Non-relativistic matter and Dark energy in a quantum conformal model

Pankaj Jain^{*}, Gopal Kashyap[†]and Subhadip Mitra[‡]

Department of Physics, IIT Kanpur, Kanpur 208 016, India

October 28, 2021

Abstract: We consider a generalization of the standard model which respects quantum conformal invariance. This model leads to identically zero vacuum energy. We show how nonrelativistic matter and dark energy arises in this model. Hence the model is shown to be consistent with observations.

1 Introduction

In a recent paper we considered a generalization of the standard model which displays conformal invariance within the full quantum theory [1]. It has been shown earlier [2–7] that it is possible to evade conformal anomaly [8–15] if conformal invariance is broken by a soft mechanism. In this case it is possible to use a dynamical scale for regularization which preserves conformal invariance. For example, within the framework of dimensional regularization, the fixed mass scale μ is replaced by a real scalar field which we denote by the symbol χ . This is called the GR-SI prescription [4]. The conformal symmetry may be broken spontaneously [2, 4, 5, 16] or by the background cosmic evolution [3, 6]. The resulting non-zero classical value of χ provides the regularization mass scale. Due to quantum conformal invariance, the trace of the energy momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$ in this theory is found to be equal to a total divergence, that is,

$$T^{\mu}_{\mu} \sim \partial_{\mu}(\chi \partial^{\mu} \chi) \tag{1}$$

Hence its vacuum expectation value (VEV) is equal to zero. However its expectation value in other states need not be zero.

Despite the fact that the theory respects quantum conformal invariance, the problem related to the fine tuning of the cosmological constant [17,18] remains in its simplest formulation. This has been discussed in detail in [1]. For example, the spontaneous breaking [19] of conformal

^{*}email: pkjain@iitk.ac.in

[†]email: gopal@iitk.ac.in

[‡]email: subhadipmitra@gmail.com

invariance requires that we set one of the parameters in the scalar potential to zero [4]. This parameter is not protected by any symmetry and has to be set to zero order by order in perturbation theory. Unless this parameter, which we denote by the symbol λ , takes a very small value, the scalar field χ quickly decays to zero and the GR-SI prescription cannot be implemented [1].

In [1] we argued that this fine tuning problem can be evaded if there exists another strongly coupled sector in the theory besides QCD. We considered a model in which the strongly coupled sector couples very weakly to the standard model particles and hence provides candidates for dark matter [20–22]. In this case the parameter λ need not take a very small value and hence does not require fine tuning. We review this model later in this section. The main purpose of the present paper is to show how non-relativistic matter and dark energy can arise in this model. The presence of non-relativistic matter is not immediately obvious due to the condition displayed in Eq. 1. The problem is that on the right hand side we only obtain contributions from the scalar fields in the theory. In Eq. 1 we have displayed only one such term. Analogous terms are present for other scalar fields. However we also require contributions from fermionic fields, such as, protons and neutrons. These do not appear explicitly in T^{μ}_{μ} , while we expect them to contribute. Hence it is not clear whether the implications of quantum conformal invariance, i.e. Eq. 1, are consistent with observations, in particular, solar system physics and cosmology. As we shall show in this paper, the conformal theory necessarily leads to additional contributions besides non-relativistic matter. This means that it is not possible to only have non-relativistic matter which is permissible within the standard framework. In the present paper we examine these additional contributions. These might lead to interesting signals in astrophysics and cosmology. However in the present paper we shall primarily be interested in demonstrating that it is possible to suppress these contributions by a suitable choice of parameters. Hence we argue that the model provides a consistent framework for cosmology, free from the fine tuning problem of the cosmological constant. The model also does not suffer from the problem of fine tuning of the Higgs mass due to conformal invariance [4].

