_{K^+/u_V\uparrow}^N$ for $\pi^+ - \pi^-$ and $K^+ - K^-$ respectively, and it factorizes. They differ only by the different TMD parton densities, namely the transversity or BM distributions. This holds both for deuteron and proton targets and allows to obtain direct connections between the z_h -behaviour of the BM and transversity asymmetries, which function as direct tests of the adopted factorized parametrizations. Here we present the results for deuteron target. The results for proton target and the relations between proton and deuteron targets will be given in Section XI.

General Relations

The z_h -dependencies of BM and Collins asymmetries, Eqs. (64) and (98), for each $h = \pi^+, K^+$ provide 2 completely different measurements for the same Collins function. Eliminating the Collins function, yields the following general relation between the asymmetries:

$$\mathcal{R}_{2}^{h}(z_{h}) \equiv \frac{\langle \cos 2\phi_{h} \rangle^{h-\bar{h}}(z_{h})}{A_{UT}^{Coll,h-\bar{h}}(z_{h})}
= \frac{z_{h} \sqrt{\langle P_{T}^{2} \rangle_{T}}}{\langle P_{T}^{2} \rangle_{BM}} \left[\frac{\mathcal{B}_{BM}(z_{h})}{\mathcal{B}_{T}(z_{h})} \right]^{h}, \quad h^{\pm} = \pi^{\pm}, K^{\pm} \tag{107}$$

where

$$\left[\frac{\mathcal{B}_{\text{\tiny BM}}(z_h)}{\mathcal{B}_{_T}(z_h)} \right]^h = \frac{-2\sqrt{2e}}{\pi} \, A_{_{BM}} \, \frac{\int \int \, dx_{_{\!B}} \, dQ^2 \, \frac{1-y}{Q^4} \, \Delta f_{s_y/p}^{Q_V}(x_{_{\!B}},Q^2) \, D_{u_V}^{h^+}(z_h,Q^2)}{\int \int \, dx_{_{\!B}} \, dQ^2 \, \frac{1-y}{Q^4} \, h_{1,Q_V}(x_{_{\!B}},Q^2) \, D_{u_V}^{h^+}(z_h,Q^2)}$$
 (108)

B. Simplification for small Q^2 -bins

For small enough bins in Q^2 , the z_h -dependence is completely fixed and is independent of the final hadrons for $h = \pi^+, K^+$:

$$\mathcal{R}_{2}^{h}(z_{h},\bar{Q}^{2}) = \frac{z_{h}\sqrt{\langle P_{T}^{2}\rangle_{T}}}{\langle P_{T}^{2}\rangle_{BM}} \frac{\bar{B}_{BM}(\bar{Q}^{2})}{\bar{B}_{T}(\bar{Q}^{2})}, \quad h = \pi^{+}, K^{+}$$
(109)

where $\bar{B}_{\scriptscriptstyle BM}/\bar{B}_{\scriptscriptstyle T}$ is independent of both z_h and h:

$$\frac{\bar{B}_{BM}}{\bar{B}_{T}} = \frac{-2\sqrt{2e}}{\pi} A_{BM} \frac{\int dx_{B} (1 - \bar{y}) \Delta f_{s_{y}/p}^{Q_{V}}(x_{B}, \bar{Q}^{2})}{\int dx_{B} (1 - \bar{y}) h_{1,Q_{V}}(x_{B}, \bar{Q}^{2})}.$$
(110)

The expressions for $\langle P_T^2 \rangle_T$ and $\langle P_T^2 \rangle_{BM}$ are given in (97) and (63). Any dependence on h would be an indication that the p_{\perp} dependence of the Collins function, as controlled by the value of $\langle p_{\perp}^2 \rangle_C$ is not hadron-type independent.

These relations provide a general test of the standardly used parametrizations. If these tests are fulfilled , we can use them to obtain or test information about the transversity and BM distributions without knowledge of the Collins functions.

