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Abstract

In a wireless sensor network, the virtual backbone plays an important role. Due to accidental damage or energy depletion, it is desirable that the virtual backbone is fault-tolerant. A fault-tolerant virtual backbone can be modeled as a $k$-connected $m$-fold dominating set ($(k, m)$-CDS for short). In this paper, we present a constant approximation algorithm for the minimum weight $(k, m)$-CDS problem in unit disk graph under the assumption that $m \geq k$. Prior to this work, constant approximation algorithms are known for $k = 1$ with weight and $2 \leq k \leq 3$ without weight. Our result is the first constant approximation algorithm for the $(k, m)$-CDS problem with general $k, m$ and with weight. The performance ratio is $(\alpha + 5\rho)$ for $k \geq 3$ and $(\alpha + 2.5\rho)$ for $k = 2$, where $\alpha$ is the performance ratio for the minimum weight $m$-fold dominating set problem and $\rho$ is the performance ratio for the subset $k$-connected subgraph problem. Using currently best known ratios for $\alpha$ and $\rho$, the performance ratio is $O(k^2 \log k)$ for $k \geq 3$ and $(8 + \varepsilon)$ for $k = 2$, where $\varepsilon$ is an arbitrary positive real number.
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1 Introduction

Sensors have been applied for collecting data with many kinds of purposes, such as safety protection system, environment monitoring, manufacture process management, healthcare etc. Especially, sensors are important sources of big data.

Usually, a sensor has a small energy storage and a limited ability to process data. Hence, they have to share information through multihop transmissions. Every sensor has a transmission range. Any device located within this range is able to receive data sent by
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the sensor. Such a mechanism enables sensors to form a wireless communication network, which is often required to be connected.

Different from wired network, wireless network does not have a prefixed infrastructure. Instead, virtual backbone is used in the implementation of network operations, such as broadcast, multicast, and unicast. A virtual backbone is a subset of network nodes and every network operation request can be reduced to a corresponding operation on the virtual backbone. Such a reduction plays an important role in saving storage and energy. Besides, through such a reduction, it is easy to design algorithm agreement and analyze the complexity of implementation. To achieve such a goal, there are two requirements for every virtual backbone. The first is that every network node is adjacent with a virtual backbone node, so that it can communicate with the virtual backbone. Secondly, the set of backbone nodes should be connected, so that information can be shared in the virtual backbone (and thus the whole network combining with the first requirement). To meet these requirements, a virtual backbone can be modeled as a connected dominating set (CDS).

Given a graph $G = (V, E)$, a dominating set (DS) is a subset $D$ of $V$ such that each node $v$ in $V \setminus D$ is adjacent with at least one node of $D$. A node in $D$ adjacent with $v$ is called a dominator of $v$. A dominating set $D$ is a connected dominating set (CDS) if the subgraph of $G$ induced by $D$, denoted as $G[D]$, is connected.

Because the energy of a sensor is supplied by battery. If the battery is depleted, then the sensor can no longer work. When sensors are deployed in hostile environment, charging or recharging batteries is impossible. This brings us issues of fault-tolerance and energy efficiency.

In practice, due to energy depletion and accidental damage, it is desirable that the virtual backbone is fault-tolerant, in the sense that it can still work when some backbone nodes fail. This consideration leads to the concept of minimum $k$-connected $m$-fold dominating set problem (abbreviated as $(k, m)$-MCDS), the goal of which is to find a minimum node set $D$ such that every node in $V \setminus D$ has at least $m$-neighbors in $D$ and the subgraph $G[D]$ is $k$-connected.

In many applications, different sensors have different significance which leads to different weights on different nodes. In such a setting, it is desirable to find a virtual backbone with minimum weight instead of minimum cardinality. Also, minimum weight sensor cover plays an important role for the study of maximum lifetime problem in a wireless sensor network [2]. In fact, by a result of Garg and K"onemann [18], if the minimum weight sensor cover problem has a $\rho$-approximation, then the maximum lifetime problem will have a $(\rho + \varepsilon)$-approximation.

Motivated by these considerations, we study the minimum weight $k$-connected $m$-fold dominating set problem (abbreviated as $(k, m)$-MWCDS), the goal of which is to find a node set $D$ which is a $(k, m)$-CDS with the minimum total weight.

In this paper, we consider a homogeneous wireless sensor network, which means that all sensors have the same transmission range. We assume that each sensor is equipped with an omnidirectional antenna with transmission radius being one. Hence its transmission range is a disk with radius one centered at this sensor. Two sensors can communicate with each other if and only if they fall into the transmission ranges of each other. Therefore, the communication network of such a wireless sensor network can be formulated as a unit
**disk graph** in which all nodes lie in the Euclidean plane and an edge exists between two nodes if and only if their Euclidean distance is at most one.

In this paper, we present the first constant approximation algorithm for \((k, m)\)-MWCDS in unit disk graph, where \(m \geq k \geq 2\).