1.1 Review of the conformal model

In this subsection we briefly review the conformal model described in [1]. Here we shall directly discuss the generalization of the standard model rather than the toy model considered in [1]. We display the action directly in *d*-dimensions. The action can be written as

$$\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_G + \mathcal{S}_{SM} + \mathcal{S}_D \tag{2}$$

where S_G is the gravitational action, S_{SM} represents the conformal extension of the standard model and S_D represents the strongly coupled dark sector. The gravitational action can be expressed as,

$$S_G = \int d^d x \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{M_{PL}^2}{16\pi} R - \frac{\xi}{2} \chi^2 R \right)$$
(3)

where the first term is the standard Einstein action and second term represents a non-minimal coupling of the real scalar field χ with gravity [23,24]. Here M_{PL} denotes the Planck mass and

 ξ is an additional parameter. We may add similar terms for other scalar fields. In Ref. [23] it has been suggested that we should set $\xi = 1/6$ since it leads to improved energy momentum tensor. Furthermore in a conformal model it leads to $R = T^{\mu}_{\mu} = 0$, instead of Eq. 1. This also holds in d dimensions if we choose,

$$\xi = \frac{(d-2)}{4(d-1)} \,. \tag{4}$$

In our analysis we shall simply set this parameter to zero. A non-zero value of this parameter would be useful for a detailed fit to the cosmological observations. However it is not essential for our analysis and does not affect our conclusions as long as it is different from the value given in Eq. 4.

The action for the conformal generalization of the standard model can be expressed as

$$S_{SM} = \int d^{d}x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu}\chi \partial_{\nu}\chi + g^{\mu\nu} (D_{\mu}\mathcal{H})^{\dagger} (D_{\nu}\mathcal{H}) - \frac{1}{4} g^{\mu\nu} g^{\alpha\beta} (\mathcal{A}^{i}_{\mu\alpha}\mathcal{A}^{i}_{\nu\beta} + \mathcal{B}_{\mu\alpha}\mathcal{B}_{\nu\beta} + \mathcal{G}^{a}_{\mu\alpha}\mathcal{G}^{a}_{\nu\beta}) (\zeta^{2})^{\delta} - \frac{\lambda_{1}}{4} (2\mathcal{H}^{\dagger}\mathcal{H} - \lambda_{2}\chi^{2})^{2} (\zeta^{2})^{-\delta} - \frac{\lambda}{4} \chi^{4} (\zeta^{2})^{-\delta} \right] + \mathcal{S}_{\text{fermions}},$$
(5)

where $\delta = (d-4)/(d-2)$, \mathcal{H} is the Higgs multiplet and $\mathcal{G}^a_{\mu\nu}$, $\mathcal{A}^i_{\mu\nu}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu}$ denote the SU(3), SU(2) and U(1) field strength tensors. Here

$$\zeta^2 = \beta \chi^2 + 2\beta_1 \mathcal{H}^{\dagger} \mathcal{H} \tag{6}$$

and β , β_1 are parameters. For simplicity we may set $\beta = 1$ and $\beta_1 = 0$ which leads to $\zeta^2 = \chi^2$. Besides the standard model fields, the only additional field in this action is the real scalar field χ . The action, S_{fermions} , is given by

$$S_{\text{fermions}} = \int d^d x \, e \, \left(\overline{\Psi}_{\mathrm{L}} i \gamma^{\mu} \mathcal{D}_{\mu} \Psi_{\mathrm{L}} + \overline{\Psi}_{\mathrm{R}} i \gamma^{\mu} \mathcal{D}_{\mu} \Psi_{\mathrm{R}} \right) - \int d^d x \, e \, \left(g_Y \overline{\Psi}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathcal{H} \Psi_{\mathrm{R}} (\zeta^2)^{-\delta/2} + h.c. \right), \tag{7}$$

where, as usual, Ψ_L and Ψ_R are the left and right handed projections of a fermion field and the Yukawa coupling is denoted by g_Y . Here we have displayed the action for only one left handed SU(2) doublet and a right handed SU(2) singlet. Similar terms can be added for all the fermions. Furthermore, $e = \det(e_{\mu}^{a})$, and e_{μ}^{a} is the vielbein. The Higgs multiplet can be decomposed as

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 + i\phi_2\\ \phi_3 + i\phi_4 \end{pmatrix} \tag{8}$$

The strongly coupled dark matter action can be expressed as [20–22],

$$\mathcal{S}_D = \int d^d x \sqrt{-g} \left[-\frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{a\mu\nu} \left(\zeta^2\right)^\delta + i\bar{\xi}^i \gamma^\mu D_\mu \xi^i - g_Y \bar{\xi}^i \chi \xi^i \left(\zeta^2\right)^{(-\delta/2)} \right] \tag{9}$$

