The study of triple systems V949 Cen, V358 Pup, and V1055 Sco*

Zasche, P.¹, Hoňková, K.², Juryšek, J.^{1,3}, Mašek, M.³

¹ Astronomical Institute, Charles University Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics,

V Holešovičkách 2, Praha 8, CZ-180 00, Czech Republic

e-mail: zasche@sirrah.troja.mff.cuni.cz

² Variable Star and Exoplanet Section of Czech Astronomical Society

³ Institute of Physics, Czech Academy of Sciences, 182 21 Praha, Czech Republic

Received Month Day, Year

ABSTRACT

The systems V949 Cen, V358 Pup, and V1055 Sco are triples comprised of an eclipsing binary orbiting with a distant visual component on a much longer orbit. The first detailed photometric analysis of these interesting systems was performed using also the archival data from Hipparcos, ASAS, SuperWASP, OMC, and Pi Of The Sky surveys. The system V358 Pup was also analysed using the archival ESO spectra and the radial velocities were derived. The analyses of their light curves revealed the physical properties of the eclipsing components, while the interferometric data for these systems obtained during the last century show that the binaries are also weakly gravitationally bounded with the third components on much longer orbits. The photometry was carried out with the robotic telescope FRAM (part of the Pierre Auger Cosmic Ray Observatory), located in Argentina. The BVRI light curves were analysed with the PHOEBE program, yielding the basic physical parameters of the systems and their orbits. V949 Cen and V358 Pup were found to be detached systems, while V1055 Sco is probably a semi-detached one. V358 Pup shows a slow apsidal motion, while for V1055 Sco we detected some period variation probably due to the third body in the system, which cannot easily be attributed to the close visual companion. Therefore, we speculate that V1055 Sco can be a quadruple system. For V949 Cen a new orbit was computed, having the orbital period of about 855 yr.

Key words:

binaries: eclipsing – binaries: visual – stars: fundamental parameters – stars: individual: V949 Cen, V358 Pup, V1055 Sco.

1. Introduction

The eclipsing binaries as parts of the multiple stellar systems (i.e. of multiplicity three and higher) are excellent objects to be studied. The importance of such

^{*}Based on observations made with ESO Telescopes at the La Silla Paranal Observatory under programmes ID 082.D-0499, 084.D-0591, 085.C-0614, 086.D-0078, and 190.D-0237.

systems lies in the fact that one can study the stellar evolution in them, their origin, tidal interaction between the components, testing the influence of the distant companions to the close pair, a role of Kozai cycles, studying the dynamical effects and precession of the orbits, but also the statistics and relative frequency of such systems among the stars in our Galaxy (and outside), see e.g. Tokovinin (2007), Guinan & Engle (2006), or Goodwin & Kroupa (2005). On the other hand, there are still plenty of interesting multiple systems, which were not analysed yet.

2. Photometric observations

All of the new photometric observations were obtained in Argentina, using the FRAM telescope (Prouza et al. 2010). It is located near the Malargüe town, about 1400 m above a sea level. The instrument used for observing V949 Cen and V358 Pup was a classical telescope of Schmidt-Cassegrain type, 0.3 m in diameter, equipped with a G2-1600 CCD camera. On the other hand, for V1055 Sco only a small Nikkor lens with 107 mm diameter and a CCD camera of G4-16000 type was used. Both instruments are equipped with standard Johnson BVRI filters, see Bessell (1990). All frames have been reduced by differential aperture photometry using software package C-MuniPack*, the adaptation of the MuniPack code (Hroch 1998), based on the DaoPhot routines (Stetson 1987). The G2-1600 CCD camera sensor area is of 1536×1024 square pixels (pixel linear dimension is 9 μ m), which leads to the field of view of $24' \times 16'$ (0.93 arcsec/px), while the second CCD camera G4-16000 has the sensor area of 4094×4094 square pixels (pixel linear dimension is 9 μ m), which leads to the field of view of 7° × 7° (6.19 arcsec/px). The radii of the apertures for our studied stars were 7.09 px for V1055 Sco, 7.09 px for V358 Pup, and 11.82 px for V949 Cen, respectively. The star HD 113916 was used as a comparison for V949 Cen, TYC 7636-2147-1 was used for V358 Pup, while HD 147704 was used for V1055 Sco.

The older archival data were taken from the publicly available databases such as Hipparcos, ASAS, SuperWASP, OMC, and Pi Of The Sky surveys. However, these photometric observations were used mainly for the derivation of the minima times for a subsequent period analysis. For the PHOEBE light curve fitting the individual filter passbands used were: V for ASAS, H_p for Hipparcos, and H_p also used for SuperWASP due to unavailable SuperWASP filter in PHOEBE and relative similarity of transmission curves of H_p and SuperWASP filters.

3. Analysis

After the reduction of the photometric frames, the FRAM photometry was used for a subsequent analysis of the light curves. Program PHOEBE ver. 0.31 (Prša & Zwitter 2005) was used, which is based on a standard Wilson-Devinney code

^{*}http://c-munipack.sourceforge.net/

	Pos.Angle	Separation	Reference
Year	[degree]	[arcsec]	
1927.48	284.9	0.35	Finsen (1928)
1935.84	275.1	0.35	Rossiter (1955)
1953.37	277.2	0.32	van den Bos (1956)
1960.49	267.0	0.32	van den Bos (1961)
1976.128	248.1	0.27	Worley (1978)
1979.19	246.0	0.25	Heintz (1980)
1991.25	231.0	0.263	Perryman et al. (1997)
2011.0401	206.3	0.2532	Hartkopf et al. (2012)

Table 1: The individual astrometric observations of V949 Cen.