### 1.1 Related Works

The concept of virtual backbone in a wireless sensor network was first proposed by Das and Bharghavan [9]. This motivates the study of minimum connected dominating set (MCDS) in graphs, especially in unit disk graphs.

It is known that MCDS is NP–hard even in unit disk graphs [6]. Moreover, MCDS cannot be polynomial-time approximated within a factor of \((1 - \varepsilon)\ln n\) for any \(\varepsilon > 0\) in general graphs [20].

For the MCDS problem, using partition method, Cheng et al. [5] gave a polynomial-time approximation scheme (PTAS) in unit disk graph. By essentially the same method but different analysis, Zhang et al. [38] obtained a PTAS in unit ball graph (a generalization of unit disk graph to higher dimensional space). These are both centralized algorithms.

As to distributed algorithms for MCDS, Wan et al. [30] were the first to propose a constant approximation. Their algorithm has performance ratio 8. This ratio depends on \(\text{mis}(n)\), which is the maximum number of independent points (points with mutual distance greater than one) in the union of \(n\) unit disks which induce a connected unit disk graph. After a series of improvements [17, 19, 24, 31, 36], the current best upper bounds for \(\text{mis}(n)\) is \(3.399n + 4.874\) [24].

The weighted version MWCDS is much more difficult. The first constant approximation algorithm for MWCDS in unit disk graph was proposed byAmbühl et al. [1]. Their performance ratio is 89, which consists of a 72-approximation for the minimum weight dominating set problem (MWDS) in unit disk graph and a 17-approximation for the connecting part. The step stone for their 72-approximation for MWDS is the observation that the minimum weight *strip outside cover* problem (in which points in a strip are to be covered by unit disks whose centers are outside of the strip) can be solved in polynomial time by dynamic programming. Huang et al. [21] reduce the ratio for MWDS from 72 to \((6 + \varepsilon)\) by introducing a new technique called “double partition”, and reduce the ratio for the connecting part from 17 to 4, making use of a minimum weight spanning tree in an auxiliary weighted complete graph. Later, the ratio for MWDS was further improved to \((5 + \varepsilon)\) by Dai and Yu [8], to \((4 + \varepsilon)\) by Zou et al. [11] and independently Erlebach and Mihalák [14], and to \((3 + \varepsilon)\) recently [35]. For the connecting part, Zou et al. [40] gave a \(2.5\rho_0\)-approximation, where \(\rho_0\) is the performance ratio for the minimum Steiner tree problem. Using currently best known ratio \(\rho_0 = 1.39\), the performance ratio for the connecting part is 3.475.


The study on fault-tolerant virtual backbone was initiated by Dai and Wu [7]. They presented three localized heuristic algorithms for \((k, k)\)-MCDS, but no analysis on the performance ratio was given. Wang et al. [32] provided a 72-approximation for \((2, 1)\)-
MCDS in unit disk graph. Their strategy is to first find a connected dominating set and then increase its connectivity to two by adding paths connecting different blocks (a block is a subgraph without cut nodes and is maximal with respect to this property). The crucial point to the performance ratio is that for a connected dominating set in unit disk graph, there always exists a path between different blocks with at most eight internal nodes. In the case \( m \geq 2 \), Shang et al. [27] gave an \( \alpha_m \)-approximation for \((2, m)\)-MCDS in unit disk graph, where \( \alpha_m = 15 + \frac{15}{m} \) for \( 2 \leq m \leq 5 \) and \( \alpha_m = 21 \) for \( m > 5 \). Their algorithm first finds a \((1, m)\)-CDS and then augments the connectivity to two. A key observation is that in the case \( m \geq 2 \), there always exists a path between different blocks with at most two internal nodes. Wang et al. [33] gave the first constant approximation for \((3, m)\)-MCDS in unit disk graph, which was further improved in [34].

There are also some works on \((k, m)\)-MCDS for general \( k \) and \( m \), in a unit disk graph [25, 37] or even in a disk graph [29] (which models a heterogeneous wireless sensor network). However, for \( k \geq 4 \), whether there exists a constant approximation algorithm for \((k, m)\)-MCDS on unit disk graph is still unknown.

For \((k, m)\)-MCDS in general graphs, Zhou et al. [39] presented a \( \beta \)-approximation for \((1, m)\)-MCDS and Shi et al. [28] presented a \((\beta + 2(1 + \ln \beta))\)-approximation for \((2, m)\)-MCDS with \( m \geq 2 \), where \( \beta = 2 + H(\Delta + m - 2) \) and \( H(\gamma) = \sum_{i=1}^{\gamma} 1/i \) is the Harmonic number. Since \( H(\gamma) \approx \ln \gamma + 0.577 \), these algorithms have performance ratio \( \ln \Delta + o(\ln \Delta) \). In view of the inapproximability of this problem [20], these ratios are asymptotically best possible. For \( k > 1 \), there is no work on weighted version of \((k, m)\)-CDS in general graphs.

