arXiv:1507.02033v1 [math-ph] 8 Jul 2015

ALGEBRAIC CONSTRUCTION OF MULTI-SPECIES q-BOSON SYSTEM

YOSHIHIRO TAKEYAMA

Abstract. We construct a stochastic particle system which is a multi-species version of the q-Boson system due to Sasamoto and Wadati. Its transition rate matrix is obtained from a representation of a deformation of the affine Hecke algebra of type GL.

1. Introduction

In this article we construct a multi-species version of the q-Boson system due to Sasamoto and Wadati [9] by using a representation of a deformation of the affine Hecke algebra.

The q-Boson system is a stochastic particle system on the one-dimensional lattice $\mathbb{Z}$. The particles can occupy the same site simultaneously, and one particle may move from site i to $i-1$ independently for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. The rate at which one particle moves from a cluster with n particles is given by $1-q^n$, where q is a parameter of the model.

The multi-species version which we propose in this paper is described as follows. Fix a positive integer N. Each bosonic particle is colored with a positive integer which is less than or equal to N. One particle may move to the left in the same way as the q-Boson system, but the rate is different. Let $b \in \{1, 2, \ldots, N\}$ be the color of the moving particle and m_j $(j = b, b+1, \ldots, N)$ the number of particles with color j in the cluster from which the moving particle leaves. Then the rate is given by

$$\frac{1-q^{m_b}}{1-q} q^{\sum_{j=b+1}^{N} m_j}.$$

If $N = 1$, the transition rate matrix is equal to that of the q-Boson system up to constant multiplication.

In the following we describe how the multi-species model arises from representation theory. Hereafter we fix a positive integer k which signifies the number of particles.

In a previous paper [10], we introduced a deformation of the affine Hecke algebra of type GL_k with four parameters and found that an integrable stochastic particle system can be constructed from its representation as follows. The deformed algebra has a representation on the vector space $F(L)$ of $\mathbb{C}$-valued functions on the k-dimensional orthogonal lattice $L := \mathbb{Z}^k$. It is defined in terms of a generalization of the discrete integral-reflection operators due to van Diejen and Emsiz [4]. Using

them we define an operator $G : F(L) \to F(L)$, which is a discrete analogue of the propagation operator introduced by Gutkin [6] in order to construct eigenfunctions of the Hamiltonian with delta potential, and determine the discrete Hamiltonian H by the property $HG = G\sum_{i=1}^{k} t_i$, where t_i is the shift operator $(t_i f)(x_1, \ldots, x_k) := f(\ldots, x_i - 1, \ldots)$. Then the operator H leaves the subspace $F(L)^{\mathfrak{S}_k}$ of symmetric functions invariant. Specializing the parameters and restricting H to $F(L)^{\mathfrak{S}_k}$, we obtain the transition rate matrix of a continuous-time Markov process. The resulting system is a continuous-time limit of the q-Hahn system due to Povolotsky [8, 1].

In this paper we generalize the above construction in a similar way to the generalization of the periodic delta Bose gas due to Emsiz, Opdam and Stokman [5]. In this article we only consider the case where one of the parameters of the deformed algebra is equal to zero. Then the algebra, which we denote by $\mathcal{A}_k$, essentially has two parameters α and q. The algebra $\mathcal{A}_k$ contains the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_k$ of type A_{k-1} as a subalgebra. Let M be a left $\mathcal{H}_k$-module and denote by $F(L, M)$ the vector space of functions on L taking values in M. Then we can define an action of $\mathcal{A}_k$ on $F(L, M)$, introduce the propagation operator G and determine the discrete Hamiltonian H acting on $F(L, M)$ from the property $HG = G\sum_{i=1}^{k} t_i$. Then the Hamiltonian H leaves a subspace $F_0(L, M)$ (see Definition 3.4 below) of $F(L, M)$ invariant.

Now the multi-species version of the q-Boson system is constructed as follows. Let U be an N-dimensional vector space. We regard $U^{\otimes k}$ as a left $\mathcal{H}_k$-module with respect to the action found by Jimbo [7]. Then the invariant subspace $F_0(L, U^{\otimes k})$ is identified with the vector space of functions $F(\mathcal{S})$ on the set of configurations of k bosonic particles of N species on the one-dimensional lattice $\mathbb{Z}$. Setting $\alpha = -(1-q)$ and restricting the Hamiltonian H to $F(\mathcal{S})$, we obtain the transition rate matrix of the multi-species q-Boson system up to an additive constant.

Using the propagation operator $G : F(L, M) \to F(L, M)$, we can construct eigenfunctions of the discrete Hamiltonian H by means of the Bethe ansatz method, which we call the Bethe wave functions. We should construct Plancherel theory for them to analyze the multi-species q-Boson system in a similar manner to the work of Borodin, Corwin, Petrov and Sasamoto [2, 3]. We leave it as a future problem.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the deformation of the affine Hecke algebra and its representation defined by the discrete integral-reflection operators. In Section 3 we define the propagation operator and the discrete Hamiltonian, and construct the Bethe wave functions. In Section 4 we derive the transition rate matrix of the multi-species q-Boson system from the discrete Hamiltonian.

2. A deformation of the affine Hecke algebra and its representation

2.1. **Preliminaries.** Throughout this paper we fix an integer $k \geq 2$. Let $V := \oplus_{i=1}^{k} \mathbb{R} v_i$ be the k-dimensional Euclidean space with the orthonormal basis $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^{k}$.

We denote by V^* the linear dual of V and by $\{\epsilon_i\}_{i=1}^k$ the dual basis of V^* corresponding to $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^k$. For $i,j=1,\dots,k$ we set $\alpha_{ij}:=\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j$. Then the set $R:=\{\alpha_{ij}\,|\,i\neq j\}$ forms the root system of type A_{k-1} with the simple roots $a_i:=\alpha_{i,i+1}\,(1\le i<k)$. We denote the set of the associated positive and negative roots by R^+ and R^-, respectively.

Let $s_i : V\to V\,(1\le i<k)$ be the orthogonal reflection

$$s_i(v):=v-a_i(v)a_i^\vee,$$

where $a_i^\vee:=v_i-v_{i+1}$ is the simple coroot. The Weyl group W of type A_{k-1} is generated by the simple reflections $\{s_i\}_{i=1}^{k-1}$. We denote the length of $w\in W$ by $\ell(w)$. The dual space V^* is a W-module by $(w\lambda)(v):=\lambda(w^{-1}v)\,(w\in W,\lambda\in V^*,v\in V)$.

Let $v\in V$. The orbit Wv intersects the closure of the fundamental chamber

$$\overline{C_+}:=\{v\in V\,|\,a_i(v)\ge 0\ (i=1,\dots,k-1)\}$$

at one point. Take the shortest element $w\in W$ such that $wv\in\overline{C_+}$. We denote it by w_v. Set

$$I(v):=\left\{a\in R^+\,|\,a(v)<0\right\}.$$

If $w_v=s_{i_1}\cdots s_{i_\ell}$ is a reduced expression, then $I(v)=\{s_{i_\ell}\cdots s_{i_{p+1}}(a_{i_p})\}_{p=1}^{\ell}$. Therefore $\ell(w_v)=\#I(v)$ and $I(v)=R^+\cap w_v^{-1}R^-$. From the above facts, we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that $I(v_1)\subset I(v_2)$. Then $w_{v_2}=w_{w_{v_1}v_2}w_{v_1}$ and $\ell(w_{v_2})=\ell(w_{w_{v_1}v_2})+\ell(w_{v_1})$.*

We denote by L the k-dimensional orthogonal lattice in V defined by

$$L=\bigoplus_{i=1}^k\mathbb{Z}v_i,$$

and set

$$L_+:=L\cap\overline{C_+}.$$

Hereafter we set $\epsilon_{k+1}(x)=-\infty$ for $x\in L_+$.