C. Implications of the commonly used assumptions

As the presently available data are not adequate to fully determine the BM and transversity distributions, additional assumptions are used. For the BM function this is relation (74) between the BM and Sivers functions, and eqs. (75) and (76) that follow. For the transversity distribution the usual assumption is [16, 17, 20]:

$$\langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle_{\scriptscriptstyle T} = \langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle, \tag{111}$$

which implies

$$\langle P_T^2 \rangle_T = \langle P_T^2 \rangle_{\widetilde{T}} \equiv \langle p_\perp^2 \rangle_C + z_h^2 \langle k_\perp^2 \rangle. \tag{112}$$

With these assumptions, relation (107) reads:

$$\mathcal{R}_{2}^{h}(z_{h}) = \frac{\lambda_{Q_{V}}}{2} \frac{z_{h} \sqrt{\langle P_{T}^{2} \rangle_{\widetilde{T}}}}{\langle P_{T}^{2} \rangle_{\widetilde{BM}}} \left[\frac{\mathcal{B}_{\widetilde{BM}}(z_{h})}{\mathcal{B}_{T}(z_{h})} \right]^{h}, \quad h = \pi^{+}, K^{+}$$
(113)

where

$$\left[\frac{\mathcal{B}_{\widetilde{BM}}(z_h)}{\mathcal{B}_T(z_h)}\right]^h = \frac{-2\sqrt{2e}}{\pi} A_{Siv} \frac{\int \int dx_B dQ^2 \frac{1-y}{Q^4} \Delta f_{Q_V/S_T}(x_B, Q^2) D_{u_V}^{h^+}(z_h, Q^2)}{\int \int dx_B dQ^2 \frac{1-y}{Q^4} h_{1,Q_V}(x_B, Q^2) D_{u_V}^{h^+}(z_h, Q^2)} \tag{114}$$

and $\langle P_T^2 \rangle_{\widetilde{BM}}$ was given in (76).

For small enough bins in Q^2 relation (125) becomes independent of the final hadron, with a completely fixed z_h -dependence:

$$\mathcal{R}_{2}^{h}(z_{h},\bar{Q}^{2}) = \frac{\lambda_{Q_{V}}}{2} \frac{z_{h} \sqrt{\langle P_{T}^{2} \rangle_{\widetilde{T}}}}{\langle P_{T}^{2} \rangle_{\widetilde{PM}}} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{B}_{\widetilde{BM}}(\bar{Q}^{2}) \\ \bar{B}_{T}(\bar{Q}^{2}) \end{bmatrix}, \quad h = \pi^{+}, K^{+}$$

$$(115)$$

where $\bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\widetilde{BM}}/\bar{\mathcal{B}}_{T}$ is constant in z_h , independent of the final hadron:

$$\left[\frac{\bar{B}_{\widetilde{BM}}}{\bar{B}_{T}}\right] = \frac{-2\sqrt{2e}}{\pi} A_{Siv} \frac{\int dx_{B} (1-\bar{y}) \Delta f_{Q_{V}/S_{T}}(x_{B}, \bar{Q}^{2})}{\int dx_{B} (1-\bar{y}) h_{1,Q_{V}}(x_{B}, \bar{Q}^{2})} \cdot$$
(116)

THE COLLINS DIFFERENCE ASYMMETRIES ON PROTONS

We consider Boer-Mulders difference asymmetries for π^{\pm} and K^{\pm} . The expressions are obtained from the corresponding expressions for deuterons with the following replacements:

• for $\underline{\pi^+ - \pi^-}$ In the numerators, determined by eq. (94):

$$(e_u^2 - e_d^2) h_{1Q_V}(x_B, Q^2) \to h_{1V}(x_B, Q^2)$$
 (117)

where

$$h_{1V}(x_B, Q^2) = \left[e_u^2 h_{1u_V}(x_B, Q^2) - e_d^2 h_{1d_V}(x_B, Q^2) \right]$$

$$h_{1u_V} = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{N}_T^{u_V}(x_B) \left[u_V + \Delta u_V \right]$$

$$h_{1d_V} = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{N}_T^{d_V}(x_B) \left[d_V + \Delta d_V \right]$$
(118)

