

# Heavy Vector Partners of the Light Composite Higgs

Kenneth Lane<sup>1,2\*</sup> and Lukas Pritchett<sup>1†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Boston University  
590 Commonwealth Avenue, Boston, Massachusetts 02215

<sup>2</sup>CERN Theory Division  
CH-1211, Geneva 23, Switzerland

March 2, 2024

## Abstract

If the Higgs boson  $H(125)$  is a composite due to new strong interactions at high energy, it has spin-one partners,  $\rho_H$  and  $a_H$ , analogous to the  $\rho$  and  $a_1$  mesons of QCD. These bosons are heavy, their mass determined by the strong interaction scale. The strongly interacting particles light enough for  $\rho_H$  and  $a_H$  to decay to are the longitudinal weak bosons  $V_L = W_L, Z_L$  and the Higgs boson  $H$ . These decay signatures are consistent with resonant diboson excesses recently reported near 2 TeV by ATLAS and CMS. We calculate  $\sigma \times BR(\rho_H \rightarrow VV) = \text{few fb}$  and  $\sigma \times BR(a_H \rightarrow VH) = 0.5\text{--}1 \text{ fb}$  at  $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$ , increasing by a factor of 5–7 at 13 TeV. Other tests of the hypothesis of the strong-interaction nature of the diboson resonances are suggested.

---

\*lane@bu.edu

†lpritch@bu.edu

# 1. Introduction

The ATLAS and CMS Collaborations have reported 2–3 $\sigma$  excesses in the 8-TeV data of high-mass diboson ( $VV = WW, WZ, ZZ$ ) production [1, 2, 3]. The ATLAS excesses are in nonleptonic data (both  $V \rightarrow \bar{q}q$  jets) in which the boosted  $V$ -jet is called a  $W$  ( $Z$ ) if its mass  $M_V$  is within 13 GeV of 82.4 (92.8) GeV. They appear in all three invariant-mass “pots”,  $M_{WW}$ ,  $M_{WZ}$  and  $M_{ZZ}$ , although there may be as much as 30% spillover between neighboring pots. Perhaps not surprisingly, the largest excess is in  $M_{WZ}$ . It is centered at 2 TeV, with a 3.4 $\sigma$  local, 2.5 $\sigma$  global significance. The ATLAS nonleptonic  $WZ$  excess has been estimated to correspond to a signal cross section times branching ratio of 3 fb.<sup>1</sup> The CMS papers report semileptonic ( $V \rightarrow \ell\nu$  or  $\ell^+\ell^-$  plus  $V \rightarrow \bar{q}q$ ) as well as nonleptonic  $VV$  events. In the purely nonleptonic sample, a boosted jet is called a  $W$  or  $Z$  candidate if  $70 < M_V < 100$  GeV. A nonleptonic  $V$ -jet in the semileptonic sample is considered a  $W$ -jet candidate if  $65 < M_V < 105$  GeV and a  $Z$ -candidate if  $70 < M_V < 110$  GeV.<sup>2</sup> The semileptonic data is divided into  $WW$  and  $ZZ$  pots. There is a 1 $\sigma$  excess in  $WW$  and 2 $\sigma$  in  $ZZ$ , both centered at 1.8 TeV. CMS combined its semileptonic and nonleptonic data (which also showed 1–2 $\sigma$  excesses near 1.8 TeV, and still obtained a 2 $\sigma$  effect at 1.8 TeV. ATLAS saw no similar excesses in its semileptonic  $VV$ -data [4, 5]. Both experiments also looked for  $VH$  resonances. CMS reported a 2 $\sigma$  excess near 1.8 TeV in  $WH \rightarrow \ell\nu\bar{b}b$  [6]. ATLAS searched for  $WH$  and  $ZH$  in semileptonic modes but saw no excess [7].

Despite the low statistics, 5–10 events, of the ATLAS and CMS excesses, their number and proximity have inspired a number of theoretical papers variously proposing them to be due to production of heavy weak  $W'$  and  $Z'$  bosons [8, 9, 10, 11], of heavy vector bosons associated with new strong dynamics at the TeV scale that is responsible for electroweak symmetry breaking [12, 13, 14], or of a new heavy scalar [15, 16].

