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Abstract. The main goal of this note is to provide new, mostly multidimensional densities, compactly supported and list many of its properties that enable effective calculations. The idea of obtaining such densities is firstly to build some one-dimensional densities depending on many parameters and then treat the constructed in this way distributions as conditional ones. Then of course by imposing certain distribution on the parameters and multiplying the two distributions we arrive at new multivariate distribution. To enable effective calculations, we utilize nice, simple and widely known properties of Chebyshev polynomials. Thus, in particular, the one-dimensional distribution mentioned above will have a form of arcsine distribution multiplied by some rational function. The fact that we use Chebyshev polynomials allows us to calculate all moments of this one-dimensional distribution as well as to find a family of polynomials that are orthogonal with respect to this distribution.

1. Introduction

The purpose of this note is to recall known and establish new one and multidimensional densities related to Chebyshev polynomials. As stated in the abstract we construct multidimensional distribution by finding a one-dimensional distribution defined with the help of many parameters and then treat this density as the conditional one. Multiplying it by some multi-dimensional density makes new multi-dimensional density.

The point is that this one-dimensional density, that we construct, is simple yet versatile. It has a form of the product of the density that makes Chebyshev polynomials orthogonal (i.e. arcsine distribution) times some rational function of one or more variables.

By considering densities analyzed in the paper i.e. given by (1.4), we are able to expand the ratio of such a density and the arcsine one in the Fourier series of Chebyshev polynomials. Obtained so expansion is in line with ideas expressed in [6]. This simple form allows us to calculate many important characterizations of the analyzed distribution such as moments, consequently cumulants or to construct polynomials that are orthogonal with respect to this one-dimensional distribution.

One has to note that the constructed one-dimensional density is of interest by itself.

As plots presented on Figure ?? on the page ?? indicate, even for the case of $n = 2$ (i.e. 2 parameters), we deal with very versatile family of densities. It contains distributions that are compactly supported, symmetric or highly skewed,
with or without modes between $-1$ and $1$. Moreover, this family is indexed by only two parameters that are easily estimated by the moment method (compare formulae given in Corrolaries \[2\] and \[3\]). Hence fitting different sets of data coming from one source, can require just one model with only two parameters. Or more than two different sets of data can come from one source (be described by one model with different values of parameters). As the Remark \[4\] shows, similar general properties, however including bimodal case, have densities $f_4$ (given by (3.14)) with 4 parameters. Corrolaries \[2\] and \[3\] show how to estimate those parameters by the moment method.

Technically, this paper is complementary to the paper \[9\] where we consider generalizations of the so-called Kesten–McKay distributions. That paper differs from the one considered herein that there we consider expansions of one-dimensional distribution in Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind while in the present paper we consider expansions in Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind. One can notice that the difference in difficulty of calculations is big. What is more interesting the densities considered in this paper are undefined at the ends of its supports while densities considered in \[9\] assume value 0 at the ends of their supports.

The paper is organized as follows. In Subsection 1.1 we fix notation and recall definition and some well-known properties of the Chebyshev polynomials. We also present some ”easy to get” one and two-dimensional compactly supported distributions related to the Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind. In the next Section 2 we recall some auxiliary results that will be used in the sequel. In Section 3 we present our main results i.e. we expand ratio of the considered one-dimensional density and the arcsine density in the Fourier series in Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind. This enables us to find all moments of the considered distribution and last but not least we find a family of polynomials that are orthogonal with respect to this distribution.

In Subsection 3.1 we consider the case of even number of parameters and parameters forming conjugate pairs. This is done to expose analogies with the probabilistic interpretation of chain of distributions related to Askey–Wilson family as done in \[11\]. This procedure turns out to lead to even more versatile, yet much more complicated families of multidimensional distribution.

Section 4 contains longer proofs.

Notice that in many cases such Fourier expansions mentioned above in the case of 2 dimensional distributions would provide examples of Lancaster expansions as described in the series of papers \[3\], \[5\], \[4\].

1.1. Notation and definitions. To fix notation we will denote by $\{T_n(x)\}_{n \geq 0}$ Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind, while $\{U_n(x)\}_{n \geq 0}$ will denote Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind. Recall that these two families satisfy the same 3-term recurrence

\begin{equation}
T_{n+1}(x) = 2xT_n(x) - T_{n-1}(x),
\end{equation}

with different initial conditions. Namely $U_0(x) = T_0(x) = 1$, $T_1(x) = x$ and $U_1(x) = 2x$. Recall also that polynomials $\{T_n\}$ are orthogonal with respect to the density

\[ f_C(x) = 1/(\pi \sqrt{1-x^2}) I_{(-1,1)}(x), \]
called arcsine distribution, while polynomials \{U_n\} are orthogonal with respect to the density
\[ f_W(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{1 - x^2} I_{[-1,1]}(x), \]
called semicircle or Wigner distribution. Here and below we used denotation
\[ I_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in A \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}. \]
Let us recall that
\[ \int_{-1}^{1} T_i(x) T_j(x) f_C(x) dx = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \\ 1/2 & \text{if } i = j \neq 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } i = j = 0 \end{cases}, \]
and
\[ \int_{-1}^{1} U_i(x) U_j(x) f_W(x) dx = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \\ 1 & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}. \]
In the sequel we will use alternatively \(a_n\) and \((a_1, \ldots, a_n)^T\) or sometimes we will drop dependence on \(a_n\) if it will be obvious.
Basically, we will be mostly concerned with the analysis of the following densities:
\[ f_{nT}(x|a_n) = B_n(a_n) f_C(x) \prod_{j=1}^{n} \varphi_T(x|a_i). \]
Here \(\varphi_T\) denotes the generating function of polynomials \(T_n\), i.e.
\[ \varphi_T(x|a) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a^j T_j(x) = (1 - ax)/(1 + a^2 - 2ax), \]
defined for \(|a| < 1\) and \(B_n\) is suitable constant. Obviously we have \(B_1 = 1\).
We will assume that \(|a_i| < 1\), \(i = 1, \ldots, n\).
It is easy to notice that \(\varphi_T\) is positive for all \(|a|, |x| < 1\). Especially interesting will be the cases with even \(n\) and parameters \(a_i\) forming conjugate pairs.

