

Chemical Abundances in the PN Wray16-423 in the Sagittarius Dwarf Spheroidal Galaxy: Constraining the Dust Composition^{★ ‡}

Masaaki Otsuka^{1,2,‡}

¹*Institute of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Academia Sinica, 11F of Astronomy-Mathematics Building, AS/NTU. No.1, Sec. 4, Roosevelt Rd, Taipei 10617, Taiwan, R.O.C.*

²*Subaru Telescope, 650 North A'ohoku Place, Hilo, HI 96720, USA*

ABSTRACT

We performed a detailed analysis of elemental abundances, dust features, and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in the C-rich planetary nebula (PN) Wray16-423 in the Sagittarius dwarf spheroidal galaxy, based on a unique dataset taken from the Subaru/HDS, MPG/ESO FEROS, *HST*/WFPC2, and *Spitzer*/IRS. We performed the first measurements of Kr, Fe, and recombination O abundance in this PN. The extremely small [Fe/H] implies that most Fe atoms are in the solid phase, considering into account the abundance of [Ar/H]. The *Spitzer*/IRS spectrum displays broad 16–24 μm and 30 μm features, as well as PAH bands at 6–9 μm and 10–14 μm . The unidentified broad 16–24 μm feature may not be related to iron sulfide (FeS), amorphous silicate, or PAHs. Using the spectral energy distribution model, we derived the luminosity and effective temperature of the central star, and the gas and dust masses. The observed elemental abundances and derived gas mass are in good agreement with asymptotic giant branch nucleosynthesis models for an initial mass of 1.90 $M_{\odot}$ and a metallicity of $Z=0.004$. We infer that respectively about 80 %, 50 %, and 90 % of the Mg, S, and Fe atoms are in the solid phase. We also assessed the maximum possible magnesium sulfide (MgS) and iron-rich sulfide (Fe50S) masses and tested whether these species can produce the band flux of the observed 30 μm feature. Depending on what fraction of the sulfur is in sulfide molecules such as CS, we conclude that MgS and Fe50S could be possible carriers of the 30 μm feature in this PN.

Key words: ISM: planetary nebulae: individual (Wray16-423) — ISM: abundances — ISM: dust, extinction

1 INTRODUCTION

Planetary nebulae (PNe) represent the final stage in the evolution of initially $\sim 1\text{--}8 M_{\odot}$ stars. During their evolution, these mass stars eject a large amount of their mass into circumstellar shells via strong stellar winds. Eventually, the ejecta blend with interstellar media (ISM). The evolution history of the progenitors is imprinted in the central stars of PNe (CSPNe), in the atomic gas, dust, and molecule-rich circumstellar shells. Using the emission lines of gas and thermal radiation from dust grains, one can simultaneously obtain abundances of elements and dust, as well as the ejected gas/dust masses from the PN progenitors. An investigation of these materials provides insight into stellar evolution and the ISM material-recycling mechanism of host galaxies. Thus, PNe

provide a unique laboratory for the study of stellar and galaxy evolution.

Elemental abundances, the luminosities of CSPNe, and gas and dust masses are essential to understanding stellar and galaxy evolution; the latter three parameters are more accurately obtained through observations of extragalactic PNe, rather than Galactic PNe, because the distance is well determined. Currently, there are over 4,200 known extragalactic PNe (from Table 1 of Reid 2012). In the galaxy that is nearest to us (24.8 ± 0.8 kpc; Kunder & Chaboyer 2009), the Sagittarius dwarf spheroidal galaxy (Sgr dSph), four PNe have been confirmed to date; BoBn1, StWr2-21, Hen2-436, and Wray16-423 (Walsh et al. 1997; Dudziak et al. 2000; Zijlstra et al. 2006a; Kniazev et al. 2008; Otsuka et al. 2008, 2010, 2011).

Sgr dSph PNe offer obvious advantages over other extragalactic PNe in terms of the study of stellar evolution, such as those found in Magellanic Clouds (MCs); Sgr dSph PNe are closer to us and therefore appear brighter than other extragalactic PNe, allowing the detection of rare elements such as neutron (n)-capture elements. The final yields of neon and n -capture elements are largely concerned with the ^{13}C pocket region that forms in the He-rich in-

[★] Based on data collected by the Subaru Telescope, operated by the National Astronomical Observatory of Japan (NAOJ) under program IDs: S12A-126S and S14A-174 (PI: M. Otsuka).

[‡] Based on observations made with the MPG/ESO 2.2-m Telescope at the La Silla Observatory under program ID 91.D-0055A (PI: M. Otsuka).

[‡] E-mail: otsuka@asiaa.sinica.edu.tw

tershell during the thermal-pulse asymptotic giant branch (AGB) phase. The extent of the ^{13}C pocket is an important parameter in AGB nucleosynthesis models; however, it remains unconstrained. An assessment of n -capture and neon abundances, and the progenitor mass derived from Sgr dSph PNe could be used to test the ^{13}C pocket mass adopted in AGB models.

The C/O ratio is an important parameter with regard to CSPN and nebula characterisation and initial mass constraints. The C and O abundances using recombination lines (RLs) are sometimes larger than those using collisionally excited lines (CELs; e.g., see Liu 2006, for details). Moreover, the discrepancy factor between the RL and CEL abundances is generally different for O and for C (e.g., see Wang & Liu 2007). As a consequence, the C/O ratio determined from dividing the RL C abundance by the CEL O abundance might not be indicative of the actual C/O ratio. Therefore, we should at the very least determine the C/O ratios using the same type of emission lines. According to Delgado-Inglada & Rodríguez (2014), the uncertainty of the RL C/O ratio (± 0.06 dex) is considerably smaller than that of the CEL C/O ratio (± 0.2 dex). An important factor of the CEL C/O uncertainty is the normalization between UV and optical fluxes since CEL C abundances are determined using the UV [C III] $\lambda\lambda 1906/09$ Å lines whereas we measure the CEL O abundances using the optical [O III] $\lambda\lambda 4959/5007$ Å and [O II] $\lambda\lambda 3726/29$ Å. By using C and O RLs in the optical, we do not have to worry about this difficult flux normalization and one can simultaneously determine C and O abundances and then the C/O ratio, as performed in Sgr dSph PNe BoBn1 (Otsuka et al. 2010) and Hen2-436 (Otsuka et al. 2011).

The Hubble Space Telescope (*HST*) can resolve the central stars of Sgr dSph PNe, as reported in Zijlstra et al. (2006a). Therefore, the luminosities of the CSPNe can be measured directly, without the need for empirical methods. The mass of the progenitor star can be estimated by plotting the measured luminosity and temperature of the CSPN on theoretical post-AGB evolution tracks. Thus, using this approach, the observed elemental abundances and gas mass can be compared to predicted values from AGB nucleosynthesis models.

Previously, we carried out multiwavelength observational studies of the Sgr dSph PNe BoBn1 (Otsuka et al. 2010) and Hen2-436 (Otsuka et al. 2011). While all Sgr dSph PNe are metal-poor (Walsh et al. 1997; Dudziak et al. 2000; Zijlstra et al. 2006a), BoBn1 is extremely metal-poor when comparing to Hen2-436 or Wray16-243 (Otsuka et al. 2015; Zijlstra et al. 2006a; Kniazev et al. 2008). Our study on chemical abundances and dust in these Sgr dSph PNe has provided us with much information on PNe and their progenitor's evolution. Otsuka et al. (2010) measured the rare n -capture elements Xe and Ba abundances for first time in extragalactic PNe. Based on the abundance pattern of BoBn1, they proposed that the progenitor might be a binary. Otsuka et al. (2011) measured the n -capture Kr abundance for the first time in Hen2-436 and found that the abundance pattern is very similar to that of Wray16-423. Both studies furthermore also derived dust masses and discussed the dust production in metal-poor environments. In this paper, we focus on the Sgr dSph PN Wray 16-423.

Wray16-423 was discovered near the core of the Sgr dSph by Zijlstra & Walsh (1996), based on direction and radial velocities. Ibata et al. (1995) reported an average heliocentric radial velocity for the Sgr dSph of 140 ± 2 km s $^{-1}$, with an intrinsic velocity dispersion of 11.4 ± 0.7 km s $^{-1}$ (Ibata et al. 1997). The radial velocity of Wray16-423 was determined to be $+133.1 \pm 2$ km s $^{-1}$ by Zijlstra & Walsh (1996). The elemental abundances of the nebula and the luminosity and effective temperature of the CSPN were

calculated by Walsh et al. (1997); these values were refined by the photoionisation models of Dudziak et al. (2000), Gesicki & Zijlstra (2003), and Zijlstra et al. (2006a, see Table 4 and Section 3.4 for a comparison of these values). Dudziak et al. (2000) calculated the respective C and O abundances from the C RLs and the O CELs. The C/O ratio using the same type of emission lines is still unknown. Dudziak et al. (2000) expected an [Fe/H] abundance of ~ -0.5 , although they did not report the detection of Fe lines. Zijlstra et al. (2006a) determined the magnitude of the CSPN to be $m_V = 18.85 \pm 0.20$, based on *HST*/F547M measurements. However, this estimate seems to be too bright. Indeed, assuming a spectral energy distribution defined by an $m_V = 18.85$ CSPN and adopting an effective temperature of 107 000 K (Zijlstra et al. 2006a), the luminosity L_* should be $\sim 12\,600 L_\odot$. However, this does not match their estimated value of $L_* = 4350 L_\odot$ obtained using a photoionisation model. This discrepancy and a revision of the CSPN magnitude is discussed in Section 2.4.

Stanghellini et al. (2012) reported that the *Spitzer* mid-infrared spectrum of Wray16-423 displays carbonous dust features. As we report later, the broad $30\,\mu\text{m}$ feature is seen in this PN. The broad $30\,\mu\text{m}$ feature has been found in many C-rich objects. However, the carrier of the broad $30\,\mu\text{m}$ feature is unidentified; Hony et al. (2002a) proposed that the feature originates from magnesium sulfide (MgS) whose shapes can be described by a continuous distribution of ellipsoids (CDE). Since then, CDE MgS grains have been the major candidate for the $30\,\mu\text{m}$ feature (e.g., Zijlstra et al. 2006b; Sloan et al. 2014). However, the recent study of Zhang et al. (2009) casts doubt on this identification for the $30\,\mu\text{m}$ feature. They demonstrated that the MgS mass formed from the available S atoms, could not account for the observed strength of the $30\,\mu\text{m}$ feature in the Galactic proto-PN HD56126, even if all the dust grains existed as MgS. CDE iron-rich sulphide, such as $\text{Mg}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{S}$ (Fe50S), also show a broad feature around $30\,\mu\text{m}$, similar to the CDE MgS feature (Messenger et al. 2013). Using the data of Wray16-423, we can test whether the strength of the $30\,\mu\text{m}$ feature can be explained by thermal emission from the available MgS or Fe50S grains. Since the distance to Wray16-423 is well determined, one can directly determine the luminosity of the CSPN as the gas and dust heating source and set the size of the dusty nebula. Therefore, the solid phase Mg, S, and Fe masses and the MgS and Fe50S grain masses would be more credible by using the accurately measured Mg, S and Fe abundances.

For the above reasons, we performed a detailed spectroscopic analysis of Wray16-423 based on multiwavelength data taken from Subaru/HDS, MPG ESO/FEROS, *Spitzer*/IRS, and *HST*/WFPC2: (1) to determine and refine nebular elemental abundances, in particular, the C and O recombination abundances and Fe and slow n -capture process (s -process) elements; (2) to investigate dust features and PAH bands and compare these among LMC PNe; (3) to derive the physical conditions of the CSPN, gas, and dust by constructing the spectral energy distribution (SED) model using the radiative transfer code CLOUDY (Ferland et al. 1998); (4) to estimate the progenitor mass by comparing the observed elemental abundances and estimated gas mass with AGB model predictions; and (5) test how much masses of the MgS and Fe50S grains are necessary to reproduce the broad $30\,\mu\text{m}$ feature.

Table 1. Summary of optical spectroscopic observations.

Date	Telescope/Instrument	Wavelength	Exposure time
2012/07/04	Subaru 8.2-m/HDS	4300-7100 Å	2×1800 s, 360 s
2013/06/18	MPG/ESO 2.2-m/FEROS	3500-9200 Å	3×3000 s, 2×300 s
2014/07/09	Subaru 8.2-m/HDS	3680-5400 Å	2×1800 s, 180 s, 30 s

2 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTIONS

2.1 Subaru 8.2-m Telescope HDS spectroscopy

Optical high-dispersion spectra were taken using the High-Dispersion Spectrograph (HDS; [Noguchi et al. 2002](#)) attached to the Nasmyth focus of the 8.2-m Subaru Telescope on 2012 July 4 (Prop.ID: S12A-126S, PI: M. Otsuka) and 2014 July 9 (Prop.ID: S14A-174, the same PI). HDS observations are summarised in Table 1.

The weather conditions during the exposure for both runs were stable, and the visibility was $\sim 0.5''$ (2012) and $\sim 0.8''$ (2014), measured using a guider CCD. An atmospheric dispersion corrector (ADC) was used to minimise the differential atmospheric dispersion through the broad wavelength region. The slit width and length were set to $1.2''$ and $6''$, respectively, and the 2×2 on-chip binning mode was selected. The resolving power ($\lambda/\Delta\lambda$) reached 33 500, which was measured from the average full width at half maximum (FWHM) of over 600 narrow Th-Ar comparison lines obtained for wavelength calibrations. For the flux calibration, blaze function correction, and airmass correction, we observed the standard star HD184597.


We reduced the data with the echelle spectra reduction package ECHELLE and the two-dimensional spectra reduction package TWODSPEC in IRAF¹. To keep a good signal-to-noise (S/N) over the observed wavelength range, we extracted a region of 15 pixels along the slit (corresponding to $4.14''$) and summed all fluxes. For the flux calibration, we reduced the ESO archival spectra (~ 0.3 – $2.5\mu\text{m}$) of HD184597, taken using XSHOOTER ([Vernet et al. 2011](#), Prop.ID: 60.A-9022C). We corrected the reduced 0.3 – $2.5\mu\text{m}$ spectrum of HD184597 to match the B - and V -band magnitudes reported in [Høg et al. \(2000, \$B=6.81\$ and \$V=6.88\$ \)](#), and then we constructed a wavelength versus AB -magnitude table in small wavelength increments (0.4 Å , for this study) for performing flux calibration of the Wray16-423 spectra.

The resulting S/N ratio in the sky-subtracted object frame of Wray16-423 is >5 for the continuum and >8 at the intensity peaks of the emission lines.

The resultant HDS spectrum is presented in Figures A1 and A2 of Appendix A.

2.2 MPG ESO 2.2-m Telescope FEROS spectroscopy

Optical high-dispersion spectra (3500 – 9200 Å) were obtained using the Fiber-fed Extended Range Optical Spectrograph (FEROS; [Kaufer et al. 1999](#)) attached to the MPG/ESO 2.2-m Telescope, La Silla, Chile on 2013 June 18 (Prop.ID: 91.D-0055A, PI: M. Otsuka), as a follow-up up to HDS observations; auroral [O II] lines, nebular [S III], [Cl IV], and [Ar III] lines, and the Paschen discontinuity were measured, and line identifications and flux measurements

**Figure 1.** *Spitzer*/IRS spectrum of Wray16-423. As-observed atomic lines, PAHs, and broadband features are indicated.

were cross-checked for both HDS and FEROS spectra. The FEROS observation is summarised in Table 1.

The weather conditions were stable and clear throughout the night, and the visibility was $\sim 1.1''$ measured from the guider CCD. FEROS's fibers use $2.0''$ apertures, to provide simultaneous spectra of the object and sky frames. The ADC was used during the observation. We selected a 2×2 on-chip binning mode and low gain mode². The resolving power reached $\sim 37\,200$ measured from the average FWHM of over 100 Th-Ar comparison lines. We also took 5×3600 sec dark frames to correct for the zero intensity of longer exposure-time frames. For the same reason as described in the HDS spectroscopy, we summed over the ± 3 pixels centered on the fibre position. We confirmed that the extracted spectra detected $\sim 65\%$ of the light falling into the $2''$ aperture by comparing to the spectra made by summing up the full aperture. For flux calibration, we observed the standard star HR7950, before and after the Wray16-423 exposure. We referred to the HR7950 spectrum of [Valdes et al. \(2004\)](#) for constructing a wavelength versus AB -magnitude (0.4 Å step).

We reduced the data using the same method as that adopted for the HDS data. The resulting S/N ratio in the sky-subtracted object frame is >2 on the continuum and >5 at the intensity peaks of the emission lines.

2.3 Spitzer/IRS spectroscopy

We analysed the archival mid-infrared spectra taken by the *Spitzer*/Infrared Spectrograph (IRS; [Houck et al. 2004](#)) with the SL (5.2 – $14.5\mu\text{m}$, the slit dimension: $3.6''\times 57''$) and the LL modules (13.9 – $39.9\mu\text{m}$, $10.6''\times 168''$). The data were originally taken by L. Stanghellini (AOR Key: 25833216) on 2008 June 9. We processed them using the reduction package SMART v.8.2.9 ([Higdon et al. 2004](#)) and IRSCLEAN v.2.1.1 provided by the *Spitzer* Science Center. We performed additional correction of the IRS spectrum by scaling it up to match the flux density at the band W4 ($\lambda_c=22.09\mu\text{m}$, $\Delta\lambda=4.10\mu\text{m}$) of the Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer (*WISE*; [Wright et al. 2010](#)), using a constant factor of 1.069.

The resulting spectrum is presented in Figure 1. The spectrum

¹ IRAF is distributed by the National Optical Astronomy Observatories, operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy (AURA), Inc., under a cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.

² The gain and readout-noise in the case of 2×2 binning and low gain mode were measured to be $4.03\text{ e}^- \text{ ADU}^{-1}$ and 5.68 e^- using the IRAF task FINDGAIN.




Figure 2. *HST*/WFPC2 F656N ($H\alpha$) image of Wray16-423. The levels of the contour plots are from 3σ above the mean background intensity to the peak intensity of the object. The radius of the green dashed circle is $1.3''$.

Table 2. Photometry of the *HST*/WFPC2 images.

Band	λ_c (Å)	Portion	F_λ ($\text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{Å}^{-1}$)
F547M(V)	5483.86	CSPN only	$(3.75 \pm 0.34) \times 10^{-17}$
		whole nebula	$(1.11 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-15}$
F656N($H\alpha$)	6563.76	whole nebula	$(9.61 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-14}$

Note – The V-band magnitude of the CSPN is 19.98 ± 0.20 , determined from the F547M image.

clearly shows the $6\text{--}9\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ and $11.3\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ PAHs, the broad $11\text{ }\mu\text{m}$, $16\text{--}24\text{ }\mu\text{m}$, and $30\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ bands.

