

*Fundamental Constants in Physics and Their Time Variation*¹

(Preface)

Joan Solà

Universitat de Barcelona

joan.sola@ub.edu

In this Special Issue, we present a collection of fresh new articles, together with some review works, written by first-rate researchers in the field that offer the state-of-the-art on the subject of *Fundamental Constants in Physics and Their Time Variation*. There is no doubt that this is one of the hottest subjects in modern theoretical and experimental physics, with potential implications in all fundamental areas of physics research, such as particle physics, gravitation, astrophysics and cosmology.

The history of this subject traces back mainly to Dirac’s pioneering work in the thirties on the “large number hypothesis” [1], from which a time evolution of the gravitational constant G was suggested, and later on the first discussions on new forms of the principle of equivalence emerged [2] and finally triggered the Jordan, Fierz and Brans-Dicke approach to gravity [3], in which General Relativity was extended to accommodate variations in G . It also triggered subsequent speculations by Gamow [4] and others on the possible variation of the fine structure constant.

Despite the initial difficulties, these seminal works were a real spur to start changing the mentality and the strong prejudice on the supposedly imperturbable and “sacrosanct” rigid status of the constants of Nature. It is amazing to realize nowadays how much we have opened our minds to the new horizons that these ideas offered since those early times. Modern investigations on this subject are performed not only at the theoretical but also at the experimental level, both in the lab (through high precision quantum optic techniques) and in the astrophysical domain (using absorption systems in the spectra of distant quasars). In the last decade and a half different astrophysical observations of this kind have suggested positive evidence on the time change of the fine structure constant, α_{em} , and there have also appeared intriguing indications of a possible space (local) variation of the same quantity, cf. [5, 6, 7, 8, 9]. Measurements of these two types of effects have been claimed in the literature at the level of $4 - 5\sigma$, but they need independent confirmation by other groups. Similarly, the dimensionless ratio $\mu \equiv m_p/m_e$ (of proton to electron masses) has been carefully monitored (once more using quasar absorption lines) with the result that significant time variation of $\dot{\mu}/\mu$ at a $\sim 4\sigma$ level has been reported, although still unconfirmed by other

¹Preprint of the Preface to the Special Issue on *Fundamental Constants in Physics and Their Time Variation*, published in Modern Physics Letters A Vol. 30, No. 22 (2015) 1502004 [5 pages] [DOI: 10.1142/S0217732315020046] Guest Editor of the Special Issue: J. Solà.

observations. Future high precision quantum optic experiments in the lab are also planned to test the possible time variation of these observables, and they will most likely be competitive.

Quite obviously this is a very active field of research. Exciting new results are expected soon which could significantly modify our current scientific paradigms. If we attend to what we know about the energy budget of the Universe, which is believed to consist roughly of only 5% of baryonic matter (atoms), 25% of dark matter (DM) and 70% of dark energy (DE), it is pretty obvious that our knowledge on its composition is more than limited and hence leaves much to be desired. It is not surprising that many researchers, spurred by the positive observational hints and the unsatisfactory theoretical situation, have seriously adhered to the possibility that the so-called fundamental “constants” of nature can be, in reality, slowly varying quantities possibly related to underlying fundamental theories of the elementary interactions. These theories are unknown at present, but they might help explaining the origin of the hidden components of the DM and the nature of the DE, which dominate by far the structure and fate of our Universe.

If we descend a further level of theoretical detail and scrutinize the status of the best model we have to date for studying the subatomic world, namely the standard model (SM) of the strong and electroweak (EW) interactions, we find that it consists of many parameters whose ultimate origin and interrelationship remains completely unknown. For example, we can identify 27 (presumably) independent fundamental constants, to wit: the QED fine structure constant $\alpha_{\text{em}} = e^2/4\pi$, the $SU(2)_L$ gauge coupling g of the EW interactions, the gauge coupling constant of the strong interactions g_s , the masses $M_{W,Z}$ of the weak gauge bosons, the mass M_H of the Higgs boson (currently a measured parameter), the 12 masses of the quarks and leptons, the 3 mixing angles of the quark mass matrix, a CP-violating phase, the 3 mixing angles in the lepton sector, a CP-violating phase and two additional phases, if the neutrino masses are Majorana masses. We do not have at present an explanation for the large variety of couplings, masses and mixing angles in the SM. Admittedly the model works quite well since all the other observables (e.g. cross-sections, decay rates etc) can be explained satisfactorily with those 27 parameters, and in many cases not only at the tree level but also at high loop order.

Recently the LHC collaborations at CERN have tagged a scalar particle, with a mass of around 125 GeV [10]. The new particle at the moment seems to carry all the physical attributes for being identified with the Higgs boson (or at least “a” Higgs boson within some popular extension of the SM containing several such spinless particles). In that case the entire particle content of the SM, and eventually of the most favorite extensions thereof, will have been elucidated. However, we do not have at present a real, truly profound, understanding of the theoretical structure of the SM. Some very obvious questions remain still unanswered, let us just mention three of utmost importance: i) why the number of parameters is so large? ii) why just three families of quarks and leptons? iii) why do we have such a large hierarchy of fermion masses ranging from extremely light neutrinos to the super heavy top quark? Ultimately our crucial question boils down to this one: are all these parameters really fundamental constants of nature? Somehow we expect that

there should be a deeper correlation among them, which might eventually become apparent in the context of a more complete theory.