2. Auxiliary results

Let us denote for simplicity:
\[ u(x, y|\rho) = (1 - \rho^2)^2 - 4xy\rho(1 + \rho^2) + 4\rho^2(x^2 + y^2). \]
Further let us recall the so called Poisson–Mehler expansion formula presented and proved in e.g. [1], [2], [10] considered for \(q = 0\) gives:
\[ g_U(x, y|\rho) \equiv \frac{1 - \rho^2}{u(x, y|\rho)} = \sum_{j \geq 0} \rho^j U_j(x) U_j(y), \]
convergent almost everywhere for \(|x|, |y| \leq 1 \ |\rho| < 1\) since \(\max_{|x| \leq 1} |U_n(x)| = (n + 1)\) and the series \(\sum_{j \geq 0} \rho^j(j + 1)^2\) is convergent.
Proposition 1. \( \forall |x|, |y| \leq 1, |\rho| < 1 \):

\[
(2.2) \quad g_T(x, y | \rho) \stackrel{df}{=} \frac{1 - \rho^2 - xy(3 + \rho^2) + 2\rho^2(x^2 + y^2)}{w(x, y | \rho)} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho^j T_j(x) T_j(y) \geq 0,
\]

\[
(2.3) \quad g_U(x, y | \rho) \stackrel{df}{=} \frac{1 - \rho^2 - 2\rho x y + 2\rho^2 y^2}{w(x, y | \rho)} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho^j U_j(x) U_j(y),
\]

\[
(2.4) \quad \frac{2\rho^2(y - \rho x)}{w(x, y | \rho)} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho^j U_j(x) U_{j+1}(y),
\]

\[
(2.5) \quad \frac{(y - \rho x)(1 + \rho^2 - 2\rho x y)}{w(x, y, \rho)} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho^j T_j(x) T_{j+1}(y),
\]

\[
(2.6) \quad \frac{4x^2 - 1 - \rho}{(1 - \rho)((1 + \rho^2) - 4\rho x^2)} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho^j U_j(x) U_{j+2}(x),
\]

\[
(2.7) \quad \frac{2(x^2 + y^2 - 2\rho x y)}{w(x, y | \rho)} = x \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \rho^n U_{n+1}(x) U_n(y) + y \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \rho^n U_{n+1}(y) U_n(x) \geq 0,
\]

convergent almost everywhere for \( |x|, |y| \leq 1, |\rho| < 1 \).

Proof. Is shifted to Section 4. \( \square \)

As a corollary we get the following fact:

Corollary 1. \( \forall |\rho| < 1, |x| \leq 1 \):

\[
(2.8) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho^{2j} T_{2j}(x) = \frac{1 + \rho^2 - 2x^2 \rho^2}{(1 + \rho^2 - 2\rho x)(1 + \rho^2 + 2\rho x)} \geq 0,
\]

\[
(2.9) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho^{2j+1} T_{2j+1}(x) = \frac{x \rho (1 - \rho^2)}{(1 + \rho^2 - 2\rho x)(1 + \rho^2 + 2\rho x)},
\]

\[
(2.10) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho^j U_j(x) T_j(x) = \frac{(1 + \rho^2 - 2\rho x^2)}{(1 - \rho)(1 + \rho^2 - 4\rho x^2)} \geq 0,
\]

\[
(2.11) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho^j T_{4j}(x) = \frac{1 - \rho^4 + 8\rho^4 x^2(1 - x^2)}{(1 + \rho^2)^2 - 4\rho^2 x^2)((1 - \rho^2)^2 + 4\rho^2 x^2) \geq 0.
\]

Proof. (2.8) and (2.9). First we take \( x = y \) in (2.2), secondly we use the formula \( T_{2n}(x) = 2T_n^2(x) - 1 \) and change \( \rho \) to \( \rho^2 \). (2.10). We put \( y = x \) in (2.3). To get (2.11), we use the fact that \( T_{4j}(x) = T_{2j}(T_2(x)) \) hence, in (2.8) we set \( x \to 2x^2 - 1 \) and \( \rho^2 \to \rho^4 \). \( \square \)

Recall also that from the well known identities:

\[
T_n(x) = \frac{1}{2}(U_n(x) - U_{n-2}(x)),
\]

\[
U_{2k}(x) = 2 \sum_{j=0}^{k} T_{2j}(x) + 1, \quad U_{2k+1}(x) = 2 \sum_{j=0}^{k} T_{2j+1}(x),
\]
valid for $n, k \geq 0$, it follows that
\begin{equation}
\int_{-1}^{1} U_n(x) f_C(x) \, dx = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } n = 0 \text{ or is even}, \\
0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd},
\end{cases}
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
\int_{-1}^{1} T_n(x) f_W(x) \, dx = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } n = 0, \\
0 & \text{if } n = 1, 3, \ldots, \\
-1/2 & \text{if } n = 2.
\end{cases}
\end{equation}

Following the results of the above mentioned Proposition and Corollary, we can define four two-dimensional densities depending on one parameter, defined on the square $[-1,1] \times [-1,1]$. Some of these densities are new.