where $G^a_{\mu\nu}$ is the field strength tensor and ξ^i represent fermion fields. We refer to this strongly coupled sector as hypercolor. Here we have included only one multiplet of hypercolor fermions. In general several multiplets might exist. This strong sector couples to the electroweak sector only through its coupling to the scalar field χ and terms such as $(\zeta^2)^{\delta}$. The field χ couples to the electroweak sector by its interaction with the Higgs field. This interaction is proportional to λ_2 . As discussed in [1] we expect this coupling to be very small. The terms such as $(\zeta^2)^{\delta}$ contribute only at loop orders. As discussed in [1], these loop contributions are suppressed by Planck mass and hence are very small. The matter action, i.e. $S_{SM} + S_D$, in *d* dimensions is symmetric under the conformal transformation,

$$\Phi \to \frac{\Phi}{\Omega}, g_{\mu\nu} \to \Omega^{b} g_{\mu\nu}, A_{\mu} \to A_{\mu}, \Psi \to \Psi/\Omega^{c}$$
(10)

where b = 4/(d-2), c = (d-1)/(d-2), Φ is a scalar field, Ψ a fermion field and A_{μ} a vector field.

We need to break conformal symmetry by a soft mechanism. The relevant equations are the classical equations of motion for the Higgs field and χ and the dynamical equations for the strongly coupled sector. These equations for the strongly coupled sector are not well understood. However we know that these generate the condensates for the gauge fields and fermions. In making quantum computations, we need to regulate the action. In our case this is accomplished by introducing the dynamical field ζ . The classical value of this field is determined self-consistently by solving the classical equations of motion for χ and \mathcal{H} . In the Higgs multiplet we set $\phi_1 = \phi_2 = \phi_4 = 0$ and focus on the physical Higgs field, ϕ_3 , which is expected to have a non-zero VEV. The classical equations of motion of ϕ_3 and χ can be written as,

$$g^{\mu\nu}\phi_{3;\mu;\nu} + \lambda_1\phi_3(\phi_3^2 - \lambda_2\chi^2) = 0$$

$$g^{\mu\nu}\chi_{;\mu;\nu} + \lambda\chi^3 - \lambda_1\lambda_2\chi(\phi_3^2 - \lambda_2\chi^2) + g_1\langle\bar{\xi}^i\xi^i\rangle = 0$$
 (11)

where we have replaced $\bar{\xi}^i \xi^i$ by its vacuum expectation value $\langle \bar{\xi}^i \xi^i \rangle$. The strong interaction dynamics leads to a non-zero value of this condensate. We express this as,

$$\langle \bar{\xi}^i \xi^i \rangle = \Lambda_S^3 \,. \tag{12}$$

Once this condensate is generated we determine space-time independent solution to the equations of motion for χ and ϕ_3 . The resulting solution can be expressed as,

$$v = \sqrt{\lambda_2} \eta$$

$$\lambda \eta^3 = -g_1 \langle \bar{\xi}^i \xi^i \rangle$$
(13)

where v and η are the vacuum expectation values of the fields ϕ_3 and χ respectively. Hence these leads to the electroweak scale with a suitable choice of the parameters λ_2 and η . The parameters λ_2 and λ are expected to take very small values in this model. However it has been shown in [1] that no fine tuning of these parameters is required at loop orders.

An important point about this model is that the curvature scalar R is proportional to a

total derivative. The precise value depends on the parameter ξ . For simplicity, here we set $\xi = 0$ although we do not need to make this choice. In general, the parameter ξ may be useful for a detailed cosmological fit to data. For $\xi = 0$, we obtain

$$\frac{R}{8\pi G} = T^{\mu}_{\mu} = -(\chi \partial^{\mu} \chi)_{;\mu} + \dots$$
(14)

where the dots indicate that similar contributions are obtained from all scalar fields in the theory. We point out that for $\xi \neq 0$, this equation in d dimensions becomes

$$\frac{R}{8\pi G} = T^{\mu}_{\mu} = -\left[1 - 4\xi \left(\frac{d-1}{d-2}\right)\right] (\chi \partial^{\mu} \chi)_{;\mu} + \dots$$
(15)

The VEV of the terms on the right hand side of this equation is zero since these are total derivatives. Hence these terms do not contribute to vacuum energy. We expect that R should get a contribution from non-relativistic matter. This is not obvious from Eq. 14 in which only the scalar fields contribute and there are no contribution from fermions, i.e. physical fields such as protons, neutrons and electrons. At current time, we expect that the contribution to this equation from massive scalar fields, such as the Higgs field, would be negligible. Only the fields which have very low mass may contribute. In next section we investigate whether such contributions can effectively lead to non-relativistic matter.