If, in addition, we include the Einstein-Hilbert action in the above field theoretical structure, two more fundamental “constants” enter into play, both of them dimensionful; namely, Newton’s gravitational coupling G and the cosmological constant Λ (or “CC term”). The gravity constant can be related to a mass parameter through $G = \hbar c/M_P^2$, where $M_P \simeq 1.221 \times 10^{19}$ GeV defines the Planck mass, which is the largest mass scale in the Universe. However, the relation of that mass with the gravitational strength G depends on the Planck constant \hbar and the velocity of light in vacuo, c . This implies that (relativistic) quantum theory is inherently involved in that link, and is of course the reason why it is usually stated that, at energies above $M_P c^2$, quantum gravity should start playing a crucial role in the description of the Universe.

As for the cosmological constant Λ , it is perhaps the most mysterious of all the fundamental “constants” of the Universe [11]. If we combine G , Λ and c , we may form the quantity $\rho_\Lambda c^2 = \Lambda c^4/(8\pi G)$ which has dimension of energy density. It is usually called the *vacuum energy density*, and it is the standard candidate for explaining the DE. The so-called Λ CDM model (or concordance model of cosmology) is precisely based on this assumption. Notice that ρ_Λ has dimension of mass density and its (observationally measured) value is of order $\rho_\Lambda \simeq 10^{-29} g/cm^3$. Obviously it is a very small density parameter, just around 0.7 times the value of the critical density ρ_c of the Universe. In natural units ($\hbar = c = 1$) we can rewrite it as $\rho_\Lambda \sim 10^{-11} \text{ eV}^4$. It follows that the mass scale associated to such density, i.e. the quantity m_Λ that satisfies $\rho_\Lambda \sim m_\Lambda^4$, is of order of a millielectronvolt: $m_\Lambda \sim 10^{-3} \text{ eV}$. This is quite astonishing if we take into account that all of the mass scales in the SM (up to perhaps the mass of a very light neutrino) are exceedingly much heavier than m_Λ . For instance, $m_e/m_\Lambda \sim 5 \times 10^8$ for the electron and $M_W/m_\Lambda \sim 10^{14}$ for a weak gauge boson. Thus we find that the electroweak vacuum energy density is typically $M_W^4/m_\Lambda^4 \sim 10^{56}$ times bigger than the cosmic vacuum energy density! This preposterous result is at the root of the so-called *cosmological constant problem*, perhaps the biggest conundrum of theoretical physics ever [11] – see also [12] for a vivid account, and [13] for possible implications on the physics of the early Universe. It rises one more crucial question (the fourth one, to add to the previous list): what is the ultimate nature of the quantum vacuum; and, more specifically, how to eventually reconcile the vacuum of the SM of strong and electroweak interactions with the observed value of the cosmological constant?

Remarkably, two years from now, in 2017, it will be the centenary of the introduction of the CC term by Einstein in his gravitational field equations [14], and will also be half century of the history of the cosmological constant problem as such [15], namely the (as yet) impossible task of harmonizing the quantum vacuum with the cosmic vacuum. Since then we have been able to measure the value of Λ with high precision and by different and independent astrophysical and cosmological observations [16], but we still lack a truly profound theoretical understanding of its real meaning, and we fully ignore the reason for the tremendous, truly devastating, mismatch

between the observed and the predicted value of the CC in quantum field theory or string theory.

From the above considerations it should be clear that the subject of the fundamental constants and their possible variation (both in time and perhaps also in space) is at the root of some of the most fundamental problems of physics. In this volume seven works are presented which address different aspects of the fundamental “constants” of nature and their possible time and/or space variation:

- The article by Xavier Calmet and Matthias Keller [6] describes the state of the art, both at the theoretical and experimental level, of the fundamental constants and their possible cosmological evolution;
- The work by John D. Barrow and João Magueijo [17] emphasizes the possibility of a local variation of the fine structure constant and proposes a concrete theoretical framework to account for it, namely one where the vacuum is regarded as a dielectric medium with unusual properties;
- The next work, authored by Bennie F.L. Ward [18], performs an attempt at estimating the numerical value of the cosmological constant on the basis of the resummation techniques in quantum General Relativity and the idea of running Λ and G ;
- Related in part to the previous one, Spyros Basilakos [19] reviews in his contribution the features of the cosmic expansion and structure formation in the context of the running vacuum cosmologies;
- The article by Salvatore Capozziello and Gaetano Lambiase [20] plunges into the physics of variable G models by considering the propagation of quantum particles in the framework of spherically symmetric solutions of Brans-Dicke spacetime, and evaluates the detection of these effects using gamma ray bursts;
- Some aspects of the tough cosmological constant problem and its connection with the notion of vacuum energy are addressed in the review contribution by Steven Bass [21]; in particular the possibility that the cosmological constant plus LHC results might hint at critical phenomena near the Planck scale;
- Finally, Harald Fritzsch and the author [22] explore the possibility that there is a consistent feedback between the micro and macro cosmos, namely a subtle crosstalk that could explain the time variation of the fundamental constants of the subatomic world (masses and couplings) in correlation with the time evolution of the cosmological term (appearing as dynamical dark energy) and Newton’s coupling.