(Wilson & Devinney 1971) and its later modifications. Because of having only limited information about the systems, several parameters of the light curve model have to be fixed for the modelling. For the systems with no radial velocities, the individual masses of the components are not known. Hence, the mass ratio was kept fixed at a value of q = 1.0 for the detached systems (see below). The primary temperature was fixed at a value derived from the photometric indices, or according to the published spectral type. The albedo and gravity darkening coefficients were kept fixed. On the other hand, the value of the third light was also computed due to the fact that all of these systems are triple stars.

4. Individual systems

For our analysis, we have selected three interesting systems. These systems were chosen according to the following selection criteria. All of them are relatively bright (<10mag), are on the southern sky, all have periods shorter than 10 days, all are multiples (eclipsing binary with a third visual component) and all are also only seldom-investigated systems. Therefore, three stars were chosen: V949 Cen, V358 Pup, and V1055 Sco. In the following subsections we will focus on the individual systems in more detail.

4.1. V949 Cen

V949 Cen (also HD 113840, HIP 64025) is a variable star of Algol type. Its orbital period is of about 3.8 days, while its brightness in maximum is of about 9 mag in V filter. It was also discovered as a double star by Finsen (1928), and since then 8 astrometric observations of the visual pair were carried out, see Table 1. Houk & Cowley (1975) derived its spectral type as G1/G2V. Its parallax is rather uncertain, because the Hipparcos catalogue (van Leeuwen 2007) gave the value of parallax 1.42 ± 1.48 mas.

No radial velocity analysis as well as no light curve analysis were published. On the other hand, from the available astrometric observations a preliminary visual



Figure 1: The orbit of the visual pair of V949 Cen. The individual observations are plotted as dots, connected with their theoretical positions on the orbit with short abscissae. The dotted line represents the line of the apsides, while the dashed one stands for the line of the nodes. The eclipsing binary is located in the coordinates (0,0).

Table 2: The orbital parameters of V949 Cen.

Parameter	Value	Error
<i>p</i> ₃ [yr]	855.3	36.0
T_0 [yr]	1915.4	36.3
e	0.947	0.006
a [arcsec]	2.463	0.012
<i>i</i> [deg]	95.4	21.3
Ω [deg]	114.8	47.6
ω [deg]	106.6	17.5

orbit was derived, see Hartkopf & Mason (2011). Their analysis resulted in orbital period of about 348 yr, but the individual observations rather deviate from the fit and the most recent one is very distant from its predicted position. Hence, we performed an updated orbital fitting, resulting in parameters given in Table 2. The fit is presented in Fig. 1 and as one can see, it is still very preliminary yet, because the arc of the orbit covered with the observations is still rather limited. However, we have to mention that the solution is not self-consistent due to high value of the total mass from the Kepler's third law (assuming 3 G1V stars, i.e. $3 \cdot 1.15 \text{ M}_{\odot} = 3.45 \text{ M}_{\odot}$). Hence, the explanation is that the period p_3 should be much longer (up to the 39000 yr), or other solution is that its distance is much different (i.e. system closer, parallax higher). The problematic derivation of parallax from the Hipparcos data for the visual binaries was discussed elsewhere (e.g. Söderhjelm 1999), but we cannot believe that the difference is so large.

Concerning the photometry of V949 Cen, we collected all available photometry from the Hipparcos (Perryman et al. 1997) and ASAS (Pojmański 2002) data together with our new observations from the FRAM telescopes in *BVRI* filters. There is clearly seen that the system is a detached one of Algol type, having two rather similar components. The simultaneous analysis of all available photometry was carried out with the PHOEBE code, resulting in light curve parameters given in Table 3 and the light curve fits presented in Figure 2. As one can see, the value of the third light resulted in a non-negligible contribution, indicating that the third component is probably very similar to the eclipsing ones. This is in good agreement with the magnitude difference as presented in already published papers (e.g. the Hipparcos catalogue presented the magnitude difference about 0.82 mag).

The ASAS, Hipparcos and FRAM photometry were also used to derive the times of minima for V949 Cen. For computing the times of minima a classical Kwee-van Woerden (1956) method was used together with our new AFP method (see Zasche et al. 2014). However, the twenty minima times plotted in the O - C diagram (see Fig. 3) show no period variation and the ephemerides suitable for any future observations are given in Table 3. Also from this plot it is obvious that the innner orbit of the eclipsing pair is perfectly circular. All of the data points used for the analysis are stored in the online-only Appendix tables.



Figure 2: The available light curves of V949 Cen. The ASAS and Hipparcos curves were shifted in the y-axis for a better clarity. The bottom plots show the residuals of the fit.



Figure 3: O-C diagram of V949 Cen. Black symbols stand for the primary minima, the blue ones for the secondary minima. The larger the symbol, the higher the weight (higher the precision).