If these excesses are confirmed in Run 2 data — and that’s a big if! — their most plausible explanation, in our opinion, is that they are the lightest vector and, possibly, axial-vector triplet bound states of new strong interactions responsible for the compositeness of the 125 GeV Higgs boson  $H$ . If the Higgs is composite, it is widely believed to be built of fermion-(anti)fermion pairs which carry weak isospin and whose other bound states respect custodial  $SU(2)$  symmetry (see, e.g., Refs. [17, 18, 19, 20]). Then there are isovector and isoscalar bosons analogous to the familiar  $\rho$ ,  $\omega$  and  $a_1$  mesons. In this paper we concentrate on the isovectors, which we call  $\rho_H$  and  $a_H$  to emphasize their relation to  $H$ . We shall explain that the only hadrons of the new interaction lighter than  $\rho_H$  and  $a_H$  are the longitudinally-polarized weak bosons,  $V_L = W_L, Z_L$ , and  $H$  itself, which, therefore, are their decay products. The production mechanisms of  $\rho_H$  and  $a_H$  are the Drell-Yan (DY) process, induced by mixing with the photon,  $W$  and  $Z$ , and weak vector boson fusion (VBF). We find total

---

<sup>1</sup>G. Brooijmans, D. Morse and C. Pollard, communication at Les Houches Workshop, *Physics at TeV Colliders*, June 1–19, 2015.

<sup>2</sup>This discussion does not do the selections of  $W$  and  $Z$  jets justice. The reader is urged to consult the ATLAS and CMS papers for a complete description of nonleptonic  $W, Z$ -jet identification.

production times decay rates of a few femtobarns (fb), dominated by DY. The hallmark of the isovectors' underlying strong dynamics are their large widths, dominated by decays involving  $V_L$ . The diboson data favors  $\Gamma(\rho_H) \lesssim 200 \text{ GeV}$ , though a somewhat greater width is still allowed. Production rates of  $\rho_H$  more than a few fb typically imply larger widths. The mode  $\rho_H \rightarrow V_L V_L$  is completely dominant. The main two-body decay mode of  $a_H$  is  $V_L H$ , while the longitudinal-transverse mode,  $V_L V_T$ , and the on-mass-shell  $\rho_H V_L$  mode are much suppressed. We have not estimated the nonresonant three-body mode  $a_H \rightarrow 3V_L$ .

Isovectors of composite Higgs dynamics and their interactions with Standard Model (SM) particles, including the Higgs, have been anticipated in several recent papers [17, 18, 19, 20]. The models in Refs. [17, 18, 19] and the particular model we use for describing isovector couplings to SM particles are conveniently described by a hidden local symmetry (HLS) [21] —  $SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)_R$  with equal gauge couplings,  $g_L = g_R$ . This parity is softly (spontaneously) broken. The resulting vector and axial-vector bosons comprise two isotriplets, nearly degenerate within each multiplet. Their dimension-three and four interactions, including those with electroweak (EW) gauge bosons respect this parity up to corrections of order the EW gauge couplings.

In light composite Higgs models in which  $H$  is a pseudo-Goldstone boson (PGB) (see, e.g., Ref. [22, 23] for a review) the isovectors' expected mass is  $\sim g_{\rho H} f$ , where  $g_{\rho H} \simeq g_L = g_R$  and  $f$  is the PGB decay constant, typically  $\mathcal{O}(1 \text{ TeV})$ . In the model of Ref. [20], electroweak symmetry breaking is driven *not* by technicolor, but by strong extended technicolor interactions (ETC) at a scale of 100's of TeV. The Higgs boson in this Nambu–Jona-Lasinio-like model [24, 25] is not a PGB; it is made light by fine-tuning the strength of the ETC interaction coupling to be near the critical value for spontaneous electroweak symmetry breaking. But ETC's unbroken subgroup, technicolor, is a confining interaction and it binds technifermions into hadrons whose typical mass is the technicolor scale  $\Lambda_{TC} = \mathcal{O}(1 \text{ TeV})$ . We can also use the HLS formalism to describe the  $\rho_H$ ,  $a_H$  in this scenario and so, again, their masses can be expressed as  $g_{\rho H} f$  where  $f \simeq \Lambda_{TC}$ . From the earliest days of technicolor, the mass of the technirho in a one-doublet model was estimated (naively) to be  $\sim 1.8 \text{ TeV}$  [26, 27].