2.4 HST/WFPC2 photometry and the $H\alpha/H\beta$ fluxes

We reduced the *HST*/Wide Field Planetary Camera 2 (WFPC2) F656N ($H\alpha$, $\lambda_c=6563.76\text{ Å}$, $\Delta\lambda=53.77\text{ Å}$) and F547M images (V-band, $\lambda_c=5483.86\text{ Å}$, $\Delta\lambda=205.52\text{ Å}$) using the MULTIDRIZZLE (Koekemoer et al. 2003) on PYRAF. The data were taken on 2002 July 25 (Prop.ID: 9356, PI: A. Zijlstra). We set the plate scales to a constant $0.025''\text{ pixel}^{-1}$. The resultant F656N image is displayed in Figure 2. The Wray16-423 image shows an inner cylindrical structure, surrounded by an elliptical nebula shell that extends radially to $\sim 1.3''$, as indicated by the green dashed circle. In the F656N and F547M images, we measured the count rates (cts) within the aperture radius of $1.3''$, corresponding to the sum of the CSPN's and nebula's cts. We measured average (background) cts in an annulus with inner radius $2.1''$ and outer radius $2.4''$, centered on the CSPN. Finally, we converted the cts into flux density, using the WFPC2 photometric zero-points in $\text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{Å}^{-1} \text{cts}^{-1}$. The results are summarised in Table 2.

To measure the pure $H\alpha$ flux using the F656N flux density, one must remove the contribution from both the local continuum and the $[\text{N II}]\lambda 6548\text{ Å}$. We used the FEROS spectrum to estimate these contributions. Taking into account the F656N filter transmission




Figure 3. (from left to right) The emission line profiles of the $[\text{O II}]\lambda 3726.03\text{ Å}$, $\text{H}\gamma 4340.46\text{ Å}$, and $[\text{O III}]\lambda 4959.91\text{ Å}$, from HDS observations. The wavelength is converted into radial velocity with respect to the systemic radial velocity of $+133.12\text{ km s}^{-1}$. The flux density is normalised to the flux density at the peak.

characteristics, we compared the $F_\lambda(\text{HST}, \text{F656N})$ with the counterpart FEROS spectrum, i.e., $F_\lambda(\text{FEROS}, \text{F656N})$. Finally, we determined the scaling factor $F_\lambda(\text{HST}, \text{F656N})/F_\lambda(\text{FEROS}, \text{F656N}) = 2.383^3$.

Using this scaled FEROS spectrum, we measured a pure $F(H\alpha)$ of $(4.70 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-12} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$ and a pure $F(H\beta)$ of $(1.53 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-12} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$. We used the measured pure $F(H\beta)$ to normalise the flux in the flux density scaled *Spitzer*/IRS spectrum, as explained in the previous section.

Next, we measured the V-band flux density of the CSPN in the F547M image. In the flux density measurements of the CSPN, we need to select the background area more carefully because the CSPN is embedded in a bright nebula and therefore the CSPN magnitude largely depends on the background region adopted. The background cts adopted in the flux density measurement of the whole nebula are not suitable for the flux density measurements of the CSPN. For this reason, we measured cts in four positions near ($\sim 0.2\text{--}0.3''$ away) the CSPN and used the average cts as the sky background. The measured flux density of the CSPN in the F547M band corresponds to the V-band magnitude m_V of 19.98 ± 0.20 , which is about 1 magnitude fainter than Zijlstra et al. (2006a, $m_V=18.85 \pm 0.20$). The difference would be attributed to the adopted background region; the m_V is 18.73 ± 0.10 when as the background we use the average cts measured in the area of the annulus centered on the PN with inner and outer radii of $2.1''$ and $2.4''$, respectively.

3 RESULTS

3.1 Reddening correction, line-flux measurements, and radial velocity

The measured line fluxes in the obtained spectra were de-reddened using the following formula:

$$I(\lambda) = F(\lambda) \times 10^{c(H\beta)(1+f(\lambda))}, \quad (1)$$

³ We would estimate the Wray16-423's nebula to be $\geq 2.8''$ at the $1.1''$ seeing, assuming that its actual nebula size is $2.6''$. From our report in Section 2.2, our FEROS spectra detected $\sim 0.65 \times (2.0''/2.8'') \times 100 \sim 46\%$ or less of the light from Wray16-423. In the actual observation, the seeing was not a constant $1.1''$ and also the thin clouds were passing during the exposures. This is the reason why we need such a correction factor.

where $I(\lambda)$ is the de-reddened line-flux, $F(\lambda)$ is the observed line-flux, $f(\lambda)$ is the interstellar extinction function at λ computed by the reddening law of Cardelli et al. (1989) with $R_V=3.1$, and $c(H\beta)$ is the reddening coefficient at $H\beta$. For the *Spitzer*/IRS spectrum, we adopted the reddening law of Fluks et al. (1994).

We measured $c(H\beta)$ by comparing the observed Balmer line ratios of $H\gamma$ and $H\alpha$ to $H\beta$ with the theoretical ratios of Storey & Hummer (1995) for an electron temperature $T_e=10^4$ K and electron density $n_e=10^4$ cm $^{-3}$, under the Case B assumption. The $H\alpha$ line in the HDS short-exposure spectrum taken in the 2012 run was affected by cosmic rays. Therefore, we used a $c(H\beta)$ value of 0.132 ± 0.035 , determined from the $F(H\gamma)/F(H\beta)$ ratio. This $c(H\beta)$ value is in excellent agreement with that in the 2014 HDS run (0.133 ± 0.063). In the FEROS spectrum, we obtained a $c(H\beta)$ value of 0.110 ± 0.022 from the $F(H\alpha)/F(H\beta)$ ratio.

We fitted the emission lines in the HDS and FEROS spectra using multiple Gaussian components. Figure 3 shows the emission line profiles of the [O II] λ 3726.03 Å, $H\gamma$ λ 4340.46 Å, and [O III] λ 4958.91 Å. We obtained a heliocentric radial velocity of $+133.12\pm0.28$ km s $^{-1}$ (RMS of the residuals: 3.17 km s $^{-1}$) from the central wavelengths of *all* the lines detected in the HDS and FEROS spectra. Most line profiles can be fitted by a single Gaussian component, whereas several lines show double peaks or blue-shifted asymmetry, as seen in [O II] and [O III].

We list the observed wavelengths and de-reddened relative fluxes of each Gaussian component, indicated by the identification (ID) number in Table A1 of Appendix A, with respect to the de-reddened $H\beta$ flux $I(H\beta)$ of 100. For lines composed of multiple components, we list the de-reddened relative fluxes of each component, as well as the sum of these components (indicated by 'T'). In the ions showing multiple intensity peaks such as [O II] λ 3726 Å, one could derive electron temperatures and densities in each Gaussian component. In our data, it would be difficult to accurately derive their ionic abundances relative to the H^+ in each component because each velocity component of the detected lines are different. Since our aim is to investigate average ionic and elemental abundances in the nebula, we used the integrated fluxes of each Gaussian component. We list the results of the flux measurements for the *Spitzer*/IRS spectrum in Table A2 of Appendix A, where we adopted the $c(H\beta)$ of 0.110 ± 0.022 , which is the same value applied for the FEROS spectrum.

3.2 Plasma diagnostics

In the following line-diagnostics and subsequent ionic element calculations, the adopted transition probabilities, effective collision strengths, and recombination coefficients are the same as those listed in Tables 7 and 11 of Otsuka et al. (2010).

We calculated the electron densities n_e and temperatures T_e using the diagnostic line ratios of RLs and CELs. The results are summarised in Table 3; the second, third, and last columns give the diagnostic lines, their line ratios, and the resulting n_e and T_e , respectively. The numbers in the first column indicate the ID number of each curve in the n_e - T_e diagram, presented in Figure 4. The thick lines indicate the diagnostic lines for T_e , whereas the dashed lines correspond to n_e diagnostics. Below, we explain in detail the n_e and T_e calculations using RLs and CELs.

3.2.1 RL diagnostics

The intensity ratio of a high-order Paschen line Pn (where n is the principal quantum number of the upper level) to a lower-order




Figure 4. n_e - T_e diagram based on diagnostic CEL ratios. Each curve is labelled with an ID number, listed in Table 3. The thick lines indicate the lines of T_e diagnostic. The dashed lines indicate the diagnostic lines of n_e . The n_e - T_e curve calculated from the [N I] $I(\lambda$ 5198)/ $I(\lambda$ 5200) is out of the plane, because $n_e=1010$ cm $^{-3}$.

Table 3. Plasma diagnostics.

ID	n_e diagnostic	Value	Result (cm $^{-3}$)
(1)	[S II](λ 6716)/(λ 6731)	0.60 ± 0.03	3970 ± 690
(2)	[O II](λ 3726)/(λ 3729)	1.82 ± 0.13	3540 ± 790
(3)	[S III](λ 18.7 μ m)/(λ 33.5 μ m)	2.30 ± 0.19	6860 ± 1060
(4)	[O II](λ 3726/29)/(λ 7320/30)	7.42 ± 0.54	6870 ± 650
(5)	[Cl II](λ 5517)/(λ 5537)	0.69 ± 0.03	8280 ± 870
(6)	[Ar IV](λ 4711)/(λ 4740)	0.68 ± 0.01	11320 ± 540
(7)	[Ne III](λ 15.6 μ m)/(λ 36.0 μ m)	15.43 ± 1.28	23250 ± 8230
	[N I](λ 5198)/(λ 5200)	1.42 ± 0.12	1010 ± 260
	Paschen decrement		25700 ± 6300
ID	T_e diagnostic	Value	Result (K)
(8)	[S III](λ 9069)/(λ 18.7 μ m+33.5 μ m)	0.56 ± 0.05	11270 ± 1060
(9)	[Ne III](λ 3869+ λ 3967)/(λ 15.6 μ m)	1.87 ± 0.12	12800 ± 300
(10)	[O III](λ 4959+ λ 5007)/(λ 4363)	106.4 ± 1.8	12380 ± 80
(11)	[N II](λ 6548+ λ 6583)/(λ 5755)	54.4 ± 2.0	12090 ± 230
(12)	[Ar III](λ 7135+ λ 7751)/(λ 5191)	107.8 ± 5.2	12000 ± 250
(13)	[Cl IV](λ 8046)/(λ 5323)	26.8 ± 5.7	11810 ± 1020
(14)	[S II](λ 6717+ λ 6731)/(λ 4069)	3.81 ± 0.16	12760 ± 810
(15)	[S III](λ 9069)/(λ 6312)	5.62 ± 0.48	12920 ± 620
	He I(λ 7281)/(λ 5876)	0.066 ± 0.003	13600 ± 1770
	He I(λ 7281)/(λ 6678)	0.145 ± 0.003	11700 ± 550
	(Paschen Jump)/(P11)	0.018 ± 0.002	11690 ± 2090

Note – Corrected recombination contribution for [O II] λ 7320/30 Å and [O III] λ 4363 Å lines.

Paschen line is sensitive to the n_e , as demonstrated in Fang & Liu (2011). The Paschen decrement can be an n_e indicator for high-density regions. As such, we ran small grid models to estimate n_e .

We calculated the electron temperatures derived from He I lines $T_e(\text{He I})$ using the two He I line ratios and the emissivities of these He I lines from Benjamin et al. (1999) for the case of $n_e=10^4$ cm $^{-3}$. For He $^{+2+}$ abundance calculations, we adopted the $T_e(\text{He I})=12650\pm1320$ K, which is the average between the values derived from He I $I(\lambda$ 7281 Å)/ $I(\lambda$ 5876 Å) and He I $I(\lambda$ 7281 Å)/ $I(\lambda$ 6678 Å).

We calculated the electron temperature derived from the

Paschen jump discontinuity $T_e(\text{PJ})$ by applying Equation (7) of Fang & Liu (2011):

$$T_e(\text{PJ}) = 8.72 \times \left(1 + 0.52 \frac{\text{He}^+}{\text{H}^+} + 4.40 \frac{\text{He}^{2+}}{\text{H}^+} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{I_\lambda(\text{PJ})}{I(\text{P11})} \right)^{-1.77}, \quad (2)$$

where $\text{He}^{+2+}/\text{H}^+$ is the ionic He^+ abundance of $9.63(-2)^4$ and He^{2+} abundance of $1.08(-2)$, respectively, $I_\lambda(\text{PJ})$ is the strength of the Paschen jump – i.e. the difference in de-reddened flux density between $8169 \text{ \AA}$ and $8194 \text{ \AA}$ in $\text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{\AA}^{-1}$, and $I(\text{P11})$ is the flux of the $\text{H I } \lambda 8862 \text{ \AA}$ in $\text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$. Because the $\text{H I } \lambda 8862 \text{ \AA}$ line is in an order gap, we estimated $I(\text{P11})$ using the theoretical ratio of $I(\text{P11})/I(\text{P12})=1.3$ in the Case B $T_e=10^4 \text{ K}$ and $n_e=10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ (Storey & Hummer 1995). We adopted the $T_e(\text{PJ})$ for the RL $\text{C}^{2+,3+,4+}$ and O^{2+} abundance calculations.

3.2.2 CEL diagnostics

We derived the CEL T_e and n_e by solving for level populations using a multi-level atomic model (≥ 5), resulting in eight calculated n_e , including $n_e([\text{N I}])$, as well as eight T_e .

For the $[\text{O II}] \lambda \lambda 7320/30 \text{ \AA}$ and $[\text{O III}] \lambda 4363 \text{ \AA}$ lines, we subtracted the recombination contamination from the O^{2+} and O^{3+} lines using Equations (3) and (4), originally given by Liu et al. (2000),

$$\frac{I_R([\text{O II}] \lambda \lambda 7320/30)}{I(\text{H}\beta)} = 9.36 \left(\frac{T_e}{10^4} \right)^{0.44} \times \frac{\text{O}^{2+}}{\text{H}^+}, \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{I_R([\text{O III}] \lambda 4363)}{I(\text{H}\beta)} = 12.4 \left(\frac{T_e}{10^4} \right)^{0.59} \times \frac{\text{O}^{3+}}{\text{H}^+}. \quad (4)$$

In the $I_R([\text{O II}] \lambda \lambda 7320/30)$ calculation, we adopted the $T_e(\text{PJ})$ and recombination O^{2+} abundances (3.12×10^{-4} , see Section 3.3.2). For the $I_R([\text{O III}] \lambda 4363)$ calculation, we used the O^{3+} abundance derived from the fine-structure $[\text{O IV}] \lambda 25.89 \mu\text{m}$ line (6.93×10^{-6} , See section 3.3.1) and $T_e([\text{Ne III}])$. $I_R([\text{O II}] \lambda \lambda 7320/30)$ and $I_R([\text{O III}] \lambda 4363)$ were 0.86 and 0.01, respectively.

Walsh et al. (1997) reported a single T_e of $12\,400 \pm 400 \text{ K}$ and n_e of $6000 \pm 1500 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. In the present study, we first calculated all $n_e(\text{CEL})$ s under their derived value $T_e=12\,400 \text{ K}$, except for $n_e([\text{N I}])$, where we assumed a T_e of $10\,000 \text{ K}$ because the effective collisional strengths of the Mg^0 is available at $T_e=10\,000 \text{ K}$ (See Section 3.3.1 for details). The $T_e=10\,000 \text{ K}$ would be a good choice for estimates of neutral atoms such as N^0 and O^0 even in high-excitation PNe such as BoBn1 (Otsuka et al. 2010). We derived $T_e([\text{Ne III}])$ using $n_e([\text{Ar IV}])$ because the ionisation potentials (IPs) of both ions are similar. We derived $T_e([\text{O III}])$ and $T_e([\text{Cl IV}])$ under the average n_e among $n_e([\text{Ar IV}])$, $[\text{Cl III}]$, $[\text{S III}]$; the IPs of the $[\text{O III}]$ and $[\text{Cl IV}]$ are approximately median between $n_e(\text{C III} \& [\text{S III}])$, $[\text{Ar IV}])$ or slightly larger than these ions. We calculated $T_e([\text{Ar III}])$ and two $T_e([\text{S III}])$ under the average n_e between $n_e([\text{Cl III}])$, $[\text{S III}]$. $T_e([\text{N II}])$ and $T_e([\text{S II}])$ were calculated under the average n_e among the two $n_e([\text{O II}])$ and $n_e([\text{S II}])$.




Figure 5. The line profiles of the $[\text{Kr IV}] \lambda 5867.74 \text{ \AA}$ (left panel) and the $[\text{Kr III}] \lambda 6826.85 \text{ \AA}$ (right panel). The grey lines are the observed spectra at the rest wavelength, which is shifted by the systemic heliocentric radial velocity ($+133.12 \text{ km s}^{-1}$). The sky emission lines were removed out as much as possible. The red lines are the results of Gaussian fitting. The laboratory wavelengths of these lines are indicated by the dashed lines.

3.3 Ionic abundances

3.3.1 CEL ionic abundances

We obtained 21 ionic abundances using CELs, as listed in Table B1 of Appendix B. We carefully determined the adopted T_e and n_e pairs for the calculations of each ion using the n_e - T_e diagram. The adopted T_e and n_e values for each ion are listed in the fourth and fifth columns of Table B1, respectively. For the Mg^0 abundance calculation, we adopted the effective collision strengths installed in the three-dimensional Monte Carlo photoionisation code MOCASIN (Ercolano et al. 2003) and the transition probabilities of Mendoza (1983). Because the effective collision strengths of Mg^0 are only available at the case of $T_e=10^4 \text{ K}$, we adopted this temperature. $T_e=10^4 \text{ K}$ and $n_e([\text{N I}])$ were used for the N^0 and Mg^0 calculations. The ionic abundances were calculated by solving the statistical equilibrium equations for more than five levels, given the adopted T_e and n_e , except for Ne^+ , where we calculated its abundance using the two-energy level model. The last column contains the resulting ionic abundances relative to the H^+ , X^{m+}/H^+ , and their $1-\sigma$ errors, which include errors from line intensities, T_e , and n_e , but does not consider the accuracy of the atomic data. In the O^+ and O^{2+} abundance calculations, we corrected the line intensities of the $[\text{O II}] \lambda \lambda 7320/30 \text{ \AA}$ and $[\text{O III}] \lambda 4363 \text{ \AA}$, respectively. In the last line of each ion's line series, the adopted ionic abundance and its error are indicated in boldface. These values are estimated from the weighted mean of the line intensity.