For $x\in L$ and $1\le i\le k$, we set

$$d_i^+(x):=\#\left\{p\,|\,i<p\le k,\,\epsilon_i(x)=\epsilon_p(x)\right\},$$
$$d_i^-(x):=\#\left\{p\,|\,1\le i<p,\,\epsilon_i(x)=\epsilon_p(x)\right\}.$$

We denote by $\sigma_x\in\mathfrak{S}_k$ the permutation determined by $w_xv_i=v_{\sigma_x(i)}\,(1\le i\le k)$. Then it holds that

$$d_i^\pm(x)=d_{\sigma_x(i)}^\pm(w_xx),\quad \epsilon_i(x)=\epsilon_{\sigma_x(i)}(w_xx) \tag{2.1}$$

for $x\in L$ and $1\le i\le k$.

Proposition 2.2. *Suppose that $1\le i<k$.*

(i) *If* $v \in V$ *satisfies* $a_i(v) > 0$, *then* $w_{s_i v} = w_v s_i$ *and* $\ell(w_{s_i v}) = \ell(w_v) + 1$.
(ii) *If* $x \in L$ *satisfies* $a_i(x) = 0$, *then* $\sigma_x(i+1) = \sigma_x(i) + 1, w_x s_i = s_{\sigma_x(i)} w_x$ *and* $\ell(w_x s_i) = \ell(s_{\sigma_x(i)} w_x) = \ell(w_x) + 1$.

Proof. (i) From $a_i(v) > 0$ we see that $I(s_i v) = s_i I(v) \sqcup \{a_i\}$. Hence $\ell(w_{s_i v}) = \ell(w_v) + 1$. Since $w_v s_i$ moves $s_i v$ into $\overline{C_+}$, we have $w_{s_i v} = w_v s_i$.

(ii) Since $a_i(x) = 0$ and $w_x x \in L_+$, there exists an integer p such that $1 \le p \le k$ and $\epsilon_{\sigma_x(i)}(w_x x) = \epsilon_{\sigma_x(i+1)}(w_x x) = \epsilon_p(w_x x) > \epsilon_{p+1}(w_x x)$. Then, for $j = i$ and $i+1$, it holds that $p - \sigma_x(j) = d^+_{\sigma_x(j)}(w_x x) = d^+_j(x)$. On the other hand, $d^+_i(x) = d^+_{i+1}(x) + 1$ because $a_i(x) = 0$. Therefore

$$\sigma_x(i+1) = p - d^+_{i+1}(x) = p - d^+_i(x) + 1 = \sigma_x(i) + 1.$$

Set $z := x - v_{i+1}/2$. Since $|a(v_j/2)| \le 1/2\,(j = i, i+1)$ for any $a \in R$, we have $I(x) \subset I(z)$ and $I(x) \subset I(s_i z)$. Moreover, $w_{s_i z} = w_z s_i$ and $\ell(w_{s_i z}) = \ell(w_z) + 1$ from (i). Therefore, using Lemma 2.1, we get

$$w_{w_x z} w_x s_i = w_{w_x s_i z} w_x, \quad \ell(w_{w_x z}) + \ell(w_x) + 1 = \ell(w_{w_x s_i z}) + \ell(w_x). \tag{2.2}$$

Note that $w_x z = w_x x - v_{\sigma_x(i+1)}/2$ and $w_x s_i z = w_x x - v_{\sigma_x(i)}/2$. Since $w_x x \in L_+$, we have

$$I(w_x z) = \{\alpha_{\sigma_x(i+1),l}\}^p_{l=\sigma_x(i+1)+1}, \quad I(w_x s_i z) = \{\alpha_{\sigma_x(i),l}\}^p_{l=\sigma_x(i)+1}.$$

Hence

$$w_{w_x z} = s_{p-1} s_{p-2} \cdots s_{\sigma_x(i+1)}, \quad w_{w_x s_i z} = s_{p-1} s_{p-2} \cdots s_{\sigma_x(i)},$$

where the right hand sides are reduced expressions. Using $\sigma_x(i+1) = \sigma_x(i) + 1$ and (2.2), we find that $w_x s_i = s_{\sigma_x(i)} w_x$ and $\ell(w_x s_i) = \ell(s_{\sigma_x(i)} w_x) = \ell(w_x) + 1$. □

We will also use the following proposition. See Lemma 3.9 and Lemma 3.10 in [10] for the proof.

Proposition 2.3. *Suppose that* $x \in L$ *and* $1 \le i \le k$. *Set* $y = x - v_i$. *Then it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} & s_{\sigma_y(i) - d^-_i(y)} \cdots s_{\sigma_y(i)-1} w_y = s_{\sigma_x(i) + d^+_i(x) - 1} \cdots s_{\sigma_x(i)} w_x, \\ & a_j(w_x x) = 0 \quad (\sigma_x(i) \le j < \sigma_x(i) + d^+_i(x)), \\ & a_j(w_y y) = 0 \quad (\sigma_y(i) - d^-_i(y) \le j < \sigma_y(i)) \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

and $d^-_i(y) + \ell(w_y) = d^+_i(x) + \ell(w_x)$.

2.2. **A deformation of the affine Hecke algebra and its representation.**

Definition 2.4. Let α and q be complex constants. We define the algebra $\mathcal{A}_k$ to be the unital associative $\mathbb{C}$-algebra with the generators $X_i^{\pm 1}\,(1 \le i \le k)$ and

$T_i\,(1 \le i < k)$ satisfying the following relations:

$$(T_i-1)(T_i+q)=0 \quad (1\le i<k), \qquad T_iT_{i+1}T_i=T_iT_{i+1}T_i \quad (1\le i\le k-2),$$
$$T_iT_j=T_jT_i \quad (|i-j|>1), \quad X_iX_j=X_jX_i \quad (i,j=1,\dots,k),$$
$$X_{i+1}T_i-T_iX_i=T_iX_{i+1}-X_iT_i=(1-q)X_{i+1}+\alpha \quad (1\le i<k),$$
$$X_iT_j=T_jX_i \quad (i\ne j,j+1).$$

When $\alpha=0$, the algebra $\mathcal{A}_k$ is isomorphic to the affine Hecke algebra of type GL_k. The subalgebra generated by $T_i\,(1\le i<k)$ is isomorphic to the Hecke algebra of type A_{k-1}. We denote it by $\mathcal{H}_k$.

For a left $\mathcal{H}_k$-module M, we denote by $F(L,M)$ the complex vector space of functions on L taking values in M. The Weyl group W acts on $F(L,M)$ by $(wf)(x):=f(w^{-1}x)\,(w\in W, f\in F(L,M), x\in L)$. Let $\widehat{T}_i\,(1\le i<k)$ be the $\mathbb{C}$-linear operator on $F(L,M)$ defined by $(\widehat{T}_if)(x)=T_i.f(x)\,(f\in F(L,M), x\in L)$, where . signifies the action of $\mathcal{H}_k$ on M. Then the assignment $T_i\mapsto\widehat{T}_i\,(1\le i<k)$ uniquely determines an algebra homomorphism $\mathcal{H}_k\to\mathrm{End}(F(L,M))$. It commutes with the action of W.

We identify the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[L]$ with the Laurent polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[e^{\pm v_1},\dots,e^{\pm v_k}]$. The Weyl group acts on $\mathbb{C}[L]$ from the right by $e^xw=e^{w^{-1}x}\,(x\in L, w\in W)$. Using this action we define the $\mathbb{C}$-linear map $\check{I}_j:\mathbb{C}[L]\to\mathbb{C}[L]\,(1\le j<k)$ by

$$\check{I}_j(P):=(P-Ps_j)\frac{\alpha e^{v_{j+1}}+1-q}{1-e^{-v_j+v_{j+1}}}.$$

Consider the non-degenerate $\mathbb{C}$-bilinear pairing $(\ \ ,\ \ ):\mathbb{C}[L]\times F(L,M)\to M$ uniquely determined by $(e^x,f)=f(x)\,(x\in L, f\in F(L,M))$. Now we define the $\mathbb{C}$-linear operator $\widehat{I}_j:F(L,M)\to F(L,M)\,(1\le j<k)$ by the property

$$(P,\widehat{I}_j(f))=(\check{I}_j(P),f)$$

for any $P\in\mathbb{C}[L]$. It is explicitly written as follows.

