

THE DIRAC OPERATOR ON REGULAR METRIC TREES

XIAO LIU

ABSTRACT. A metric tree is a tree whose edges are viewed as line segments of positive length. The Dirac operator on such tree is the operator which operates on each edge, complemented by the matching conditions at the vertices which were given by Bolte and Harrison [1]. The spectrum of Dirac operator can be quite different, reflecting geometry of the tree.

We discuss a special case of trees, namely the so-called regular trees. They possess a rich group of symmetries. This allows one to construct an orthogonal decomposition of the space $L^2(\Gamma)$ which reduces the Dirac. Based upon this decomposition, a detailed spectral analysis of Dirac operator on the regular metric trees is possible.

1. INTRODUCTION

Over the last decade, there were several papers about operator analysis on graph theory. However Naimark and Solomyak [4] took a first featured step in this direction on the Laplace operator.

2. REGULAR ROOTED METRIC TREES

2.1. Geometry of a tree.

Let Γ be a rooted tree. Assume that o is the root, $V = V(\Gamma)$ is the set of vertices and $E = E(\Gamma)$ is the set of edges of Γ . Suppose that $\#V = \#E = \infty$. Each edge e of a metric tree is regarded as a non-degenerate line segment of length $|e|$. The distance $d(x, y)$ between any two points $x, y \in \Gamma$, and thus the metric topology on Γ , is introduced in a natural way. Everywhere below, $|x|$ stands for $d(x, o)$. A subset $\Omega \subset \Gamma$ is compact if and only if it is closed and has non-empty intersections with only a finite number of edges.

For any two points $x, y \in \Gamma$ there exists a simple polygonal path in Γ which starts at x and terminates at y . This path is unique and we denote it by

$$\langle x, y \rangle := \{z \in \Gamma : x \preceq z \preceq y\},$$

where we write $x \preceq y$ if $|y| = |x| + d(x, y)$.

We write $x \prec y$ if $x \in \langle o, y \rangle$ and $x \neq y$.

For any vertex v its generation $gen(v)$ is defined as

$$gen(v) = \#\{x \in V(\Gamma) : x \prec v\}.$$

In particular, $v = o$ is the only vertex such that $gen(v) = 0$. For any edge emanating from the vertex v (which means that $e = \langle v, w \rangle$ and $v \prec w$) we define its generation as $gen(e) := gen(v)$.

The branching number $b(v)$ of a vertex v is defined as the number of edge emanating

from v . We assume that $gen(v) < \infty$ for any v , $b(o) = 1$ and $b(v) > 1$ for $v \neq o$. We denote by $e_v^1, \dots, e_v^{b(v)}$ the edges emanating from $v \in V$, and by e_v^- the only edge which terminates at a vertex $v \neq o$.

Definition 1. *A tree Γ is called to be regular if all the vertices of the same generation have equal branching numbers, and all the edges of the same generation are of the same length.*

In this paper we consider regular trees only. Clearly, any regular tree is fully determined by specifying two number sequences (generating sequences) $\{b_n\} = \{b_n(\Gamma)\}$ and $\{t_n\} = \{t_n(\Gamma)\}$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$ s. t.

$$b(v) = b_{gen(v)}, \quad |v| = t_{gen(v)} \quad \forall v \in V(\Gamma).$$

According to our assumptions, one has $b_0 = 1$ and $b_n \geq 2$ for any $n > 0$. It is clear that $t_0 = 0$ and the sequence $\{t_n\}$ is strictly increasing, and we write

$$(1) \quad h(\Gamma) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = \sup_{x \in \Gamma} |x|.$$

It is natural to refer to $h(\Gamma)$ as the height of Γ .