As presented in Table B1, the calculated abundances of each ion using different transition lines (i.e., fine-structure, nebular, auroral, and trans-auroral lines) are well consistent (within the error), indicating proper selection of the adopted T_e and n_e pairs for each ion and accurate measurement of the flux.

We report the first detection of the $[\text{Kr IV}] \lambda 5867.74 \text{ \AA}$ and $[\text{Kr III}] \lambda 6826.85 \text{ \AA}$ in Wray16-423. The line profiles are presented in Figure 5. The sky emission lines between ~ 6820 and $6830 \text{ \AA}$ were subtracted as much as possible. Because there are no candidate lines within 0.18 - $0.20 \text{ \AA}$ from the measured central wavelengths of the above lines ($5867.74 \text{ \AA}$ and $6827.02 \text{ \AA}$ at rest), we concluded that the lines corresponded to krypton. Krypton lines have been observed in the Sgr dSph PNe, specifically, BoBn1 (Otsuka et al. 2010) and Hen2-436 (Otsuka et al. 2011). The Kr^{2+}

⁴ $X(-Y)$ means $X \times 10^{-Y}$ hereafter

abundance in Wray16-423 is comparable to that in Hen2-436, which shows the same metallicity.

3.3.2 RL ionic abundances

We list the RL ionic abundances in Table B2 of Appendix B. To the best of our knowledge, RL $C^{3+,4+}$ and O^{2+} for Wray16-423 are reported for the first time. Because we detected C II, III, IV and O II lines, we were able to calculate the elemental C/O ratio using the same type of emission lines.

In the abundance calculations, we adopted the Case B assumption for the lines from levels that have the same spin as the ground state, but the Case A assumption for lines of other multiplicities. In the last line of each ion's line series, we present the adopted final ionic abundance and its 1- σ error estimated from the line intensity-weighted mean, indicated by boldface. Because the RLs are n_e -insensitive under $\leq 10^8 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, we evaluated the recombination coefficients (provided as a polynomial function of T_e) at a constant $n_e = 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ for all lines.

In the He^+ abundance calculations, using $\text{He I } \lambda 4471 \text{ \AA}$ and $\text{He I } \lambda 5876 \text{ \AA}$ lines, we assumed Case A conditions and adopted the recombination coefficients of Pequignot et al. (1991). We subtracted the contribution to these lines by collisional excitation from the $\text{He}^0 2s^3S$ level, using the formulae given by Kingdon & Ferland (1995).

We detected the different multiplet O II lines. Because the electron density in the O^{2+} emitting region is $\geq 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ from our plasma diagnostics, the upper levels of the transitions in the V1 O II line would be in local thermal equilibrium (LTE). Therefore, we did not correct the V1 O II line intensities. To calculate the O^{2+} abundances, we excluded the V1 O II $\lambda 4641.81/4651.33/4673.73 \text{ \AA}$ and V2 O II $\lambda 4325.76 \text{ \AA}$ lines. The first two V1 lines are likely contaminated with N III $\lambda 4641.85 \text{ \AA}$ and C III $\lambda 4651.47 \text{ \AA}$, respectively. The O^{2+} abundance derived from the O II $\lambda 4673.73 \text{ \AA}$ line is larger than that from other V1 lines. The last V2 line is likely contaminated with C II $\lambda 4325.83 \text{ \AA}$.

The RL O^{2+} abundance is larger by a factor of 1.64 ± 0.43 larger than the O^{2+} CEL. According to Liu (2006), the O^{2+} discrepancy factor in Wray16-423 would be a lower limit. As proposed for the halo H4-1 (O^{2+} discrepancy factor = 1.75 ± 0.36 ; Otsuka & Tajitsu 2013), we speculate that the O^{2+} discrepancy in Wray16-423 could be explained because the estimated CEL O^{2+} abundance could be increased by ~ 0.2 dex or less if we include temperature fluctuations, which is originally proposed by Peimbert (1967). Since the O^{2+} discrepancy factor is already low, we do not discuss further in the paper.

3.4 Elemental abundances

The elemental abundances of the nebula are listed in Table 4. The types of emission lines used for the abundance estimations are specified in the second column. The fifth column lists the number densities relative to the solar value, where $[X/H]$ corresponds to $\log_{10}(X/H) - \log_{10}(X/H)_\odot$.

To estimate elemental abundances using only the observed ionic abundances, we introduced an ionisation correction factor, ICF(X), based on the ionisation potential. The adopted ICF(X) for each element is listed in Table B3 of Appendix B, and the value is listed in the seventh column of Table 4. We calculated the adopted ICF(Kr) using Equation (5) of Sterling et al. (2007), based on photoionisation models.

In the eighth column of Table 4, we list the elemental abundances of Wray16-423 compiled by Zijlstra et al. (2006a), originally calculated using the photoionisation model of Dudziak et al. (2000) based on the analysis of optical spectra by Walsh et al. (1997). The ninth column is the result of Walsh et al. (1997). In general, our calculated abundances, except for S and K, are in excellent agreement with prior results. Walsh et al. (1997) reported a C^{2+}/O^{2+} ratio of 3.4 ± 1.2 , derived from C II and [O III] lines ($C^{2+}(\text{RL})/O^{2+}(\text{CEL}) = 2.48 \pm 0.74$ in the present work). Assuming that $C(\text{RL})/O(\text{CEL}) \approx C^{2+}/O^{2+}$, their C abundance would be $5.99(-4) \pm 2.17(-4)$, which corresponds to 8.78 ± 0.16 dex. The differences of the S and K abundances between Zijlstra et al. (2006a) and ours might be caused by adoption of different T_e for these ionic abundances and overestimate of the S^{3+} abundance. The [S IV] lines are not seen in optical but in mid-infrared wavelength. Their photoionisation without dust grains would affect the nebula's density and temperature structure and the fraction of each element's ion.

The RL C/O ratio of 2.46 ± 0.80 indicates that Wray16-423 is presumably a C-rich PN. Elemental RL and CEL O abundances seem to follow the trend; as shown in Liu (2006), Wang & Liu (2007), and Delgado-Inglada & Rodríguez (2014), the RL C/O ratio seems to be consistent with the CEL C/O ratio within errors (i.e., the RL C/O $\approx$ the CEL C/O), except for several objects. If this is also the case for Wray16-423, the CEL C abundance would be 8.70 ± 0.14 , where the upper value is consistent with the lower value of the RL C abundance.

The noble gas Ar is not easily tied up in dust grains, and is also not synthesised in low-intermediate mass stars. Therefore, Ar can be used as a metallicity indicator of the progenitor star. The [Ar/H] abundance is consistent with that in Hen2-436 (-0.60 ± 0.33 , Otsuka et al. 2011). Like for Hen2-436, [Fe/H] is significantly lower than [Ar/H]. Because [Fe III] intensities are comparable to BoBn1 (Otsuka et al. 2011) and their Fe^{2+} abundances in Wray16-423 and BoBn1 are consistent, the small Fe abundance in Wray16-423 cannot be attributed to inaccuracies in Fe^{2+} atomic data or the selection of T_e for their ionic abundances. Thus, a large fraction of Fe atoms would exist as dust grains.

An important contribution of our work is the detection of the light s -process element Kr and calculation of its abundance. This required verification of whether or not the Kr abundance value for Wray16-423 is reasonable. Sterling & Dinerstein (2008) examined the relationship between the [Kr/O] and C/O ratio, based on their Ks -band spectroscopic survey for Galactic PNe, from which the following relationship was obtained:

$$[\text{Kr}/\text{O}] = (0.38 \pm 0.10) + (0.79 \pm 0.29) \cdot \log_{10}(\text{C}/\text{O}). \quad (5)$$

By substituting the observed RL $\log_{10}(\text{C}/\text{O})$ ratio, we obtained a predicted [Kr/O] value of $+0.69 \pm 0.42$, which is in good agreement with the observed [Kr/O] of $+0.65 \pm 0.11$ from Kr and O CELs. The authors also showed the light s -enhancement ([ls/Fe], ls: Sr, Y, and Zr) versus the C/O ratio among AGB stars and CH sub-giants:

$$[\text{ls}/\text{Fe}] = (0.89 \pm 0.06) + (1.47 \pm 0.18) \cdot \log_{10}(\text{C}/\text{O}). \quad (6)$$

As described above, the calculated Fe abundance in Wray16-423 may not accurately reflect the correct metallicity. Given that [Fe/H] is equal to [Ar/H], the [ls/Ar] = [Kr/Ar] is moderately enhanced, $+0.82 \pm 0.13$. This value is slightly smaller than the predicted [ls/Fe] of 1.46 ± 0.44 from Equation (6), although it depends on the adopted metallicity. There are a few results on the s -process

Table 4. Elemental abundances from CELs and RLs.

(1) X	(2) Types of Emissions	(3) X/H	(4) $\log_{10}(\text{X}/\text{H})$ +12	(5) [X/H]	(6) $\log_{10}(\text{X}_{\odot}/\text{H})^a$ +12	(7) ICF(X)	(8) $\log_{10}(\text{X}/\text{H})$ +12 (Ref.1)	(9) $\log_{10}(\text{X}/\text{H})$ +12 (Ref.2)	(10) $\log_{10}(\text{X}/\text{H})$ +12 (Ref.3)
He	RL	1.06(-1)±9.56(-3)	11.02±0.04	+0.09±0.04	10.93±0.01	1.00	11.03±0.01	11.03±0.02	11.09±0.05
C	RL	8.29(-4)±1.57(-4)	8.92±0.08	+0.53±0.09	8.39±0.04	1.00	8.86±0.06	...	9.08±0.35
N	CEL	4.94(-5)±5.04(-6)	7.69±0.04	-0.14±0.07	7.83±0.05	24.81±2.12	7.68±0.05	7.62±0.10	7.38±0.22
O	CEL	2.06(-4)±4.10(-6)	8.31±0.01	-0.38±0.05	8.69±0.05	1.00	8.33±0.02	8.31±0.07	8.35±0.03
	RL	3.37(-4)±8.90(-5)	8.53±0.11	-0.16±0.13	8.69±0.05	1.08±0.03	...	...	9.56±0.11
Ne	CEL	4.09(-5)±2.93(-6)	7.61±0.03	-0.26±0.10	7.87±0.10	1.00	7.55±0.03	7.50±0.08	7.62±0.05
S	CEL	2.51(-6)±1.58(-7)	6.40±0.03	-0.79±0.05	7.19±0.04	1.00	6.67±0.04	6.48±0.08	6.83±0.08
Cl	CEL	5.42(-8)±5.66(-9)	4.74±0.05	-0.77±0.30	5.50±0.30	1.00	4.89±0.18	...	4.86±0.09
Ar	CEL	1.00(-6)±3.85(-8)	6.00±0.02	-0.55±0.08	6.55±0.08	1.00	5.95±0.07	5.88±0.08	5.95±0.32
K	CEL	2.02(-8)±2.30(-9)	4.31±0.05	-0.80±0.07	5.11±0.05	3.06±0.23	4.65±0.22	...	...
Fe	CEL	3.62(-7)±5.62(-8)	5.56±0.07	-1.91±0.07	7.47±0.03	23.81±2.12	...	...	5.73±0.20
Kr	CEL	3.52(-9)±4.88(-10)	3.55±0.06	+0.27±0.10	3.28±0.08	1.00	...	...	3.62±0.13

References (1) Zijlstra et al. (2006a), Dudziak et al. (2000), (2) Walsh et al. (1997) for Wray16-423; (3) Otsuka et al. (2011) for Hen2-436.

^aAsplund et al. (2009) for N and Cl, and Lodders (2003) for the other elements.

in carbon stars in the Sgr dSph. For example, de Laverny et al. (2006) reported *s*-process enhancements in two C-rich stars (C/O=1.05-1.18). The respective [ls/Fe]s in IGI95-C1 ([M/H]=-0.8, [ls/M]=+0.6) and IGI95-C3 ([M/H]=-0.5, [ls/M]=+1.0) are comparable to that in Wray16-423. In comparison with previous studies of PNe and AGB stars, we concluded that the Kr abundance value obtained for Wray16-423 is reasonable.

In the last column of Table 4, we list the elemental abundance of Hen2-436 by Otsuka et al. (2011); the abundances obtained in the present study, with the exception of N, are close to those for Hen2-436. The O and Ar abundances indicate that both PN progenitors would form under a similar evolutionary stage of the Sgr dSph. Dudziak et al. (2000) pointed out that the nearly identical abundance patterns for these two Sgr dSph PNe provide evidence that the progenitors formed in a single starburst event (~5 Gyrs ago, Zijlstra et al. 2006a) within a well mixed ISM. The age-metallicity relationship for the Sgr dSph globular cluster candidates by Law & Majewski (2010) indicates that the [Fe/H]~-0.6 corresponds to ~8 Gyrs ago. Layden & Sarajedini (2000) reported that the Sgr dSph field is composed of several different populations; the [Fe/H]=-0.7±0.2 population is 5±1 Gyrs old and the [Fe/H]=-0.4±0.3 population is 0.5-3 Gyrs old. Although the exact [Fe/H] abundances in Wray16-423 and Hen2-436 are unknown, the progenitor stars of Wray16-423 and Hen2-436 most likely formed 0.5-6 Gyrs ago.

3.5 [WC] type central star

In Figure 6, we present the profile of the C IV $\lambda\lambda$ 5801/12 Å band composed of narrow nebular C IV $\lambda\lambda$ 5801/12 Å lines and a weak broad wing component (perhaps originating from a stellar wind). We fitted the band profile by three Gaussian components: in Figure 6, the thin black lines are the components of the nebular C IV $\lambda\lambda$ 5801/12 Å, the red line indicates our interesting broad component, and the thick black line is the sum of these three. The FWHMs of the C IV $\lambda\lambda$ 5801/5812 Å lines are 0.75±0.04 and 0.83±0.05 Å and that of the broad component (centered at 5805.96 Å in the rest frame) is 32.12±2.15 Å, where its FWHM expansion velocity is 1660±110 km s⁻¹. The C IV $\lambda\lambda$ 5801/12 Å band profile in Wray16-423 is similar to the Sgr dSph PN StWr2-21; Kniazev et al. (2008) de-convolved the C IV $\lambda\lambda$ 5801/12 Å into three components, which included a broad component having a FWHM of 42.3±3 Å. Although the nebular C IV $\lambda\lambda$ 5801/5812 Å lines seem




Figure 6. The observed profile of the C IV $\lambda\lambda$ 5801/12 Å band indicated by the grey line. The observed wavelength is corrected by the heliocentric radial velocity of +133.12 km s⁻¹. An interesting feature (perhaps originating from a stellar wind), is indicated by the red line.

to be completely embedded in the broad component in Hen2-436, the FWHM of the C IV $\lambda\lambda$ 5801/12 Å band is 32.8±0.2 Å, measured from the same ESO VLT/FEROS2 spectra used in Otsuka et al. (2011), and consistent with the findings of Walsh et al. (1997, 31.1 Å). We did not detect any other broad components, such as O VI $\lambda\lambda$ 3811/34 Å.

A consensus has not been reached regarding the classification of the CSPN of Wray16-423. Walsh et al. (1997) classified it as a [WC8] or a weak emission line star (WELS), on the basis of the strengths of the C III λ 4650 Å (FWHM=9.9 Å) and C IV $\lambda\lambda$ 5801/12 Å (FWHM=14.7 Å) bands, using low-dispersion spectra (*R* could be ~1200 around 5800 Å); the broad component under the nebular C IV $\lambda\lambda$ 5801/5812 Å lines was not detected. The broad component of the C III and C IV complex centered around 4650 Å, originating from the stellar winds, is not clearly seen in our HDS and FEROS spectra. There is no broad component in the C II λ 4267 Å, too.

Taking into account that their estimated CSPN temperature (85 000 K) is hot for a [WC8] PNe, Walsh et al. (1997) concluded




Figure 7. *Spitzer*/IRS spectrum of SMP LMC19 in the LMC. The intensity-scaled spectrum of Wray16-423 is indicated by the red line. The scaling factor is 2.98, for adjustment to the 16-36 μm flux density of LMC19.

that Wray16-423 could fall into WELS. Acker et al. (2002) analysed 42 emission line nuclei of PNe, and reported that the [WC4] CSPNe show the highest temperatures among the [WC] class (54 950-91 200 K). The determination of CSPN temperatures can be difficult, as pointed out by Acker et al. (2002), which may explain why the CSPN temperatures of the [WC] class PNe have a relatively wide range. Even after the CSPN temperature was corrected up to 107 000 K by photoionisation models based on the low-dispersion spectra of Walsh et al. (1997), Gesicki & Zijlstra (2003) and Zijlstra et al. (2006a) supported the conclusion by Walsh et al. (1997).

According to the classification of Acker et al. (2002), the broad FWHM of the stellar C IV component suggests that the CSPN of Wray16-423 may be a [WC4]-type central star.

3.6 PAHs and dust features

Wray16-423 shows PAH bands and dust features, specifically, 6-9 μm and 10-14 μm PAH bands and broad 11 μm , 16-24 μm , and 30 μm features. These PAH bands and these dust features have been found in C-rich MC PNe (Stanghellini et al. 2007; Bernard-Salas et al. 2009; García-Hernández et al. 2012; Matsuura et al. 2014; Sloan et al. 2014). Bernard-Salas et al. (2009) reported broad 11 μm , 16-24 μm ⁵, and 30 μm features in 8 C-rich MC PNe. Because the typical LMC metallicity is close to that of Wray16-423, LMC PNe provide a good comparison in terms of dust production in a metal-deficient environment. Therefore, we looked at the LMC PN sample to compare the mid-IR spectrum of Wray16-423 with those of selected LMC PNe.

Accordingly, we found that SMP LMC19, 25, 48, and 78 exhibit broad 11/16-24/30 μm features, comparable to the dust feature strengths measured in Wray16-423. Among them, the mid-IR spectrum of LMC19 is most similar to that of Wray16-423 (Fig. 7); the strengths of the broad 16-24 μm and 30 μm features and the global ~5-37 μm SED are similar as well.

For a more detailed look at these PAH and broad dust features, we subtracted the expected local dust continuum, determined by fifth-order spline-function fitting. The resultant spectra are dis-




Figure 8. *Spitzer*/IRS spectra of SMP LMC25, 48, 19, and 78 and Wray16-423 (Wray16). The local continuum was subtracted by fifth-order spline-function fitting. Flux densities are normalised and shifted to emphasise the 16-24 and 30 μm features.

played in Figure 8. The flux densities are scaled and shifted to emphasise broad 16-24 μm and 30 μm features.