Parameter	Value	Parameter	Value
HJD_0	2448504.0866 ± 0.0096	$L_{1,B}$ [%]	39.9 ± 1.4
<i>P</i> [d]	3.7867969 ± 0.0000061	$L_{2,B}$ [%]	30.9 ± 1.1
<i>i</i> [deg]	83.66 ± 0.32	$L_{3,B}$ [%]	29.2 ± 0.9
T_1 [K]	5900 (fixed)	$L_{1,V}$ [%]	38.8 ± 0.9
<i>T</i> ₂ [K]	5736 ± 42	$L_{2,V}$ [%]	31.5 ± 0.7
Ω_1	7.429 ± 0.221	$L_{3,V}$ [%]	29.7 ± 0.5
Ω_2	7.679 ± 0.204	$L_{1,R}$ [%]	38.1 ± 0.9
$F_1 = F_2$	0 (fixed)	$L_{2,R}$ [%]	31.6 ± 0.8
$A_1 = A_2$	0.5 (fixed)	$L_{3,R}$ [%]	30.3 ± 0.5
$G_1 = G_2$	0.32 (fixed)	$L_{1,I}$ [%]	37.3 ± 0.7
$q = M_2/M_1$	1.0 (fixed)	$L_{2,I}$ [%]	31.5 ± 0.5
R_1/a	0.156 ± 0.005	$L_{3,I}$ [%]	31.2 ± 0.5
R_2/a	0.150 ± 0.005	$L_{1,ASAS}$ [%]	38.7 ± 0.7
		$L_{2,ASAS}$ [%]	31.6 ± 0.6
		$L_{3,ASAS}$ [%]	29.7 ± 0.6
		$L_{1,HIP}$ [%]	39.1 ± 1.7
		<i>L</i> _{2,<i>HIP</i>} [%]	31.4 ± 0.9
		L _{3,HIP} [%]	29.5 ± 2.1

Table 3: The light-curve parameters of V949 Cen, as derived from our analysis.





Figure 4: Light curves of V358 Pup. The ASAS and Hipparcos curves were shifted in the y-axis for a better clarity. The bottom plots show the residuals of the fit.

4.2. V358 Pup

V358 Pup (also HD 51994, HIP 33487) is an Algol-type eclipsing binary discovered by the Hipparcos satellite (Perryman et al. 1997). Its combined spectral type was derived as G5V (Houk 1978). It is also known as a visual double and only eight observations were obtained since its discovery in 1926. However, no visible motion on the sky is presented, hence its period is probably rather long. The latest observation of the double from 1993 indicates the separation of about 1" at a position angle of 149° . The only detailed study of the star is that one by Clausen et al. (2001). However, their *uvby* light curves were not analysed, only a brief statement about a large fraction of the third light and several times of minima (showing mildly eccentric orbit) were published.

Our new FRAM light curves were analysed simultaneously with the other available photometry using the PHOEBE code. The results are plotted in Fig. 4, while the parameters are given in Table 4. As one can see, the amount of the third light

Parameter	Value	Parameter	Value
T_1 [K]	5780 (fixed)	<i>i</i> [deg]	89.35 ± 0.17
T_2 [K]	5157 ± 53	HJD_0	2450818.7131 ± 0.0009
Ω_1	22.11 ± 0.50	<i>P</i> [d]	6.7939106 ± 0.0000019
Ω_2	20.17 ± 0.43	е	0.008 ± 0.005
$L_{1,B}$ [%]	33.8 ± 1.6	ω_0 [deg]	97.4 ± 3.9
$L_{2,B}$ [%]	15.2 ± 1.4	$d\omega/dt$ [deg/cycle]	0.002 ± 0.001
$L_{3,B}$ [%]	51.0 ± 0.5	$F_1 = F_2$	0 (fixed)
$L_{1,V}$ [%]	32.9 ± 1.0	$A_1 = A_2$	0.5 (fixed)
$L_{2,V}$ [%]	17.7 ± 1.1	$G_1 = G_2$	0.32 (fixed)
$L_{3,V}$ [%]	49.4 ± 0.5	$A [R_{\odot}]$	18.67 ± 0.05
$L_{1,R}$ [%]	34.3 ± 1.4	$q = M_2/M_1$	0.88 ± 0.05
$L_{2,R}$ [%]	20.4 ± 0.9	v_{γ} [km/s]	-2.23 ± 0.03
$L_{3,R}$ [%]	45.2 ± 0.6	Derived ph	sical quantities:
$L_{1,I}$ [%]	34.5 ± 0.9	$M_1 [\mathrm{M}_\odot]$	1.01 ± 0.04
$L_{2,I}$ [%]	21.3 ± 1.1	$M_2 [\mathrm{M}_\odot]$	0.89 ± 0.03
$L_{3,I}$ [%]	45.2 ± 0.8	$R_1 [R_\odot]$	0.88 ± 0.03
$L_{1,ASAS}$ [%]	33.6 ± 2.7	$R_2 [R_\odot]$	0.86 ± 0.02
$L_{2,ASAS}$ [%]	20.1 ± 2.6	<i>K</i> ₁ [km/s]	65.2 ± 2.6
$L_{3,ASAS}$ [%]	46.3 ± 4.0	<i>K</i> ₂ [km/s]	73.9 ± 2.7
$L_{1,HIP}$ [%]	32.1 ± 1.1	$M_{bol,1}$ [mag]	5.02 ± 0.08
$L_{2,HIP}$ [%]	17.9 ± 1.2	$M_{bol,2}$ [mag]	5.56 ± 0.06
$L_{3,HIP}$ [%]	50.0 ± 3.3		

Table 4: The light-curve parameters of V358 Pup, as derived from our analysis.

Table 5: The individual radial velocities of V358 Pup.