### 1.2 Our Contributions

Recall that for \( k \geq 4 \), whether \((k, m)\)-MCDS on unit disk graph has a constant approximation is still unknown, even in the simpler case without weight. In this paper, we answer this open problem confirmatively by presenting a constant approximation algorithm for \((k, m)\)-MWCDS (with weight), where \( m \geq k \). The algorithm is executed in two steps: First it finds an \( m \)-fold dominating set \( D \). Then, it computes a \( k \)-connected subgraph \( F \) containing \( D \). To realize the second step, we design an approximation algorithm for the minimum node-weighted \( k \)-connected Steiner network problem (MNWkCSN), by implementing an approximation algorithm for the subset \( k \)-connected subgraph problem (SkCS). We prove that for unit disk graph, our algorithm for MNWkCSN has performance ratio \( 5\rho \) when \( k \geq 3 \) and \( 2.5\rho \) when \( k = 2 \), where \( \rho \) is the performance ratio for SkCS. Combining these two steps together, our algorithm for \((k, m)\)-MWCDS has performance ratio \((\alpha + 5\rho)\) when \( k \geq 3 \) and \((\alpha + 2.5\rho)\) when \( k = 2 \), where \( \alpha \) is the performance ratio for the minimum weight \( m \)-fold dominating set problem.

In [15], Erlebach et al. obtained a \((6 + \varepsilon)\)-approximation for the minimum weight 2-fold dominating set problem in unit disk graph. Recently, we [35] improved this ratio to \( 3 + \varepsilon \) for the minimum weight \( m \)-fold dominating set problem which is valid for any positive integer \( m \). As to SkCS, the best known ratio is \( \rho = O(k^2 \log k) \) due to Nutov [26] when \( k \geq 3 \) and \( \rho = 2 \) when \( k = 2 \) due to Fleischer [16]. So our algorithm has performance ratio \( O(k^2 \log k) \) for \( k \geq 3 \) and performance ratio \( (8 + \varepsilon) \) for \( k = 2 \), which are constant for fixed integer \( k \).

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the algorithm
together with its performance ratio analysis. Section 3 concludes the paper and proposes some future work.

2 Approximation algorithm for \((k, m)\)-MWCDS

**Definition 2.1** \((k\text{-}m)\)-fold dominating set \(((k, m)\text{-CDS})\). Given a graph \(G = (V, E)\), two positive integers \(k\) and \(m\), and a cost function \(c : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+\), a node subset \(D \subseteq V\) is a \((k, m)\)-CDS if

(a) every node in \(V \setminus D\) is adjacent with at least \(m\) nodes of \(D\), and

(b) the subgraph of \(G\) induced by \(D\) is \(k\)-connected.

The minimum weight \(k\)-connected \(m\)-fold dominating set problem, abbreviated as \((k, m)\)-MWCDS, is to find a \((k, m)\)-CDS \(D\) with \(c(D) = \sum_{v \in D} c(v)\) minimized. In particular, if \(c \equiv 1\), then we have the unweighted minimum \(k\)-connected \(m\)-fold dominating set problem, abbreviated as \((k, m)\)-MCDS.

In this section, we shall design a constant approximation algorithm for \((k, m)\)-MWCDS, where \(m \geq k\). In Subsection 2.1 a geometric property for unit disk graph is obtained, showing that every \(k\)-connected unit disk graph has a \(k\)-connected spanning subgraph whose maximum degree is upper bounded by a constant (related with \(k\)). In Subsection 2.2 we present an approximation algorithm for the minimum node-weighted \(k\)-connected Steiner network problem in unit disk graph. The algorithm for \((k, m)\)-MWCDS is presented in Subsection 2.3.

2.1 \(k\)-Connected Spanning Subgraph of Unit Disk Graph

In the following, we always assume that the unit disk graph \(G\) is embedded on the plane, and the length of an edge \(uv\) is the Euclidean length of line segment \(uv\), denoted as \(||uv||\). The length of a subgraph \(F\) of \(G\) is \(\text{len}(F) = \sum_{e \in E(F)} ||e||\).

In [22], Hohberg gave a kind of decomposition of \(k\)-connected graph. We describe it in the following, using language which is consistent with this paper.

Let \(G = (V, E)\) be a simple graph. For a node subset \(S \subseteq V\), the subgraph of \(G\) induced by \(S\) is denoted as \(G[S]\). We shall use \(K_S\) to denote a complete graph on node set \(S\). For a connected graph \(G\), a node set \(S\) is a separator of \(G\) if \(G \setminus S\) is disconnected. A separator of size \(k\) is called a \(k\)-separator. Since parallel edges and loops do not affect the vertex connectivity, we always assume that the graph under consideration is simple. Hence when multi graph is created by some operation, redundant edges are removed to keep the graph to be simple.