In the following sections, we describe the 6-9 μm and 10-14 μm PAH bands, the broad 11 μm , 16-24 μm , and 30 μm band features in Wray16-423, and LMC19, 25, 48, and 78. Dust and gas mass estimates for these LMC PNe will be discussed in a future study.

3.6.1 The 6-9 μm PAH band

The classification of the 6-9 μm PAH band is based on the positions of 6.2, 7.7, and 8.6 μm features at the intensity peaks. According to Peeters et al. (2002) and Matsuura et al. (2014), the 6-9 μm PAH profiles have peak positions near 6.22-6.3 μm , 7.6-8.22 μm , and ≥ 8.6 μm . Our classifications are summarised in Table C1 of Appendix C. From the overview of Peeters et al. (2002), both the 6.2 and 7.7 μm PAHs in Wray16-423 are Class B, with corresponding peaks at 6.24 ± 0.01 μm , and 7.78 ± 0.04 μm , respectively. The 6.2 and 7.7 μm PAHs in our comparison LMC PNe fall into Classes B and A, respectively. The 8.6 μm PAH in Wray16-423 could not be resolved, due to a low signal. The 8.6 μm PAHs in LMC PNe are classified as Class B.

⁵ Bernard-Salas et al. (2009) call this Bump 16.




Figure 9. The 9.5–13.5 μm emission complex showing the 10–14 μm PAH bands and the broad 11 μm feature. The vertical dashed lines mark the positions of (a) [S iv] λ 10.51 μm , (b) and (c) 16.4 μm PAH and 15–18 μm plateau (possible), (d) [S iii] λ 18.71 μm , (e) [Ne iv] λ 24.32 μm , (f) He ii λ 25.31 μm , (g) [O iv] λ 25.89 μm , (h) [S iii] λ 33.50 μm , and (i) [Ne iii] λ 36.01 μm . The grey line shows an *ISO*/SWS spectrum of the Galactic C-rich AGB star W Ori, with the 11 μm SiC feature.

3.6.2 The 10–14 μm PAH band and the broad 11 μm feature

Figure 9 shows the 10–14 μm PAH bands complex and the broad 11 μm feature. The flux densities are normalised with respect to the 11.3- μm PAH emission peak. The positions of the PAHs and the atomic gas emission lines are shown as vertical dashed lines with the lowercase letters a–e.

In the study on PAHs in LMC post-AGB stars, [Matsuura et al. \(2014\)](#) classified the 10–14 μm PAH feature into four types: α , β , γ , and δ , depending on the peak wavelengths and the shapes of the sub-features. The Class α (including the broad 11 μm feature) shows a sharp feature at 11.3 μm , a well-isolated feature at 12.7 μm , and a very weak feature at 12 μm . The 11.3 μm PAH emission in Class α is the strongest among the three features. The respective features would be from the solo (11.3 μm PAH), duo (12 μm PAH), and trio (12.7 μm PAH) out-of-plane C–H bending mode of PAH ([Sloan et al. 2014](#)). We classify the 10–14 μm features in Wray16–423, LMC19, and LMC78 as Class α . The flux density for these three PNe reaches its maximum in this range at the peak of the 11.3 μm PAH emission (central wavelengths: 11.28, 11.30, and 11.29 μm , respectively); 12 μm PAHs are also observed in all three. The 12.7 μm PAHs are observed in LMC19 and 78, while the presence of this PAH in Wray16–423 seems to be merged with [Ne ii] λ 12.80 μm .

LMC25 and 48 are characterised as Class δ , by the broad feature extending from 10 to 14 μm with the 11.3 μm PAH on the




Figure 10. The 14–37.5 μm continuum subtracted spectra, showing the broad 16–24 μm and 30 μm features. The vertical dashed lines mark the positions of (a) [Ne iii] λ 15.56 μm , (b) and (c) 16.4 μm PAH and 15–18 μm plateau (possible), (d) [S iii] λ 18.71 μm , (e) [Ne iv] λ 24.32 μm , (f) He ii λ 25.31 μm , (g) [O iv] λ 25.89 μm , (h) [S iii] λ 33.50 μm , and (i) [Ne iii] λ 36.01 μm .

top ([Matsuura et al. 2014](#)). Class δ spectra are quite different from Class α spectra, in terms of the underlying broad 11 μm feature and its central position. We fitted Gaussians to the PAH and atomic gas emission lines, and subtracted the fits from the spectra. We then fitted the broad 11 μm feature in the residual spectra was fitted using a single Gaussian component to determine their central wavelengths λ_c and FWHMs (Table C1). The average peak position and FWHM of the broad feature are 11.92 $\pm$ 0.05 μm and 1.74 $\pm$ 0.11 μm , respectively, for Class α , while those in Class δ are 11.46 $\pm$ 0.01 μm and 1.86 $\pm$ 0.03 μm , respectively.

[Matsuura et al. \(2014\)](#) argued that the broad 11 μm feature characteristic to Class δ should be ascribed to silicon carbide (SiC). They used fits for the 10–14 μm spectra of three post-AGB stars using the NASA/Ames PAH IR-spectral database ([Boersma et al. 2014](#); [Bauschlicher et al. 2010](#))⁶ and the *ISO*/SWS spectrum of the C-rich AGB star W Ori as the SiC template (see also Fig. 9). [Sloan et al. \(2014\)](#) analyzed the broad 11 μm features and associated PAHs in LMC and SMC post AGB stars. They call the band profiles similar to LMC25 and LMC48 “Big-11”. They demonstrated that this Big-11 is composed of SiC and PAH, and they concluded that the Big-11 feature is due primarily to SiC (88% of the total flux). [Otsuka et al. \(2015\)](#) argued that the broad 11 μm feature in W Ori could be reproduced using the absorption efficiency Q_{λ} of a spherical α -SiC grain. Assuming that SiC grains greatly contribute to the 10–14 μm feature of Class δ , the wider FWHM in Class δ compared with Class α would then be due to the contribution from the 12 and 12.7 μm PAHs.




Figure 11. The plot between the 6-9 μm PAH and the broad 16-24 μm feature strengths.

3.6.3 The broad 16-24 μm feature

Broad 16-24 μm and 30 μm features are presented in Fig. 10. Bernard-Salas et al. (2009) and García-Hernández et al. (2012) reported the detection of this feature in MC PNe. Matsuura et al. (2014) detected this feature in the LMC post-AGB star IRAS0588-6944. In our galaxy, a broad 16-24 μm feature has been found in PNe M1-11 (Otsuka et al. 2013), K3-17, M2-43 (Hony et al. 2002b), and the proto-PN IRAS01005+7910 (Zhang et al. 2010).

The 16-24 μm feature is narrower in LMC25, 48, 78 (See Table C1); this could be due to the contribution of the blue wing of the 30 μm feature. The band profiles in LMC25, 48, and 78 are slightly asymmetric; the λ_c s of the 16-24 μm feature in these three are blue-shifted, compared with those of Wray16-423 and LMC19. The asymmetric profile could be due to a small plateau superposed on the broad 16-24 μm around 15-18 μm . The 15-18 μm plateau seems to be possibly in LMC19 ($\lambda_c \sim 17.10 \mu\text{m}$), but its presence is unclear in Wray16-423. In Figure C1 of Appendix C, we compare our sample PNe with the Galactic PN K3-60 (AORKEY: 19903744, PI: H. Dinnerstein, indicated by the grey lines). We use the K3-60 spectrum to explain the position and width of the 15-18 μm plateau, as an example. K3-60 shows the 15-18 μm plateau but no broad 16-24 μm feature. The 6-9 and 10-14 μm PAH band profiles in K3-60 are well matched to those in LMC78, 19, and Wray16-423. The complex of the 15-18 μm plateau and the 16.4 μm PAH also contribute to the broad 16-24 μm feature; however, its contribution seems to be negligibly small in our sample PNe. Even if the 15-18 μm plateau plus the 16.4 μm PAH are the major contributors to the 16-24 μm feature, this complex cannot explain the asymmetry and difference in width between the observed 16-24 μm features. The red and blue wings of the 16-24 μm feature have different slopes between LMC25/48/78 and LMC19/Wray16-423, and this cannot be explained by the presence of the plateau only. Note that also the broad 30 μm feature is clearly broader and redder in LMC19 and Wray16-423. The different widths and positions might reflect the colder dust and/or larger dust grains.

The carrier of the broad 16-24 μm feature has yet

Table 5. Fitting results for the broad 30 μm feature. The uncertainty of $T_d(\text{max})$ is within 0.6 K.

Fitting	Fitting wavelength (μm)	$T_d(\text{max})$ (K)
Fit1	14-22,65	145.5
Fit2	14-65	131.3
Fit3	27-65	108.1
Fit4	14-15, 27-65	133.0

to be identified. Van Kerckhoven et al. (2000) noted that the 6.2/7.7/8.6/11.3 μm PAH emission is from relatively small PAH molecules (~ 50 C-atoms). Additionally, they argued that the 16-20 μm plateau – it should be attributed to the 15-18 μm plateau as described above – is due to the destruction of large PAHs and PAH clusters, containing ~ 2000 C-atoms.

Can the 16-24 μm feature be also attributed to large/cluster PAHs and the 6-9 μm PAH form by the destruction of large PAHs and PAH clusters? If so, then an anti-correlation may exist between the intensities of the 16-24 μm feature and the 6-9 μm PAH. In 7 LMC PNe listed in Table C2 of Appendix C and Wray16-423 showing both features, we measured the integrated fluxes of the 6-9 μm PAH band ($F(6-9 \mu\text{m PAH})$), the 16-24 μm feature ($F(16-24 \mu\text{m})$) in the local continuum subtracted spectra, and the integrated flux between 5.75-36.8 μm ($F(\text{IR})$). We define the ratios of the $F(6-9 \mu\text{m PAH})/F(\text{IR})$ and the $F(16-24 \mu\text{m PAH})/F(\text{IR})$ as feature strengths, and we present between these strengths in Fig. 11. The correlation factor is -0.50 , depending on the data of Wray16-423 because the factor is down to -0.16 without Wray16-423. Therefore, at this moment we cannot conclude that there is a correlation between the 16-24 μm feature and the 6-9 μm PAH band (or PAHs themselves).

Hony et al. (2002b) proposed iron sulfide (FeS) to explain the 16-24 μm feature. We note Zhukovska & Gail (2008); ? FeS displays a broad feature centered at 23 μm and two sharp resonances at 34 and 38 μm . In our sample PNe, the λ_c s of the 16-24 μm feature are not in accordance with that of the broad component of FeS at the central wavelength ($\sim 23 \mu\text{m}$), and none showed the 34 μm resonance. Thus, Fe depletion in Wray16-423 does not reflect the presence of FeS as a carrier of the 16-24 μm feature; however, it may imply those of other Fe-based grains.

Amorphous silicates have broad emission features at two peaks near 9.7 μm (Si-O stretching mode) and $\sim 18 \mu\text{m}$ (O-Si-O bending mode). Thus, the fossil silicate dust that forms in early AGB outflow is of interest. Dual-dust PNe have been found in the Galactic bulge (e.g., Górný et al. 2010; Perea-Calderón et al. 2009). Górný et al. (2010) reported four PNe showing amorphous silicate, PAHs, and crystalline silicate features at 23.5, 27.5, and 33.8 μm , respectively. Crystalline silicates are not detected in our sample. As Figure 7 of Bernard-Salas et al. (2009), the central wavelength of the 18 μm bump is not in accordance with that of the 16-24 μm feature. If amorphous silicate is responsible for the 16-24 μm feature, then a broad bump should be observed in the blue shoulder of the broad 11 μm feature. This is not the case. Therefore, the broad 16-24 μm features in our sample cannot be attributed to amorphous silicate.

3.6.4 The broad 30 μm feature

Our PNe display a broad 30 μm feature, which has been seen in many C-rich objects. Hony et al. (2002a) performed a comprehensive analysis of the 30 μm feature showing objects with

⁶ <http://www.astrochem.org/pahdb/>




Figure 12. Reproduced SEDs by the Equation (7) (black, green, red, and blue lines) overlaid on the *Spitzer*/IRS spectrum of Wray16-423 (grey line). The point indicated by the diamond shows the upper-limit flux density at *AKARI*/FIS 65 μm . Fits 1-4 are different in terms of the fitting wavelength range. The fitting results are summarised in Table 5.

ISO/SWS spectra. Due to the wavelength coverage, our measured FWHMs were narrower than the measurements of Hony et al. (2002a, $\sim 10 \mu\text{m}$). Hony et al. (2002a) argued that the feature originated from a CDE MgS. The CDE MgS has been the major candidate for the 30 μm feature. However, as we introduced earlier, the origin of this feature is unclear.

Messenger et al. (2013) performed a detailed analysis on the 30 μm and the 11 μm SiC features; the authors concluded that the 30 μm feature is likely due to a carbide or sulfide component, and that the abundance of the carrier of the 30 μm feature is linked to SiC abundance. This conclusion seems to agree with Sloan et al. (2014), who argued that the MgS feature strength climbs just as the SiC strength drops, as the dust shells around the stars become red, based on the assumption that the SiC/amorphous carbon is coated by MgS as the dust grains move away from the central star. Laboratory experiments by Lodders & Fegley (1995) revealed the condensation temperatures of different C/O ratios and pressures; for the case of C/O = 2.0 and a total pressure of 10^{-3} bar, the condensation temperatures of graphite, SiC, and MgS grains are 1978 K, 1736 K, and 1152 K, respectively.

We measured the $F(11 \mu\text{m SiC})/F(\text{IR})$ for LMC PNe LMC8, 25, 48, and 85 (Table C2) showing SiC. Among these PNe, an anti-correlation relationship exists between the SiC and 30 μm intensities (correlation factor = -0.88), supporting the idea of Sloan et al. (2014). We investigated the effective temperatures of 14 LMC PNe using CLOUDY. The average effective temperatures of the four SiC-containing PNe (LMC8, 25, 48, and 85) is 50 750 K, while a value of 123 000 K is determined among the non-SiC containing PNe (LMC19, 78, and 99, and Wray16-423). The CSPNe of SiC-containing PNe seem to be cooler and younger than those of the non-SiC-containing PNe. Thus, the MgS grains in non-SiC-containing PNe may be far from the central stars. In comparison, the average λ_c s of the 30 μm feature in SiC-containing four PNe is 30.50 μm and that in the remaining non-SiC-containing four PNe is 31.73 μm .

However, Zhang et al. (2009) cast doubt on this identification for the 30 μm feature. They demonstrated that the MgS mass of $(0.96-7.16) \times 10^{-5} M_{\odot}$, formed from the available S atoms, could not account for the observed strength of the 30 μm feature in the

proto-PN HD56126, even if all the dust grains existed as MgS (the required MgS mass is $> 3.5 \times 10^{-4} M_{\odot}$).

CDE iron-rich sulphide, such as $\text{Mg}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{S}$ (Fe50S), also show a broad feature around 30 μm , similar to the CDE MgS feature (Messenger et al. 2013). In PNe, Henry et al. (2012) reported no correlation between the S abundance deficiency and the occurrence of the broad 30 μm feature, implying that iron-rich sulfide rather than MgS may be favored as a carrier of the 30 μm feature. The type of Mg-Fe-S grains that form depends on the amount of Mg, Fe, and S atoms in the solid phase.

Another possible candidate for the 30 μm feature is graphite, as proposed by Jiang et al. (2014). The opacity of graphite by Draine & Lee (1984) exhibits broad emission (FWHM $> 60 \mu\text{m}$) peaked at $\sim 30-40 \mu\text{m}$. The theoretical opacity of graphite with a d.c. conductivity of $100 \Omega^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ by Jiang et al. (2014) shows a well-consistent FWHM of $\sim 10 \mu\text{m}$ to the observed FWHMs reported by Hony et al. (2002a). Otsuka et al. (2014) found that the relative strength of the 30 μm feature to the underlying continuum in fullerene C_{60} -containing PNe is constant; they also succeeded in fitting both the 30 μm feature and the 13-160 μm continuum using the synthesised absorption efficiency $Q_{\text{abs}}(\lambda)$, derived from the combined data of *ISO*/SWS/LWS and *Spitzer*/IRS spectra of C_{60} -containing PN IC418. Their derived opacity curve is similar to that of graphite by Draine & Lee (1984), with the exception of the feature strength and FWHM. Otsuka et al. (2014) concluded that the 30 μm feature and featureless continuum may be related to hydrogenated amorphous carbon (HAC) or graphite. HAC has two resonances centered at $\sim 20 \mu\text{m}$ and $\sim 30 \mu\text{m}$ as demonstrated in Fig. 9 of Otsuka et al. (2013). However, since its optical constant is strongly variable for different chemical and physical conditions such as hydrogen-content and grain size, one might be able to reproduce the strong 30 μm feature plus the weaker sub structures.

We utilised the method of Otsuka et al. (2014) to verify our ability to fit the $\geq 14 \mu\text{m}$ SED of Wray16-423 with their $Q_{\text{abs}}(\lambda)$ even if it will not be possible to fit the broad 16-24 μm feature in this way. The model of Otsuka et al. (2014) assumes that the dust density, as a function of the distance from the CSPN r , is distributed around the CSPN with a power-law ($\propto r^{-p}$) and that the dust temperature distribution $T_d(r)$ also follows a power-law ($\propto r^{-q}$). The thermal radiation from spherical grains can be estimated by the following:

$$F_{\lambda} = C \cdot Q_{\text{abs}}(\lambda) \int_{T_d(\text{min})}^{T_d(\text{max})} T_d^{-\alpha} B_{\lambda}(T_d) dT_d, \quad (7)$$

where C is a constant value, $T_d(\text{max})$ and $T_d(\text{min})$ are the maximum and minimum temperatures of the 30 μm feature, respectively, $\alpha = (3 - p)/q > 0$, and $B_{\lambda}(T_d)$ is the Planck function. We chose both p and q to be 2. The fit is not sensitive to $T_d(\text{min})$; thus, we let $T_d(\text{min}) = 20 \text{ K}$, a temperature more or less characteristic of dust in the ISM.

We fit the *Spitzer*/IRS spectrum for wavelengths longer than 14 μm by the Equation (7). As a constraint to set the upper limit flux density over 36.5 μm by the model fit, we used an upper limit flux density $I_{\nu}(65 \mu\text{m}) = 88.3 \text{ mJy}$ measured from the *AKARI*/FIS Far-infrared All-Sky Survey Maps. We performed four fits, as summarised in Table 5. The fitting regions of each differed. The resultant SEDs are plotted on the *Spitzer*/IRS spectrum in Figure 12. Fit1 - a test whether the 14-22 μm dust continuum is linked with the 30 μm feature - predicts ~ 1.2 times larger flux density at the peak of the 30 μm feature. Fit2 converging at 14-65 μm gives a better result; however, it cannot explain $\sim 20-24 \mu\text{m}$ of the broad 16-24 μm fea-




Figure 13. Radial profile of the hydrogen density adopted in SED modeling.