The interactions of the isovectors with  $W, Z$  and  $H$  are given in Sec. 2. These are used to calculate the isovectors' decay rates and production cross sections in Sec. 3. Finally, in Sec. 4 we make comments and predictions that should test our composite-Higgs hypothesis in the first year or two of LHC Run 2.

## 2. $\rho_H$ , $a_H$ Couplings to Standard Model Particles

In a light composite Higgs model the strongly-interacting bound states lighter than  $\rho_H$  are the quartet consisting of three Goldstone bosons,  $W_L^\pm$  and  $Z_L$ , and the scalar  $H$ . But is that all? If the model has other PGBs they may be lighter than  $\rho_H$ . But then we would have to infer that the  $\rho_H$  production rate is rather larger than a few fb to make up for the smaller  $VV$  branching ratio and that, we shall see in Sec. 3, is difficult to accommodate in

this sort of model. In the model of Ref. [20] the low-energy theory below  $M_{\rho_H}$  is the SM plus suppressed higher-dimension operators. Just above the electroweak symmetry breaking transition,  $W_L^\pm, Z_L, H$  are a light degenerate quartet; just below it, they are three Goldstone bosons and a light scalar. There are no other light hadrons of the strong interactions than these four. They and, presumably,  $\rho_H$  are lighter than  $a_H$ . To minimize the contribution to the  $S$ -parameter [28, 29, 30, 31, 32] from the low-lying hadrons, we assume that  $a_H$  and  $\rho_H$  are nearly degenerate with the same coupling strength to the electroweak currents (see, e.g., Ref. [33, 34]). This greatly suppresses the strong decay  $a_H \rightarrow \rho_H V_L$ .

The effective Lagrangian describing  $\rho_H VV$  and  $a_H VV$  couplings is obtained from the HLS approach describing the isovectors as  $SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)_R$  gauge bosons. Refs. [18, 19] give quite similar results for these couplings. We use ones like these that are given in Sec. VI of Ref. [34], adapted to the case of a single technidoublet with no light PGBs, and with couplings chosen to cancel the  $\rho_H$  and  $a_H$  contributions to  $S$ . They are:

$$\mathcal{L}(\rho_H \rightarrow VV) = -\frac{ig^2 g_{\rho_H} v^2}{2M_{\rho_H}^2} \rho_{H\mu\nu}^0 W_\mu^+ W_\nu^- - \frac{ig^2 g_{\rho_H} v^2}{2M_{\rho_H}^2 \cos \theta_W} (\rho_{H\mu\nu}^+ W_\mu^- - \rho_{H\mu\nu}^- W_\mu^+) Z_\nu, \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(a_H \rightarrow VV) &= \frac{ig^2 g_{\rho_H} v^2}{2M_{\rho_H}^2} a_{H\mu}^0 (W_{\mu\nu}^+ W_\nu^- - W_{\mu\nu}^- W_\nu^+) \\ &- \frac{ig^2 g_{\rho_H} v^2}{2M_{\rho_H}^2 \cos \theta_W} [a_{H\mu}^+ (W_\nu^- Z_{\mu\nu} - W_{\mu\nu}^- Z_\nu) - \text{h.c.}] . \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Note the isospin symmetry of these couplings. Here,  $G_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu G_\nu - \partial_\nu G_\mu$ ,  $g$  is the weak- $SU(2)$  coupling;  $g_{\rho_H}$  is the left-right symmetric HLS gauge coupling for the isovectors. The  $\rho_H$  mass in Ref. [34] is nominally given by  $M_{\rho_H} = \frac{1}{2} g_{\rho_H} f_{\rho_H}$ , where  $f_{\rho_H}$  is the HLS decay constant (analogous to the decay constant of a PGB composite Higgs). If we take  $f_{\rho_H} = 1 \text{ TeV} \simeq 4v$ , where  $v = 246 \text{ GeV}$  is the Higgs vacuum expectation value, then  $g_{\rho_H} = 4$  for  $M_{\rho_H} = 2 \text{ TeV}$ .