**Definition 2.2** \((S\text{-component and marked } S\text{-component})\). Let \(G\) be a \(k\)-connected graph, \(S\) be a \(k\)-separator of \(G\), and \(C\) be a connected component of \(G \setminus S\). The subgraph \(G[C \cup S]\) is called an \(S\)-component of \(G\), and the graph \(G[C \cup S] \cup K_S\) is called a marked \(S\)-component.

Notice that the difference between an \(S\)-component and its corresponding marked \(S\)-component is that the marked \(S\)-component may have more edges because of the addition of \(K_S\), which are called virtual edges. The reason why virtual edges are used can be seen
from the following lemma. Its proof is easy. For better understanding of the decomposed structure, we include its proof here.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let $G$ be a $k$-connected graph and $S$ be a $k$-separator of $G$. Then any marked $S$-component of $G$ is also $k$-connected.

*Proof.* Consider a marked $S$-component $G'$ of $G$. Suppose $S'$ is a separator of $G'$ and $|S'| < k$. Let $G'_1$ be the component of $G' - S'$ which contains $K_S \setminus S'$ (notice that $K_S \setminus S' \neq \emptyset$ since $|S| \geq k$ and $|S'| < k$, and $K_S \setminus S'$ induces a connected subgraph of $G'$), and let $G'_2$ be another component of $G' - S'$. Then we see that any path in $G$ (not only in $G'$) which connects $G'_1$ and $G'_2$ must go through $S'$, and thus $S'$ is also a separator of $G$, contradicting that $G$ is $k$-connected. 

Suppose $G$ is a $k$-connected graph which has a $k$-separator $S$. By Lemma 2.3, $G$ can be decomposed into several marked $S$-components which are also $k$-connected. If any one of them, say $G'$, also has a $k$-separator $S'$, then $G'$ can be further decomposed into several marked $S'$-components. Proceeding like this until no marked component has $k$-separators. Notice that a $k$-connected graph without $k$-separator is either a complete graph on $k + 1$ vertices or a $(k + 1)$-connected graph. Call such a graph a $k$-block (a $k$-block is usually defined as a $k$-connected subgraph which is maximal with respect to such a property). So, any $k$-connected graph can be decomposed into a set of $k$-blocks. Pasting these $k$-blocks through those $k$-separators used in the decomposition, graph $G$ has a tree-like structure. To be more concrete, let $B_k(G)$ be a bipartite graph with bipartition $(X, Y)$, where every vertex in $X$ corresponds to a $k$-block in the final decomposition and every vertex in $Y$ corresponds to a $k$-separator used in the decomposition. Vertex $x \in X$ is adjacent with vertex $y \in Y$ in $B_k(G)$ if and only if the $k$-separator corresponding to $y$ is contained in the $k$-block corresponding to $x$. Then $B_k(G)$ is a tree. We call $B_k(G)$ as the $k$-block tree of $G$. Those $k$-blocks which correspond to leaves of $B_k(G)$ are called leaf $k$-blocks. An illustration for this decomposition is given in Fig.1.
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**Figure 1:** (a) The $k$–block structure of a graph. Each ellipse represents a $k$–block. There are two $k$–separators in this graph, namely $S_1$ and $S_2$. (b) The $k$-block tree of $G$. There are three leaf $k$–blocks in $G$, namely $x_1, x_3$ and $x_4$, which are leaves of the $k$-block tree.

The neighbor set of a node $u$ in graph $G$ is denoted as $N_G(u)$. Its degree $d_G(u) = |N_G(u)|$. For a $k$-connected graph $G$, a minimum length $k$–connected spanning subgraph of $G$ is abbreviated as $k$-MSS.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let $F$ be a $k$–MSS of a $k$–connected unit disk graph $G$. Then the maximum degree of $F$ is no more than $5k$. 
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Proof. Because $F$ is minimal with respect to $k$-connectivity, for any edge $uv$, we have the following properties (see Fig.2(a) for an illustration):

(a) $F - uv$ has connectivity $k - 1$. The $(k - 1)$-block tree of $F - uv$ is a path. Nodes $u, v$ belong to the two leaf $(k - 1)$-blocks of $F - uv$, respectively. Denote by $B_u$ and $B_v$ the two leaf $(k - 1)$-blocks of $F - uv$ containing $u$ and $v$, respectively. Let $S_u$ be the unique $(k - 1)$-separator contained in $B_u$ and let $S_v$ be the unique $(k - 1)$-separator contained in $B_v$, respectively. Then, $u \notin S_u$ and $v \notin S_v$.

(b) $N_F(u) \setminus \{v\} \subseteq B_u$ and $N_F(v) \setminus \{u\} \subseteq B_v$.

(c) As a consequence of (b), if $d_F(u) \geq k + 1$, then $|B_u| \geq k + 1$, and thus $B_u$ is $k$-connected.

