arXiv:1507.08644v1 [cond-mat.quant-gas] 30 Jul 2015

Self-organised Limit-Cycles, Chaos and Phase-Slippage with a Superfluid inside an Optical Resonator

Francesco Piazza and Helmut Ritsch
Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Innsbruck, A-6020 Innsbruck, Austria

We study dynamical phases of a driven Bose-Einstein condensate coupled to the light field of a high-Q optical cavity. For high field seeking atoms at red detuning the system is known to show a transition from a spatially homogeneous steady-state to a self-organized regular lattice exhibiting super-radiant scattering into the cavity. For blue atom pump detuning the particles are repelled from the maxima of the light-induced optical potential suppressing scattering. We show that this generates a new dynamical instability of the self-ordered phase, leading to the appearance of self-ordered stable limit-cycles characterized by large amplitude self-sustained oscillations of both the condensate density and cavity field. The limit-cycles evolve into chaotic behavior by period doubling. Large amplitude oscillations of the condensate are accompanied by phase-slippage through soliton nucleation at a rate which increases by orders of magnitude in the chaotic regime. Different from a superfluid in a closed setup, this driven dissipative superfluid is not destroyed by the proliferation of solitons since kinetic energy is removed through cavity losses.




FIG. 1. A Bose-Einstein condensate of neutral atoms (blue surface) trapped inside an optical resonator is driven with a monochromatic laser with amplitude Ω. The laser frequency is blue-detuned by an amount Δ_a with respect to an internal atomic transition $g \leftrightarrow e$ and by Δ_c with respect a standing-wave cavity mode $\sim \cos(k_c x)$ defining the characteristic recoil frequency $\omega_R = \hbar k_c/2m$ with atomic mass m. The coupling between a single atom and the cavity mode has a strength g_0. For large $\Delta_a \gg (\Delta_c, \kappa, g_0, \omega_R)$, with κ being the cavity linewidth due to leakage out of the mirrors, the dispersive regime is reached, where the atoms stay effectively in their internal ground state. They experience negligible spontaneous emission and an optical potential (orange surface) arising from the interfering cavity and pump fields (see Eq.(1)). For simplicity we assume a sufficiently strong extra atom trapping in the radial y, z directions to confine the dynamics onto the cavity axis x and generate an effective 1D geometry.

I. INTRODUCTION

The experimental realization of strong collective coupling between a large number of (ultra)cold atoms and the electromagnetic field of Fabry-Perot cavities [1–8], nanophotonic fibers [9–11] or photonic crystals [12] opens up new interesting routes both in the field of quantum optics and condensed matter physics. From the latter point of view, the interesting new ingredient is provided by very well controllable strong long-range photon-mediated atom-atom interactions appearing due to the back-action of even a single atom onto the light field. Strong long-range interactions can indeed lead to several intriguing phenomena [13, 14] and are crucial in many intensively explored condensed matter phases, like supersolids [15] or topological states [16]. What is more, differently from typical condensed matter situations, these light-mediated interactions are in general i) retarded, since the photon field owns intrinsic timescales which can be made comparable with atomic scales, ii) non-conservative, since the system is typically driven and dissipates energy through atomic spontaneous emission and photon losses through the cavity mirrors.

A striking consequence of cavity field mediated interactions is the appearance of self-ordered phases [17–24] where the particles break a translation symmetry by forming a spatial pattern determined by the characteristic interaction length scale. This phenomenon has been observed experimentally both for a thermal gas [1, 6] and an ultracold Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) [5, 7] coupled to a standing-wave mode of an optical cavity as sketched in Fig. 1. In the regimes considered so far, a thermal gas and a BEC share the same qualitativ behavior [25]. Interestingly the self-ordering of a BEC can be closely mapped to the superradiant transition of the famous Dicke model of N two-state atoms coupled to a single cavity mode [5, 26]. If fundamental collective mechanical excitations of the BEC are effectively treated as quantum mechanical oscillators, one also gets a simulator for a general optomechanics setup close to zero temperature [27, 28].

