

On the 2-mode and k -photon quantum Rabi models

Yao-Zhong Zhang

School of Mathematics and Physics, The University of Queensland,

Brisbane, Qld 4072, Australia

CAS Key Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Institute of Theoretical Physics,

Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

Abstract

By mapping the Hamiltonians of the two-mode and 2-photon Rabi models to differential operators in suitable Hilbert spaces of entire functions, we prove that the two models possess entire and normalizable wavefunctions in the Bargmann-Hilbert spaces only if the frequency ω and coupling strength g satisfy certain constraints. This is in sharp contrast to the quantum Rabi model for which entire wavefunctions always exist. For model parameters fulfilling the aforesaid constraints we determine transcendental equations whose roots give the regular energy eigenvalues of the models. Furthermore, we show that for $k \geq 3$ the k -photon Rabi model does not possess wavefunctions which are elements of the Bargmann-Hilbert space for all non-trivial model parameters. This implies that the $k \geq 3$ case is not diagonalizable, unlike its RWA cousin, the k -photon Jaynes-Cummings model which can be completely diagonalized for all k .

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ge, 02.30.Ik, 42.50.Pq.

1 Introduction

Since Braak's breakthrough work on the quantum Rabi model [1], there have been renewed interest in analytic solutions of this model [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9] and its multi-quantum and multi-level generalizations [10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16]. Prior to the works on analytic solutions, the k -photon Rabi model was investigated mainly via numerical diagonalization techniques (see e.g. [17, 18]). It was argued in [17] that this model is non-diagonalizable and thus ill-defined for $k > 2$. This is believed to be related to the impossibility of higher order squeezing in the traditional sense in that $i\xi^* a^k - i\xi (a^\dagger)^k$, with ξ being complex parameter and a^\dagger (a) boson creation (annihilation) operators, is not essentially self-adjoint for $k > 2$ [19]. For $k = 2$ (the 2-photon Rabi case), the constraint condition $|2g/\omega| < 1$, with g being the coupling strength and ω the frequency, became present [11, 12] if the (Bogoliubov) transformations used in solving the model are to make sense. The situation is the same in the 2-mode Rabi case, but with the model parameters satisfying the constraint $|g/\omega| < 1$ [11, 12]. These results indicate that the 2-mode and k -photon Rabi models are qualitatively quite different from the quantum Rabi model.

The aim of this paper is to provide an independent proof to the following (somewhat unexpected) results based on the application of the Bargmann-Hilbert spaces: (i) the 2-mode and 2-photon Rabi models are defined in suitable Hilbert spaces of entire analytic functions only if ω, g satisfy the constraints $|g/\omega| < 1$ and $|2g/\omega| < 1$, respectively; and (ii) for $k \geq 3$ the k -photon Rabi model is not defined for all non-trivial model parameters to the extent that it does not possess normalizable entire wavefunctions in the Bargmann-Hilbert space. We build our proof from the algebraization and partial diagonalization of the boson degrees of freedom. For the 2-mode and 2-photon Rabi models with model parameters satisfying the aforesaid constraints, we also determine transcendental equations whose roots give the regular energy eigenvalues of the models.

2 Two-mode Rabi model

The Hamiltonian of the two-mode quantum Rabi model reads

$$H = \omega(a_1^\dagger a_1 + a_2^\dagger a_2) + \Delta\sigma_z + g\sigma_x(a_1^\dagger a_2^\dagger + a_1 a_2), \quad (2.1)$$

where a^\dagger (a) are creation (annihilation) operators of boson modes with frequency ω (we have assumed that the boson modes are degenerate with the same frequency), σ_z, σ_x are Pauli matrices describing two atomic levels separated by energy difference 2Δ , and g is the spin-boson interaction strength. The Hilbert space of the model, $\mathcal{H}_b \otimes \mathcal{C}^2$, is infinite dimensional, where \mathcal{H}_b is the Hilbert space of the boson and \mathcal{C}^2 is the spin space.

2.1 Partial diagonalization

The bosonic fields allow an algebraization. Introduce the operators K_\pm, K_0 ,

$$K_+ = a_1^\dagger a_2^\dagger, \quad K_- = a_1 a_2, \quad K_0 = \frac{1}{2}(a_1^\dagger a_1 + a_2^\dagger a_2 + 1). \quad (2.2)$$

These operators form the usual $su(1, 1)$ Lie algebra. This algebra has an infinite-dimensional unitary irreducible representation (i.e. the positive discrete series) \mathcal{D}^κ labeled by the Bargmann index κ . For the two-mode bosonic realization (2.2) of $su(1, 1)$ that we require here the Bargmann index κ can take any positive integers or half-integers, i.e. $\kappa = 1/2, 1, 3/2, \dots$. Thus by means of the $su(1, 1)$ representation we have decomposed the Fock-Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_b of the system into the direct sum of infinite number of subspaces \mathcal{H}_b^κ labelled by $\kappa = 1/2, 1, 3/2, \dots$. Within the subspace \mathcal{H}_b^κ the Hamiltonian is given by

$$H^{(\kappa)} = 2\omega \left(K_0 - \frac{1}{2} \right) + \Delta\sigma_z + g\sigma_x(K_+ + K_-). \quad (2.3)$$

In other words, the algebraization allows a partial diagonalization of the Hamiltonian (2.1) by bringing it into block-diagonal form,

$$H = \bigoplus_{\kappa} H^{(\kappa)}, \quad (2.4)$$

where $H^{(\kappa)}$ acts in the mutually orthogonal subspaces $\mathcal{H}_b^\kappa \otimes \mathcal{C}^2$ with fixed κ . Thus the problem of diagonalizing the two-mode Rabi model (2.1) is reduced to that of diagonalizing each $H^{(\kappa)}$ in the corresponding subspace $\mathcal{H}_b^\kappa \otimes \mathcal{C}^2$ separately.