(2.4)

$$(\widehat{I}_jf)(x)=\begin{cases}\displaystyle\sum_{l=0}^{a_j(x)-1}\big(\alpha f(x-la_j^\vee+v_{j+1})+(1-q)f(x-la_j^\vee)\big) & (a_j(x)>0)\\ 0 & (a_j(x)=0)\\ \displaystyle-\sum_{l=1}^{-a_j(x)}\big(\alpha f(x+la_j^\vee+v_{j+1})+(1-q)f(x+la_j^\vee)\big) & (a_j(x)<0)\end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.5. *The following relations hold in* $\mathrm{End}(F(L,M))$.

(i) $\widehat{I}_j^2=(1-q)\widehat{I}_j$ *for* $1\le j<k$.
(ii) $s_i\widehat{I}_j=\widehat{I}_js_i$ *if* $|i-j|\ge 2$.
(iii) $s_j\widehat{I}_j+\widehat{I}_js_j=(1-q)(s_j-1)$ *for* $1\le j<k$.

(iv) $\widehat{I}_j s_{j+1} s_j = s_{j+1} s_j \widehat{I}_{j+1}$ *and* $\widehat{I}_{j+1} s_j s_{j+1} = s_j s_{j+1} \widehat{I}_j$ *for* $1 \le j \le k-2$.
(v) *For* $1 \le j \le k-2$,

$$\widehat{I}_j s_{j+1} \widehat{I}_j = (1-q) s_{j+1} \widehat{I}_j s_{j+1} + s_{j+1} \widehat{I}_j \widehat{I}_{j+1} + \widehat{I}_{j+1} \widehat{I}_j s_{j+1},$$
$$\widehat{I}_{j+1} s_j \widehat{I}_{j+1} = (1-q) s_j \widehat{I}_{j+1} s_j + s_j \widehat{I}_{j+1} \widehat{I}_j + \widehat{I}_j \widehat{I}_{j+1} s_j.$$

(vi) $\widehat{I}_j \widehat{I}_{j+1} \widehat{I}_j + q s_j \widehat{I}_{j+1} s_j = \widehat{I}_{j+1} \widehat{I}_j \widehat{I}_{j+1} + q s_{j+1} \widehat{I}_j s_{j+1}$ *for* $1 \le j < k$.

Proof. Straightforward check. □

Proposition 2.6. *Let M be a left $\mathcal{H}_k$-module. For $1 \le j \le k$, let $t_j : F(L,M) \to F(L,M)$ be the shift operator*

$$(t_j f)(x) := f(x - v_j) \quad (f \in F(L,M), x \in L).$$

Then the assignments

$$X_j \mapsto t_j \quad (1 \le j \le k), \qquad T_j \mapsto \widehat{T}_j s_j + \widehat{I}_j \quad (1 \le j < k)$$

uniquely extend to a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra homomorphism $\rho : \mathcal{A}_k \to \mathrm{End}(F(L,M))$.

Proof. Note that the operators $\widehat{I}_j \, (1 \le j < k)$ commute with $\widehat{T}_j \, (1 \le j < k)$, and

$$(e^{-v_j} P, f) = (P, t_j f).$$

for $P \in \mathbb{C}[L]$ and $f \in F(L,M)$. Now we can check the defining relations of $\mathcal{A}_k$ by using Lemma 2.5 and the equality

$$\check{I}_j(e^{x-v_{j+1}}) - e^{-v_j} \check{I}_j(e^x) = ((1-q)e^{-v_{j+1}} + \alpha) e^x = \check{I}_j(e^{x-v_j}) - e^{-v_{j+1}} \check{I}_j(e^x)$$

for $1 \le j < k$ and $x \in L$. □

We will often use the fact below which follows from (2.4).

Proposition 2.7. *Let M be a left $\mathcal{H}_k$-module. Suppose that $1 \le j < k$, $x \in L$ and $f \in F(L,M)$. If $a_j(x) = 0$, then $(\rho(T_j) f)(x) = T_j . f(x)$.*

3. Discrete Hamiltonian

3.1. **Discrete Hamiltonian and Propagation operator.** For $1 \le i \le k$ and $x \in L$, we define $T_i^{(\pm)}(x) \in \mathcal{H}_k$ by

$$T_i^{(-)}(x) := T_{w_x}^{-1} \left(T_{\sigma_x(i)-1}^{-1} \cdots T_{\sigma_x(i)-d_i^-(x)}^{-1} \right) \left(T_{\sigma_x(i)-d_i^-(x)}^{-1} \cdots T_{\sigma_x(i)-1}^{-1} \right) T_{w_x},$$
$$T_i^{(+)}(x) := T_{w_x}^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=\sigma_x(i)}^{\sigma_x(i)+d_i^+(x)-1} \left(T_{\sigma_x(i)}^{-1} \cdots T_{j-1}^{-1} \right) T_j^{-1} \left(T_{j-1} \cdots T_{\sigma_x(i)} \right) \right) T_{w_x}.$$

Definition 3.1. Let M be a left $\mathcal{H}_k$-module. We define the discrete Hamiltonian $H : F(L, M) \to F(L, M)$ by

$$(Hf)(x) := \sum_{i=1}^{k} q^{d_i^-(x)} T_i^{(-)}(x).\Big(f(x - v_i) - \alpha\, T_i^{(+)}(x).f(x)\Big) \quad (f \in F(L, M), x \in L),$$

where . means the left action of $\mathcal{H}_k$ on M.

Next we define the propagation operator. Let $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_l}$ be a reduced expression of $w \in W$. Then we set $T_w := T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_l}$.

Definition 3.2. Let M be a left $\mathcal{H}_k$-module. We define the *propagation operator* $G : F(L, M) \to F(L, M)$ by

$$(Gf)(x) := T_{w_x}^{-1}.((\rho(T_{w_x})f)(w_x x)) \quad (f \in F(L, M), x \in L),$$

where . means the action of $\mathcal{H}_k$ on M.

Theorem 3.3. *It holds that $HG = G(\sum_{i=1}^k t_i)$. Therefore if f is an eigenfunction of $\sum_{i=1}^k t_i$, then $G(f)$ is that of the discrete Hamiltonian H with the same eigenvalue.*

Proof. Suppose that $f \in F(L, M)$ and $x \in L$. First we fix i $(1 \le i \le k)$ and calculate $(Gf)(x - v_i)$. Set $y = x - v_i$. From Proposition 2.3, we have

$$T_{w_y}^{-1} = T_{w_x}^{-1}(T_{\sigma_x(i)}^{-1} \cdots T_{\sigma_x(i)+d_i^+(x)-1}^{-1})(T_{\sigma_y(i)-d_i^-(y)} \cdots T_{\sigma_y(i)-1}). \tag{3.1}$$

Using Proposition 2.7, we see that

$$(Gf)(x - v_i) = T_{w_x}^{-1}(T_{\sigma_x(i)}^{-1} \cdots T_{\sigma_x(i)+d_i^+(x)-1}^{-1}).\Big(\rho(T_{\sigma_x(i)+d_i^+(x)-1} \cdots T_{\sigma_x(i)})f\Big)(w_y y).$$

From (2.3) it holds that $w_y y = w_x x - v_{\sigma_x(i)+d_i^+(x)}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}&(Gf)(x - v_i)\\ &= T_{w_x}^{-1}(T_{\sigma_x(i)}^{-1} \cdots T_{\sigma_x(i)+d_i^+(x)-1}^{-1}).\Big(\rho(X_{\sigma_x(i)+d_i^+(x)} T_{\sigma_x(i)+d_i^+(x)-1} \cdots T_{\sigma_x(i)})f\Big)(w_x x).\end{aligned}$$

