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Abstract

The paper suggests a way of stochastic integration of random integrands with respect to fractional Brownian motion with the Hurst parameter $H > 1/2$. The integral is defined initially on the processes that are "piecewise" predictable on a short horizon. Then the integral is extended on a wide class of square integrable adapted random processes. This class is described via a mild restriction on the growth rate of the conditional mean square error for the forecast on an arbitrarily short horizon given current observations; differentiability of Hölder property of any kind or degree is not required for the integrand. The suggested integration can be interpreted as foresighted integration for integrands featuring corresponding restrictions on the forecasting error. This integration is based on Itô’s integration and does not involve Malliavin calculus or Wick products. In addition, it is shown that these stochastic integrals depend continuously on $H$ at $H = 1/2 + 0$.
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1 Introduction

The paper considers stochastic integration of random integrands with respect to fractional Brownian motion. These integrals can be defined using different approaches; see review and discussion in [1, 2, 10, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 19, 20, 28, 29, 30, 35]. This integration has many applications in statistical modelling, especially for quantitative finance; see e.g. [3, 4, 9, 12, 21, 22, 23, 24, 26, 31, 32, 33]. Special statistical inference methods developed for these models; see e.g. [11, 18, 20, 27].

Naturally, the integral can be defined as a Riemann sum for piecewise constant in time integrands; the problem is an extension on more general classes of integrands. There is a special approach base on the so-called the Wick product rather than Riemann sums; see, e.g.
This approach allows integrands of quite general type but the features the Wick product makes the corresponding integrals quite distinctive from the integrals based on the Riemann sums.

Currently, stochastic integrals with respect to the fractional Brownian motion $B_H$ with a Hurst parameter $H \in (1/2, 1)$ are defined for random integrands in the following cases.

(i) The integral is defined for the integrands that are pathwise Hölder with index $p > 1 - H$; see, e.g., Theorem 21 in [19] and [13, 35].

(ii) The integral is defined pathwise for integrands that has $p$-bounded variation with $p > 1 - H$; see, e.g., [34, 7].

(iii) The integral is defined as a Skorohod integral for integrands $\gamma$ such that $\nabla \gamma$ is $L_p$-integrable for $p > (1/2 - H)^{-1}$, where $\nabla$ is the Gross-Sobolev derivative (Theorem 3.6 [15] (2003) or Theorem 6.2 [16]). This approach is based on anticipating integrals (see, e.g., [3, 9, 14, 17], and review in [16]). It can be noted that this requires certain differentiability of the integrand in the sense of the existence of $\nabla g$ or the fractional derivative [1].

We exclude from this list the integrals based on the Wick product and integrals for piecewise constant integrands.

In this paper, we readdress stochastic integration of random integrands with respect to fractional Brownian motion. We suggest an integration scheme allowing to extend the class of admissible random integrands known in the literature. In particular, we show that stochastic integral with respect to the fractional Brownian motion $B_H$ with $H \in (1/2, 1)$ is well defined on a wide class of $L_2$-integrable processes with a mild restriction on the growth rate for conditional variance for a short term forecast. It is not required that the integrands $g$ satisfy Hölder condition, or have finite $p$-variation, or $\nabla \gamma$ is $L_p$-integrable, or a fractional derivative exists. The description of this class does not require to use Malliavin calculus as in [15, 16] and does not use any kind of derivatives.

We use a modification of the classical Riemann sums. Instead of the standard extension of the Riemann sums from the set of piecewise constant integrands, we used an extension of different sums from processes being “piecewise predictable” on a short horizon that are not necessarily piecewise constant. More precisely, these integrands are adapted to the filtration generated by the observations being frozen at grid time points. In other words, this “piecewise predictable” class includes all integrands that are predictable without error on a fixed time horizon that can be arbitrarily short. The corresponding stochastic integral is represented via sums of integrals of two different types: one type is a standard Itô’s integral, and another type is a Lebesgue integral for random integrands.

In the second step, we extended this integral on a wide class of $L_2$-integrable processes (Theorem 3.1 below); the resulting integrals is denoted as $\int \cdot \, d_B H$ The corresponding condition
allows a simple formulation that does not require Malliavin calculus used in [15, 16]. This theorem implies prior estimates of the stochastic integral via a norm of a random integrand (Corollary 3.1).

Furthermore, it is shown that the stochastic integrals depend continuously on $H$ at $H = 1/2 + 0$ under some additional mild restrictions on the growth rate for the conditional variance of the future values given current observations (Theorem 4.1 below).

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents some definitions. In Section 3, we present the definition of the new type of integral and some convergence results and prior estimates. In Section 4, we show some continuity of the new integral with respect to a variable Hurst parameter. The proofs are given in Section 5.

2 Some definitions

We are given a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, where $\Omega$ is a set of elementary events, $\mathcal{F}$ is a complete $\sigma$-algebra of events, and $\mathbb{P}$ is a probability measure.

We assume that $\{B_H(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a fractional Brownian motion with the Hurst parameter $H \in (1/2, 1)$ defined as described in [26] such that $B_H(0) = 0$ and

$$B_H(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} f(t, r) dB(r),$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.1)

where $t \geq 0$ and

$$f(t, r) \overset{\Delta}{=} c_H(t - r)^{H-1/2} I_{r \geq 0} + c_H((t - r)^{H-1/2} - (-r)^{H-1/2}) I_{r < 0}. \hspace{1cm} (2.2)$$

Here $c_H = 1/\Gamma(H + 1/2)$, $\Gamma$ is the Gamma function, $I$ is the indicator function, and $\{B(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a standard Brownian motion such that $B(0) = 0$; we denote by $\int \cdot dB$ the standard Itô’s integration.

Let $d_H \overset{\Delta}{=} c_H(H - 1/2)$.

For $T > 0$, $\tau \in [0, T]$ and $g \in L_2(0, T)$, set

$$G_H(\tau, T, g) \overset{\Delta}{=} d_H \int_{\tau}^{T} (t - \tau)^{H-3/2} g(t) dt.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.3)

By the property of the Riemann–Liouville integral, there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$\|G_H(\cdot, T, \gamma)\|_{L_2(s, T)} \leq c \|\gamma\|_{L_2(s, T)}. \hspace{1cm} (2.4)$$

It can be noted that this $c$ is independent on $H \in (1/2, 1)$.

Let $\{\mathcal{G}_t\}$ be the filtration generated by the process $B(t)$, and let $\mathbb{E}_t$ and $\text{Var}_t$ denote the conditional expectation and the conditional variance given $\mathcal{G}_t$, respectively.
Let $T > 0$ be given.

Let $\mathcal{L}_{22}$ be the linear normed space formed as the completion in $L_2$-norm of the set of all $\mathcal{G}_t$-adapted bounded measurable processes $\gamma(t), t \in [0, T]$, with the norm $\|\gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}_{22}} = \left( \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \gamma(t)^2 dt \right)^{1/2}$.

For $\varepsilon > 0$, let $\mathcal{X}_\varepsilon$ be the set of all $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}_{22}$ such that there exists an integer $n > 0$ and a set of non-random times $\mathcal{T} = \{T_k\}_{k=1}^n \subset [0, T]$, where $T_0 = 0$, $T_n = T$, and $T_{k+1} - T_k \geq \varepsilon$, such that $\gamma(t)$ is $\mathcal{G}_{T_k}$-measurable for $t \in [T_k, T_{k+1})$.

In particular, the set $\mathcal{X}_\varepsilon$ includes all $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}_{22}$ such that $\gamma(t)$ is $\mathcal{G}_{t-\varepsilon}$-measurable for all $t \in [0, T]$.

Let $\mathcal{X} \triangleq \bigcup_{\varepsilon > 0} \mathcal{X}_\varepsilon$.

For the brevity, we sometimes denote $L_p(\Omega, \mathcal{G}_T, \mathbb{P})$ by $L_p(\Omega), p \geq 1$.

Let $X_{\varepsilon, PC}$ be the set of all $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}_{22}$ such that there exists an integer $n > 0$ and a set of non-random times $\mathcal{T} = \{T_k\}_{k=1}^n \subset [0, T]$, where $n > 0$ is an integer, $T_0 = 0$, $T_n = T$, and $T_{k+1} - T_k \geq \varepsilon$, such that $\gamma(t) = \gamma(T_k)$ for $t \in [T_k, T_{k+1})$.