The Hamiltonian $H^{(\kappa)}$ possess a \mathcal{Z}_2 symmetry (parity), $P H^{(\kappa)} P = H^{(\kappa)}$, where $P = e^{i\pi(K_0 - \kappa)} \otimes \sigma_z$ is the parity operator in the subspace $\mathcal{H}_b^\kappa \otimes \mathcal{C}^2$. Thus each $\mathcal{H}_b^\kappa \otimes \mathcal{C}^2$ splits into two invariant subspaces $\mathcal{H}_b^\kappa \otimes |\pm\rangle$ labelled by the eigenvalues ± 1 of P . This parity invariance can be used to partially diagonalize $H^{(\kappa)}$. This is seen as follows. Define the unitary operator

$$U = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ T & -T \end{pmatrix}, \quad T = e^{i\pi(K_0 - \kappa)}. \quad (2.5)$$

Working in a representation defined by σ_x diagonal, we have

$$U^\dagger H^{(\kappa)} U = \begin{pmatrix} H_+^{(\kappa)} & 0 \\ 0 & H_-^{(\kappa)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.6)$$

where for fixed κ

$$H_\pm^{(\kappa)} = 2\omega \left(K_0 - \frac{1}{2} \right) + g(K_+ + K_-) \pm \Delta T. \quad (2.7)$$

act in two mutually orthogonal subspaces $\mathcal{H}_b^\kappa \otimes |\pm\rangle$ with fixed parity.

2.2 Wavefunctions and constraints for ω and g

In the same way as the differential realization of boson operators in a Hilbert space of entire analytic functions of growth $(\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ [20], we can represent the continuous boson degree of freedom $(K_{\pm,0})$ as differential operators in a Hilbert space of entire functions of growth $(1, 1)$ [21].

Let \mathcal{B}_κ be the Hilbert space associated with κ whose elements are entire analytic functions, called Bargmann-Hilbert space \mathcal{B}_κ . Its inner product is defined by [21]

$$(f, g)_\kappa = \int \overline{f(z)} g(z) d\mu_\kappa(z), \quad d\mu_\kappa(z) = \frac{4}{\pi} |z|^{2\kappa-1} K_{\frac{1}{2}-2\kappa}(2|z|) dx dy, \quad (2.8)$$

where $d\mu_\kappa(z)$ is the measure and $K_\nu(z)$ is the modified Bessel function of the third kind which has the Mellin transform, $\int_0^\infty 2\xi^{\alpha+\beta} K_{\alpha-\beta}(2\xi^{1/2}) \xi^{s-1} d\xi = \Gamma(s+2\alpha)\Gamma(s+2\beta)$. Then $f(z)$ belongs to \mathcal{B}_κ if and only if $\|f\|_\kappa^2 = (f, f)_\kappa < \infty$. It is now not difficult to see that if $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty c_n z^n$, then

$$\|f\|_\kappa^2 = \sum_{n=0}^\infty |c_n|^2 n! (n + 2\kappa - 1)!. \quad (2.9)$$

Every set of coefficients c_n for which the sum on the right hand side converges defines an entire function $f(z) \in \mathcal{B}_\kappa$. An orthonormal set of basis vectors in \mathcal{B}_κ is given by

the monomials $\left\{z^n/\sqrt{n!(n+2\kappa-1)!}\right\}$. In this basis K_{\pm}, K_0 (2.2) are realized as the single-variable differential operators [11, 21]

$$K_0 = z\frac{d}{dz} + \kappa, \quad K_+ = z, \quad K_- = z\frac{d^2}{dz^2} + 2\kappa\frac{d}{dz}. \quad (2.10)$$

The operator T can be realized as $T = e^{i\pi z\frac{d}{dz}}$, which acts on elements $f(z)$ of \mathcal{B}_κ as $(Tf)(z) = f(-z)$.

By means of the differential representation (2.10), we can express the Hamiltonian (2.7) as the differential operator in \mathcal{B}_κ

$$H_{\pm}^{(\kappa)} = 2\omega\left(z\frac{d}{dz} + \kappa - \frac{1}{2}\right) \pm \Delta e^{i\pi z\frac{d}{dz}} + g\left(z + z\frac{d^2}{dz^2} + 2\kappa\frac{d}{dz}\right). \quad (2.11)$$

The corresponding time-independent Schrödinger equations are

$$\left\{gz\frac{d^2}{dz^2} + 2(\omega z + g\kappa)\frac{d}{dz} \pm \Delta e^{i\pi z\frac{d}{dz}} + gz + 2\omega\left(\kappa - \frac{1}{2}\right) - E_{\pm}\right\}\phi^{\pm}(z) = 0. \quad (2.12)$$

Here we have written E_{\pm} since in general the spectra of $H_{\pm}^{(\kappa)}$ are not the same. As in the Rabi case [22], E_{\pm} belong to the spectra of $H_{\pm}^{(\kappa)}$ if and only if for these values of E_{\pm} solutions to the above differential equations are entire functions belonging to \mathcal{B}_κ . In other words, we are seeking solutions of the form

$$\phi^{\pm}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S_n^{\pm}(E_{\pm}) z^n, \quad (2.13)$$

which converge in the entire complex plane and are elements of \mathcal{B}_κ .

