

## ANALYSIS OF THE SCALAR NONET MESONS WITH THE QCD SUM RULES

Zhi-Gang Wang<sup>1</sup>,

Department of Physics, North China Electric Power University, Baoding 071003, P. R. China

**Abstract**

In this article, we assume that the nonet scalar mesons below 1 GeV are the  $\bar{q}q - qq\bar{q}\bar{q}$  mixed states and study their masses and pole residues using the QCD sum rules. In calculation, we take into account the vacuum condensates up to dimension 10 and the  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$  corrections to the perturbative terms in the operator product expansion. We determine the mixing angles, which indicate the two-quark components are much larger than 50%, then obtain the masses and pole residues of the nonet scalar mesons.

PACS number: 12.38.Lg

Key words: Scalar mesons, QCD sum rules

**1 Introduction**

There are many scalar mesons below 2 GeV, which cannot be accommodated in one  $\bar{q}q$  nonet, some are supposed to be glueballs, molecular states and tetraquark states [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. If the dynamics dominates the scalar mesons below and above 1 GeV are different, there maybe exist two scalar nonets below 1.7 GeV [2, 3, 4]. The strong attractions between the scalar diquarks and anti-diquarks in relative  $S$ -wave maybe result in a nonet tetraquark states manifest below 1 GeV, while the conventional  $^3P_0$  quark-antiquark nonet mesons have masses about (1.2 – 1.6) GeV, the well established  $^3P_1$  and  $^3P_2$  quark-antiquark nonets lie in the same region. In Ref.[5], Klempt and Zaitsev suggest that there maybe exist four scalar nonets below 2.3 GeV,

$$\begin{aligned} \{f_0/\sigma(600), a_0(980), \kappa_0(800), f_0(980)\}, & \quad \{f_0(xxx), a_0(1450), K_0^*(1430), f_0(1500)\}, \\ \{f_0(xxx), a_0(xxx), K_0^*(xxx), f_0(1760)\}, & \quad \{f_0(xxx), a_0(2020), K_0^*(1950), f_0(2100)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

We usually take the lowest scalar nonet mesons  $\{f_0/\sigma(600), a_0(980), \kappa_0(800), f_0(980)\}$  to be the tetraquark states, and assign the higher scalar nonet mesons  $\{f_0(1370), a_0(1450), K_0^*(1430), f_0(1500)\}$  to be the conventional  $^3P_0$  quark-antiquark states [2, 3, 4]. In 2013, Weinberg explored the tetraquark states in the large- $N_c$  limit and observed that the existence of light tetraquark states is consistent with large- $N_c$  QCD [6]. Instanton induced effective six-quark lagrangian provides a mechanism to describe the decay  $f_0(980) \rightarrow \pi\pi$  [7].

The QCD sum rules provides a powerful theoretical tool in studying the hadronic properties, and has been applied extensively to study the masses, decay constants, hadronic form-factors, coupling constants, etc [8, 9]. There have been several works on the light tetraquark states using the QCD sum rules [10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17]. In Refs.[10, 11], the scalar nonet mesons below 1 GeV are taken to be the tetraquark states consist of scalar diquark pairs and studied with the QCD sum rules by carrying out the operator product expansion up to the vacuum condensates of dimension 6. In Ref.[13], Lee carries out the operator product expansion by including the vacuum condensates up to dimension 8, and observes no evidence of the couplings of the tetraquark currents to the light scalar nonet mesons. In Ref.[15], Sugiyama et al study the non-singlet scalar mesons  $a_0(980)$  and  $\kappa_0(800)$  as the  $\bar{q}q - qq\bar{q}\bar{q}$  mixed states with the QCD sum rules, and observe that the tetraquark currents predict lower masses than the two-quark currents, and the tetraquark states occupy about (70 – 90)% of the lowest mass states.

In this article, we assume that the scalar nonet mesons below 1 GeV are the  $\bar{q}q - qq\bar{q}\bar{q}$  mixed states and study their properties with the QCD sum rules by taking into account the vacuum condensates up to dimension 10 in the operator product expansion.

---

<sup>1</sup> E-mail, zgwang@aliyun.com.