Another useful characteristics of the regular tree is its branching function. The branching function $g_\Gamma(t)$ of Γ is defined by

$$g_\Gamma(t) = \#\{x \in \Gamma : |x| = t\}.$$

Obviously,

$$(2) \quad g_\Gamma(0) = 1; \quad g_\Gamma(t) = b_0 \dots b_n, \quad t_n < t \leq t_{n+1}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

We also introduce the reduced height of Γ

$$(3) \quad L(\Gamma) = \int_0^{h(\Gamma)} \frac{dt}{g_\Gamma(t)}.$$

Clearly $h(\Gamma) < \infty$ implies $L(\Gamma) < \infty$. For the trees of infinite height both $L(\Gamma) < \infty$ and $L(\Gamma) = \infty$ is possible.

It follows from here that the natural measure dx on Γ is induced by the Lebesgue measure on the edges. The spaces $L^p(\Gamma)$ are understood as L^p -spaces with respect to this measure. We denote by $|\Omega|$ the measure of a (measurable) subset $\Omega \subset \Gamma$ and call the number $|\Gamma|$ the total length of Γ . It is clear that $d(x, y) = |\langle x, y \rangle|$ for any pair of points $x, y \in \Gamma$ and that $|\Gamma| = \int_\Gamma g_\Gamma(t) dt$.

2.2. Special subtrees of Γ .

Subtrees $T \subset \Gamma$ of the following two types play a special part in the further analysis. For any vertex v and for any edge $e = \langle v, w \rangle$, $v \prec w$ we set

$$T_v = \{x \in \Gamma : x \succeq v\}, \quad T_e = e \cup T_w.$$

In particular, $T_o = \Gamma$ and

$$T_v = \cup_{1 \leq j \leq b(v)} T_{e_v^j}, \quad \forall v \in V(\Gamma).$$

Let $T \subset \Gamma$ be a subtree. The branching function $g_T(t)$ of T is defined by

$$g_T(t) = \#\{x \in T : |x| = t\}, \quad 0 \leq t < h(T).$$

Due to the regularity of Γ , all the subtrees T_e , $gen(e) = k$ can be identified with a single tree Γ_k whose generating sequences are

$$b_0(\Gamma_k) = 1, \quad b_n(\Gamma_k) = b_{k+n}(\Gamma), \quad n \in \mathbb{N};$$

$$t_0(\Gamma_k) = 0, \quad t_n(\Gamma_k) = t_{k+n}(\Gamma) - t_k(\Gamma), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

An important introduction is followed from here that the branching function g_{Γ_k} is given by

$$(4) \quad g_{\Gamma_k}(t) = \frac{g_{\Gamma}(t_k + t)}{b_0 \dots b_k} = \frac{g_{\Gamma}(t_k + t)}{g_{\Gamma}(t_k +)}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

Note also that any subtree T_v , $gen(v) = k$, can be identified with the union of b_k copies of the tree Γ_k emanating from the common root v .

3. THE DIRAC OPERATOR ON A REGULAR TREE

The notion of Dirac operator on any metric graph, in particular on a tree, is well known. Still, for the sake of completeness we present here the variational definition of the Dirac operator with matching conditions [1] on a tree.

3.1. The Dirac operator.

First we say that a scalar-valued function f on Γ belongs to the Sobolev space $H^1 = \bigoplus_{j=1}^J H^1((0, L_j))$ if f is continuous, $f = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix} \upharpoonright e \in H^1(e) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ for each edge e , and

$$\|f\|_{H^1}^2 := \sum_{j=1}^J \left[\int_0^{L_j} (|f'(x)|^2 + |f(x)|^2) dx \right] < \infty.$$

Let H_c^1 stand for the set of all functions from H^1 having compact support.

Next we define

$$(5) \quad D_c := \alpha \cdot \frac{c}{i} \nabla + c^2 \beta$$

is the Dirac operator. Note that we are using atomic units in this research, i.e., $m_{el} = \hbar = el = 1$. As usual, the two matrices α and β are the Dirac matrices for two-component spinors in standard representation, explicitly

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

Let $\mathfrak{D} := \bigoplus_{j=1}^J (H^1((0, L_j)) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2)$, where j turns over all bonds.