For highly-boosted weak bosons, as is the case here,  $V_{L\mu}^{\pm,0} = \partial_\mu \pi^{\pm,0} / M_V + \mathcal{O}(M_V/E_V)$ , where  $\pi$  is the pseudoscalar Goldstone boson eaten by  $V$ . Then, the  $V_L V_L$  part of  $V_{\mu\nu}$  is suppressed by  $M_V^2/E_V^2$  and, while  $\rho_H \rightarrow V_L V_L$  is allowed, only the strongly suppressed  $a_H \rightarrow V_L V_L$  is. The same parity argument applies in reverse to the decays  $\rho_H, a_H \rightarrow V_L H$ . Furthermore, for (nearly) degenerate  $\rho_H$  and  $a_H$ , the two comprise parity-doubled triplets and, for a *light* Higgs, the decay rates  $\rho_H \rightarrow V_L V_L$  and  $a_H \rightarrow V_L H$  are identical.<sup>3</sup> Thus,

$$\mathcal{L}(a_H \rightarrow VH) = gg_{\rho_H} v (a_{H\mu}^+ W_\mu^- + a_{H\mu}^- W_\mu^+) H + \frac{gg_{\rho_H} v}{\cos \theta_W} a_{H\mu}^0 Z_\mu H. \quad (3)$$

The  $a_H \rho_H V$  couplings are also taken from Ref. [34]:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(a_H \rightarrow \rho_H V) &= -\frac{ig g_{\rho_H}^2 v^2}{2\sqrt{2} M_{\rho_H}^2} [a_{H\mu}^0 (\rho_{H\mu\nu}^+ W_\nu^- - \rho_{H\mu\nu}^- W_\nu^+) \\ &+ a_{H\mu}^+ (\rho_{H\mu\nu}^- Z_\nu / \cos \theta_W - \rho_{H\mu\nu}^0 W_\nu^-) - \text{h.c.}] . \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

---

<sup>3</sup>More precisely, they are identical in the Wigner-Weyl mode of electroweak symmetry in which  $(H, \boldsymbol{\pi})$  are a degenerate quartet. We thank T. Appelquist for this simple argument for the  $a_H VH$  coupling strength.

Finally, the amplitudes for DY production of  $\rho_H$ ,  $a_H$  and their decay to  $VV$ ,  $VH$  involve their mixing with  $\gamma, W, Z$ . (The  $\rho_H$  and  $a_H$  have no appreciable direct coupling to SM fermions in the composite Higgs models considered here.) These are of  $\mathcal{O}(gM_{\rho_h}^2/g_{\rho_H}, g'M_{\rho_h}^2/g_{\rho_H})$  and depend on the electroweak quantum numbers of their constituent fermions. We use the couplings of Ref. [35], appropriate to a single fermion doublet, for which we assume electric charges  $\pm\frac{1}{2}$ . The DY cross sections given in Ref. [35] are easily modified for the case at hand in which there are no other light PGBs. They are encoded in PYTHIA 6.4 [36].

### 3. $\rho_H$ , $a_H$ Decay Rates and Cross Sections

The  $\rho_H$  decay rates are completely dominated by the emission of a pair of longitudinally-polarized weak bosons. The factor of  $M_{\rho_H}^2$  from the longitudinal polarization vectors is canceled by the  $1/M_{\rho_H}^2$  in Eq. (1), giving (for  $M_{\rho_H} \gg M_W$ )

$$\Gamma(\rho_H^0 \rightarrow W^+W^-) \cong \Gamma(\rho_H^\pm \rightarrow W^\pm Z) \cong \frac{g_{\rho_H}^2 M_{\rho_H}}{48\pi}. \quad (5)$$

The  $a_H \rightarrow VH$  decay rate from Eq. (3) is

$$\Gamma(a^0 \rightarrow ZH) \cong \Gamma(a^\pm \rightarrow W^\pm H) \cong \frac{g_{\rho_H}^2 M_{a_H}}{48\pi}. \quad (6)$$

As noted above, CMS, but not ATLAS, saw a  $2\sigma$  excess in the  $WH$  channel. If this excess persists and is confirmed by ATLAS, in our model it must be due to  $a_H$ .