2 Non-relativistic Matter

In the previous section we have outlined the main problem with the model. It is not clear how non-relativistic matter arises in this case. The basic problem is illustrated by Eq. 14 where only scalar fields contribute to the trace of the energy momentum tensor, whereas we also expect contributions from fermion fields such as, protons, neutrons and electrons. In this section we study such contributions in more detail. The dominant contribution to the mass of visible matter is given by protons and neutrons. Within our framework, we face a problem in handling these particles due to their composite nature. We handle them by introducing an effective interaction term of protons and neutrons with the Higgs field. These terms are the same as those for up and down quarks with an effective interaction which can be expressed in terms of a form factor. At zero momentum transfer we expect this form factor to be proportional to the mass of these particles. Hence the effective couplings for proton and neutron are $g_p = m_p/v$ and $g_n = m_n/v$ respectively where m_p and m_n are the corresponding masses. We denote these fields by the symbols ψ_p and ψ_n respectively. Their effective Yukawa interaction terms can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{L}_Y = -g_p \bar{\psi}_p \psi_p \phi_3 - g_n \bar{\psi}_n \psi_n \phi_3 \tag{16}$$

The equations of motion for the scalar fields, including contributions from the Yukawa terms,

can be written as,

$$g^{\mu\nu}\phi_{3;\mu;\nu} + \lambda_1\phi_3(\phi_3^2 - \lambda_2\chi^2) + g_p\bar{\psi}_p\psi_p + g_n\bar{\psi}_n\psi_n = 0$$

$$g^{\mu\nu}\chi_{;\mu;\nu} + \lambda\chi^3 - \lambda_1\lambda_2\chi(\phi_3^2 - \lambda_2\chi^2) + g_1\langle\bar{\xi}^i\xi^i\rangle = 0$$
(17)

We are interested in the contributions of protons and neutrons which act as non-relativistic matter. We can replace the fermion bilinears in terms of their energy density, i.e.,

$$m_p \bar{\psi}_p \psi_p + m_n \bar{\psi}_n \psi_n = \rho \tag{18}$$

where ρ is the non-relativistic energy density. If we set $\rho = 0$ the solution to Eq. 17 is given by Eq. 13. However in the presence of ρ , which is treated as a small perturbation, we expect that the solution can be expressed as,

$$\phi_3 = v + \delta\phi_3
\chi = \eta + \delta\chi$$
(19)

where $\delta \phi_3$ and $\delta \chi$ are small and determined by ρ .

Let us now set the space and time derivative of the Higgs field to zero. We expect this to be a good approximation since this field is relatively heavy and the potential terms dominate in the equation of motion. The derivatives are related to the derivatives of ρ and hence expected to be negligible. We shall explicitly show later that this is a good approximation. The ϕ_3 equation of motion then yields

$$\lambda_1(\phi_3^2 - \lambda_2 \chi^2) = -\frac{1}{\phi_3} \frac{\rho}{v} \approx -\frac{\rho}{v^2}$$
(20)

Substituting this into the equation of motion for χ we obtain

$$g^{\mu\nu}\chi_{;\mu;\nu} + \lambda\chi^3 + g_1\langle\bar{\xi}^i\xi^i\rangle + \frac{\lambda_2\chi}{v^2}\rho = 0$$
(21)

The term proportional to ρ acts as a small perturbation in this equation which determines the deviation of χ from its VEV η which is a constant. Since ρ is small we can replace χ in the last term in Eq. 21 by η . We next need to determine the expectation value $\langle \bar{\xi}^i \xi^i \rangle$ when $\rho \neq 0$. Recall that if $\rho = 0$ then $\langle \bar{\xi}^i \xi^i \rangle$ is given by Eq. 13. However in the present case the background value of χ will be slightly different from η which will also lead to a shift in $\langle \bar{\xi}^i \xi^i \rangle$. Computing this shift, however, is difficult since this requires us to perturb the equations corresponding to the strong interaction dynamics. Here we make a simple approximation,

$$\lambda \chi^3 + g_1 \langle \bar{\xi}^i \xi^i \rangle = 0 \tag{22}$$

i.e., we simply replace η in Eq. 21 by the modified background value of χ . Below we give some justification for this approximation. Under this approximation the equation of motion of χ yields