At the root we have the boundary condition $f(o) = 0$, where $f \in \mathfrak{D}$; for the matching conditions at $v \neq 0$, we can construct matrices

$$(6) \quad \mathbb{A}^{(k)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{v_k \times v_k} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{B}^{(k)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{v_k \times v_k}.$$

We have the condition

$$(7) \quad \mathbb{A}^{(k)} f_1^{(k)} + \mathbb{B}^{(k)} f_2^{(k)} = 0$$

with $\text{rank}(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) = 2v_k$ and $\mathbb{A}^{(k)} \mathbb{B}^{(k)T} = \mathbb{B}^{(k)} \mathbb{A}^{(k)T}$

which satisfies [1, Formula (4.15)], where

$$(8) \quad f^{(k)} = \begin{pmatrix} f_1^{(k)} \\ f_2^{(k)} \end{pmatrix} \in \bigoplus_{j=1}^{v_k} (H^1((0, L_j)) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2v_k})$$

of boundary values at k ,

$$(9) \quad f_1^{(k)} = \begin{pmatrix} f_1^1(0) \\ f_1^2(0) \\ \vdots \\ f_1^{b_k}(0) \\ f_1^{v_k}(L_{v_k}) \end{pmatrix} \quad f_2^{(k)} = \begin{pmatrix} -f_2^1(0) \\ -f_2^2(0) \\ \vdots \\ -f_2^{b_k}(0) \\ f_2^{v_k}(L_{v_k}) \end{pmatrix}$$

and $v_k = b_k + 1$.

Without loss of generality, we can choose the space

$$(10) \quad \mathfrak{D} := [\chi_{(0,\infty)}(D_c)] \bigoplus_{j=1}^J (H^1((0, L_j)) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2),$$

where

$$(11) \quad \chi_{(0,\infty)}(D_c) := \begin{cases} 1, & (f, D_c f) > 0; \\ 0, & (f, D_c f) \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

for any $f \in \mathfrak{D}$, s. t. $f^{(k)} \in [\chi_{(0,\infty)}(D_c)] \bigoplus_{j=1}^{v_k} (H^1((0, L_j)) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2v_k})$.

3.2. Reduction of the Dirac.

Our further analysis is based upon an orthogonal decomposition of the space $L^2(\Gamma)$ which, for the case of regular trees, reduces the Dirac. Let us describe this decomposition.

Given a subtree $T \subset \Gamma$, we say that a function $u \in L^2(\Gamma)$ belongs to the set (a closed subspace) \mathfrak{F}_T if

$$u(x) = 0 \text{ for } x \notin T; \quad u(x) = u(y) \quad \text{if } x, y \in T \text{ and } |x| = |y|.$$

In particular, \mathfrak{F}_Γ consists of all symmetric (i.e. depending only on $|x|$) functions from $L^2(\Gamma)$.

We need the subspaces \mathfrak{F}_T associated with the subtrees T_e and T_v , introduced in Subsection 2.2. To simplify our notations, we shall write $\mathfrak{F}_e, \mathfrak{F}_v$ instead of $\mathfrak{F}_{T_e}, \mathfrak{F}_{T_v}$. It is clear that for each vertex $v \neq o$ the subspaces $\mathfrak{F}_{e_j}, j = 1, \dots, b(v)$ are mutually orthogonal and their orthogonal sum $\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_v$ contains \mathfrak{F}_v . Denote

$$\mathfrak{F}'_v = \widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_v \ominus \mathfrak{F}_v.$$

Theorem 1. *Let Γ be a regular metric tree and $b(o) = 1$. Then the subspaces \mathfrak{F}'_v , $v \in V(\Gamma)$ are mutually orthogonal and orthogonal to \mathfrak{F}_Γ . Moreover,*

$$(12) \quad L^2(\Gamma) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 = (\mathfrak{F}_\Gamma \otimes \mathbb{C}^2) \oplus \sum_{v \in V(\Gamma)} \oplus (\mathfrak{F}'_v \otimes \mathbb{C}^2).$$

Proof. The below result

$$(13) \quad L^2(\Gamma) = \mathfrak{F}_\Gamma \oplus \sum_{v \in V(\Gamma)} \oplus \mathfrak{F}'_v$$

is an obviously consequence of [4, Formula (2.15)].