The article is arranged as follows: we derive the QCD sum rules for the scalar nonet mesons in Sect.2; in Sect.3, we present the numerical results and discussions; and Sect.4 is reserved for our conclusions.

## 2 The scalar nonet mesons with the QCD Sum Rules

In the scenario of tetraquark states, the structures of the scalar nonet mesons in the ideal mixing limit can be symbolically written as [2, 3, 4]

$$\begin{aligned} f_0(600) &= u\bar{d}\bar{u}\bar{d}, & f_0(980) &= \frac{us\bar{u}\bar{s} + ds\bar{d}\bar{s}}{\sqrt{2}}, \\ a_0^-(980) &= ds\bar{u}\bar{s}, & a_0^0(980) &= \frac{us\bar{u}\bar{s} - ds\bar{d}\bar{s}}{\sqrt{2}}, & a_0^+(980) &= us\bar{d}\bar{s}, \\ \kappa_0^+(800) &= u\bar{d}\bar{d}\bar{s}, & \kappa_0^0(800) &= u\bar{d}\bar{u}\bar{s}, & \bar{\kappa}_0^0(800) &= us\bar{u}\bar{d}, & \kappa_0^-(800) &= ds\bar{u}\bar{d}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

If we take the diquarks and antidiquarks as the basic constituents, the two isoscalar states  $\bar{u}\bar{d}ud$  and  $\bar{s}s\frac{\bar{u}u+\bar{d}d}{\sqrt{2}}$  mix ideally, the  $\bar{s}s\frac{\bar{u}u+\bar{d}d}{\sqrt{2}}$  degenerates with the isovector states  $\bar{s}s\bar{d}u$ ,  $\bar{s}s\frac{\bar{u}u-\bar{d}d}{\sqrt{2}}$  and  $\bar{s}s\bar{u}d$  naturally. The mass spectrum is inverted compare to the traditional  $\bar{q}q$  mesons. The lightest state is the non-strange isosinglet, the heaviest states are the degenerate isosinglet and isovector states with hidden  $\bar{s}s$  pairs, the four strange states lie in between.

In the scenario of conventional two-quark states, the structures of the scalar nonet mesons in the ideal mixing limit can be symbolically written as

$$\begin{aligned} f_0(600) &= \frac{\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d}{\sqrt{2}}, & f_0(980) &= \bar{s}s, \\ a_0^-(980) &= d\bar{u}, & a_0^0(980) &= \frac{u\bar{u} - d\bar{d}}{\sqrt{2}}, & a_0^+(980) &= u\bar{d}, \\ \kappa_0^+(800) &= u\bar{s}, & \kappa_0^0(800) &= d\bar{s}, & \bar{\kappa}_0^0(800) &= s\bar{d}, & \kappa_0^-(800) &= s\bar{u}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

In this article, we take the scalar nonet mesons to be the  $\bar{q}q - qq\bar{q}\bar{q}$  mixed states, and write down the two-point correlation functions  $\Pi_k(p)$ ,

$$\Pi_k(p) = i \int d^4x e^{ip \cdot x} \langle 0 | T \left\{ J_k(x) J_k^\dagger(0) \right\} | 0 \rangle, \quad (4)$$