• for $\underline{K^+ - K^-}$ In the numerators, determined by eq. (95):

$$h_{1Q_V}(x_B, Q^2) \to h_{1u_V}(x_B, Q^2)$$
 (119)

In the denominators the replacements for $\pi^+ - \pi^-$ and $K^+ - K^-$ are (39) and (43), respectively.

Again, we shall not present all results but only those for small enough ranges of Q^2 , where the Q^2 -evolution of the parton densities and FFs can be neglected. We shall discuss in more details only the z_h -dependent asymmetries, as they provide interesting tests for the assumed Gaussian, flavour and hadron independent, transverse-momentum dependence.

1a) As before, for reasonably small bins in Q^2 , the expressions for the z_h -dependence of the asymmetries considerably simplify. They are similar to those of the deuteron:

$$A_{UT,p}^{Coll,h-\bar{h}}(z_h,\bar{Q}^2) = \frac{\bar{B}_{T,p}^h(\bar{Q}^2)}{\sqrt{\langle p_\perp^2 \rangle_C + z_h^2 \langle k_\perp^2 \rangle_T}} \mathcal{N}_C^{h/u_V}(z_h), \quad h = \pi^+, K^+$$
(120)

the only difference is that the $\bar{B}_{T,p}^h$ depend on h:

$$\bar{B}_{T,p}^{\pi^{+}}(\bar{Q}^{2}) = \sqrt{\frac{e\pi}{2}} A_{Coll} \frac{\int dx_{B} (1-\bar{y}) h_{1V}(x_{B}, \bar{Q}^{2})}{\int dx_{B} [1+(1-\bar{y})^{2}] f_{V}(x_{B}, \bar{Q}^{2})}
\bar{B}_{T,p}^{K^{+}}(\bar{Q}^{2}) = \sqrt{\frac{e\pi}{2}} A_{Coll} \frac{\int dx_{B} (1-\bar{y}) h_{1u_{V}}(x_{B}, \bar{Q}^{2})}{\int dx_{B} [1+(1-\bar{y})^{2}] u_{V}(x_{B}, \bar{Q}^{2})}$$
(121)

Common to the expressions for the z_h -dependent BM and Collins asymmetries on proton and deuteron targets, eqs. (66), (85), (100) and (120), is that the Collins function enters all expressions. This allows us to obtain useful relations between them. Below, for simplicity, we shall use the notation:

$$A^{BM,h-\bar{h}} \equiv \langle \cos 2\phi_h \rangle^{h-\bar{h}} \,. \tag{122}$$

i) The ratio of the z_h -asymmetries on protons and deuterons are independent of z_h :

$$\frac{A_d^{Coll,h-\bar{h}}(z_h)}{A_p^{Coll,h-\bar{h}}(z_h)} = \left(\frac{B_T}{B_{T,p}^h}\right), \qquad \frac{A_d^{BM,h-\bar{h}}(z_h)}{A_p^{BM,h-\bar{h}}(z_h)} = \left(\frac{B_{BM}}{B_{BM,p}^h}\right), \quad h = \pi^+, K^+ \tag{123}$$

ii) The ratios of the z_h -dependent BM and Collins asymmetries on protons and deuterons have the same, completely fixed z_h -behaviour, determined solely by the Gaussian parameters $\langle p_{\perp}^2 \rangle_C, \langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle_T$ and $\langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle_{BM}$:

$$\frac{A_d^{BM,h-\bar{h}}(z_h)}{A_d^{Coll,h-\bar{h}}(z_h)} \propto \frac{A_p^{BM,h-\bar{h}}(z_h)}{A_p^{Coll,h-\bar{h}}(z_h)} \propto \frac{A_p^{BM,h-\bar{h}}(z_h)}{A_d^{Coll,h-\bar{h}}(z_h)} \propto \frac{A_d^{BM,h-\bar{h}}(z_h)}{A_p^{Coll,h-\bar{h}}(z_h)} \propto \frac{A_d^{BM,h-\bar{h}}(z_h)}{A_p^{Coll,h-\bar{h}}(z_h)} \propto \frac{z_h \sqrt{\langle P_T^2 \rangle_T}}{\langle P_T^2 \rangle_{BM}}, \quad h = \pi^+, K^+$$
 (124)

These are clear predictions that could test the assumed Gaussian-type dependence on the transverse-momentum. The expressions for $\langle P_T^2 \rangle_T$ and $\langle P_T^2 \rangle_{BM}$ are given in (97) and (63). The dependence on h is only in the constant common factors. For example:

$$\frac{A_p^{BM,h-\bar{h}}(z_h)}{A_p^{Coll,h-\bar{h}}(z_h)} = \frac{z_h \sqrt{\langle P_T^2 \rangle_T}}{\langle P_T^2 \rangle_{BM}} \left(\frac{\bar{B}_{BM,p}(\bar{Q}^2)}{\bar{B}_{T,p}(\bar{Q}^2)} \right)^h, \quad h = \pi^+, K^+$$
(125)

where $(\bar{B}_{BM,p}/\bar{B}_{T,p})^h$ is independent of z_h , but different for the different final hadrons h:

$$\left(\frac{\bar{B}_{BM,p}}{\bar{B}_{T,p}}\right)^{\pi^{+}} = \frac{-2\sqrt{2e}}{\pi} A_{BM} \frac{\int dx_{B} (1-\bar{y}) \Delta f_{s_{y}/p}^{V}(x_{B}, \bar{Q}^{2})}{\int dx_{B} (1-\bar{y}) h_{1V}(x_{B}, \bar{Q}^{2})}
\left(\frac{\bar{B}_{BM,p}}{\bar{B}_{T,p}}\right)^{K^{+}} = \frac{-2\sqrt{2e}}{\pi} A_{BM} \frac{\int dx_{B} (1-\bar{y}) \Delta f_{s_{y}/p}^{u_{V}}(x_{B}, \bar{Q}^{2})}{\int dx_{B} (1-\bar{y}) h_{1u_{V}}(x_{B}, \bar{Q}^{2})} .$$
(126)

1b) The x_B -dependent Collins asymmetries are obtained straight forward with the above replacements. For the asymmetries with pions and kaons we obtain:

$$A_{UT,p}^{Coll,\pi^{+}-\pi^{-}}(x_{B},\bar{Q}^{2}) = \bar{C}_{T}^{\pi} \frac{1-\bar{y}}{1+(1-\bar{y})^{2}} \frac{e_{u}^{2} \mathcal{N}_{T}^{u_{V}}(x_{B})(u_{V}+\Delta u_{V}) - e_{d}^{2} \mathcal{N}_{T}^{d_{V}}(x_{B})(d_{V}+\Delta d_{V})}{e_{u}^{2} u_{V} - e_{d}^{2} d_{V}}$$
(127)

$$A_{UT,p}^{Coll,K^{+}-K^{-}}(x_{B},\bar{Q}^{2}) = \bar{C}_{T}^{K} \frac{1-\bar{y}}{1+(1-\bar{y})^{2}} \left(1+\frac{\Delta u_{V}}{u_{V}}\right) \mathcal{N}_{T}^{u_{V}}(x_{B})$$
(128)

where $\bar{C}_{\scriptscriptstyle T}^h$ are the same as for deuteron, given by (105). .

XII. CONCLUSIONS

We have studied the Sivers, Boer-Mulders and transversity asymmetries for the difference cross sections of hadrons with opposite charges, in SIDIS reactions. We have considered deuteron and proton targets separately $l+d \to l+h^{\pm}+X$ and $l+p \to l+h^{\pm}+X$.