Here we study a new regime of quantum gas cavity QED [29], where the self-ordering phase transition is tight to dynamical instabilities. This gives rise to new

types of nonequilibrium phases for which the peculiarities of the BEC play a crucial role. This novel behaviour appears upon a rather innocent looking change of operating conditions, namely by choosing the frequency of the driving laser larger than the atomic internal transition frequency (blue detuning). In this regime the atoms are low field-seekers as opposed to high field seekers in the typically considered red detuning case (see Fig. 1). Naively, one would expect that this prevents any self-ordering as the atoms are pushed towards field minima, where light scattering is suppressed. Surprisingly, a closer look reveals that the complex interplay of collective coherent scattering and optical dipole forces still can generate a self-ordered phase at sufficient pump strength. However, the particles are now localized at cavity field nodes and this order gets dynamically unstable again at only a somewhat higher critical pump intensity, as illustrated in Fig. 2.

Interestingly, this instability does not simply lead to heating and disintegration of the order, but we find the emergence of limit-cycles, whereby the condensate performs large periodic self-sustained oscillations between different ordered patterns. The atomic density oscillations are tightly coupled to the oscillation of the cavity field with the same frequency, as shown in Fig. 4A). This provides a build-in non-destructive monitoring tool of the nonlinear dynamics. By further increase of the drive strength the limit-cycles turn into chaotic dynamics by doubling their period (see Fig. 3).

Dynamical instabilities toward limit-cycles evolving into chaos have been also observed with nanomechanical oscillators coupled to light [30–32]. Limit-cycles have been studied within the open Dicke model as well [33], where chaos appears in the closed-system limit [34–36]. Self-sustained oscillations of a BEC inside a driven cavity have been observed [37] and shown to be very well described through an optomechanical model. In the same setup, a transition to chaos has also been predicted [38], analogous to the one appearing with nonlinear dielectric medium [39]. Recently, superfluid Josephson dynamics of a BEC coupled to a driven cavity have also been theoretically studied [40].

In this work, we show how the dynamical self-ordered regimes of large nonlinear excitations let the BEC hallmarks emerge clearly, which prevents an understanding of the system via (generalized) optomechanical models. In particular, once direct short-range atom-atom interactions are taken into account, the superfluid nature of the BEC manifests itself through the onset of phase-slippage dynamics [41–44], whereby the condensate lowers the kinetic energy stored in the phase of the macroscopic wave function by creating phase singularities in the form of nonlinear dispersive waves (solitons in our one-dimensional model). While phase-slips take place periodically in the lymit-cycle phase, they appear irregularly and at a much faster rate in the chaotic regime, as illustrated in Fig. 4. Interestingly, different from a superfluid in a closed system where phase-slip proliferation eventually destroys the superfluid, here the dissipation through cavity losses counteracts this heating process by subtracting energy from the system.

Our findings introduce a new scenario where nonlinear chaotic dynamics, self-organisation and superfluidity appear together in a driven/dissipative system, bridging between the optomechanics/nonlinear optics and condensed matter communities.

II. DYNAMICAL PHASE DIAGRAM




FIG. 2. A) Nonequilibrium phase diagram for the driven Bose-Einstein condensate as in the setup of Fig. 1 as a function of the effective pump strength $\eta = \sqrt{N} g_0 \Omega / \Delta_a$ and the detuning Δ_c, for $\kappa = 10\omega_R$, $U_0 N = 12.1\omega_R$, and $g_{aa} = 0$. The phase is determined by the long-time behavior of the system starting from an empty cavity and a spatially homogenous condensate along x. The color scale indicates the growth rate Reω of the most unstable collective excitation mode above the steady-state. The corresponding time evolution of the cavity mode amplitude α is shown in panels I,II, and III. Four different phases emerge. In the normal (N) phase, the steady-state is still an empty cavity with a homogeneous condensate. In the self-organised (S-O) phase, the steady state is stable and has a finite α accompanied by the corresponding condensate density modulation in space, optimising the light scattering into the cavity. In the self-organised limit-cycle (S-O-L-C) phase, the steady state is unstable and evolves into periodic self-sustained oscillations of large amplitude about a finite value of α. At every time during the oscillation the condensate is self-organised, i.e. has chosen the density modulation giving rise to the instantaneous cavity amplitude. In the self-organised chaotic (S-O-Chaos) phase, the collective oscillations loose their periodicity. The transition from limit-cycles to chaos takes place by period doubling, as illustrated in Fig. 3.