Substituting (2.13) into (2.12), we obtain the 3-step recurrence relation,

$$\begin{aligned} S_1^{\pm} + C_0^{\pm} S_0^{\pm} &= 0, \\ S_{n+1}^{\pm} + C_n^{\pm} S_n^{\pm} + D_n^{\pm} S_{n-1}^{\pm} &= 0, \quad n \geq 1, \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

where

$$C_n^{\pm} = \frac{\pm(-1)^n \Delta - E_{\pm} + 2\omega\left(n + \kappa - \frac{1}{2}\right)}{g(n+1)(n+2\kappa)}, \quad D_n^{\pm} = \frac{1}{(n+1)(n+2\kappa)}. \quad (2.15)$$

The coefficients C_n^{\pm}, D_n^{\pm} have the behavior

$$C_n^{\pm} \sim \frac{2\omega}{g} n^{-1}, \quad D_n^{\pm} \sim n^{-2} \quad (2.16)$$

when $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus the asymptotic structure of solutions to the $n \geq 1$ part of (2.14) depends on the Newton-Puiseux diagram formed with the points $P_0(0, 0), P_1(1, -1), P_2(2, -2)$ [23]. The characteristic equation of the 3-term recurrence relation is given by $t^2 + \frac{2\omega}{g}t + 1 = 0$, which has two solutions $t_{1,2} = -\frac{\omega}{g} \pm \sqrt{\frac{\omega^2}{g^2} - 1}$. Thus we have two cases to consider.

Case (1): $\left|\frac{g}{\omega}\right| < 1$. In this case, we have two distinct real roots $t_1 = \frac{\omega}{g} \left[-1 + \sqrt{1 - (g/\omega)^2}\right]$, $t_2 = -\frac{\omega}{g} \left[1 + \sqrt{1 - (g/\omega)^2}\right]$, and $|t_1| < |t_2|$. The Perron-Kreuser theorem (i.e. Theorem 2.3 of [23]) gives the asymptotic behaviour of two linearly independent solutions $S_{n,r}^\pm$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_{n+1,r}^\pm}{S_{n,r}^\pm} \sim t_r n^{-1}, \quad r = 1, 2. \quad (2.17)$$

So solution $S_{n,1}^\pm$ is minimal while $S_{n,2}^\pm$ is dominant. From (2.9), for an entire solution in \mathcal{B}_κ the sum

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |S_n^\pm|^2 n! (n + 2\kappa - 1)! \quad (2.18)$$

must converge. Using the ratio test,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|S_{n+1}^\pm|^2 (n+1)! (n+2\kappa)!}{|S_n^\pm|^2 n! (n+2\kappa-1)!} = |t_r|^2 \quad (2.19)$$

It is easily seen that $|t_2|^2 > 1$. We can show that $|t_1|^2 < 1$. Indeed, if we had $|t_1|^2 \geq 1$, then we would end up with $\sqrt{1 - |g/\omega|} \geq \sqrt{1 + |g/\omega|}$ which is impossible for the non-trivial case $g \neq 0$.

It follows that the sum (2.18) converges for the minimal solution $S_{n,1}^\pm$ and thus the corresponding wavefunctions (2.13) are elements of \mathcal{B}_κ .

Case (2): $\left|\frac{g}{\omega}\right| \geq 1$. In this case, the two roots $t_{1,2}$ are complex conjugate to each other and $|t_1| = |t_2| = 1$. Applying the Perron-Kreuser theorem, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \left(|S_n^\pm| n! \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} = 1 \quad (2.20)$$

for all non-trivial solutions of the 2nd equation of (2.14). Thus given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $N(\epsilon) \in \mathbf{N}$ and an infinite set I of indices $\ell > N(\epsilon)$ such that $\left(|S_\ell^\pm| \ell!\right)^{\frac{1}{\ell}} > 1 - \epsilon$, i.e. $|S_\ell^\pm| > (1 - \epsilon)^\ell / \ell!$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |S_n^\pm|^2 n! (n + 2\kappa - 1)! &\geq \sum_{\ell \in I} |S_\ell^\pm|^2 \ell! (\ell + 2\kappa - 1)! \\ &> \sum_{\ell \in I} (1 - \epsilon)^{2\ell} \frac{(\ell + 2\kappa - 1)!}{\ell!}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

Noting that when $\ell \rightarrow \infty$, $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \epsilon)^{2\ell} \frac{(\ell + 2\kappa - 1)!}{\ell!} \neq 0. \quad (2.22)$$

This means the series on the right hand side of (2.21) diverges. By the comparison test, the series on the left hand side of (2.21), i.e. the sum (2.18) diverges for all non-trivial solutions of the 3-term recurrence relations. Thus when $\left|\frac{g}{\omega}\right| \geq 1$ the two-mode Rabi model has no entire wavefunctions which belong to \mathcal{B}_κ .

2.3 Energy spectrum

We now proceed to find regular energy eigenvalues E_{\pm} corresponding to $|g/\omega| < 1$ and the minimal solutions $S_n^{\pm min} \equiv S_{n,1}^{\pm}$ (and thus to the entire wavefunctions $\phi_{\pm}(z)$). We follow a procedure presented in [24, 2, 12, 14] that uses the relationship between minimal solutions and infinite continued fractions [23].

By the Pincherle theorem (i.e. Theorem 1.1 of [23]), the ratios of successive elements of the minimal solutions $S_n^{\pm min}$ can be expressed as continued fractions,

$$\mathcal{S}_n^{\pm} = \frac{S_{n+1}^{\pm min}}{S_n^{\pm min}} = -\frac{D_{n+1}^{\pm}}{C_{n+1}^{\pm-}} \frac{D_{n+2}^{\pm}}{C_{n+2}^{\pm-}} \frac{D_{n+3}^{\pm}}{C_{n+3}^{\pm-}} \cdots, \quad (2.23)$$

which for $n = 0$ reduces to

$$\mathcal{S}_0^{\pm} = \frac{S_1^{\pm min}}{S_0^{\pm min}} = -\frac{D_1^{\pm}}{C_1^{\pm-}} \frac{D_2^{\pm}}{C_2^{\pm-}} \frac{D_3^{\pm}}{C_3^{\pm-}} \cdots. \quad (2.24)$$