$$J_k(x) = \cos \theta J_k^4(x) + \sin \theta J_k^2(x), \quad (5)$$

where  $k = f_0(980), a_0^0(980), \kappa_0^+(800), f_0(600)$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} J_{f_0(980)}^4(x) &= \frac{\epsilon^{abc}\epsilon^{ade}}{\sqrt{2}} \{ u_b^T(x)C\gamma_5 s_c(x) \bar{u}_d(x)\gamma_5 C\bar{s}_e^T(x) + d_b^T(x)C\gamma_5 s_c(x) \bar{d}_d(x)\gamma_5 C\bar{s}_e^T(x) \}, \\ J_{f_0(980)}^2(x) &= -\frac{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle}{3\sqrt{2}} \bar{s}(x)s(x), \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} J_{a_0^0(980)}^4(x) &= \frac{\epsilon^{abc}\epsilon^{ade}}{\sqrt{2}} \{ u_b^T(x)C\gamma_5 s_c(x) \bar{u}_d(x)\gamma_5 C\bar{s}_e^T(x) - d_b^T(x)C\gamma_5 s_c(x) \bar{d}_d(x)\gamma_5 C\bar{s}_e^T(x) \}, \\ J_{a_0^0(980)}^2(x) &= -\frac{\langle \bar{s}s \rangle}{6} \frac{\bar{u}(x)u(x) - \bar{d}(x)d(x)}{\sqrt{2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\kappa^+(800)}^4(x) &= \epsilon^{abc}\epsilon^{ade} u_b^T(x)C\gamma_5 d_c(x) \bar{s}_d(x)\gamma_5 C\bar{d}_e^T(x), \\ J_{\kappa^+(800)}^2(x) &= -\frac{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle}{6} \bar{s}(x)u(x), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} J_{f_0(600)}^4(x) &= \epsilon^{abc}\epsilon^{ade} u_b^T(x)C\gamma_5 d_c(x) \bar{u}_d(x)\gamma_5 C\bar{d}_e^T(x), \\ J_{f_0(600)}^2(x) &= -\frac{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle}{3\sqrt{2}} \frac{\bar{u}(x)u(x) + \bar{d}(x)d(x)}{\sqrt{2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

the currents  $J_k^4$  and  $J_k^2$  are tetraquark and two-quark operators respectively, and couple potentially to the tetraquark and two-quark Fock states of the scalar nonet mesons respectively. In the currents  $J_k^4$ , the  $a, b, c, \dots$  are color indices and  $C$  is the charge conjugation matrix, the  $\epsilon^{abc}u_b^T(x)C\gamma_5 d_c(x)$ ,  $\epsilon^{abc}u_b^T(x)C\gamma_5 s_c(x)$ ,  $\epsilon^{abc}d_b^T(x)C\gamma_5 s_c(x)$  represent the scalar diquarks, and their charge conjugation is implied. The one-gluon exchange force and the instanton induced force can result in significant attractions between the quarks in the scalar diquark channels [3, 18].

We insert a complete set of intermediate states with the same quantum numbers as the current operators  $J_k(x)$  satisfying the unitarity principle into the correlation functions  $\Pi_k(p)$  to obtain the hadronic representation. After isolating the ground state contributions from the pole terms of the scalar nonet mesons, we get the result,

$$\Pi_k(p) = \frac{\lambda_k^2}{m_k^2 - p^2} + \dots, \quad (10)$$

where we have used the definitions  $\langle 0|J_k|S_k \rangle = \lambda_k$ .

In this article, we carry out the operator product expansion by including the vacuum condensates up to dimension 10. The condensates  $\langle g_s^3 GGG \rangle$ ,  $\langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle^2$ ,  $\langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma G q \rangle$  have the dimensions 6, 8, 9 respectively, but they are the vacuum expectations of the operators of the order  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^{3/2})$ ,  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$ ,  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^{3/2})$  respectively, their values are very small and discarded. We take the truncations  $n \leq 10$  and  $k \leq 1$ , the operators of the orders  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^k)$  with  $k > 1$  are discarded. Furthermore, we take into account the  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$  corrections to the perturbative terms, which were calculated recently [17].