As sketched in Fig. 1, we consider an ultracold atomic gas trapped along the axis a single mode of a Fabry-Perot cavity. The gas is illuminated with coherent light which is blue detuned to an atomic transition. Corresponding experiments with BECs of ultracold atoms [5, 7] have shown to be well described by coupled classical field equations

[18] describing the mean-field dynamics of the BEC with the Gross-Pitaevskii equation [45]

$$i\hbar\partial_t\psi(x,t) = \Big[-\frac{\hbar^2\partial_{xx}^2}{2m} + g_{\rm aa}|\psi(x,t)|^2 + \hbar U_0|\alpha(t)|^2\cos^2(k_{\rm c}x) + 2\hbar(\eta/\sqrt{N})\mathrm{Re}[\alpha(t)]\cos(k_{\rm c}x)\Big]\psi(x,t) \qquad (1)$$

and the cavity field by its coherent component dynamics $\alpha(t)$:

$$i\partial_t\alpha(t) = \Big[-\Delta_{\rm c} - i\kappa + U_0\int dx|\psi(x,t)|^2\cos^2(k_{\rm c}x)\Big]\alpha(t) + (\eta/\sqrt{N})\int dx|\psi(x,t)|^2\cos(k_{\rm c}x)\ . \qquad (2)$$

In the Gross-Pitaevskii framework, all N particles in the condensate, i.e. occupying the same quantum state, share the same BEC wavefunction $\psi(x,t)$ normalized to N. The first term in Eq. (1) describes the kinetic energy of the quantum motion of the particles with mass m, which we restricted along the cavity axis x upon assuming additional trapping in the other directions. This can be easly achieved in ultracold atom experiments [46]. Correspondingly, the direct atom-atom interaction strength $g_{\rm aa}$ is the effective coupling for the one-dimensional problem [45]. $U_0 = g_0^2/\Delta_{\rm a}$ is the potential depth per photon felt by an atom (see Eq. (1)) as well as the energy shift of the cavity resonance per atom (see Eq. (1)). It results from emission and absorption of a photon from and into the cavity mode and is therefore $\propto\cos^2(k_{\rm c}x)$ in the dispersive regime where the excited atomic state can be adiabatically eliminated. The further terms containing $\eta/\sqrt{N} = g_0\Omega/\Delta_{\rm a}$ result from absorption from the pump and emission into the cavity mode (or viceversa) and introduce a further optical potential $\propto\cos(k_{\rm c}x)$ for the atoms and an effective pump term for the cavity field. Finally, the loss of photons through the cavity mirrors is reflected by the field damping term $-i\kappa$. Eqs. (1),(2) describe the dynamical back-action between atoms and light, since the optical potential felt by the atoms is determined by $\alpha(t)$, whose dynamics in turn depends on the atom wave function. Equivalently, the field α mediates infinitely long-range and retarded interactions between the BEC atoms.