The greatly suppressed decay rate of  $a_H$  to a pair of weak bosons is

$$\Gamma(a_H^0 \rightarrow W^+W^-) \cong \Gamma(a_H^\pm \rightarrow W^\pm Z) \cong \frac{g_{\rho_H}^2 M_W^2 M_{a_H}^3}{24\pi M_{\rho_H}^4}. \quad (7)$$

Finally, the decay rate for  $a_H$  to individual  $\rho_H V$  states is

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(a_H \rightarrow \rho_H V) &= \frac{g^2}{192\pi} \left( \frac{g_{\rho_H} v}{M_{\rho_H}} \right)^4 \frac{p^3}{(M_{a_H} M_{\rho_H} M_W)^2} \\ &\times [6M_{\rho_H}^2 (M_{a_H}^2 + M_V^2) + M_{\rho_H}^4 + M_{a_H}^2 p^2 - (M_{a_H}^2 - M_V^2)^2], \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where  $p$  is the  $V = W, Z$  momentum in the  $a_H$  rest frame. An interesting possibility would be that this quasi-two-body decay is not very limited by phase space. The two weak bosons from  $\rho_H$  would have  $M_{VV} \simeq M_{\rho_H}$  and the third  $V$  would be soft and not included in the diboson mass. A possibility like this was considered in Ref. [37]. Unfortunately, the  $a_H \rightarrow \rho_H V$  decay rate is only a few MeV in our model.

The decay rates are listed in Table 1 for  $M_{\rho_H} = 1800, 1900, 2000$  GeV and  $M_{a_H} = 1.05M_{\rho_H}$ ; the strong coupling is fixed at  $g_{\rho_H} = 1900 \text{ GeV}/2v = 3.862$ . The  $\sim 200$  GeV width of  $\rho_H$  is compatible with the existing data.

$M_{\rho_H}$ (GeV)	$\Gamma(\rho_H \rightarrow VV)$ (GeV)	$\Gamma(a_H \rightarrow VH)$ (GeV)	$\Gamma(a_H \rightarrow VV)$ (GeV)
1800	178	184	0.82
1900	188	196	0.78
2000	198	208	0.74

Table 1: Principal decay rates of the isovector bosons  $\rho_H$  and  $a_H$  for  $g_{\rho_H} = 3.862$  and  $M_{a_H} = 1.05M_{\rho_H}$ .

The main production mechanisms of the isovectors are DY and VBF. The cross sections for the dominant modes,  $\rho_H^{\pm,0} \rightarrow W^{\pm}Z$ ,  $W^+W^-$  and  $a_H^{\pm,0} \rightarrow W^{\pm}H$ ,  $ZH$ , are listed in Table 2 for  $M_{\rho_H} = 1800\text{--}2000$  GeV,  $M_{a_H} = 1.05M_{\rho_H}$  and  $g_{\rho_H} = 3.862$ . The DY and VBF rates for  $\rho_H$  are given separately; VBF rates for  $a_H$  are very small. No  $K$ -factor has been applied to the cross sections. The rates reveal the following (all  $BR \simeq 1$ )

- $\sigma_{DY}(a_H) \simeq 0.5 \sigma_{DY}(\rho_H)$ .
- $\sigma_{DY}(13 \text{ TeV}) = 5\text{--}7 \sigma_{DY}(8 \text{ TeV})$
- $\sigma_{VBF}(a_H) \lesssim 0.01 \sigma_{VBF}(\rho_H)$ .
- $\sigma_{VBF}(\rho_H) \simeq \frac{1}{4} \sigma_{DY}(\rho_H)$  at  $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$ , rising to about  $\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{DY}(\rho_H)$  at 13 TeV.
- $\sigma(\rho_H^{\pm}) \simeq 2\sigma(\rho^0)$  *uniformly*. This is strongly dominated by  $\rho^+$  over  $\rho^-$  for DY and VBF and is a consequence of the proton PDFs.

The DY cross sections vary roughly as  $1/g_{\rho_H}^2$  for  $M_{\rho_H}$  fixed near 2 TeV. On the other hand, the VBF rate for  $\rho_H \rightarrow VV$  varies as  $g_{\rho_H}^2$  for fixed  $M_{\rho_H}$ . Then, e.g.,  $g_{\rho_H} = 2.73$  gives a 75% larger production rate for  $\rho_H \rightarrow VV$  and a width half as large.