The ratios $\mathcal{S}_0^{\pm} = \frac{S_1^{\pm min}}{S_0^{\pm min}}$ involve $S_n^{\pm min}$, although the above continued fraction expressions are obtained from the 2nd equation of (2.14), i.e the recurrence (2.14) for $n \geq 1$. On the other hand, for single-ended sequences such as those appearing in the infinite series expansions (2.13), the ratios $\mathcal{S}_0^{\pm} = \frac{S_1^{\pm min}}{S_0^{\pm min}}$ of the first two terms of a minimal solution are unambiguously fixed by the $n = 0$ part of the recurrence (2.14), that is,

$$\mathcal{S}_0^{\pm} = -C_0^{\pm} = \frac{1}{2g\kappa} \left[E_{\pm} \mp \Delta - 2\omega \left(\kappa - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right]. \quad (2.25)$$

In general, (2.24) and (2.25) can not be both satisfied i.e. the \mathcal{S}_0^{\pm} computed from (2.24) are not the same as that from (2.25) for arbitrary values of the recurrence coefficients C_n and D_n . Thus general solutions to the recurrence (2.14) are dominant and are usually generated by simple forward recursion from a given value of S_0^{\pm} . Physical meaningful solutions are those that are entire and normalizable with respect to the Bargmann-Hilbert space norm given in (2.8). They can be obtained if E_{\pm} can be adjusted so that equations (2.24) and (2.25) are both satisfied. Then the resulting solution sequences $\mathcal{S}_n^{\pm}(E_{\pm})$ will be purely minimal and the corresponding power series expansions (2.13) will converge in the whole complex plane and be elements of \mathcal{B}_{κ} . Equating the right hand sides of (2.24) and (2.25) yields implicit continued fraction equations for the regular spectrum E_{\pm} ,

$$0 = C_0^{\pm} - \frac{D_1^{\pm}}{C_1^{\pm-}} \frac{D_2^{\pm}}{C_2^{\pm-}} \frac{D_3^{\pm}}{C_3^{\pm-}} \cdots. \quad (2.26)$$

Here C_n^{\pm}, D_n^{\pm} are defined as functions of E_{\pm} in (2.15), and thus (2.26) contain E_{\pm} as parameters. These are transcendental equations for the determination of the regular energies E_{\pm} of the two-mode Rabi model. Only for the denumerable infinite values of E_{\pm} which are the roots of (2.26), do the power series (2.13) give convergent and normalizable solutions to the differential equations (2.12).

3 k -photon Rabi model

The k -photon Rabi model is a natural generalization of the quantum Rabi model. Its Hamiltonian is given by

$$H = \omega a^\dagger a + \Delta \sigma_z + g \sigma_x \left[(a^\dagger)^k + a^k \right], \quad (3.1)$$

where $k = 1, 2, \dots$ is a positive integer, a^\dagger (a) are creation (annihilation) operators of a boson mode with frequency ω , σ_z, σ_x are Pauli matrices describing two atomic levels separated by energy difference 2Δ , and g is the coupling strength. As in the 2-mode case, we will let $\mathcal{H}_b \otimes \mathcal{C}^2$ denote the Hilbert space of the k -photon Rabi model, where \mathcal{H}_b is the Hilbert space of boson number states and \mathcal{C}^2 is the spin space. The $k = 1$ case of (3.1) gives the Hamiltonian of the Rabi model.

3.1 Partial diagonalization

The boson fields allow an algebraization. Introduce three operators

$$Q_+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k^k}} (a^\dagger)^k, \quad Q_- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k^k}} a^k, \quad Q_0 = \frac{1}{k} \left(a^\dagger a + \frac{1}{k} \right). \quad (3.2)$$

These operators form a polynomial algebra given in [25]. The polynomial algebra has an infinite-dimensional unitary irreducible representation labelled by the parameter q , which for $k = 2$ reduces to the well-known positive discrete series of $su(1, 1)$. For the single-mode bosonic realization (3.2), the parameter q takes the k allowed values [25],

$$q = \frac{1}{k^2}, \frac{k+1}{k^2}, \frac{2k+1}{k^2}, \dots, \frac{(k-1)k+1}{k^2}. \quad (3.3)$$

Thus by the algebraization of the bosonic field, we can decompose the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_b into a direct sum of k independent subspaces \mathcal{H}_b^q labelled by q . Within the subspace \mathcal{H}_b^q with fixed q , where $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, the Hamiltonian is given by

$$H^{(q)} = k\omega \left(Q_0 - \frac{1}{k^2} \right) + \Delta \sigma_z + g\sqrt{k^k} \sigma_x (Q_+ + Q_-). \quad (3.4)$$

In other words, the algebraization allows a partial diagonalization of the Hamiltonian (3.1) by bringing it into block-diagonal form,

$$H = \bigoplus_q H^{(q)}, \quad (3.5)$$

where $H^{(q)}$ acts in k mutually orthogonal subspaces $\mathcal{H}_b^q \otimes \mathcal{C}^2$ with fixed q . Thus the problem of diagonalizing the k -photon Rabi model (3.1) is reduced to that of diagonalizing each $H^{(q)}$ in the corresponding subspace $\mathcal{H}_b^q \otimes \mathcal{C}^2$ separately.