There are 3 essential properties of the difference cross sections that follow from the of charge and isospin invariance of the strong interactions and are crucial in obtaining our results:

- i) for the parton densities there is no sum over quark flavours, but only one combination of the valence-quark TMD-distributions enters.
- ii) for the fragmentation functions only the valence-quark TMD fragmentation function for each type of final hadron appears
- iii) there is no contribution from sea quarks

At present, data on these asymmetries are presented for the integrated asymmetries i.e.the x_B - and z_h -dependent asymmetries. In calculating the asymmetries we have followed the simplified approach currently used in extracting the various functions from data i.e. we work in LO in QCD and use the standard factorized parametrizations for the TMD functions. As we have demonstrated, these simplifications can be tested. If the tests are successful we have shown that the difference asymmetries on deuterons determine the valence-quark $Q_V = (u_V + d_V)$ Sivers, BM and transversity distributions, and the difference asymmetries on protons determine the Sivers, BM and transversity distributions for the combinations $e_u^2 \Delta f_{u_V} - e_d^2 \Delta f_{d_V}$ for pions, and Δf_{u_V} for kaons. Measurements on both proton

and deuteron targets will allow to determine the valence-quark u_V and d_V TMD densities separately and free from the contributions of the sea-quarks densities and fragmentation functions.

The remarkably simple and powerful tests of the whole approach, based on the standard factorized parametrizations, depend on having data available in reasonably small bins in Q^2 , such that the Q^2 -evolution of the relevant collinear parton densities and fragmentation functions can be neglected inside each bin, which leads to dramatic simplifications:

- 1) The z_h -behaviour of $A_{Siv}^{h-\bar{h}}(z_h)$, both for proton and deuteron targets, is a totally fixed function of z_h , the same for all final hadrons. It is determined solely by the Gaussian-type k_{\perp} -dependence; the FFs completely cancel.
- 2) The x_B -behaviour of $A_{Siv}^{h-\bar{h}}(x_B)$ on deuterons, for different hadrons, is proportional to the same Sivers $\mathcal{N}_{Siv}^{Q_V}(x_B)$ function. This implies that the ratio for different hadrons should be independent of x_B :

$$\frac{A_{Siv}^{\pi^{+}-\pi^{-}}(x_{B},\bar{Q}^{2})}{A_{Sin}^{K^{+}-K^{-}}(x_{B},\bar{Q}^{2})} = \frac{\bar{C}_{Siv}^{\pi^{+}}(\bar{Q}^{2})}{\bar{C}_{Siv}^{K^{+}}(\bar{Q}^{2})}$$
(129)

Analogously for the BM asymmetry $\langle \cos 2\phi \rangle^{h-h}(x_{\scriptscriptstyle B})$:

$$\frac{\langle \cos 2\phi \rangle^{\pi^{+} - \pi^{-}} (x_{B}, \bar{Q}^{2})}{\langle \cos 2\phi \rangle^{K^{+} - K^{-}} (x_{B}, \bar{Q}^{2})} = \frac{\bar{C}_{BM}^{\pi^{+}} (\bar{Q}^{2})}{\bar{C}_{BM}^{K^{+}} (\bar{Q}^{2})}$$
(130)

and for the Collins asymmetry $A_T^{h-\bar{h}}(x_B)$:

$$\frac{A_T^{\pi^+ - \pi^-}(x_B, \bar{Q}^2)}{A_T^{K^+ - K^-}(x_B, \bar{Q}^2)} = \frac{\bar{C}_T^{\pi^+}(\bar{Q}^2)}{\bar{C}_T^{K^+}(\bar{Q}^2)},\tag{131}$$

with analogous relations when π^{\pm} or K^{\pm} are replaced by h^{\pm} .