Once the analytical QCD spectral densities are obtained, then we can take the quark-hadron dualities below the thresholds  $s_0$  and perform the Borel transformation with respect to the variable  $P^2 = -p^2$ , finally we obtain the QCD sum rules,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_k(M^2) &= \lambda_k^2 \exp\left(-\frac{m_k^2}{M^2}\right), \\ &= \int_0^{s_0} ds \{ \cos^2 \theta \rho_k^4(s) + \sin \theta \cos \theta \rho_k^m(s) + \sin^2 \theta \rho_k^2(s) \} \exp\left(-\frac{s}{M^2}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_{f_0/a_0(980)}^4 &= \frac{s^4}{61440\pi^6} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \left( \frac{57}{5} + 2 \log \frac{\mu^2}{s} \right) \right\} + \frac{(m_q - 2m_s)\langle \bar{q}q \rangle + (m_s - 2m_q)\langle \bar{s}s \rangle}{192\pi^4} s^2 \\
&+ \frac{(3m_s - m_q)\langle \bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq \rangle + (3m_q - m_s)\langle \bar{s}g_s\sigma Gs \rangle}{192\pi^4} s + \frac{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle \langle \bar{s}s \rangle}{12\pi^2} s \\
&- \frac{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle \langle \bar{s}g_s\sigma Gs \rangle + \langle \bar{s}s \rangle \langle \bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq \rangle}{24\pi^2} + \frac{\langle \bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq \rangle \langle \bar{s}g_s\sigma Gs \rangle}{96\pi^2} \delta(s) \\
&- \frac{(2m_q - m_s)\langle \bar{q}q \rangle \langle \bar{s}s \rangle^2 + (2m_s - m_q)\langle \bar{s}s \rangle \langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2}{9} \delta(s) + \frac{s^2}{1536\pi^4} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \\
&- \frac{m_s \langle \bar{q}q \rangle + m_q \langle \bar{s}s \rangle}{72\pi^2} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle + \frac{m_q \langle \bar{q}q \rangle + m_s \langle \bar{s}s \rangle}{192\pi^2} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \\
&+ \frac{5}{216} \langle \bar{q}q \rangle \langle \bar{s}s \rangle \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \delta(s), \tag{12}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\rho_{f_0(980)}^m = \frac{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2}{72} \left\{ \frac{3}{\pi^2} s + \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \delta(s) + 24m_s \langle \bar{s}s \rangle \delta(s) \right\} + \frac{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle \langle \bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq \rangle}{48\pi^2}, \tag{13}$$

$$\rho_{a_0(980)}^m = \frac{\langle \bar{s}s \rangle^2}{144} \left\{ \frac{3}{\pi^2} s + \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \delta(s) + 24m_q \langle \bar{q}q \rangle \delta(s) \right\} + \frac{\langle \bar{s}s \rangle \langle \bar{s}g_s\sigma Gs \rangle}{96\pi^2}, \tag{14}$$

$$\rho_{f_0(980)}^2 = \frac{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2}{144} \left\{ \frac{3}{\pi^2} s + \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \delta(s) + 24m_s \langle \bar{s}s \rangle \delta(s) \right\}, \tag{15}$$

$$\rho_{a_0(980)}^2 = \frac{\langle \bar{s}s \rangle^2}{288} \left\{ \frac{3}{\pi^2} s + \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \delta(s) + 24m_q \langle \bar{q}q \rangle \delta(s) \right\}, \tag{16}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_{\kappa_0(800)}^4 &= \frac{s^4}{61440\pi^6} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \left( \frac{57}{5} + 2 \log \frac{\mu^2}{s} \right) \right\} + \frac{(m_s - 2m_q)\langle \bar{s}s \rangle - (m_q + 2m_s)\langle \bar{q}q \rangle}{384\pi^4} s^2 \\
&+ \frac{3(m_s + m_q)\langle \bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq \rangle + (3m_q - m_s)\langle \bar{s}g_s\sigma Gs \rangle}{384\pi^4} s + \frac{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2 + \langle \bar{q}q \rangle \langle \bar{s}s \rangle}{24\pi^2} s \\
&- \frac{2\langle \bar{q}q \rangle \langle \bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq \rangle + \langle \bar{q}q \rangle \langle \bar{s}g_s\sigma Gs \rangle + \langle \bar{s}s \rangle \langle \bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq \rangle}{48\pi^2} \\
&+ \frac{\langle \bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq \rangle^2 + \langle \bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq \rangle \langle \bar{s}g_s\sigma Gs \rangle}{192\pi^2} \delta(s) \\
&- \frac{(2m_s - m_q)\langle \bar{q}q \rangle^3 + (4m_q - m_s)\langle \bar{s}s \rangle \langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2}{18} \delta(s) \\
&+ \frac{s^2}{1536\pi^4} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle + \frac{(m_s - 2m_q)\langle \bar{s}s \rangle - (m_q + 2m_s)\langle \bar{q}q \rangle}{384\pi^2} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \\
&- \frac{(2m_q + m_s)\langle \bar{q}q \rangle + m_q \langle \bar{s}s \rangle}{576\pi^2} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \\
&+ \frac{5}{432} [\langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2 + \langle \bar{q}q \rangle \langle \bar{s}s \rangle] \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \delta(s), \tag{17}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_{\kappa_0(800)}^m &= \frac{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2}{144} \left\{ \frac{3}{\pi^2} s + \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \delta(s) + 4(m_q + 2m_s)\langle \bar{q}q \rangle \delta(s) + 4(m_s + 2m_q)\langle \bar{s}s \rangle \delta(s) \right\} \\
&+ \frac{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle \langle \bar{q}g_s\sigma Gq \rangle}{96\pi^2}, \tag{18}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\rho_{\kappa_0(800)}^2 = \frac{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2}{288} \left\{ \frac{3}{\pi^2} s + \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \delta(s) + 4(m_q + 2m_s)\langle \bar{q}q \rangle \delta(s) + 4(m_s + 2m_q)\langle \bar{s}s \rangle \delta(s) \right\} \tag{19}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_{f_0(600)}^4 &= \frac{s^4}{61440\pi^6} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \left( \frac{57}{5} + 2 \log \frac{\mu^2}{s} \right) \right\} - \frac{m_q \langle \bar{q}q \rangle}{96\pi^4} s^2 + \frac{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2}{12\pi^2} s + \frac{m_q \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle}{48\pi^4} s \\ &\quad - \frac{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle}{12\pi^2} + \frac{\langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle^2}{96\pi^2} \delta(s) - \frac{2m_q \langle \bar{q}q \rangle^3}{9} \delta(s) \\ &\quad + \frac{s^2}{1536\pi^4} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle - \frac{5m_q \langle \bar{q}q \rangle}{288\pi^2} \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle + \frac{5}{216} \langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2 \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \delta(s),\end{aligned}\quad (20)$$

