

Constructive quantum scaling of unitary matrices

Adam Glos^{*,†} and Przemysław Sadowski^{*}

^{*}Institute of Theoretical and Applied Informatics, Polish Academy of Sciences,
Bałtycka 5, 44-100 Gliwice, Poland

[†]Institute of Mathematics, Silesian University of Technology, Kaszubska 23,
Gliwice 44-100, Poland

March 6, 2022

Abstract

In this work we present a method of decomposition of arbitrary unitary matrix $U \in \mathbf{U}(2^k)$ into a product of single-qubit negator and controlled- $\sqrt{\text{NOT}}$ gates. Since the product results with negator matrix, which can be treated as complex analogue of bistochastic matrix, our method can be seen as complex analogue of Sinkhorn-Knopp algorithm, where diagonal matrices are replaced by adding and removing an one-qubit ancilla. The decomposition can be found constructively and resulting circuit consists of $O(4^k)$ entangling gates, which is proved to be optimal. An example of such transformation is presented.

1 Introduction

Scaling a real matrix O with non-negative entries means finding diagonal matrices D_1, D_2 such that $B = D_1 O D_2$ is bistochastic. Sinkhorn theorem presents a necessary and sufficient condition for existence of the decomposition of a matrix. Moreover, the iterative Sinkhorn-Knopp algorithm finds the bistochastic matrix B [1]. Such decomposition can be used for ranking web pages [2], preconditioning sparse matrices [3] and understanding traffic circulation [4].

Since unitary matrices are complex analogue of orthogonal matrices, it is natural to ask whether there exist a counterpart of Sinkhorn theorem for them. De Vos and De Baerdemacker considered whether it is possible, that for arbitrary unitary matrix $U \in \mathbf{U}(n)$ there exist two unitary diagonal matrices U_1, U_2 such, that matrix $U_1 U U_2$ has all lines sums equal to 1. Such decomposition exists for arbitrary unitary matrix and an algorithm for finding it approximately was presented [5]. Matrices called *negators* were treated as quantum counterpart of bistochastic matrices and form a group $\mathbf{XU}(n)$ under multiplication. Idel and Wolf propose an application of the quantum scaling in quantum optics [6].

Algorithm converges for arbitrary unitary matrix U [7]. Similar decomposition of unitary matrices $U \in \mathbf{U}(2m)$ called $bZbXbZ$ decomposition was presented [8]. They show, that there always exist matrices $A, B, C, D \in \mathbf{U}(m)$ such that

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} I + C & I - C \\ I - C & I + C \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

where I is identity matrix. Matrix in the middle is a block-negator matrix (which is also a negator matrix), while left and right matrices are block diagonal matrices. In [9] an algorithm of finding such decomposition was presented.

Group $\mathbf{XU}(2^n)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{U}(2^n - 1)$ and can be generated by single-qubit negator and controlled- $\sqrt{\text{NOT}}$ gates [10]. However, the proof is non-constructive since a decomposition designed for generating random matrices was used [11]. Although it is proved that it exists for any unitary matrix, obtaining such a decomposition is a very complex task. Therefore another approach is needed for efficient decomposition procedure.

In this article, using similar method to presented by de Vos and de Baerdemacker [10], we demonstrate an implementation of arbitrary k -qubit unitary operation using one-qubit ancilla with controlled- $\sqrt{\text{NOT}}$ and single-qubit negator gates. Since product of these basic negator gates is still a negator matrix, our result can be seen as quantum analogue of scaling matrix. More precisely we prove, that for arbitrary matrix $U \in \mathbf{U}(2^k)$, which is performed on system \mathcal{H} , there exist a negator $N \in \mathbf{XU}(2^{k+1})$ such that for arbitrary state $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$U|\psi\rangle = \Psi(N\Phi(|\psi\rangle)). \quad (2)$$

Here Φ denotes the operation of extending the system with an ancilla register in $|-\rangle$ state and Ψ denotes partial trace over the ancilla system. Since after performing operations Φ and N the state is of the form $|-\rangle \otimes U|\psi\rangle$, the partial trace is simply removing the ancilla system giving a pure state $U|\psi\rangle$. We describe an efficient algorithm that for given U returns explicit and exact form of N with decomposition into a sequence of single-qubit negator and controlled- $\sqrt{\text{NOT}}$ gates only in contrast to results of de Vos and de Baerdemacker [9, 10].

In Section 2 we recall basic facts. In Section 3 we show how to perform such transformation efficiently and demonstrate the cost in term of controlled- $\sqrt{\text{NOT}}$ gates. To illustrate the transformation method, a transformation of Grover's search algorithm is presented step by step in Section 4.