Move $X_{\sigma_x(i)+d_i^+(x)}$ to the right using the relation $X_{j+1}T_j = T_j X_j + \alpha + (1 - q)X_{j+1}$, and use Proposition 2.7 to remove $\rho(T_j)$ $(\sigma_x(i) \le j < \sigma_x(i) + d_i^+(x))$. As a result we get

(3.2)

$$\begin{aligned}&(Gf)(x - v_i) = T_{w_x}^{-1}.(\rho(X_{\sigma_x(i)} T_{w_x})f)(w_x x) + \alpha\, T_i^{(+)}(x).G(f)(x)\\ &+ (1 - q) \sum_{j=\sigma_x(i)}^{\sigma_x(i)+d_i^+(x)-1} T_{w_x}^{-1}(T_{\sigma_x(i)}^{-1} \cdots T_{j-1}^{-1}) T_j^{-1}(T_{j-1} \cdots T_{\sigma_x(i)}).(\rho(X_{j+1}T_{w_x})f)(w_x x).\end{aligned}$$

Now let us calculate $(HG(f))(x)$. The set $\{1,2,\dots,k\}$ is decomposed into a direct sum of sub-intervals $J_1,\dots,J_r$ so that $i \in J_a$ and $j \in J_a$ if and only if $\epsilon_i(w_x x) = \epsilon_j(w_x x)$ for $a = 1,2,\dots,r$. For an interval $J = \{l, l+1, \dots, m\}$, set

$$K_J := \sum_{i=l}^{m} q^{i-l}(T_{i-1}^{-1}\cdots T_l^{-1})(T_l^{-1}\cdots T_{i-1}^{-1}) \times \left\{ X_i + (1-q)\sum_{j=i}^{m-1}(T_i^{-1}\cdots T_{j-1}^{-1})T_j^{-1}(T_{j-1}\cdots T_i)X_{j+1} \right\}$$

Change the index i in the definition of H to $\sigma_x(i)$ and rewrite $(HG(f))(x)$ using (2.1) and (3.2). Then we obtain

$$(HG(f))(x) = \sum_{a=1}^{r} T_{w_x}^{-1}.(\rho(K_{J_a}T_{w_x})f)(w_x x).$$

On the other hand, K_J is rewritten as

$$K_J = \sum_{i=l}^{m}\left\{ q^{i-l}(T_{i-1}^{-1}\cdots T_l^{-1})(T_l^{-1}\cdots T_{i-1}^{-1}) + (1-q)\sum_{j=l}^{i-1} q^{j-l}(T_{i-1}\cdots T_{j+1})(T_{j-1}^{-1}\cdots T_l^{-1})(T_l^{-1}\cdots T_{i-1}^{-1}) \right\} X_i.$$

From the above expression, we see that $K_J = \sum_{i=l}^{m} X_i$ using the relation $qT_j^{-1} + (1-q) = T_j$. Thus we get

$$(HG(f))(x) = T_{w_x}^{-1}.\left(\rho(\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{k} X_i T_{w_x})f\right)(w_x x).$$

Since $\sum_{i=1}^{k} X_i$ commutes with $T_i\,(1 \le i < k)$, the right hand side is equal to

$$T_{w_x}^{-1}.\left(\rho(T_{w_x})\rho(\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{k} X_i)f\right)(w_x x) = (G(\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{k} t_i)f)(x).$$

This completes the proof. □

3.2. **Invariant subspace.**

Definition 3.4. Let M be a left $\mathcal{H}_k$-module. We denote by $F_0(L,M)$ the subspace of $F(L,M)$ consisting of the functions $f : L \to M$ satisfying

$$f(s_i x) = \begin{cases} T_i^{-1}.f(x) & (a_i(x) \ge 0) \\ T_i.f(x) & (a_i(x) < 0) \end{cases}$$

for $1 \le i < k$ and $x \in L$.

Note that if $f \in F_0(L,M)$ then

$$f(x) = T_{w_x}^{-1}.f(w_x x) \tag{3.3}$$

for $x \in L$.

In this subsection we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.5. *Let M be a left $\mathcal{H}_k$-module. Then it holds that $H(F_0(L,M)) \subset F_0(L,M)$.*

For that purpose, we rewrite the formula of Hf ($f \in F_0(L,M)$). For $x \in L_+$, we define the *cluster coordinate* $(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_r)$ of x by the property that $\sum_{a=1}^r c_a = k$, $\epsilon_{c_1}(x) > \epsilon_{c_1+c_2}(x) > \cdots > \epsilon_{c_1+\cdots+c_r}(x)$ and $\epsilon_j(x) = \epsilon_{c_1+\cdots+c_a}(x)$ if $c_1+\cdots+c_{a-1} < j \le c_1+\cdots+c_a$. For example, if $k=5$ and $x = 2v_1+2v_2-v_3-3v_4-3v_5$, then the cluster coordinate of x is $(2,1,2)$.

Proposition 3.6. *Let M be a left $\mathcal{H}_k$-module. Suppose that $f \in F_0(L,M)$ and $x \in L$. Let $(c_1,\dots,c_r)$ be the cluster coordinate of $w_x x$. Then it holds that*

$$(Hf)(x) = T_{w_x}^{-1} \sum_{a=1}^{r} \sum_{l=1}^{c_a} q^{c_a-l} (T^{-1}_{c_1+\cdots+c_{a-1}+l} \cdots T^{-1}_{c_1+\cdots+c_a-1}).f(w_x x - v_{c_1+\cdots+c_a}) - \frac{\alpha}{1-q} \sum_{a=1}^{r} (c_a - [c_a]_q) f(x), \tag{3.4}$$

where $[n]_q := (1-q^n)/(1-q)$ is the q-integer.

In the proof of Proposition 3.6, we use the following formula.

Lemma 3.7. *Suppose that $f \in F_0(L,M), x \in L_+$ and $0 \le p < p+c \le k$. If $a_j(x) = 0$ for $p+1 \le j < p+c$, then it holds that*

$$\sum_{l=1}^{c} q^{l-1} (T^{-1}_{p+l-1} \cdots T^{-1}_{p+2} T^{-1}_{p+1}).f(x - v_{p+1}) = \sum_{l=1}^{c} q^{c-l} (T^{-1}_{p+l} T^{-1}_{p+l+1} \cdots T^{-1}_{p+c-1}).f(x - v_{p+c}). \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. For $p+1 \le j < m \le p+c$, it holds that $T_j.f(x-v_j) = f(x-v_{j+1})$ and $T_m^{-1}.f(x-v_j) = f(x-v_j)$ because $a_j(x-v_j) = -1 < 0$ and $a_m(x-v_j) = 0$. Using $qT_j^{-1} = T_j - (1-q)$ repeatedly, we see that

$$q^{l-1}(T^{-1}_{p+l-1}\cdots T^{-1}_{p+1}).f(x-v_{p+1}) = f(x-v_{p+l}) - (1-q)\sum_{j=1}^{l-1} q^{l-j-1} f(x-v_{p+j}).$$

Hence the left hand side of (3.5) is equal to $\sum_{l=1}^{c} q^{c-l} f(x-v_{p+l})$. Since $a_j(x-v_{j+1}) = 1 \ge 0$ for $p+1 \le j < p+c$, we have $f(x-v_{p+l}) = (T^{-1}_{p+l}\cdots T^{-1}_{p+c-1}).f(x-v_{p+c})$ for $1 \le l \le c$. This completes the proof. □

Proof of Proposition 3.6. Note that $T_i.f(x) = f(x)$ if $a_i(x) = 0$. Using (2.3) and (3.3), we see that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} q^{d_i^-(x)} T_i^{(-)}(x) T_i^{(+)}(x).f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} q^{d_i^-(x)} d_i^+(x) f(x) = \frac{1}{1-q} \sum_{a=1}^{r} (c_a - [c_a]_q) f(x).$$