### 3 The main result: integration for random integrands

For any $\gamma \in X_{\varepsilon, PC}$, it is naturally to define the stochastic integral with respect to $B_H$ in $L_1(\Omega, \mathcal{G}_T, \mathbb{P})$ as the Riemann sum

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \gamma(T_k) (B_H(T_{k+1}) - B_H(T_k)).$$

If $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}_{22}$ is such that this sum has a limit in probability as $n \to +\infty$, and this limit is independent on the choice of $\{T_k\}_{k=1}^n$, then we call this limit the integral $\int_0^T \gamma(t) d_{RS} B_H(t)$.

The classes of admissible deterministic integrands $\gamma$ are known; see, e.g. [28, 29]. However, there are some difficulties with identifying classes of admissible random $\gamma$. The present paper suggests a modification of the stochastic integral based on the extension from $\mathcal{X}$, i.e. from the set of random functions that are not necessarily piecewise constant but rather "piecewise predictable". This modification will allow to establish a new extended class of random integrands that are not necessarily "piecewise predictable".

### The case of of non-random integrands

As the first step, let us construct a stochastic integral over the time interval $[s, T]$ for $\mathcal{G}_s$-measurable integrands $\gamma \in L_2(\Omega, \mathcal{G}_s, \mathbb{P}, L_2(s, T))$. These integrands can be regarded as non-random on the conditional probability space given $\mathcal{G}_s$.

By (2.1), we have that

$$B_H(t) = W_H(t) + R_H(t),$$
where $t > s$,

$$W_H(t) = \int_s^t f(t,r)dB(r), \quad R_H(t) = \int_\infty^s f(t,r)dB(r).$$

The processes $W_H(t)$ and $R_H(t)$ are independent Gaussian processes with zero mean. In addition, the process $W_H$ is $\mathcal{G}_t$-adapted, $R_H(t)$ is $\mathcal{G}_s$-measurable for all $t > s$, and $W_H(t)$ is independent on $\mathcal{G}_s$ for all $t > s$.

To define integration with respect to $dB_H$ for $\mathcal{G}_s$-measurable integrands $\gamma \in L_2(\Omega, \mathcal{G}_s, \mathbb{P}, L_2(s,T))$ we define integration with respect to $W_H$ and $R_H$ separately.

First, it can be noted that if we had $f'_t(t,\cdot) \in L_2(s,t)$ then integration with respect to $W_H$ would be straightforward, since we would be able to find the Itô’s differential $dW_H(t)$ as

$$f(t,t)dB(t) + \int_0^t f'_t(t,r)dB(r) \cdot dt = 0 \cdot dB(t) + \int_0^t f'_t(t,r)dB(r) \cdot dt,$$

which would allow us to accept $\int_s^T \gamma(t) \left( \int_0^t f'_t(t,r)dB(r) \right) dt$ as $\int_s^T \gamma(t)dW_H(t)$. However, the expression (3.1) cannot be regarded as an Itô’s differential, since $f'_t(t,\cdot) \notin L_2(s,t)$. Nevertheless, we will be using a modification of this version of the integral with respect to $W_H$ amended with some approximations to overcome insufficient integrability of $f'_t(t,\cdot)$.

For $\varepsilon > 0$, let

$$W_{H,\varepsilon}(t) = \int_s^t f(t,r-\varepsilon)dB(r).$$

In this case, there exists a usual Itô’s differential

$$dW_{H,\varepsilon}(t) = f(t,t-\varepsilon)dB(t) + \int_0^t f'_t(t,r-\varepsilon)dB(r) \cdot dt,$$

representing a "regularized" approximation of the right hand part of (3.1).

**Proposition 3.1.** For any $\gamma \in L_2(\Omega, \mathcal{G}_s, \mathbb{P}, L_2(s,T))$,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_s^T \gamma(t)dW_{H,\varepsilon}(t) = \int_s^T G_H(\tau,T,\gamma)dB(\tau);$$

the limit holds in $L_2(\Omega, \mathcal{G}_T, \mathbb{P})$.

This result justifies the following definition.

**Definition 3.1.** We regard the limit in Definition 3.1 as the stochastic integral with respect to $W_H$, and we denote it as $\int_s^T \gamma(t)d\bar{W}_H(t)$, i.e.

$$\int_s^T \gamma(t)d\bar{W}_H(t) \triangleq \int_s^T G_H(\tau,T,\gamma)dB(\tau).$$
It appears that this choice for the case of non-random integrands leads to a new version of a stochastic integral for random integrands constructed below.

**Proposition 3.2.** (i) $R_H(t)$ is $\mathcal{G}_s$-measurable for all $t > s$ and differentiable in $t > s$ in the sense that

\[
\lim_{\delta \to 0} E \left| \frac{R_H(t + \delta) - R_H(t)}{\delta} - \mathcal{D}R_H(t) \right| = 0,
\]

where

\[
\mathcal{D}R_H(t) \triangleq \int_{-\infty}^{s} f'_t(t,q)dB(q).
\]

The process $\mathcal{D}R_H$ is such that

(a) $\mathcal{D}R_H(t)$ is $\mathcal{G}_s$-measurable for all $t > s$;

(b) for any $t > s$,

\[
E \mathcal{D}R_H(t)^2 = \frac{d_H^2}{2-2H} (t-s)^{2H-2},
\]

(3.3)

\[
E \int_s^t \mathcal{D}R_H(r)^2 dr = \frac{d_H^2}{2(2-2H)} (t-s)^{2H-1}.
\]

(3.4)

**Definition 3.2.** For $s \in [0,T)$ and $\gamma \in L_2(\Omega, \mathcal{G}_s, \mathbb{P}, L_2(s,T))$, we define the integral

\[
\int_s^T \gamma(t) d\mathcal{F}_t B_H(t) \triangleq \int_s^T \gamma(t) d\mathcal{F}_t W_H(t) + \int_s^T \gamma(t) \mathcal{D}R_H(t) dt
\]

\[
= \int_s^T G_H(\tau,T,\gamma) dB(\tau) + \int_s^T \gamma(t) \mathcal{D}R_H(t) dt.
\]

The first integral in the sum above is described in Definition 3.1, and the second one is a pathwise Lebesgue integral on $[s,T]$. The sum belongs to $L_1(\Omega, \mathcal{G}_T, \mathbb{P})$ thanks to Propositions 3.1 and 3.2.

**Proposition 3.3.** Under the assumptions and notations of Definition 3.2,

\[
E \left| \int_s^T \gamma(t) d\mathcal{F}_t W_H(t) \right|^2 \leq c E \int_s^T \gamma(t)^2 dt,
\]

\[
E \left| \int_s^T \gamma(t) \mathcal{D}R_H(t) dt \right| \leq c \left( E \int_s^T \gamma(t)^2 dt \right)^{1/2},
\]

\[
E \left| \int_s^T \gamma(t) d\mathcal{F}_t B_H(t) \right| \leq c \left( E \int_s^T \gamma(t)^2 dt \right)^{1/2},
\]

for some $c = c(H,T) > 0$.

**Remark 3.1.** For the purposes of the proofs below, we need stronger estimates for $\int \gamma(t) d\mathcal{F}_t W_H dt$.
and $\int \gamma(t) d_F B_H(t)$ than for $\int \gamma(t)^2 dt$, such as is given in Proposition 3.3. It can be noted that combined estimates from Proposition 3.3 would lead to estimate $E[I_H(\gamma)] \leq \text{const} \left( E \int_s^T \gamma(t)^2 dt \right)^{1/2}$, which is weaker than known estimates [14, 28].

**Proposition 3.4.** We have that

$$\int_s^T \gamma(t) d_F B_H(t) = B_H(T) - B_H(s).$$

**Extension on piecewise-predictable integrands from $X_\varepsilon$**

**Definition 3.3.** Let $\gamma \in X_\varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon > 0$. By the definitions, there exists a finite set $\Theta$ of non-random times $\Theta = \{T_k\}_{k=0}^n \subset [s, T]$, where $n > 0$ is an integer, $T_0 = 0$, $T_n = T$, and $T_{k+1} \in (T_k, T_k + \varepsilon)$ such that $\gamma(t)$ is $S_{T_k}-$measurable for $t \in [T_k, T_{k+1})$. Let $\int_{T_k}^{T_{k-1}} \gamma(t) d_F B_H(t)$ be defined according to Definition 3.2 with the interval $[s, T]$ replaced by $[T_{k-1}, T_k]$. We call the sum

$$I_H(\gamma) = \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{T_{k-1}}^{T_k} \gamma(t) d_F B_H(t).$$

the foresighted integral of $\gamma$ and denote it as $\int_0^T \gamma(t) d_F B_H(t)$.