	RV_1	RV_2
HJD	[km/s]	[km/s]
2454889.75029	-65.33 ± 0.47	69.54 ± 2.48
2454890.72131	-51.67 ± 0.30	53.90 ± 0.91
2455118.89667	18.27 ± 0.44	-26.06 ± 0.77
2455145.81532	32.13 ± 0.19	-40.86 ± 0.37
2455469.82594	35.89 ± 1.17	-46.11 ± 2.81
2455469.88360	38.19 ± 0.49	-46.99 ± 1.51
2455479.74664	-26.06 ± 0.27	24.21 ± 0.48
2455504.87144	61.51 ± 0.21	-74.38 ± 0.34
2456214.88501	-66.73 ± 0.47	70.46 ± 1.42

is really large, which is in agreement with the published values of the magnitude difference between the two visual components (the third component is only about 0.1 mag fainter than the combined magnitude of the eclipsing pair).





Figure 5: The radial velocities of V358 Pup, the black dots and the solid line stand for the primary, while the red dots and the dashed line stand for the secondary.

For the whole analysis we also used the ESO spectra downloaded from the online ESO archive (altogether there were used nine HARPS spectra for the analysis[†]). The original data were reduced using the standard ESO routines. The final radial velocities (hereafter RV) used for the analysis were derived via a manual cross-correlation technique (i.e. the direct and flipped profile of the spectral lines manually shifted on the computer screen to achieve the best match) using program SPEFO (Horn et al. 1996, Škoda 1996) on several absorbtion lines in the measured spectral region (usually *Fe* and *Ca* lines). The derived radial velocities are given in Table 5. There were also visible some lines from the third body in the spectra, but all these lines show similar radial velocities, of about -2.7 km s⁻¹ with no indication of change during the observed period (of about 3.6 yr).

As one can see from our plot given in Fig. 5, the final RV curve is still not perfect (as it is obvious from the residuals). We suppose that this effect can be partly caused by the program PHOEBE itself (and the relative weightening between the photometry and spectroscopy) and partly also due to its rather uncertain eccentricity. From the pure RVs it would indicate that the orbit has lower eccentricity, but from the photometry and the minima times there resulted that the eccentricity should be higher.

As one can see from the final parameters given in Table 4, the errors of masses

[†]HARPS is a spectrograph mounted on the 3.6-m ESO telescope at the La Silla Observatory. Its spectral range is from 3781 to 6911 A and the resolving power is 115 000.



Figure 6: Evolutionary tracks for the system V358 Pup and both its components, star 1 (primary in black) and star 2 (secondary in red) plotted for three different metallicities. The blue dashed line represents the ZAMS line for Z = 0.02.



Figure 7: O-C diagram of V358 Pup, showing very slow apsidal motion. Black symbols stand for the primary minima, the blue ones for the secondary minima, and the larger symbols correspond to the data points with the higher weights.

Vol. 0

and radii are very low and one has to doubt about their values. With only a few data points and our poor fit one cannot probably derive a mass with a precision of about 3-4%. Therefore, we would like to point out that the presented solution provided with the PHOEBE program is a formal one, based on the abovementioned assumptions (the inclusion of some future knowledge of these stars can significantly shift our solution). Moreover, also the errors as resulted from the code are purely mathematical ones and usually are strongly underestimated in the PHOEBE program (Prša & Zwitter 2005, or PHOEBE manual[‡]).

Another indication that the presented errors of parameters as given in Table 4 are underestimated is a plot in Fig. 6. The evolutionary tracks are plotted together with the position of the two eclipsing components as resulted from our analysis (values of temperatures and bolometric magnitudes taken from Table 4 with the error of T_1 equal to error of T_2) and the theoretical curves are taken from the EZ-web[§] which is using a well-known MESA code (Paxton et al. 2011). To conclude, here we deal very probably with two stars still located on the main sequence or its vicinity and the models indicate that the stars have a near solar metallicity.

From the available photometry also the minima times were derived. We collected the most recent FRAM photometry together with the already published minima from the study by Clausen et al. (2001). Moreover, also the older Hipparcos (Perryman et al. 1997) and ASAS (Pojmański 2002) data were used. All of these photometric data yielded 26 minima times, however some of them (especially the ones from the Hipparcos and ASAS data) are of poor quality only. The resulting O-C diagram is plotted in Figure 7. The ephemerides given in Table 4 refer to the y = 0 line in Fig. 7. We analysed these data using the apsidal motion hypothesis as described e.g. by Giménez & García-Pelayo (1983). This led to the finding that the apsidal motion is very slow, having the period of thousands of years and our present analysis is still only very preliminary yet (and as one can see, the errors of apsidal motion parameters are still very large). From our apsidal motion analysis there resulted that the relativistic contribution to the total apsidal motion rate is rather small, being only about 11 %, and the value of $\log k_2 = -0.165$. This value can be compared with the evolutionary tracks as published e.g. by Claret (2004), resulting in a finding that such a $\log k_2$ value can be achieved with a 1 M_{\odot} star with an age of about $2.5 \cdot 10^9$ yr.