$$\rho_{f_0(600)}^m = \frac{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2}{72} \left\{ \frac{3}{\pi^2} s + \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \delta(s) + 24m_q \langle \bar{q}q \rangle \delta(s) \right\} + \frac{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle}{48\pi^2}, \quad (21)$$

$$\rho_{f_0(600)}^2 = \frac{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2}{144} \left\{ \frac{3}{\pi^2} s + \langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle \delta(s) + 24m_q \langle \bar{q}q \rangle \delta(s) \right\}, \quad (22)$$

where the  $s_0$  denotes the continuum threshold parameters.

We differentiate the  $\Pi_k(M^2)$  with respect to  $\frac{1}{M^2}$ , then eliminate the pole residues  $\lambda_k$ , and obtain the QCD sum rules for the masses,

$$m_k^2 = \frac{\int_0^{s_0} ds \frac{d}{d(-1/M^2)} \{ \cos^2 \theta \rho_k^4(s) + \sin \theta \cos \theta \rho_k^m(s) + \sin^2 \theta \rho_k^2(s) \} e^{-\frac{s}{M^2}}}{\int_0^{s_0} ds \{ \cos^2 \theta \rho_k^4(s) + \sin \theta \cos \theta \rho_k^m(s) + \sin^2 \theta \rho_k^2(s) \} e^{-\frac{s}{M^2}}}. \quad (23)$$

### 3 Numerical results and discussions

In calculation, the input parameters are taken to be the standard values  $\langle \bar{s}s \rangle = (0.8 \pm 0.2) \langle \bar{q}q \rangle$ ,  $\langle \bar{s}g_s \sigma Gs \rangle = m_0^2 \langle \bar{s}s \rangle$ ,  $\langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle = m_0^2 \langle \bar{q}q \rangle$ ,  $m_0^2 = (0.8 \pm 0.2) \text{ GeV}^2$ ,  $\langle \bar{u}u \rangle = \langle \bar{d}d \rangle = \langle \bar{q}q \rangle = -(0.240 \pm 0.01) \text{ GeV}^3$ ,  $\langle \frac{\alpha_s GG}{\pi} \rangle = (0.33 \text{ GeV})^4$ ,  $m_u = m_d = 6 \text{ MeV}$  and  $m_s = 140 \text{ MeV}$  at the energy scale  $\mu = 1 \text{ GeV}$ .

