

Quantum search followed by classical search versus quantum search alone

P. R. M. Sousa, F. V. Mendes and R. V. Ramos
pauloregisms@gmail.com fernandovm@gmail.com rubens.viana@pq.cnpq.br

*Lab. of Quantum Information Technology, Department of Teleinformatic Engineering – Federal University of Ceara - DETI/UFC,
C.P. 6007 – Campus do Pici - 60455-970 Fortaleza-Ce, Brazil.*

In this work, we show that the usage of a quantum gate that gives extra information about the solution searched permits to improve the performance of the search algorithm by switching from quantum to classical search in the appropriated moment. A comparison to the case where only quantum search is used is also realized.

1. Introduction

Grover's quantum search [1] is a very useful and elegant quantum algorithm that has been extensively studied [2-5]. It has a quadratic speed up and, hence, it can find the searched element in a database with N elements making only $O(N^{1/2})$ queries while the best classical algorithm requires $O(N)$ queries. In this work, we discuss the usage of quantum search followed by classical search and compare its performance to the case where only quantum search is used.

2. Quantum and classical search working together

Let us start by considering the following problem: One is looking for the bit string x_{sol} that satisfies $f(x_{sol}) = y$. In order to solve this problem using quantum search, we assume the following quantum gate, which depends on the solution x_{sol} , exist: $U_1|a\rangle|0\rangle = |a\rangle|D_H(a, x_{sol})\rangle$, where $D_H(a, x_{sol})$ is the Hamming distance between the bit strings a and x_{sol} . Obviously, $U|a\rangle|0\rangle = |a\rangle|0\rangle$ implies $a = x_{sol}$. Hence, the Grover's quantum algorithm can be used to find the solution x_{sol} by using an oracle that recognizes the bit string $|0\rangle$ at the second register. It requires $\lceil (\pi/4)\sqrt{2^n} \rceil$ oracle calls, where n is the number of bits of x_{sol} . Our proposal for solving the same problem is as follows: Firstly, the quantum search is used. In this case, the quantum states recognized by the oracle as solutions of the quantum search are those bit sequences having at most k bits different from x_{sol} , that is, $D_H(a, x_{sol}) \leq k$. Thus, the output of the quantum search is a superposition of all bit sequences with Hamming distance (with respect to x_{sol}) equal or lower than k . In this case, the number of marked states is $M = \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{n}{i}$ and the number of oracle's calls in the quantum search is $N_G = (\pi/4)\sqrt{2^n/M}$. A measurement is realized and an n -bit sequence is obtained. At this moment, one knows this bit sequence is different from x_{sol}

in at most k bits and a classical search can be used to find x in this new database with M elements. This will take in average $N_C = M/2$ queries. Hence, the total number of queries of the quantum-classical algorithm, $N_{GC} = N_G + N_C$, and its gain compared to the quantum search alone, $G = N_{GC}/[(\pi/4)\sqrt{2^n}]$, are, respectively

$$N_{GC} = \frac{\pi}{4} \sqrt{2^n \left/ \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{n}{i} \right.} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{n}{i} \quad (1)$$

$$G = \left[\sum_{i=0}^k \binom{n}{i} \right]^{-1/2} + \frac{2}{\pi} \left(\sum_{i=0}^k \binom{n}{i} / 2^{n/2} \right). \quad (2)$$

Observing (2), one sees that $k = 0$ recovers the pure quantum search while $k = n$ recovers the pure classical search. There is an optimal value for $k = k_{opt}$ that minimizes G . Furthermore, when n grows, k_{opt} also grows and G tends to zero, showing the number of queries of the ‘quantum+classical’ algorithm is much smaller than the number of queries required by the quantum search working alone. Some examples of values for n , k and G are shown in Table 1.

N	k	G	N	k	G
100	6	1.586×10^{-5}	600	36	1.817×10^{-30}
200	12	1.536×10^{-10}	700	43	1.646×10^{-35}
300	18	1.531×10^{-15}	800	49	1.348×10^{-40}
400	24	1.576×10^{-20}	900	55	1.175×10^{-45}
500	30	1.67×10^{-25}	1000	61	1.094×10^{-50}

Table 1 – G versus n and k

The problem just described is not interesting in practice because there is a smart classical algorithm able to solve it in $O(n)$: Flip the first bit, if the Hamming distance to the solution decreases after flipping, then the new value of the first bit is the correct value otherwise, the original value of the first bit remains. Repeat the same steps to the following bits till get Hamming distance equal to zero.

A more hard situation for the same problem is to assume that, instead of U_1 that calculates the Hamming distance, only the following quantum gate, which depends on the solution x_{sol} , is available: $U_2|a\rangle|0\rangle = |a\rangle|h_k(D_H(a, x_{sol}))\rangle$ where $h_k(D_H(a, x_{sol})) = 0$ if $D_H(a, x_{sol}) > k$ and $h_k(D_H(a, x_{sol})) = 1$ if $D_H(a, x_{sol}) \leq k$. Once more, the quantum states recognized by the oracle as solutions of the quantum search (bit 1 in the second register) are those bit sequences having at most k bits different from x_{sol} and, hence, equations (1) and (2) are still correct. On the other hand, the (pure) smart classical algorithm cannot be used because one does not know the Hamming distance to the solution, but only if it is

lower than k or not.

A related but more complicated problem is as follows: One is looking for the bit string x_{sol} that satisfies $f(x_{sol}) = y$. In order to solve this problem using quantum search, we assume the following quantum gate, which depends on the solution x_{sol} , exist: $U_3|a\rangle|0\rangle = |a\rangle|g(a, x_{sol})\rangle$, where $g(a, x_{sol})$ is a known function. Furthermore, it is known that $D_H(a_i, a_j) \leq k$ for all a_i and a_j obeying the conditions $g(a_i, x_{sol}) \leq l$ and $g(a_j, x_{sol}) \leq l$. For this case, one has the following total number of queries and gain

$$N_{GC} = \frac{\pi}{4} \sqrt{2^n / M(g, l)} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{n}{i} \quad (3)$$

$$G = [M(g, l)]^{-1/2} + \frac{2}{\pi} \left(\sum_{i=0}^k \binom{n}{i} / 2^{n/2} \right). \quad (4)$$

In (3)-(4) $M(g, l)$ is the number of a 's that obey the condition $g(a, x_{sol}) \leq l$. Obviously, one must have $M(g, l) \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{n}{i}$.

3. Conclusions

The usage of a quantum gate that gives extra information about the solution of a search problem permits to improve the performance of the search algorithm by switching from quantum to classical search in the appropriated moment.

References

1. L. V. Grover, Quantum mechanics helps in searching for a needle in a haystack, Phys. Rev. Lett., 79, pp. 325 (1997).
2. V. E. Korepin and L. K. Grover, Simple Algorithm for Partial Quantum Search, Quant. Inf. Process., Vol. 5, n° 1, pp 5-10 (2006).
3. Byung-Soo Choi,^{1,2} Thomas A. Walker,¹ and Samuel L. Braunstein¹, Sure Success Partial Search, Quantum Information Processing, Vol. 6, No. 1, (2007) DOI: 10.1007/s11128-006-0037-y.
4. W. Jin, Geometric Analysis of Grover's Search Algorithm in the Presence of Perturbation, Braz. J. Phys. Vol. 44, pp. 233–239 (2014) DOI 10.1007/s13538-014-0176-z.
5. A. Galindo, M. A. Martin-Delgado, A Family of Grover's Quantum Searching Algorithms, Phys. Rev. A, 62, 62303 (2000). quant-ph/0009086.