Hence it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} q^{d_i^-(x)} T_i^{(-)}(x).f(x-v_i) \tag{3.6}$$
$$= T_{w_x}^{-1} \sum_{a=1}^{r} \sum_{l=1}^{c_a} q^{c_a-l} (T^{-1}_{c_1+\cdots+c_{a-1}+l-1} \cdots T^{-1}_{c_1+\cdots+c_a-1}).f(w_x x - v_{c_1+\cdots+c_a}).$$

Fix $1 \le i \le k$ and set $y = x - v_i$. From (3.1) and (3.3) we have

$$f(y) = T_{w_x}^{-1}(T^{-1}_{\sigma_x(i)} \cdots T^{-1}_{\sigma_x(i)+d_i^+(x)-1}) f(w_y y).$$

Therefore

$$T_i^{(-)}(x).f(y) = T_{w_x}^{-1}(T^{-1}_{\sigma_x(i)-1} \cdots T^{-1}_{\sigma_x(i)-d_i^-(x)})(T^{-1}_{\sigma_x(i)-d_i^-(x)} \cdots T^{-1}_{\sigma_x(i)+d_i^+(x)-1}).f(w_y y).$$

Since $w_y y = w_x x - v_{\sigma_x(i)+d_i^+(x)}$ and $a_j(w_x x) = 0$ for $\sigma_x(i) - d_i^-(x) \le j < \sigma_x(i) + d_i^+(x)$, the right hand side above is equal to

$$T_{w_x}^{-1}(T^{-1}_{\sigma_x(i)-1} \cdots T^{-1}_{\sigma_x(i)-d_i^-(x)}).f(w_x x - v_{\sigma_x(i)-d_i^-(x)}).$$

Note that if $c_1+\cdots+c_{a-1} < \sigma_x(i) \le c_1+\cdots+c_a$, then $\sigma_x(i) - d_i^-(x) = c_1+\cdots+c_{a-1}+1$, which is independent of i. Thus the left hand side of (3.6) is equal to

$$T_{w_x}^{-1} \sum_{a=1}^{r} \sum_{l=1}^{c_a} q^{l-1} (T^{-1}_{c_1+\cdots+c_{a-1}+l-1} \cdots T^{-1}_{c_1+\cdots+c_{a-1}+1}).f(w_x x - v_{c_1+\cdots+c_{a-1}+1}).$$

Now the equality (3.6) is an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.7. □

Now let us prove Theorem 3.5.

Proof of Theorem 3.5. Suppose that $f \in F_0(L,M)$, $x \in L_+$ and $1 \le i < k$. Note that $w_{s_i x} s_i x = w_x x$ and hence the cluster coordinates of x and $s_i x$ are the same.

If $a_i(x) > 0$, then $T_{w_{s_i x}} = T_{w_x} T_i$ from Proposition 2.2. Using (3.4), we see that $(Hf)(s_i x) = T_i^{-1}.(Hf)(x)$. Changing x to $s_i x$, we find that $(Hf)(s_i x) = T_i.(Hf)(x)$ if $a_i(x) < 0$.

Let us consider the case where $a_i(x) = 0$. From Proposition 2.2, it holds that $T_i^{-1} T_{w_x}^{-1} = T_{w_x}^{-1} T_{\sigma_x(i)}^{-1}$. Note that $d^+_{\sigma_x(i)}(w_x x) = d_i^+(x) > 0$ because $\epsilon_{i+1}(x) = \epsilon_i(x)$. Hence there exists $1 \le a \le r$ such that $c_1 + \cdots + c_{a-1} < \sigma_x(i) < c_1 + \cdots + c_a$. Now Lemma 3.8 below implies that $T_i^{-1}.(Hf)(x) = (Hf)(x)$. □

Lemma 3.8. *Suppose that $x \in L_+$, $f \in F_0(L,M)$ and $0 \le p < p + c \le k$. Set*

$$J = \sum_{l=1}^{c} q^{c-l} (T_{p+l}^{-1} T_{p+l+1}^{-1} \cdots T_{p+c-1}^{-1}).$$

If $a_j(x) = 0$ for $p+1 \le j < p+c$, then

$$T_{p+i}^{-1} J.f(x - v_{p+c}) = J.f(x - v_{p+c})$$

for $1 \le i \le c-1$.

Proof. Using the quadratic relation $q^{-1}T_j^{-2} = 1-(1-q)T_j^{-1}$, we have

$$T_{p+i}^{-1}J = \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} q^{c-l}(T_{p+l}^{-1}\cdots T_{p+c-1}^{-1})T_{p+i-1}^{-1} + \sum_{l=i,i+1} q^{c-l}(T_{p+l}^{-1}\cdots T_{p+c-1}^{-1}) + \sum_{l=i+2}^{c} q^{c-l}(T_{p+l}^{-1}\cdots T_{p+c-1}^{-1})T_{p+i}^{-1}.$$

Note that the first and the third term in the right hand side vanish if $i=1$ and $i=c-1$, respectively. Since $T_j^{-1}.f(x-v_{p+c}) = f(x-v_{p+c})$ for $p+1 \le j \le p+c-2$, we obtain the desired formula. □

3.3. **Bethe wave functions.** Let M be a left $\mathcal{H}_k$-module. We construct eigenfunctions of the restriction $H|_{F_0(L,M)}$, which we call the *Bethe wave functions*.

Denote by $F(L,M)^{\mathcal{H}_k}$ the subspace of $F(L,M)$ consisting of the $\rho(\mathcal{H}_k)$-invariant functions, that is,

$$F(L,M)^{\mathcal{H}_k} := \{f \in F(L,M) \,|\, \rho(T_i)f = f \text{ for } 1 \le i < k\}.$$

Proposition 3.9. *Let M be a left $\mathcal{H}_k$-module. It holds that $G(F(L,M)^{\mathcal{H}_k}) \subset F_0(L,M)$. Therefore if $h \in F(L,M)^{\mathcal{H}_k}$ is an eigenfunction of $\sum_{i=1}^k t_i$, then $G(h)$ is that of the operator $H|_{F_0(L,M)}$ with the same eigenvalue.*

Proof. Let f be a function which belongs to $F(L,M)^{\mathcal{H}_k}$. From the definition of the propagation operator, we see that $(Gf)(x) = T_{w_x}^{-1}.f(w_x x)$.

Suppose that $x \in L$ and $1 \le i < k$. If $a_i(x) > 0$, we have $T_{w_{s_i x}} = T_{w_x}T_i$ by Proposition 2.2. Hence $(Gf)(s_i x) = T_i^{-1}.(Gf)(x)$ because $w_{s_i x}s_i x = w_x x$. This also implies that $(Gf)(s_i x) = T_i.(Gf)(x)$ if $a_i(x) < 0$.

Let us consider the case where $a_i(x) = 0$. Then we have $\sigma_x(i+1) = \sigma_x(i)+1$ and $T_i^{-1}T_{w_x}^{-1} = T_{w_x}^{-1}T_{\sigma_x(i)}^{-1}$ by Proposition 2.2. Now note that

$$a_{\sigma_x(i)}(w_x x) = \epsilon_{\sigma_x(i)}(w_x x) - \epsilon_{\sigma_x(i+1)}(w_x x) = \epsilon_i(x) - \epsilon_{i+1}(x) = a_i(x) = 0.$$

Hence we find that $T_{\sigma_x(i)}^{-1}.f(w_x x) = (\rho(T_{\sigma_x(i)}^{-1})f)(w_x x) = f(w_x x)$ from Proposition 2.7. Therefore $T_i^{-1}.(Gf)(x) = (Gf)(x)$. □

For $1 \le i < k$ and $\lambda \in V^*$, we define $Y_i(\lambda) \in \mathcal{H}_k$ by

$$Y_i(\lambda) := \frac{(e^{\lambda(v_i)} - e^{\lambda(v_{i+1})})T_i - e^{\lambda(v_i)}(\alpha\, e^{\lambda(v_{i+1})} + 1 - q)}{\alpha\, e^{\lambda(v_i+v_{i+1})} + e^{\lambda(v_i)} - q e^{\lambda(v_{i+1})}}.$$

Lemma 3.10. *The following equalities hold.*

(i) $Y_i(s_i\lambda)Y_i(\lambda) = 1$ *for* $1 \le i < k$ *and* $\lambda \in V^*$.
(ii) $Y_{i+1}(s_i s_{i+1}\lambda)Y_i(s_{i+1}\lambda)Y_{i+1}(\lambda) = Y_i(s_{i+1}s_i\lambda)Y_{i+1}(s_i\lambda)Y_i(\lambda)$ *for* $1 \le i \le k-2$ *and* $\lambda \in V^*$.