\begin{equation}
f_{UU}(x, y) = f_W(x) f_W(y) \frac{1 - \rho^2}{w(x, y; \rho)},
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
f_{TT}(x, y) = f_C(x) f_C(y) \frac{1 - \rho^2 - x \rho y (3 + \rho^2) + 2 \rho^2 (x^2 + y^2)}{w(x, y; \rho)},
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
f_{UT}(x, y) = f_W(x) f_C(y) \frac{4 (1 - \rho^2) - 8 \rho x y + 4 \rho^2 (x^2 + y^2)}{(2 - \rho^2) w(x, y; \rho)},
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
f_{2UU} = f_W(x) f_W(y) \frac{2 (x^2 + y^2 - 2 \rho x y)}{w(x, y; \rho)}.
\end{equation}

The fact that (2.14), (2.15) and (2.17) are densities does not require justification. To show that (2.16) is can be justified in the following way. Firstly notice that it is non-negative for $|x|, |y| \leq 1$. We do so by calculating its discriminant which is equal to $64 \rho^2 y^2 - 16 \rho^2 (4 (1 - \rho^2) + 4 \rho^2 y^2) = -64 \rho^2 (1 - \rho^2) (1 - y^2) \leq 0$. To see that it integrates to 1, let us notice, that
\begin{equation}
\int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} f_C(x) f_W(y) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho^j U_j(x) T_j(y) \, dx \, dy = 1.
\end{equation}

Now $\int_{-1}^{1} f_C(x) f_W(y) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho^j U_j(x) T_j(y) \, dx \, dy = \int_{-1}^{1} f_C(x) f_W(y) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho^j U_j(x) T_j(y) \, dx \, dy = -\rho^2 / 2$ by (2.13).

Notice that for the densities $f_{UU}$ and $f_{TT}$ we get Lancaster expansions of these densities for free.

Notice also that marginal densities of (2.14), ..., (2.17) are respectively $f_W$ and $f_C$, $f_C$ and $f_C$, $f_W$ and $f_T$ and finally again $f_W$ and $f_W$.

We obtain also some one parameter 1 dimensional, symmetric distributions related to distributions with densities $f_C$ and $f_W$ by making use of (2.8), (2.10) and (2.11).

\begin{align*}
f_1(x; \rho) &= f_C(x) \frac{1 + \rho^2 - 2x^2 \rho^2}{(1 + \rho^2 - 2x \rho)(1 + \rho^2 + 2x \rho)}, \\
f_{2C}(x; \rho) &= f_C(x) \frac{1 + \rho - 2 \rho x^2}{((1 + \rho)^2 - 4 \rho x^2)}, \\
f_{2W}(x; \rho) &= f_W(x) \frac{2(1 + \rho^2 - 2 \rho x^2)}{(2 - \rho)((1 + \rho)^2 - 4 \rho x^2)}, \\
f_3(x; \rho) &= f_C(x) \frac{1 - \rho^4 + 8 \rho^4 x^2 (1 - x^2)}{((1 + \rho^2)^2 - 4 \rho^2 x^2)((1 - \rho^2)^2 + 4 \rho^2 x^2)}. 
\end{align*}
Justification is needed only for $f_{2C}$ and $f_{2W}$. The fact that these functions integrate to 1 follows the fact that
\[ \int_{-1}^{1} U_n(x) T_n(x) f_C(x) \, dx = 1, \]
for $n \geq 0$ and
\[ \int_{-1}^{1} U_n(x) T_n(x) f_W(x) \, dx = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 0 \\ 1/2 & \text{if } n \geq 1. \end{cases} \]

3. Densities

**Theorem 1.** For $\forall n \geq 2$ and parameters $a_n$ mutually different we get
\[ B_n(a_n) = 2^n \sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{n,k}, \]
where we denoted for $k = 0$ : $b_{n,0} = 1$ while for $k = 1, \ldots, n$ the following functions:
\[ b_{n,k}(a_n) = \prod_{j=1, j \neq k}^{n} \frac{\gamma(a_k, a_j)}{(a_k - a_j)(1 - a_k a_j)}, \]
with $\gamma(a, b) = 2a - b - a^2b$ and $k = 1, \ldots, n$. Besides, we have an expansion:
\[ f_{nT}(x|a_n) = f_C(x) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} t_j(a_n) T_j(x), \]
where $t_0(a_n) = 1$ and
\[ t_j(a_n) = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{n,k} a_j^2 \sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{n,k} \]
for $j \geq 1$.

**Proof.** Is shifted to Section 4. \(\square\)

**Remark 1.** For the sake of completeness we set $b_{1,1}(a) = 1$.

The proof of the Theorem 1 is based on the following lemma concerning symmetric rational functions that has a value of its own

**Lemma 1.** i) Let $n \geq 1$. For different $b_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$ we have:
\[ \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x - a_i}{x - b_i} = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} (b_k - a_i)}{\prod_{i=1, i \neq k}^{n} (b_k - b_i)}, \]
Let functions $b_{n,k}$ be defined by (3.1) for $n \geq k \geq 0$. Assume that all $a_i, i = 1, \ldots n$ are different.

Then ii)
\[ \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{(1 - a_j x)}{(1 + a_j^2 - 2a_j x)} = \frac{1}{2^n} + \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(1 - a_k^2)}{(1 + a_k^2 - 2a_k x)} b_{n,k}. \]

iii) $b_{n,k}(a_k - a_{m+1})(1 - a_k a_{m+1}) = b_{m+1,k}$,

iv) $b_{m+1,m+1} = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{m} b_{m,k} \frac{a_{m+1}(1 - a_k^2)}{(a_{m+1} - a_k)(1 - a_k a_{m+1})}$. 
Proof. Proof is shifted to Section 4. \qed

To describe briefly constants $B_n(a_n)$ let us define the following symmetric functions:

\begin{equation}
S_k^{(n)}(a_n) = \sum_{0 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \ldots < j_k \leq n} a_{j_1} a_{j_2} \ldots a_{j_k},
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\Delta_k^{(n)}(a_n) = \sum_{0 \leq j_1 + \ldots + j_k - 1 \leq n} a_{j_1} a_{j_2} \ldots a_{j_k},
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
P_n(a_n) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (1 - a_i a_j).
\end{equation}