Table 6. Best fitting parameters and the derived properties by the CLOUDY model.

Parameters of the CSPN	Values
L_*	4753 $L_\odot$
T_{eff}	110 110 K
M_V	2.691
$\log g$	6.0 cm s^{-2}
Distance	24.8 kpc
Parameters of the Nebula	Values
Abundances	He:11.02, C:8.86, N:7.69, O:8.22, Ne:7.50, ($\log_{10}(\text{X}/\text{H})+12$)
	S:6.40, Cl:4.71, Ar:5.92, K:4.31, Fe:5.57 [Si,Mg/H]=−2.00, Others:[X/H]=−0.65
Geometry	Spherical
Shell size	$R_{\text{in}}=0.20''$ (0.02 pc), $R_{\text{out}}=1.3''$ (0.16 pc)
n_{H}	See Fig.13
filling factor	0.85
$\log I(\text{H}\beta)$	−11.705 $\text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$ (de-reddened)
m_{g}	0.59 $M_\odot$
Parameters of the Dust	Values
Composition	3.1 % PAHs and 96.9 % graphite (mass frac.)
Grain size	0.0004-0.011 μm for PAH 0.005-0.25 μm for graphite
T_d	113-305 K (PAHs), 43-194 K (graphite)
m_d	1.32(−4) $M_\odot$ in total
m_d/m_{g}	2.26(−4)

ture or the 30 μm . Fit3, focusing only the 30 μm feature, provides a good fitting for the 30 μm feature, although it under-predicts the flux density below 27 μm . Fit4 skips the broad 16-24 μm feature and gives results similar to those obtained in Fit2.

Our simple analysis demonstrates that the broad 16-24 μm in Wray16-423 is unrelated to the 30 μm feature. If we focus on the 27 μm or longer wavelength data, we can reproduce part of the observed 30 μm feature with the synthesised $Q_{\text{abs}}(\lambda)$ of Otsuka et al. (2014). More fits to the 30 μm feature showing PNe (but without broad 16-24 μm feature) are necessary to synthesize the absorption efficiency of the 30 μm feature for astronomical objects and to separate the 16-24 μm feature from the complex of 16-24 μm and 30 μm features.

3.7 SED modeling

We constructed an SED model to investigate the physical conditions of the gas and dust grains, in order to derive their masses using CLOUDY c10.00.

3.7.1 Model approach

We set the distance to Wray16-423 to 24.8 kpc for comparison with the observed fluxes and flux densities. For the incident SED from the CSPN, we adopted the model grids of non-LTE line-blanketed, plane-parallel, hydrostatic model atmospheres of halo stars ($[Z/H]=-1$) by Rauch (2003). Because the He II line-fluxes are sensitive to the surface gravity $\log g$, we tested small gas-only model grids with varying $\log g$ that matched the flux of the He II $\lambda 4686 \text{ \AA}$. In the small grids, we set the CSPN's effective temperature to $T_{\text{eff}}=110\,660 \text{ K}$ and luminosity L_* to $4683 L_\odot$ using Equations (3.1) and (3.2) of Dopita & Meatheringham (1991), which are established amongst Magellanic Cloud PNe, assuming optically thick nebula. Accordingly, we set $\log g$ to 6.0 cm s^{-2} . We kept this surface gravity in the gas+dust full SED model, and adopted the above T_{eff} and L_* as a first guess.

For gas-phase elemental abundances, we adopted the observed values listed in Table 4 as the first guess, and refined these to match the observed line intensities of each element. We considered the CEL O line fluxes to set the O abundance. We adopted the same transition probabilities and effective collision strengths of CELs used in our plasma diagnostics and abundance determinations. Because CLOUDY does not include any Kr lines, we did not consider this element. The abundances for non-calculated elements, except for Mg and Si, were held constant at $[X/H]=-0.65$, which is the average between the observed $[\text{Cl}/\text{H}]$ and $[\text{Ar}/\text{H}]$. Because the deficiency of refractory elements Mg and Si is unknown, we adopted $[\text{Mg,Si}/\text{H}]=-2$.

We determined the radial H-density profile of the nebula, based on the radial intensity profile of the HST/WFPC2 F656N image using an Abel transformation under the assumption of spherical symmetry. The ionization-bound models over-predicted the $[\text{N II}]$ and $[\text{O II}]$ lines and under-predicted the $[\text{O III}]$ lines, whereas the matter-bound models gave better fit to the observed fluxes of these emission lines. Therefore, we assumed the matter-bound condition. The outer radius R_{out} was set to 1.3'' (0.16 pc) and the inner radius R_{in} was 0.2'' (0.023 pc), from the HST image. We adopted a constant filling factor of 0.85. The adopted radial profile of the hydrogen density is presented in Figure 13. Our set hydrogen density profile appeared to be similar to the photoionisation models without dust grains by Dudziak et al. (2000), who adopted the constant hydrogen densities of 9500 cm^{-3} for 0.023-0.051 pc (covering factor = 0.17) and 3600 cm^{-3} for 0.023-0.08 pc (0.83).

We attempted to fit the observed SED in the range from 0.1516 μm (GALEX-Fuv) to 65 μm (AKARI/FIS), assuming that the infrared excess around 5-40 μm was due to the emission from PAH molecules and carbon-based grains. There are no suitable optical constants for the broad 16-24 μm and 30- μm features; thus, we skipped these bands. The 16-24 μm and 27.4-36.5 μm SEDs were out of the fitting range in the model. Here, we adopted graphite and PAH. We assumed a spherical shape for both the graphite and PAH. In graphite, the spheres were assumed to be randomly oriented, and the "1/3-2/3" approximation was utilised (for validity of this approximation see, Draine & Malhotra 1993). The optical constants were taken from Draine & Li (2007) (PAH-Carbonaceous grains) for PAH and Draine & Lee (1984) for graphite. For PAH, we adopted the radius a in the range from 0.0004 (30 C-atoms) to 0.0011 μm (500 C-atoms) with the standard interstellar dust-grain size distribution by Mathis et al. (1977) (i.e., $a^{-3.5}$). For the graphite, we adopted the same size distribution with $a=0.005$ -0.25 μm .

To verify the model fitting accuracy, we evaluated the chi-

square value (χ^2) from the 44 gas emission fluxes, 9 gas-phase abundances, 7 broad band fluxes, and the 9 de-reddened flux densities I_ν from *GALEX*, *HST*/WFPC2, 2MASS, and *WISE* bands. We used the *AKARI*/FIS I_ν (65 μm) to set the dust continuum above 36.5 μm .

3.7.2 Comments on SED model results

The properties of the CSPN, nebula, and dust/molecules matching the observed quantities are listed in Table 6. The predicted fluxes relative to the $H\beta$, the flux densities at the interest bands, and elemental abundances compared with observations are listed in Table 7. The reduced chi-square value indicates that our SED model can reproduce the observed quantities.

We may have overestimated the $[\text{Ne II}] \lambda 12.80 \mu\text{m}$ in the low-dispersion *Spitzer*/IRS spectrum, because this line would be contaminated by the 12.7 μm PAH (see Fig. 9). Although we could not reproduce these line fluxes within estimated errors, our model is globally successful in explaining the observations. Although without the UV spectra we cannot say for certain, the large difference in *GALEX*-Fuv and Nuv might be due to the adopted extinction value and reddening correction function for *GALEX* wavelength.

The model predicted M_V of the CSPN (2.685) is consistent with the observed absolute magnitude of 2.770 ± 0.2 in the case of 24.8 kpc within error. The uncertainty of T_{eff} is 2000 K. Taking into account the distance uncertainty of 0.8 kpc (Kunder & Chaboyer 2009), our derived L_* of $4753 \pm 1230 L_\odot$ is fairly consistent with Dudziak et al. (2000), who reported $4350 \pm 150 L_\odot$ and $T_{\text{eff}} = 107\,000 \pm 10\,000$ K. The uncertainties of the predicted elemental abundances are within 0.1 dex.

Taking the absolute *Spitzer*/IRS flux calibration uncertainty $\sim 17\%$ (Decin et al. 2004), the dust mass m_d would be $(1.32 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-4} M_\odot$. The uncertainty of the dust mass fraction would be within $\pm 1\%$. The estimated dust mass here would be the lower limit value, because we skipped the broad 16–24 μm and 30 μm bands. If one of the carriers for the 30 μm broad band is the Fe-rich sulfide, then the dust mass and the dust-to-gas mass ratio could increase. Indeed, the large Fe depletion with respect to Ar is confirmed; thus, the missing Fe atoms would exist as Fe dust grains. In the next section, we discuss how much dust mass can be added if the broad 30 μm feature is from Fe-rich sulfide or MgS. The gas mass m_g (the sum of the ionised and atomic gas mass within the volume occupied within R_{out}) is $0.59 \pm 0.10 M_\odot$. We also ran the model for the case of $R_{\text{out}} = 1.0''$ (0.12 pc), and we obtained the $m_d = (1.05 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-4} M_\odot$ and $m_g = 0.45 \pm 0.08 M_\odot$. The estimated m_g for our $R_{\text{out}} = 1.0''$ and $1.5''$ models is consistent with the result of $0.4 M_\odot$ by Zijlstra et al. (2006a). The ionised gas mass exceeds the prior estimate of $0.248 M_\odot$ by Dudziak et al. (2000), which we attribute to the difference in $H\beta$ flux and outer radius ($\log I(H\beta) = -11.89 \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ and $R_{\text{out}} = 0.08 \text{ pc}$ at 25 kpc). If we adopted their $I(H\beta)$, then m_g is $\sim 0.29 M_\odot$ in the case of $R_{\text{out}} = 0.12 \text{ pc}$.

The dust mass in Wray16-423 is similar to that in Hen2-436 $((2.9\text{--}4.0) \times 10^{-4} M_\odot$, Otsuka et al. 2011), whereas the gas mass is 8–12 times larger. Otsuka et al. (2011) and Dudziak et al. (2000) estimated $0.05\text{--}0.07 M_\odot$ (ionised + neutral gas) and $0.04 M_\odot$ (ionised gas) for Hen2-436, respectively. Dudziak et al. (2000) argued that the outer boundary in Hen2-436 is determined by radiation (ionisation bound). Indeed, Hen2-436 is very compact; its outer radius is $\sim 0.03 \text{ pc}$. The small $\text{O}^{2+}/(\text{O}^+ + \text{O}^{2+})$ ratio in Hen2-436 (0.85, Otsuka et al. 2011) seems to support this (c.f., 0.96 in Wray16-423).

In Figure 14a, we present the observed SED plots (blue dia-

monds and grey lines) and the modelled SED (red line). The dashed line is the modelled incident SED of the CSPN, where the flux density in the F574M band is consistent with the observed value. In Figure 14b, we display a close-up of the SED in the wavelength range covered by the *Spitzer*/IRS spectrum. The large mass fraction of the graphite grains is due to the rising mid-IR flux density toward $\sim 25 \mu\text{m}$. Because we did not consider the possibility of very large PAH molecules discussed in Section 3.6.3, the 15–20 μm PAH plateau is not reproduced by the model. The complex of the 10–14 μm PAHs and broad 11 μm feature in Wray16-423 could be reproduced with PAHs although the fitting is not perfect. The observed band profile of the 10–14 μm PAHs and broad 11 μm feature is nearly flat top around 12 μm whereas the predicted band profile is very similar to the observed one except for the small lack of emission indicated by the arrow. The lack of emission could be filled if we add amorphous carbon grains in the model, because the $Q_{\text{abs}}(\lambda)$ of amorphous carbon calculated from the optical data of Rouleau & Martin (1991) appears in a small bump around 12 μm .

4 DISCUSSION

4.1 Progenitor mass estimate by comparison to AGB nucleosynthesis models

Because the HDS spectrum shows a signature of [WC] CSPN in the C IV $\lambda\lambda 5801/12 \text{ \AA}$ band, the CSPN could be He-rich. Assuming that the CSPN is in the midst of He-burning, comparing the estimated L_* and T_{eff} on He-burning post-AGB evolution tracks with the initial $Z=0.008$ of Vassiliadis & Wood (1994) indicates a progenitor mass of 1.5–2.0 $M_\odot$.

The presence of the C IV $\lambda\lambda 5801/12 \text{ \AA}$ band could be evidence that Wray16-423 experienced a very late thermal pulse (VLTP). Vassiliadis & Wood (1994) demonstrated the He-burning post-AGB track for initially 1.5 $M_\odot$ stars, with $Z=0.008$; these stars experienced a VLTP at $\log_{10} T_{\text{eff}} \sim 4.9$ ($\sim 79\,430 \text{ K}$). The subsequent evolution was dominated by He-shell burning. The difference from normal He-burning evolution tracks is that there is no upturn luminosity, and the evolution after VLTP is very similar to the H-burning tracks. If Wray16-423 experienced a VLTP, then the progenitor mass is estimated to be $> 1.5 M_\odot$.

Next, we verify whether AGB nucleosynthesis models can explain observed elemental abundances in an initial $\sim 1.5\text{--}2.0 M_\odot$ star. In Table 8, we compare the AGB model results of Karakas (2010) with our observation results. The ^{13}C pocket mass is not included. Besides He/C/N/O/Ne/S/Fe abundances, we list the ejected mass at the last thermal pulse and the core-mass of the CSPN in the last two lines of Table 8. Here, we assume that the estimated gas mass through our SED model is nearly equal to the ejected mass at the last thermal pulse. The gas mass estimated in our SED models depends on the outer nebula radius and the de-reddened $H\beta$ flux. So far, the gas mass estimates in Wray16-423 range from $0.248 M_\odot$ (Dudziak et al. 2000) to $0.49 \pm 0.10 M_\odot$ (see section 3.7.2). Among these model predictions, the 1.9 $M_\odot$ model provides an excellent fit to the observed elemental abundances and the ejected mass. The consistency between the observed and the predicted Ne abundances indicates that the ^{13}C pocket may not form in Wray16-423. Even if we adopt the expected CEL C abundance of 8.70 ± 0.14 , as described in section 3.4, our conclusion does not change considerably.




Figure 14. (*upper panel*) Predicted SED of the PN Wray16-423 by CLOUDY modeling (the red solid line and the dashed line). The red solid line is the emergent model spectrum of the CSPN plus the nebula, and the dashed line is the spectrum of the CSPN. The grey lines are the observed spectra taken by the Subaru/HDS and the *Spitzer*/IRS. The blue diamonds are the photometry data of the CSPN plus the nebula from the *GALEX* (Fuv and Nuv bands), *HST* (F547M), 2MASS (near-IR *JHKs*), *WISE* (11.6 and 22.1 μm), and *AKARI*/FIS 65 μm . The green star is the photometry of the CSPN measured by the *HST*/(F547M) image. (*lower panel*) Closed-up plot for mid-IR wavelengths. The spectral resolving power (R) of the CLOUDY model spectrum was constant (100) to match that of the *Spitzer*/IRS. The lack of emission, indicated by the arrow, could be filled by adding amorphous carbon grains in the model. See the text for details.

4.2 Expected solid-phase Mg, S, and Fe

The difference between the observed S and Fe abundances and the AGB model predicted abundances could constrain how much mass of each element could be locked by the dust grains, based on the assumption that elemental abundances in the nebula are consistent with the predicted values in the case of the $1.9 M_{\odot}/Z=0.004$ AGB model. The density fraction of an element X in the solid phase, $n_{\text{solid}}(\text{X})/n(\text{X})$, can be roughly estimated using the following:

$$\frac{n_{\text{solid}}(\text{X})}{n(\text{X})} = 1 - 10^{\log_{10}\left(\frac{n(\text{X})}{n(\text{H})}\right)_{\text{obs}} - \log_{10}\left(\frac{n(\text{X})}{n(\text{H})}\right)_{\text{model}}}, \quad (8)$$

where $\log_{10}(n(\text{X})/n(\text{H}))_{\text{obs}}$ and $\log_{10}(n(\text{X})/n(\text{H}))_{\text{model}}$ are the observed and the AGB star model predicted elemental abundances of the element X. Using the Equation (8), ~92-95 % of the Fe atoms and ~46-53 % of the S atoms in the nebula could be in the solid phase.

Table 7. Comparison between SED modeling and observations.