2 Basic facts


Negator gates of dimension 2 were introduced by de Vos and de Baerdemacker [10] as unitary matrices $N \in \mathbf{U}(2)$ which are also a convex combination of identity matrix and NOT gate. Simple calculation shows, that they are of the form

$$N(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 + e^{i\theta} & 1 - e^{i\theta} \\ 1 - e^{i\theta} & 1 + e^{i\theta} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$. Negators form a subgroup of single-qubit unitary operations, i.e. $N(\phi)N(\psi) = N(\phi + \psi)$ for any values of ϕ and ψ . In the following we will also use a 2-qubit negator operation controlled- $\sqrt{\text{NOT}}$ gate (which is also controlled- $N(\frac{\pi}{2})$ gate)

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1+i}{2} & \frac{1-i}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1-i}{2} & \frac{1+i}{2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

As these gates are used as basic operators, we will use a simplified notation in circuit, respectively



These two kinds of unitary matrices will be called NCN gates (*Negators-Controlled-Negator*).

In Section 3 decomposition of single-qubit unitary gates will be needed. Every unitary matrix $U \in \mathbf{U}(2)$ can be presented as a product of global phase, two z -rotators and one y -rotator [12]

$$\begin{aligned}
 U &= e^{i\phi_0} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \frac{\phi_1}{2} e^{i\phi_2} & \sin \frac{\phi_1}{2} e^{i\phi_3} \\ -\sin \frac{\phi_1}{2} e^{-i\phi_3} & \cos \frac{\phi_1}{2} e^{-i\phi_2} \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= e^{i\phi_0} \begin{bmatrix} e^{i\frac{\phi_2+\phi_3}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\frac{\phi_2+\phi_3}{2}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \frac{\phi_1}{2} & \sin \frac{\phi_1}{2} \\ -\sin \frac{\phi_1}{2} & \cos \frac{\phi_1}{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e^{i\frac{\phi_2-\phi_3}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\frac{\phi_2-\phi_3}{2}} \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= e^{i\phi_0} R_z(-\phi_2 - \phi_3) R_y(\phi_1) R_z(\phi_3 - \phi_2).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Since global phase is not measurable, we can simplify this representation without loss of information

$$U \cong R_z(\gamma) R_y(\beta) R_z(\alpha), \tag{4}$$

where ‘ \cong ’ means equality up to a global phase. The same applies in the case of global phase change on one of the registers of a bigger system

$$U_1 \otimes e^{i\phi} U_2 \otimes U_3 = e^{i\phi} (U_1 \otimes U_2 \otimes U_3) \cong U_1 \otimes U_2 \otimes U_3. \tag{5}$$

Using these two facts we can say that in any situation we can ignore global phase change on any register.

While it may lead to a conclusion that our transformation is mainly applied to group $\mathbf{SU}(n)$, we decided to stay with the unitary matrices formalism, since negator gates are not special unitary matrices. The result may be written using the special matrices, however then the negators gates column and row sums will equal $e^{i\theta}$ in general.

3 Circuit transformation method

In this section we provide complete description of the transformation method. We recall a sketch of a proof of universality theorem between quantum gates and negator gates from the work of de Vos and de Baerdemacker [10]. Next we present transformation method of arbitrary single-qubit gate into NCN product. Then we provide a method of decomposition for arbitrary k -qubit circuit, based on the single qubit case. Finally, we analyse the cost of presented transformation.

3.1 Universality theorem

De Vos and de Baerdemacker proved a universality theorem: group $\mathbf{XU}(2^k)$ generated by negators and controlled- $\sqrt{\text{NOT}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{U}(2^k - 1)$ [10]. The proof consists of several steps:

1. Every matrix $U \in \mathbf{U}(2^k - 1)$ can be decomposed into a product of m gates $U_1 U_2 \dots U_m$, where matrices $U_i \in \mathbf{U}(2^k - 1)$ are of some special forms [11].

2. Group $\mathbf{U}(2^k - 1)$ is isomorphic to group

$${}^1\mathbf{U}(2^k) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{0}^T \\ \mathbf{0} & U \end{bmatrix} : U \in \mathbf{U}(2^k - 1) \right\}, \quad (6)$$

because of the isomorphism $h : \mathbf{U}(2^k - 1) \rightarrow {}^1\mathbf{U}(2^k)$

$$h(U) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & U \end{bmatrix}. \quad (7)$$


3. Function $f : {}^1\mathbf{U}(2^k) \rightarrow \mathbf{XU}(2^k)$ of the form $f(U) = (H \otimes I_{2^k})U(H \otimes I_{2^k})$ is an isomorphism.
4. Decomposition of every $f(h(U_i))$ into a product of NCN gates is possible, where U_i comes from point 1.