According to Equation (13) and the distributive law, we can get that

$$(14) \quad L^2(\Gamma) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 = \left(\mathfrak{F}_\Gamma \oplus \sum_{v \in V(\Gamma)} \oplus \mathfrak{F}'_v \right) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \\ = (\mathfrak{F}_\Gamma \otimes \mathbb{C}^2) \oplus \sum_{v \in V(\Gamma)} \oplus (\mathfrak{F}'_v \otimes \mathbb{C}^2)$$

□

Now we have to describe the parts of the Dirac in the subspaces \mathfrak{F}_Γ and \mathfrak{F}'_v . For this purpose, along with the operator D_c on $\Gamma \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ let us consider the Dirac operator on each tree $\Gamma_k \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ defined in Subsection 2.2. Below we denote this operator by D_k . Consider also its part $\mathcal{D}_k = D_k \upharpoonright \mathfrak{F}_{\Gamma_k} \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ which is a natural analog of the operator $\mathcal{D}_0 = D_c \upharpoonright \mathfrak{F}_\Gamma \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$.

By [4, Page 325], we get that the subspace $\mathfrak{F}_{e_v^{<b(v)>}}$ coincides with \mathfrak{F}_v . The following holds

Lemma 1.

$$(15) \quad \mathfrak{F}'_v = \mathfrak{F}_{e_v^{<1>}} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{F}_{e_v^{<b_k-1>}},$$

where $\mathfrak{F}_{e_v^{<j>}}$, $j = 1, \dots, b(v)$ is defined as [4].

Theorem 2. *Let $v \in V(\Gamma)$ and $gen(v) = k > 0$. Then the operator $D_c \upharpoonright \mathfrak{F}'_v \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ is unitarily equivalent to the orthogonal sum of $(b_k - 1)$ copies of the operator \mathcal{D}_k .*

Proof. According to Lemma 1 and the distributive law, we can get that

$$(16) \quad \mathfrak{F}'_v \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 = (\mathfrak{F}_{e_v^{<1>}} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{F}_{e_v^{<b_k-1>}}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \\ = (\mathfrak{F}_{e_v^{<1>}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^2) \oplus \dots \oplus (\mathfrak{F}_{e_v^{<b_k-1>}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^2)$$

□

Our next step is to understand the nature of each operator \mathcal{D}_k . Below we introduce a family M_k , $k = 0, 1, \dots$ of operators acting in $L^2(t_k, h(\Gamma)) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$. The operator M_k acts on the domain $Dom(M_k)$ as

$$(17) \quad (M_k \psi)(t) = \alpha \cdot \frac{c}{i} \psi'(t) + c^2 \beta \psi(t), \quad t \neq t_j, \quad j \geq k.$$

Denote $I_j = (t_{j-1}, t_j)$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$. $Dom(M_k)$ consists of all functions ψ on $[t_j, h(\Gamma))$, $\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}$ s. t. $\psi \upharpoonright I_j \in H^1(I_j) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \quad \forall j > k$,

$$(18) \quad \sum_{j>k} \int_{I_j} (|\psi'|^2 + |\psi|^2) dt < \infty;$$

and the following boundary condition at t_k and the matching conditions at the points t_j , $j > k$ are satisfied:

$$(19) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(t_{j+}) \\ \psi_1(t_{j-}) \end{pmatrix} = B \begin{pmatrix} -\psi_2(t_{j+}) \\ \psi_2(t_{j-}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} b_j^{1/2} & 0 \\ 0 & -b_j^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Without loss of generality, we can consider the positive parts

$$M_k^+ := \chi_{(0, \infty)}(M_k)M_k; \quad B_k := \chi_{(0, \infty)}(\mathcal{D}_k)\mathcal{D}_k.$$

Next we will show that $B_k \sim M_k^+$ for each k ; here and in the sequel the symbol " \sim " stands for the unitary equivalence.