4.3. V1055 Sco

The system V1055 Sco (also HD 148121, HIP 80603) is the only one W UMatype binary in our sample of stars. It has the orbital period of about 0.36 days only and rather shallow amplitude of photometric variation of about 0.25 mag. It was discovered from the Hipparcos data (Perryman et al. 1997). On the other hand, Szczygieł et al. (2008) included the star into their compilation of the ASAS eclips-

[‡]http://phoebe-project.org/1.0/docs/phoebe_manual.pdf

[§]http://www.astro.wisc.edu/~townsend/static.php?ref=ez-web

Photometry	FRAM	ASAS	SuperWASP	Hip
Parameter	Value	Value	Value	Value
HJD_0	2452050.7441 ± 0.0043			
<i>P</i> [d]		0.3636835 ±	£ 0.0000038	
<i>T</i> ₁ [K]		5850 ((fixed)	
<i>T</i> ₂ [K]	5751 ± 30	5632 ± 26	5881 ± 23	5499 ± 75
<i>i</i> [deg]	89.4 ± 0.8	88.2 ± 1.3	88.6 ± 0.8	87.0 ± 1.9
Ω_1	6.391 ± 0.019	6.746 ± 0.032	5.917 ± 0.021	5.407 ± 0.105
Ω_2	6.293	6.422	5.782	4.410
$q = M_2/M_1$	3.0 ± 0.1	3.1 ± 0.1	2.7 ± 0.1	1.7 ± 0.1
L_1 [%]	13.5 ± 0.2 (B)	12.8 ± 0.5	12.5 ± 0.3	14.3 ± 0.4
L_1 [%]	13.2 ± 0.2 (V)			
L_1 [%]	13.0 ± 0.2 (R)			
L_1 [%]	12.9 ± 0.2 (I)			
L_2 [%]	37.1 ± 0.2 (B)	37.8 ± 0.5	39.3 ± 0.4	35.0 ± 0.8
L_2 [%]	37.3 ± 0.2 (V)			
L_2 [%]	37.4 ± 0.2 (R)			
L_2 [%]	37.4 ± 0.2 (I)			
L_3 [%]	49.4 ± 0.3 (B)	49.4 ± 0.7	48.3 ± 0.6	50.7 ± 0.9
L ₃ [%]	49.5 ± 0.3 (V)			
L ₃ [%]	49.6 ± 0.2 (R)			
L ₃ [%]	49.7 ± 0.2 (I)			
$F_1 = F_2$	0.0 (fixed)			
$A_1 = A_2$	0.0 (fixed)			
$G_1 = G_2$		1.0 (f	ixed)	
R_1/a	0.297 ± 0.022	0.277 ± 0.017	0.303 ± 0.012	0.263 ± 0.019
R_2/a	0.517 ± 0.045	0.517 ± 0.035	0.502 ± 0.029	0.452 ± 0.040

Table 6: The light-curve parameters of V1055 Sco, as derived from our analysis.

ing binaries with the coronal activity. Torres et al. (2006) presented the spectral type of V1055 Sco as G2V. The first astrometric observation of the visual double was carried out in 1925, published by Finsen (1941). Since then 13 positional measurements were obtained, but the movement on the orbit is very slow (orbital period of the order of thousands of years probably). The latest observation of the pair from 2010 indicates that the separation is of about 0.125'' at a position angle of 217° . Magnitude difference between the two visual components was derived to be from 0.0 to 0.3 mag (slightly different in different pass bands).

The light curve solution was a bit more complicated here than for the previous two systems. At first, even the ephemerides were not very certain due to the fact that in our new FRAM photometry both eclipses seem to be of similar depths (i.e. both temperatures probably very similar), but the Hipparcos data show much larger difference between the minima depths. Therefore, we decided to use the deeper

Table 7: The LITE orbital parameters of V1055 Sco.

Parameter	Value	Error
<i>p</i> ₃ [yr]	36.7	12.5
A [day]	0.031	0.009
е	0.00 (fixed)	
$f(m_3) [\mathrm{M}_\odot]$	0.118	0.037

Hipparcos minimum as the primary one and set the linear ephemerides according to this. For the analysis and subsequent derivation of minima times for the period analysis we collected the Hipparcos, ASAS, SuperWASP (Pollacco et al. 2006), Pi of the sky (Burd et al. 2005), OMC (Mas-Hesse et al. 2004), and our new FRAM data. From these different data sets the ASAS, SuperWASP, and Hipparcos seem to be the best ones for an analysis, however the total eclipses are only hardly visible.

We solved the individual light curves of V1055 Sco separately. This is due to the fact that the system undergoes some changes of the orbital period between the different datasets (see below) and for the individual data the different ephemerides were used (fixed from our solution from the O-C diagram below). The other two systems (V949 Cen and V358 Pup) were analysed with the complete photometry simultaneously, because it is much more robust. For the result see Table 6 with the final parameters of our fit. As one can see from the table, the results are rather inconsistent with each other. The detached or contact configuration of the binary did not led to an acceptable result. We applied a classical "q-search method" for deriving the mass ratio of the binary (this can be applied because we deal with a semidetached system with secondary filling its respective Roche lobe). The parameters as derived from some of the data sets are too different from the others (e.g. the temperatures), that one has to doubt about conclusiveness of the results. Generally, one can conclude that the role of primary and secondary is very probably interchanged (due to mass ratio and luminosity), but the more massive component is probably the cooler one. Also rather remarkable is quite high contribution of the third light in the system. We can speculate about the origin of such different results based on different data sets. One possible explanation is that the system is active and the shape of the light curve varies in time. A role of some spots on the surface is also not excluded (slight asymmetry of the curve). What is also remarkable is the fact that the values of inclination seem to be increasing in time, but only a dedicated future observation can prove or rule out this hypothesis.