The Hamiltonian $H^{(q)}$ possess a \mathcal{Z}_2 symmetry (parity), $P_q H^{(q)} P_q = H^{(q)}$, where $P_q = e^{i\pi(Q_0 - q)} \otimes \sigma_z$ is the parity operator in the subspace $\mathcal{H}_b^q \otimes \mathcal{C}^2$. Thus each $\mathcal{H}_b^q \otimes \mathcal{C}^2$ splits

into two invariant subspaces $\mathcal{H}_b^q \otimes |\pm\rangle$ labelled by the eigenvalues ± 1 of P_q . This parity invariance can be used to partially diagonalize $H^{(q)}$. This is seen as follows. Define the unitary operator

$$U = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ T & -T \end{pmatrix}, \quad T = e^{i\pi(Q_0 - q)}. \quad (3.6)$$

Working in a representation defined by σ_x diagonal, we have

$$U^\dagger H^{(q)} U = \begin{pmatrix} H_+^{(q)} & 0 \\ 0 & H_-^{(q)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.7)$$

where for fixed q

$$H_\pm^{(q)} = k\omega \left(Q_0 - \frac{1}{k^2} \right) + g\sqrt{k^k} (Q_+ + Q_-) \pm \Delta T \quad (3.8)$$

act in two mutually orthogonal subspaces $\mathcal{H}_b^q \otimes |\pm\rangle$ with fixed parity.

3.2 Bargmann-Hilbert space and 3-term recurrence relations

The continuous boson degree of freedom $Q_{\pm,0}$ of the k -photon Rabi model can be realized as differential operators in a Hilbert space of entire functions of growth $(1, 1)$ [26]. Let \mathcal{B}_q denote the Hilbert space associated with index q , called Bargmann-Hilbert space \mathcal{B}_q . Similar to the two-mode case, the inner product in \mathcal{B}_q is defined by

$$(f, g)_q = \int \overline{f(z)} g(z) d\mu_q(z), \quad d\mu_q(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} |z|^{2(q - \frac{k(k-1)+1}{k^2})} e^{-|z|^{2/k}} dx dy. \quad (3.9)$$

f belongs to \mathcal{B}_q if and only if $\|f\|_q^2 = (f, f)_q < \infty$. Now let $f(z)$ be an entire function with the power series $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$. Then it can be easily checked using $\Gamma(s) = \int_0^{\infty} \xi^{s-1} e^{-\xi} d\xi$ for $\text{Re}(s) > 0$ that in terms of the expansion coefficients

$$\|f\|_q^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |c_n|^2 [k(n + q - 1/k^2)]! \quad (3.10)$$

Every set of coefficients c_n for which the sum on the right hand side converges defines an entire analytic function $f \in \mathcal{B}_q$. An orthonormal set of basis vectors in \mathcal{B}_q is given by the monomials $\left\{ z^n / \sqrt{[k(n + q - 1/k^2)]!} \right\}$. In this basis, $Q_{\pm,0}$ are represented as single-variable differential operators [26],

$$\begin{aligned} Q_0 &= z \frac{d}{dz} + q, & Q_+ &= \frac{z}{\sqrt{k^k}}, \\ Q_- &= z^{-1} \sqrt{k^k} \prod_{j=1}^k \left(z \frac{d}{dz} + q - \frac{(j-1)k + 1}{k^2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Moreover the operator T can be realized as $T = e^{i\pi z \frac{d}{dz}}$, which acts on elements $f(z)$ of \mathcal{B}_q as $(Tf)(z) = f(-z)$.

Using the differential realization we can equivalently write (3.8) as the single-variable differential operator in \mathcal{B}_q ,

$$H_{\pm}^{(q)} = k\omega \left(z \frac{d}{dz} + q - \frac{1}{k^2} \right) \pm \Delta e^{i\pi z \frac{d}{dz}} + gz + gk^k z^{-1} \prod_{j=1}^k \left(z \frac{d}{dz} + q - \frac{(j-1)k+1}{k^2} \right). \quad (3.12)$$

The corresponding time-independent Schrödinger equations are

$$\left\{ gk^k z^{-1} \prod_{j=1}^k \left(z \frac{d}{dz} + q - \frac{(j-1)k+1}{k^2} \right) + gz + k\omega \left(z \frac{d}{dz} + q - \frac{1}{k^2} \right) \pm \Delta e^{i\pi z \frac{d}{dz}} - E_{\pm} \right\} \psi^{\pm}(z) = 0. \quad (3.13)$$

Here we have written E_{\pm} since in general the spectra of $H_{\pm}^{(q)}$ are not the same. Solutions to the differential equations must be analytic in the whole complex plane and normalizable with respect to the norm given in (3.9) if E_{\pm} belong to the spectra of $H_{\pm}^{(q)}$. In other words, we seek solutions of the form

$$\psi^{\pm}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} K_n^{\pm}(E_{\pm}) z^n, \quad (3.14)$$

which converge in the entire complex plane and are elements of \mathcal{B}_q .

Substituting (3.14) into (3.13), we obtain the 3-step recurrence relation,

$$\begin{aligned} K_1^{\pm} + A_0^{\pm} K_0^{\pm} &= 0, \\ K_{n+1}^{\pm} + A_n^{\pm} K_n^{\pm} + B_n^{\pm} K_{n-1}^{\pm} &= 0, \quad n \geq 1, \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_n^{\pm} &= \frac{\pm(-1)^n \Delta - E_{\pm} + k\omega \left(n + q - \frac{1}{k^2} \right)}{gk^k \prod_{j=1}^k \left(n + 1 + q - \frac{(j-1)k+1}{k^2} \right)}, \\ B_n^{\pm} &= \frac{1}{k^k \prod_{j=1}^k \left(n + 1 + q - \frac{(j-1)k+1}{k^2} \right)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

The coefficients A_n^{\pm}, B_n^{\pm} have the behavior

$$A_n^{\pm} \sim a n^{\alpha}, \quad B_n^{\pm} \sim b n^{\beta} \quad (3.17)$$

when $n \rightarrow \infty$, where

$$a = \frac{\omega}{gk^{k-1}}, \quad \alpha = -k + 1, \quad b = \frac{1}{k^k}, \quad \beta = -k. \quad (3.18)$$

Thus the asymptotic structure of solutions to the $n \geq 1$ part of (3.15) depends on the Newton-Puiseux diagram formed with the points $P_0(0, 0), P_1(1, -k + 1), P_2(2, -k)$ [23].