Claim. If $d_F(u) \geq k + 1$, then any node $u' \in N_F(u) \setminus (S_u \cup \{v\})$ has $\angle u'uv \geq \pi/3$.

Since $d_F(u) \geq k + 1$, we have $N_F(u) \setminus (S_u \cup \{v\}) \neq \emptyset$. Suppose the claim is not true. Let $u'$ be a node in $N_F(u) \setminus (S_u \cup \{v\})$ with $\angle u'uv < \pi/3$. Notice that $u'v \notin E(F)$ by the $(k - 1)$-block structure of $F - uv$ (see Fig.2(b)). Suppose, without loss of generality, that $\|u'v\| \geq \|uv\|$. Then $\|u'v\| < \|uv\|$ and thus $u'v \in E(G) \setminus E(F)$. Since $u' \notin S_u$ and $v \notin S_v$, subgraph $F' = F - uv + u'v$ is also a $k$-connected spanning subgraph of $G$ (see Fig.2(b)). However, $len(F') = len(F) - \|uv\| + \|u'v\| < len(F)$, contradicting the minimality of $F$. The claim is proved.

Now, consider a node $u$ with $d_F(u) \geq k + 1$ (see Fig.3). Let $v_1$ be a node in $N_F(u)$, and let $A_1$ be the set of nodes $u_1 \in N_F(u) \setminus \{v_1\}$ with $\angle u_1uv_1 \leq \pi/3$. By the above claim, $A_1 \subseteq S_u$ and thus $|A_1| \leq k - 1$. Let $v_2$ be the first node in $N_F(u)$ which has $\angle v_1uv_2 \geq \pi/3$ (where ‘first’ is counted clockwise), and let $A_2$ be the set of nodes $u_2 \in N_F(u) \setminus \{v_2\}$ with $\angle u_2uv_2 < \pi/3$. Similar to the above, $|A_2| \leq k - 1$. Proceeding like this, we obtain a sequence of nodes $v_1, \ldots, v_5$ and a sequence of sets $A_1, \ldots, A_5$ such that for each $i = 1, \ldots, 4$, $v_{i+1}$ is the first node in $N_F(u)$ with $\angle v_iuv_{i+1} \geq \pi/3$ and $|A_i| \leq k - 1$. Clearly, $N_G(u) \subseteq (\bigcup_{i=1}^5 A_i) \cup \{v_i\}_{i=1}^5$. Hence $|N_F(u)| \leq 5k$. The lemma is proved.

Figure 2: (a) An illustration for the structure of $F - uv$. (b) An illustration for the proof of the claim in Lemma 2.4.

It should be noticed that $A_i$ might have an overlap with $A_{i+1}$. One question is whether we can make use of such an overlap to decrease the upper bound. In Fig.3(c), suppose $N_F(u)$ lie in those shaded narrow areas, each shaded narrow area contains exactly $k$ neighbors of $u$ in $F$. Such a configuration does not violate the claim in the proof of 2.4. So, $5k$ cannot be improved based on the claim. Whether there exists some other method to improve the upper bound of $|N_F(u)|$ remains to be further explored.

For $k = 2$, the above upper bound $5k$ can be improved to 5. For this purpose, we first prove the following lemma.
Figure 3: An illustration of counting $|N_F(u)|$. In (a), the set of nodes falling into the area between the two dashed lines (except node $v_1$) is $A_1$ and $|A_1| \leq k - 1$. $v_2$ is the first node with $\angle v_1uv_2 \geq \pi/3$. In (b), the set of nodes falling into the area between the two dashed lines, except node $v_2$, is $A_2$ and $|A_2| \leq k - 1$. In (c), each angle symbol between a dashed line and a solid line indicates an angle of $\pi/3$. The remaining area contains five narrow angles, each of which is $\pi/15$. All nodes of $N_F(u)$ lie in the narrow angles. This figure shows that upper bound $5k$ can be reached.

**Lemma 2.5.** Let $G$ be a 2–connected unit disk graph and $F$ be a 2-MSS of $G$.

(i) For any node $u \in F$ with $d_F(u) \geq 3$, no two of its neighbors are adjacent in $F$.

(ii) The angle between any two adjacent edges in $F$ is at least $\pi/3$.

(iii) If a node $u \in F$ with $d_F(u) \geq 3$ has two neighbors $v$ and $u'$ with $\angle vuu' = \pi/3$, then $\|uv\| = \|uu'\|$. In this case, we can delete either $uv$ or $uu'$ and add edge $vv'$, obtaining another 2-MSS of $G$.