Proof. By a direct computation. □

From Lemma 3.10, we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 3.11. *Suppose that $\lambda \in V^*$. There exists a unique $\mathbb{C}$-algebra homomorphism $\phi_\lambda : \mathbb{C}[W] \to \mathcal{H}_k$ such that $\phi_\lambda(1) = 1$ and*

$$\phi_\lambda(s_i w) = Y_i(w\lambda)\phi_\lambda(w) \tag{3.7}$$

for $1 \le i < k$ and $w \in W$.

Theorem 3.12. *Let M be a left $\mathcal{H}_k$-module. For $\lambda \in V^*$ and $m \in M$, we define a function $h^m_\lambda \in F(L, M)$ by*

$$h^m_\lambda(x) := \sum_{w \in W} e^{(w\lambda)(x)}(\phi_\lambda(w).m) \quad (x \in L), \tag{3.8}$$

where . means the left action of $\mathcal{H}_k$ on M. Then the function h^m_λ belongs to $F(L, M)^{\mathcal{H}_k}$ and is an eigenfunction of $\sum_{i=1}^k t_i$ with eigenvalue $\sum_{i=1}^k e^{-\lambda(v_i)}$. Therefore $G(h^m_\lambda)$ is an eigenfunction of $H|_{F_0(L,M)}$.

Proof. It is clear that h^m_λ is an eigenfunction of $\sum_{i=1}^k t_i$. From the relation (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_j\phi_\lambda(w) = &\frac{e^{w\lambda(v_j)}(\alpha\, e^{w\lambda(v_{j+1})} + 1 - q)}{e^{w\lambda(v_j)} - e^{w\lambda(v_{j+1})}}\phi_\lambda(w) \\ &+ \frac{\alpha e^{w\lambda(v_j + v_{j+1})} + e^{w\lambda(v_j)} - q e^{w\lambda(v_{j+1})}}{e^{w\lambda(v_j)} - e^{w\lambda(v_{j+1})}}\phi_\lambda(s_j w) \end{aligned}$$

for $1 \le j < k$ and $w \in W$. Moreover, from the definition of $\widehat{I}_j$, we see that

$$\widehat{I}_j(e^\mu) = \frac{\alpha\, e^{\mu(v_j + v_{j+1})} + (1-q)e^{\mu(v_j)}}{e^{\mu(v_j)} - e^{\mu(v_{j+1})}}\,(e^\mu - e^{s_j\mu}) \quad (\mu \in V^*).$$

Combining the above equalities, we find that $\rho(T_i)h^m_\lambda = h^m_\lambda$ for $1 \le i < k$. □

Let M be a left $\mathcal{H}_k$-module. Set

$$\mathcal{F}(L_+, M) := \{f : L_+ \to M \mid T_i.f(x) = f(x) \text{ if } a_i(x) = 0\}.$$

We identify $F_0(L, M)$ with $\mathcal{F}(L_+, M)$ by the map $f \mapsto f|_{L_+}$ ($f \in F_0(L, M)$). Denote by H^+ the restriction of the discrete Hamiltonian H to $\mathcal{F}(L_+, M)$. Proposition 3.6 implies that the operator H^+ is given by

(3.9)

$$\begin{aligned} (H^+ f)(x) = &\sum_{a=1}^{r}\sum_{l=1}^{c_a} q^{c_a - l}\, T^{-1}_{c_1+\cdots+c_{a-1}+l} T^{-1}_{c_1+\cdots+c_{a-1}+l+1} \cdots T^{-1}_{c_1+\cdots+c_a-1}.f(x - v_{c_1+\cdots+c_a}) \\ &- \frac{\alpha}{1-q}\sum_{a=1}^{r}(c_a - [c_a]_q)f(x) \quad (f \in \mathcal{F}(L_+, M), x \in L_+), \end{aligned}$$

where $(c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_r)$ is the cluster coordinate of x.




FIGURE 1.

Corollary 3.13. *Let M be a left $\mathcal{H}_k$-module. Suppose that $\lambda \in V^*$ and $m \in M$, and consider the function h^m_λ defined by (3.8). Then $h^m_\lambda|_{L_+}$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}(L_+, M)$ and is an eigenfunction of H^+ with eigenvalue $\sum_{i=1}^k e^{-\lambda(v_i)}$.*

Proof. It follows from Theorem 3.12 and the equality $G(h^m_\lambda)|_{L_+} = h^m_\lambda|_{L_+}$. □

4. Algebraic construction of multi-species q-Boson system

4.1. **Setting.** In the rest of this article we fix a positive integer N. For a positive integer c, set

$$I_{N,c} := \{1, 2, \dots, N\}^c,$$
$$I^+_{N,c} := \{(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_c) \in I_{N,c} \,|\, \mu_1 \le \cdots \le \mu_c\}.$$

Let $x \in L_+$ and $(c_1, \dots, c_r)$ be the cluster coordinate of x. For $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in I_{N,k}$, we define $\boldsymbol{\mu}[x] \in I_{N,k}$ as follows. According to the decomposition $I_{N,k} = I_{N,c_1} \times \cdots \times I_{N,c_r}$, we write $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\boldsymbol{\mu}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\mu}_r)$, where $\boldsymbol{\mu}_a \in I_{N,c_a}\, (1 \le a \le r)$. Then let $\boldsymbol{\mu}^+_a$ be the unique element of I^+_{N,c_a} which is a rearrangement of $\boldsymbol{\mu}_a$. Now set $\boldsymbol{\mu}[x] := (\boldsymbol{\mu}^+_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\mu}^+_r)$. For example, if $k = 5$, $x = 2v_1 + 2v_2 - v_3 - v_4 - v_5$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (3, 1, 4, 2, 5)$, then $(c_1, c_2) = (2, 3), \boldsymbol{\mu}_1 = (3, 1), \boldsymbol{\mu}_2 = (4, 2, 5)$, and $\boldsymbol{\mu}[x] = (1, 3, 2, 4, 5)$.

Set

$$\mathcal{S} := \{(x, \boldsymbol{\nu}) \in L_+ \times I_{N,k} \,|\, \boldsymbol{\nu} = \boldsymbol{\nu}[x]\}\,.$$

We identify $\mathcal{S}$ with the set of configurations of k bosonic particles of N species on the one-dimensional lattice $\mathbb{Z}$ as follows. For $x = \sum_{i=1}^k m_i v_i \in L_+$ and $\boldsymbol{\nu} = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k)$, we assign to $(x, \boldsymbol{\nu})$ the configuration such that the particles with the color $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k$ are on the sites $m_1, \dots, m_k$, respectively. For example, if $k = 6, N = 4, x = 2v_1 + 2v_2 - v_3 - 3v_4 - 3v_5 - 3v_6$ and $\boldsymbol{\nu} = (1, 2, 4, 2, 2, 3)$, then $(x, \boldsymbol{\nu})$ corresponds to the configuration in Figure 1.

Denote the set of $\mathbb{R}$-valued functions on $\mathcal{S}$ by $F(\mathcal{S})$. In the rest of this paper we construct the transition rate matrix $Q : F(\mathcal{S}) \to F(\mathcal{S})$ of a continuous-time Markov process on $\mathcal{S}$.