As a corollary we have:

**Corollary 2.** We have $B_1(a) = 1.$ Using Mathematica we obtain: $B_2(a_1, a_2) = \frac{2 P_2(a_1, a_2)}{2 - a_1 a_2},$ $B_3(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \frac{4 P_3(a_3)}{T_3(a_3) + 3 - S_2(a_3)},$ $B_4(a_4) = \frac{P_4(a_4) + 7 - 3 S_2(a_4) + S_3(a_4) (S_4(a_4) - S_3(a_4) - S_2(a_4) (4 - 2 S_2(a_4) + 3 S_4(a_4))).}{8 P_3(a_4)}$

Following expansion (3.2) we can calculate all moments of the distribution with the density $f_{nT}.$

**Corollary 3.**

\[ \int_{-1}^{1} x^{2k} f_{nT}(x|a_n) dx = 2^{-2k} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{2k}{k-j} t_{2j}(a_n), \]

\[ \int_{-1}^{1} x^{2k+1} f_{nT}(x|a_n) dx = 2^{-2k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{2k+1}{k-j} t_{2j+1}(a_n), \]

where $t_k, k \geq 0$ are given by (5).

**Proof.** Both formulae are based on the formula $\int_{-1}^{1} T_m(x) f_{nT}(x|a_n) dx = t_m(a_n)/2,$ for $m \geq 1$ and $1$ if $m = 0.$ Now we use well known formulæ:

\[ x^{2k} = 2^{1-2k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \binom{2k}{k-j} T_{2j}(x) + \binom{2k}{k} 2^{-2k}, \]

\[ x^{2k+1} = 2^{-2k} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{2k+1}{k-j} T_{2j+1}(x). \]

\qed

In particular we have:

**Corollary 4.** Recall that $T_2(x) = 2x^2 - 1$ and assume that $X \sim f_{nT}(x|a_n),$ then $EX = t_1(a_n)/2,$ $\text{var}(X) = 1/2 + (t_2(a_n)/4 - t_1^2(a_n))$. If $n = 1$ we have $EX = a/2$ and $\text{var}(X) = 1/2.$ If $n = 2$ we get $EX = (a_1 + a_2)/2,$ $\text{var}(X) = B_2(a_1, a_2)/2 - \frac{a_1 a_2 (1 - a_1 a_2)}{4 (2 - a_1 a_2)}.$

Following expansion (3.2) we can get an expansion of orthogonal (with respect to the measure $f_{nT}$) polynomials in series of polynomials \{T_n\} and also the 3 term formula satisfied by these orthogonal polynomials. More precisely we have:
Theorem 2. Let us denote by \( d_{0,0} = 1 \) and for \( m \geq 1 \): \( d_{m,i}, \ i = 0, ..., m-1 \) solutions of the following system of linear equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
(3.8) \quad & \frac{1}{2} t_m + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} d_{m,i} t_i + d_{m,0} = 0, \\
(3.9) \quad & \frac{1}{2} (t_{m+j} + t_{m-j}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0, i \neq j}^{m-1} d_{m,i} (t_{i+j} + t_{i-j}) + d_{m,j} (t_{2j}/2 + 1) = 0,
\end{align*}
\]

for \( j = 1, ..., m-1 \), where \( t_j = t_j(a_n) \) are defined by (3.3). Then, polynomials

\[
p_m(x|a_n) = T_m(x) + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} d_{m,i} T_i(x),
\]

defined for \( m \geq 0 \) are orthogonal to with respect to the measure with the density \( f_{nT} \). Moreover, they satisfy the following 3 term recurrence:

\[
\begin{align*}
(3.10) \quad & p_{m+1}(x|a_n) - (2x + d_{m+1,m} - d_{m,m-1}) p_m(x|a_n) \\
(3.11) \quad & = (d_{m+1,m-1} - d_{m,m-2} - (d_{m+1,m} - d_{m,m-1}) d_{m,m-1}) p_m(x|a_n).
\end{align*}
\]

for \( m \geq 1 \) with \( p_0(x|a_n) = 0 \), \( p_0(x|a_n) = 1 \).

Proof. Let us notice that for \( p_m \) to be orthogonal with respect to \( f_{nT} \) we have to have for every \( m \geq 1 \): \( \int_{-1}^{1} T_j(x)p_m(x|a_n) f_{nT}(x|a_n) dx = 0 \) for \( j = 0, ..., m-1 \). Since we have \( 2T_j(x)T_{m-1}(x) = T_{j+m} + T_{|j-m|} \), and (1.2) we directly get (3.8) and (3.9). Now we have:

\[
2xp_m(x|a_n) = T_{m+1} + T_{m-1} + \sum_{i=0, i \neq 1}^{m-1} d_{m,i} (T_{i+1} + T_{i-1}) + d_{m,1} (T_2 + 1).
\]

Hence, \( p_{m+1}(x|a_n) - 2xp_m(x|a_n) = (d_{m+1,m} - d_{m,m-1}) T_m + (d_{m+1,m} - d_{m,m-1} - d_{m,m-2}) T_{m-1} + \) terms depending on \( T_i \), \( i = 0, ..., m-2 \).