From the available photometry, we also derived the minima times for a period analysis. Plotting the O-C diagram we found that there is a weak sinusoidal variation. Therefore, we applied a hypothesis of the third body modulating the orbital period of the eclipsing pair, a so-called Light-Time Effect (hereafter LITE), see e.g. Mayer (1990) or Irwin (1959). The result is given in Table 7 and the final fit is presented in Fig. 9. The same as for V358 Pup applies here and the ephemerides given in Table 6 represent the linear ephemerides in Fig. 9. As one



Figure 8: Light curves of V1055 Sco. The ASAS and Hipparcos curves were shifted in the y-axis for a better clarity. The bottom plots show the residuals of the fit.



Figure 9: The O-C diagram of V1055 Sco, plotted with all available times of minima observations. The dots stand for the primary, while the open circles for the secondary minima. The larger symbols stand for the observations with the higher precision. The solid curve represents the final LITE fit. The bottom plot shows the residuals of the fit.

can see, the coverage of the orbit is still rather poor, hence we used only a circular orbit as a fit. If we collect more observations in the upcoming years, some more detailed analysis would be possible. The errors of the parameters are so large due to insufficient number of data points, especially close to the maximum in the O-C diagram. Hence, the amplitude as well as the orbital period can only be estimated. We also tried to identify this period variation with the visual component observed via interferometry, but this attempt was not successful at all. Much different periods and eccentricities are needed for both orbits to adequately fit the data. This would indicate that here we probably deal with a quadruple stellar system. Some future observations in upcoming years would help us to identify the period variation with the visual component or to prove its quadruple nature.

5. Discussion and Conclusions

We performed the first analysis of the light curves for three southern eclipsing binaries containing the third components. However, these distant components have rather long orbital periods, the only exception is V949 Cen, where we presented a preliminary orbital solution of the visual double. All of these systems were observed remotely with the FRAM telescopes located in Argentina and the light curve analysis revealed some interesting results. From the light curve fits we also tried to derive the individual colors for the components and from the photometric indices B - V derive the temperatures. Such values can be compared with the temperatures as resulted from the light curve fits to confirm whether the results are self-consistent. We found that the method is not sensitive enough and its results are influenced by relatively large errors. However, the resulted temperatures agree with the ones from the light curve fits given in Tables 3, 4, and 6 within 100-150 K.

Probably the most problematic issue of our presented study is the fact that for the whole analysis we assumed the value of primary temperatures for the light curve solution based only on the photometric indices or the published spectral types. However, this can be quite problematic due to the fact that for V358 Pup and V1055 Sco the most luminous components seem to be their tertiaries.

V949 Cen and V358 Pup were found to be well-detached systems, while the system V1055 Sco is probably a semi-detached one. V358 Pup is showing slow apsidal motion of the inner orbit, but its period is very long. For V1055 Sco we presented a preliminary solution for the period variation, which can possibly be confirmed via a dedicated observation via spectroscopy and/or interferometry.

Acknowledgements. The operation of the robotic telescope FRAM is supported by the EU grant GLORIA (No. 283783 in FP7-Capacities program) and by the grant of the Ministry of Education of the Czech Republic (MSMT-CR LG13007). This research has made use of the Washington Double Star Catalog maintained at the U.S. Naval Observatory. This investigation was supported by the Czech Science Foundation grants no. P209/10/0715 and GA15-02112S. We also do thank the ASAS, SUPERWASP, OMC, and PI OF THE SKY teams for making all of the observations easily public available. This research has made use of the SIMBAD and VIZIER databases, operated at CDS, Strasbourg, France and of NASA's Astrophysics Data System Bibliographic Services.

REFERENCES

- Bessell, M. S. 1990, PASP, 102, 1181.
- Burd, A., et al. 2005, NewA, 10, 409.
- Claret, A. 2004, A&A, 424, 919.
- Clausen, J. V., Helt, B. E., & Olsen, E. H. 2001, A&A, 374, 980.
- Finsen, W.S. 1928, Union Obs. Johannesburg Circ., 78, 35.
- Finsen, W.S. 1941, Circular of the Union Observatory Johannesburg, 104, 74.
- Giménez, A., & García-Pelayo, J.M. 1983, Ap&SS, 92, 203.
- Goodwin, S. P., & Kroupa, P. 2005, A&A, 439, 565.
- Guinan, E. F., & Engle, S. G. 2006, Ap&SS, 304, 5.
- Hartkopf, W.I., & Mason, B.D. 2011, Inf. Circ., 175, 1.
- Hartkopf, W.I., Tokovinin, A., & Mason, B.D. 2012, AJ, 143, 42.
- Heintz, W.D. 1980, ApJS, 44, 111.
- Horn, J., Kubát, J., Harmanec, et al. 1996, A&A, 309, 521.
- Houk, N., & Cowley, A. P. 1975, University of Michigan Catalogue of two-dimensional spectral types for the HD stars. Volume I. Declinations -90 to -53 deg, Ann Arbor, MI (USA): Department

Vol. 0

of Astronomy, University of Michigan, 19, 452.

- Houk, N. 1978, University of Michigan Catalogue of two-dimensional spectral types for the HD stars. Volume II. Declinations -53 to -40 deg, Ann Arbor, MI (USA): Department of Astronomy, University of Michigan, 1, 1.
- Hroch, F. 1998, 20th Stellar Conference of the Czech and Slovak Astronomical Institutes, 30, .
- Irwin, J. B. 1959, AJ, 64, 149.