4.2. **Derivation of the transition rate matrix.** Hereafter we assume that

$$0 < q < 1.$$

Let $U = \oplus_{\mu=1}^{N} \mathbb{C}u_\mu$ be the N-dimensional vector space with the basis $\{u_\mu\}_{\mu=1}^{N}$. Consider the $\mathbb{C}$-linear operator $R \in \mathrm{End}(U^{\otimes 2})$ defined by

$$R(u_\mu \otimes u_{\mu'}) = \begin{cases} q^{1/2} u_{\mu'} \otimes u_\mu & (\mu > \mu'), \\ u_\mu \otimes u_\mu & (\mu = \mu'), \\ (1-q) u_\mu \otimes u_{\mu'} + q^{1/2} u_{\mu'} \otimes u_\mu & (\mu < \mu'). \end{cases}$$

Let $R_i \in \mathrm{End}(U^{\otimes k})\,(1 \le i < k)$ be the linear operator acting as R on the tensor product of the i-th and $(i+1)$-th component of $U^{\otimes k}$.

Theorem 4.1. [7] *The assignment $T_i \mapsto R_i\,(1 \le i < k)$ uniquely extends to a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra homomorphism $\mathcal{H}_k \to \mathrm{End}(U^{\otimes k})$.*

In the following we regard $U^{\otimes k}$ as a left $\mathcal{H}_k$-module with respect to the action defined above.

For $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k) \in I_{N,k}$, we set

$$\boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} := u_{\mu_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{\mu_k} \in U^{\otimes k},$$

and

$$\ell(\boldsymbol{\mu}) := \#\{(i,j) \,|\, 1 \le i < j \le k \text{ and } \mu_i > \mu_j\}.$$

Then any function $f : L_+ \to U^{\otimes k}$ is uniquely written in the form

$$f(x) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \in I_{N,k}} q^{\ell(\boldsymbol{\mu})/2} f_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(x)\, \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \quad (x \in L_+), \tag{4.1}$$

where $f_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-valued function on L_+. Then the space $\mathcal{F}(L_+, U^{\otimes k})$ has the following description.

Proposition 4.2. *In the above notation the following statements are equivalent.*

(i) $f \in \mathcal{F}(L_+, U^{\otimes k})$.

(ii) *Suppose that $x \in L_+$ and $1 \le i < k$. If $a_i(x) = 0$ then $f_{\dots,\mu_i,\mu_{i+1},\dots}(x) = f_{\dots,\mu_{i+1},\mu_i,\dots}(x)$ for all $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k) \in I_{N,k}$.*

Proof. It follows from the definition of the operator R and (4.1) by a direct computation. □

We define the $\mathbb{C}$-linear map $\varphi : F(\mathcal{S}) \to \mathcal{F}(L_+, U^{\otimes k})$ by

$$(\varphi h)(x) := \sum_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \in I_{N,k}} q^{\ell(\boldsymbol{\mu})/2} h(x, \boldsymbol{\mu}[x])\, \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \quad (h \in F(\mathcal{S}), x \in L_+).$$

Proposition 4.2 implies that the function defined by the right hand side above belongs to $\mathcal{F}(L_+, U^{\otimes k})$. The map φ is an isomorphism with the inverse

$$(\varphi^{-1} f)(x, \boldsymbol{\nu}) = f_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x) \quad (f \in \mathcal{F}(L_+, U^{\otimes k}),\, (x, \boldsymbol{\nu}) \in \mathcal{S}),$$

where $f_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ is defined by (4.1).

Now let us write down the operator $\varphi^{-1}H^+\varphi : F(\mathcal{S}) \to F(\mathcal{S})$. For $1 \le c \le k$, we set

$$A^{(c)} := \sum_{l=1}^{c} q^{c-l} T_l^{-1} T_{l+1}^{-1} \cdots T_{c-1}^{-1} \in \mathcal{H}_k.$$

It acts on $U^{\otimes c}$. We set the matrix element $A^{(c)}_{\boldsymbol{\mu},\boldsymbol{\mu}'} \in \mathbb{R}$ by

$$A^{(c)}\boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\mu}' \in I_{N,c}} A^{(c)}_{\boldsymbol{\mu},\boldsymbol{\mu}'} \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}'}.$$

Suppose that $h \in F(\mathcal{S})$ and $(x, \boldsymbol{\nu}) \in \mathcal{S}$. Denote by $(c_1, \dots, c_r)$ the cluster coordinate of x, and decompose $\boldsymbol{\nu} = (\boldsymbol{\nu}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\nu}_r)$, where $\boldsymbol{\nu}_a \in I^+_{N,c_a}$ for $1 \le a \le r$. From (3.9) we see that

(4.2)

$$\begin{aligned}(\varphi^{-1}H^+\varphi h)(x,\boldsymbol{\nu}) = &\sum_{a=1}^{r} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\eta} \in I_{N,c_a}} q^{\ell(\boldsymbol{\eta})/2} A^{(c_a)}_{\boldsymbol{\eta},\boldsymbol{\nu}_a} (\varphi h)_{(\boldsymbol{\nu}_1,\dots,\boldsymbol{\nu}_{a-1},\boldsymbol{\eta},\boldsymbol{\nu}_{a+1},\dots,\boldsymbol{\nu}_r)}(x - v_{c_1+\cdots+c_a}) \\ &- \frac{\alpha}{1-q} \sum_{a=1}^{c_a} (c_a - [c_a]_q) h(x,\boldsymbol{\nu}).\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.3. *Suppose that* $1 \le c \le k$ *and*

$$\boldsymbol{\nu} = (\underbrace{1,\dots,1}_{m_1},\dots,\underbrace{N,\dots,N}_{m_N}) \in I^+_{N,c}, \tag{4.3}$$

where $m_1, \dots, m_N$ *are non-negative integers satisfying* $\sum_{i=1}^N m_i = c$. *Then the matrix element* $A^{(c)}_{\boldsymbol{\eta},\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ *is zero unless* $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ *is of the form*

$$(\underbrace{1,\dots,1}_{m_1},\dots,\underbrace{b,\dots,b}_{m_b-1},\dots\underbrace{N,\dots,N}_{m_N},b) \tag{4.4}$$

for some $1 \le b \le N$. *If* $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ *is equal to* (4.4), *then*

$$A^{(c)}_{\boldsymbol{\eta},\boldsymbol{\nu}} = \frac{1-q^{m_b}}{1-q} q^{\sum_{i=b+1}^N m_i/2} = \frac{1-q^{m_b}}{1-q} q^{\ell(\boldsymbol{\eta})/2}.$$

Proof. For $n \ge 1$, let $(\ ,\ )$ be the non-degenerate bilinear form on $U^{\otimes n}$ defined by

$$(u_{\mu_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{\mu_n}, u_{\nu_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{\nu_n}) = \delta_{\mu_1\nu_1} \cdots \delta_{\mu_n\nu_n}.$$

Consider the linear operator $S \in \mathrm{End}(U^{\otimes 2})$ defined by

$$S(u_\mu \otimes u_{\mu'}) = \begin{cases} (1-q^{-1})u_\mu \otimes u_{\mu'} + q^{-1/2} u_{\mu'} \otimes u_\mu & (\mu > \mu'), \\ u_\mu \otimes u_\mu & (\mu = \mu'), \\ q^{-1/2} u_{\mu'} \otimes u_\mu & (\mu < \mu'). \end{cases}$$

Then it holds that $(R^{-1}\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{u'})=(\boldsymbol{u},S\boldsymbol{u'})$ for $\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{u'}\in U^{\otimes 2}$. Let $S_i\in \mathrm{End}(U^{\otimes k})\,(1\le i<k)$ be the linear operator acting as S on the tensor product of the i-th and $(i+1)$-th component of $U^{\otimes k}$. Then we have

$$A^{(c)}_{\boldsymbol{\eta},\boldsymbol{\nu}}=(A^{(c)}\boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{\eta}},\boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}})=\sum_{l=1}^{c}q^{c-l}(\boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{\eta}},S_{c-1}\cdots S_l\boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}).$$