The proof used the decomposition presented in the work of Poźniak, Życzkowski and Kuś [11], because it is proven that the decomposition exists for any unitary matrix. However obtaining such decomposition is a very complex task. Therefore we need to choose a different decomposition in order to find an efficient decomposition procedure.

Obviously, group $\mathbf{U}(2^k)$ is isomorphic to some subgroup of $\mathbf{XU}(2^{k+1})$. In other words, with ancilla (one additional qubit) every unitary matrix can be replaced with a sequence of NCN gates. For our purpose we choose function $g : \mathbf{U}(2^k) \rightarrow \mathbf{XU}(2^{k+1})$

$$g(U) = \frac{1}{2}H \otimes I(|0\rangle\langle 0| \otimes I + |1\rangle\langle 1| \otimes U)H \otimes I = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} I & I \\ I & -I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & U \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & I \\ I & -I \end{bmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

Using the function g , every gate U changes into controlled- U . Using circuit notation we can present this fact as




Note that if we assume that the first qubit is set to $|-\rangle$, the control qubit does not influence the result (the condition is always ‘true’).

3.2 Single-qubit gate transformation

Now we aim at decomposition of arbitrary single-qubit gate into NCN gates. With Eq. (4) for any $U \in \mathbf{L}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ there exist real parameters α, β, γ such that

$$U \cong R_z(\gamma)R_y(\beta)R_z(\alpha). \quad (9)$$

Therefore after applying function g we have



We change the rotators with neighbouring Hadamard gates into NCN gates as in Fig. (1)





Figure 1: Decomposition of controlled- y -rotator, controlled- z -rotator and Toffoli gate. Decompositions use the simplified notation from Fig. 2.



Let us note that the symbols of controlled-NOT, controlled- $\sqrt{\text{NOT}}^\dagger$ and controlled-negator used in the decomposed circuit do not mean that these gates cannot be transformed. We use these symbols as a simplified notation for its decomposition with use of controlled- $\sqrt{\text{NOT}}$ gates as shown in Fig. (2).




Figure 2: Decomposition of controlled-NOT, controlled- $\sqrt{\text{NOT}}^\dagger$ gates and controlled-negator [10].

3.3 General transformation method


Now we consider transformation of arbitrary k -qubit circuit. Let us assume that we have a circuit which consists of unitary operations $U \in L(\mathbb{C}^{2^k})$, generalized measurement $\mathbf{M} = \{M_a \in L(\mathbb{C}^{2^k}) : a \in \Sigma\}$, where Σ is a set of classical outputs of measurement, and starting state $|\phi_0\rangle$

$$|\phi_0\rangle \xrightarrow{/k} \boxed{U} \text{---} \textcircled{\mathbf{M}}.$$


In order to construct a decomposition of unitary U into a sequence of negator gates we begin with obtaining a decomposition of U into controlled-NOT and single-qubit gates

$$|\phi_0\rangle \xrightarrow{/k} \boxed{U} \text{---} \textcircled{\mathbf{M}} \cong |\phi_0\rangle \xrightarrow{/k} \boxed{V_1} \text{---} \boxed{V_2} \text{---} \dots \text{---} \boxed{V_m} \text{---} \textcircled{\mathbf{M}},$$


here denoted by a sequence of gates $U = V_m \dots V_1$. Contrary to the decomposition presented in the work of Poźniak, Życzkowski and Kuś, there exist efficient methods for constructing such circuit [13]. Next we need to add an additional qubit, transform V_i gates into controlled- V_i gates and add Hadamard gates as below (since $HH = I$)



Let us note that product $H \cdot \text{controlled-}V_j \cdot H$ is an image of homomorphism presented in Eq. (8) on V_j . Next we replace the product with the sequence of NCN gates (here denoted by \mathbf{N}_j) as in previous subsection (if V_j is controlled-NOT, then we choose Toffoli gate transformation from Fig. (1))



For the sake of simplicity we may change the starting state and resulting state on the first wire



Now we have an equivalent circuit which consists of negators and controlled- $\sqrt{\text{NOT}}$ gates only.

3.4 Transformation cost

Now we consider upper bound of cost of decomposition into negator circuit. Two kinds will be discussed: memory complexity and number of single and two-qubit gates. In the first case for arbitrary k -qubit circuit transformation requires one additional qubit.