## 4. Comments and Predictions

In this paper we proposed that the excess diboson events near  $M_{VV} = 2 \text{ TeV}$  reported by ATLAS and CMS are due to production of isovector bosons,  $\rho_H$  and  $a_H$ , associated with new strong dynamics that make the Higgs boson a light composite state. We focused on two types of models that have a custodial  $SU(2)$ -isospin symmetry and approximate left-right symmetry. We believe our results are equally applicable to both types. Here we make some comments and predictions implied by them and which can be tested in the next couple of years.

- 1) The  $\rho_H^0, a_H^0 \rightarrow ZZ$  decays are isospin-violating and their rates are very small. Therefore, the  $ZZ$  signals claimed by ATLAS and CMS will be understood to have one or two misidentified  $Z$ -bosons. (A possibility we have not considered is the production of

$\sqrt{s}$	$M_{\rho_H}$ (GeV)	$\sigma(\rho_H^\pm)_{DY+VBF}$ (fb)	$\sigma(\rho_H^0)_{DY+VBF}$ (fb)	$\sigma(a_H^\pm)$ (fb)	$\sigma(a_H^0)$ (fb)
8	1800	$1.53 + 0.36$	$0.74 + 0.18$	0.71	0.37
8	1900	$1.05 + 0.24$	$0.50 + 0.12$	0.51	0.27
8	2000	$0.73 + 0.15$	$0.36 + 0.075$	0.36	0.17
13	1800	$7.61 + 3.67$	$3.74 + 1.93$	4.65	2.23
13	1900	$5.74 + 2.62$	$2.81 + 1.37$	3.16	1.69
13	2000	$4.37 + 1.90$	$2.16 + 0.99$	2.39	1.27

Table 2: Production cross sections at the LHC of the isovector bosons  $\rho_H$  and  $a_H$  for  $g_{\rho_H} = 3.862$  and  $M_{a_H} = 1.05M_{\rho_H}$  ( $\rho_H^\pm = \rho_H^+ + \rho_H^-$ ). The individual DY + VBF contributions are given for  $\rho_H$ ; the VBF rates for  $a_H$  are very small and not given. As explained in the text,  $g_{\rho_H} = 2.73$  gives 75% larger cross sections and widths half as large for  $\rho_H \rightarrow VV$ . No  $K$ -factor has been applied.

an  $I = 0$  scalar,  $f_0$ -like, which could decay to  $ZZ$ . Its production would have to be via VBF.)

- 2) It is difficult for us to explain cross sections greater than a few fb for individual diboson ( $WW$  or  $WZ$ ) production at  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV. Therefore, we expect that, should these signals be confirmed in Run 2, they will be seen to have been up-fluctuations in Run 1, something quite familiar in the history of particle physics, including the discovery of the Higgs boson [38, 39].
- 3) There must be semileptonic  $VV$  events, their present spotty evidence being a consequence of low statistics. The  $\ell\nu\bar{q}q$  events should have  $\sigma(\ell^+)/\sigma(\ell^-) \simeq 2$ .
- 4) The  $\rho_H$  width is almost entirely due to strong-interaction decays to  $VV$  and is  $\sim 200$  GeV with our parameters. Presumably, it would be best measured in semileptonic  $VV$  events.
- 5)  $\rho_H \rightarrow VV$  decays involve a pair of longitudinally-polarized weak bosons. Note that boosted  $V_L$  tend to produce quark-subjets that have more equal momenta along the parent  $V$ -direction than do boosted  $V_T$ . Also see Ref. [40].
- 6) A measurement of the  $\rho_H$  width is a measurement of  $VV$  polarizations. A large width can be due only to strong dynamics, hence emission of  $V_L V_L$ . A small width is an electroweak decay involving  $V_L V_T$  or  $V_T V_T$ .
- 7) The  $VH$  signal should strengthen with more data. It is entirely due to the strong decay  $a_H \rightarrow VH$ , hence it involves  $V_L$  and a large width. In our model  $\Gamma(a_H) \cong \Gamma(\rho_H)$ .
- 8) There should be forward jets from VBF in  $\rho_H \rightarrow VV$ , but not in  $a_H \rightarrow VH$ .