- 3) The ratio of the z_h -dependent BM and Collins asymmetries on protons and deuterons is a totally fixed function of z_h , the same for $h = \pi^+, K^+$. It is determined solely by the Gaussian-type k_{\perp} -dependence; the Collins FFs completely cancel.
- 4) A common assumption in the present analysis is that the BM functions are proportional to the Sivers functions. As a test of this relation we suggest the ratio of the x_B -dependent BM and Sivers asymmetries on deuterons. If the assumed relationship is valid, this ratio should be independent of x_B . On a proton target, this assumption can be tested only for the kaon asymmetries.

Failure to satisfy these tests implies that the extraction of the various distributions and fragmentation functions cannot be trusted. If a test is passed successfully then use of the measured difference asymmetries allows the extraction of the Sivers, BM and transversity *valence-quark* distributions with better precision, free from the uncertainties of the sea or strange quark contributions.

Acknowledgements

E.C. is grateful to Oleg Teryaev for helpful discussions, to Bakur Parsamyan for stressing the experimental importance of the data on proton target, and for the hospitality of JINR where this work was finalized. E.L is grateful to The Leverhulme Trust for an Emeritus Fellowship.

Appendix

Here we give the analytic expressions for the P_T -integrated difference asymmetries on deuteron. We perform the P_T -integration using the formula:

$$\int_0^\infty dP_T^2 P_T \frac{e^{-P_T^2/\langle P_T^2 \rangle_S}}{\pi \langle P_T^2 \rangle_S^2} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}\sqrt{\langle P_T^2 \rangle_S}}$$
(132)

$$\int_0^\infty dP_T^2 \, \frac{e^{-P_T^2/\langle P_T^2 \rangle}}{\pi \langle P_T^2 \rangle} = \frac{1}{\pi} \tag{133}$$

$$\int_0^\infty dP_T^2 P_T^2 \frac{e^{-P_T^2/\langle P_T^2 \rangle_{BM}}}{\pi \langle P_T^2 \rangle_{BM}^3} = \frac{1}{\pi \langle P_T^2 \rangle_{BM}} \,. \tag{134}$$

For the general expression of Sivers asymmetry $A_{UT}^{Siv,\pi^+-\pi^-}$, after integrating over P_T^2 we obtain:

$$A_{UT}^{Siv,\pi^{+}-\pi^{-}} = \frac{\sqrt{e\pi}}{4\sqrt{2}} A_{Siv} \frac{\frac{A(y)}{Q^{4}} \Delta f_{Q_{V}/S_{T}}(x_{B}, Q^{2}) z_{h} \left[D_{u_{V}}^{\pi^{+}}(z_{h}, Q^{2}) \right] / \sqrt{\langle P_{T}^{2} \rangle_{S}}}{\frac{A(y)}{Q^{4}} Q_{V}(x_{B}, Q^{2}) D_{u_{V}}^{\pi^{+}}(z_{h}, Q^{2})}$$
(135)

$$A_{UT}^{Siv,K^{+}-K^{-}} = \frac{\sqrt{e\pi}}{4\sqrt{2}} A_{Siv} \frac{\frac{A(y)}{Q^{4}} \Delta f_{QV/ST}(x_{B},Q^{2}) z_{h} \left[D_{uV}^{K^{+}}(z_{h},Q^{2}) \right] / \sqrt{\langle P_{T}^{2} \rangle_{S}}}{\frac{A(y)}{Q^{4}} Q_{V}(x_{B},Q^{2}) D_{uV}^{K^{+}}(z_{h},Q^{2})}$$
(136)

$$A_{UT}^{Siv,h^{+}-h^{-}} = \frac{\sqrt{e\pi}}{4\sqrt{2}} A_{Siv} \frac{\frac{A(y)}{Q^{4}} \Delta f_{Q_{V}/S_{T}}(x_{B}) z_{h} \left[e_{u}^{2} D_{u_{V}}^{h^{+}}(z_{h}) + e_{d}^{2} D_{d_{V}}^{h^{+}}(z_{h}) \right] / \sqrt{\langle P_{T}^{2} \rangle_{S}}}{\frac{A(y)}{Q^{4}} Q_{V}(x_{B}) \left[e_{u}^{2} D_{u_{V}}^{h^{+}}(z_{h}) + e_{d}^{2} D_{d_{V}}^{h^{+}}(z_{h}) \right]}$$