$$g^{\mu\nu}\delta\chi_{;\mu;\nu} + \frac{\rho}{\eta} = 0 \tag{23}$$

i.e. χ (or $\delta\chi$) acts as a massless field whose evolution is controlled by the non-relativistic energy density. Our approximation, Eq. 22, is partially justified by the fact that we expect a massless scalar field in our theory due to soft breaking of conformal symmetry. If we use Eq. 22, we find that this field is χ . If Eq. 22 is not a good approximation, then this field would be a linear combination of χ , ϕ_3 and a scalar bound state of the dark fermions. We again expect an equation analogous to Eq. 23 for the resulting massless field. Hence we do not expect the physical consequences to be modified significantly even if Eq. 22 is not reliable.

We may also consider the case in which the strongly interacting dark sector is absent. In this case we need to arbitrarily set $\lambda = 0$ or extremely small. Hence this model requires fine tuning. However the model still satisfies conformal invariance and obeys Eq. 14. Hence the problem we are trying to address is also present. Due to the absence of dark strong sector this model is easier to handle. In this case we find that Eq. 22 is trivially satisfied since $\lambda = 0$. Hence we again obtain Eq. 23. The remaining analysis in this model is same as presented in the remainder of this paper for the model with $\lambda \neq 0$.

Yet another possibility is set λ to be extremely small but not zero. In this case the scalar field evolves with time. As discussed in [1], we can choose η , the classical value of χ , to be sufficiently large so that it does not decay to zero over the lifetime of the Universe. It turns out that this is possible only if $\eta \gg M_{PL}$. An explicit calculation shows that this model with $\xi = 0$ produces a very large energy density which is cosmologically unacceptable. If we instead choose the value given in Eq. 4 then the energy density is zero. Hence it is clear that we can produce cosmologically acceptable energy density if we set ξ very close to the value in Eq. 4. However this will require fine tuning of this coupling. It is not clear if this fine tuning persists at loop orders since the loop corrections are suppressed by Planck mass and expected to be very small. In any case we do not pursue this possibility in the present paper.

We next determine the derivatives of ϕ_3 in order to check if we were justified in ignoring those. We have

$$\phi_3^2 = \lambda_2 \chi^2 - \frac{\rho}{v^2 \lambda_1} \tag{24}$$

which leads to

$$\delta\phi_3 = \frac{\lambda_2\eta}{v}\delta\chi - \frac{\rho}{2v^3\lambda_1} \tag{25}$$

We next compute $g^{\mu\nu}\delta\phi_{3;\mu;\nu}$. By using Eq. 23, the second derivatives of ϕ_3 can expressed in terms of the second derivatives of ρ . For a slowly varying ρ it is easy to check that $g^{\mu\nu}\delta\phi_{3;\mu;\nu}$ is negligible compared to the terms we kept in the equation of motion of ϕ_3 .

2.1 Time Independent Energy Density

Let us next consider the case of a localized time independent energy density. The equation of motion of χ in this case can be expressed as

$$-\nabla^2 \delta \chi + \frac{\rho}{\eta} = 0 \tag{26}$$

Hence we see that the scalar field χ provides a new force which couples to mass with an effective gravitational constant equal to $1/\eta^2$.

We next determine the contribution to the Einstein's equations. The energy-momentum tensor can be written as

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}\phi_{3}\partial_{\nu}\phi_{3} + \partial_{\mu}\chi\partial_{\nu}\chi + \bar{\psi}_{p}i\gamma_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}\psi_{p} + \bar{\psi}_{n}i\gamma_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}\psi_{n} + \bar{\xi}^{i}i\gamma_{\mu}D_{\nu}\xi^{i} - g_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{L}$$
(27)

We shall drop the derivatives of the Higgs field. In the present case the time derivative of χ is also zero. The resulting 0 - 0 component of the energy-momentum tensor can be expressed as

$$T_{00} = \bar{\psi}_{p} i \gamma_{0} \partial_{0} \psi_{p} + \bar{\psi}_{n} i \gamma_{0} \partial_{0} \psi_{n} + \langle \bar{\xi}^{i} i \gamma_{0} D_{0} \xi^{i} \rangle - g_{00} \left[-\frac{1}{2} (\nabla \chi)^{2} - \frac{\lambda}{4} \chi^{4} - \frac{\lambda_{1}}{4} (\phi_{3}^{2} - \lambda_{2} \chi^{2})^{2} \right]$$
(28)