Firstly, let us set the mixing angle  $\theta$  to be zero, then the scalar nonet mesons are pure tetraquark states. The perturbative QCD spectral densities are proportional to  $s^4$ , it is difficulty to satisfy the pole dominance condition compare to that of the two-quark states. We can choose the largest continuum threshold parameters as  $s_{f_0(980)}^0 = 1.9 \text{ GeV}^2$ ,  $s_{a_0(980)}^0 = 1.8 \text{ GeV}^2$ ,  $s_{\kappa_0(800)}^0 = 1.7 \text{ GeV}^2$  and  $s_{f_0(600)}^0 = 1.6 \text{ GeV}^2$  tentatively to avoid the possible contaminations from the high resonances  $f_0(1370)$ ,  $a_0(1450)$ ,  $K_0^*(1430)$  and  $f_0(1500)$ . In Fig.1, we plot the masses of the scalar mesons as pure tetraquark states with variations of the Borel parameter  $M^2$ , where the central values of other parameters are implied. From the figure, we can see that the condensates  $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle$  of dimension 9 play an important role, the predicted masses  $m_k \geq 1.4 \text{ GeV}$ , which is inconsistent with the experimental data [1]. The result is compatible with the observation of Ref.[13], that there exists no evidence of the couplings of the tetraquark states to the light scalar nonet mesons [13].

Now we set the mixing angle  $\theta$  to be  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ , and take the scalar nonet mesons to be pure two-quark states. In Fig.2, we plot the masses of the scalar mesons as pure two-quark states with variations of the Borel parameter  $M^2$ , the same parameters as that in Fig.1 are implied. From the figure, we can see that the predicted masses  $m_k \leq 1.1 \text{ GeV}$  at the value  $M^2 > 0.5 \text{ GeV}^2$ , it is possible to reproduce the experimental data approximately with fine tuning the threshold parameters and the Borel parameters.

If we turn on the mixing angle  $\theta \neq 0, \frac{\pi}{2}$ , the contributions of the condensates  $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle$  of dimension 9 can be canceled out completely with the ideal mixing angle  $\theta_k^0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_{f_0(980)}^0 &= \tan^{-1} \left( 2 \frac{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle \langle \bar{s}g_s \sigma Gs \rangle + \langle \bar{s}s \rangle \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle}{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle} \right) \approx 72.6^\circ, \\ \theta_{a_0(980)}^0 &= \tan^{-1} \left( 4 \frac{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle \langle \bar{s}g_s \sigma Gs \rangle + \langle \bar{s}s \rangle \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle}{\langle \bar{s}s \rangle \langle \bar{s}g_s \sigma Gs \rangle} \right) \approx 84.3^\circ, \\ \theta_{\kappa_0(800)}^0 &= \tan^{-1} \left( 2 \frac{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle + \langle \bar{q}q \rangle \langle \bar{s}g_s \sigma Gs \rangle + \langle \bar{s}s \rangle \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle}{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma Gq \rangle} \right) \approx 82.1^\circ, \\ \theta_{f_0(600)}^0 &= \tan^{-1} (4) \approx 76.0^\circ,\end{aligned}\quad (24)$$




Figure 1: The masses of the scalar mesons as pure tetraquark states with variations of the Borel parameter  $M^2$ , where the (I) and (II) denote the contributions of the condensates  $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle \langle \bar{q}g_s \sigma G q \rangle$  of dimension 9 are included and not included, respectively.




Figure 2: The masses of the scalar mesons as pure two-quark states with variations of the Borel parameter  $M^2$ .




Figure 3: The masses of the scalar mesons as  $\bar{q}q - qq\bar{q}\bar{q}$  mixed states with variations of the mixing angle  $\theta$ .




Figure 4: The pole contributions of the scalar mesons as  $\bar{q}q - qq\bar{q}\bar{q}$  mixed states with variations of the mixing angle  $\theta$ .

and results in much better behavior in the operator product expansion.