The integral in the above definition belongs to $L_1(\Omega, G_T, P)$ thanks to Propositions 3.1 and 3.2.

**Remark 3.2.** It follows from Proposition 3.4 that

$$\int_0^T \gamma(t) d_F B_H(t) = \int_0^T \gamma(t) d_RS B_H(t)$$

for piecewise constant $\gamma \in \cup_{\varepsilon > 0} X_{\varepsilon, PC}$. However, it appears that converges of Riemann sums requires more restriction for non-piecewise constant $\gamma$ than the convergence for the suggested new integral. This is because this approximation is finer that approximation by the piecewise constant functions.

**3.1 Extension on random integrands of a general type with a mild restriction on prediction error**

For $\nu > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, let $Y_{\nu, \varepsilon}$ be the set of all processes $\gamma \in L_2$ such that

$$\sup_{\tau \in [0, T]} \sup_{t \in [\tau, T \wedge (\tau + \varepsilon)]} \left[ E \text{Var}_\tau \gamma(t) \right]^{1/2} \leq C(t - \tau)^{1-H+\nu} \text{ a.s.}$$

for some $C = C(\gamma) > 0$. 

It can be noted that $E_r \gamma(t)$ can be interpreted as the forecast at time $\tau$ of $\gamma(t)$ for $t > \tau$; the forecast is based on observations of the events from $G_\tau$. Respectively, $\text{Var}_r \gamma(t)$ can be interpreted as the conditional means-square error of this forecast given $G_\tau$.

In particular, processes from $Y_{\nu,\varepsilon}$ with $\nu > 0$ feature stronger predictability on the short horizon $\varepsilon$ than processes from $Y_{0,\varepsilon}$.

**Example 3.1.** $B|_{[0,T]} \in Y_{0,\varepsilon}$ and $B_H|_{[0,T]} \in Y_{2H-1,\varepsilon}$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

**Proposition 3.5.** For any $\nu > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, the space $Y_{\nu,\varepsilon}$ with the norm

$$
\|\gamma\|_{Y_{\nu,\varepsilon}} \triangleq \|\gamma\|_{L_2} + \sup_{\tau \in [0,T]} \sup_{t \in [\tau,T]} |E\text{Var}_r \gamma(t)|^{1/2} / (t - \tau)^{1-H+\nu}.
$$

is a Banach space.

It follows from the definitions that if $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, \varepsilon)$ and $\gamma \in Y_{\nu,\varepsilon}$ then $\gamma \in Y_{\nu,\varepsilon_0}$ and $\|\gamma\|_{Y_{\nu,\varepsilon_0}} \leq \|\gamma\|_{Y_{\nu,\varepsilon}}$.

Let $Y_\nu \triangleq \cup_{\nu > 0, \varepsilon > 0} Y_{\nu,\varepsilon}$. Clearly, the set $Y_\nu$ is everywhere dense in $L_2$.

For $\gamma \in L_2$, let $Z(\gamma)$ be the set of all processes $\{\gamma_n \in X, \ n = 1, 2, \ldots\}$, such that $\gamma_n(t) = E_{T_k} \gamma(t)$ for $t \in [T_k, T_{k+1})$, where $k = 0, 1, \ldots, 2^n$ and where $T_k = kT/2^n$.

For $\nu > 0$, let $Z_\nu = \cup_{\gamma \in Y_\nu} Z(\gamma)$. Clearly, $Z_\nu$ is everywhere dense in $Y_\nu$, and $Z_\nu$ is everywhere dense in $L_2$.

**Theorem 3.1.** (i) Let $\gamma \in Y$, and let $\{\gamma_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in Z(\gamma)$. Then the sequence $\{I_H(\gamma_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ has a limit in $L_1(\Omega, G_T, P)$. Let $I_H(\gamma)$ denote this limit.

(ii) For any $\varepsilon > 0$, $H \in (1/2, 1)$, and $\nu > 0$, the operator $I_H(\cdot) : Z_\nu \to L_1(\Omega, G_T, P)$ allows a unique extension into a linear continuous operator $I_H(\cdot) : Y_{\nu,\varepsilon} \to L_1(\Omega, G_T, P)$.

We will regard $I_H(\gamma)$ defined in Theorem 3.1 as the stochastic integral

$$
I_H(\gamma) = \int_0^T \gamma(t) d_B H(t), \quad \gamma \in Y_0.
$$

**Corollary 3.1.** For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\nu > 0$, there exists a constant $c > 0$ depending on $T, \varepsilon, \nu$ only such that

$$
E \left| \int_0^T \gamma(t) d_B H(t) \right| \leq c \|\gamma\|_{Y_{\nu,\varepsilon}} \quad \forall \gamma \in Y_{\nu,\varepsilon}.
$$

Corollary 3.1 follows immediately from Theorem 3.1.

For $\nu > 0$ and $r > 1$, let $K_\nu$ be the set of all $\gamma \in L_2$ such that and $\sup_{s,t \in [0,T]} \|\gamma(s) - \gamma(t)\|_{L_1(\Omega)} \leq C|t - s|^{1-H+\nu}$.

It can be seen that $K_\nu \subset Y_\nu$ for $r \geq 2$. 
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For $\gamma \in \mathcal{H}_\nu$, let $\bar{Z}(\gamma)$ be the set of all processes $\{\gamma_n \in \mathcal{X}, \ n = 1, 2,\ldots\}$, such that, for $t \in [T_k, T_{k+1})$, either $\gamma_n(t) = \gamma(T_k)$, or $\gamma_n(t) = \mathbb{E}_{T_k}\gamma(t)$, where $k = 0, 1, \ldots, 2^n$ and where $T_k = kT/2^n$.

For $\nu \geq 0$, let $\bar{Z}_\nu = \cup_{\gamma \in \mathcal{H}_\nu} \bar{Z}(\gamma)$.

**Proposition 3.6.** For any $r \in (1, 2]$ and $\nu > 0$, the conclusions of Theorem 3.1 hold for $\gamma \in \mathcal{H}_{\nu, r}$ if $Z(\gamma)$ and $Z_\nu$ are replaced $\bar{Z}(\gamma)$ and $\bar{Z}_\nu$ respectively.

### 4 Continuity of the foresighted integral in $H \to 1/2 + 0$

The following theorem describes some classes of random integrands where the stochastic integrals are continuous with respect to the Hurst parameter $H \to 1/2 + 0$.

**Theorem 4.1.** For any $\gamma \in \mathcal{Y}$,

$$
\mathbb{E} \left| \int_0^T \gamma(t)dF_H(t) - \int_0^T \gamma(t)dB(t) \right| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad H \to 1/2 + 0. \quad (4.1)
$$

In fact, the question about continuity at $H \to 1/2$ of stochastic integrals with respect to $dB_H$ is quite interesting. In particular, it is known that

$$
\mathbb{E} \int_0^T B_H(t)d_{RS}B_H(t) \rightarrow \mathbb{E} \int_0^T B(t)dB(t) \quad \text{as} \quad H \to 1/2 + 0. \quad (4.2)
$$

This follows from the equality

$$
2 \int_0^T B(t)dB(t) = B(T)^2 - T
$$

combined with the equalities \cite{32}

$$
2 \int_0^T B_H(t)d_{RS}B_H(t) = B_H(T)^2, \quad H \in (1/2, 1).
$$

**Remark 4.1.** Theorem 4.1 does not contradict to the divergence stated in (4.2) since $B_{[0,T]} \notin \mathcal{Y}$. On the other hand, this theorem ensures that, for any $H_1 > 1/2$,

$$
\mathbb{E} \int_0^T B_{H_1}(t)dF_B(t) \to \mathbb{E} \int_0^T B_{H_1}(t)dB(t) \quad \text{as} \quad H \to 1/2 + 0,
$$

since $B_H|_{[0,T]} \notin \mathcal{Y}$. 


5 Proofs

Consider the derivative
\[ f'_t(t,r) = d_H(t-r)^{H-3/2}, \quad t > r. \]

Since \( H - 3/2 \in (-1,-1/2) \), it follows that \( 2(H - 3/2) \in (-2,-1) \) and \( \|f'_t(\cdot)\|_{L_2(-\infty,s)} < +\infty \) for all \( s < t \).