Lemma 2. *For any $k = 0, 1, \dots$*

$$(20) \quad B_k \sim M_k^+$$

Proof.(i) First we can construct a block diagonal matrix $U^{(k)}$ of elements of $SU(2)$,

$$(21) \quad U^{(k)} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta_1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & e^{i\theta_{v_k}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \\ & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}_{v_k \times v_k}$$

where $\theta_s, s = 1, \dots, v_k$ are selected randomly at each vertex.

Let

$$(22) \quad \hat{\mathbb{A}}^{(k)} = U^{(k)}\mathbb{A}^{(k)}(U^{(k)})^{-1}$$

$$(23) \quad \hat{\mathbb{B}}^{(k)} = U^{(k)}\mathbb{B}^{(k)}(U^{(k)})^{-1}.$$

Then we can get that $\hat{\mathbb{A}}^{(k)}$ and $\hat{\mathbb{B}}^{(k)}$ are satisfied (7) according to [1, Section 6].

Thus \mathcal{D}_k with the boundary condition (7) is permutation-invariant.

(ii) To prove $B_0 \sim M_0^+$

To identify a function $f = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{F}_\Gamma \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ with the function $\phi_f = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{f_1} \\ \phi_{f_2} \end{pmatrix}$ on $\bigoplus_{j=1}^\infty ([t_{j-1}, t_j] \otimes \mathbb{C}^2)$ satisfying $f(x) = \phi_f(t) \quad \forall x \in \Gamma$ s. t. $|x| = t$. Then

$$(24) \quad \sum_{\sigma=1}^2 \int_\Gamma |f_\sigma(x)|^2 dx = \sum_{\sigma=1}^2 \int_0^{h(\Gamma)} |\phi_{f_\sigma}(t)|^2 g_\Gamma(t) dt, \quad \forall f = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{F}_\Gamma \otimes \mathbb{C}^2.$$

If $f(o) = 0$, then ϕ_f is continuous on $[0, t_1] \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$, satisfies $\phi_f(0) = 0$.

Now we substitute $\begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(t) \\ \psi_2(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{g_\Gamma(t)}\phi_1(t) \\ \sqrt{g_\Gamma(t)}\phi_2(t) \end{pmatrix}$, for $t \neq t_1, t_2, \dots$

Theorem 4. *Let Γ be a regular tree and $\sup_{e \in E(\Gamma)} |e| = \infty$. Then $\sigma(B_c) = [c^2, \infty)$.*

Proof. (i) To prove $\sigma(\Delta) = [0, \infty)$.

It is enough to show that for any $r > 0$ the point $\lambda = r^2$ belongs to the spectrum. For this purpose we fix a non-negative function $\eta \in C_0^\infty[1, 2]$ s. t. $\text{supp}\{\eta\} \in [1, 2]$. Further, choose an edge $e_m \in E(\Gamma)$. In an appropriate coordinate system, e_m can be identified with the interval $(0, l_m)$ where $l_m = |e_m| = 2^m$. The function f_m on Γ ,

$$(28) \quad f_m(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{e^{irt}}{l_m^{1/2}} \eta\left(\frac{t}{l_m}\right) & \text{on } e_m, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

belong to $\text{Dom}(\Delta)$.

An elementary calculation shows that $\|(\Delta - \lambda)f_m\| \rightarrow 0$, as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

According to the Weyl criterion, we can get $\lambda \in \sigma(\Delta)$.

Thus $\sigma(\Delta) = [0, \infty]$.