Now notice that

\[
(d_{m+1,m} - d_{m,m-1}) p_m = (d_{m+1,m} - d_{m,m-1}) T_m + (d_{m+1,m} - d_{m,m-1}) d_{m,m-1} T_{m-1} + \text{terms of lower order}
\]

Since, certainly, defined above polynomials \( p_m \) are orthogonal hence, they satisfy some 3 term recurrence which is uniquely defined. \( \square \)

Let us start with \( n = 2 \). We have the following observation:

Corollary 5. Density \( f_{2T}(x|a_1, a_2) \) has the expansion:

\[
(3.12) \quad f_{2T}(x|a_1, a_2) = f_C(x) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t_k(a_1, a_2) T_k(x),
\]

where \( B_2 = \frac{2 - 2a_1 a_2}{2 - a_1 a_2} \) and \( t_k(a_1, a_2) = \frac{1}{2 - a_1 a_2} ((1 - a_1 a_2) \sum_{j=0}^{k} a_1^j a_2^{k-j} + a_1^k + a_2^k) \)

Proof. Following assertions of Theorem \( \square \) all one has to calculate are coefficients \( t_j(a_1, a_2) \). Recall that:

\[
2t_j(a_1, a_2) = B_2 \left( \frac{2a_1 - a_2 - a_1^2 a_2}{(a_1 - a_2)(1 - a_1 a_2)} + \frac{2a_2 - a_1 - a_2^2 a_1}{(a_2 - a_1)(1 - a_1 a_2)} \right).
\]
Following this we have:

\[
2t_j(a_1, a_2) = B_2\frac{2(a_1^{j+1} - a_2^{j+1}) - a_1a_2(a_1^{j-1} - a_2^{j-1}) - a_1a_2(a_1^{j+1} - a_2^{j+1})}{(a_1 - a_2)(1 - a_1a_2)}
\]

\[
= B_2\frac{2 - a_1a_2}{1 - a_1a_2}\sum_{k=0}^{j} a_1^{-k} a_2^k - 2B_2\frac{a_1a_2}{1 - a_1a_2}\sum_{k=0}^{j-2} a_1^{-2-k} a_2^k
\]

\[
= B_2\sum_{k=0}^{j} a_1^{-k} a_2^k + B_2\frac{1}{1 - a_1a_2}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{j} a_1^{-k} a_2^k - \sum_{k=0}^{j-2} a_1^{-1-k} a_2^{k+1}\right)
\]

\[
= \frac{2(1 - a_1a_2)}{2 - a_1a_2}\sum_{k=0}^{j} a_1^{-k} a_2^k + \frac{2\left(a_1^2 + a_2^2\right)}{2 - a_1a_2}.
\]

\[\square\]

**Remark 2.** To get expansion (3.2) or to find coefficients (3.1), crucial for the further calculations, we had to assume that all parameters \(a_i\) are different. However notice that this is only technical, artificial assumption. As far as (1.4) with (4.9) inserted is well defined for all \(|a_i| < 1\) even all or some equal. To be able to consider such case, one can pass with these \(a_j\) to limits \(a_i\) if we are to have \(a_j = a_i\) for some \(i\) and \(j\) where it is required.

### 3.1 Complex parameters.

In this subsection we will consider complex parameters \(a_i, i = 1, \ldots, n\). To do so we will assume that \(n\) is even and that the parameters \(\{a_i\}\) form conjugate pairs. To fix notation let us assume that the first two parameters form a first conjugate pair, the third and forth the second conjugate pair and so on. Let us denote

\[f_n(x|\rho_1, y_1, \rho_2, y_2, \ldots, \rho_n, y_n) = f_{2nT}(x|a_{2n}),\]

where we denoted \(a_1 = \rho_1 \exp(i\theta_1), a_2 = \rho_1 \exp(-i\theta_1), \ldots, a_{2n-1} = \rho_n \exp(i\theta_n), a_{2n} = \rho_n \exp(-i\theta_n)\) and \(\theta_i = y_i, i = 1, \ldots, n\).

Notice that for the pair \(a = \rho \exp(i\theta), b = \rho \exp(-i\theta)\) we have \((1 + a^2 - 2ax)(1 + b^2 - 2bx) = w(x, y|\rho)\) and \((1 - ax)(1 - bx) = 1 - 2\rho xy + \rho^2 x^2\), where as before \(y = \cos \theta\).

**Corollary 6.** \(f_2(x|\rho, y) = f_C(x)\frac{2(1 - \rho^2)}{2 - \rho^2} \frac{1 - 2xy + \rho^2 x^2}{w(x, y|\rho)}\) allows the following expansion: (3.13)

\[f_2(x|\rho, y) = f_C(x) + \frac{1 - \rho^2}{2 - \rho^2} f_C(x) \sum_{j \geq 1} \rho^j T_j(x) U_j(y) + \frac{2}{2 - \rho^2} f_C(x) \sum_{j \geq 1} \rho^j T_j(x) T_j(y).\]

**Proof.** We use (5.12). Next we observe: \(\sum_{j=0}^{k} a_1^{k-j} a_2^j = \rho^k \sum_{j=0}^{k} \exp(i(k-j)\theta)\exp(-i(k-j)\theta) = \rho^k \frac{\exp(-ik\theta)(1 - \exp(i(k+1)\theta))}{1 - \exp(2i\theta)} = \rho^k \frac{2i \sin(k+1)\theta}{2i \sin \theta} = \rho^k U_k(y).\) Secondly we have: \(a_1^2 + a_2^2 = 2\rho^2 \cos k\theta = 2\rho^2 T_k(y)\) and finally: \(a_1 a_2 = \rho^2.\)

**Corollary 7.** i) \(\int_{-1}^{1} f_2(x|\rho, y) f_C(y) dy = f_C(x) + \frac{1 - \rho^2}{2 - \rho^2} f_C(x) \sum_{j \geq 1} \rho^{2j} T_{2j}(x) = f_C(x)\frac{2(1 + \rho^2 - x^2 - \rho^2(3 - \rho^2))}{(2 - \rho^2)(1 + \rho^2)(2 - 4\rho^2 x^2)}\).

ii) \(\int_{-1}^{1} f_2(x|\rho, y) f_W(y) dy = f_C(x)(1 - \frac{\rho x}{2 - \rho^2})\)
Proposition 2. Let \( x \in \mathbb{R} \) or \( y \in \mathbb{R} \) and versatile. For example we have plots of \( f \) comes from the application of (2.8).