We will be using functions
\[
\hat{\rho}(t) \overset{\Delta}{=} \int_{-\infty}^{0} f'_t(t,r) dB(r), \quad \rho(t,\tau) \overset{\Delta}{=} \int_{0}^{\tau} f'_t(t,r) dB(r), \quad \tau > t > 0. \tag{5.1}
\]

**Proof of Proposition 3.1**. For \( \tau \in [s,T], \varepsilon \geq 0, \) and \( g \in L_2(s,T) \), set
\[
G_{H,\varepsilon}(\tau,T,g) \overset{\Delta}{=} d_H \int_{\tau}^{T} (t - \tau + \varepsilon)^{H-3/2} g(t) dt. \tag{5.2}
\]

By the restrictions on \( \gamma \) and by (2.4), we have that \( G_{H}(\cdot,T,\gamma) \) is \( \mathcal{G}_s \)-measurable for any \( \tau \), that \( \int_{s}^{T} dB(\tau)G_{H}(\tau,T,\gamma) \) is well defined as an Itô’s integral, and that \( \int_{s}^{T} \gamma(t)dW_{H,\varepsilon}(\tau) \) is also well defined as the Itô’s integral
\[
\int_{s}^{T} \gamma(t) dW_{H,\varepsilon}(t)
= c_H \int_{s}^{T} \gamma(t)f(t,t-\varepsilon) dB(t) + d_H \int_{s}^{T} \gamma(t) dt \int_{s}^{t} (t - \tau + \varepsilon)^{H-3/2} dB(\tau)
= d_H \int_{s}^{T} dB(\tau) \int_{\tau}^{T} (t - \tau)^{H-3/2} \gamma(t) dt, \tag{5.3}
\]
i.e.
\[
\int_{s}^{T} \gamma(t) dW_{H,\varepsilon}(t) = \int_{s}^{T} dB(\tau)G_{H,\varepsilon}(\tau,T,\gamma). \tag{5.4}
\]

Furthermore, let
\[
D_{\varepsilon} \overset{\Delta}{=} \int_{s}^{T} dB(\tau)G_{H}(\tau,T,\gamma) - \int_{s}^{T} \gamma(t)dW_{H,\varepsilon}(t).
\]

We have that \( D_{\varepsilon} = \bar{D}_{\varepsilon} + \hat{D}_{\varepsilon} \), where \( \bar{D}_{\varepsilon} \overset{\Delta}{=} \int_{s}^{T} \gamma(t)f(t,t-\varepsilon) dB(t) \) and where
\[
\hat{D}_{\varepsilon} \overset{\Delta}{=} \int_{s}^{T} dB(\tau)[G_{H}(\tau,T,\gamma) - G_{H,\varepsilon}(\tau,T,\gamma)].
\]

Clearly, \( E\hat{D}_{\varepsilon}^2 \to 0 \) as \( \varepsilon \to 0 \). Let us show that \( E\bar{D}_{\varepsilon}^2 \to 0 \) as \( \varepsilon \to 0 \).
It suffices to consider \( \varepsilon = \varepsilon_j \) for a monotonically decreasing sequence \( \{ \varepsilon_j \}_{j=1}^{\infty} \).

Assume first that \( \gamma(t) \geq 0 \) a.e.. In this case, \((t - \tau + \varepsilon_i)^{H-3/2} \gamma(t) > (t - \tau + \varepsilon_j)^{H-3/2} \gamma(t) \geq 0 \) a.e. if \( i > j \), i.e., \( \varepsilon_i < \varepsilon_j \).

It follows that \( G_H(\tau, T, \gamma) - G_H(\tau, T, \gamma) \geq 0 \) a.s. for almost all \( \tau \). It also follows \( \|G_H(\cdot, T, \gamma)\|_{L_2(s, T)} \leq c\|\gamma\|_{L_2(s, T)} \) with the same \( c \) as in (2.4).

We have that \( G_H(\tau, T, \gamma) - G_H(\cdot, T, \gamma) \to 0 \) a.s. for almost all \( \tau \) as \( \varepsilon = \varepsilon_j \to 0 \) and that \( \|G_H(\cdot, T, \gamma) - G_H(\cdot, T, \gamma)\|_{L_2(s, T)} \leq 2c\|\gamma\|_{L_2(s, T)} \). By the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem, it follows that \( \mathbf{E} \hat{D}_t^2 \to 0 \) as \( \varepsilon \to 0 \).

The case where \( \gamma \leq 0 \) can be considered similarly. In the case of a sign variable \( \gamma \), apply the proof above for \( \gamma_+ = \gamma \mathbb{I}_{\gamma \geq 0} \) and for \( \gamma_- = -\gamma \mathbb{I}_{\gamma \leq 0} \) separately. Then the proof for \( \gamma = \gamma_+ - \gamma_- \) follows. This completes the proof of Proposition 3.1.

Proof of Proposition 7.2. Let us prove statement (i). We need to verify the properties related to the differentiability of \( R_H(t) \).

Let \( t > s \) and \( r < s \).

Let \( f^{(1)}(t, r, \delta) \overset{\Delta}{=} (f(t + \delta, r) - f(t, r)) / \delta \), where \( \delta \in (-t - s) / 2, (t - s) / 2 \).

Clearly, \( f''(t, r) - f^{(1)}(t, r, \delta) \to 0 \) as \( \delta \to 0 \) for all \( t > s \) and \( r < s \). Let us show that \( \|f''(t, \cdot) - f^{(1)}(t, \cdot, \delta)\|_{L_2(-\infty, s)} \to 0 \) as \( \delta \to 0 \). We have that

\[
   f^{(1)}(t, r, \delta) = \delta^{-1} \int_t^{t+\delta} f''(s, r) ds = f'(\theta(t, \delta), r)
\]

for some \( \theta(t, \delta) \in (t, t + \delta) \). Hence

\[
   \left| f''(t, r) - f^{(1)}(t, r, \delta) \right| \leq \sup_{h \in (t, t+\delta)} \left| f''(t, r) - f''(h, r) \right| \leq h \sup_{h \in (t, t+\delta)} \left| f''(h, r) \right|,
\]

where

\[
   f''(h, r) = d_H(H - 3/2)(h - r)^{H-5/2}.
\]

For \( \delta > 0 \), we have that

\[
   \sup_{h \in (t, t+\delta)} \left| f''(h, r) \right| \leq d_H((H - 3/2)(t - r)^{H-5/2}.
\]

For \( \delta \in (-t - s) / 2, 0 \), we have that

\[
   \sup_{h \in (t, t+\delta)} \left| f''(h, r) \right| \leq d_H((H - 3/2)((t + \delta - r)^{H-5/2}.
\]

It follows that \( \|f''(t, \cdot)\|_{L_2(-\infty, s)} < +\infty \).
By (5.5), it follows for all $t > s$
\[
\mathbb{D} R_H(t) = \lim_{\delta \to 0^+} \frac{R_H(t + \delta) - R_H(t)}{\delta} = \int_{-\infty}^{s} f'_r(t, r) dB(r),
\]
for the mean square limit described in statement (ii).

Further, we have that
\[
\mathbb{E} (\mathbb{D} R_H(t))^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{s} |f'_r(t, r)|^2 dr = d_H^2 \int_{-\infty}^{s} (t - r)^{2H-3} dr
\]
\[
= \frac{d_H^2}{2 - 2H} (t - r)^{2H-2} \bigg|_{-\infty}^{s} = \frac{d_H^2}{2 - 2H} (t - s)^{2H-2}.
\]

Hence, for $t > s$,
\[
\mathbb{E} \int_{s}^{t} \mathbb{D} R_H(r)^2 dr = \frac{d_H^2}{2 - 2H} \int_{s}^{t} (r - s)^{2H-2} dr = \frac{d_H^2}{(2 - 2H)(2H - 1)} (t - s)^{2H-1}
\]
\[
= \frac{c_H d_H}{2(2 - 2H)} (t - s)^{2H-1}.
\]

This completes the proof of Proposition 3.2. \(\square\)

Proof of Proposition 3.3 follows from (2.4) and Proposition 3.2. \(\square\)