(ii) Following Appendix A and spectral mapping theorem (See [3]), we know that

$$\sigma(B_c) = [c^2, \infty).$$

□

APPENDIX A. THE PROOF OF $B_0 = E_c(\hat{\mathbf{p}})$

Any $\psi \in \mathfrak{H} := [\chi_{(0, \infty)}(D_c)](L^2(\Gamma) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2)$ can be written as

$$(29) \quad \psi := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{E_c(\hat{\mathbf{p}}) + c^2}{N_c(\hat{\mathbf{p}})} u \\ \frac{c\hat{\mathbf{p}}\alpha}{N_c(\hat{\mathbf{p}})} u \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $u \in \mathfrak{h} := L^2(\Gamma)$. Here,

$$\hat{\mathbf{p}} := -i\nabla, \quad E_c(\hat{\mathbf{p}}) := (c^2\hat{\mathbf{p}}^2 + c^4)^{1/2}, \quad N_c(\hat{\mathbf{p}}) := [2E_c(\hat{\mathbf{p}})(E_c(\hat{\mathbf{p}}) + c^2)]^{1/2}.$$

In fact, the map

$$(30) \quad \begin{aligned} &\Phi : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H} \\ &u \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_1 u \\ \Phi_2 u \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{E_c(\hat{\mathbf{p}}) + c^2}{N_c(\hat{\mathbf{p}})} u \\ \frac{c\hat{\mathbf{p}}\alpha}{N_c(\hat{\mathbf{p}})} u \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

embeds \mathfrak{h} unitarily into \mathfrak{H} and its restriction onto $H^1(\Gamma)$ is also a unitary mapping to $\mathfrak{H} \cap H^1(\Gamma) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ (Evans et al. [2]).

Lemma 3. *To prove that*

$$B_0 = \overline{E_c(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}.$$

Proof. For any $\psi \in \mathfrak{H}$, we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
(31) \quad (\psi, B_0\psi) &= (\psi, \mathcal{D}_0\psi) \\
&= \left(\frac{E_c(\hat{\mathbf{p}})+c^2}{N_c(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}u \quad \frac{c\hat{\mathbf{p}}\alpha}{N_c(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}u \right) \begin{pmatrix} c^2 & c\alpha\hat{\mathbf{p}} \\ c\alpha\hat{\mathbf{p}} & -c^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{E_c(\hat{\mathbf{p}})+c^2}{N_c(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}u \\ \frac{c\hat{\mathbf{p}}\alpha}{N_c(\hat{\mathbf{p}})}u \end{pmatrix} \\
&= (\Phi_1u \quad \Phi_2u) \begin{pmatrix} c^2\Phi_1u + c\alpha\hat{\mathbf{p}}\Phi_2u \\ c\alpha\hat{\mathbf{p}}\Phi_1u + (-c^2)\Phi_2u \end{pmatrix} \\
&= (u, (\Phi_1^*c^2\Phi_1 + \Phi_1^*c\alpha\hat{\mathbf{p}}\Phi_2 + \Phi_2^*c\alpha\hat{\mathbf{p}}\Phi_1 - \Phi_2^*c^2\Phi_2)u) \\
&= (u, E_c(\hat{\mathbf{p}})u).
\end{aligned}$$

□

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Bolte and J. Harrison. Spectral statistics for the Dirac operator on graphs. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, 36:2747–2769, 2003.
- [2] W. D. Evans, P. Perry, and H. Siedentop. The spectrum of relativistic one-electron atoms according to Bethe and Salpeter. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 178(3):733–746, July 1996.
- [3] P. D. Lax. Functional Analysis. *Wiley Interscience, New York*, Chapter 17, 2002.
- [4] K. Naimark and M. Solomyak. Geometry of Sobolev Spaces on Regular Trees and the Hardy Inequalities. *Russian Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 8(3):322–335, 2001.
- [5] M. Solomyak. On the spectrum of the Laplacian on regular metric trees. *Waves in Random Media*, 14:155–171, 2004.