**Proof.** We use notations and terminologies in the proof of Lemma 2.4.

**Claim.** For any node $u$ with $d_F(u) \geq 3$, $N_F(u) \cap S_u = \emptyset$.

Suppose the claim is not true. Let $u'$ be a node in $N_F(u) \cap S_u$. Since $B_u$ is 2–connected (by property (c) of Lemma 2.4), there is an $(u, u')$-path $P$ in $B_u - uu'$. Since $B_u$ is a leaf block, there is an $(u', v)$-path $Q$ in $F - (B_u \setminus S_u)$. Because $|S_u| = k - 1 = 1$, so $u'$ is the only node in $S_u$, and thus path $Q$ and path $P$ are internally disjoint. Concatenating $Q$ with edge $uv$, we have a $(u, u')$-path in $F - uu'$ which is internally disjoint with path $P$ in $F$. Hence $F - uu'$ has two internally-disjoint $(u, u')$-paths. On the other hand, applying property (a) to edge $uu'$, we see that there is only one internally disjoin path between $u$ and $u'$ in $F - uu'$, a contradiction.

Now, we prove the three properties of this lemma.

(i) Suppose $u \in F$ has $d_F(u) \geq 3$, and $v, u'$ are two neighbors of $u$ which are adjacent in $F$. By Property (b) of Lemma 2.4, node $u'$ is in $B_u \cap B_v$. But then $u'$ is in a 1-separator of $F - uv$, contradicting the above claim.

(ii) Suppose two edges $uv, uu'$ meet at node $u$ with an angle of less than $\pi/3$. Assume, without loss of generality, that $\|uv\| \geq \|uu'\|$. In this case, $\|uv\| > \|vu'\|$. Since $u' \in B_u \setminus S_u$ and $v \in B_v \setminus S_v$, adding edge $vu'$ merges all blocks of $F - uv$ into one 2-connected graph. So $F' = F - uv + vu'$ is a 2-connected spanning subgraph of $G$ with shorter total edge length, contradicting the minimality of $F$.

(iii) Suppose edges $uv, uu'$ meet at node $u$ with an angle of $\pi/3$. If $\|uv\| \neq \|uu'\|$, without loss of generality, assume $\|uv\| > \|uu'\|$. Then, $\|uv\| > \|vu'\|$. Similarly to the above, $F' = F - uv + vu'$ is a 2-connected spanning subgraph of $G$ with shorter total edge length than $F$, a contradiction. Thus $\|uv\| = \|uu'\|$. It follows that $uu'$ is an equilateral
triangle. Then, similar argument as the above shows that both \( F' = F - uv + vu' \) and \( F'' = F - uu' + vu' \) are 2-connected spanning subgraphs of \( G \) with the same total edge length as \( F \). Property (iii) is proved.

**Lemma 2.6.** Any 2-connected unit disk graph \( G \) has a 2-connected spanning subgraph \( F \) with maximum degree at most five.

**Proof.** Let \( F \) be a 2-MSS of \( G \). By property (ii) of Lemma 2.5 every node in \( F \) has degree at most six.

Suppose \( u \) is a node of degree six in \( F \), whose neighbors are \( u_0, u_1, ..., u_5 \), ordered in a clockwise order. Then \( \angle u_iuu_{i+1} = \pi/3 \) for \( i = 0, 1, ..., 5 \), where “+” is modulo 6. We claim that \( d_F(u_i) \leq 4 \) for \( i = 0, 1, ..., 5 \).

In fact, by property (iii) of Lemma 2.5 \( F' = (F - uu_{i-1} - uu_{i+1}) + u_iu_{i-1} + uu_{i+1} \) is a 2-MSS of \( G \). So \( d_F(u_i) + 2 = d_{F'}(u_i) \leq 6 \), and thus \( d_F(u_i) \leq 4 \).

Also by property (iii) of Lemma 2.5 \( F' = F - uu_i + uu_{i-1} \) is a 2-MSS of \( G \), in which the degree of \( u \) is decreased. Notice that \( d_{F'}(v) = d_F(v) \) for any \( v \in V(G) \setminus \{u, u_{i-1}\} \) and \( d_{F'}(u_{i-1}) = d_F(u_{i-1}) + 1 \leq 5 \). So such an operation results in a 2-MSS of \( G \) in which the number of nodes of degree six is strictly decreased. Repeatedly executing such an operation eventually results in a minimum length 2-connected spanning subgraph of \( G \) with maximum degree at most five.

### 2.2 Node–Weighted \( k \)-Connected Steiner Network in Unit Disk Graph

In this subsection, we present an approximation algorithm for the minimum node-weighted \( k \)-connected Steiner network problem.

**Definition 2.7** (Minimum Node–Weighted \( k \)-Connected Steiner Network (MNW\( k \)CSN)).

Given a \( k \)-connected graph \( G = (V, E) \) with non-negative node weight function \( c \) and a terminal node set \( T \subseteq V \), MNW\( k \)CSN is to find a node set \( C \subseteq V \setminus T \) with the minimum weight \( c(C) = \sum_{v \in C} c(v) \) such that \( G[T \cup C] \) is \( k \)-connected.