We are confident that the set of articles contained in this Special Issue of Modern Physics Letters A will provide the readers with an up-to-date precise of the theoretical and experimental situation of the fundamental “constants” and the exciting possibility that they may have undergone a non-negligible evolution throughout the cosmic history. We also hope they can serve as an stimulus for future work on this field, and especially for the development of the necessary new ideas that will contribute in the future to our better understanding of some of the most puzzling problems of gravitation, particle physics and cosmology.

References

- [1] P. A. M. Dirac, *Nature* **139** (1937) 323; *Proc. Roy. Soc. London A* **165** (1938) 198.
- [2] R.H. Dicke, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **29** (1957) 355; *Nature* **192** (1961) 440.
- [3] P. Jordan, *Schwerkraft und Weltall. Grundlagen der theoretischen Kosmologie* (Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1955); M. Fierz, *Helv. Phys. Acta* **29** (1956) 128; C. Brans and R. H. Dicke, *Phys. Rev.* **124** (1961) 925; R. H. Dicke, *Phys. Rev.* **125** (1962) 2163.
- [4] G. Gamow, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **19** (1967) 759.
- [5] J-P. Uzan, *Liv. Rev. Rel.* **14** (2011) 2; T. Chiba, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* 126 (2011) 993.
- [6] X. Calmet and M. Keller, *Cosmological Evolution of Fundamental Constants: From Theory to Experiment*, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A***30** (2015) 1540028 [13 pages].
- [7] J. K. Webb, V. V. Flambaum, C. W. Churchill, M. J. Drinkwater and J. D. Barrow, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82** (1999) 884.
- [8] J. K. Webb et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107** (2011) 191101; J. A. King et al., *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **422** (2012) 3370.
- [9] Y. V. Stadnik and V. V. Flambaum, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114** (2015) 161301; e-Print: arXiv:1503.08540.
- [10] The ATLAS Collab., *Phys. Lett. B***716** (2012) 1; The CMS Collab., *ibid.* **B716** (2012) 30.
- [11] S. Weinberg, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **61** (1989) 1; V. Sahni, A. Starobinsky, *Int. J. of Mod. Phys. A***9** (2000) 373; T. Padmanabhan, *Phys. Rept.* **380** (2003) 235; P.J. Peebles, B. Ratra, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **75** (2003) 559.
- [12] J. Solà, *Cosmological constant and vacuum energy: old and new ideas*, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **453** (2013) 012015; J. Solà, and A. Gómez-Valent, *Int. J. of Mod. Phys. D***24** (2015) 1541003.
- [13] J. Solà, *The cosmological constant and entropy problems: mysteries of the present with profound roots in the past*, e-Print: arXiv:1505.05863 (to appear in *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D*).

- [14] A. Einstein, *Kosmologische Betrachtungen zur allgemeinen Relativitätstheorie*, Sitzungsberichte der Königlich Preußischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin, phys.-math. Klasse VI (1917) 142-152.
- [15] Y. B. Zeldovich, *Cosmological constant and elementary particles*, JETP Lett. **6** (1967) 316, Pisma Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz. **6** (1967) 883; *Cosmological constant and the theory of elementary particles*, Sov. Phys. Usp. **11** (1968) 381.
- [16] Planck 2013 results. XVI (P. A. R. Ade *et al.*). *Cosmological parameters*, Astron. Astrophys. **571** (2014) A16; Planck 2015 results. XIII. *Cosmological parameters* e-Print: arXiv:1502.01589.
- [17] J. D. Barrow, J. Magueijo, *Local Varying-Alpha Theories*, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A30** (2015) 1540029 [16 pages].
- [18] B.F.L. Ward, *Running of the cosmological constant and estimate of its value in quantum general relativity*, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A30** (2015) 1540030 [15 pages].
- [19] S. Basilakos, *Cosmic expansion and structure formation in running vacuum cosmologies*, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A30** (2015) 1540031 [17 pages].
- [20] S. Capozziello, G. Lambiase, *Propagation of quantum particles in BransDicke spacetime: The case of gamma ray bursts*, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A30** (2015) 1540032 [13 pages].
- [21] S. D. Bass, *Vacuum energy and the cosmological constant*, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A30** (2015) 1540033 [15 pages].
- [22] H. Fritzsche, J. Solà, *Fundamental constants and cosmic vacuum: The micro and macro connection*, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A30** (2015) 1540034 [16 pages].