From the definition of S, we see that

$$S_{c-1}\cdots S_l\boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}=q^{-\sum_{i=b+1}^{N}m_i/2}\boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}^{(b)}},$$

where b is determined by the condition $m_1+\cdots+m_{b-1}<l\le m_1+\cdots+m_b$ and $\boldsymbol{\nu}^{(b)}$ is the tuple (4.4). Therefore $A^{(c)}_{\boldsymbol{\eta},\boldsymbol{\nu}}=0$ unless $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ is of the form (4.4). If $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ is equal to (4.4), it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}A^{(c)}_{\boldsymbol{\eta},\boldsymbol{\nu}}&=\sum_{l=m_1+\cdots+m_{b-1}+1}^{m_1+\cdots+m_b}q^{c-l-\sum_{i=b+1}^{N}m_i/2}\\&=q^{\sum_{i=b+1}^{N}m_i/2}\sum_{l=m_1+\cdots+m_{b-1}+1}^{m_1+\cdots+m_b}q^{\sum_{i=1}^{b}m_i-l}=q^{\sum_{i=b+1}^{N}m_i/2}\frac{1-q^{m_b}}{1-q}.\end{aligned}$$

Here we used $c=\sum_{i=1}^{N}m_i$. This completes the proof. □

For $\boldsymbol{\nu}\in I^{+}_{N,c}$ of the form (4.3) and $1\le b\le N$, we set

$$\boldsymbol{\nu}^{b,\pm}:=(\underbrace{1,\dots,1}_{m_1},\dots,\underbrace{b,\dots,b}_{m_b\pm 1},\dots\underbrace{N,\dots,N}_{m_N})\in I^{+}_{N,c\pm 1}.$$

Let $(x,\boldsymbol{\nu})\in\mathcal{S}$. Denote by $(c_1,\dots,c_r)$ the cluster coordinate of x and write $\boldsymbol{\nu}=(\boldsymbol{\nu}_1,\dots,\boldsymbol{\nu}_r)$ where $\boldsymbol{\nu}_a\in I^{+}_{N,c_a}\,(1\le a\le r)$. For $(y,\boldsymbol{\eta})\in\mathcal{S}$, we write $(x,\boldsymbol{\nu})\rightsquigarrow(y,\boldsymbol{\eta})$ if the following conditions hold:

(i) $y=x-v_{c_1+\cdots+c_a}$ for some $1\le a\le r$.
(ii) If $\epsilon_{c_1+\cdots+c_a}(x)-1>\epsilon_{c_1+\cdots+c_a+1}(x)$, then

$$\boldsymbol{\eta}=(\boldsymbol{\nu}_1,\dots,\boldsymbol{\nu}_{a-1},\boldsymbol{\nu}_a^{b,-},b,\boldsymbol{\nu}_{a+1},\dots,\boldsymbol{\nu}_r)$$

for some $1\le b\le N$. If $\epsilon_{c_1+\cdots+c_a}(x)-1=\epsilon_{c_1+\cdots+c_a+1}(x)$, then

$$\boldsymbol{\eta}=(\boldsymbol{\nu}_1,\dots,\boldsymbol{\nu}_{a-1},\boldsymbol{\nu}_a^{b,-},\boldsymbol{\nu}_{a+1}^{b,+},\boldsymbol{\nu}_{a+2},\dots,\boldsymbol{\nu}_r)$$

for some $1\le b\le N$.

Moreover, when the above conditions are satisfied, we set

$$c(x,\boldsymbol{\nu}|y,\boldsymbol{\eta}):=\frac{1-q^{m_b}}{1-q}q^{\sum_{i=b+1}^{N}m_i},\tag{4.5}$$

where $m_i\,(1\le i\le N)$ is the number of i in $\boldsymbol{\nu}_a$. We set $c(x,\boldsymbol{\nu}|y,\boldsymbol{\eta})=0$ unless $(x,\boldsymbol{\nu})\rightsquigarrow(y,\boldsymbol{\eta})$.

Under the identification of $\mathcal{S}$ with the set of configurations of k bosonic particles of N species given in the previous subsection, the condition $(x,\boldsymbol{\nu})\rightsquigarrow(y,\boldsymbol{\eta})$ means

that the configuration corresponding to $(y, \boldsymbol{\eta})$ is obtained from that corresponding to $(x, \boldsymbol{\nu})$ by moving one particle to the left.

From (4.2) and the equality

$$\sum_{b=1}^{N} \frac{1-q^{m_b}}{1-q} q^{\sum_{i=b+1}^{N} m_i} = \frac{1-q^{\sum_{i=1}^{N} m_i}}{1-q},$$

we see that

$$\begin{aligned}(\varphi^{-1}H^{+}\varphi h)(x,\boldsymbol{\nu}) = &\sum_{(y,\boldsymbol{\eta})\in\mathcal{S}} c(x,\boldsymbol{\nu}|y,\boldsymbol{\eta})\,\{h(y,\boldsymbol{\eta})-h(x,\boldsymbol{\nu})\}\\ &+\left(\frac{1-q+\alpha}{1-q}\sum_{a=1}^{r}[c_a]_q - \frac{\alpha}{1-q}k\right)h(x,\boldsymbol{\nu}) \quad (h\in F(\mathcal{S}),(x,\boldsymbol{\nu})\in\mathcal{S}),\end{aligned}$$

where $(c_1, \dots, c_r)$ is the cluster coordinate of x. From the above formula we obtain the following result.

Theorem 4.4. *Set $\alpha = -(1-q)$ and suppose that $0 < q < 1$. Then the operator*

$$Q := \varphi^{-1}H^{+}\varphi - k$$

gives the transition rate matrix of a continuous-time Markov process on $\mathcal{S}$.

The resulting process is described as follows. In continuous time one particle may move from site i to $i-1$ independently for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. The transition rate at which a particle with color b moves is given by the right hand side of (4.5), where $m_i\,(1 \le i \le N)$ is the number of particles with color i in the cluster from which the moving particle leaves.

Acknowledgments

The research of the author is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 26400106.

References

[1] Barraquand, G. and Corwin, I., The q-Hahn asymmetric exclusion process, preprint, arXiv:1501.03445.
[2] Borodin, A., Corwin, I., Petrov, L. and Sasamoto, T., Spectral theory for the q-Boson particle system, *Compos. Math.* **151** (2015), no. 1, 1–67.
[3] Borodin, A., Corwin, I., Petrov, L. and Sasamoto, T., Spectral theory for interacting particle systems solvable by coordinate Bethe ansatz, preprint, arXiv:1407.8534.
[4] van Diejen, J. F. and Emsiz, E., Unitary representations of affine Hecke algebras related to Macdonald spherical functions, *J. Algebra* **354** (2012), 180–210.
[5] Emsiz, E., Opdam, E. M., and Stokman, J. V., Trigonometric Cherednik algebra at critical level and quantum many-body problems, *Selecta Math.* **14** (2009), no. 3-4, 571–605.
[6] Gutkin, E., Integrable systems with delta-potential, *Duke Math. J.* **49** (1982), no. 1, 1–21.
[7] Jimbo, M., A q-analogue of $U(gl(N+1))$, Hecke algebra, and the Yang-Baxter equation, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **11** (1986), no. 3, 247–252.

[8] Povolotsky, A. M., On the integrability of zero-range chipping models with factorized steady states, *J. Phys. A* **46** (2013), no. 46.
[9] Sasamoto, T. and Wadati, M., Exact results for one-dimensional totally asymmetric diffusion models, *J. Phys. A* **31** (1998), no. 28, 6057–6071.
[10] Takeyama, Y., A deformation of affine Hecke algebra and integrable stochastic particle system, *J. Phys. A*, **47** (2014), no. 46, 465203.

Division of Mathematics, Faculty of Pure and Applied Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8571, Japan
E-mail address: takeyama@math.tsukuba.ac.jp