Let $c_{\text{CNOT}}(k)$ and $c_s(k)$ denote upper bound of the number of respectively controlled-NOT and single qubit-gates needed for the implementation of an arbitrary k -qubit circuit. Using




Figure 4: Grover's search algorithm decomposition. Unnecessary Hadamard gates have already been removed a) $|1\rangle$ changes into $|-\rangle$; b) measurement \mathbf{M} does not change, on first wire we end with $|-\rangle$ state; c) subcircuit simplification; d) subcircuit transforming into NCN gates. Any other transformation left can be done similarly, except the U_ω case.

Decomposition of U_ω depends strictly on the value of ω , therefore it is not presented in the example. The full decomposition is presented in Fig. (4).

5 Concluding remarks

In the presented work we provide a constructive method of scaling arbitrary unitary matrices $U \in \mathbf{U}(2^k)$. More precisely we proved that for arbitrary unitary matrix $U \in \mathbf{U}(2^k)$ there exists unitary negator matrix $N \in \mathbf{XU}(2^{k+1})$ such that for arbitrary state $|\psi\rangle$ we have

$$U|\psi\rangle = \Psi(N\Phi(|\psi\rangle)). \quad (10)$$

Here Φ denotes the operation of extending the system with an ancilla register in $|-\rangle$ state and Ψ denotes partial trace over the ancilla system. We described efficient algorithm of decomposing N into product of single-qubit negator and controlled- $\sqrt{\text{NOT}}$ gates. Our decomposition consists of $O(4^k)$ entangling gates which is proved to be optimal and needs one qubit ancilla.

Our result can be seen as complex analogue of Sinkhorn-Knopp algorithm, which is known to have wide applications. The result is in contrast to the previous results [10], which could be only used to prove the existence of such decomposition. Moreover, our transformation is exact and can be found constructively. In contrast to [9], our transformation consists only of negator gates. The main difference is that transformation needs one-qubit ancilla.

Acknowledgements

The work was supported by the Polish National Science Centre: A. Glos under the research project number DEC-2011/03/D/ST6/00413, P. Sadowski under the research project number 2013/11/N/ST6/03030.

References

- [1] R. Sinkhorn and P. Knopp, “Concerning nonnegative matrices and doubly stochastic matrices,” *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 343–348, 1967.
- [2] P. A. Knight, “The Sinkhorn-Knopp algorithm: Convergence and applications,” *SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 261–275, 2008.
- [3] O. E. Livne and G. H. Golub, “Scaling by binormalization,” *Numerical Algorithms*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 97–120, 2004.
- [4] P. A. Knight and D. Ruiz, “A fast algorithm for matrix balancing,” *IMA Journal of Numerical Analysis*, p. drs019, 2012.
- [5] A. De Vos and S. De Baerdemacker, “Scaling a unitary matrix,” *Open Systems & Information Dynamics*, vol. 21, no. 04, p. 1450013, 2014.
- [6] M. Idel and M. M. Wolf, “Sinkhorn normal form for unitary matrices,” *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, vol. 471, pp. 76–84, 2015.
- [7] A. De Vos and S. De Baerdemacker, “On two subgroups of $\mathbf{U}(n)$, useful for quantum computing,” in *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, vol. 597, p. 012030, IOP Publishing, 2015.

- [8] H. Führ and Z. Rzeszutnik, “On biunimodular vectors for unitary matrices,” *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, vol. 484, pp. 86–129, 2015.
- [9] A. De Vos and S. De Baerdemacker, “Sinkhorn-based synthesis of an arbitrary quantum circuit,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1512.07240*, 2015.
- [10] A. De Vos and S. De Baerdemacker, “The NEGATOR as a basic building block for quantum circuits,” *Open Systems & Information Dynamics*, vol. 20, no. 01, p. 1350004, 2013.
- [11] M. Poźniak, K. Życzkowski, and M. Kuś, “Composed ensembles of random unitary matrices,” *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, vol. 31, no. 3, p. 1059, 1998.
- [12] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum computation and quantum information*. Cambridge university press, 2010.
- [13] M. Möttönen, J. J. Vartiainen, V. Bergholm, and M. M. Salomaa, “Quantum circuits for general multiqubit gates,” *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 93, no. 13, p. 130502, 2004.
- [14] C. Lavor, L. Manssur, and R. Portugal, “Grover’s Algorithm: Quantum Database Search,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:quantum-ph/0301079*, 2008.