Here we use the FRW metric and $g_{00} = 1$. We have also used the fermion equations of motion in order to simplify this expression. Using Eq. 20 and the fact that $\lambda_1 \sim 1$ we find that $(\phi_3^2 - \lambda_2 \chi^2)^2 \sim \rho(\rho/v^4)$. Hence in most cases of observable energy density, this term is negligible compared to ρ and we shall drop it. The equation of motion for the fermion field ξ implies

$$g^{\mu\nu}\bar{\xi}^i i\gamma_\mu D_\nu \xi^i - g_1 \chi \bar{\xi}^i \xi^i = 0$$
⁽²⁹⁾

We expect that the vacuum expectation values satisfy

$$\langle \bar{\xi}^i i \gamma^0 D_0 \xi^i \rangle = \langle \bar{\xi}^i i \gamma^1 D_1 \xi^i \rangle = \langle \bar{\xi}^i i \gamma^2 D_2 \xi^i \rangle = \langle \bar{\xi}^i i \gamma^3 D_3 \xi^i \rangle$$
(30)

This is because all components should be equal in vacuum. Hence by using Eq. 29 we obtain

$$\langle \bar{\xi}^i i \gamma^0 D_0 \xi^i \rangle = \frac{1}{4} g_1 \chi \langle \bar{\xi}^i \xi^i \rangle \tag{31}$$

We point out that, for simplicity, here we perform the analysis in 4 dimensions but the entire calculation goes through also in d dimensions. Substituting the above equation into T_{00} we obtain

$$T_{00} = \rho + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \chi)^2 \tag{32}$$

where we have set

$$\bar{\psi}_p i \gamma_0 \partial_0 \psi_p + \bar{\psi}_n i \gamma_0 \partial_0 \psi_n = m_p \bar{\psi}_p \psi_p + m_n \bar{\psi}_n \psi_n = \rho \tag{33}$$

and we can also replace χ by $\delta\chi$.

We next estimate the field $\delta \chi$ for a spherically symmetric distribution $\rho(r)$. Using Eq. 26 we obtain

$$\vec{\nabla}\chi = \vec{\nabla}\delta\chi = \frac{M}{4\pi\eta}\frac{\hat{r}}{r^2} \tag{34}$$

where M is the total mass contained within radius r. Hence we find that

$$T_{00} = \rho + \frac{M^2}{2(4\pi)^2 \eta^2 r^4} \tag{35}$$

The first term is the standard non-relativistic matter. The second term is the extra term that comes along in our model. In order to estimate the relative importance of the two terms we consider the gravitational potential of Sun at Earth. We integrate the two terms. The integral over ρ leads to the total mass M of the Sun. The integral over the second term is equal to

$$M\left[\frac{M}{8\pi\eta^2 R}\right]\,.$$

Hence the relative importance of the second term is determined by the expression inside the brackets. This is found to be equal to

$$\frac{M}{8\pi\eta^2 R} \sim 10^{-9} \left[\frac{1AU}{R}\right] \left[\frac{M_{PL}}{\eta}\right]^2 \tag{36}$$

Hence the second term is small but not negligible if $\eta = M_{PL}$. However we can choose the parameter η to be sufficiently large so that this term does not lead to disagreement with experimental data on the solar system scale. We next estimate the space-space components of the energy-momentum tensor. We obtain

$$T_{ij} = \partial_i \chi \partial_j \chi + \frac{1}{2} g_{ij} (\nabla \chi)^2$$
(37)

It is clear that both terms are of the same order of magnitude as the extra term in T_{00} . Hence by a suitable choice of η these can be made sufficiently small. Similarly the additional force provided by the field χ , as given in Eq. 26, can also be suppressed to the required value by choosing a sufficiently large η . Hence with a suitable choice of η our model is consistent with physics on the solar system and smaller distance scales.

2.2 Cosmic Evolution

We next examine the contribution of non-relativistic matter to cosmic evolution. As in the previous subsection, the non-relativistic matter will be dominated by protons and neutrons. The main point is that in our formalism there are necessarily additional contributions to the Einstein's equations besides the standard contribution due to non-relativistic matter. Here we examine these contributions in order to determine if they are sufficiently small in some limit.