For $k = 1$, (3.15)-(3.18) reduce to the corresponding relations obtained in [5] for the Rabi model. It was shown that in this case entire wavefunctions in an appropriate Bargmann-Hilbert space exist for all model parameters.

3.3 Wavefunctions and constraints for ω and g in the $k = 2$ case

For $k = 2$ the characteristic equation of the 3-term recurrence relation is given by $t^2 + \frac{\omega}{2g}t + \frac{1}{4} = 0$, which has two solutions $t_{1,2} = -\frac{\omega}{4g} \pm \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\omega^2}{4g^2} - 1}$. Similar to the two-model Rabi case, we now have two cases to consider.

Case (i): $\left|\frac{2g}{\omega}\right| < 1$. In this case, we have two distinct real roots $t_1 = \frac{\omega}{4g} \left[-1 + \sqrt{1 - (2g/\omega)^2}\right]$, $t_2 = -\frac{\omega}{4g} \left[1 + \sqrt{1 - (2g/\omega)^2}\right]$, and $|t_1| < |t_2|$. The Perron-Kreuser theorem (i.e. Theorem 2.3 of [23]) gives the asymptotic behaviour of two linearly independent solutions $K_{n,r}^\pm$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K_{n+1,r}^\pm}{K_{n,r}^\pm} \sim t_r n^{-1}, \quad r = 1, 2. \quad (3.19)$$

So $K_{n,1}^\pm$ is the minimal solution and $K_{n,2}^\pm$ is dominant. From (3.10), for an entire solution in \mathcal{B}_q the sum

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |K_n^\pm|^2 [2(n+q-1/4)]! \quad (3.20)$$

must converge. Using the ratio test,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|K_{n+1}^\pm|^2 [2(n+1+q-1/4)]!}{|K_n^\pm|^2 [2(n+q-1/4)]!} = 4|t_r|^2 \quad (3.21)$$

It is easily seen that $4|t_2|^2 > 1$. We can show that $4|t_1|^2 < 1$. Indeed, if we had assumed $4|t_1|^2 \geq 1$, then we would end up with $\sqrt{1 - |2g/\omega|} \geq \sqrt{1 + |2g/\omega|}$ which is impossible for the non-trivial case $g \neq 0$.

It follows that the sum $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |K_n^\pm|^2 [2(n+q-1/4)]!$ converges for the minimal solution $K_{n,1}^\pm$. Thus the corresponding wavefunctions given by (3.14) are entire functions in \mathcal{B}_q .

Case (ii): $\left|\frac{2g}{\omega}\right| \geq 1$. In this case, the two roots $t_{1,2}$ are complex conjugate to each other and $|t_1| = |t_2| = \frac{1}{2}$. Applying the Perron-Kreuser theorem, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \left(|K_n^\pm| n! \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} = \frac{1}{2} \quad (3.22)$$

for all non-trivial solutions of the 2nd equation of (3.15). It follows that for a given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $N(\epsilon) \in \mathbf{N}$ and an infinite set of I of indices $\ell > N(\epsilon)$ such that $\left(|K_\ell^\pm| \ell!\right)^{\frac{1}{\ell}} > \frac{1}{2} - \epsilon$, i.e. $|K_\ell^\pm| > \frac{(1/2-\epsilon)^\ell}{\ell!}$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |K_n^\pm|^2 [2(n+q-1/4)]! &\geq \sum_{\ell \in I} |K_\ell^\pm|^2 [2(\ell+q-1/4)]! \\ &> \sum_{\ell \in I} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon\right)^{2\ell} \frac{[2(\ell+q-1/4)]!}{(\ell!)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

Noting that when $\ell \rightarrow \infty$, $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon\right)^{2\ell} \frac{[2(\ell+1+q-1/4)]!}{(\ell!)^2} \neq 0, \quad (3.24)$$

which means the series on the right hand side of (3.23) diverges. Thus by comparison test, the sum $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |K_n^{\pm}|^2 [2(n+q-1/4)]!$ diverges for all non-trivial solutions of the 3-term recurrence relations and the 2-photon Rabi model has no entire wavefunctions belonging to \mathcal{B}_q when $\left|\frac{2g}{\omega}\right| \geq 1$.

3.4 Energy spectrum in the $k = 2$ case

As in our previous discussion for the regular energy spectrum of the 2-mode Rabi model, the coefficients K_n^{\pm} will be minimal solutions $K_n^{\pm min} \equiv K_{n,1}^{\pm}$ iff they satisfy the continued fraction equations

$$\frac{K_{n+1}^{\pm min}}{K_n^{\pm min}} = -\frac{B_{n+1}^{\pm}}{A_{n+1}^{\pm-}} \frac{B_{n+2}^{\pm}}{A_{n+2}^{\pm-}} \frac{B_{n+3}^{\pm}}{A_{n+3}^{\pm-}} \dots, \quad (3.25)$$

which in turn will require that E_{\pm} be the roots of

$$0 = A_0^{\pm} - \frac{B_1^{\pm}}{A_1^{\pm-}} \frac{B_2^{\pm}}{A_2^{\pm-}} \frac{B_3^{\pm}}{A_3^{\pm-}} \dots. \quad (3.26)$$

Here A_n^{\pm}, B_n^{\pm} are given as functions of E_{\pm} in (3.26) with $k = 2$. These are transcendental equations whose solutions determine the regular energies E_{\pm} of the 2-photon Rabi model. Only for the denumerable infinite values of E_{\pm} which are the roots of (3.26), do we get entire wavefunction solutions in \mathcal{B}_q to the $k = 2$ version of the differential equations (3.13).