Ion	λ_{lab}	$I(\text{CLOUDY})$ ($I(\text{H}\beta)=100$)	$I(\text{Obs})$ ($I(\text{H}\beta)=100.0$)	Ion	λ_{lab}	$I(\text{CLOUDY})$ ($I(\text{H}\beta)=100.0$)	$I(\text{Obs})$ ($I(\text{H}\beta)=100.0$)
[O II]	3726 Å	19.79	19.52	He I	5876 Å	16.22	15.20
[O II]	3729 Å	14.17	10.72	[S III]	6312 Å	1.19	1.42
[Ne III]	3869 Å	87.36	85.71	[N II]	6548 Å	5.45	5.50
[Ne III]	3968 Å	26.33	26.15	H α	6563 Å	284.8	285.0
[S II]	4069 Å	0.63	1.11	[N II]	6584 Å	16.08	16.20
C III	4187 Å	0.17	0.15	He I	6678 Å	4.19	3.98
C II	4267 Å	0.45	0.47	[S II]	6716 Å	1.82	1.58
H δ	4341 Å	46.69	46.90	[S II]	6731 Å	2.26	2.64
[O III]	4363 Å	14.59	13.39	[Ar III]	7135 Å	7.77	10.83
He I	4388 Å	0.63	0.57	He I	7281 Å	1.06	1.01
He I	4471 Å	5.20	5.10	[O II]	7323 Å	1.56	2.79
[Fe III]	4701 Å	0.013	0.022	[O II]	7332 Å	1.24	2.15
[Ar IV]	4711 Å	2.81	1.86	[Ar III]	7751 Å	1.88	2.71
[Ar IV]	4740 Å	3.72	2.71	[Cl IV]	8047 Å	0.34	0.56
C III	4649 Å	0.48	0.40	[S III]	9069 Å	4.65	7.99
C IV	4659 Å	0.045	0.103	[S IV]	10.51 μm	55.07	27.80
He II	4686 Å	10.02	11.14	[Ne II]	12.80 μm	0.21	1.07
H β	4863 Å	100.0	100.0	[Ne III]	15.55 μm	49.05	59.76
[Fe III]	4881 Å	0.032	0.032	[S III]	18.67 μm	7.65	9.92
He I	4922 Å	1.37	1.27	[O IV]	25.88 μm	16.00	11.92
[O III]	4931 Å	0.14	0.14	[S III]	33.47 μm	3.99	4.31
[O III]	4959 Å	336.3	362.4	[Ne III]	36.01 μm	4.03	3.87
[O III]	5007 Å	1012.1	1062.1	IRS-1	6.20 μm	12.36	13.466
[Ar III]	5192 Å	0.12	0.13	IRS-2	6.90 μm	11.29	13.96
[Fe III]	5271 Å	0.064	0.040	IRS-3	7.90 μm	18.95	18.22
[Cl IV]	5324 Å	0.012	0.021	IRS-4	11.30 μm	19.45	19.28
[Cl III]	5518 Å	0.28	0.25	IRS-5	12.30 μm	19.47	21.30
[Cl III]	5538 Å	0.31	0.37	IRS-6	13.50 μm	40.31	40.70
[N II]	5755 Å	0.45	0.40	IRS-7	14.50 μm	21.20	20.52
Band	λ_c	$I_{\nu}(\text{CLOUDY})$ (mJy)	$I_{\nu}(\text{Obs})$ (mJy)	X	$\log_{10}(\text{X}/\text{H})_{\text{CLOUDY}}$ +12	$\log_{10}(\text{X}/\text{H})_{\text{Obs}}$ +12	
GALEX-Fuv	1516 Å	5.61	2.58	He	11.02	11.02	
GALEX-Nuv	2267 Å	3.96	3.07	C	8.86	8.92	
WFPC2/F547M	5484 Å	1.35	1.44	N	7.69	7.69	
2MASS-J	1.235 μm	2.49	2.13	O	8.22	8.31	
2MASS-H	1.662 μm	1.60	1.15	Ne	7.50	7.61	
2MASS-Ks	2.159 μm	2.47	1.68	S	6.40	6.40	
WISE-B1	3.353 μm	1.90	1.32	Cl	4.71	4.74	
WISE-B2	4.603 μm	2.87	1.69	Ar	5.92	6.00	
WISE-B3	11.56 μm	23.79	23.68	Fe	5.57	5.56	
AKARI/FIS	65 μm	88.30	<88.33	$\chi^2(\text{reduced } \chi^2)$		48.14(0.63)	

Note – The values for the IRS-1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 bands correspond to integrated fluxes between the following wavelength ranges, 5.9-6.9 μm , 6.4-7.4 μm , 7.4-8.4 μm , 10.8-11.8 μm , 11.8-12.8 μm , 12.5-14.5 μm , and 14-15 μm , respectively.**Table 8.** Comparison to AGB nucleosynthesis models of [Karakas \(2010\)](#). The C abundance in Wray16-423 is the RL C value. See text regarding the estimate of the Mg abundance in Section 4.2. The core-mass of Wray16-423 was estimated from [Vassiliadis & Wood \(1994\)](#).

	AGB models			Present work
	1.5 $M_{\odot}$ Z=0.004	1.75 $M_{\odot}$ Z=0.004	1.90 $M_{\odot}$ Z=0.004	
He	10.97	10.98	10.99	11.02±0.04
C	8.46	8.80	8.94	8.92±0.08
N	7.65	7.69	7.71	7.69±0.04
O	8.23	8.25	8.25	8.31±0.01
Ne	7.42	7.51	7.61	7.61±0.03
Mg	6.88	6.88	6.89	6.19±0.30
S	6.69	6.70	6.70	6.40±0.03
Fe	6.78	6.78	6.78	5.56±0.07
Ejected mass	0.04 $M_{\odot}$	0.15 $M_{\odot}$	0.44 $M_{\odot}$	0.59±0.10 $M_{\odot}$
Core-mass	0.62 $M_{\odot}$	0.63 $M_{\odot}$	0.64 $M_{\odot}$	0.62-0.67 $M_{\odot}$

The ionised Mg abundances in PNe have been calculated using the forbidden lines at UV wavelengths and recombination $\text{Mg II } \lambda 4481 \text{ Å}$. We were unable to detect optical Mg II lines. Instead, we attempt to estimate the gas-phase Mg abundance using Mg^0 and N^0 abundances based on the assumption that all N atoms exist as a gas. This assumption is possible, because the observed N abundance (7.69 ± 0.04) is consistent with AGB model predictions (7.71). Under the assumption that Mg-containing grains did not form, the Mg/N ratio would be 0.151 from the 1.9 $M_{\odot}$ AGB model; thus, nearly identical to Mg^0/N^0 . In this case (i.e., Mg-atoms are not depleted by dust grains), the Mg^0/H^+ should be $0.151 \times \text{N}^0/\text{H}^+ = 8.98(-8) \pm 4.92(-9)$. Because the actual Mg^0/H^+ is $1.81(-8) \pm 5.27(-9)$, about 14-26 % of the Mg-atoms likely exist as a gas and the remaining 74-86 % are in the solid phase. Using the modeled Mg/H of 6.89 dex ($7.76(-6)$ in linear scale), the gas-phase Mg/H would be 6.19 ± 0.30 dex ($1.53(-6) \pm 4.63(-7)$ in linear scale). Both the gas and solid phase Mg estimates are optimistic and depend on the

Table 9. Required MgS and Fe50 grain masses for the $30\mu\text{m}$ feature. The maximum Fe50S ($\text{Mg}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{S}$) and MgS mass could form $7.65(-5)\text{M}_{\odot}$ and $5.95(-5)\text{M}_{\odot}$, respectively.

Models	$T_d(\text{MgS})$ (K)	$T_d(\text{Fe50S})$ (K)	$m_d(\text{MgS})$ ($\text{M}_{\odot}$)	$m_d(\text{Fe50S})$ ($\text{M}_{\odot}$)
Case 1	133.8 ± 0.9	117.9 ± 1.2	$5.5(-7) \pm 1.0(-7)$	$1.8(-6) \pm 3.2(-7)$
Case 2	"	"	$6.0(-6) \pm 1.1(-6)$	$1.9(-5) \pm 3.6(-6)$

AGB model prediction. UV Mg forbidden lines in *HST*/STIS spectra would provide a more exact value.

Using the estimated fractions of the solid phase Mg, S, and Fe atoms, we estimate the solid-phase masses $m_{\text{solid}}(\text{X})$ using the following equation:

$$m_{\text{solid}}(\text{X}) = \mu(\text{X}) \cdot \frac{m_g}{1 + 4 n(\text{He})/n(\text{H})_{\text{obs}}} \cdot \frac{n(\text{X})}{n(\text{H})_{\text{model}}} \cdot \frac{n_{\text{solid}}(\text{X})}{n(\text{X})}, \quad (9)$$

where $\mu(\text{X})$ is the atomic mass, and $n(\text{He})/n(\text{H})_{\text{obs}}$ is the He number density ($1.06(-1)$, See Table 4).

In summary, $\sim 92\text{--}95\%$ of the Fe atoms, $\sim 74\text{--}86\%$ of the Mg and $\sim 46\text{--}53\%$ of the S atoms in the nebula could be in the solid phase, corresponding to masses of respectively 1.3 ± 0.2 , 0.7 ± 0.2 and $0.33 \pm 0.01 \times 10^{-4} \text{M}_{\odot}$.

4.3 Can MgS or Fe50S grains explain the $30\mu\text{m}$ feature?

In largely Fe-depleted C-rich PNe, such as Wray16-423, estimated carbon dust masses may make up a portion of the dust grains in the nebula, not including the broad $16\text{--}24\mu\text{m}$ and $30\mu\text{m}$ features. As discussed in Section 3.6.4, Mg-Fe-S grains may form in the dusty nebula of Wray16-423, and could be a carrier for the broad $30\mu\text{m}$ feature. Mg-Fe-S grain masses are controlled by the solid-phase S-atom mass. Adopting the solid-phase Mg, S, and Fe masses as we estimated above, the possible maximum Fe50S ($\text{Mg}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{S}$) and MgS masses could form $7.65(-5)\text{M}_{\odot}$ and $5.95(-5)\text{M}_{\odot}$, respectively.

As such, can MgS or Fe50S grains explain the $30\mu\text{m}$ feature? To answer this question, we estimate their masses using the Plank function with a single dust temperature method described in Hony et al. (2003), who explained that the dust mass m_d in the optically thin nebula can be estimated using the following equation:

$$m_d = \frac{4}{3} F_{\lambda_0, \lambda_1} D^2 \rho \left(\int_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda_1} \frac{Q_{\text{abs}}(\lambda, a)}{a} B_{\lambda}(T_d) d\lambda \right)^{-1}, \quad (10)$$

where F_{λ_0, λ_1} is the integrated flux in the wavelength range from λ_0 to λ_1 . Here, we adopt the central wavelength and FWHM listed in Table C1 of Appendix C. λ_0 and λ_1 are $28\mu\text{m}$ and $36\mu\text{m}$, respectively, D is the distance (24.8 kpc), and ρ is the grain density, 3 g cm^{-3} for MgS and 5 g cm^{-3} for Fe50S. When the grain radius is small in comparison with the wavelength λ , the absorption profile becomes independent of a (Pegourie 1988). We calculated the $Q_{\text{abs}}(\lambda)/a$ values of the CDE MgS and Fe50S by following Equation (4) of Fabian et al. (2001). The optical data of MgS ($\text{Mg}_{0.9}\text{Fe}_{0.1}\text{S}$, actually) and Fe50S ($\text{Mg}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{S}$) were taken from Begemann et al. (1994).

In the models, we use an average dust temperature for both MgS and Fe50S derived by Plank function fitting with a single temperature. For F_{λ_0, λ_1} , we consider two cases: (Case 1) the underlying continuum of the $30\mu\text{m}$ feature is largely from graphite, as demonstrated in the CLOUDY model, and (Case 2) instead of

graphite, the contribution comes from amorphous carbon, whose absorption efficiency drops sharply for longer wavelengths, compared with graphite; thus, MgS or Fe50S may be the main contributors to this band radiation. For Case 1, we calculated F_{λ_0, λ_1} of $4.88(-13)\text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ using the residual spectrum generated by subtracting the CLOUDY synthesised spectrum from the observed *Spitzer*/IRS spectrum. For Case 2, we calculated F_{λ_0, λ_1} of $5.33(-12)\text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ from the observed *Spitzer*/IRS spectrum (the CLOUDY spectrum was not subtracted).

The required masses to produce the band flux of the $30\mu\text{m}$ feature are listed in the last column of Table 9. If the graphite grains exist in the nebula (Case 1), then the required MgS or Fe50S mass is less than the possible maximum MgS and Fe50S masses. Even if not graphite but amorphous carbon are the main dust grains in the nebula, MgS and Fe50S grains can reproduce the intensity peak of the observed broad $30\mu\text{m}$ feature (Case 2).

At present, we hold onto the possibility that MgS and Fe50S are carriers of the $30\mu\text{m}$ feature in the case of Wray16-423. By adding the $1.8(-6) \pm 3.2(-7)\text{M}_{\odot}$ of Fe50S, we could estimate the maximum dust mass to be $1.6(-2)\text{M}_{\odot}$. However, we understand that these estimates are optimistic, given that we did not consider S-atom-based molecules, such as CS. If any sulfide molecules form in the nebula, then it would be more difficult to explain the $30\mu\text{m}$ feature with Fe50S and MgS. If it is possible to estimate the mass of the S-atom existing as the molecule with sub-mm spectra and the optical characteristics data of MgS, Fe50S and the new graphite data by Jiang et al. (2014) are available over a wider wavelength range, then it may be possible to resolve which grains carry the $30\mu\text{m}$ feature.

5 SUMMARY

We performed a detailed chemical abundance and dust analysis of Sgr dSph PN Wray16-423. Numerous gas emission lines were detected, from which the abundances of 11 elements were calculated. The $[\text{Kr}/\text{H}]$ and $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ abundances were comparable to Sgr dSph PN Hen2-436, which has a similar metallicity. The $[\text{Kr}/\text{O}]$ abundance was consistent with the value expected by the $[\text{Kr}/\text{O}]\text{--C/O}$ relation found amongst Galactic PNe. The extremely small $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ does not reflect the metallicity, but it implies that most of the Fe atoms would be in the solid phase, given the $[\text{Ar}/\text{H}]$ abundance. The *Spitzer*/IRS spectrum displays broad $16\text{--}24\mu\text{m}$ and $30\mu\text{m}$ features, as well as PAH bands at $6\text{--}9\mu\text{m}$ (Class B) and $10\text{--}14\mu\text{m}$ (Class A). An unidentified broad feature in $\sim 16\text{--}24\mu\text{m}$ is probably unrelated to FeS, amorphous silicate, and PAH. The progenitor was estimated to be a $\sim 1.5\text{--}2.0\text{M}_{\odot}$ star. The observed elemental abundances and the derived gas mass were in good agreement with the AGB nucleosynthesis model for an initial mass of $1.90\text{M}_{\odot}$ and a $Z=0.004$ star. We found that about 80%, 50%, and 90% of the Mg, S, and Fe atoms were likely in the solid phase, respectively. Using the derived solid-phase Mg, S, and Fe masses, we estimated the possible maximum MgS and Fe50S grain masses. We tested whether MgS and Fe50S grains can produce the intensity peak of the observed broad $30\mu\text{m}$ band with these masses. Depending on the total sulfide molecule masses, at present, MgS and Fe50S could be carriers of the $30\mu\text{m}$ feature in Wray16-423.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am grateful to the anonymous referee for carefully reading and the useful suggestions which greatly improved this article. I learned a lot of things from his/her comments. I sincerely thank Drs. Francisca Kemper, Mikako Matsuura, and Albert Zijlstra for critical reading and fruitful discussions. I thank Dr. Akito Tajitsu for supporting my Subaru HDS observations. I thank ESO La Silla Observatory staffs for supporting my FEROS observation. This work was partly based on archival data obtained with the *Spitzer* Space Telescope, which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology under a contract with NASA. Support for this work was provided by an award issued by JPL/Caltech. This work was, in part, based on observations made with the NASA/ESA Hubble Space Telescope, obtained from the data archive at the Space Telescope Science Institute. STScI is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc. under NASA contract NAS 5-26555. A portion of this work was based on the use of the IAA clustering computing system.