In Fig.3, we plot the masses of the scalar mesons as  $\bar{q}q - qq\bar{q}\bar{q}$  mixed states with variations of the mixing angle  $\theta$ , where the input parameters are chosen as  $s_{f_0(980)}^0 = 1.5 \text{ GeV}^2$ ,  $M_{f_0(980)}^2 = 1 \text{ GeV}^2$ ,  $s_{a_0(980)}^0 = 1.8 \text{ GeV}^2$ ,  $M_{a_0(980)}^2 = 1 \text{ GeV}^2$ ,  $s_{\kappa_0(800)}^0 = 1.0 \text{ GeV}^2$ ,  $M_{\kappa_0(800)}^2 = 0.8 \text{ GeV}^2$ ,  $s_{f_0(600)}^0 = 1.0 \text{ GeV}^2$ ,  $M_{f_0(600)}^2 = 0.8 \text{ GeV}^2$ . From the figure, we can see that there appear minima in the predicted masses at the values  $\theta_{f_0(980)}/\theta_{f_0(980)}^0 = 0.6 - 1.2$ ,  $\theta_{a_0(980)}/\theta_{a_0(980)}^0 = 0.9 - 1.1$ ,  $\theta_{\kappa_0(800)}/\theta_{\kappa_0(800)}^0 = 0.6 - 1.1$ ,  $\theta_{f_0(600)}/\theta_{f_0(600)}^0 = 0.5 - 1.2$ . Those lowest masses can reproduce the experimental data approximately.

In Fig.4, we plot the pole contributions of the scalar mesons as  $\bar{q}q - qq\bar{q}\bar{q}$  mixed states with variations of the mixing angle  $\theta$ , where the same parameters as that in Fig.3 are implied. From the figure, we can see that the pole contributions increase with the  $\theta/\theta^0$  slowly, and reach the maxima at the values  $\theta/\theta^0 = 1.0 - 1.3$ , then decrease quickly and reach zero approximately.

There exist a compromise between the minimal masses and the maximal pole contributions,  $\theta = \theta^0$  is the optimal value. In this article, we choose the optimal value  $\theta = \theta^0$ , then impose




Figure 5: The masses of the scalar nonet mesons with variations of the Borel parameters.

the two criteria (pole dominance and convergence of the operator product expansion) of the QCD sum rules on the  $\bar{q}q - qq\bar{q}\bar{q}$  mixed states, and search for the optimal values of the Borel parameters  $M^2$  and threshold parameters  $s_0$ . The resulting Borel parameters, continuum threshold parameters, pole contributions, masses and pole residues of the scalar nonet mesons are shown in Table 1 explicitly. From Table 1, we can see that the pole dominance condition are satisfied marginally. For light tetraquark states, the QCD spectral densities  $\rho(s) \sim s^n$  with  $n \leq 4$ , the integral  $\int_0^\infty \rho(s) \exp(-\frac{s}{M^2}) ds$  converges slowly, it is difficult to obtain the pole contributions larger than 50%. While for the light two-quark states, the QCD spectral densities  $\rho(s) \sim s^n$  with  $n \leq 1$ , the integral  $\int_0^\infty \rho(s) \exp(-\frac{s}{M^2}) ds$  converges quickly, it is easy to satisfy the pole dominance condition. In this article, we use the QCD spectral densities  $\rho(s)\theta(s-s_0)$  to approximate the continuum contributions, the perturbative contributions of the two-quark components in the interpolating currents are proportional to  $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2$ , which are of dimension-6 according to the factors  $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle$  in the currents  $J_k^2$ . In calculations, we observe that the main contributions come from the terms  $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2$ , the operator product expansion is well convergent. It is reasonable to extract the masses, which can reproduce the experimental data.

## 4 Conclusion

In this article, we assume that the nonet scalar mesons below 1 GeV are the  $\bar{q}q - qq\bar{q}\bar{q}$  mixed states and study their masses and pole residues using the QCD sum rules. In calculation, we take into account the vacuum condensates up to dimension 10 and the  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$  corrections to the perturbative terms, and neglect the condensates which are vacuum expectations of the operators of the order  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^{>1})$ , in the operator product expansion. We determine the mixing angles by imposing the two criteria of the QCD sum rules, which indicates that the two-quark components are much larger






Figure 6: The pole residues of the scalar nonet mesons with variations of the Borel parameters.