3.5 k -photon Rabi model for the $k \geq 3$ case

We now focus on the $k \geq 3$ case. Let σ be the slope of $\overline{P_0 P_1}$ and τ the slope of $\overline{P_1 P_2}$ so that $\sigma = \alpha$ and $\tau = \beta - \alpha$. Then $\sigma = -k + 1, \tau = -1$, and thus point P_1 lies below the line segment $\overline{P_0 P_1}$ in the Newton-Puiseux diagram. Applying the Perron-Kreuser theorem (i.e. Theorem 2.3 of [23]), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \left(|K_n^{\pm}| (n!)^{\frac{k}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k^k}} \quad (3.27)$$

for all non-trivial solutions of the 2nd equation of (3.15). To have entire solutions which are elements of \mathcal{B}_q , the sum on the right hand side of (3.10) must converge. To check if this is the case, we first note that similar to the $k = 2$ case, for a given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $N(\epsilon) \in \mathbf{N}$ and an infinite set of I of indices $\ell > N(\epsilon)$ such that $\left(|K_{\ell}^{\pm}| (\ell!)^{\frac{k}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\ell}} > \frac{1}{\sqrt{k^k}} - \epsilon$, i.e. $|K_{\ell}^{\pm}| > \frac{(1/\sqrt{k^k} - \epsilon)^{\ell}}{(\ell!)^{k/2}}$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |K_n^{\pm}|^2 [k(n+q-1/k^2)]! &\geq \sum_{\ell \in I} |K_{\ell}^{\pm}|^2 [k(\ell+q-1/k^2)]! \\ &> \sum_{\ell \in I} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k^k}} - \epsilon \right)^{2\ell} \frac{[k(\ell+q-1/k^2)]!}{(\ell!)^k}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

Now

$$\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k^k}} - \epsilon \right)^{2\ell} \frac{[k(\ell + 1 + q - 1/k^2)]!}{(\ell!)^k} \neq 0. \quad (3.29)$$

This means the series on the right hand side of (3.28) diverges. It follows that the sum on the left hand side of (3.28) diverges for all non-trivial solutions of (3.15) and the Schrödinger equations (3.13) with $k \geq 3$ have no solutions $\psi^\pm(z)$ belonging to \mathcal{B}_q .

We may thus conclude that for $k \geq 3$ the k -photon Rabi model does not have eigenfunctions which are elements of \mathcal{B}_q because they are not normalizable with respect to the norm given in (3.9). In other words, for $k \geq 3$ the Hamiltonian (3.1) can not be completely diagonalized in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_b \otimes \mathcal{C}^2$ due to the non-normalizability of its eigenstates. This is in sharp contrast to the k -photon Jaynes-Cummings model which can be completely diagonalized for all k [27]. The impossibility conclusion for the $k \geq 3$ case agrees with that reached in [17, 18, 19] by using different approaches.

4 Conclusions

We have examined the 2-mode and k -photon Rabi models based on the application of algebraizations and Bargmann-Hilbert spaces. We have seen that the algebraization and parity invariance allow us to decompose the total Hilbert spaces into direct sums of independent subspaces, thus partially diagonalizing the Hamiltonians of the models by bringing them into block-diagonal forms. The block-diagonal sectors can be realized as differential operators in the Bargmann-Hilbert spaces. We have investigated the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the block-diagonal sectors by applying the theory of Bargmann-Hilbert spaces. We have derived constraints for the frequency ω and coupling g for the 2-mode and 2-photon Rabi models to be defined in the Hilbert spaces of entire analytic functions. We have determined the corresponding transcendental equations whose roots give the regular energy spectra of the models. Furthermore we have shown that the k -photon Rabi model with $k \geq 3$ does not have normalizable eigenfunctions with respect to the Bargmann-Hilbert space norm and thus can not be completely diagonalized.

As shown in the Appendix, the wavefunction expansion coefficients for the 2-mode and 2-photon Rabi models are related to orthogonal polynomials. Thus it is expected that the regular energies of the two models can be determined as the polynomial zeros by a procedure similar to that in [5, 6]. Work in this direction is in progress and results will be reported elsewhere.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Alexander Moroz for his interest at the early stage of the work. Support from the Australian Research Council through Discovery Project DP140101492

is gratefully acknowledged.

A Orthogonal polynomials

In this appendix, we show that the expansion coefficients in $\phi^\pm(z)$ (2.13) and $\psi^\pm(z)$ (3.14) are related to orthogonal polynomials of infinite degree.

Let us recall the well-known theorem [28] on relationship between 3-term recurrence relations and orthogonal polynomials. It states: the necessary and sufficient condition for a family of polynomials $\{P_n(x)\}$ (with degree $P_n = n$) in parameter x to form an orthogonal polynomial systems is that these polynomials satisfy the 3-term recurrence relation

$$P_n(x) = (\beta_n x - \alpha_n)P_{n-1}(x) - \lambda_n P_{n-2}(x), \quad n \geq 1, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where the coefficients β_n, α_n and λ_n are independent of x , $\beta_n \neq 0$ and $\lambda_n \neq 0$ for $n \geq 1$. Then $\{P_n(x)\}$ forms an orthogonal set of polynomials with respect to some weight function.

For the two-mode Rabi case, the expansion coefficients $S_n^\pm(E_\pm)$ defined by the 3-term recurrence relations (2.14) are related to orthogonal polynomials of infinite degree in energy parameters E_\pm . To see this, define $P_n^\pm(E_\pm)$ by

$$S_n^\pm(E_\pm) = \frac{P_n^\pm(E_\pm)}{n!(n+2\kappa-1)!}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Then in terms of P_n^\pm , (2.14) becomes

$$P_{n+1}^\pm = \frac{1}{g} \left[E_\pm \mp (-1)^n \Delta - 2\omega \left(n + \kappa - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] P_n^\pm - n(n+2\kappa-1)P_{n-1}^\pm. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

It then follows from the above mentioned theorem that $P_n^\pm(E_\pm)$ are orthogonal polynomials in E_\pm with degree n . Thus $\phi^\pm(z)$ (2.13) are the generating functions for $P_n^\pm(E)$,

$$\phi^\pm(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{P_n^\pm(E_\pm)}{n!(n+2\kappa-1)!} z^n. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

However, $\phi^\pm(z)$ are entire only if $P_n^\pm(E)$ defined in (A.2) correspond to the minimal solutions $S_{n,1}^\pm(E)$.