- 9) Finally, if  $H$  is a PGB, there likely are top and  $W$ -partners that keep it light. They are not hadrons of the new strong dynamics and, so, are surely lighter than  $\rho_H, a_H$ . They should show up soon. There are *no* top and  $W$ -partners needed in the strong-ETC model and there aren't any.

## Acknowledgments

We have benefited from conversations with Tom Appelquist, Gustaaf Brooijmans, John Butler, Louis Helary, Adam Martin, Chris Pollard, Bing Zhou and Junjie Zhu. KL gratefully acknowledges support of this project by a CERN Scientific Associateship and by the Labex ENIGMASS. He thanks the CERN Theory Group and Laboratoire d'Annecy-le-Vieux de Physique Théorique (LAPTh) for their gracious hospitality. Our research is supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy under Grant No. DE-SC0010106.

## References

- [1] **ATLAS** Collaboration, G. Aad *et. al.*, “Search for high-mass diboson resonances with boson-tagged jets in proton-proton collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV with the ATLAS detector,” 1506.00962.
- [2] **CMS** Collaboration, V. Khachatryan *et. al.*, “Search for massive resonances decaying into pairs of boosted bosons in semi-leptonic final states at  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV,” *JHEP* **08** (2014) 174, 1405.3447.
- [3] **CMS** Collaboration, V. Khachatryan *et. al.*, “Search for massive resonances in dijet systems containing jets tagged as  $W$  or  $Z$  boson decays in  $pp$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV,” *JHEP* **08** (2014) 173, 1405.1994.
- [4] **ATLAS** Collaboration, G. Aad *et. al.*, “Search for resonant diboson production in the  $\ell\ell q\bar{q}$  final state in  $pp$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV with the ATLAS detector,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75** (2015), no. 2, 69, 1409.6190.
- [5] **ATLAS** Collaboration, G. Aad *et. al.*, “Search for production of  $WW/WZ$  resonances decaying to a lepton, neutrino and jets in  $pp$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV with the ATLAS detector,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75** (2015), no. 5, 209, 1503.04677.
- [6] **CMS** Collaboration, “Search for massive  $WH$  resonances decaying to the  $\ell\nu b\bar{b}$  final state in the boosted regime at  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV, CMS PAS EXO-14-010.”
- [7] **ATLAS** Collaboration, G. Aad *et. al.*, “Search for a new resonance decaying to a  $W$  or  $Z$  boson and a Higgs boson in the  $\ell\ell/\ell\nu/\nu\nu + b\bar{b}$  final states with the ATLAS Detector,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75** (2015), no. 6, 263, 1503.08089.



- [8] K. Cheung, W.-Y. Keung, P.-Y. Tseng, and T.-C. Yuan, “Interpretations of the ATLAS Diboson Anomaly,” 1506.06064.
- [9] B. A. Dobrescu and Z. Liu, “A W’ boson near 2 TeV: predictions for Run 2 of the LHC,” 1506.06736.
- [10] T. Abe, T. Kitahara, and M. M. Nojiri, “Prospects for Spin-1 Resonance Search at 13 TeV LHC and the ATLAS Diboson Excess,” 1507.01681.
- [11] J. Brehmer, J. Hewett, J. Kopp, T. Rizzo, and J. Tattersall, “Symmetry Restored in Dibosons at the LHC?,” 1507.00013.
- [12] A. Thamm, R. Torre, and A. Wulzer, “A composite Heavy Vector Triplet in the ATLAS di-boson excess,” 1506.08688.
- [13] D. B. Franzosi, M. T. Frandsen, and F. Sannino, “Diboson Signals via Fermi Scale Spin-One States,” 1506.04392.
- [14] A. Carmona, A. Delgado, M. Quiros, and J. Santiago, “Diboson resonant production in non-custodial composite Higgs models,” 1507.01914.
- [15] G. Cacciapaglia, A. Deandrea, and M. Hashimoto, “A scalar hint from the diboson excess?,” 1507.03098.
- [16] V. Sanz, “On the compatibility of the diboson excess with a gg-initiated composite sector,” 1507.03553.
- [17] R. Contino, D. Marzocca, D. Pappadopulo, and R. Rattazzi, “On the effect of resonances in composite Higgs phenomenology,” *JHEP* **10** (2011) 081, 1109.1570.
- [18] D. Marzocca, M. Serone, and J. Shu, “General Composite Higgs Models,” *JHEP* **08** (2012) 013, 1205.0770.
- [19] B. Bellazzini, C. Csaki, J. Hubisz, J. Serra, and J. Terning, “Composite Higgs Sketch,” *JHEP* **11** (2012) 003, 1205.4032.
- [20] K. Lane, “A composite Higgs model with minimal fine-tuning: The large- $N$  and weak-technicolor limit,” *Phys.Rev.* **D90** (2014), no. 9, 095025, 1407.2270.
- [21] M. Bando, T. Kugo, and K. Yamawaki, “Nonlinear Realization and Hidden Local Symmetries,” *Phys. Rept.* **164** (1988) 217–314.
- [22] B. Bellazzini, C. Csáki, and J. Serra, “Composite Higgses,” *Eur.Phys.J.* **C74** (2014), no. 5, 2766, 1401.2457.
- [23] G. Panico and A. Wulzer, “The Composite Nambu-Goldstone Higgs,” 1506.01961.