Proof of Proposition 3.4. By the definitions,
\[
\int_{s}^{T} 1 \cdot d_F B_H(t) = J_1 + J_2,
\]
where
\[
J_1 \triangleq \int_{s}^{T} 1 \cdot d_F W_H(t) = d_H \int_{s}^{T} dB(\tau) \int_{\tau}^{T} (t - \tau)^{h-1} dt \int_{s}^{T} dB(\tau) G_H(\tau, T, 1)
\]
and
\[
J_2 \triangleq \int_{s}^{T} 1 \cdot d_F B_H(t) \mathbb{D} R_H(s) + \int_{s}^{T} dt \int_{-\infty}^{s} f'_r(t, r) dB(r) = d_H \int_{s}^{T} dt \int_{-\infty}^{s} (t - \tau)^{h-1} dB(\tau).
\]

Here
\[
h \triangleq H - 1/2.
\]

We have that
\[
J_1 = c_H \int_{s}^{T} dB(\tau) (T - \tau)^h
\]
By Clark’s theorem, it follows that
\[ \gamma(t, r) \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega, \mathbb{S}) \] is a measurable function (classes of equivalency) \( \mathcal{V}_1 \to \mathbb{R} \) such that the space \( \mathcal{V}_1 \times \mathcal{V}_2 \) is complete and is in a continuous and continuously invertible bijection with the space \( \mathcal{V}_0 \). This completes the proof of Proposition \[3.4\] \( \square \)

Proof of Proposition \[3.5\] We denote by \( \tilde{\ell}_1 \) the Lebesgue measure in \( \mathbb{R} \), and we denote by \( \mathcal{B}_1 \) the \( \sigma \)-algebra of Lebesgue sets in \( \mathbb{R} \). Let \( D = \{(t, r) : 0 \leq r \leq t \leq T\} \).

Let \( \mathcal{V}_1 = L_2([0, T], \mathcal{B}_1, \tilde{\ell}_1, L_2(\Omega, \mathcal{S}_0, \mathbf{P})) \), and let \( \mathcal{V}_2 \) be the linear normed space of all measurable function (classes of equivalency) \( g : D \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \) such that \( g(t, r) \in L_2(\Omega, \mathcal{S}_r, \mathbf{P}) \) for a.e. \( t, r \), with the norm
\[
\|g\|_{\mathcal{V}_2} = \left( E \int_0^T dt \int_0^t g(t, r)^2 dr \right)^{1/2} + \sup_{\tau \in [0, T]} \sup_{t \in [\tau, (\tau + \epsilon) \wedge T]} \left( E \int_\tau^t g(t, r)^2 dr \right)^{1/2} / (t - \tau)^{1 - H + \nu}.
\]

By Clark’s theorem, it follows that \( \gamma \in \mathcal{V}_{\nu, \epsilon} \) can be represented as
\[
\gamma(t) = \mathbf{E}_0 \gamma(t) + \int_0^t g(t, r) dB(r)
\]
for some \( g(t, r) \in \mathcal{V}_2, \) here \( \mathbf{E}_0 \gamma(t) \in \mathcal{V}_1 \). In this case, \( \text{Var} \gamma(t) = \mathbf{E}_\tau \int_\tau^t g(t, r)^2 dr \). To prove the proposition, it suffices to observe that the space \( \mathcal{V}_1 \times \mathcal{V}_2 \) is complete and is in a continuous and continuously invertible bijection with the space \( \mathcal{V}_{\nu, \epsilon} \). This completes the proof of Proposition \[3.5\] \( \square \)

To prove Theorems \[3.7\] and Proposition \[3.6\] and Theorem \[4.1\] we will need some notation. In
the proofs below, we consider an integer $n > 0$ and $\gamma_n \in X$ such that there exist some $\varepsilon > 0$ and a set $\Theta_{\gamma_n} = \{T_k\}_{k=1}^n \subset [0, T]$, where $T_0 = 0$, $T_n = T$, and $T_{k+1} \in (T_k, T + \varepsilon)$ such that $\gamma_n(t) \in L_2(\Omega, \mathcal{g}_{T_k}, P)$ for $t \in [T_k, T_{k+1})$.

Let

$$I_{W,H,k} = \int_{T_{k-1}}^{T_k} \gamma_n(t)dW_{H,k}(t), \quad I_{R,H,k} = \int_{T_{k-1}}^{T_k} \gamma_n(t)dR_{H,k}(t),$$

where $W_{H,k}$, $R_{H,k}$, and $\mathcal{D}R_{H,k}$ are defined similarly to $W_H$, $R_H$, and $\mathcal{D}R_H$, with $[s, T]$ replaced by $[T_{k-1}, T_k]$.

Let

$$I_{W,H}(\gamma_n) \triangleq \sum_{k=1}^n I_{W,H,k}, \quad I_{R,H}(\gamma_n) \triangleq \sum_{k=1}^n I_{R,H,k}. \quad (5.7)$$

Clearly,

$$I_H(\gamma_n) = I_{W,H}(\gamma_n) + I_{R,H}(\gamma_n),$$

and

$$I_H(\gamma_n) = I_{W,H}(\gamma_n) + \hat{I}_{R,H}(\gamma_n) + \bar{J}_{R,H}(\gamma_n), \quad (5.8)$$

where

$$\hat{I}_{R,H}(\gamma_n) = \int_{T_0}^{T_k} \gamma_n(t)d\hat{\rho}(t), \quad \bar{J}_{R,H}(\gamma_n) \triangleq \sum_{k=1}^n J_{R,H,k}.$$
For \( k = 0, ..., n - 1 \), consider operators \( \Gamma_k(\cdot) : L_2(0, T_{k+1}) \to L_2(0, T_{k+1}) \) such that

\[
\Gamma_k(\cdot, g) = G_H(\cdot, T_{k+1}, g).
\]

By the properties of the Riemann–Liouville integral, \( \|\Gamma_k(\cdot, g)\|_{L_2(T_k, T_{k+1})} \leq \tilde{c}\|g\|_{L_2(T_k, T_{k+1})} \) for some \( \tilde{c} > 0 \) that is independent on \( g \in L_2(T_k, T_{k+1}) \) and \( H \in (1/2, 1) \).

**Lemma 5.1.** There exists some \( c > 0 \) such that, for any \( \gamma_n \in \mathcal{X} \) and \( H \in (1/2, 1) \),

\[
E|I_W(\gamma_n)| + E|\tilde{I}_{R,H}(\gamma_n)| \leq cE\|\gamma_n\|_{L_2}.
\]  

(5.10)

**Proof of Lemma 5.1** For \( k = 1, ..., n \), we have that

\[
I_{W,H,k} = d_H \int_{T_{k-1}}^{T_k} \gamma_n(t)dt \int_t^H (t - \tau)^{H-3/2} dB(\tau)
\]

\[
= d_H \int_{T_{k-1}}^{T_k} dB(\tau) \int_{T_{k-1}}^{T_k} (t - \tau)^{H-3/2} \gamma_n(t)dt = \int_{T_{k-1}}^{T_k} dB(\tau) \Gamma_{k-1}(\tau, \gamma_n).
\]

The last integral here converges in \( L_2(\Omega, \mathcal{G}_T, P) \). Hence

\[
E\|I_{W,H}(\gamma_n)\|_{L_2}^2 = E \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} I_{W,H,k} \right)^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{n} E I_{W,H,k}^2 = E \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{T_{k-1}}^{T_k} \Gamma_{k-1}(\tau, \gamma_n)^2 d\tau
\]

\[
\leq \tilde{c} E \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{T_{k-1}}^{T_k} \gamma_n(\tau)^2 d\tau = \tilde{c} \|\gamma_n\|_{L_2}^2.
\]

Further, we have that

\[
E|\tilde{I}_{R,H}(\gamma_n)| \leq \left( E \int_0^T \gamma_n(t)^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \left( E \int_0^T \tilde{\rho}(t)^2 dt \right)^{1/2}.
\]

By (3.3), \( E \int_0^T \tilde{\rho}(t)^2 dt \leq \frac{d_H^2}{2(2-2H)} T^{2H-1} \). This completes the proof of Lemma 5.1 □

The following proofs will be given for Theorem 3.1 and 4.1 simultaneously with the proof of Proposition 3.6. For the sake of the proofs of Theorem 3.1 and 4.1 we assume below that that \( q = 2, p = 2, \gamma \in Y_{\nu,\varepsilon} \) and \( \{g_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} = \mathcal{Z}(\gamma) \). For the sake of the proof of Proposition 3.6 we assume below that \( r \in (1, 2], p = (1 - 1/r)^{-1}, \gamma \in \mathcal{G}_{\nu,\varepsilon} \) and \( \{g_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{Z}(\gamma) \).