Proof. i) The first formula comes straightforwardly from (3.13). The second one comes from the application of (2.8).

\[ \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} T_n(y) \sqrt{1 - y^2} dy = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} U_1(y) \sqrt{1 - y^2} dy = 0 \]

\[ \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} T_1(y) \sqrt{1 - y^2} dy = -\frac{1}{2}. \]

\[ \square \]

Remark 3. Notice that \( f_2(x|y, \rho) \) as well as the densities exposed in Corollary 7 are conditional densities. Hence in particular, for every \( |y| \leq 1 \) and \( |\rho| < 1 \) we get

\[ \int_{-1}^{1} f_2(x|y, \rho) dx = 1 \quad \text{or for every} \quad |\rho| < 1 \quad \text{we get} \quad \int_{-1}^{1} f_C(x) \frac{2(1 + \rho^2 - x^2 \rho^2)^2}{(1 + \rho^2)^2 - 4 \rho^2 x^2} dx = 1 \]

or \( \int_{-1}^{1} f_C(x)(1 - \frac{x^2}{\rho^2}) dx = 1 \). Besides, shapes of \( f_2 \) for different \( y \) and \( \rho \) are very versatile. For example we have plots of \( f_2(x|y, \rho) \) for \( |x| < 1 \) for different values of \( y \) and \( \rho \)

Plot ??

Here red plot is for \( y = .5, \rho = .5 \), blue for \( y = -1/5, \rho = 4/5 \), green for \( y = 1/4, \rho = 3/4 \). One can notice that for some values of \( y \) and \( \rho \) the plot has one maximum at some \( x \in (-1, 1) \).

Further, as \( n > 2 \) is concerned we have:

Proposition 2. Let \( n = 4 \). For \( a_1 = \rho_1 \exp(i\theta_1) \), \( a_2 = \rho_1 \exp(-i\theta_1) \), \( a_4 = \rho_2 \exp(i\theta_2) \), \( a_2 = \rho_2 \exp(-i\theta_2) \) we get

\[ B_4(a_4) = B_4(y_1, \rho_1, y_2, \rho_2) = \frac{8(1 - \rho_1^2)(1 - \rho_2^2)w(y_1, y_2|\rho_1, \rho_2)}{\alpha(\rho_1, \rho_2) + 4(\beta_1(\rho_1, \rho_2)y_1^2 + \beta_2(\rho_1, \rho_2)y_2^2) - 4\kappa(\rho_1, \rho_2)y_1y_2} \]

where \( y_1 = \cos(\theta_1), y_2 = \cos(\theta_2) \), \( \alpha(\rho_1, \rho_2) = (1 - \rho_1^2)(1 - \rho_2^2)(1 - \rho_1^2)(1 - \rho_2^2) \), \( \beta_1(\rho_1, \rho_2) = \rho_1^2 \rho_2^2(1 - \rho_1^2)(1 - \rho_2^2) \), \( \beta_2(\rho_1, \rho_2) = \rho_1^2 \rho_2^2(1 - \rho_1^2)(2 - \rho_2^2) \), \( \beta_3(\rho_1, \rho_2) = \rho_1^2 \rho_2^2(1 - \rho_1^2)(2 - \rho_2^2) \), \( \kappa(\rho_1, \rho_2) = \rho_1 \rho_2(2 + \rho_1^2)(2 + \rho_2^2) \).

Consequently

\[ f_4(x|y_1, \rho_1, y_2, \rho_2) = f_C(x)B_4(y_1, \rho_1, y_2, \rho_2) \frac{(1 - 2\rho_1 xy_1 + \rho_1^2 x^2)(1 - 2\rho_2 xy_2 + \rho_2^2 x^2)}{w(x, y_1|\rho_1) w(x, y_2|\rho_2)} \]

\[ w(x, y|\rho) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} (x^2 + y^2 - 2\rho xy)} \]
is a conditional density i.e. \( |y_1|, |y_2| \leq 1, |\rho_1|, |\rho_2| < 1 \)

\[
\int_{-1}^{1} f_4(x|y_1, \rho_1, y_2, \rho_2) dx = 1.
\]

**Proof.** We have \( P_4(\mathbf{a}_4) = (1-\rho_1^2)(1-\rho_2^2) \times (1-\rho_1 \rho_2 \exp(i(\theta_1 - \theta_2))) (1-\rho_1 \rho_2 \exp(-i(\theta_1 - \theta_2))) = (1-\rho_1^2)(1-\rho_2^2) \times (1+\rho_1^2 \rho_2^2 - 2\rho_1 \rho_2 \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2)) \times (1+\rho_1^2 \rho_2^2 - 2\rho_1 \rho_2 \cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2)) = (1-\rho_1^2)(1-\rho_2^2)((1+\rho_1^2 \rho_2^2)^2 - 4y_1 y_2 \rho_1 \rho_2 (1+\rho_1^2 \rho_2^2) + 2\rho_1^2 \rho_2^2 (y_2^2 - 1 + 2y_1^2 - 1)), \) since \( \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2) \cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2) = (\cos(2\theta_1) + \cos(2\theta_2))/2, \cos(2\theta_1) = T_2(y_1) = 2y_1^2 - 1, \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2) + \cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2) = 2y_1 y_2. \) Consequently \( P_4(\mathbf{a}_4) = (1-\rho_1^2)(1-\rho_2^2) w(y_1, y_2, \rho_1, \rho_2). \)

\( S_4(\mathbf{a}_4) = \rho_1^2 \rho_2^2, S_3(\mathbf{a}_4) = \rho_1^2 \rho_2 \exp(i\theta_2) + \rho_1^2 \rho_2 \exp(-i\theta_2) + \rho_2^2 \rho_1 \exp(i\theta_1) + \rho_2^2 \rho_1 \exp(-i\theta_1) = 2\rho_1 \rho_2 y_2 + 2\rho_2^2 \rho_1 y_2 \).