The phase diagram of Fig. 2 is obtained by solving Eqs. (1),(2) and analysing their long-time behavior. As initial conditions we choose a homogeneous BEC: $\psi(x,0) = \sqrt{n}$ with $n = N/L$ the system's density in one dimension and an infinitesimally occupied cavity $\alpha(0) \ll 1$, which is needed as a seed since Eqs. (1),(2) don't include noise. A transition toward stable self-ordering in observed at a critical pump strength [47] $\hbar^2\eta_{\rm crit}^2 = (\hbar\omega_{\rm R} + 2g_{\rm aa}n)(\delta_{\rm c} + \kappa^2/\delta_{\rm c})/2$, with the dispersively shifted cavity detuning $\delta_{\rm c} = -\Delta_{\rm c} + U_0N/2$. This transition is due to the fact that above a critical pump strength the homogeneous condensate is unstable with respect to density modulations at the cavity wavelength $\lambda_{\rm c}$. The latter indeed tend to optimise light scattering into the cavity which in turn enhances the density modulation in a runaway process. This is stabilized by losses, providing convergence toward a steady state with finite cavity amplitude $\alpha \neq 0$ and density modulation. This happens both for high ($U_0 < 0$) and low ($U_0 > 0$) field-seeking atoms. However, as we show here, in the latter case the stable self-ordered steady state exists only up to a second critical pump strength, above which it becomes dynamically unstable and no time independent steady state can be found anymore. This originates from the competition between the cavity $\propto\cos^2(k_{\rm c}x)$ generated potential pushing the particles to the cavity field nodes where scattering is suppressed and the pump-cavity interference component $\propto\cos(k_{\rm c}x)$ pushing the particles to competing wavelength order.

III. SELF-ORDERED LIMIT-CYCLES AND CHAOS

Above the second critical driving strength the system resolves the above competion by performing self-sustained oscillations (limit-cycles) between self-ordered configurations of the condensate (see Fig. 4) A1) and cavity field, shown in Fig. 2 II, 3 A)-B), and 4 A2).

As illustrated in Fig. 3, the limit-cycles involve several well defined frequency components, whose number is doubled before the system dynamics turns eventually chaotic. The onset of chaos by period doubling is a well known phenomenon in nonlinear systems and has been observed with nanomechanical oscillators coupled to light [31]. Here, like in these systems, chaos develops at zero temperature and without external periodic modulations or (delayed) feedback control. The characteristic timescale is given by the recoil frequency $\omega_{\rm R}$ which is an intrinsic property of the BEC-cavity system.

Different from what so far studied with nanomechanical media, nonlinear dielectrics and non-directly-driven BECs [37, 38], the oscillations found here have a large (non-perturbative) amplitude where the light intensity scales with N due to the self-ordering of the atoms. The latter generates non-trivial time-dependent spatial structures.

IV. DRIVEN-DISSIPATIVE PHASE-SLIPPAGE

While spatial structures with a length scale set by the cavity wavelength λ_c would be present had we considered any (e.g. thermal) ensemble of driven polarisable particles, the peculiar nature of their size and dynamics that we oberve here originates from the combination of macroscopic phase coherence (encoded in the BEC wavefunction) together with short-range atom-atom interactions (inducing the term $g_{\rm aa}|\psi|^2$ term in Eq. (1)). These two properties in turn are at the core of the superfluid behavior of the BEC. They indeed provide the conden-




FIG. 3. Transition from limit-cycles to chaos. The Fourier transform of the cavity amplitude time-oscillations (left column) is shown together with the condensate wave function recurrence [48] $R(t_1,t_2) = \int dx|\psi(x,t_1) - \psi(x,t_2)|^2$ (middle column) and phase-space trajectories in the $|\alpha| - |\psi(k_c)|$ plane (right column). Here $\psi(k_c)$ is the Fourier component of the condensate wavefunction calculated at the cavity wave number k_c. For the same parameters as in Fig. 2, results are shown for three different pump strengths: A) $\eta = 9.5\omega_R$, B) $\eta = 10\omega_R$, and C) $\eta = 11\omega_R$ at $\Delta_c = 4\omega_R$. The spectra clearly show period doubling (between A) and B)) before the onset of the noisy background in C). This indication for the appearance of chaos is confirmed by the large-scale structures in the recurrence R, as opposed to the stripes characterising a periodic behavior, visible in A) and B). In the latter, period doubling shows up as further small-scale structures perpendicular to the stripes in R. Phase-space trajectories confirm this picture, whereby the chaotic behavior is signalled by the absence of sharp closed trajectories.

sate with a finite "phase-rigidity", i.e. a finite energy cost $\propto \int dx(\partial_x\varphi)^2$ of creating a phase gradient in the wave function $\psi = |\psi|\exp(i\varphi)$, which is associated with a finite flow velocity of the superfluid $v = \hbar\partial_x\varphi/m$ [49].