**Lemma 5.2.** Let \( q \in [1, 2), \gamma \in Y_{\nu,\varepsilon} \), and let \( \{\gamma_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} = \mathcal{Z}(\gamma) \). The sequences \( \{I_{R,H}(\gamma_n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, \{\tilde{I}_{R,H}(\gamma_n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, \) and \( \{J_{R,H}(\gamma_n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, \) have limits in \( L_1(\Omega, \mathcal{G}_T, P) \).

**Proof of Lemma 5.2** We consider below positive integers \( n, m \to +\infty \) such that \( n \geq m \). We
assume below that \( T_k = kT/2^n \), \( k = 0, 1, \ldots, 2^n \). This means that the grid \( \{ T_k \}_{k=0}^{2^n} \) is formed as defined for \( n \) rather than for \( m \); since \( n \geq m \), Definition 3.3 is applicable to the integral \( \int_0^T \gamma_m(t) d\beta B_H(t) \) with this grid as well. We denote by \( \bar{J}_R(\gamma_n), \bar{J}_R(\gamma_m), J_{R,k,m} \) and \( J_{R,k,n} \) the corresponding values \( \bar{J}_R(\gamma_n) \), and \( J_{R,H,k} \) defined for \( \gamma = \gamma_n \) and \( \gamma = \gamma_m \) respectively obtained using the same grid \( \{ T_k \}_{k=0}^{2^n} \).

We have that

\[
\mathbf{E}\| I_{W,H}(\gamma_n) - I_{W,H}(\gamma_m) \|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 = \mathbf{E} \sum_{k=1}^{2^n} \int_{T_{k-1}}^{T_k} [\Gamma_{k-1}(\tau, \gamma_n) - \Gamma_{k-1}(\tau, \gamma_m)]^2 d\tau
\]

\[
\leq c \mathbf{E} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{T_{k-1}}^{T_k} |\gamma_n(\tau) - \gamma_m(\tau)|^2 d\tau = c \| \gamma_n - \gamma_m \|_{L_{22}}^2 \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad b, m \to +\infty.
\]

Here \( c > 0 \) is a constant from Lemma 5.4. This implies that the sequence \( \{ I_{R,H}(\gamma_n) \}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) has a limit in \( L_1(\Omega, \mathcal{G}_T, \mathbf{P}) \).

Further, we have that

\[
\mathbf{E}| \bar{I}_{R,H}(\gamma_n) - \bar{I}_{R,H}(\gamma_m) | \leq \left( \mathbf{E} \int_0^T |\gamma_n(t) - \gamma_m(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \left( \mathbf{E} \int_0^T \tilde{\rho}(t)^2 dt \right)^{1/2}.
\]

By 3.3, \( \mathbf{E} \int_0^T \tilde{\rho}(t)^2 dt \leq \text{const.} \) This implies that the sequence \( \{ \bar{I}_{R,H}(\gamma_n) \}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) has a limit in \( L_1(\Omega, \mathcal{G}_T, \mathbf{P}) \).

Let us prove that the sequence \( \{ \bar{J}_{R,H}(\gamma_n) \}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) has a limit in \( L_1(\Omega, \mathcal{G}_T, \mathbf{P}) \).

We have that

\[
\psi_{n,m,k} \Delta J_{R,k,n} - J_{R,k,m} = \int_{T_k}^{T_{k+1}} [\gamma_n(t) - \gamma_m(t)] \xi_k(t) dt,
\]

where

\[
\xi_k(t) = d_H \int_0^{T_k} (t - s)^{H-3/2} dB(s).
\]

Let \( p \in (1, 2) \) be such that \( 1/p + 1/r = 1 \). We have that

\[
\| \psi_{n,m,k} \|_{L_1(\Omega)} \leq \int_{T_k}^{T_{k+1}} \| \gamma_n(t) - \gamma_m(t) \|_{L_r(\Omega)} \| \xi_k(t) \|_{L_p(\Omega)} dt.
\]

Further, we have that

\[
\| \xi_k(t) \|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 = d_H^2 \int_0^T (t - s)^{2H-3} ds = -\frac{d_H^2}{2H - 2} \left( (t - T_k)^{2H-2} - t^{2H-2} \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{d_H^2}{2 - 2H} \left( (t - T_k)^{2H-2} - t^{2H-2} \right), \quad t \in [T_k, T_{k+1}).
\]
We have that
\[
\int_{T_k}^{T_{k+1}} \|\xi_k(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 dt = \frac{d_H^2}{2 - 2H} \int_{T_k}^{T_{k+1}} [t^{2H-2} - (t - T_k)^{2H-2}] dt
\]
\[
= \frac{d_H^2}{(2 - 2H)(2H - 1)} [T_{k+1}^{2H-1} - T_k^{2H-1} - (T_{k+1} - T_k)^{2H-1}]
\]
\[
= \frac{c_H d_H}{4 - 4H} [T_{k+1}^{2H-1} - T_k^{2H-1} - (T_{k+1} - T_k)^{2H-1}],
\]
where \(\varepsilon_n \triangleq T_{k+1} - T_k = T/2^n\). Hence
\[
\left( \int_{T_k}^{T_{k+1}} \|\xi_k(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \leq C_{\xi} C_H \varepsilon_n^{-1/2},
\]
where
\[
C_H \triangleq \sqrt{\frac{c_H d_H}{4 - 4H}},
\]
(5.11)
and where \(C_{\xi} > 0\) is independent on \(k\), \(N\), and \(H\). By the properties of Gaussian distributions, we have that
\[
\|\xi_k(t)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C(p) \|\xi_k(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}
\]
for some \(C(p) > 0\). Hence
\[
\int_{T_k}^{T_{k+1}} \|\xi_k(t)\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^2 dt \leq C(p) \int_{T_k}^{T_{k+1}} \|\xi_k(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 dt \leq C(p) \left( \int_{T_k}^{T_{k+1}} \|\xi_k(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \varepsilon_n^{1/2}
\]
\[
\leq C(p) C_{\xi} C_H \varepsilon_n^{-1/2} \varepsilon_n^{1/2} = C(p) C_{\xi} C_H \varepsilon_n^H.
\]
(5.12)
Let
\[
\varepsilon_m \triangleq T/2^m, \quad T_d^{(m)} \triangleq \varepsilon_m d, \quad d = 0, 1, ..., 2^m,
\]
(5.13)
and let
\[
\tau_m(t) \triangleq \inf \{ T_d^{(m)} : t \in [T_d^{(m)}, T_{d+1}^{(m)}], d = 0, 1, ..., 2^m - 1 \}.
\]
Staring from now, we assume that \(m\) is such that \(\varepsilon_m \leq \varepsilon\).
For the sake of the proof of Theorem 3.1, we have assumed that \(\gamma \in Y_{\nu, \varepsilon}\). By the definitions,
we have that \( \gamma_m(t) = \mathbf{E}_{r_m(t)} \gamma_n(t) \) and that

\[
\sup_t \| \gamma_n(t) - \gamma_m(t) \|_{L_2(\Omega)} = \sup_{k \in \{0, \ldots, 2^n - 1\}} \sup_{t \in [T_k, T_{k+1}]} \| \mathbf{E}_{r_n(t)} \gamma(t) - \mathbf{E}_{r_m(t)} \gamma(t) \|_{L_2(\Omega)} \\
\leq \sup_{k \in \{0, \ldots, 2^n - 1\}} \sup_{t \in [T_k, T_{k+1}]} (\mathbf{E} \text{Var}_{\tau_m(t)} \gamma(t))^{1/2} \leq c_c^e 1-H + \nu \| \gamma_m \|_{y_{\nu, \epsilon_m}}
\]

where \( c > 0 \) is independent on \( \gamma, k, H \). Since \( \epsilon_m \leq \epsilon \), it follows from the choice of \( \gamma_m \) that

\[
\| \gamma_m \|_{y_{\nu, \epsilon_m}} \leq \| \gamma \|_{y_{\nu, \epsilon}}.
\]

Hence we obtain that

\[
\sup_t \| \gamma_n(t) - \gamma_m(t) \|_{L_2(\Omega)} \leq c_c^e 1-H + \nu \| \gamma \|_{y_{\nu, \epsilon}}, \tag{5.14}
\]

where \( c > 0 \) are independent on \( \gamma, k, \) and \( H \in (1/2, 1) \).