\( S_2(\mathbf{a}_4) = \rho_1^2 + \rho_2^2 + \rho_1 \rho_2 (\exp(i(\theta_1 + \theta_2)) + \exp(-i(\theta_1 + \theta_2)) + \exp(i(\theta_1 - \theta_2)) + \exp(-i(\theta_1 - \theta_2)) = \rho_1^2 + \rho_2^2 + \rho_1 \rho_2 (2 \cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2) + 2 \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2)) = \rho_1^2 + \rho_2^2 + 4\rho_1 \rho_2 (y_1 y_2) = 0, \)

\( S_1(\mathbf{a}_4) = 2\rho_1 y_1 + 2\rho_2 y_2. \) Now we use Corollary 2 and get \( P_4(\mathbf{a}_4) = 7 - 3S_2(\mathbf{a}_4) + S_3(\mathbf{a}_4) (S_1(\mathbf{a}_4) - S_3(\mathbf{a}_4)) - S_4(\mathbf{a}_4) (4 - 2S_2(\mathbf{a}_4) + 3S_3(\mathbf{a}_4)) = (1-\rho_1^2)(1-\rho_2^2) w(y_1, y_2, \rho_1, \rho_2) + 7 - 3\rho_1^2 + \rho_2^2 + 2\rho_1 \rho_2 y_1 y_2 + 2\rho_1 \rho_2 (y_1 y_2) = 2\rho_1 \rho_2 (y_1 y_2) - \rho_1^2 + \rho_2^2 + 4\rho_1 \rho_2 y_1 y_2 - \rho_1^2 \rho_2^2 (4 - 2\rho_1^2 + \rho_2^2 + 4\rho_1 \rho_2 y_1 y_2) + 3\rho_1^2 \rho_2^2. \)

**Remark 4.** As one can check with a help of Mathematica this time for some values of \( y_1, y_2, \rho_1, \rho_2 \) the plot of \( f_4 \) has two maxima for some two values of \( x \in (-1, 1) \).

### 4. Proofs

**Proof of the Proposition 7** First let us show (2.3). We will use (2.1) and \( T_n(x) = U_n(x) - x U_{n-1}(x) \). We have:

\[
g_{TV}(x, y|\rho) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho^j U_j(x) T_j(y) = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \rho^j U_j(x) (U_j(y) - y U_{j-1}(y))
\]

\[
= 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \rho^j U_j(x) U_j(y) - y \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \rho^j U_j(x) U_{j-1}(y)
\]

and further

\[
= \frac{1 - \rho^2}{w(x, y|\rho)} - yp \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho^j U_{j+1}(x) U_j(y) = \frac{1 - \rho^2}{w(x, y|\rho)} - yp \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho^j (2x U_j(x) - U_{j-1}(x)) U_j(y) = \frac{1 - \rho^2}{w(x, y|\rho)} - 2xy \rho \frac{1 - \rho^2}{w(x, y|\rho)} + yp \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \rho^j U_{j-1}(x) U_j(y)
\]
and finally
\[
\frac{(1 - \rho^2)(1 - 2xy\rho)}{w(x, y|\rho)} + y\rho^2 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho^j U_j(x)U_{j+1}(y) = \frac{(1 - \rho^2)(1 - 2xy\rho)}{w(x, y|\rho)} + y\rho^2 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho^j U_j(x)(2yU_j(y) - U_{j-1}(y))
\]
\[
= \frac{(1 - \rho^2)(1 - 2xy\rho)}{w(x, y|\rho)} + 2y^2\rho^2 \frac{1 - \rho^2}{w(x, y|\rho)} - y\rho^2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \rho^j U_j(x)U_{j-1}(y)
\]

Hence, we have an equation:
\[
\frac{1 - \rho^2}{w(x, y|\rho)} - yB = \frac{(1 - \rho^2)(1 - 2xy\rho + 2y^2\rho^2)}{w(x, y|\rho)} - y\rho^2 B,
\]
where we denoted \( B = B(x, y|\rho) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \rho^j U_j(x)U_{j-1}(y) \). So
\[
B(x, y|\rho) = \frac{2\rho(x - py)}{w(x, y|\rho)}.
\]

and consequently
\[
g_{TV}(x, y|\rho) = \frac{(1 - \rho^2)}{w(x, y|\rho)} - 2\frac{\rho(x - py)}{w(x, y|\rho)} = \frac{(1 - \rho^2) - 2\rho xy + 2\rho^2 y^2}{w(x, y|\rho)}.
\]

Hence, we have shown (2.3) and (2.4). Using, well known relationship that \( T_n(x) = (U_n(x) - U_{n-2}(x))/2 \), \( n \geq 1 \) we have
\[
(4.1) \quad g_{TV}(x, y|\rho) = 1 + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n \geq 1} \rho^n(U_n(x) - U_{n-2}(x))(U_n(y) - U_{n-2}(y))
\]
\[
(4.2) \quad = 3 + \frac{1}{4} g_{TV}(x, y|\rho) + \rho^2 g_{TV}(x, y|\rho)/4 - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n \geq 1} \rho^n(U_n(x)U_{n-2}(y) + U_{n-2}(x)U_n(y)).
\]

Now we use formula \( U_{n+1}(x) = 2xU_n(x) - U_{n-1}(x) \) getting:
\[
(4.3) \quad g_{TV}(x, y|\rho) = \frac{(1 + 3\rho^2)}{4} g_{TV}(x, y|\rho) - \frac{1}{4} \rho^2 A(x, y|\rho),
\]
where \( A(x, y|\rho) = x \sum_{n \geq 0} \rho^nU_{n+1}(x)U_n(y) + y \sum_{n \geq 0} \rho^nU_{n+1}(y)U_n(x) \). We calculate \( A(x, y|\rho) \) using (2.3) getting
\[
A(x, y|\rho) = xB(x, y|\rho)/\rho + yB(y, x|\rho)/\rho = \frac{2(x^2 + y^2 - 2\rho xy)}{w(x, y|\rho)}.
\]

Inserting in (4.3) we get (2.5). Thus, we have shown (2.3) and (2.4).