As illustrated in Fig. 4, the driven BEC coupled to the lossy cavity, once entering the nonlinear oscillating regime, shows large phase gradients and thus accumulates the corresponding energy. The most efficient way for the superfluid to get rid of this extra energy is to convert it into nonlinear dispersive waves through the process of phase-slippage [41]. In one dimension these waves are solitons, for which phase-slips take place as described in Fig. 4 C). We observe indeed phase-slippage as the system enters the dynamically unstable regime. During the limit-cycles, phase slips take place periodically and are synchronised with the oscillations. The rate of slippage is slow (see Fig. 4 D)) and the solitons are nucleated always at the same position, shown in Fig. 4 A1). On the contrary, once entered the chaotic regime, phase-slippage takes place at an orders of magnitude faster rate and the nucleation of solitons becomes irregular in space and time.

Interestingly, this fast proliferation of solitons does not eventually destroy the BEC as it usually happens in an isolated superfluid. In the latter case the large number of solitons causes the phase of the wavefunction to change fast and over short lenghscales, thereby destroying phase coherence. In addition, solitons contain a large kinetic energy which can be converted into the thermal component. However, in our driven/dissipative system the proliferation of solitons is counteracted by light scattering processes transferring energy into the cavity field and expelling it through photon losses. Indeed, we oberve that even though solitons are produced at a fast rate, the BEC kinetic energy $E_k = \int dx\hbar^2|\partial_x\psi|^2/2m$, after an initial increase, fluctuates around a finite value of the order




FIG. 4. Phase-slippage through soliton nucleation for a finite value of the atom-atom interaction $g_{aa} = 1.0\hbar\omega_R$. Panels A) and B) show the condensate density (color-scale) as a function of position and time (A1 and B1) as well as the time-evolution of the cavity amplitude (A2 and B2) for $\eta = 12.0\omega_R$, which corresponds to a limit-cycle dynamics (A) and $\eta = 22.0\omega_R$, which corresponds to chaotic dynamics (B). In A),B), white circles indicate the space-time coordinate of phase-slips, i.e. phase singularities in the form of solitons having a zero-density core together with a π phase difference across it. The dynamics of a single phase-slip is illustrated in panels C1) to C3) for $\eta = 22.0\omega_R$. The phase singularity is present in C2) as a π-phase jump localized at the dark ($n(x) = 0$) soliton position. The latter has a size of the order of the healing length $\xi = 1/\sqrt{2mg_c n} \sim 0.1\lambda$. The phase-slip consists in changing the sign of the phase jump $\pi \to -\pi$ (they are equivalent at the point $n(x) = 0$). Subsequently, in C3) the soliton increases its minimum density from zero and moves away, carrying the energy subtracted from the initial phase gradient in C1). Panel D) shows the total number of phase-slips $N_s(t)$ (solid line) generated up time t together with the condensate kinetic energy E_k (dotted line) as a function of time, for $\eta = 12.0\omega_R$ (in blue) and $\eta = 22.0\omega_R$ (in red). While in the limit-cycle regime phase-slips are generated following the slow periodic oscillations (two phase-slips every second period in the case shown), the rate of slippage in the chaotic regime is orders of magnitude faster. Still, soliton proliferation is counteracted by dissipation through cavity losses so that in the chaotic regime the kinetic energy intially grows but then flucuates around a constant value.

of the recoil energy $\hbar\omega_R$, as shown in Fig. 4.

V. CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

Surprisingly, dynamical self-ordering of a BEC inside a Fabry-Perot cavity appears also in the blue detuned regime but with decisive new properties. While collective scattering is enhanced by ordering it is inhibited by repulsion from field antinodes. This competing effects generate self-sustained large amplitude nonlinear oscillations, which reconcile ordering and superradiant scattering averaged over an oscillation cycle. For stronger pump the oscillations exhibit period doubling and evolve into chaotic behavior. This complex dynamics takes place without any external modulation or feedback and is an intrinsic property of the driven dissipative nature of the dynamics. The nonlinear atom-field dynamics here is accompanied by phase-slippage, which comes about due to the superfluid nature of the interacting BEC. In one dimension phase-slippage nucleates solitons whose proliferation in the chaotic regime is counteracted by cavity cooling.

In two spatial dimensions vortex nucleation is instead expected. It would be interesting to study how their proliferation and dynamics is affected by light scattering into the lossy cavity. In this spirit, the extension of the present study to $d = 2$ should open up new directions in the field of quantum turbulence [50] in driven/dissipative condensates [51].

We finally point out that the system studied here is already available experimentally since it involves a change from red- to blue-detuning of the driving laser with respect to the atomic resonance in the setups used in the

ETH [5] and Hamburg [7] laboratories.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Philipp Strack for useful comments on the manuscript. FP acknowledges support by the APART fellowship of the Austrian Academy of Sciences. HR is supported by the Austrian Science Fund project I1697-N27.