Kwee, K.K., van Woerden, H. 1956, BAN, 12, 327.

- Mayer, P. 1990, BAICz, 41, 231.
- Mas-Hesse, J. M., Giménez, A., Domingo, A., et al.& the OMC team 2004, 5th INTEGRAL Workshop on the INTEGRAL Universe, 552, 729.
- Ogloza, W., Niewiadomski, W., Barnacka, A., et al. 2008, IBVS, 5843, 1.
- Paxton, B., Bildsten, L., Dotter, A., et al. 2011, ApJS, 192, 3.
- Pojmański, G. 2002, AcA, 52, 397.
- Pollacco, D. L., et al. 2006, PASP, 118, 1407.
- Perryman, M. A. C., Lindegren, L., Kovalevsky, J., et al. 1997, A&A, 323, L49.
- Prouza, M., Jelínek, M., Kubánek, P., et al. 2010, Advances in Astronomy, 2010, 849382.
- Prša, A., Zwitter, T. 2005, ApJ, 628, 426.
- Rossiter, R.A. 1955, Pub. Univ. Michigan Obs., 11, 1.
- Söderhjelm, S. 1999, A&A, **341**, 121.
- Stetson, P. B. 1987, PASP, 99, 191.
- Szczygieł, D. M., Socrates, A., Paczyński, B., Pojmański, G., & Pilecki, B. 2008, AcA, 58, 405.
- Škoda, P. 1996, in A.S.P. Conference Series, Vol. 101, in Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems V, ed. G.H. Jacoby & J. Barnes, **187**, 1.
- Tokovinin, A. 2007, Massive Stars in Interactive Binaries, 367, 615.
- Torres, C. A. O., Quast, G. R., da Silva, L., et al. 2006, A&A, 460, 695.
- van den Bos, W.H. 1956, Union Obs. Johannesburg Circ., 115, 266.
- van den Bos, W.H. 1961, Union Obs. Johannesburg Circ., 120, 353.
- van Leeuwen, F. 2007, A&A, 474, 653.
- Wilson, R. E., Devinney, E. J. 1971, ApJ, 166, 605.
- Worley, C.E. 1978, Pub. USNO, 24, 6.
- Zasche, P., Wolf, M., Hartkopf, W. I., et al. 2009, AJ, 138, 664.
- Zasche, P., Wolf, M., Vraštil, J., et al. 2014, A&A, 572, A71.