Now to show (2.6) we have denoting \( A(x, y) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho^jT_j(x)T_{j+1}(y) \):
\[
A(x, y) = 2y \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho^jT_j(x)T_j(y) - y \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho^jT_j(x)T_{j-1}(y)
\]
\[
= 2y \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho^jT_j(x)T_j(y) - y - yA(y, x),
\]
since \(T_{-1}(y) = y\). Now iterating we obtain equation:

\[
(1 - \rho^2)A(x, y) = (y - \rho x)(2 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho^j T_j(x) T_j(y) - 1).
\]

Now we use (2.2). Finally to get (2.6) we put \(y = x\) in equation (4.1) (2).

**Proof of Lemma 7 (iii)** follows directly definition given by (3.1).

i) We justify it by induction. For \(n = 1\) we obviously have

\[
(4.4) \quad \frac{x-a}{x-b} = 1 + \frac{b-a}{x-b}.
\]

Now assuming that it is true for \(n = m\). For \(n = m + 1\) we have:

\[
\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{x-a_i}{x-b_i} + \frac{b_{m+1} - a_{m+1}}{x-b_{m+1}} = 1 + \frac{b_{m+1} - a_{m+1}}{x-b_{m+1}} + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \prod_{i=1, i \neq k}^{m} \frac{(b_k - a_i)}{x-b_k} + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \prod_{i=1, i \neq k}^{m} \frac{(b_k - a_i)(b_{m+1} - a_{m+1})}{(x-b_k)(x-b_{m+1})}.
\]

Now notice that

\[
(4.5) \quad \frac{1}{c(c-d)} = \frac{1}{x-c)(x-d)} + \frac{1}{x-d}(d-c).
\]

We apply it with \(c = b_{m+1}\) and \(d = b_k\). So we have:

\[
\prod_{i=1}^{m+1} \frac{x-a_i}{x-b_i} = 1 + \frac{b_{m+1} - a_{m+1}}{x-b_{m+1}} + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \prod_{i=1, i \neq k}^{m} \frac{(b_k - a_i)}{x-b_k} + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \prod_{i=1, i \neq k}^{m} \frac{(b_k - a_i)(b_{m+1} - a_{m+1})}{(x-b_k)(x-b_{m+1})}.
\]

Now notice that by induction assumption with \(x = b_{m+1}\) we have:

\[
1 + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{m} (b_k - a_i)}{(b_{m+1} - b_k)\prod_{i=1, i \neq k}^{m} (b_k - b_i)} = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{b_{m+1} - a_i}{b_{m+1} - b_i}.
\]

Hence we get

\[
\frac{b_{m+1} - a_{m+1}}{x-b_{m+1}}(1+\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{m} (b_k - a_i)}{(b_{m+1} - b_k)\prod_{i=1, i \neq k}^{m} (b_k - b_i)}) = \frac{1}{x-b_{m+1}} \prod_{i=1}^{m+1} \frac{(b_{m+1} - a_i)}{b_{m+1} - b_i}.
\]

Next we have

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{m} (b_k - a_i)}{(x-b_k)\prod_{i=1, i \neq k}^{m} (b_k - b_i)} = \prod_{k=1}^{m} \frac{1}{x-b_k}\prod_{i=1, i \neq k}^{m} (b_k - b_i) = \prod_{k=1}^{m} \frac{1}{x-b_k}\prod_{i=1, i \neq k}^{m+1} (b_k - b_i).
\]

Hence we have proved i). Now notice that to get ii) we have to take in i) \(a_i = 1/a_i\), \(b_i = (a_i + 1/a_i)/2\). Then \(b_m - a_k = \gamma(a_m, a_k)/(2a_m a_k)\), \(b_k - b_i = (a_i - a_k)(1 - \gamma(a_m, a_k)/(2a_m a_k))\).
We have relationship \(1 = B_n(a_n)(1/2^n + \frac{(\sum(1-a_k^2)b_{n,k}/(1-a_k^2))/2^n)}{2^n}).\)
To get the second assertion we use expansion (4.6) and identity \( \frac{1-a_kx}{(1+a_k^2-2a_kx)} - \frac{1}{2} \).

We get then:

\[
\begin{align*}
    f_{nT}(x|\mathbf{a}_n) &= B_n(\mathbf{a}_n) f_C(x) + \frac{B_n(\mathbf{a}_n)}{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{n,k} T_k(x) \\
    &= (B_n(\mathbf{a}_n)/2^{n-1} - 1) f_C(x) + f_C(x) B_n(\mathbf{a}_n) \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{n,k} T_k(x) \\
    &\quad + B_n(\mathbf{a}_n) \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} T_j(x) \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{n,k} a_k^j \\
    &= (B_n(\mathbf{a}_n)/2^{n-1} - 1) f_C(x) + f_C(x) B_n(\mathbf{a}_n) \frac{2}{B_n(\mathbf{a}_n)} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{n-1} \\
    &\quad + B_n(\mathbf{a}_n) \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} T_j(x) \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{n,k} a_k^j.
\end{align*}
\]

Finally we recall definition of \( B_n(\mathbf{a}_n) \).

\[ \square \]
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