[1] A. T. Black, H. W. Chan, and V. Vuletić, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 203001 (2003).
[2] S. Slama, S. Bux, G. Krenz, C. Zimmermann, and P. W. Courteille, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 053603 (2007).
[3] Y. Colombe, T. Steinmetz, G. Dubois, F. Linke, D. Hunger, and J. Reichel, Nature **450**, 272 (2007).
[4] S. Gupta, K. L. Moore, K. W. Murch, and D. M. Stamper-Kurn, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 213601 (2007).
[5] K. Baumann, C. Guerlin, F. Brennecke, and T. Esslinger, Nature **464**, 1301 (2010).
[6] K. J. Arnold, M. P. Baden, and M. D. Barrett, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 153002 (2012).
[7] H. Keßler, J. Klinder, M. Wolke, and A. Hemmerich, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 070404 (2014).
[8] A. J. Kollr, A. T. Papageorge, K. Baumann, M. A. Armen, and B. L. Lev, New Journal of Physics **17**, 043012 (2015).
[9] E. Vetsch, D. Reitz, G. Sagué, R. Schmidt, S. T. Dawkins, and A. Rauschenbeutel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 203603 (2010).
[10] A. Goban, K. S. Choi, D. J. Alton, D. Ding, C. Lacroûte, M. Pototschnig, T. Thiele, N. P. Stern, and H. J. Kimble, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 033603 (2012).
[11] J. D. Thompson, T. G. Tiecke, N. P. de Leon, J. Feist, A. V. Akimov, M. Gullans, A. S. Zibrov, V. Vuleti, and M. D. Lukin, Science **340**, 1202 (2013), http://www.sciencemag.org/content/340/6137/1202.full.pdf.
[12] A. Goban, C. L. Hung, S. P. Yu, J. D. Hood, J. A. Muniz, J. H. Lee, M. J. Martin, A. C. McClung, K. S. Choi, D. E. Chang, O. Painter, and H. J. Kimble, Nat Commun **5** (2014).
[13] A. Campa, T. Dauxois, D. Fanelli, and S. Ruffo, *Physics of Long-Range Interacting Systems* (Oxford University Press, 2014).
[14] S. Schütz and G. Morigi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 203002 (2014).
[15] G. G. Batrouni, R. T. Scalettar, G. T. Zimanyi, and A. P. Kampf, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 2527 (1995).
[16] A. Micheli, G. K. Brennen, and P. Zoller, Nat Phys **2**, 341 (2006).
[17] P. Domokos and H. Ritsch, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 253003 (2002).
[18] H. Ritsch, P. Domokos, F. Brennecke, and T. Esslinger, Rev. Mod. Phys. **85**, 553 (2013).
[19] D. E. Chang, J. I. Cirac, and H. J. Kimble, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 113606 (2013).
[20] T. Griesser and H. Ritsch, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 055702 (2013).
[21] J. Keeling, J. Bhaseen, and B. Simons, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 143002 (2014).
[22] F. Piazza and P. Strack, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 143003 (2014).
[23] Y. Chen, Z. Yu, and H. Zhai, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 143004 (2014).
[24] G. R. M. Robb, E. Tesio, G.-L. Oppo, W. J. Firth, T. Ackemann, and R. Bonifacio, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 173903 (2015).
[25] F. Piazza, P. Strack, and W. Zwerger, Annals of Physics **339**, 135 (2013).
[26] R. H. Dicke, Phys. Rev. **93**, 99 (1954).
[27] D. M. Stamper-Kurn, in *Cavity Optomechanics* (Springer, 2014) pp. 283–325.
[28] D. Nagy, G. Kónya, G. Szirmai, and P. Domokos, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 130401 (2010).
[29] I. B. Mekhov and H. Ritsch, Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics **45**, 102001 (2012).
[30] T. Carmon, H. Rokhsari, L. Yang, T. J. Kippenberg, and K. J. Vahala, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 223902 (2005).
[31] T. Carmon, M. C. Cross, and K. J. Vahala, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 167203 (2007).
[32] C. Metzger, M. Ludwig, C. Neuenhahn, A. Ortlieb, I. Favero, K. Karrai, and F. Marquardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 133903 (2008).
[33] J. Keeling, M. J. Bhaseen, and B. D. Simons, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 043001 (2010).
[34] C. Emary and T. Brandes, Phys. Rev. E **67**, 066203 (2003).
[35] A. Altland and F. Haake, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 073601 (2012).
[36] M. A. Bastarrachea-Magnani, B. L. del Carpio, S. Lerma-Hernndez, and J. G. Hirsch, Physica Scripta **90**, 068015 (2015).
[37] F. Brennecke, S. Ritter, T. Donner, and T. Esslinger, Science **322**, 235 (2008).
[38] M. Diver, G. R. M. Robb, and G.-L. Oppo, Phys. Rev. A **89**, 033602 (2014).
[39] K. Ikeda, H. Daido, and O. Akimoto, Phys. Rev. Lett. **45**, 709 (1980).
[40] G. Szirmai, G. Mazzarella, and L. Salasnich, Phys. Rev. A **91**, 023601 (2015).
[41] P. W. Anderson, Rev. Mod. Phys. **38**, 298 (1966).
[42] O. Avenel and E. Varoquaux, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 416 (1988).
[43] A. Amar, Y. Sasaki, R. L. Lozes, J. C. Davis, and R. E. Packard, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 2624 (1992).
[44] K. C. Wright, R. B. Blakestad, C. J. Lobb, W. D. Phillips, and G. K. Campbell, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 025302 (2013).
[45] S. Stringari and L. Pitaevskii, *Bose-Einstein Condensation* (Oxford University Press, 2003).
[46] I. Bloch, J. Dalibard, and W. Zwerger, Rev. Mod. Phys. **80**, 885 (2008).
[47] D. Nagy, G. Szirmai, and P. Domokos, The European

Physical Journal D **48**, 127 (2008).
[48] N. Marwan, M. C. Romano, M. Thiel, and J. Kurths, Physics Reports **438**, 237 (2007).
[49] A. J. Leggett, *Quantum liquids: Bose condensation and Cooper pairing in condensed-matter systems* (Oxford University Press, 2006).
[50] A. J. Allen, N. G. Parker, N. P. Proukakis, and C. F. Barenghi, Journal of Physics: Conference Series **544**, 012023 (2014).
[51] L. M. Sieberer, S. D. Huber, E. Altman, and S. Diehl, Phys. Rev. B **89**, 134310 (2014).