For the sake of the proof of Proposition 3.6, we have assumed that \( \gamma \in \mathcal{H}_{\nu, \nu} \). By the definitions, we have that

\[
\sup_t \| \gamma_n(t) - \gamma_m(t) \|_{L_2(\Omega)} = \sup_{k \in \{0, \ldots, 2^n - 1\}} \sup_{t \in [T_k, T_{k+1}]} \| \gamma_m(t) - \gamma_n(t) \|_{L_r(\Omega)} \\
\leq \epsilon_m^{1-H + \nu} \| \gamma \|_{y_{\nu, \epsilon_m}}.
\]

Let \( n - m = 1 \). In this case, we have that \( \epsilon_m = 2\epsilon_n \), and

\[
\| \psi_{k,m+1,m} \|_{L_q(\Omega)} \leq \int_{T_k}^{T_{k+1}} \| \gamma_{m+1}(t) - \gamma_m(t) \|_{L_p(\Omega)} \| \xi_k(t) \|_{L_p(\Omega)} dt \\
\leq \int_{T_k}^{T_{k+1}} \| \gamma_{m+1}(t) - \gamma_m(t) \|_{L_2(\Omega)} \| \xi_k(t) \|_{L_2(\Omega)} dt \\
\leq c_c^e 1-H + \nu \| \gamma \|_{y_{\nu, \epsilon_m}} \cdot C(p) C_\xi C_H \epsilon_n^H = c_c^e C_H \epsilon_m^{1+\nu} \| \gamma_m \|_{y_{\nu, \epsilon_m}},
\]

where \( c_c^e > 0 \) is independent on \( \gamma, k, \) and \( H \in (1/2, 1) \). We have that \( 2^n = 2^{m+1} = 2T/\epsilon_m \). Hence

\[
\| \tilde{J}_{R,H}(\gamma_{m+1}) - \tilde{J}_{R,H}(\gamma_m) \|_{L_1(\Omega)} \leq \mathbb{E} \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \| \psi_{k,m+1,m} \|_{L_1(\Omega)} \leq 2^n c_c^e C_H \epsilon_m^{1+\nu} \| \gamma \|_{y_{\nu, \epsilon_m}} \\
= 2T \epsilon_m^{1+\nu} c_c^e C_H \epsilon_m^{1+\nu} \| \gamma \|_{y_{\nu, \epsilon}} = c_J C_H (2^{-m})^{\nu} \| \gamma \|_{y_{\nu, \epsilon}}. \tag{5.15}
\]

where \( c_J > 0 \) is independent on \( m, \gamma, H, \nu \geq 0, \) and \( k \). (This constant depends on \( r \) for the sake of the proof of Proposition 3.6).
Further, let \( m \in \{1, 2, ..., n\} \). We have that
\[
\bar{J}_{R,H}(\gamma_n) - \bar{J}_{R,H}(\gamma_m) = \bar{J}_{R,H}(\gamma_n) - \bar{J}_{R,H}(\gamma_{n-1}) + \bar{J}_{R,H}(\gamma_{n-1}) - \bar{J}_{R,H}(\gamma_m)
\]
\[
= \bar{J}_{R,H}(\gamma_n) - \bar{J}_{R,H}(\gamma_{n-1}) + \bar{J}_{R,H}(\gamma_{n-1}) - \bar{J}_{R,H}(\gamma_{n-2}) + \bar{J}_{R,H}(\gamma_{n-2}) - \bar{J}_{R,H}(\gamma_m)
\]
\[
= \ldots = \sum_{k=m+1}^{n} (\bar{J}_{R,H}(\gamma_k) - \bar{J}_{R,H}(\gamma_{k-1})).
\]
It follows that
\[
\|\bar{J}_{R,H}(\gamma_n) - \bar{J}_{R,H}(\gamma_m)\|_{L_1(\Omega)} \leq c_J C_H \sum_{k=m+1}^{n} (2^{-k})^{\nu}\|\gamma\|_{y_{\nu,\varepsilon}} \to 0
\]
as \( m \to +\infty \) (5.17)
uniformly in \( n > m \) and in the case where \( \nu > 0 \), uniformly in \( H \in (1/2, 1) \). Hence \( \{\bar{J}_{R,H}(\gamma_n)\} \) is a Cauchy sequence in \( L_q(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P}) \), and has a limit in this space. This completes the proof of Lemma 5.2. \( \square \)

Proof of Theorem 3.1. It follows immediately from Lemma 5.2 that the sequence \( \{I_H(\gamma_n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) have a limit in \( L_q(\Omega, \mathcal{G}_T, \mathbf{P}) \). This proves statement (i) of Theorem 3.1.

Let us prove statement (ii) of Theorem 3.1. It follows from Lemma 5.1 that the operators \( I_{W,H}(\cdot) : X \to L_1(\Omega, \mathcal{G}_T, \mathbf{P}) \) and \( \tilde{I}_{R,H}(\cdot) : X \to L_1(\Omega, \mathcal{G}_T, \mathbf{P}) \) allow continuous extension into continuous operators \( I_{W,H}(\cdot) : L_{22} \to L_1(\Omega, \mathcal{G}_T, \mathbf{P}) \) and \( \tilde{I}_{R,H}(\cdot) : L_{22} \to L_1(\Omega, \mathcal{G}_T, \mathbf{P}) \).

Further, let us show that, for any \( \nu > 0 \) and \( \varepsilon > 0 \), the operator \( I_H(\cdot) : \mathcal{G}_0 \to L_1(\Omega, \mathcal{G}_T, \mathbf{P}) \) allows a unique extension into a linear continuous operator \( I_H(\cdot) : \mathcal{G}_{\nu,\varepsilon} \to L_1(\Omega, \mathcal{G}_T, \mathbf{P}) \). For this, it suffices to show that the operator \( I_H(\cdot) : \mathcal{G}_0 \to L_1(\Omega, \mathcal{G}_T, \mathbf{P}) \) is bounded.

Assume that \( \gamma \in \mathcal{G}_{\nu,\varepsilon} \) for some \( \varepsilon > 0 \), and that \( m = m(\varepsilon) \) is selected such that \( \varepsilon_m \leq \varepsilon < \varepsilon_{m-1} \), where \( \varepsilon_m \) are defined by (5.13). It follows from (5.10) that, for any \( m \) and any \( n > m \),
\[
E|\bar{J}_{R,H}(\gamma_n)| \leq \|\bar{J}_{R,H}(\gamma_m)\|_{L_1(\Omega)} + c_J C_H \sum_{k=m+1}^{n} (2^{-k})^{\nu}\|\gamma\|_{y_{\nu,\varepsilon}}
\]
\[
\leq \|\bar{J}_{R,H}(\gamma_m)\|_{L_1(\Omega)} + \bar{C}_{H,\nu,m} \|\gamma\|_{y_{\nu,\varepsilon}},
\]
where \( c_J \) is the same as in (5.15), and where
\[
\bar{C}_{H,\nu,m} \triangleq c_J C_H \sum_{k=2^{m+1}}^{\infty} (2^{-k})^{\nu},
\]
Clearly, \( \bar{C}_{H,\nu,m} \) is independent on \( \gamma \). For given \( H \in (1/2, 1) \) and \( \nu > 0 \), we have that \( \bar{C}_{H,\nu,m} \) is bounded by a constant for all \( m > 0 \). If \( \nu > 0 \), then \( \bar{C}_{H,\nu,m} \) is bounded by a constant for all
$H \in (1/2, 1), m > 0.$

Further, let

$$\xi_k^{(m)}(t) = d_H \int_0^{T_k^{(m)}} (t - s)^{H - 3/2} dB(s).$$

As was shown before for $\xi_k(t)$, we have that

$$M_\xi \triangleq \sup_k \int_{T_k^{(m)}}^{T_{k+1}^{(m)}} \|\xi_k^{(m)}(t)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 dt < +\infty,$$

where $C_\xi > 0$ is independent on $m, k, H$.

We have that, for the preselected $m = m(\varepsilon)$,

$$E|\tilde{J}_{R,H}(\gamma_m)| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{2^m} \left( \int_{T_k^{(m)}} \|\gamma_m(t)\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \|\xi_k^{(m)}(t)\|_{L_2(\Omega)} dt \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{T_k^{(m)}} \|\xi_k^{(m)}(t)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \leq M_\xi \cdot 2^{m/2} \left( \int_0^T \|\gamma_m(t)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 dt \right)^{1/2} < +\infty.$$

Then the proof of Theorem 3.1(ii) follows from [5.18]. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1. □

**Proof of Theorem 4.1.** We will use the notations from the proof of Theorem 3.1 with the following amendment: since we consider variable $H \in [1/2, 1)$, we include corresponding $H$ as an index for a variable.