In the present case, Eq. 23 leads to

$$\frac{d^2\delta\chi}{dt^2} + 3H\frac{d\delta\chi}{dt} = -\frac{\rho}{\eta} \tag{38}$$

The components of the energy-momentum tensor are given by

$$T_{00} = \dot{\chi}^2 + \bar{\psi}_p i \gamma_0 \partial_0 \psi_p + \bar{\psi}_n i \gamma_0 \partial_0 \psi_n + \langle \bar{\xi}^i i \gamma_0 D_0 \xi^i \rangle - g_{00} \left[\frac{\dot{\chi}^2}{2} - \lambda \chi^4 \right] = \frac{\dot{\chi}^2}{2} + \rho \qquad (39)$$

where we have used Eqs. 22, 29 and 31. We have also used the equations of motion for ψ_p and

 ψ_n and Eq. 33. We also obtain

$$T_j^i = \langle \bar{\xi}^i i \gamma^i D_j \xi^i \rangle - \delta_j^i \left[\frac{\dot{\chi}^2}{2} - \lambda \chi^4 \right] = -\delta_j^i \frac{\dot{\chi}^2}{2}$$
(40)

Hence we see that we get the standard contribution from non-relativistic matter along with an extra term proportional to $\dot{\chi}^2$ or equivalently $(d\delta\chi/dt)^2$. We next evaluate the contribution of this term to cosmic evolution by using Eq. 38. We first ignore the second derivative of $\delta\chi$. This leads to

$$\frac{d\delta\chi}{dt} \sim \frac{\rho}{\eta H} \tag{41}$$

Here ρ is the non-relativistic energy density. Let us consider the phase in which this dominates the cosmic energy density. Here ρ represents the contribution only of the visible matter. We may assume that the dark matter also gives a similar contribution and add its contribution to ρ . However a detailed evaluation requires an explicit model of dark matter in our framework. Here we shall not go into these details and focus only on visible matter. Assuming that the non-relativistic matter dominates, we obtain

$$\rho \sim M_{PL}^2 H^2 \tag{42}$$

This implies that

$$\left(\frac{d\delta\chi}{dt}\right)^2 \sim \rho \left(\frac{\rho}{\eta^2 H^2}\right) \sim \rho \left(\frac{M_{PL}^2}{\eta^2}\right) \tag{43}$$

This shows that the additional contribution is suppressed by a factor $(M_{PL}/\eta)^2$ and is negligible in the limit $\eta >> M_{PL}$.

We next consider solution to Eq. 38 without neglecting any term. We first assume that non-relativistic matter dominates cosmic energy density. In this case the scale factor is given by, $a(t) \propto t^{2/3}$, H = 2/3t and $\rho = \rho_0 t_0^2/t^2$. Here ρ_0 and t_0 represent the current density and time respectively. We seek a solution which will go to zero as $\rho_0 \to 0$. Such a solution can be expressed as,

$$\delta\chi = \delta\chi_0 \,\ln(t_0/t) \tag{44}$$

Substituting this into Eq. 38, we obtain, $\delta \chi_0 = \rho_0 t_0^2 / \eta$. Hence we find

$$\frac{d\delta\chi}{dt} = \frac{\rho_0 t_0^2}{\eta t} \tag{45}$$

The extra term in the energy momentum tensor is proportional to the square of this term. Comparing this with ρ we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\rho} \left(\frac{d\delta\chi}{dt}\right)^2 \sim \frac{\rho_0 t_0^2}{\eta^2} \sim \frac{H_0^2 M_{PL}^2}{\eta^2} \frac{1}{H_0^2} \sim \frac{M_{PL}^2}{\eta^2} \tag{46}$$

which is same as that obtained in Eq. 43. Hence this leads to the same constraint as obtained earlier. The analysis for the case of radiation domination, such that, $a \propto t^{1/2}$, and H = 1/2t is

similar and leads to the same conclusion. For the case of vacuum domination, $a(t) = a_0 e^{H(t-t_0)}$ we seek an approximate solution of the form

$$\delta\chi = A(t)e^{-3Ht} \tag{47}$$

where A(t) is assumed to be a slowly varying function of t such that we can neglect its second derivative. We find that the solution is such that

$$\frac{dA}{dt} = \frac{\rho_0 e^{3Ht_0}}{3H\eta} \tag{48}$$

which is a constant. This leads to

$$\frac{d\delta\chi}{dt} = \left(-3HA + \dot{A}\right) \left(\frac{a_0}{a}\right)^3 e^{-3Ht_0} = \left[-\frac{t}{\eta} + \frac{1}{3H\eta}\right] \rho \sim \frac{\rho}{H\eta}$$
(49)

where we have set $t \sim 1/H$. This again leads to the same result as given in Eq. 43.