$$(137)$$

The BM asymmetries, integrated over P_T^2 are:

$$\langle \cos 2\phi \rangle^{\pi^{+} - \pi^{-}} = -e A_{BM} A_{Coll} \frac{\frac{(1-y)}{Q^{4}} \Delta f_{s_{y}/p}^{Q_{V}}(x_{B}) \left[z_{h} \Delta^{N} D_{\pi^{+}/u_{V}\uparrow}(z_{h}) \right] / \langle P_{T}^{2} \rangle_{BM}}{\frac{1 + (1-y)^{2}}{Q^{4}} Q_{V}(x_{B}) D_{u_{V}}^{\pi^{+}}(z_{h})}$$
(138)

$$\langle \cos 2\phi \rangle^{K^{+}-K^{-}} = -e A_{BM} A_{Coll} \frac{\frac{(1-y)}{Q^{4}} \Delta f_{s_{y}/p}^{Q_{V}}(x_{B}) \left[z_{h} \Delta^{N} D_{K^{+}/u_{V}\uparrow}(z_{h}) / \langle P_{T}^{2} \rangle_{BM} \right]}{\frac{1+(1-y)^{2}}{Q^{4}} Q_{V}(x_{B}) D_{u_{V}}^{K^{+}}(z_{h})}$$
(139)

$$\langle \cos 2\phi \rangle^{h^+ - h^-} = -e A_{\scriptscriptstyle BM} A_{Coll}$$

$$\times \frac{\frac{(1-y)}{Q^4} \Delta f_{s_y/p}^{Q_V}(x_B) z_h \left[e_u^2 \Delta^N D_{h^+/u_V \uparrow}(z_h) + e_d^2 \Delta^N D_{h^+/d_V \uparrow}(z_h) \right] / \langle P_T^2 \rangle_{BM}}{\frac{1+(1-y)^2}{Q^4} Q_V(x_B) \left[e_u^2 D_{u_V}^{h^+}(z_h) + e_d^2 D_{d_V}^{h^+}(z_h) \right]}$$
(140)

The Collins asymmetries after integration over P_T^2 are:

$$A_{UT}^{Coll,\pi^{+}-\pi^{-}} = \frac{\sqrt{e\pi}}{2\sqrt{2}} A_{Coll} \frac{\frac{[1-y]}{Q^{4}} h_{1Q_{V}}(x_{B},Q^{2}) \Delta^{N} D_{\pi^{+}/u_{V}\uparrow}(z_{h},Q^{2}) / \sqrt{\langle P_{T}^{2} \rangle_{T}}}{\frac{[1+(1-y)^{2}]}{Q^{4}} Q_{V}(x_{B},Q^{2}) D_{u_{V}}^{\pi^{+}}(z_{h},Q^{2})}$$

$$(141)$$

$$A_{UT}^{Coll,K^{+}-K^{-}} = \frac{\sqrt{e\pi}}{2\sqrt{2}} A_{Coll} \frac{\frac{[1-y]}{Q^{4}} h_{1Q_{V}}(x_{B},Q^{2}) \Delta^{N} D_{K^{+}/u_{V}\uparrow}(z_{h},Q^{2}) / \sqrt{\langle P_{T}^{2} \rangle_{T}}}{\frac{[1+(1-y)^{2}]}{Q^{4}} Q_{V}(x_{B},Q^{2}) D_{u_{V}}^{K^{+}}(z_{h},Q^{2})}$$
(142)