- [24] Y. Nambu and G. Jona-Lasinio, “Dynamical Model of Elementary Particles Based on an Analogy with Superconductivity. 1.,” *Phys.Rev.* **122** (1961) 345–358.
- [25] Y. Nambu and G. Jona-Lasinio, “DYNAMICAL MODEL OF ELEMENTARY PARTICLES BASED ON AN ANALOGY WITH SUPERCONDUCTIVITY. II,” *Phys.Rev.* **124** (1961) 246–254.
- [26] S. Dimopoulos, “Technicolored Signatures,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B168** (1980) 69–92.
- [27] S. Dimopoulos, S. Raby, and G. L. Kane, “Experimental Predictions from Technicolor Theories,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B182** (1981) 77–103.
- [28] D. C. Kennedy and B. W. Lynn, “Electroweak Radiative Corrections with an Effective Lagrangian: Four Fermion Processes,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B322** (1989) 1.
- [29] M. E. Peskin and T. Takeuchi, “A new constraint on a strongly interacting Higgs sector,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **65** (1990) 964–967.
- [30] M. Golden and L. Randall, “Radiative corrections to electroweak parameters in technicolor theories,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B361** (1991) 3–23.
- [31] B. Holdom and J. Terning, “Large corrections to electroweak parameters in technicolor theories,” *Phys. Lett.* **B247** (1990) 88–92.
- [32] G. Altarelli, R. Barbieri, and S. Jadach, “Toward a model independent analysis of electroweak data,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B369** (1992) 3–32.
- [33] R. Casalbuoni *et. al.*, “Degenerate BESS Model: The possibility of a low energy strong electroweak sector,” *Phys. Rev.* **D53** (1996) 5201–5221, hep-ph/9510431.
- [34] K. Lane and A. Martin, “An Effective Lagrangian for Low-Scale Technicolor,” *Phys. Rev.* **D80** (2009) 115001, 0907.3737.
- [35] K. Lane and S. Mrenna, “The Collider phenomenology of technihadrons in the technicolor straw man model,” *Phys.Rev.* **D67** (2003) 115011, hep-ph/0210299.
- [36] T. Sjostrand, S. Mrenna, and P. Skands, “PYTHIA 6.4 physics and manual,” *JHEP* **05** (2006) 026, hep-ph/0603175.
- [37] J. A. Aguilar-Saavedra, “Triboson interpretations of the ATLAS diboson excess,” 1506.06739.
- [38] **ATLAS** Collaboration, G. Aad *et. al.*, “Observation of a new particle in the search for the Standard Model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC,” *Phys.Lett.* **B716** (2012) 1–29, 1207.7214.

- [39] **CMS** Collaboration, S. Chatrchyan *et. al.*, “Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC,” *Phys.Lett.* **B716** (2012) 30–61, 1207.7235.
- [40] Y. Cui, Z. Han, and M. D. Schwartz, “W-jet Tagging: Optimizing the Identification of Boosted Hadronically-Decaying W Bosons,” *Phys. Rev.* **D83** (2011) 074023, 1012.2077.