In particular, it follows from these notations that

$$I_{W,H}(\gamma_n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} P_{W,H,k} + I_{1/2}(\gamma_n),$$

It can be noted that

$$d_H = \frac{H - 1/2}{\Gamma(H + 1/2)} \to 0, \quad C_H = \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(H + 1/2)^2(H - 1/2)}{4 - 4H}} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad H \to 1/2 + 0.$$

**Lemma 5.3.** For any $\gamma_n \in X_\varepsilon$,

$$\|I_{W,H}(\gamma_n) - I_{1/2}(\gamma_n)\|_{L_2(\Omega)} + \|\tilde{J}_{R,H}(\gamma_n)\|_{L_1(\Omega)} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad H \to 1/2 + 0$$

uniformly over any bounded set of $\gamma_n \in X_\varepsilon$. 
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Proof of Lemma 5.3. For the operators \( \Gamma_k(\cdot, \cdot) = G_H(\cdot, T_{k+1}, \cdot) \) introduced in the proof of Lemma 5.3, we have that \( \|\Gamma_k(\cdot, g)\|_{L_2(T_k, T_{k+1})} \leq \tilde{c}\|g\|_{L_2(T_k, T_{k+1})} \) for some \( \tilde{c} > 0 \) that is independent on \( H \in (1/2, 1) \). Similarly to the proof of Lemma 5.1, we have that

\[
P_{W,H,k} = \int_{T_{k-1}}^{T_k} dB(\tau) [\Gamma_{k-1}(\tau, \gamma_n) - \gamma_n(\tau)], \quad k = 1, \ldots, n.
\]

These integrals converge in \( L_2(\Omega, \mathcal{G}_T, \mathbb{P}) \). Hence

\[
\mathbb{E}\|I_{W,H}(\gamma_n) - I_{W,1/2}(\gamma_n)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 = \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} P_{W,H,k} \right)^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}P_{W,H,k}^2
\]

\[
= \mathbb{E} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{T_{k-1}}^{T_k} (\Gamma_{k-1}(\tau, \gamma_n) - g(\tau))^2 d\tau \leq \tilde{c} \mathbb{E} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{T_{k-1}}^{T_k} (\Gamma_{k-1}(\tau, \gamma_n) - \gamma_n(\tau))^2 d\tau.
\]

By Proposition 3.2 and by the properties of the Riemann–Liouville integral, we have that \( \|\gamma_n - G_H(\cdot, T_k, \gamma_n)\|_{L_2(T_{k-1}, T_k)} \to 0 \) a.s. as \( H \to 1/2 + 0 \). In addition, there exists \( c > 0 \) such that

\[
\|G_H(\cdot, T_k, \gamma_n)\|_{L_2(T_{k-1}, T_k)} \leq c \|\gamma_n\|_{L_2(T_{k-1}, T_k)} \quad \text{a.s.}
\]

Hence

\[
\|G_H(t, T_k, \gamma_n) - \gamma_n(t)\|_{L_2(T_{k-1}, T_k)} \leq 2c \|\gamma_n\|_{L_2(T_{k-1}, T_k)} \quad \text{a.s.}
\]

By Lebesgue’s Dominated Convergence Theorem, we obtain that

\[
\mathbb{E}\|I_{W,H}(\gamma_n) - I_{W,1/2}(\gamma_n)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 = \mathbb{E} \int_{T_{k-1}}^{T_k} |\Gamma_k(t, \gamma_n) - \gamma_n(t)|^2 dt \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad H \to 1/2 + 0.
\]

Further, we have that

\[
\mathbb{E}|\tilde{I}_{R,H}(\gamma_n)| \leq \left( \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \gamma_n(t)^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \left( \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \tilde{\rho}(t)^2 dt \right)^{1/2}.
\]

Similarly to the proof of Proposition 3.2, we obtain that

\[
\mathbb{E}\tilde{\rho}(t)^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} |f'(t, r)|^2 dr = \frac{d_H^2}{2 - 2H} t^{2H-2} \quad (5.19)
\]

and

\[
\mathbb{E} \int_0^T \tilde{\rho}(t)^2 dt = \frac{d_H^2}{2(2 - 2H)} T^{2H-1} = \frac{c_H d_H}{4} T^{2H-1} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad H \to 1/2 + 0.
\]

This completes the proof of Lemma 5.3. \( \square \)
Lemma 5.4. Let $\nu > 0$, $\gamma \in \mathcal{Y}_{\nu,\varepsilon}$, and $\{\gamma_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} = \mathcal{Z}(\gamma)$. In the notations introduced above, we have that

$$\| \tilde{J}_{R,H}(\gamma_n) \|_{L_1(\Omega)} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad H \to 1/2 + 0$$

uniformly in $n > 0$.

Proof of Lemma 5.4. Assume that $\gamma \in \mathcal{Y}_{\nu,\varepsilon}$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, and that $m$ is selected such that $\varepsilon_m \leq \varepsilon < \varepsilon_{m-1}$. It follows from equation (5.16) applied to $\tilde{J}_R = \tilde{J}_{R,H}$ that, for any $m$ and any $n > m$,

$$E[\tilde{J}_{R,H}(\gamma_n)] \leq \| \tilde{J}_{R,H}(\gamma_m) \|_{L_1(\Omega)} + c_J C_H \sum_{k=m+1}^{n} (2^{-k})^{\nu/2+H-1/2} \| \gamma \|_{y_{\nu,\varepsilon}}$$

$$\leq \| \tilde{J}_{R,H}(\gamma_m) \|_{L_1(\Omega)} + \tilde{C}_{H,\nu,m} \| \gamma \|_{y_{\nu,\varepsilon}}$$

where $\tilde{C}_{H,\nu,m}$ is the same as in (5.13); if $\nu > 0$, then $\tilde{C}_{H,\nu,m}$ is bounded by a constant for all $H \in (1/2, 1), m > 0$. In addition, we have that

$$C_{H,\nu,m} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad H \to 1/2$$

uniformly in $n$. By (5.11), $\| \tilde{J}_{R,H}(\gamma_m) \|_{L_1(\Omega)} \to 0$ as $H \to 1/2$. This completes the proof of Lemma 5.4. □

Lemmas 5.3 and 5.4 imply that $E[I_H(\gamma_n) - I_{1/2}(\gamma_n)] \to 0$ as $H \to 1/2$ uniformly in $n$ if $\gamma_n \in \mathcal{Z}(\gamma)$ for $\gamma \in \mathcal{Y}_{\nu,\varepsilon}$ for any $\nu > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$.

To complete the proof of Theorem 4.1 we have to show that $E[I_H(\gamma) - I_{1/2}(\gamma)] \to 0$ as $H \to 1/2$ for $\gamma \in \mathcal{Y}_{\nu,\varepsilon}$. Suppose that it does not hold, and that there exist $c > 0$, $\gamma \in \mathcal{Y}_{\nu,\varepsilon}$, and a sequence ${H_i}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset (1/2, 1)$ such that $H_i \to 1/2$ as $i \to +\infty$ and that

$$E[I_{H_i}(\gamma) - I_{1/2}(\gamma)] \geq c, \quad i = 1, 2, ... \quad (5.20)$$

Let $\{\gamma_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} = \mathcal{Z}(\gamma)$. We have that

$$E[I_H(\gamma) - I_{1/2}(\gamma)] \leq A_1 + A_2 + A_3,$$

where

$$A_1 = E[I_H(\gamma) - I_H(\gamma_n)], \quad A_2 = E[I_H(\gamma_n) - I_{1/2}(\gamma_n)], \quad A_3 = E[I_{1/2}(\gamma_n) - I_{1/2}(\gamma)].$$

Clearly, $\| \gamma - \gamma_n \|_{y_{\nu,\varepsilon}} \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. By Theorem 3.1, $A_1 \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$ uniformly in $H \in (1/2, 1)$. By Lemmas 5.3 and 5.4, $A_2 \to 0$ as $H \to 1/2$ uniformly in $n$. Finally, $A_3 \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$ by the properties
of the Itô integral. Therefore, one can select large enough $n$ and $i$ such that $A_1 + A_2 + A_3 < c$. Thus, supposition (5.20) does not hold. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1. □
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