$$A_{UT}^{Coll,h^{+}-h^{-}} = \frac{\sqrt{e\pi}}{2\sqrt{2}} A_{Coll} \frac{\frac{[1-y]}{Q^{4}} h_{1Q_{V}}(x_{B}) \left[e_{u}^{2} \Delta^{N} D_{h^{+}/u_{V}\uparrow}(z_{h}) + e_{d}^{2} \Delta^{N} D_{h^{+}/d_{V}\uparrow}(z_{h}) \right] / \sqrt{\langle P_{T}^{2} \rangle_{T}}}{\frac{[1+(1-y)^{2}]}{Q^{4}} Q_{V}(x_{B}) \left[e_{u}^{2} D_{u_{V}}^{h^{+}}(z_{h}) + e_{d}^{2} D_{d_{V}}^{h^{+}}(z_{h}) \right]}$$

$$(143)$$

^[1] A. Signori, A.Bacchetta, M.Radici and G.Schnell, JHEP 11 (2013) 194

^[2] S. Mert Aybat, Ted C. Rogers, Phys.Rev. D83 (2011) 114042 (arXiv:1101.5057); S. Mert Aybat, John C. Collins, Jian-Wei Qiu, Ted C. Rogers, Phys. Rev. D 85 (2012) 034043 (arXiv:1110.6428); M. Anselmino, M. Boglione, S. Melis, Phys. Rev. D 86 (2012) 014028 (arXiv:1204.1239); Umberto D'Alesio, Miguel G. Echevarria, Stefano Melis, Ignazio Scimemi, JHEP11(2014)098 (arXiv:1407.3311)

- [3] E. Christova and E.Leader, Nucl. Phys. B607 (2001) 369 (arXiv:hep-ph/0007303);
 Phys. Rev. D 79 (2009) 014019 (arXiv:0809.0191)
- [4] E. Christova, Phys. Rev. **D 90** (2014) 054005 (arXiv:1407.5872);
- [5] A.Airapetian et al, Phys.Rev.Lett. 103 (2009) 152002
- [6] D.Sivers, Phys. Rev. **D41** (1990) 83; **43**(1991) 261
- P.J.Mulders and R.D.Tangerman, Nucl. Phys. B461 (1996) 197 (arXiv:hep-ph/9510301)
- [8] M. Anselmino et al., Phys. Rev. D **73** (2006) 014020 (hep-ph/0509035)
- [9] M. Anselmino, M. Boglione, U. D'Alesio, S. Melis, F. Murgia, E.R. Nocera and A. Prokudin, Phys. Rev. D 83 (2011) 114019 (arXiv:1101.1011)
- [10] M. Anselmino et al., Eur.Phys.J. A39 (2009) 89 (arXiv:0805.2677)
- [11] M. Anselmino, M. Boglione, J.O.Gonzalez H., S.Melis and A.Prokudin, arXiv:1312.6261
- [12] Vincenzo Barone, Stefano Melis and Alexej Prokudin, Phys. Rev. D 81, 114026 (2010)
- [13] D. Boer and P.J.Mulders, Phys. Rev. **D** 57 5780 (1998)
- [14] J.C.Collins, Nucl. Phys. **B 396** 161 (1993)
- [15] D. Boer, R.Jacob and P.Mulders, Nucl. Phys. B 504 345 (1997);
 A.Bacchetta et al., JHEP 0702;093 (2007)
- [16] M. Anselmino et al., Phys. Rev. **D** 75 (2007) 054032 (arXiv:hep-ph/0701006)
- [17] M. Anselmino et al., Phys. Rev. **D 87** (2013) 094019 (arXiv:1303.3822)
- [18] Vincenzo Barone, Alexei Prokudin and Bo-Qiang Ma, Phys. Rev. D 78, 045022 (2008)
- [19] V.Barone, A.Drago and P.G.Ratcliffe, Phys. Rep. 359, 1 (2002)
- [20] M. Anselmino et al., Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 191, 98 (2009) (arXiv:0812.4366)