Similarly for the k -photon Rabi case, the expansion coefficients $K_n^\pm(E_\pm)$ defined by the 3-step recurrence relation (3.15) are related to orthogonal polynomials of infinite degree in energy parameters E_\pm . This is seen as follows. Define $P_n^\pm(E_\pm)$ by

$$K_n^\pm(E_\pm) = \frac{P_n^\pm(E_\pm)}{\prod_{j=1}^k \left[k^n \Gamma \left(n + 1 + q - \frac{(j-1)k+1}{k^2} \right) \right]}, \quad (\text{A.5})$$

where $\Gamma(x)$ is the gamma function in x . In terms of $P_n^\pm(E_\pm)$, (3.15) become

$$P_{n+1}^\pm = \frac{1}{g} \left[E_\pm \mp (-1)^n \Delta - k\omega \left(n + q - \frac{1}{k^2} \right) \right] P_n^\pm - \prod_{j=1}^k \left[k \left(n + q - \frac{(j-1)k+1}{k^2} \right) \right] P_{n-1}^\pm. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Thus $P_n^\pm(E_\pm)$ defined by (A.5) form a set of orthogonal polynomials and the solutions $\psi^\pm(z)$ (3.14) are generating functions for $P_n^\pm(E_\pm)$,

$$\psi^\pm(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{P_n^\pm(E)}{\prod_{j=1}^k \left[k^n \Gamma \left(n + 1 + q - \frac{(j-1)k+1}{k^2} \right) \right]} z^n. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

However $\psi^\pm(z)$ given above have finite radius of convergence (i.e. they are not entire) for $k \geq 3$. So we focus on the $k = 2$ case in which entire wavefunctions exist. Note that in this case the denominator of (A.5) equals $2^n \Gamma(n + 1 + q - 1/4) \times 2^n \Gamma(n + 1 + q - 3/4) = [2(n + q - 1/4)]!$. So the solutions $\psi^\pm(z)$ for $k = 2$ can be expressed as

$$\psi^\pm(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{P_n^\pm(E_\pm)}{[2(n + q - 1/4)]!} z^n. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

When $P_n^\pm(E_\pm)$ correspond to the minimal solutions $K_{n,1}^\pm(E_\pm)$, $\psi^\pm(z)$ are entire functions and thus give the wave functions of the 2-photon Rabi model.

References

- [1] D. Braak, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 100401 (2011).
- [2] A. Moroz, Europhys. Lett. **100**, 60010 (2012).
- [3] Q.H. Chen, C. Wang, S. He, T. Liu and K.L. Wang, Phys. Rev. A **86**, 023822 (2012).
- [4] H. Zhong, Q. Xie, M.T. Batchelor and C. Lee, J. Phys. A **46**, 415302 (2013).
- [5] A. Moroz, Ann. Phys. **338**, 319 (2013).
- [6] A. Moroz, Ann. Phys. **340**, 252 (2014).
- [7] M. Tomka, O. El Araby, M. Pletyukhov and V. Gritsev, Phys. Rev. A **90**, 063839 (2014).
- [8] M.T. Batchelor and H.-Q. Zhou, Phys. Rev. A **91**, 053808 (2015)
- [9] Z.-M. Li and M.T. Batchelor, arXiv:1506.04038v1 [quant-ph].
- [10] V.V. Albert, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 180401 (2012).

- [11] Y.-Z. Zhang, *J. Math. Phys.* **54**, 102104 (2013).
- [12] Y.-Z. Zhang, arXiv:1304.7827v2 [quant-ph].
- [13] D. Braak, *J. Phys. B* **46**, 224007 (2013).
- [14] Y.-Z. Zhang, *Ann. Phys.* **347**, 122 (2014).
- [15] Q.-H. Chen, arXiv:1412.8560v1 [quant-ph].
- [16] J. Peng, Z. Ren, H. Yang, G. Guo, X. Zhang, G. Ju, X. Guo, C. Deng and G. Hao, *J. Phys. A* **48**, 285301 (2015).
- [17] C. F. Lo, K. L. Liu and K. M. Ng, *Europhys. Lett.* **42**, 1 (1998).
- [18] K. M. Ng, C. F. Lo, and K. L. Liu, *Eur. Phys. J. D* **6**, 119 (1999).
- [19] K. Gorska, A. Horzela and F.H. Szafraniec, *Proc. R. Soc. A* **470**, 20140205 (2014).
- [20] V. Bargmann, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **14**, 187 (1961).
- [21] A.O. Barut and L. Girardello, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **21**, 41 (1971).
- [22] S. Schweber, *Ann. Phys.* **41**, 205 (1967).
- [23] W. Gautschi, *SIAM Rev.* **9**, 24 (1967).
- [24] E.W. Leaver, *J. Math. Phys.* **27**, 1238 (1986).
- [25] Y.-H. Lee, W.-L. Yang and Y.-Z. Zhang, *J. Phys. A* **43**, 185204 (2010).
- [26] Y.-Z. Zhang, *J. Phys. A* **46**, 455302 (2013).
- [27] Y.-H. Lee, J.R. Links and Y.-Z. Zhang, *Nonlinearity* **24**, 1975 (2011).
- [28] T.S. Chihara, *An introduction to orthogonal polynomials*, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1978.