|                 | $M^2(\text{GeV}^2)$ | $s_0(\text{GeV}^2)$ | pole          | $m_S(\text{GeV})$ | $\lambda_S(10^{-4}\text{GeV}^5)$ |
|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|
| $f_0(980)$      | $0.8 - 1.2$         | $1.5 \pm 0.1$       | $(25 - 52)\%$ | $0.98 \pm 0.06$   | $8.7 \pm 1.3$                    |
| $a_0(980)$      | $0.8 - 1.2$         | $1.8 \pm 0.1$       | $(39 - 69)\%$ | $0.97 \pm 0.05$   | $5.0 \pm 1.7$                    |
| $\kappa_0(800)$ | $0.6 - 1.0$         | $1.0 \pm 0.1$       | $(20 - 51)\%$ | $0.80 \pm 0.05$   | $3.6 \pm 0.6$                    |
| $f_0(600)$      | $0.6 - 1.0$         | $1.0 \pm 0.1$       | $(24 - 59)\%$ | $0.70 \pm 0.06$   | $5.8 \pm 1.0$                    |

Table 1: The Borel parameters, continuum threshold parameters, pole contributions, masses and pole residues of the scalar nonet mesons as the  $\bar{q}q - qq\bar{q}\bar{q}$  mixed states.

than 50%, then obtain the masses and pole residues of the nonet scalar mesons. The predicted masses can reproduce the experimental data while the pole residues can be used to study the hadronic coupling constants and form-factors.

## Acknowledgements

This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation, Grant Numbers 11375063, and Natural Science Foundation of Hebei province, Grant Number A2014502017.

## References

- [1] K. A. Olive et al, Chin. Phys. **C38** (2014) 090001.
- [2] F. E. Close and N. A. Tornqvist, J. Phys. **G28** (2002) R249.
- [3] R. L. Jaffe, Phys. Rept. **409** (2005) 1.
- [4] C. Amsler and N. A. Tornqvist, Phys. Rept. **389** (2004) 61.
- [5] E. Klempt and A. Zaitsev, Phys. Rept. **454** (2007) 1.
- [6] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110** (2013) 261601.
- [7] G. 't Hooft, G. Isidori, L. Maiani, A. D. Polosa and V. Riquer, Phys. Lett. **B662** (2008) 424; A. H. Fariborz, R. Jora and J. Schechter, Phys. Rev. **D77** (2008) 094004.
- [8] M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein and V. I. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. **B147** (1979) 385; Nucl. Phys. **B147** (1979) 448.
- [9] L. J. Reinders, H. Rubinstein and S. Yazaki, Phys. Rept. **127** (1985) 1.
- [10] T. V. Brito, F. S. Navarra, M. Nielsen and M. E. Bracco, Phys. Lett. **B608** (2005) 69.
- [11] Z. G. Wang and W. M. Yang, Eur. Phys. J. **C42** (2005) 89; Z. G. Wang, W. M. Yang and S. L. Wan, J. Phys. **G31** (2005) 971.
- [12] Z. G. Wang and S. L. Wan, Chin. Phys. Lett. **23** (2006) 3208; Z. G. Wang, Nucl. Phys. **A791** (2007) 106; Z. G. Wang, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **51** (2012) 507.
- [13] H. J. Lee, Eur. Phys. J. **A30** (2006) 423.
- [14] H. X. Chen, A. Hosaka and S. L. Zhu, Phys. Rev. **D74** (2006) 054001; H. X. Chen, A. Hosaka and S. L. Zhu, Phys. Lett. **B650** (2007) 369; H. X. Chen, A. Hosaka and S. L. Zhu, Phys. Rev. **D76** (2007) 094025.
- [15] J. Sugiyama, T. Nakamura, N. Ishii, T. Nishikawa and M. Oka, Phys. Rev. **D76** (2007) 114010.
- [16] H. J. Lee and N. I. Kochelev, Phys. Lett. **B642** (2006) 358; H. J. Lee and N. I. Kochelev, Phys. Rev. **D78** (2008) 076005; Y. Pang and M. L. Yan, Eur. Phys. J. **A42** (2009) 195; J. R. Zhang, L. F. Gan and M. Q. Huang, Phys. Rev. **D85** (2012) 116007; J. R. Zhang and G. F. Chen, Phys. Rev. **D86** (2012) 116006; H. J. Lee, N. I. Kochelev and Y. Oh, Phys. Rev. **D87** (2013) 117901.
- [17] S. Groote, J. G. Korner and D. Niinepuu, Phys. Rev. **D90** (2014) 054028.
- [18] T. Schafer and E. V. Shuryak, Rev. Mod. Phys. **70** (1998) 323.