REFERENCES

- Acker A., Gesicki K., Grosdidier Y., Durand S., 2002, *A&A*, **384**, 620
 Asplund M., Grevesse N., Sauval A. J., Scott P., 2009, *ARA&A*, **47**, 481
 Bauschlicher Jr. C. W., et al., 2010, *ApJS*, **189**, 341
 Begemann B., Dorschner J., Henning T., Mutschke H., Thamm E., 1994, *ApJ*, **423**, L71
 Benjamin R. A., Skillman E. D., Smits D. P., 1999, *ApJ*, **514**, 307
 Bernard-Salas J., Peeters E., Sloan G. C., Gutenkunst S., Matsuura M., Tielens A. G. G. M., Zijlstra A. A., Houck J. R., 2009, *ApJ*, **699**, 1541
 Boersma C., et al., 2014, *ApJS*, **211**, 8
 Cardelli J. A., Clayton G. C., Mathis J. S., 1989, *ApJ*, **345**, 245
 Decin L., Morris P. W., Appleton P. N., Charmandaris V., Armus L., Houck J. R., 2004, *ApJS*, **154**, 408
 Delgado-Inglada G., Rodríguez M., 2014, *ApJ*, **784**, 173
 Dopita M. A., Meatheringham S. J., 1991, *ApJ*, **377**, 480
 Draine B. T., Lee H. M., 1984, *ApJ*, **285**, 89
 Draine B. T., Li A., 2007, *ApJ*, **657**, 810
 Draine B. T., Malhotra S., 1993, *ApJ*, **414**, 632
 Dudziak G., Péquignot D., Zijlstra A. A., Walsh J. R., 2000, *A&A*, **363**, 717
 Ercolano B., Barlow M. J., Storey P. J., Liu X.-W., 2003, *MNRAS*, **340**, 1136
 Fabian D., Henning T., Jäger C., Mutschke H., Dorschner J., Wehrhan O., 2001, *A&A*, **378**, 228
 Fang X., Liu X.-W., 2011, *MNRAS*, **415**, 181
 Ferland G. J., Korista K. T., Verner D. A., Ferguson J. W., Kingdon J. B., Verner E. M., 1998, *PASP*, **110**, 761
 Fluks M. A., Plez B., The P. S., de Winter D., Westerlund B. E., Steenman H. C., 1994, *A&AS*, **105**, 311
 García-Hernández D. A., Villaver E., García-Lario P., Acosta-Pulido J. A., Manchado A., Stanghellini L., Shaw R. A., Cataldo F., 2012, *ApJ*, **760**, 107
 Gesicki K., Zijlstra A. A., 2003, *MNRAS*, **338**, 347
 Górný S. K., Perea-Calderón J. V., García-Hernández D. A., García-Lario P., Szczerba R., 2010, *A&A*, **516**, A39
 Henry R. B. C., Speck A., Karakas A. I., Ferland G. J., Maguire M., 2012, *ApJ*, **749**, 61
 Higdon S. J. U., et al., 2004, *PASP*, **116**, 975
 Høg E., et al., 2000, *A&A*, **355**, L27
 Hony S., Waters L. B. F. M., Tielens A. G. G. M., 2002a, *A&A*, **390**, 533
 Hony S., Bouwman J., Keller L. P., Waters L. B. F. M., 2002b, *A&A*, **393**, L103
 Hony S., Tielens A. G. G. M., Waters L. B. F. M., de Koter A., 2003, *A&A*, **402**, 211
 Houck J. R., et al., 2004, *ApJS*, **154**, 18
 Ibata R. A., Gilmore G., Irwin M. J., 1995, *MNRAS*, **277**, 781
 Ibata R. A., Wyse R. F. G., Gilmore G., Irwin M. J., Suntzeff N. B., 1997, *AJ*, **113**, 634
 Jiang B. W., Li A., Zhang K., Liu J. M., Gao J., Mishra A., 2014, in Cami J., Cox N. L. J., eds, *IAU Symposium Vol. 297*, IAU Symposium. pp 219–222 ([arXiv:1307.4014](https://arxiv.org/abs/1307.4014)), doi:10.1017/S1743921313015895
 Karakas A. I., 2010, *MNRAS*, **403**, 1413
 Kaufer A., Stahl O., Tubbesing S., Nørregaard P., Avila G., Francois P., Pasquini L., Pizzella A., 1999, *The Messenger*, **95**, 8
 Kingdon J., Ferland G. J., 1995, *ApJ*, **442**, 714
 Kniazev A. Y., et al., 2008, *MNRAS*, **388**, 1667
 Koekemoer A. M., Fruchter A. S., Hook R. N., Hack W., 2003, in Arribas S., Koekemoer A., Whitmore B., eds, *HST Calibration Workshop : Hubble after the Installation of the ACS and the NICMOS Cooling System*. p. 337
 Kunder A., Chaboyer B., 2009, *AJ*, **137**, 4478
 Law D. R., Majewski S. R., 2010, *ApJ*, **718**, 1128
 Layden A. C., Sarajedini A., 2000, *AJ*, **119**, 1760
 Liu X.-W., 2006, in Barlow M. J., Méndez R. H., eds, *IAU Symposium Vol. 234*, Planetary Nebulae in our Galaxy and Beyond. pp 219–226 ([arXiv:astro-ph/0605082](https://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0605082)), doi:10.1017/S1743921306003000
 Liu X.-W., Storey P. J., Barlow M. J., Danziger I. J., Cohen M., Bryce M., 2000, *MNRAS*, **312**, 585
 Ladders K., 2003, *ApJ*, **591**, 1220
 Ladders K., Fegley Jr. B., 1995, *Meteoritics*, **30**, 661
 Mathis J. S., Rimpl W., Nordsieck K. H., 1977, *ApJ*, **217**, 425
 Matsuura M., et al., 2014, *MNRAS*, **439**, 1472
 Mendoza C., 1983, in Flower D. R., ed., *IAU Symposium Vol. 103*, Planetary Nebulae. pp 143–172
 Messenger S. J., Speck A., Volk K., 2013, *ApJ*, **764**, 142
 Noguchi K., et al., 2002, *PASJ*, **54**, 855
 Otsuka M., Tajitsu A., 2013, *ApJ*, **778**, 146
 Otsuka M., Izumiura H., Tajitsu A., Hyung S., 2008, *ApJ*, **682**, L105
 Otsuka M., Tajitsu A., Hyung S., Izumiura H., 2010, *ApJ*, **723**, 658
 Otsuka M., Meixner M., Riebel D., Hyung S., Tajitsu A., Izumiura H., 2011, *ApJ*, **729**, 39
 Otsuka M., Kemper F., Hyung S., Sargent B. A., Meixner M., Tajitsu A., Yanagisawa K., 2013, *ApJ*, **764**, 77
 Otsuka M., Kemper F., Cami J., Peeters E., Bernard-Salas J., 2014, *MNRAS*, **437**, 2577
 Otsuka M., Hyung S., Tajitsu A., 2015, *ApJS*, **217**, 22
 Peeters E., Hony S., Van Kerckhoven C., Tielens A. G. G. M., Allamandola L. J., Hudgins D. M., Bauschlicher C. W., 2002, *A&A*, **390**, 1089
 Pegourie B., 1988, *A&A*, **194**, 335
 Peimbert M., 1967, *ApJ*, **150**, 825
 Pequignot D., Petitjean P., Boisson C., 1991, *A&A*, **251**, 680
 Perea-Calderón J. V., García-Hernández D. A., García-Lario P., Szczerba R., Bobrowsky M., 2009, *A&A*, **495**, L5
 Rauch T., 2003, *A&A*, **403**, 709
 Reid W. A., 2012, in *IAU Symposium*. pp 227–234 ([arXiv:1112.4209](https://arxiv.org/abs/1112.4209)), doi:10.1017/S1743921312011003
 Rouleau F., Martin P. G., 1991, *ApJ*, **377**, 526
 Sloan G. C., et al., 2014, *ApJ*, **791**, 28
 Stanghellini L., García-Lario P., García-Hernández D. A., Perea-Calderón J. V., Davies J. E., Manchado A., Villaver E., Shaw R. A., 2007, *ApJ*, **671**, 1669
 Stanghellini L., García-Hernández D. A., García-Lario P., Davies J. E., Shaw R. A., Villaver E., Manchado A., Perea-Calderón J. V., 2012, *ApJ*, **753**, 172
 Sterling N. C., Dinerstein H. L., 2008, *ApJS*, **174**, 158
 Sterling N. C., Dinerstein H. L., Kallman T. R., 2007, *ApJS*, **169**, 37
 Storey P. J., Hummer D. G., 1995, *MNRAS*, **272**, 41
 Valdes F., Gupta R., Rose J. A., Singh H. P., Bell D. J., 2004, *ApJS*, **152**, 251
 Van Kerckhoven C., et al., 2000, *A&A*, **357**, 1013
 Vassiliadis E., Wood P. R., 1994, *ApJS*, **92**, 125
 Vernet J., et al., 2011, *A&A*, **536**, A105
 Walsh J. R., Dudziak G., Minniti D., Zijlstra A. A., 1997, *ApJ*, **487**, 651
 Wang W., Liu X.-W., 2007, *MNRAS*, **381**, 669

- Wright E. L., et al., 2010, [AJ](#), **140**, 1868
 Zhang K., Jiang B. W., Li A., 2009, [ApJ](#), **702**, 680
 Zhang Y., Kwok S., Hrivnak B. J., 2010, [ApJ](#), **725**, 990
 Zhukovska S., Gail H.-P., 2008, [A&A](#), **486**, 229
 Zijlstra A. A., Walsh J. R., 1996, [A&A](#), **312**, L21
 Zijlstra A. A., Gesicki K., Walsh J. R., Péquignot D., van Hoof P. A. M.,
 Minniti D., 2006a, [MNRAS](#), **369**, 875
 Zijlstra A. A., et al., 2006b, [MNRAS](#), **370**, 1961
 de Laverny P., Abia C., Domínguez I., Plez B., Straniero O., Wahlin R.,
 Eriksson K., Jørgensen U. G., 2006, [A&A](#), **446**, 1107

APPENDIX A: THE HDS SPECTRUM BETWEEN 3680 AND 7100 Å AND DETECTED LINES AND IDENTIFICATIONS IN THE HDS, FEROS, *SPITZER* SPECTRA.

APPENDIX B: IONIC ABUNDANCES DERIVED FROM CELS AND RLS, AND THE ADOPTED ICFS.

APPENDIX C: THE CLASSIFICATIONS OF THE PAHS AND THE MEASUREMENTS OF THE PAHS AND DUST FEATURES, THE FIGURE FOR A EXPLANATION OF THE 15-18 MICRON PLATEAU AND 16.4 MICRON PAH




Figure A1. Single 3680-5390 Å HDS spectrum of Wray16-423. The observed wavelength is corrected by the heliocentric radial velocity of $+133.12 \text{ km s}^{-1}$.




Figure A2. Single 5390–7100 $\text{\AA}$ HDS spectrum of Wray16-423. The observed wavelength is corrected by the heliocentric radial velocity of $+133.12 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. MNRAS **000**, 1–19 (2015)

Table A1. Detected lines and identifications in the HDS/FEROS spectra. BC in component 2 of C iv $\lambda 5801/11$ Å means the broad component shown in Fig. 6.

λ_{obs} (Å)	Ion	λ_{lab} (Å)	ID	$f(\lambda)$	$I(\lambda)$	$\delta I(\lambda)$	λ_{obs} (Å)	Ion	λ_{lab} (Å)	ID	$f(\lambda)$	$I(\lambda)$	$\delta I(\lambda)$	λ_{obs} (Å)	Ion	λ_{lab} (Å)	ID	$f(\lambda)$	$I(\lambda)$	$\delta I(\lambda)$
3688.46	H19	3686.83	1	0.330	0.944	0.056	4543.65	He II	4541.59	1	0.093	0.457	0.013	6208.10	C III	6205.55	1	-0.250	0.014	0.002
3693.17	H18	3691.55	1	0.329	1.008	0.056	4573.11	Mg I	4571.10	1	0.084	0.293	0.085	6236.64	He II	6233.82	1	-0.254	0.037	0.004
3698.78	H17	3697.15	1	0.328	1.125	0.060	4598.10	O II	4596.18	1	0.077	0.018	0.002	6275.14	Ne II	6272.43	1	-0.259	0.021	0.005
3704.33	He I	3702.62	1	0.327	0.074	0.009	4622.41	C II	4620.19	1	0.069	0.011	0.002	6289.39	C II	6286.80	1	-0.261	0.219	0.007
3705.49	H16	3703.85	1	0.327	1.306	0.065	4636.21	N III	4634.12	1	0.065	0.278	0.007	6302.62	[O I]	6300.30	1	-0.263	1.496	0.040
3706.65	He I	3704.98	1	0.327	0.746	0.038	4640.84	O II	4638.86	1	0.064	0.042	0.005	6303.55	[O I]	6300.30	2	-0.263	0.560	0.027
3708.92	He I	3707.34	1	0.326	0.089	0.011	4642.69	N III	4640.64	1	0.063	0.561	0.010				T		2.056	0.055
3713.61	H15	3711.97	1	0.325	1.508	0.075	4643.89	O II	4641.81	1	0.063	0.124	0.007	6314.67	[S III]	6312.10	1	-0.264	0.996	0.025
3715.71	O II	3713.96	1	0.325	0.029	0.009	4648.51	C IV	4646.64	1	0.061	0.026	0.004	6315.29	[S III]	6312.10	2	-0.264	0.425	0.014
3716.73	He II	3715.16	1	0.325	0.085	0.013	4649.49	C III	4647.42	1	0.061	0.231	0.005				T		1.421	0.034
3723.50	H14	3721.94	1	0.323	2.686	0.131	4649.64	C IV	4647.70	1	0.061	0.117	0.013	6366.13	[O I]	6363.78	1	-0.271	0.532	0.014
3727.49	[O II]	3726.03	1	0.322	13.353	0.653	4651.19	O II	4649.13	1	0.061	0.111	0.004	6367.08	[O I]	6363.78	2	-0.271	0.144	0.006
3727.96	[O II]	3726.03	2	0.322	6.167	0.307	4652.33	C III	4650.25	1	0.060	0.173	0.005				T		0.676	0.018
			T		19.519	0.938	4653.06	O II	4650.84	1	0.060	0.009	0.001	6377.50	N III	6374.65	1	-0.273	0.026	0.008
3730.26	[O II]	3728.81	1	0.322	7.282	0.373	4653.45	O II	4651.33	1	0.060	0.067	0.006	6396.60	[Mn V]	6393.50	1	-0.275	0.026	0.004
3730.72	[O II]	3728.81	2	0.322	3.441	0.185	4659.97	C IV	4658.20	1	0.058	0.045	0.008	6399.53	O II	6396.79	1	-0.275	0.010	0.003
			T		10.724	0.531	4660.57	C IV	4658.20	2	0.058	0.058	0.008	6409.12	He II	6406.38	1	-0.277	0.084	0.005
3734.58	He I	3732.86	1	0.321	0.084	0.011				T		0.103	0.011	6464.69	N III	6461.71	1	-0.284	0.057	0.004
3736.02	H13	3734.37	1	0.321	2.269	0.111	4663.69	O II	4661.63	1	0.057	0.034	0.002	6529.92	He II	6527.10	1	-0.293	0.066	0.005
3747.71	He I	3745.94	1	0.318	0.019	0.005	4668.00	C IV	4665.26	1	0.056	0.015	0.002	6550.54	[N II]	6548.04	1	-0.296	3.899	0.100
3751.81	H12	3750.15	1	0.317	2.818	0.135	4675.70	O II	4673.73	1	0.053	0.017	0.003	6551.40	[N II]	6548.04	2	-0.296	1.605	0.049
3756.39	O II	3754.70	1	0.316	0.396	0.027	4678.32	O II	4676.23	1	0.053	0.037	0.004				T		5.504	0.140
3758.94	He I	3757.67	1	0.316	0.137	0.018	4687.64	He II	4685.71	1	0.050	5.776	0.109	6563.07	He II	6560.10	1	-0.297	2.036	0.068
3761.55	O II	3759.88	1	0.315	1.194	0.060	4688.05	He II	4685.71	2	0.050	5.361	0.098	6565.69	H3	6562.80	1	-0.298	285.000	5.321
3770.55	He I	3768.78	1	0.313	0.060	0.010				T		11.137	0.157	6580.97	C II	6578.05	1	-0.300	0.211	0.008
3772.30	H11	3770.63	1	0.313	3.574	0.168	4703.69	[Fe III]	4701.53	1	0.045	0.022	0.003	6585.94	[N II]	6583.46	1	-0.300	11.488	0.303
3775.72	O II	3774.00	1	0.312	0.085	0.014	4713.37	[Ar IV]	4711.37	1	0.042	1.240	0.021	6586.81	[N II]	6583.46	2	-0.300	4.714	0.127
3783.35	He II	3781.68	1	0.311	0.054	0.009	4713.70	[Ar IV]	4711.37	2	0.042	0.618	0.014				T		16.202	0.413
3792.94	O II	3791.27	1	0.309	0.107	0.009				T		1.858	0.026	6680.98	He I	6678.15	1	-0.313	3.038	0.086
3799.58	H10	3797.90	1	0.307	4.821	0.225	4715.14	He I	4713.14	1	0.042	0.504	0.017	6681.55	He I	6678.15	2	-0.313	0.937	0.039
3815.15	He I	3813.50	1	0.304	0.077	0.017	4715.52	He I	4713.14	2	0.042	0.245	0.017				T		3.975	0.112
3821.31	He I	3819.60	1	0.302	1.114	0.052				T		0.749	0.024	6686.25	He II	6683.20	1	-0.313	0.092	0.007
3835.34	He I	3833.80	1	0.299	0.115	0.018	4726.26	[Ne IV]	4724.17	1	0.038	0.013	0.002	6718.96	[S II]	6716.44	1	-0.318	0.819	0.028
3837.08	H9	3835.38	1	0.299	6.471	0.292	4727.76	[Ne IV]	4725.64	1	0.038	0.011	0.003	6719.69	[S II]	6716.44	2	-0.318	0.759	0.030
3859.77	He I	3858.07	1	0.294	0.059	0.008	4742.20	[Ar IV]	4740.16	1	0.034	1.549	0.030				T		1.579	0.050
3869.64	He I	3867.47	1	0.291	0.547	0.403	4742.53	[Ar IV]	4740.16	2	0.034	1.168	0.022	6733.38	[S II]	6730.81	1	-0.320	1.744	0.049
3870.40	[Ne III]	3869.06	1	0.291	62.470	2.917				T		2.718	0.038	6734.25	[S II]	6730.81	2	-0.320	0.894	0.026
3870.72	[Ne III]	3869.06	2	0.291	23.243	1.367	4771.41	O II	4769.25	1	0.026	0.017	0.004				T		2.637	0.071
			T		85.713	3.942	4804.70	Ne II	4802.58	1	0.016	0.018	0.003	6747.42	C III	6744.39	1	-0.322	0.027	0.005
3873.51	He I	3871.79	1	0.290	0.101	0.012	4861.29	He II	4859.32	1	0.001	0.269	0.095	6783.13	C II	6779.94	1	-0.326	0.026	0.004
3890.10	H8	3889.05	1	0.287	1.400	0.123	4861.74	He II	4859.32	2	0.000	0.430	0.096	6798.08	[K IV]	6795.10	1	-0.328	0.030	0.004
3890.63	H8	3889.05	2	0.286	17.390	0.772				T		0.699	0.135	6830.07	[K III]	6826.85	1	-0.333	0.030	0.007
			T		18.790	0.834	4863.50	H4	4861.32	1	0.000	100.000	0.882	6894.08	He II	6892.90	1	-0.341	0.117	0.011
3922.37	C II	3920.68	1	0.279	0.034	0.006	4875.67	N III	4873.60	1	-0.003	0.015	0.006	6992.04	Ca I	6988.95	1	-0.354	0.023	0.003
3925.24	He II	3923.48	1	0.278	0.097	0.009	4883.18	[Fe III]	4881.00	1	-0.005	0.032	0.002	7009.13	[Ar V]	7005.40	1	-0.356	0.030	0.003
3928.30	He I	3926.54	1	0.277	0.116	0.008	4915.78	O I	4913.60	1	-0.014	0.040	0.007	7065.38	He I	7062.28	1	-0.364	0.017	0.003
3966.48	He I	3964.73	1	0.267	0.786	0.034	4924.11	He I	4921.93	1	-0.016	1.270	0.040	7068.11	He I	7065.18	1	-0.364	3.198	0.148
3969.10	[Ne III]	3967.79	1	0.267	13.079	0.884	4926.86	O II	4924.53	1	-0.017	0.011	0.004	7068.59	He I	7065.18	2	-0.364	4.287	0.177
3969.40	[Ne III]	3967.79	2	0.267	13.068	0.880	4933.33	[O III]	4931.23	1	-0.019	0.087	0.003				T		7.484	0.277
			T		26.147	1.438	4933.76	[O III]	4931.23	2	-0.019	0.031	0.003	7138.71	[Ar III]	7135.80	1	-0.374	7.116	0.159
3971.83	H7	3970.07	1	0.266	14.345	0.608				T		0.118	0.005	7139.36	[Ar III]	7135.80	2	-0.374	3.710	0.093
4011.03	He I	4009.26	1	0.256	0.192	0.010	4955.35	N II	4952.82	1	-0.024	0.067	0.034				T		10.826	0.229
4025.78	He I	4023.98	1	0.252	0.033	0.008	4961.04	[O III]	4958.91	1	-0.026	266.598	3.656	7180.68	He II	7177.52	1	-0.379	0.166	0.028
4027.87	He I	4026.18	1	0.251	1.049	0.055	4961.46	[O III]	4958.91	2	-0.026	95.810	2.772	7240.00	Ca I	7236.81	1	-0.387	0.494	0.091
4028.17	He I	4026.18	2	0.251	1.035	0.042				T		362.407	4.612	7284.59	He I	7281.35	1	-0.393	1.011	0.032
			T		2.084	0.087	5008.93	[O III]	5006.84	1	-0.038	581.111	6.375	7321.86	[O II]	7318.92	1	-0.398	0.546	0.028
4070.16	[S II]	4068.60	1	0.239	0.732	0.028	5009.34	[O III]	5006.84	2	-0.038	481.000	5.876	7322.85	[O II]	7318.92	2	-0.398	1.292	0.038
4070.71	[S II]	4068.60	2	0.239	0.375	0.017				T		1062.111	8.960	7323.67	[O II]	7318.92	3	-0.398	0.951	0.037
			T		1.107	0.042	5017.90	He I	5015.68	1	-0.040	2.233	0.028				T		2.789	0.074
4071.99	O II	4069.89	1	0.239	0.245	0.014	5049.96	He I	5047.74	1	-0.048	0.239	0.010	7332.46	[O II]	7329.66	1	-0.400	0.754	0.030
4074.02	O II	4072.15	1	0.238	0.081	0.009	5133.34	C II	5130.86	1	-0.067	0.080	0.006	7333.44	[O II]	7329.66	2	-0.400	0.928	0.039
4077.89	[S II]	4076.35	1	0.237	0.284	0.013	5160.89	[Fe III]	5158.78	1	-0.073									

Table A2. Line and band flux measurements in the *Spitzer* spectrum.