Since \( T \) is included in any feasible solution of MNW\( k \)CSN, we may assume that any node in \( T \) has weight zero.

Our algorithm makes use of the *subset \( k \)-connected subgraph problem*. In fact, the subset \( k \)-connected subgraph problem in \([26]\) has a very general form. For the purpose of this paper, we only use a simplified version whose definition is given as follows.

**Definition 2.8** (Subset \( k \)-Connected Subgraph (SkCS)). Let \( G = (V, E) \) be a graph with edge weight function \( w \), and let \( T \subseteq V \) be a terminal set. A *subset \( k \)-connected subgraph* of \( G \) is a subgraph \( F \) of \( G \) such that for any pair of nodes \( u, v \in T \), there are at least \( k \) internally disjoint \((u, v)\)-paths in \( F \). The *subset \( k \)-connected subgraph problem* is to find a subset \( k \)-connected subgraph \( F \) of \( G \) with the minimum edge weight \( w(F) = \sum_{e \in E(F)} w(e) \).
Algorithm 1 Algorithm for MNWkCSN

Input: A $k$–connected graph $G = (V, E)$ with node cost function $c$, a terminal set $T \subseteq V$ and a $\rho$–approximation algorithm $A$ for $SkCS$.

Output: A $k$–connected subgraph $F$ of $G$ containing $T$.

1: Construct an instance $(G, T, w)$ of $SkCS$ by assigning edge weight function $w$ by defining $w(uv) = (c(u) + c(v))/2$ for each edge $uv \in E(G)$.
2: Apply algorithm $A$ on $(G, T, w)$ to compute a subset $k$–connected subgraph $F_0$ of $G$.
3: Let $F$ be a $k$–block of $F_0$ containing $T$.
4: Output $F$.

Our algorithm for MNW$kCSN$ is presented in Algorithm 1.

Notice that a feasible solution to $SkCS$ might perhaps not be a $k$–connected subgraph, because a $k$–connected subgraph requires every pair of nodes to be connected through $k$ internally disjoint paths (by Menger’s Theorem [4]) instead of merely those pairs of nodes in $T$. However, $T$ is contained in a $k$-block of $F$, because any pair of nodes in $T$ are connected by at least $k$ internally disjoint paths in $F$, no nodes in $T$ can be separated by any node subset of cardinality less than $k$. So, finding a $k$-block of $F$ containing $T$ will be a solution to MNW$kCSN$.

Next, we analyze the performance ratio of Algorithm 1.

Lemma 2.9. Let $F$ be the output of Algorithm 1. Then $c(V(F)) \leq \frac{2}{k}w(E(F))$.

Proof. Since $F$ is $k$–connected, each node $u \in V(F)$ has degree $d_F(u) \geq k$. So

$$w(E(F)) = \sum_{uv \in E(F)} \frac{c(u) + c(v)}{2}$$

$$= \sum_{u \in V(F)} c(u) \cdot \frac{d_F(u)}{2}$$

$$\geq \sum_{u \in V(F)} c(u) \cdot \frac{k}{2}$$

$$= \frac{k}{2}c(V(F)).$$

The lemma is proved.

Theorem 2.10. Algorithm 1 computes a solution to MNW$kCSN$ on unit disk graph with performance ratio $5\rho$ for $k \geq 3$ and performance ratio $2.5\rho$ for $k = 2$, where $\rho$ is the performance ratio for $SkCS$.

Proof. Let $F$ be the output of Algorithm 1, $OPT_{MNWkCSN}$ be an optimal solution to MNW$kCSN$, and $OPT_{SkCS}$ be an optimal solution to the $SkCS$ problem constructed in Line 1 of Algorithm 1. Let $\bar{F}$ be a minimum length $k$–connected spanning subgraph of $OPT_{MNWkCSN}$. Denote by $\Delta_{\bar{F}}$ the maximum degree of $\bar{F}$. Clearly, $\bar{F}$ is a feasible solution.
to SkCS. Then by Lemma 2.9
\[ c(V(F)) \leq \frac{2}{k}w(E(F)) \]
\[ \leq \rho \frac{2}{k}w(E(OPT_{SkCS})) \]
\[ \leq \rho \frac{2}{k}w(E(\tilde{F})) \]
\[ = \rho \frac{2}{k} \sum_{uv \in E(\tilde{F})} \frac{c(u) + c(v)}{2} \]
\[ = \rho \frac{2}{k} \sum_{u \in V(\tilde{F})} c(u)d_{\tilde{F}}(u) \]
\[ \leq \Delta_{\tilde{F}} \rho \frac{2}{k} \sum_{u \in V(\tilde{F})} c(u) \]
\[ = \Delta_{\tilde{F}} \rho \frac{2}{k} c(OPT_{MNWkCSN}) \]

By Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.6, OPT_{MNWkCSN} has a minimum length k-connected spanning subgraph \( \tilde{F} \) with maximum degree at most 5k when \( k \geq 3 \) and at most 5 when \( k = 2 \). The performance ratios follow.