To summarize, we find that in all cases the additional contributions to the energy-momentum tensor are suppressed by the factor $(M_{PL}/\eta)^2$. Hence we see that by a suitable choice of parameters we obtain the standard cosmic evolution. It is of course of considerable interest to determine the change in cosmic evolution due to the additional contributions in order to test if there is any evidence for them in data. However we postpone this study to a future paper.

2.3 Dark Energy

The introduction of dark energy in our framework is straightforward. We simply add a cosmological constant term. In our framework the vacuum energy is identically zero and hence such a term can be added without requiring any fine tuning.

3 Conclusions

We have analysed a model in which the matter sector displays quantum conformal invariance. The trace of the energy momentum tensor is found to be equal to a total divergence. A useful feature of the model is that it leads to zero vacuum energy density. Hence we can add a small cosmological constant term which does not require any fine tuning due to quantum corrections from the matter sector. The model contains a strongly interacting dark matter sector. The conformal symmetry is broken by the strong interaction dynamics in this sector which leads to formation of condensates. The model is free from the fine tuning problem of the cosmological constant. However the model does not admit non-relativistic matter in the standard manner. Such a contribution is necessarily associated with an additional contribution from the scalar fields in the model. In this paper we have considered such additional contributions and have shown that these are small in the limit when the classical value of the scalar field χ is much larger than the Planck mass. Hence we argue that the model is consistent with observations. A detailed fit of the model to cosmological data is postponed to future work.

Acknowledgements: Gopal Kashyap thanks the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), India for providing his Ph.D. fellowship.

References

- [1] P. Jain, G. Kashyap and S. Mitra, arXiv:1408.2620v2.
- [2] F. Englert, C. Truffin and R. Gastmans, Nucl. Phys. B 117, 407 (1976).
- [3] P. Jain, S. Mitra and N. K. Singh, JCAP 0803, 011 (2008) [arXiv:0801.2041 [astro-ph]].
- [4] M. E. Shaposhnikov and D. Zenhausern, Phys. Lett. B 671, 162 (2009) [arXiv:0809.3406 [hep-th]].
- [5] M. E. Shaposhnikov and D. Zenhausern, Phys. Lett. B 671, 187 (2009) [arXiv:0809.3395 [hep-th]].
- [6] P. Jain and S. Mitra, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 22, 1651 (2007) [arXiv:0704.2273 [hep-ph]].
- [7] N. K. Singh, P. Jain, S. Mitra and S. Panda, Phys. Rev. D 84, 105037 (2011).
- [8] S. Coleman and R. Jackiw, Annals of Physics 67 552 (1971).
- [9] D. A. Akyeampong and R. Delbourgo, Nuovo Cim. A 19, 219 (1974).
- [10] D. Capper and M. Duff, Nuovo Cimento 23A, 173 (1974).
- [11] M. Duff, S. Deser and C. Isham, Nucl. Phys. **111B**, 45 (1976).
- [12] J. Dowker and R. Critchley, Phys. Rev. D 13, 3224 (1976).
- [13] L. Brown and J. Cassidy, Phys. Rev. D 15, 2810 (1977).
- [14] H. Tsao, Phys. Lett. 68B, 79 (1977).
- [15] K. Fujikawa, Phys. Rev. Lett. 44, 1733 (1980).
- [16] P. Jain and G. Kashyap, Mod. Phys. Lett. A. 29 1450195 (2014).
- [17] S. Weinberg, Rev. Mod. Phys. 61, 1 (1989).
- [18] T. Padmanabhan, Phys. Rept. **380**, 235 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0212290].
- [19] C. Wetterich, Nucl. Phys. B **302**, 668 (1988).
- [20] K. A. Meissner and H. Nicolai, Phys. Lett. B648. 312 (2007).
- [21] K. A. Meissner and H. Nicolai, Phys. Lett. B660. 260 (2008).
- [22] T. Hur and P. Ko, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 141802 (2011).
- [23] C. G. Callan, S. Coleman and R. Jackiw, Annals of Physics 59, 42 (1970).
- [24] S. Deser, Annals Phys. **59**, 248 (1970).