λ_{obs} (μm)	Ions/ PAH	λ_{lab} (μm)	$F(\lambda)$ ($\text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$)	$f(\lambda)$	$I(\lambda)/I(\text{H}\beta)$ ($I(\text{H}\beta)=100$)
10.50	[S IV]	10.51	$5.42(-13) \pm 3.63(-14)$	-0.959	27.796 ± 2.340
11.28	PAH	11.30	$4.39(-14) \pm 3.40(-15)$	-0.970	2.245 ± 0.208
12.38	H I	12.40	$4.44(-14) \pm 5.68(-15)$	-0.980	2.266 ± 0.312
12.80	[Ne II]	12.80	$2.10(-14) \pm 2.45(-15)$	-0.983	1.069 ± 0.136
15.56	[Ne III]	15.56	$1.17(-12) \pm 2.25(-14)$	-0.985	59.760 ± 3.249
18.73	[S III]	18.71	$1.94(-13) \pm 5.97(-15)$	-0.981	9.917 ± 0.589
25.92	[O IV]	25.89	$2.34(-13) \pm 7.29(-15)$	-0.989	11.921 ± 0.711
33.45	[S III]	33.50	$8.49(-14) \pm 2.31(-15)$	-0.993	4.314 ± 0.249
36.02	[Ne III]	36.00	$7.62(-14) \pm 2.83(-15)$	-0.993	3.874 ± 0.244

Note – $F(\text{H}\beta)$ is $(1.53 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-12} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$.




Figure C1. (left panels) The 5.5-14 μm continuum subtracted spectra, showing the 6-9 μm and 10-14 μm PAH bands and the broad 11 μm feature. *Spitzer*/IRS continuum subtracted spectrum of the Galactic PN K3-60, indicated by the grey line in each panel, is presented as a template for the 16.4 μm PAH and 15-18 μm plateau. We convolved the SH and LH spectra with a Gaussian kernel for the SH and LH module spectra of K3-60 to smooth its spectral resolving power down to those of the SL and LL spectra, respectively. After that, we subtracted the local continuum and gas-emission lines from this spectrum, and then normalised to the intensity peak of the 7.7 μm PAH band. (right panels) The 14-27 μm continuum subtracted spectra, showing the broad 16-24 μm feature. The spectrum of K3-60, indicated by the grey line, is superimposed on each spectrum of the sample PNe. The dashed lines show the wavelength range of the 15-18 μm plateau + 16.4 μm PAH.

Table B1. Ionic abundances from CELs.

X^{m+}	λ_{lab}	$I(\lambda_{\text{lab}})/I(\text{H}\beta)$ $[I(\text{H}\beta)=100]$	T_e (K)	n_e (cm^{-3})	X^{m+}/H^+
N^0	5197.90 Å	$8.04(-2) \pm 3.29(-3)$	10000	1010	$6.11(-7) \pm 2.50(-8)$
	5200.26 Å	$5.65(-2) \pm 4.29(-3)$	10000	1010	$5.71(-7) \pm 4.33(-8)$ $5.94(-7) \pm 3.26(-8)$
N^+	5754.64 Å	$3.99(-1) \pm 1.25(-2)$	12090	3540	$2.15(-6) \pm 1.78(-7)$
	6548.04 Å	$5.50(0) \pm 1.40(-1)$	12090	3540	$2.08(-6) \pm 1.03(-7)$
	6583.46 Å	$1.62(+1) \pm 4.13(-1)$	12090	3540	$2.07(-6) \pm 1.02(-7)$ $2.07(-6) \pm 1.04(-7)$
O^+	3726.03 Å	$1.95(+1) \pm 9.38(-1)$	12090	3540	$8.33(-6) \pm 6.83(-7)$
	3728.81 Å	$1.07(+1) \pm 5.31(-1)$	12090	3540	$8.39(-6) \pm 7.08(-7)$
	7320/7330 Å	$4.08(0) \pm 2.57(-1)$	12090	6870	$1.07(-5) \pm 1.17(-6)$ $8.63(-6) \pm 7.49(-7)$
O^{2+}	4363.21 Å	$1.34(+1) \pm 2.08(-1)$	12380	8820	$1.90(-4) \pm 7.30(-6)$
	4931.23 Å	$1.18(-1) \pm 4.52(-3)$	12380	8820	$1.57(-4) \pm 6.67(-6)$
	4958.91 Å	$3.62(+2) \pm 4.61(0)$	12380	8820	$1.88(-4) \pm 4.22(-6)$
	5006.84 Å	$1.06(+3) \pm 8.96(0)$	12380	8820	$1.91(-4) \pm 3.91(-6)$ $1.90(-4) \pm 4.02(-6)$
O^{3+}	25.89 μm	$1.19(+1) \pm 7.11(-1)$	12800	11320	$6.93(-6) \pm 4.33(-7)$
Ne^+	12.81 μm	$1.07(0) \pm 1.36(-1)$	12070	7570	$1.31(-6) \pm 1.71(-7)$
Ne^{2+}	3869.06 Å	$8.57(+1) \pm 3.94(0)$	12800	11320	$3.75(-5) \pm 3.26(-6)$
	3967.79 Å	$2.61(+1) \pm 1.44(0)$	12800	11320	$3.80(-5) \pm 3.49(-6)$
	15.56 μm	$5.98(+1) \pm 3.25(0)$	12800	11320	$3.76(-5) \pm 2.10(-6)$
	36.00 μm	$3.87(0) \pm 2.44(-1)$	12800	11320	$3.26(-5) \pm 2.11(-6)$ $3.75(-5) \pm 2.87(-6)$
Ne^{3+}	4724.17 Å	$1.28(-2) \pm 2.36(-3)$	12800	11320	$2.23(-6) \pm 5.34(-7)$
	4725.64 Å	$1.09(-2) \pm 2.88(-3)$	12800	11320	$1.98(-6) \pm 6.07(-7)$ $2.12(-6) \pm 5.68(-7)$
Mg^0	4571.10 Å	$2.93(-1) \pm 8.53(-2)$	10000	1010	$1.81(-8) \pm 5.27(-9)$
S^+	4068.60 Å	$1.11(0) \pm 4.17(-2)$	12090	3970	$1.25(-7) \pm 8.07(-9)$
	6716.44 Å	$1.58(0) \pm 5.01(-2)$	12090	3970	$1.07(-7) \pm 1.13(-8)$
	6730.81 Å	$2.64(0) \pm 7.14(-2)$	12090	3970	$1.07(-7) \pm 1.07(-8)$ $1.11(-7) \pm 1.03(-8)$
S^{2+}	6312.10 Å	$1.42(0) \pm 3.44(-2)$	12070	6860	$1.61(-6) \pm 2.72(-7)$
	9068.60 Å	$7.99(0) \pm 6.53(-1)$	12070	6860	$1.43(-6) \pm 1.68(-7)$
	18.71 μm	$9.92(0) \pm 5.89(-1)$	12070	6860	$1.44(-6) \pm 1.04(-7)$
	33.50 μm	$4.31(0) \pm 2.49(-1)$	12070	6860	$1.44(-6) \pm 1.04(-7)$ $1.44(-6) \pm 1.36(-7)$
S^{3+}	10.51 μm	$2.78(+1) \pm 2.34(0)$	12380	8820	$9.57(-7) \pm 8.06(-8)$
Cl^{2+}	5517.72 Å	$2.51(-1) \pm 6.81(-3)$	12070	8280	$2.65(-8) \pm 3.35(-9)$
	5537.89 Å	$3.65(-1) \pm 8.60(-3)$	12070	8280	$2.64(-8) \pm 3.26(-9)$ $2.65(-8) \pm 3.30(-9)$
Cl^{3+}	5322.99 Å	$2.08(-2) \pm 4.28(-3)$	11800	8820	$2.68(-8) \pm 9.79(-9)$
	8046.30 Å	$5.57(-1) \pm 2.65(-2)$	11800	8820	$2.78(-8) \pm 4.41(-9)$ $2.78(-8) \pm 4.60(-9)$
Ar^{2+}	5191.82 Å	$1.26(-1) \pm 5.62(-3)$	12000	7570	$6.70(-7) \pm 6.46(-8)$
	7135.80 Å	$1.08(+1) \pm 2.29(-1)$	12000	7570	$6.66(-7) \pm 3.09(-8)$
	7751.10 Å	$2.71(0) \pm 8.10(-2)$	12000	7570	$6.94(-7) \pm 3.55(-8)$ $6.71(-7) \pm 3.21(-8)$
Ar^{3+}	4711.37 Å	$1.86(0) \pm 2.64(-2)$	12800	11320	$3.25(-7) \pm 2.18(-8)$
	4740.16 Å	$2.72(0) \pm 3.80(-2)$	12800	11320	$3.28(-7) \pm 2.10(-8)$ $3.27(-7) \pm 2.13(-8)$
Ar^{4+}	7005.40 Å	$2.99(-2) \pm 3.40(-3)$	12800	11320	$3.11(-9) \pm 3.87(-10)$
K^{3+}	6101.79 Å	$1.41(-1) \pm 4.42(-3)$	12800	11320	$6.62(-9) \pm 4.84(-10)$
	6795.10 Å	$3.02(-2) \pm 3.78(-3)$	12800	11320	$6.52(-9) \pm 9.23(-10)$ $6.60(-9) \pm 5.61(-10)$
Fe^{2+}	4701.53 Å	$2.21(-2) \pm 2.72(-3)$	12090	3540	$1.49(-8) \pm 1.98(-9)$
	4881.00 Å	$3.17(-2) \pm 2.07(-3)$	12090	3540	$1.05(-8) \pm 8.89(-10)$
	5270.40 Å	$4.00(-2) \pm 5.45(-3)$	12090	3540	$1.91(-8) \pm 2.74(-9)$ $1.52(-8) \pm 1.94(-9)$
Kr^{2+}	6826.85 Å	$2.99(-2) \pm 7.37(-3)$	12070	7570	$1.81(-9) \pm 4.81(-10)$
Kr^{3+}	5867.74 Å	$1.11(-1) \pm 5.07(-3)$	12380	8820	$1.71(-9) \pm 8.18(-11)$

Note – Corrected recombination contributions for the [O III] λ 7320/30 Å and for the [O III] λ 4363 Å lines.

Table B2. Ionic abundances from RLs.

X^{m+}	λ_{lab}	Multi.	$\frac{I(\lambda_{\text{lab}})}{[I(\text{H}\beta)=100]}$	X^{m+}/H^+
He^+	5875.60 Å	V11	$1.52(+1) \pm 3.31(-1)$	$9.56(-2) \pm 6.75(-3)$
	4471.47 Å	V14	$5.17(0) \pm 1.26(-1)$	$9.68(-2) \pm 2.12(-2)$
	6678.15 Å	V46	$3.97(0) \pm 1.12(-1)$	$9.89(-2) \pm 6.35(-3)$
	4921.93 Å	V48	$1.27(0) \pm 3.98(-2)$	$9.55(-2) \pm 5.47(-3)$
	4387.93 Å	V51	$5.70(-1) \pm 1.81(-2)$	$9.60(-2) \pm 3.05(-3)$ $9.63(-2) \pm 9.40(-3)$
He^{2+}	4685.71 Å	V3.4	$1.11(+1) \pm 1.57(-1)$	$9.35(-3) \pm 2.16(-3)$
C^{2+}	6578.05 Å	V2	$2.11(-1) \pm 7.76(-3)$	$4.70(-4) \pm 1.63(-4)$
	4267.18 Å	V6	$4.69(-1) \pm 1.46(-2)$	$4.73(-4) \pm 1.29(-4)$ $4.72(-4) \pm 1.40(-4)$
C^{3+}	4647.42 Å	V1	$2.31(-1) \pm 4.84(-3)$	$3.65(-4) \pm 7.48(-5)$
	4650.25 Å	V1	$1.73(-1) \pm 5.40(-3)$	$3.43(-4) \pm 7.07(-5)$
	4186.90 Å	V18	$1.50(-1) \pm 8.67(-3)$	$2.69(-4) \pm 6.86(-5)$ $3.32(-4) \pm 7.18(-5)$
C^{4+}	4658.20 Å	V8	$1.03(-1) \pm 1.13(-2)$	$2.45(-5) \pm 6.75(-6)$
O^{2+}	4638.86 Å	V1	$4.15(-2) \pm 4.79(-3)$	$3.26(-4) \pm 8.15(-5)$
	4649.13 Å	V1	$1.11(-1) \pm 4.13(-3)$	$2.44(-4) \pm 5.43(-5)$
	4661.63 Å	V1	$3.44(-2) \pm 1.69(-3)$	$2.38(-4) \pm 5.43(-5)$
	4676.23 Å	V1	$3.73(-2) \pm 3.56(-3)$	$3.90(-4) \pm 9.56(-5)$
	4349.43 Å	V2	$2.15(-2) \pm 4.01(-3)$	$2.32(-4) \pm 6.62(-5)$
	4072.15 Å	V10	$8.08(-2) \pm 8.75(-3)$	$3.44(-4) \pm 8.50(-5)$
	4119.22 Å	V20	$3.61(-2) \pm 7.37(-3)$	$4.29(-4) \pm 1.27(-4)$
	4924.53 Å	V28	$1.15(-2) \pm 4.15(-3)$	$2.66(-4) \pm 1.13(-4)$
	4303.82 Å	V53a	$1.76(-2) \pm 5.83(-3)$	$4.18(-4) \pm 1.72(-4)$ $3.12(-4) \pm 8.19(-5)$

Table B3. Adopted ionisation correction factors (ICFs).

X	Line	ICF(X)	X/H
He	RL	1	$\text{He}^+ + \text{He}^{2+}$
C	RL	1	$\text{C}^{2+} + \text{C}^{3+} + \text{C}^4$
N	CEL	$\left(\frac{\text{O}}{\text{O}^+}\right)_{\text{CEL}}$	$\text{ICF(N)}\text{N}^+$
O	CEL	1	$\text{O}^+ + \text{O}^{2+} + \text{O}^{3+}$
	RL	$\left(\frac{\text{O}}{\text{O}^{2+}}\right)_{\text{CEL}}$	$\text{ICF(O)}\text{O}^{2+}$
Ne	CEL	1	$\text{Ne}^+ + \text{Ne}^{2+} + \text{Ne}^{3+}$
S	CEL	1	$\text{S}^+ + \text{S}^{2+} + \text{S}^{3+}$
Cl	CEL	1	$\text{Cl}^{2+} + \text{Cl}^{3+}$
Ar	CEL	1	$\text{Ar}^{2+} + \text{Ar}^{3+}$
K	CEL	$\left(\frac{\text{Ar}}{\text{Ar}^{3+}}\right)$	$\text{ICF(K)}\text{K}^{3+}$
Fe	CEL	$\left(\frac{\text{O}}{\text{O}^+}\right)_{\text{CEL}}$	$\text{ICF(Fe)}\text{Fe}^{2+}$
Kr	CEL	1	$\text{Kr}^{2+} + \text{Kr}^{3+}$

Table C1. Classification of the 6.2/7.7/8.6 μm PAH and the 10-14 μm PAH bands and the measurements of the broad 11/16-24/30 μm features.

PNe	6.2 μm PAH Class	7.7 μm PAH Class	8.6 μm PAH Class	10-14 μm PAH Class	11 μm feature		16-24 μm feature		30 μm feature	
					λ_c (μm)	FWHM (μm)	λ_c (μm)	FWHM (μm)	λ_c (μm)	FWHM (μm)
LMC19	$\mathcal{B}$	$\mathcal{A}$	$\mathcal{B}$	α	11.98 $\pm$ 0.06	1.85 $\pm$ 0.17	19.32 $\pm$ 0.06	6.09 $\pm$ 0.25	31.83 $\pm$ 0.05	5.58 $\pm$ 0.22
LMC25	$\mathcal{B}$	$\mathcal{A}$	$\mathcal{B}$	δ	11.46 $\pm$ 0.01	1.91 $\pm$ 0.03	18.47 $\pm$ 0.03	4.34 $\pm$ 0.14	29.82 $\pm$ 0.02	6.74 $\pm$ 0.09
LMC48	$\mathcal{B}?$	$\mathcal{A}$	$\mathcal{B}$	δ	11.46 $\pm$ 0.01	1.82 $\pm$ 0.02	18.53 $\pm$ 0.06	4.15 $\pm$ 0.11	30.29 $\pm$ 0.02	7.08 $\pm$ 0.06
LMC78	$\mathcal{B}$	$\mathcal{A}$	$\mathcal{B}$	α	11.87 $\pm$ 0.02	1.62 $\pm$ 0.04	18.81 $\pm$ 0.05	4.39 $\pm$ 0.11	31.43 $\pm$ 0.05	5.43 $\pm$ 0.12
Wray16-423	$\mathcal{B}$	$\mathcal{B}$	?	α	11.90 $\pm$ 0.06	1.76 $\pm$ 0.11	19.50 $\pm$ 0.03	6.47 $\pm$ 0.08	31.87 $\pm$ 0.06	5.57 $\pm$ 0.13

Table C2. The ratios of the 6-9 μm PAH band, the broad 16-24 μm feature, the broad 30 μm feature, and the 11 μm SiC fluxes in the local continuum subtracted spectra to the integrated flux ($F(\text{IR})$) between 5.75-36.8 μm .

PNe	$F(6-9 \mu\text{m PAH})/$ $F(\text{IR})$	$F(16-24 \mu\text{m})/$ $F(\text{IR})$	$F(30 \mu\text{m})/$ $F(\text{IR})$	$F(11 \mu\text{m SiC})/$ $F(\text{IR})$
LMC8	1.96(−2)	5.43(−2)	2.45(−2)	9.01(−2)
LMC19	7.28(−2)	4.75(−2)	3.13(−2)	...
LMC25	4.14(−2)	2.61(−2)	5.52(−2)	7.05(−2)
LMC48	5.19(−2)	2.64(−2)	4.85(−2)	6.88(−2)
LMC78	8.40(−2)	2.55(−2)	2.92(−2)	...
LMC85	5.58(−2)	3.39(−2)	2.41(−2)	1.13(−1)
LMC99	1.07(−1)	4.17(−2)	1.29(−2)	...
Wray16-423	1.77(−2)	8.23(−2)	3.33(−2)	...