In [26], Nutov gave an \( O(k^2 \ln k) \)-approximation algorithm for SkCS. For \( k = 2 \), the S2CS problem is a special case of the \( \{0, 1, 2\} \)-Steiner network problem for which Fleischer gave a 2-approximation algorithm in [16]. Hence the MNWkCSN problem on unit disk graph admits constant approximation, the performance ratio of which is \( O(k^2 \log k) \) for \( k \geq 3 \) and 5 for \( k = 2 \).

2.3 Algorithm for \((k, m)\)-MWCDS

The algorithm for \((k, m)\)-MWCDS is presented in Algorithm 2.

**Algorithm 2** Algorithm for \((k, m)\)-MWCDS, where \( m \geq k \).

**Input:** A unit disk graph \( G = (V, E) \), an \( \alpha \)-approximation algorithm \( B \) for minimum weight \( m \)-fold dominating set and a \( \gamma \)-approximation algorithm \( A \) for MNWkCSN.

**Output:** A \((k, m)\)-CDS for \( G = (V, E) \).

1. Apply algorithm \( A \) to compute an \( m \)-fold dominating set \( D \) of \( G \).
2. Reweigh nodes in \( D \) to have weight zero. Then apply algorithm \( B \) to compute a \( k \)-connected subgraph \( F \) of \( G \) on terminal set \( D \).
3. Output \( V(F) \).

To analyze the performance ratio of Algorithm 2, we need the following lemma which is well known in graph theory.
Lemma 2.11. Suppose $G_1$ is a $k$-connected graph and $G_2$ is obtained from $G_1$ by adding a new node $u$ and jointing $u$ to at least $k$ nodes of $G_1$. Then $G_2$ is also $k$-connected.

Theorem 2.12. Algorithm 2 has performance ratio $\alpha + \gamma$.

Proof. Let $OPT$ be an optimal solution to $(k,m)$-MWCDS and $F_{\min}$ be an optimal solution to MNW$k$CSN on terminal set $D$. Since $m \geq k$ and $OPT$ is $k$-connected, we see from Lemma 2.11 that the induced subgraph $G[OPT \cup D]$ is $k$-connected, and thus $OPT \cup D$ is a feasible solution to MNW$k$CSN on terminal set $D$. Therefore, $c(V(F_{\min}) \setminus D) \leq c(OPT)$. Then by Theorem 2.10,

$$c(V(F)) = c(D) + c(V(F) \setminus D) \leq \alpha c(OPT) + \gamma c(V(F_{\min}) \setminus D) \leq (\alpha + \gamma) c(OPT).$$

The performance ratio is proved.

If $A$ is taken to be Algorithm 2, then we have the following performance ratio:

Theorem 2.13. For $m \geq k$, the $(k,m)$-MWCDS problem on unit disk graph has an $(\alpha + 5\rho)$-approximation when $k \geq 3$ and an $(\alpha + 2.5\rho)$-approximation when $k = 2$, where $\alpha$ is the performance ratio for minimum weight $m$-fold dominating set problem, $\rho$ is the performance ratio for subset $k$-connected subgraph problem.

Since the best known $\alpha$ is $(3 + \varepsilon)$ [35]. The best known $\rho = O(k^2 \log k)$ [26] when $k \geq 3$ and $\rho = 2$ [16] when $k = 2$, we have the following corollary:

Corollary 2.14. For $m \geq k$, the $(k,m)$-MWCDS problem on unit disk graph has an $O(k^2 \log k)$-approximation when $k \geq 3$ and $(8 + \varepsilon)$-approximation when $k = 2$.

3 Conclusion

In this paper, we designed a polynomial-time approximation algorithm for the minimum weight $k$-connected $m$-fold dominating set problem ($(k,m)$-MWCDS) in unit disk graph, where $m \geq k$. The performance ratio is $O(k^2 \log k)$ for $k \geq 3$ and $(8 + \varepsilon)$ for $k = 2$. Prior to this work, constant approximation algorithms were known for $k = 1$ with weight and for $2 \leq k \leq 3$ without weight. However, for $k \geq 4$, whether $(k,m)$-MCDS on unit disk graph admits a constant approximation is a long standing open problem. We answer the problem confirmatively for any fixed integer $k$, even considering weight.

Our algorithm is based on a constant approximation algorithm for the minimum node-weighted Steiner network problem on unit disk graph. A key result to the performance ratio is a geometric result, saying that every $k$-connected unit disk graph has a $k$-connected spanning subgraph whose maximum degree is upper bounded by a constant. Is it possible to design a better approximation algorithm for the minimum node-weighted $k$-connected Steiner network problem directly? If this can be done, then the performance ratio for $(k,m)$-MWCDS can be improved accordingly.
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