Comment on "Axion induced oscillating electric dipole moments"

V. V. Flambaum,[1,2] B. M. Roberts,[1] and Y. V. Stadnik[1]

[1] *School of Physics, University of New South Wales, Sydney 2052, Australia*
[2] *Mainz Institute for Theoretical Physics, Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz, D 55122 Mainz, Germany*

(Dated: March 2, 2024)

In the recent work [Phys. Rev. D **91**, 111702(R) (2015)], C. Hill concludes that the axion electromagnetic anomaly induces an oscillating electron electric dipole moment of frequency m_a and strength $\sim 10^{-32}$ e cm, in the limit $v/c \to 0$ for the axion field. Here, we demonstrate that a proper treatment of this problem in the lowest order yields *no* electric dipole moment of the electron in the same limit. Instead, oscillating electric dipole moments of atoms and molecules are produced by different mechanisms.

PACS numbers: 14.80.Va, 14.60.Cd

arXiv:1507.05265v2 [hep-ph] 24 Oct 2015

In Ref. [1], it is concluded that the axion electromagnetic anomaly induces an oscillating electron electric dipole moment (EDM) of frequency m_a and strength $\sim 10^{-32}$ e cm, in the limit $v/c \to 0$ for the axion field, which is several orders of magnitude stronger than the axion-induced oscillating EDMs of nucleons induced by the Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) anomaly [2], and the axion-induced oscillating EDMs of atoms due to the QCD anomaly, as well as the derivative interaction of the axion field with atomic electrons [3–5]. We disagree with Ref. [1] for the following reasons:

(1) The EDM of an elementary particle is defined by the linear energy shift that it produces through its interaction with a *static* applied electric field: $\delta\varepsilon = -\boldsymbol{d}\cdot\boldsymbol{E}$. As we show below, the interaction of an electron with a static electric field, in the presence of the axion electromagnetic anomaly, in the lowest order does not produce an energy shift in the limit $v/c \to 0$. This implies that *no* electron EDM is generated by this mechanism [6].

(2) In the non-relativistic limit, the electron EDM is fully screened in a neutral composite system [7]. Indeed, it is the atomic or molecular EDM that is actually measured in an experiment. This induced EDM appears due to the relativistic corrections for the interaction of the electron EDM with the atomic/molecular electric field [8, 9]. Such an interaction with the static electric field vanishes within the approach of Ref. [1]. Therefore, a fully relativistic treatment for both the electron EDM and atomic/molecular EDMs induced by the axion field is necessary.




FIG. 1. Feynman diagram for the interaction of an electron with a static electric field (bold cross), in the presence of the axion electromagnetic anomaly.

In the limit $v/c \to 0$, the interaction of an electron with a static applied electric field, in the presence of the axion electromagnetic anomaly due to the axion field $a(t) = a_0\cos(m_a t)$ (represented by the Feynman diagram in Fig. 1), has the amplitude:

$$\mathcal{M} = -\frac{e g_{a\gamma\gamma} a_0 \sin(m_a t)}{f_a}\frac{\varepsilon_{\nu\rho\sigma\mu} p^\nu \varepsilon^\rho q^\sigma \bar{u}(k')\Gamma^\mu u(k)}{q^2}, \quad (1)$$

with the axion 4-momentum $p^\nu = (m_a, \boldsymbol{0})$ and the dressed electromagnetic vertex $\Gamma^\mu = F_1(q^2)\gamma^\mu + iF_2(q^2)\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_\nu/2m$, where F_1 and F_2 are the Dirac and Pauli form factors, respectively, of the electron. Note that the energy shift and applied electric field are gauge-invariant quantities, meaning that the EDM is also gauge-invariant. Since the axion-induced EDM is independent of the choice of gauge, we choose the simplest gauge: $V = -\boldsymbol{E}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}$, $\boldsymbol{A} = \boldsymbol{0}$, in which the polarisation vector is purely timelike: $\varepsilon^\rho = (\varepsilon^0, \boldsymbol{0})$. In this gauge, it is evident that two of the indices in the antisymmetric Levi-Cevita tensor $\varepsilon_{\nu\rho\sigma\mu}$ in Eq. (1) are forced to be equal ($\nu = \rho = 0$), meaning that the amplitude associated with the process in Fig. 1, as well as the resulting EDM of the electron, both vanish in the limit $v/c \to 0$:

$$\mathcal{M} = 0 \;\Rightarrow\; d_e = 0. \quad (2)$$

In the case of a slowly changing applied electric field, the 4-potential may be presented as $A^\mu = (V, \boldsymbol{A})$, where $V(\boldsymbol{r}, t) = -\boldsymbol{E}(t)\cdot\boldsymbol{r}$, $\boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{r}, t) = -(d\boldsymbol{E}(t)/dt)r^2/4$. For an oscillating applied electric field, corrections are proportional to the electric field oscillation frequency ω and are suppressed by the small parameter ω/m_e.

The fact that the effect is zero for the static electric field also follows from Eq. (5) in Ref. [1]. Indeed, the virtual photons for a static electric field are longitudinal, with the polarisation vector ε directed along the momentum $\boldsymbol{k}_f$ (since the electric force and the momentum transfer $\boldsymbol{k}_f$ are directed along the electric field, contrary to the free photon case where the polarisation vector ε is perpendicular to $\boldsymbol{k}_f$). In this case, the antisymmetric tensor $\varepsilon_{\nu\rho\sigma\mu}\varepsilon^\nu k_f^\rho$ vanishes. The calculation with a transverse photon presented in Ref. [1] actually corresponds to the conversion of an axion to a photon in the magnetic

field of an electron (which has no relation to the electron EDM).

However, axions may induce oscillating EDMs in paramagnetic atoms and molecules through three possible mechanisms (mechanisms (I) and (II) have been investigated in Refs. [3–5]):

(I) Axion-induced oscillating nuclear Schiff and magnetic quadrupole moments, which are induced primarily through the P,T-violating NN interaction mediated by π exchange, and also through the intrinsic nucleon EDMs, both due to the QCD anomaly.

(II) The derivative interaction of the axion field with atomic/molecular electrons.

(III) Perturbation of the electron-nucleon Coulomb interaction by the axion electromagnetic anomaly (Fig. 2).




FIG. 2. Feynman diagram for the interaction of atomic/molecular electrons and nucleons, perturbed by the axion electromagnetic anomaly.

Acknowledgments — This work was supported by the Australian Research Council. B. M. R. and V. V. F. are grateful to the Mainz Institute for Theoretical Physics (MITP) for its hospitality and support.

[1] C. T. Hill, Axion induced oscillating electric dipole moments. *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, 111702(R) (2015).

[2] P. W. Graham, S. Rajendran, Axion dark matter detection with cold molecules. *Phys. Rev. D* **84**, 055013 (2011).

[3] Y. V. Stadnik, V. V. Flambaum, Axion-induced effects in atoms, molecules, and nuclei: Parity nonconservation, anapole moments, electric dipole moments, and spin-gravity and spin-axion momentum couplings. *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, 043522 (2014).

[4] B. M. Roberts, Y. V. Stadnik, V. A. Dzuba, V. V. Flambaum, N. Leefer, D. Budker, Limiting P-Odd Interactions of Cosmic Fields with Electrons, Protons, and Neutrons. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 081601 (2014).

[5] B. M. Roberts, Y. V. Stadnik, V. A. Dzuba, V. V. Flambaum, N. Leefer, D. Budker, Parity-violating interactions of cosmic fields with atoms, molecules, and nuclei: Concepts and calculations for laboratory searches and extracting limits. *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 096005 (2014).

[6] If one instead considers a time-*dependent* applied electric field, which generates a magnetic field on account of Maxwell's equations of electrodynamics, then this magnetic field may interact with magnetic and anapole moments of the electron. However, we stress that this is *not* the interaction of the electric field with the electron EDM. Also, for an oscillating applied electric field, corrections are proportional to the electric field oscillation frequency ω and are suppressed by the small parameter ω/m_e.

[7] L. I. Schiff, Measurability of Nuclear Electric Dipole Moments. *Phys. Rev.* **132**, 2194 (1963).

[8] P. G. H. Sandars, The electric dipole moment of an atom. *Phys. Lett.* **14**, 194 (1965).

[9] V. V. Flambaum, Electron electric dipole moment enhancement in heavy atoms. *Yad. Fiz.* **24**, 383 (1976) [*Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **24**, 199 (1976)].