7. Appendix Tables

Star HJD Source HJD Star Error Erroi Source 2400000+ 2400000+ [days] [days] V949 Cen 48492.72269 0.00564 HIP V1055 Sco 53909.70012 0.00085 Pi of the sky V949 Cen 48494.62638 0.00530 HIP V1055 Sco 53910.60608 0.00081 Pi of the sky V949 Cen 52199.99788 0.00455 ASAS V1055 Sco 53938.61031 0.00141 Pi of the sky V949 Cen 52201.89344 0.00458 ASAS V1055 Sco 53941.70325 0.00112 Pi of the sky V949 Cen 54257.02189 52908.13137 0.00287 ASAS V1055 Sco 0.00084 ASAS V949 Cen 52910.02381 0.00170 ASAS V1055 Sco 54257.20413 0.00120 ASAS V949 Cen 53669.27729 0.00242 ASAS V1055 Sco 54626.89174 0.00121 ASAS V949 Cen 53671.17083 0.00712 ASAS V1055 Sco 54627.07413 0.00150 ASAS ASAS V1055 Sco 54986.40150 V949 Cen 54627.33616 0.00742 0.00080 ASAS V949 Cen 54629.22726 0.00306 ASAS V1055 Sco 54986.58393 0.00108 ASAS V949 Cen 56660.84562 0.00529 FRAM V1055 Sco 56554.62091 0.00481 FRAM V949 Cen 56677.89022 0.00629 FRAM V1055 Sco 56557.53361 0.00261 FRAM V949 Cen 56679.78165 0.00474 FRAM V1055 Sco 56559.53170 0.00300 FRAM V949 Cen 56681.67097 0.00867 FRAM V1055 Sco 56762.83229 0.00217 FRAM V949 Cen 56696.82051 0.00050 FRAM V1055 Sco 56763.74187 0.00219 FRAM V949 Cen 56736.58168 0.00297 FRAM V1055 Sco 56781.74747 0.00271 FRAM V949 Cen 56791.48915 0.01289 V1055 Sco 56835.75144 FRAM 0.00502 FRAM 56823.68156 V1055 Sco 56836.66608 V949 Cen 0.01061 FRAM 0.00317 FRAM V949 Cen 56840.72217 0.00448 FRAM V1055 Sco 53862.41972 0.00219 SuperWASP V949 Cen 56844.50644 0.01036 FRAM V1055 Sco 53862.59620 0.00082 SuperWASP V358 Pup 48440.84746 53881.33135 SuperWASP 0.00202 HIP V1055 Sco 0.00101 V358 Pup 48444.23326 0.00163 HIP V1055 Sco 53881.50766 0.00072 SuperWASP V358 Pup 52517.19479 0.00046 ASAS V1055 Sco 53891.51779 0.00656 SuperWASP V358 Pup 52520.58192 0.00071 ASAS V1055 Sco 53909.33457 0.00315 SuperWASP V358 Pup 53624.60224 0.00245 ASAS V1055 Sco 53921.33692 0.06034 SuperWASP V358 Pup 53627.99432 V1055 Sco 53961.34467 SuperWASP 0.00671 ASAS 0.00046 V358 Pup 54630.10122 0.00204 ASAS V1055 Sco 54207.56117 0.00161 SuperWASP V358 Pup 54633.48790 0.00248 ASAS V1055 Sco 54208.46336 0.00023 SuperWASP V358 Pup 50784.74575 0.00030 Clausen et al. (2001) V1055 Sco 54211.56150 0.00068 SuperWASP V358 Pup 50801.72630 0.00020 Clausen et al. (2001) V1055 Sco 54212.46443 0.00041 SuperWASP V358 Pup 50818.71420 0.00020 Clausen et al. (2001) V1055 Sco 54212.65260 0.00105 SuperWASP 50835.69555 54216.46515 V358 Pup 0.00020 Clausen et al. (2001) V1055 Sco 0.00054 SuperWASP V358 Pup 50869.66590 0.00020 Clausen et al. (2001) V1055 Sco 54216.64935 0.00240 SuperWASP V358 Pup 51110.85360 0.00100 V1055 Sco 54230.47724 SuperWASP Clausen et al. (2001) 0.00387 V358 Pup 51127.83470 0.00030 Clausen et al. (2001) V1055 Sco 54231.56459 0.00383 SuperWASP 51134.62900 V358 Pup 0.00100 Clausen et al. (2001) V1055 Sco 54236.65049 0.00081 SuperWASP V358 Pup 51144.82295 0.00020 Clausen et al. (2001) V1055 Sco 54237.38584 0.00056 SuperWASP V358 Pup 51151.61695 0.00020 Clausen et al. (2001) V1055 Sco 54237.55969 0.00436 SuperWASP 54238.47345 V358 Pup 51212.76235 0.00030 Clausen et al. (2001) V1055 Sco 0.00231 SuperWASP SuperWASP V358 Pup 51236.53670 0.00100 Clausen et al. (2001) V1055 Sco 54239.38623 0.00183 V358 Pup 56379.52621 0.00288 FRAM V1055 Sco 54244.29166 0.00010 SuperWASP V358 Pup 56661.48240 0.00482 FRAM V1055 Sco 54246.29080 0.00137 SuperWASP V358 Pup 56664.86830 0.00153 FRAM V1055 Sco 54246.47502 0.00750 SuperWASP V358 Pup 56688.65368 0.01859 FRAM V1055 Sco 54247.37822 0.00218 SuperWASP V358 Pup 56722.62160 0.00345 FRAM V1055 Sco 54247.56558 0.00169 SuperWASP V358 Pup 56756.59497 0.05620 FRAM V1055 Sco 54248.29360 0.00082 SuperWASP V1055 Sco 48048.77191 0.00165 HIP V1055 Sco 54266.30300 0.00151 SuperWASP V1055 Sco 48048.95356 HIP V1055 Sco 54268.29609 0.00450 SuperWASP 0.00095 V1055 Sco 48674.66215 0.00694 HIP V1055 Sco 54268.47414 0.00071 SuperWASP V1055 Sco 48674.84790 0.00250 HIP V1055 Sco 54271.20528 0.00030 SuperWASP V1055 Sco 52050.71267 0.00081 ASAS V1055 Sco 54271.38183 0.00242 SuperWASP V1055 Sco 54271.56979 SuperWASP 52050.89202 0.00089 ASAS V1055 Sco 0.00004 V1055 Sco 52501.32187 0.00199 ASAS V1055 Sco 54272.29748 SuperWASP 0.00202 V1055 Sco 52501.49955 0.00157 ASAS V1055 Sco 54272.47287 0.00102 SuperWASP V1055 Sco 52795.90580 54273.38677 0.00071 ASAS V1055 Sco 0.00393 SuperWASP 52796.08872 SuperWASP V1055 Sco V1055 Sco 54274.29147 0.00205 0.00063 ASAS V1055 Sco 53090.1272 0.0025 Zasche et al. (2009) V1055 Sco 54274.47862 0.00243 SuperWASP V1055 Sco 53090.3076 0.0031 Zasche et al. (2009) V1055 Sco 54288.47677 0.00439 SuperWASP V1055 Sco 53113.04009 0.00149 ASAS V1055 Sco 54294.29489 0.00034 SuperWASP V1055 Sco V1055 Sco 54537.60107 53113.21796 0.00163 ASAS 0.00159 SuperWASP V1055 Sco 53429.09480 V1055 Sco 54562.51977 0.00140 OMC 0.00294 SuperWASP V1055 Sco 53483.6384 0.0013 Ogloza et al. (2008) V1055 Sco 54564.51429 0.00065 SuperWASP V1055 Sco 53483.8200 0.0005 Ogloza et al. (2008) V1055 Sco 54586.52250 0.00185 SuperWASP V1055 Sco 53530.37204 0.00091 V1055 Sco 54588.34293 0.00106 SuperWASP ASAS V1055 Sco 53530.55329 0.00079 ASAS V1055 Sco 54594.33636 0.00549 SuperWASP V1055 Sco 53869.88015 0.00288 ASAS V1055 Sco 54601.42667 0.00723 SuperWASP V1055 Sco 53870.05697 0.00184 ASAS V1055 Sco 54614.52627 0.00086 SuperWASP

53909.51280

0.00155

Pi of the sky

V1055 Sco

Table 8: Heliocentric minima times for the studied systems.